Berry Phase Effect on the Exciton Transport and on the Exciton Bose-Einstein Condensate

Wang Yao^{*} and Qian Niu

Department of Physics, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712 (Received 8 January 2008; revised manuscript received 23 July 2008; published 2 September 2008)

With the exciton lifetime much extended in semiconductor quantum-well structures, the exciton transport and Bose-Einstein condensation have become a focus of research in recent years. We reveal a momentum-space gauge field in the exciton center-of-mass dynamics due to Berry phase effects. We predict a spin-dependent transport of the excitons analogous to the anomalous Hall and Nernst effects for electrons. We also predict spin-dependent circulation of a trapped exciton gas and instability in an exciton condensate in favor of vortex formation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.106401

PACS numbers: 71.35.-y, 03.65.Vf, 03.75.Kk, 73.43.-f

In semiconductors, an electron can be excited from the valance band into the conduction band by absorbing a photon. The exciton is a bound state of the extra electron in the conduction band and the hole left behind in the valance band. Exciton plays a crucial role in semiconductor optics, e.g., as a source of nonlinear optical effects [1]. In a bulk semiconductor, the exciton lifetime is quite short because of recombination annihilation of the electron and hole accompanied by emission of a photon. By confining electrons and holes separately in two coupled quantum wells [2,3], the resulting indirect exciton can have a lifetime greatly extended (up to 10 μ s [4]), opening up an entirely new realm of exciton physics. It is of great interest to study the transport of a metastable exciton gas, which may bring in new functionalities of optoelectronics [5]. Moreover, recent experiments suggest that realization of the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of excitons may finally become in reach after decades of prediction [6-9].

In this Letter, we study the center-of-mass dynamics of excitons, finding a momentum-space gauge field due to Berry phase in the basis Bloch functions of the quantum wells as well as in the wave function describing the relative motion of the electron-hole pair [10]. The gauge field can lead to spin-dependent exciton transport much like the anomalous Hall and Nernst effects for electrons [11–16]. As the spin of an exciton is correlated with the polarization of the emitted photon from exciton annihilation, these anomalous transport phenomena may be directly observed from polarization-resolved exciton luminescence. The gauge field can also induce circulation in a trapped gas of excitons and a spontaneous vortex formation in an exciton BEC.

Gauge structure in exciton wave function.—In a homogeneous system, the exciton energy-momentum eigenstate is parameterized by the center-of-mass wave vector **q** and the quantum number *n* for each hydrogenlike orbit of the relative motion. The wave function can be generally written as $\Psi_{n,\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ex}} = e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}}U_{n,\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ex}}$ with **R** being the center-of-mass coordinate. Like the Bloch function, the exciton wave function is decomposed into a plane-wave envelope function for center-of-mass motion and an "internal" structure $U_{n,\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{ex}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} F_n(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} u_{e,\mathbf{k}+(m_e/M)\mathbf{q}} u_{h,-\mathbf{k}+(m_h/M)\mathbf{q}}$, where **k** and **r** are, respectively, the wave vector and coordinate for the relative motion. u_e and u_h are the periodic part of the electron and hole Bloch function, and $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} F_n(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ gives the envelope function of the relative motion which may depend on **q** in general. $M \equiv m_e + m_h$ is the exciton mass. Similar to that of the Bloch electrons [12], the gauge structure of exciton lies in the dependence of the internal structure $U_{n,\mathbf{q}}^{\text{ex}}$ on the dynamical parameter \mathbf{q} [10]. The gauge potential is defined as $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\text{ex}} \equiv i \langle U^{\text{ex}} | \partial_{q_{\mu}} | U^{\text{ex}} \rangle$, and the gauge field is then $\Omega^{ex} \equiv \nabla_{q} \times \mathcal{A}^{ex}$. This gauge field, known as the Berry curvature, is analogous to a "magnetic" field in the crystal momentum space. Its integral over a q-space area yields the Berry phase of an exciton state adiabatically going around the boundary of the area, which is similar to the relationship between a magnetic field and the Arharonov-Bohm phase.

