Investigation of isospin-symmetry breaking in mirror energy difference and nuclear mass with \textit{ab initio} calculations
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Isospin-symmetry breaking is responsible for the energy difference of excited states in mirror nuclei. It also influences the coefficient of the isobaric multiplet mass equation. In the present work, we extensively investigate isospin-symmetry breaking in medium-mass nuclei within \textit{ab initio} frameworks. For this, we employ the \textit{ab initio} valence-space in-medium similarity renormalization group approach, in which charge-symmetry and charge-independence breakings are included in the adopted nuclear force. The mirror energies of $sd$- and $pf$-shell nuclei are computed for that matter. The effects of single-particle states on weakly bound and unbound nuclear states, especially those of the $s$ wave, are discussed. Predictions are also made concerning proton drip-line nuclei bearing large mirror energy difference. Finally, the coefficient of the isobaric multiplet mass equation in $T = 1/2$ and $T = 1$ isospin multiplets for $A = 18$ to $A = 76$ is calculated.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Isospin symmetry of nuclear forces is a fundamental assumption in nuclear physics, where it has been seen to be almost exact [1–3]. It leads to the introduction of isospin by Heisenberg, because the proton and neutron can be viewed as two different states of the same particle, differing only by the projection of isospin ($t_z$) [2,3]. Under this assumption and irrespective of electromagnetic effects, i.e., with exact isospin symmetry, mirror nuclei, whose protons and neutrons are interchanged, should bear the same energy levels.

The differences in excitation energy of analog states in mirror nuclei follow this rule up to a few tens or hundreds of keVs [4,5]. In particular, there is a large mirror energy difference (MED) in the mirror nuclei states where the valence protons of proton-rich nuclei occupy weakly bound or unbound $s$ or $p$ wave, which is called the Thomas-Ehrman shift (TES) [6,7]. Indeed, with the developments of accelerator and detector, measurements of excited states of drip-line nuclei become possible [8–13]. A typical example is the $3^+_1$ state in $^{18}\text{Ne}$ and $^{18}\text{O}$ mirror nuclei [14]. Another is the mass difference of isobaric multiplets which cannot be explained from Coulomb effects alone, and is called the Nolen-Schiffer anomaly (NSA) [15]. While Coulomb effects are the main reason for isospin-symmetry breaking (ISB), theoretical investigations indicated that the charge dependence of nuclear forces also plays a role therein. Experimental nucleon-nucleon phase shifts have shown that the neutron-neutron interaction ($V_{nn}$) is about 1% larger than the proton-proton interaction ($V_{pp}$), and that the proton-neutron interaction ($V_{pn}$) is about 2.5% stronger than the average of $V_{nn}$ and $V_{pp}$ interactions [16]. These are denoted as the charge-symmetry breaking (CSB) and charge-independence breaking (CIB), respectively [16]. In fact, the large MED and isobaric multiplet mass equation (IMME) can be treated as probes to investigate ISB. So far, two theoretical methods have been applied to explain how ISB arises, i.e., standard shell model [1,4,17,18] and density-functional theory [19,20]. Parameters are introduced and constrained by data in those two models [1,19,21].

Over the past decade, the development of \textit{ab initio} frameworks exhibited great progress in nuclear physics thanks to the introduction of chiral interactions issued from effective-field theory [22–29]. In particular, the CSB and CIB effects can be well treated with nuclear interactions devised from effective-field theory (see details in Ref. [16]). Of particular interest is the \textit{ab initio} valence-space in-medium similarity renormalization group (VS-IMSRG) method [30–32], which can build effective nuclear many-body Hamiltonians in relatively small valence spaces via a continuous unitary transformation [22,23,31,33–37]. Both closed- and open-shell nuclei can be implemented in the framework of VS-IMSRG. Thus, this technology allows us to investigate ISB in the ground and excited states of many nuclei.

This paper is structured as follows. First, the \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG method is briefly introduced. Then, the MED in $sd$- and $pf$-shell nuclei are investigated, emphasizing the TES in $sd$-shell many-body states. Afterwards, the calculated coefficients for IMMEs of $T = 1/2$ isospin doublets and $T = 1$ isospin triplets $sd$- and $pf$-shell nuclei are presented. Then, one proceeds to the summary of the paper.
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II. METHOD

The intrinsic $A$-nucleon Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \left( \frac{1}{2m} p_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_{ij} \cdot r_{ij} \right) + \sum_{i<j}^{A} \left( v_{ij}^{NN} - \frac{p_i \cdot p_j}{m^2} \right) + \sum_{i<j<k}^{A} v_{ijk}^{NN},$$

(1)

where $p_i$ is the nucleon momentum in the laboratory, and $m$ is mass of the nucleon. $v_{ij}^{NN}$ and $v_{ijk}^{NN}$ are the two-body ($NN$) and three-nucleon ($3N$) interactions, respectively. The well established $NN + 3N$ interactions provided by the 1.8/2.0 (EM) potential is used in our present work, as it has been shown to systematically reproduce ground-state energies up to $^{132}$Sn [38–40]. For the 1.8/2.0 (EM) potential, the initial chiral next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order ($N^3LO$) $NN$ force [41] is softened by a similarity renormalization group (SRG) evolution [34] using $\lambda_{SRG} = 1.8$ fm$^{-1}$, where a cutoff $\Lambda = 2.0$ fm$^{-1}$ is chosen for the corresponding next-to-next-to-leading order ($N^2LO$) $3N$ interaction. The short-range low-energy constants $c_D$ and $c_E$ are optimized to reproduce the triton binding energy and $^4$He radius [42]. Within the chiral EFT framework, charge-symmetry and charge-independence breaking effects are considered among others via $\pi$-mass splitting in the pion-exchange, pion-nucleon coupling constant, nucleon-mass splitting, electromagnetic corrections, low-energy constants of contact terms, and so on.

A more comprehensive and systematic study can be found in Refs. [16,43]. The Coulomb force is also included in Eq. (1). In practical calculations, the harmonic-oscillator (HO) basis is used for the definitions of the model space. We consider $\hbar \omega = 16$ MeV and 15 HO major shells are included (i.e., $e = 2n + l \leqslant e_{\text{max}} = 14$), and the HO energies in the three-body sector are limited as well to $e_{\text{max}} = 2n_a + 2n_b + 2n_c + l_a + l_b + l_c \leqslant 14$.

It is convenient to rewrite the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) with normal ordering with respect to the single determinant or ensemble reference state $\langle \Phi \rangle$ [30]:

$$H = E + \sum_{ij} f_{ij} : a_i^\dagger a_j : + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijkl} \Gamma_{ijkl} : a_i^\dagger a_j a_k a_l : + \frac{1}{36} \sum_{ijklmn} W_{ijklmn} : a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_k a_l a_m a_n :,$$  

(2)

where the strings of creation and annihilation operators obey $\langle \Phi \rangle : a_i^\dagger \cdots a_j : | \Phi \rangle = 0$. Indeed, the normal-ordered zero-, one-, and two-body parts, i.e., $E$, $f_{ij}$, and $\Gamma_{ijkl}$, contain the main contributions of $v_{NN}$, so that one can neglect the numerically expensive normal-ordered three-body part $W_{ijklmn}$ of the Hamiltonian [22,23].

In its initial studies, IMSRG had been applied to calculate doubly magic nuclei [32]. To calculate open-shell nuclei, it is necessary to divide the single-particle Hilbert space into core, valence, and excluded spaces. This doing, the construction of an effective valence-space Hamiltonian can be performed, so that its diagonalization in the considered model space can provide an $ab\text{ initio}$ description for nuclear structure. VS-IMSRG method aims at constructing such a valence-space effective Hamiltonian [30,31]. Calculations of nuclear observables and investigations of ISB are then made possible. The decoupling can be achieved by solving the flow equation

$$\frac{dH(s)}{ds} = [\eta(s), H(s)],$$

(3)

with the anti-Hermitian generator

$$\eta(s) \equiv \frac{dU(s)}{ds} U^\dagger(s) = -\eta^\dagger(s),$$

(4)

where $U(s)$ is the unitary transformation operator.