For 2D exciton, the Berry curvature is always normal to the plane with magnitude given by,

$$\Omega^{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{q}) = \left(\frac{m_h}{M}\right)^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |F|^2 \Omega^h \left(-\mathbf{k} + \frac{m_h}{M}\mathbf{q}\right) + i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\partial_{q_x} F^* \partial_{q_y} F - \partial_{q_y} F^* \partial_{q_x} F) + \frac{m_h}{M} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\partial_{q_x} |F|^2 \mathcal{A}_y^h \left(-\mathbf{k} + \frac{m_h}{M}\mathbf{q}\right) - x \leftrightarrow y\right], (1)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{h}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv i \langle u_{h,\mathbf{k}} | \partial_{k_{\mu}} | u_{h,\mathbf{k}} \rangle$ and $\Omega^{h}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathcal{A}^{h}(\mathbf{k})$ are, respectively, the gauge potential and gauge field in the hole band. The Berry curvature of the exciton thus has three parts. On the right-hand side of Eq. (1), the first term is the inheritance of Berry curvatures from the parent Bloch bands [17]. In quantum well, heavy-light hole mixing at finite in-plane wave vector leads to pronounced Berry curvature distributions in these subbands [15], and we expect this to be the dominant contribution to the exciton Berry curvature [Fig. 1]. This contribution is *spin dependent* as Ω^{h} changes sign when hole spin flips. The second term is due to the entanglement of relative motion in *n*th orbit with the center-of-mass motion. This contri-



FIG. 1 (color online). Gauge structure in a 10 nm quantum well. (a) Dashed curves denote the three highest valence subbands. Berry curvature (solid curve) in heavy-hole subband HH0 is most pronounced where HH0 anticross with the light-hole subband LH0. In the calculation, we assume a valence barrier height of 140 meV and the same Luttinger parameters ($\gamma_1 = 6.85$, $\gamma_2 = 2.1$, and $\gamma_3 = 2.9$) for the quantum well layer and the barrier. (b) Berry curvature as a function of the center-of-mass wave vector for 1*s* heavy-hole exciton. We assume the in-plane exciton Bohr radius $a_B = 8$, 10, and 12 nm for solid, dashed, and dash-dotted curves, respectively.

bution is spin independent in general, but can have opposite values at **q** and $-\mathbf{q}$, analogous to the valley-dependent Berry curvature in graphene [18]. It must vanish when the system has both time reversal symmetry and 180° rotation symmetry in the 2D plane [18]. The third one is the cross terms of the first two. Its spin dependence comes from the gauge potential \mathcal{A}^{h}_{μ} .

Semiclassical equation of motion.—From now on, we focus on spatially indirect excitons with long radiative lifetime. To see the Berry phase effect, we first establish the semiclassical theory for wave packet dynamics of excitons subject to perturbations varying slowly in space and time. Consider the following exciton wave packet centered at center-of-mass coordinate \mathbf{R}_c : $|X\rangle = \int [d\mathbf{q}]w(\mathbf{q})|\Psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{ex}\rangle$. $w(\mathbf{q})$ is a function localized around \mathbf{q}_c with a width much smaller than the inverse of the in-plane exciton Bohr radius a_B , and $\int [d\mathbf{q}]$ stands for $\int d\mathbf{q}(2\pi)^{-2}$ here and hereafter. The equation of motion for this wave packet can be derived from the effective Lagrangian [12],

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{q}_{c}, \mathbf{R}_{c})}{\hbar \partial \mathbf{q}_{c}} - \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{c}} \times \mathbf{\Omega}^{\mathrm{ex}}, \qquad (2a)$$

$$\hbar \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathbf{c}} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{q}_{c}, \mathbf{R}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{c}} - \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbf{c}} \times \mathcal{D}.$$
 (2b)

 $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{q}_c, \mathbf{R}_c)$ is the semiclassical energy of the exciton wave packet with center-of-mass wave vector \mathbf{q}_c and coordinate \mathbf{R}_c . To leading order, it is of a factorized form $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{q}_c, \mathbf{R}_c) \equiv$ $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{q}_c) + V(\mathbf{R}_c)$. $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{q}_c)$ is the unperturbed exciton dispersion in the homogeneous quantum well. *V* is the potential energy from external perturbations, so that the exciton center-of-mass motion is subjected to a mechanical force $\mathcal{C} \equiv -\nabla V$. In an electrostatic potential ϕ , $C_{x,y} \equiv ed\partial_{x,y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$ with *d* being the separation between the electron and hole layers [19]. Thus, the intrinsic dipole moment of indirect exciton allows its transport to be controlled by the electric field gradient, which forms the basis of electrically gated excitonic circuits [5]. The dipole moment also allows a *real-space* magnetic field $\mathcal{D} \equiv ed \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z} \hat{z}$ from the gradient of the external magnetic field $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{r})$. In conjugation, the Berry curvature $\mathbf{\Omega}^{\text{ex}}$ plays the role of a *momentum-space* magnetic field which gives rise to an anomalous contribution to the velocity [12–14]. For 1s heavy-hole excitons being investigated for transport and condensation phenomena [4,6–9], the Berry curvature distribution is opposite between the two "bright" exciton branches $e_{+}^{\dagger}h_{+}^{\dagger}|G\rangle$ and $e_{-}^{\dagger}h_{+}^{\dagger}|G\rangle$ and between the two "dark" exciton branches $e_{+}^{\dagger}h_{+}^{\dagger}|G\rangle$ and $e_{-}^{\dagger}h_{+}^{\dagger}|G\rangle$ [20]. The exciton center-of-mass motion thus acquires a spin-dependent ingredient.