In this work, we will use VS-IMSRG with ensemble normal-ordering (ENO) [30,44] to generate the valence-space Hamiltonian, whereby the VS-IMSRG code of Ref. [44] is utilized for that matter. The obtained Hamiltonian can then be exactly diagonalized using the shell-model code of Ref. [45]. In the present work, the $A = 18$ to $A = 75$ isotopes are calculated, using full $sd$- and $pf$-shell valence spaces for both valence protons and valence neutrons, respectively.

III. RESULTS

A. Mirror energy differences

Isospin-symmetry breaking implies MED, i.e., the excited-state energy difference between analog states in mirror nuclei with the same mass number $A$, total isospin $T$, and spin-parity $J^\pi$ but different isospin third component $T_z$, is not equal to zero [4,8–10,17,46]. TES also occurs at proton dripline, where proton-rich isotopes exhibit a large MED. This provides a powerful probe to access the origin of ISB [4,8–10,17,46] and further information about nuclear structure [1,47]. The MED is given by

$$\text{MED}(A, T) = E_{\text{ex}}(T, T_z) - E_{\text{ex}}(T, T_z),$$

(5)

in which the $E_{\text{ex}}$ is the excitation energy and $T_z$. ($T_z$) refers to the nucleus of largest (smallest) isospin projection considered in the MED.

The TES is principally caused by the coupling to weakly bound or unbound $s$ and $p$ orbitals. Indeed, the absent or small centrifugal parts implies that the proton and neutron states close to particle-emission threshold have extended wave functions in coordinate space. Thus, mirror nuclear states whose $s$ and $p$ components are important have different wave-function asymptotes, which is responsible for the generating TES. An inversion of ground states occurs in the $^{16}$F and $^{16}$N mirror nuclei, which is mainly due to the unbound proton $1s_{1/2}$ orbital [48,49]. Rich information related to TES has been obtained in the $sd$-shell proton drip-line nuclei, where many states with large TES have been observed. One can give the examples of the mirror pairs $^{18}$Ne-$^{18}$O [50,51] and $^{22}$Al-$^{22}$F [52]. In the $sd$-shell nuclei, TES is driven by $s$ waves. Indeed, the proton $1s_{1/2}$ orbital is weakly bound or unbound in proton drip-line nuclei, whereas the neutron $1s_{1/2}$ is well bound in their mirror neutron-rich nuclei. However, few calculations have been done due to the difficulty to include both Coulomb and isospin-nonconserving forces in theoretical models. We could circumvent this problem by employing the $ab\text{ initio}$ VS-IMSRG, in which the Coulomb and isospin-nonconserving forces are exactly taken into account. We can then investigate the large MED states occurring in $sd$-shell nuclei.
First, we calculate the mirror nuclei $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$ as an example, due to their rich structure and the fact that several low-lying excited states with large MED exist in their spectra. *Ab initio* VS-IMSRG calculations with $NN$ and $NN+3N$ interactions have then been performed therein. Results are shown in Fig. 1, along with available experimental data [53]. One can see that MED is particularly large in the experimental $1/2^+$ and $3/2^+$ states [53]. The calculation with $NN$ interaction provides a poor description of low-lying states with respect to experimental data. Indeed, even the obtained ground state, which is a $1/2^+$ state in our calculations, is not the experimental one. The calculated energies are, in fact, largely improved by the inclusion of $3N$ interaction, as a good overall agreement with experiment is obtained for low-lying excited states with $NN+3N$ interactions. A similar situation also occurs in the other $sd$-shell nuclei studied. Our *ab initio* calculation using the $NN+3N$ interaction compares well with experimental data. Hence, *ab initio* VS-IMSRG calculations can be utilized for predictions. This has been done in Fig. 4 with our model, where the spectra of a few proton-rich nuclei, unknown experimentally, are predicted and compared with their associated mirror spectra. Also, considering that $3N$ forces are necessary to obtain a satisfactory reproduction of experimental data, we will now only present results calculated with $NN+3N$ interactions.

In Fig. 1, one may notice that the states bearing the same spin in $^{23}\text{Al}$ are always lower than those of $^{23}\text{Ne}$. For example, the $1/2^+$ state of $^{23}\text{Al}$ is lower than its counterpart in $^{23}\text{Ne}$ by about 500 keV.

When a large MED occurs with $s$ or $p$ waves involved, there are two possible reasons for that matter, of external or internal character. If extended single-particle wave functions of weakly bound or unbound $s$ or $p$ waves are significantly occupied in the considered many-body states, the large MED is of external nature, as in the TES states $[48,52,54]$, neutron skins and halo structures $[47,55]$. The second possibility is related to configuration mixing (see Refs. $[56–60]$), so that it is of internal nature. In this case, a few nodal states of $s$ or $p$ waves are included in the calculations, so that their mixing generates an extended wave function. Thus, a more extended many-body wave function of dripline nuclei indicates a stronger coupling configuration mixing, i.e., that internal degrees of freedom are important for that matter. These two external and internal effects are different, but can be intertwined in a complex manner. Configuration mixing involving $s$ and $p$ waves has indeed been proved to describe the exotic properties and MED of dripline nuclei using Gamow shell model (GSM) $[49,61–64]$. For example, an extended $1s_{1/2}$ single-particle wave function has been introduced to explain the inversion of ground states in the $^{16}\text{F}$ and $^{16}\text{O}$ mirror nuclei $[48]$. Configuration mixing involving the full $s$ partial wave has also been noticed to play a significant role for that matter, as GSM calculations have shown $[49]$.

To further analyze the ISB of mirror states, both the average occupations of the single-particle valence orbits and mirror energy differences of low-lying states in mirror nuclei $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$ using VS-IMSRG based on the $1.8/2.0$ (EM) $NN+3N$ interaction. Shown average occupations correspond to the valence protons and neutrons in $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$, respectively. Experimental data are taken from Ref. [53].
and neutron-rich mirror nuclei in Fig. 2. We can see that the calculated average occupations of valence proton and neutron shells in the mirror states of $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$ are very close. The ground states of $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$ are both mainly occupied by the 0d$_{5/2}$ orbital. However, the 1/2$^+_1$, 3/2$^+_1$, and 5/2$^+_2$ states bear large 1s$_{1/2}$ average occupation, then inducing large MEDs in those states. Indeed, in the mirror nucleus $^{23}\text{Al}$, the proton 1s$_{1/2}$ state is slightly unbound. Due to the absence of centrifugal barrier in s waves, the proton 1s$_{1/2}$ wave function is more extended than that of the neutron 1s$_{1/2}$ which is deeply bound [54]. The stronger coupling involving the slightly unbound π 1s$_{1/2}$ in $^{23}\text{Al}$ provides more binding energies than its associated neutron orbital in $^{23}\text{Ne}$. This situation results in a negative MED value, i.e., the excitation energies of mirror states in proton-rich nuclei are lower than those of neutron-rich nuclei due to the strong couplings involving the slightly unbound s$_{1/2}$ waves. For 7/2$^+_1$ states, the occupations are close to those of the 5/2$^+_1$ ground state. The associated MED values are thus small, of about 100 keV. The large MEDs in the 1/2$^+_1$, 3/2$^+_1$, and 5/2$^+_2$ states show that the TES effect happens in those states.

Interestingly, the 3/2$^+_1$ state, which has a large average occupation of 0d$_{5/2}$ states, but reduced 1s$_{1/2}$ average occupation, exhibits a large MED value, in close fact to that of the 1/2$^+_1$ state. The other excited states possessing large 0d$_{5/2}$ components also show a similar tendency (see 1/2$^+_1$, 7/2$^+_2$, and 7/2$^+_2$ states in Fig. 2). This effect occurs because the 0d$_{5/2}$ single-particle state is unbound at the proton-rich side and weakly bound at the neutron-rich side of low-lying mirror states. A large average occupation of the 0d$_{5/2}$ shell then also accentuates the MED.