It is interesting to make a comparison with the spin dependent center-of-mass dynamics recently observed on exciton polaritons [21], which results from the momentum dependent polarization splitting for spatially direct excitons. Such mechanism is unimportant for indirect excitons where polarization splitting is negligible as the wave function overlap between the electron and hole component is small [22]. In addition to the heavy-light hole mixing mechanism, spin-dependent center-of-mass motion of indirect exciton can also arise from Rashba spin-orbit coupling in the parent Bloch bands [23].

Spin Hall effect and spin Nernst effect.-The above semiclassical equation of motion is the basis for calculation of exciton transport currents driven by mechanical and statistical forces. The exciton current density can be defined as $\mathbf{J} = \int [d\mathbf{q}_c] f(\mathbf{q}_c, \mathbf{R}_c) \dot{\mathbf{R}}_c$ where f is the distribution function. In an electrically controlled excitonic circuit [5], the flow of excitons is driven by the "electriclike" force \mathcal{C} from patterned electrodes [19]. We immediately find that the anomalous velocity term in Eq. (2a) contributes a spin-dependent exciton Hall current: J = $\frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{C} \times \int [d\mathbf{q}_c] f(\mathbf{q}_c) \mathbf{\Omega}^{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{q}_c)$ [14]. Note that the above Hall current comes mainly from the equilibrium part of carrier distribution and hence is referred as the *intrinsic* contribution, as opposed to the *extrinsic* contribution from the nonequilibrium part of distribution by phonon scattering or disorder scattering [11,24]. Intrinsic contribution dominates the anomalous Hall effect when phonon scattering is the major cause for exciton momentum relaxation [24]. In disordered systems at low temperatures, the relative importance of intrinsic and extrinsic contribution is not fully understood, and exciton transport can be further complicated by quantum interference effects [25], which is, however, beyond the scope of this work.

In most current experiments, hot indirect excitons are generated at laser excitation spot, and the exciton temperature decreases by phonon emissions upon diffusion to remote trap regions [6–9]. "Thermoelectric" responses to the statistical forces of temperature gradient and chemical potential gradient is thus of direct relevance. From the basis of the Einstein relation, it is suggested that an exciton spin Hall current can be induced by a chemical potential gradient. Furthermore, the Mott relation for the electrical conductivity and thermoelectric conductivity suggests the *spin Nernst effect*, i.e., spin Hall current driven by a temperature gradient. Both relations are proven to hold for Berry phase supported topological transport currents of Bloch electrons [16], and the conclusion is straightforwardly generalized to excitons of Boson statistics. Specifically, we find the spin-dependent exciton Hall current in the presence of chemical potential gradient and temperature gradient: $J = -\nabla \mu \times \frac{1}{\hbar} \int [d\mathbf{q}_c] \mathbf{\Omega}^{\text{ex}} [(\mathcal{E} - \mu)f - k_B T \log(1 - e^{-\beta(\mathcal{E} - \mu)})].$

In the fugacity of the Berry curvature. For typical GaAs quantum wells, we find $\Phi \sim \pi$.

Berry phase effect on exciton condensate.—In a confining potential $V(\mathbf{R})$, the anomalous velocity from the Berry curvature results in a spin-dependent circulation motion for uncondensed excitons [Fig. 2(a)]. To consider the Berry phase effect on condensed excitons in a trap [26], we first establish the effective quantum Hamiltonian in presence of the Berry curvature. From Eq. (2), it is evident that, with finite Ω^{ex} , the physical position and momentum of the exciton wave packet no longer form a canonical pair. To quantize the noncanonical equation of motion, the Peierls substitution can be generalized to the momentum-space gauge field [27]. We find the *canonical* position and momentum variables **R** and **q** are related to the physical ones



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Motion of indirect excitons in trap. The Berry curvature induces a spin-dependent anomalous velocity transverse to the force from the trap. (b) Critical condensate size as a function of harmonic trap frequency ω_c . Solid curve shows $N_{\rm vor}$ above which the condensate is unstable against vortex formation. Dashed curve denotes N_c for BEC-BCS cross-over. For reference, +denotes a condensate loaded in a 20 GHz trap [19] with a typical density 3×10^{10} cm⁻² [6,8]. We have assumed GaAs coupled quantum well where electron and hole layers are separated by d = 10 nm, and the exciton Berry curvature is taken from the $a_B = 10$ nm curve in Fig. 1(b).