Conversely, the 9/2$^+_1$ states provide a MED close to that of the 5/2$^+_1$ ground state, as their components are almost only built from 0d$_{5/2}$ orbits. The 9/2$^+_1$ MED might then be caused by ISB effects. A similar situation, in fact, commonly occurs in f/p-shell mirror states [1,9,10,65]. The slight differences in average occupations of valence shells or wave functions of mirror states also contribute to the MED. The situation occurs in the higher mirror states in $^{23}\text{Al}$ and $^{23}\text{Ne}$.

The indirect effects induced by weakly bound and unbound wave functions on eigenenergies are usually included phenomenologically in the standard shell model by adjusting the matrix elements. For example, in Refs. [52,54], the matrix elements related to the proton 1s$_{1/2}$ orbit are reduced in order to reproduce experimental data. To have physical weakly bound and unbound many-body wave functions, which are extended in coordinate space, it would be preferable to perform shell-model calculation in the Berggren basis, where bound, resonance, and continuum are treated on the same footing, i.e., in the framework of GSM [51,56–62,64]. The main drawback of GSM, however, is its computational cost, which is much larger than that of standard shell model [61–64], so that GSM cannot be used in practice for our purposes. Consequently, we preferred to consider ab initio VS-IMSRG calculation using a large number of HO shells. $N_{\text{max}} = 14$ is used in the present calculations, which has been seen to partially describe the extended asymptotes of weakly bound and unbound many-body states, as MEDs could be well described in VS-IMSRG calculations.

FIG. 3. The calculated MEDs with ab initio VS-IMSRG, labeled with the name of the associated proton-rich nucleus, are compared with experimental data with error bars: $^{18}\text{Ne}(3/2^+)$ [66,67], $^{19}\text{Ne}(11/2^+)$ [68,69], $^{19}\text{Na}(1/2^+)$ [67,70], $^{20}\text{Na}(1/2^+)$ [71–73], $^{21}\text{Na}(1/2^+)$ [74,75], $^{22}\text{Al}(1/2^+)$ [52,76], $^{23}\text{Al}(1/2^+)$ [77,78], $^{22}\text{Si}(3/2^+)$ [79,80], $^{22}\text{Al}(1/2^+)$ [81,82], $^{22}\text{Al}(5/2^+)$ [78,83], $^{23}\text{Si}(3/2^+)$ [84,85], $^{29}\text{S}(1/2^+)$ [86,87], $^{32}\text{Ar}(3/2^+)$ [88,89].

To further show the predicting power of our ab initio VS-IMSRG calculations, we have performed calculations of MEDs in a large set of sd-shell nuclei. The pairs of states with the largest experimental MED are presented in Fig. 3. The distance between points and the diagonal line in Fig. 3 is the criterion for determining whether ab initio VS-IMSRG calculations are in accordance with experiment. In fact, all points are situated near the diagonal line in Fig. 3. The largest deviations occur in $^{19}\text{Na}(1/2^+)$ and $^{22}\text{Al}(1/2^+)$ and do not exceed 150 keV. Hence, ab initio VS-IMSRG method can provide a good description of the properties of sd-shell nuclei, so that it can be now applied for predictions related to many-body states inaccessible experimentally.

FIG. 4. The spectra (in MeV) of the pairs of mirror nuclei $^{21}\text{Al}/^{21}\text{O}$, $^{22}\text{Si}/^{22}\text{O}$, $^{23}\text{Si}/^{23}\text{F}$, $^{27}\text{P}/^{27}\text{Mg}$. The theoretical spectrum (blue lines) using ab initio VS-IMSRG method is compared with the experimental spectrum of neutron-rich nuclei (black lines). Calculations of proton-rich states (red lines) are predictive. Mirror states are connected with green dotted lines and MEDs are marked nearby in purple in keV units.
In Fig. 4, we give the predictions of unmeasured states at proton dripline, namely $^{21}\text{Al}$, $^{22}\text{Si}$, $^{23}\text{Si}$, and $^{27}\text{P}$, along with the experimental and theoretical results related to their mirror neutron-rich nuclei for comparison. From Fig. 4, we can see that the \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG calculations of the neutron-rich nuclei $^{21}\text{O}$, $^{22}\text{O}$, $^{23}\text{F}$, and $^{27}\text{Mg}$ are in good agreement with the experimental data. Therefore, one can assume that the prediction for the spectra of the aforementioned proton-rich nuclei issued from \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG calculations is reliable. The predicted MEDs of most associated mirror states are about a few hundred eV units and are negative. Notably, a large MED ($-1362$ keV) is present in the $0^{-}_1$ excited states of $^{22}\text{Si}$ and $^{22}\text{O}$. MEDs significantly increase when proton-rich nuclei approach the proton dripline, as the larger MEDs occur when the average occupation of weakly bound or unbound $s$ orbitals increase (see the discussion related to Fig. 2 for details).

We also provided predictions for possible excited states in $^{21}\text{Al}$, $^{22}\text{Si}$, $^{23}\text{Si}$, and $^{27}\text{P}$ proton-rich nuclei for experiment. We hope that our calculations will be useful in future experiments searching for new nuclear excited states in light nuclei at drip lines.

As can be observed from experimental data, MEDs vary with total spin $J$ in a given spectrum [1,8–10,12,90–92]. Systematic studies of the behavior of MED as a function of angular momentum have been done in the $f_{7/2}$ shell up to rather a high spin. Theoretical standard shell-model calculations, including a range of electromagnetic effects as well as a schematic isospin-nonconserving interaction, have been employed to investigate the MED in $pf$-shell nuclei [1,92,93].

To test our \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG calculations of mirror and triplet energy differences (MED and TED) involving many-body states whose angular momentum reaches $J \approx 10$, the $^{46}\text{Ti}$, $^{46}\text{V}$, and $^{46}\text{Cr}$ nuclei have been considered as a testing ground (see Fig. 5). MED is usually small in $fp$-shell nuclei when compared with the nuclear states of the $sd$-shell nuclei. Quantitatively, the MED values are typically smaller than 100 eV. The Coulomb and isospin-nonconserving forces are responsible for these values, whereas the effects arising from the extension of wave functions, prominent in weakly bound and unbound state bearing sizable $s$-wave average occupation, can be neglected.

The MED and TED have been investigated as functions of the angular momentum $J$. The MED and TED are equal to $E_{\alpha}(T_{z}=-1,J^+) + E_{\alpha}(T_{z}=1,J^+) - 2E_{\alpha}(T_{z}=0,J^+)$, respectively. $E_{\alpha}$ denotes the excited energy, so that the above definitions allow us to remove dependence on the ground-state energy. The results with \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG based on the $NN + 3N$ interaction are presented in Fig. 5. From Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), we can see that the calculated spectra are very satisfactory when compared with experimental energies. To investigate the ISB, we plot the MED and TED values as functions of angular momentum $J$. The results are shown in Fig. 5(c) and compared with experimental values. Both the experimental and theoretical values show that the MED and TED are of the order of several tens and hundreds of keV, respectively. The MED values show satisfactory agreement between \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG calculations and experimental data, although there is a small discrepancy for $J = 2, 4$ many-body states.

These results show better agreement with experimental data compared with standard shell-model calculations. For TED, our calculations are always larger about 100 keV than experimental data in magnitude. Note that a similar situation occurs in realistic shell-model calculations [94]. However, the variation of experimental TED with spin is reproduced in \textit{ab initio} VS-IMSRG calculations. Standard shell-model calculations provided a good agreement with experimental data for the TED values up to $J = 12$ [1]. Further studies are thus needed in standard shell-model and in our \textit{ab initio} calculations.