by: $\mathbf{R}_c = \mathbf{R} + \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})$; $\mathbf{q}_c = \mathbf{q}$. The semiclassical energy of the exciton can then be expressed in terms of the canonical position and momentum variable as $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathbf{q}) + V(\mathbf{R}) + \nabla V \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{q})$. Taking the standard quantization procedure, we obtain the modified Gross-Pitaevskii equation with the Berry phase effect

$$\left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2M} + V + U_0\rho(\mathbf{R}) + \nabla V \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}\right] \Psi(\mathbf{R}) = \mu \Psi(\mathbf{R}), \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(-i\nabla)$ is an operator acting on the condensate wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{R})$, and $\rho(\mathbf{R})$ is the exciton density. Here and hereafter, we set $\hbar = 1$. $U_0 = e^2 d/\epsilon$ is the strength of the repulsive dipole-dipole interaction between indirection excitons [28]. The last term on the right-hand side shows the Berry phase effect. In a harmonic trap $V(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2}M\omega_c^2 R^2$ with characteristic length $a_{\rm osc} \equiv (M\omega_c)^{-1/2} \gg a_B$, the Berry phase term reduces to $\nabla V \cdot \hat{\mathcal{A}} = M\omega_c^2 \Omega_0 \hat{L}_z$ where $\Omega_0 \equiv \Omega^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{q} = 0)$ and \hat{L}_z is the angular momentum operator.

In the multicomponent exciton condensate, the Berry phase term is diagonal in the spin subspace while interconversion between different spin components is incoherent via the exciton spin relaxation processes [29,30]. We first analyze how the Berry curvature affect each component. Obviously, the Berry phase term will lead to a spindependent energy correction to the states with finite angular momentum. To create a vortex with a single quantized circulation, the cost of energy in absence of Berry curvature is: $\varepsilon_v = \pi n_0 M^{-1} \ln(0.888 \frac{\lambda}{\xi_0})$ [31]. n_0 and ξ_0 are, respectively, the exciton density and healing length at the trap center without vortex. λ is the spatial dimension of the exciton condensate which, in the Thomas-Fermi approximation, is given by $\lambda = a_{\rm osc} (U_0 N M)^{1/4}$. The Berry phase term contribute an energy correction $\Delta \varepsilon_v = M \omega_c^2 \Omega_0 \mathcal{L}_v$ where $\mathcal{L}_{v} = \pm \frac{1}{2} n_0 \pi \lambda^2$ is the angular momentum of the vortex state [31]. When Ω_0 and \mathcal{L}_v have opposite sign, the Berry curvature reduces the energy cost of creating a vortex in the corresponding spin component of the condensate. Further, when $\varepsilon_v + \Delta \varepsilon_v < 0$, a nonrotating condensate component becomes unstable upon forming a vortex. As \mathcal{L}_v is quadratic while ε_v is logarithmic in the condensate size λ , such instability occurs when the number of condensed excitons is larger than $N_{\rm vor} \sim$ $(U_0 M^3 \omega_c^2 \Omega_0^2)^{-1}$.

With the increase of density, the excitonic condensate will cross from BEC of tightly bound excitons to BCS type momentum-space electron-hole pairing [22,32]. In the harmonic trap, the border size for such crossover is roughly $N_c \sim (U_0^{-1}M\omega_c^2 a_B^4)^{-1}$, corresponding to the density $\sim a_B^{-2}$. Thus, for sufficiently large U_0M , $N_{\text{vor}} \ll N_c$ and the instability is reached well in the BEC end where the above treatment of condensate is valid. For typical GaAs coupled quantum wells, our calculations show that spontaneous vortex formation in the BEC phase is expected in tight confinement which may be realized in electrostatic traps [19] [see Fig. 2(b)].

Conclusions and outlooks.—We have discussed a gauge structure in exciton wave function and the resultant Berry phase effect on exciton center-of-mass dynamics. A direct consequence is the spin-dependent topological transport of excitons driven by mechanical and statistical force, which may add novel spin functionalities into excitonic circuits [5]. Of particular interest is the coupling of the Berry curvature to the angular momentum of a trapped wave function which may lead to instability of nonrotating BEC above the critical size $N_{\rm vor}$. The tendency of forming a vortex and antivortex depends on the spin species. As instability can be simultaneously reached in each spin component under equilibrium, an interesting problem of vortex formation dynamics is posed for future study. If different spin components are driven into different angular momentum states, exciton spin relaxation processes can lead to fast depletion of the condensate. Such dynamics may be experimentally probed from the angular distribution of photoluminescence [28].