### B. Isobaric multiplet mass equation

Another ISB signature is the IMME. Wigner [95], Weinberg and Treiman [92] indeed noted that the masses of isospin multiplets with the same mass number $A$, total isospin $T$ and spin-parity $J^s$ but different isospin third component $T_z$ satisfy the relationship called IMME, written as

$$ME(A, T, T_z) = a + bT_z + cT_z^2,$$

where $ME$ is mass excess, and $a$, $b$, and $c$ are coefficients. $T = 3/2$ isospin quartets and larger isospin multiplets enable us to test the IMME equation [96–101]. The present paper mainly focuses on $T = 1/2$ isospin doublets and $T = 1$ isospin triplets. When the isospin $T = 1/2$, the $a$ and $b$
coefficients can be calculated from the following formulas:
\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= ME(T_z = 1/2) + ME(T_z = -1/2), \\
    b &= ME(T_z = 1/2) - ME(T_z = -1/2), \\
    c &= ME(T_z = 1) + ME(T_z = -1)/2 - ME(T_z = 0). 
\end{align*}
\] (7)

For the isospin \( T = 1 \), the \( a, b, \) and \( c \) coefficients can be obtained from the equalities:
\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= ME(T_z = 0), \\
    b &= [ME(T_z = 1) - ME(T_z = -1)]/2, \\
    c &= [ME(T_z = 1) + ME(T_z = -1)]/2 - ME(T_z = 0).
\end{align*}
\] (8)

To compare with Coulomb contribution, the Coulomb energy with classical and semiclassical approach has been also calculated. The classical approach treats a nucleus as a uniformly charged sphere \([102]\) of radius \( R = r_0A^{1/3} \), so that the Coulomb energy reads
\[
E_{\text{Coul}}^\text{classical} = \frac{3e^2}{5R} Z(Z - 1),
\]
\[
= \frac{3e^2}{5r_0A^{4/3}} \left[ \frac{A(A - 2)}{4} + (1 - A)T_z + T_z^2 \right].
\] (9)

The Coulomb energy from semiclassical approach is obtained by adding the direct term, equal to \((3/5R)e^2Z^2\), and the exchange term evaluated using plane waves for proton wave functions \([15,103]\)
\[
E_{\text{Coul}}^\text{semi-classical} = \left[ 0.62Z^2 - 0.46Z^{4/3} - 0.15[1 - (1)^2] \right]
\times \frac{e^2}{r_0A^{1/3}},
\] (10)
in which both the exchange effects and Coulomb pairing energies are included. The value \( r_0 = 1.25 \) fm is used in the present work. The \( b \) coefficient can be calculated from Coulomb contributions and is equal to \( b = E_{\text{Coul}}(T_z = 1/2) - E_{\text{Coul}}(T_z = -1/2) + (M_n - M_p) \) for \( T = 1/2 \) and \( b = [E_{\text{Coul}}(T_z = 1) - E_{\text{Coul}}(T_z = -1)]/2 + (M_n - M_p) \) for \( T = 1 \), where \( M_n \) and \( M_p \) represent the neutron mass and proton mass, respectively.

\[\Delta b(A, T) = b(A + 2, T) - b(A, T).\] (11)

The calculated \( \Delta b \) values using VS-IMSRG calculations are presented in Fig. 6(b), along with experimental data. Our VS-IMSRG calculations clearly reproduce the experimentally observed odd-even staggering pattern. Moreover, its drastic damping in \( f_{7/2} \)-shell nuclei has also been obtained in our calculations, which is assumed to originate from shell effects \([105]\). Finally, it is worth noting that the calculations starting from \( A = 69 \) do not support the staggering phase which is observed experimentally. A similar situation also occurs in shell-model calculations \([17]\), where it is suggested that the mass of \( ^{69}\text{Br} \) was, in fact, measured for an isomeric state and not for its ground state \([17]\).
suppose that the discrepancy of $\Delta b$ from $A = 69$ to 73 was caused by the large differences of $b$ coefficient of $A = 71$ and 75, in which the mass of $^{71}$Kr and $^{75}$Sr are taken from AME2020 extrapolation [104]. Further mass measurements are thus needed to clarify the situation.

Similar calculations to determine the $b$ coefficient in $T = 1$ isospin triplets for $A = 20$–76 have also been performed. Results are shown in Fig. 7(a) and compared with experimental data and calculated Coulomb energies. The calculated $b$ coefficient from our VS-IMSRG and semiclassical approach of Coulomb energy are in good agreement with experimental data. The classical approach of Coulomb energy gives overbroad $b$ values, however, similarly to the $T = 1/2$ case.

The $c$ coefficient of $T = 1$ isospin triplets has also been considered. Due to the huge computational cost of full diagonalization of $pf$-shell nuclei, only the $c$ coefficients of $sd$-shell nuclei have been computed [see Fig. 7(b)]. Our VS-IMSRG calculations provide $c$ coefficients which are systematically larger than experimental data, especially for odd values of $A/2$. The same situation is also obtained in Ref. [106]. However, the oscillatory pattern of the $c$ coefficient in $T = 1$ isospin triplets is well reproduced in our VS-IMSRG calculations, contrary to the results obtained with the macroscopic-microscopic approach [105]. Larger $c$ coefficients are also obtained in shell-model calculations compared with experimental data, where isospin-nonconserving and Coulomb effects are considered within many-body perturbation theory (MBPT) [94]. Good agreement with experimental data is nevertheless obtained when including the bare Coulomb and isospin-nonconserving force without MBPT renormalization [94]. As for the IMME $b$ coefficient, more advanced theoretical calculations and nucleon-nucleon interactions might be necessary to have a more precise determination of the $c$ coefficient, as discussed in Ref. [94] for example.

**IV. SUMMARY**

Isospin-symmetry-breaking effects in mirror energy difference and nuclear mass have been investigated using the *ab initio* valence-space in-medium similarity renormalization-group approach based on the chiral interactions. Charge-symmetry breaking and charge-independent breaking, which contribute to the isospin-nonconserving part of nuclear forces, are included in the nuclear potential defining the effective Hamiltonian of *ab initio* calculations. The Coulomb force clearly has to be included in these calculations.

First, the *ab initio* VS-IMSRG with $NN$ and $NN + 3N$ interactions are performed for the $sd$-shell nuclei, in which the $^{23}$Al and $^{23}$Ne are taken as an example to study the mirror energy difference, with results compared with experimental data. From the VS-IMSRG calculations of low-lying states in $^{23}$Al and $^{23}$Ne, it has been shown that the three-body force plays an essential role in order to obtain well reproduced excited states. The MEDs have been investigated and discussed thoroughly in the mirror nuclei $^{23}$Al–$^{23}$Ne, by analyzing the occupation of weakly bound and unbound single-particle states for generating TES, especially the $1s_{1/2}$ orbit. A comparison of MEDS bearing large values in experiments with theoretical VS-IMSRG calculations have been done for $sd$-shell nuclei, and predictions about proton-rich nuclei which are inaccessible experimentally have been made. The $J$ dependence in MED and TED for $pf$-shell $A = 46$ nuclei has been analyzed as well and has been shown to be small in the spectrum of a fixed pair of mirror nuclei. Finally, we also calculated the IMME coefficients in $T = 1/2$ doublets with $A$ from 17 to 75 and in $T = 1$ triplets with $A$ from 20 to 76. The agreement with experimental data is quite satisfactory for the $b$ coefficients in $T = 1/2$ and $T = 1$ nuclei. The experimental $c$ coefficient is qualitatively well described by our *ab initio* VS-IMSRG calculations, as the experimentally observed odd-even staggering is present at theoretical level, while the calculated absolute values differ by at most about 200 keV from experimental data. As a whole, the isospin-symmetry-breaking phenomena could be well described with *ab initio* VS-IMSRG calculations. However, the observed small discrepancies still need to be improved by developing more accurate nuclear forces and more advanced many-body techniques.
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