BEC has also been claimed for exciton-polariton systems recently [33]. It will be interesting to investigate the Berry phase effect on polariton condensates since polaritons can inherit the Berry curvature from its exciton portion. Because of the lighter mass of the polariton, N_{vor} extrapolated from the above analysis suggests that the Berry phase effect becomes important only at a much higher density. However, in such a case, a dilute BEC may not be the proper description for the polariton condensate [22]. Further studies are needed to have a clear understanding of the Berry phase effects on other phases of the condensate [32,34].

The work was supported by NSF, DOE, the Welch Foundation, and NSFC.

*wangyao@physics.utexas.edu

Present address: Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.

- [1] D.S. Chemla and J. Shah, Nature (London) **411**, 549 (2001).
- [2] A. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. B 42, 9225 (1990).
- [3] L. V. Butov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 304 (1994).
- [4] Z. Voros et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 226401 (2005).
- [5] D.W. Snoke, Photonics Spectra 40, 108 (2006); A.A. High *et al.*, Opt. Lett. 32, 2466 (2007).
- [6] L. Butov *et al.*, Nature (London) **417**, 47 (2002); L. Butov,
 A. C. Gossard, and D. S. Chemla, Nature (London) **418**, 751 (2002).
- [7] D. Snoke *et al.*, Nature (London) **418**, 754 (2002); Z.
 Voros, D. W. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 016803 (2006).
- [8] S. Yang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 187402 (2006).
- [9] S. Yang et al., Phys. Rev. B 75, 033311 (2007).
- [10] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. A **392**, 45 (1984).
- [11] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, Science 301, 1348 (2003); J. Sinova *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 126603 (2004).

- [12] M.-C. Chang and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7010 (1996).
- [13] D.J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M.P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [14] With Berry curvature explicitly accounted as a band property, the semiclassical approach finds Hall conductance as an integral of Berry curvature over occupied states [12], exactly reproducing the linear response result [13]. The integral of Berry curvature over the Brillion zone as the quantized Hall conductance was first recognized in [13].
- [15] W. Yao, A. H. MacDonald, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 047401 (2007).
- [16] D. Xiao, Y. Yao, Z. Fang, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 026603 (2006).
- [17] Conduction band Berry curvature plays a less important role due to the prefactor $\frac{m_e}{M} \ll 1$ when the hole effective mass is much heavier.
- [18] D. Xiao, W. Yao, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 236809 (2007); W. Yao, D. Xiao, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 77, 235406 (2008).
- [19] A.T. Hammack *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 066104 (2006).
- [20] e_{\pm}^{\dagger} denotes the creation operator for electron in the conduction subband with $S_z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, and h_{\pm}^{\dagger} for hole in the heavy-hole subband HHO arising from the $J_z = \pm \frac{3}{2}$ bandedge state.
- [21] A. Kavokin, G. Malpuech, and M. Glazov, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 95, 136601 (2005); C. Leyder *et al.*, Nature Phys. 3, 628 (2007); W. Langbein *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 75, 075323 (2007).
- [22] P. B. Littlewood *et al.*, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **16**, S3597 (2004).
- [23] J. W. Wang and S. S. Li, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 052104 (2007).
- [24] C. Zeng, Y. Yao, Q. Niu, and H. H. Weitering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 037204 (2006).
- [25] M. M. Glazov and L. E. Golub, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165341 (2008); A. G. Malshukov, K. A. Chao, and M. Willander, Phys. Rev. B 52, 5233 (1995).
- [26] V. Bagnato and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. A 44, 7439 (1991).
- [27] C. P. Chu, M. C. Chang, and Q. Niu, arXiv:0709.1407.
- [28] J. Keeling, L. S. Levitov, and P. B. Littlewood, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 176402 (2004).
- [29] M. Z. Maialle, E. A. de Andrada e Silva, and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. B 47, 15776 (1993).
- [30] In situations where exciton can change its spin state coherently, Eq. (3) need to be generalized to include coherent coupling between different components. See, I. A. Shelykh *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 066402 (2006).
- [31] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2002), 1st ed.
- [32] T. Hakioglu and M. Sahin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 166405 (2007).
- [33] J. Kasprzak *et al.*, Nature (London) **443**, 409 (2006); H. Deng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 126403 (2007); R. Balili *et al.*, Science **316**, 1007 (2007).
- [34] J. W. Ye, arXiv:0712.0437.