Pressure dependence of the phonon spectrum in BaTiO₃ polytypes studied by *ab initio* calculations

Yu-Seong Seo and Jai Seok Ahn*

Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, Republic of Korea (Received 11 March 2013; revised manuscript received 1 June 2013; published 29 July 2013)

We report the first principles investigations on the phonons of three polytypes of BaTiO₃ (BTO): paraelectric (PE) cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ and two ferroelectric (FE) phases, tetragonal P4mm and rhombohedral R3m. The phonon frequencies were calculated using various exchange-correlation functionals, including density functional theory, Hartree-Fock approximation, and their hybrids were reviewed. The pressure-induced interplays between the modes from individual phases were explored by calculating the phonon modes as a function of pressure, P from -15 to 230 GPa. The pressure-sensitive modes of the FE phases showed softening and converged to the modes of the PE phase at pressures below ~ 10 GPa. These results on the FE phases can be interpreted as phonon precursors for a change in symmetry from low- to high-symmetry and partly as a theoretical explanation for the pressure-induced mode-coupling behaviors reported by Sood et al. [Phys. Rev. B 51, 8892 (1995)]. As pressure is applied further beyond \sim 50 GPa to the cubic PE phase, the lowest $F_{1\mu}$ mode softens again and diverges into two separate modes of tetragonal FE P4mm at above \sim 150 GPa. These phonon-branching behaviors at high pressures provide a clear reconfirmation of the re-entrant ferroelectricity predicted in earlier papers [Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 196804 (2005); Phys. Rev. B 74, 180101 (2006); Phys. Rev. B 85, 054108 (2012)]. The high-pressure-re-entrant FE polarization was not found in the rhombohedral structure. Instead, the centrosymmetric $R\bar{3}m$ phase was favored at above ~ 30 GPa. The phonon modes calculated for the phonon-propagation vectors in the high-symmetry directions show that the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase exhibits polar instability at the Γ point and nonpolar instability at the X, M, and R points under high pressure.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.014114

PACS number(s): 63.20.dk, 78.20.Bh, 77.80.-e

I. INTRODUCTION

Ferroelectric (FE) materials are used for a wide range of modern technologies targeted for storage and motion, such as in memory devices, electromotive transducers, and sensors for medical imaging.^{1,2} The two essential features of FE materials, electrostatic polarization for storage and piezoelectricity for motion, are closely related to the structures belonging to subgroups of the noncentrosymmetric piezoelectric group.² FE perovskite oxides, such as Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃ (PZT), have three phases: rhombohedral, tetragonal, and cubic. Ferroelectricity occurs at two noncentrosymmetric phases, tetragonal and rhombohedral, which are connected to a morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) composed of a monoclinic/orthorhombic phase.^{3–7} The existence of an intermediate phase is understood to be indispensable for the large piezoelectricity and polarization rotation mechanism across the MPB, as explained by Fu and Cohen,⁸ such as in PZT or $Ba(Ti,Zr)O_3-x(Ba,Ca)TiO_3$, (BTZ-*x*BCT).^{7,9} More recently, evidence for local structures in relaxor ferroelectrics, such as BTZ-xBCT,^{10–12} has been reported. Neutron pair-distributionfunction analysis revealed notable local structural features departing from the given average crystallographic structures, such as local Ti distortions toward (111), trigonal 3:3 Ti-O length distribution, and single bond length of Zr-O in BTZxBCT.^{10,11} Raman spectroscopy of BTZ/BTZ-xBCT also showed rich interplay between long-range average structure and short-range local orders as a function of pressure.^{12,13} The phonon-interference effect in the pressure-dependent Raman spectra of BaTiO₃ (BTO) was explained by the coupledphonon interaction of three A_1 modes of the tetragonal phase.¹⁴ The off-center displacements of Ti ions in the cubic phase of BTO were determined from nuclear magnetic resonance.¹⁵

FE BTO has been investigated many times using a first-principles method.¹⁶⁻²⁶ King-Smith and Vanderbilt correctly predicted the symmetry of ground-state structure as rhombohedral.¹⁶ In Zhong *et al.*'s pressure-temperature phase diagram, the sequence of the low temperature phases with increasing temperature was rhombohedral-orthorhombictetragonal-cubic.²¹ Wu et al. obtained the phonon frequencies of a rhombohedral phase under a "negative fictitious pressure" to constrain the cell volume to be that of the experiment.²³ The pressure dependence of BTO or related FE oxides, however, has rarely been studied using a first-principles method until now, except for a few recent reports. Kornev et al. and Bousquet et al. analyzed the re-entrant ferroelectric instability of PbTiO₃²⁴ and BTO²⁵ at high pressure, respectively. Duan et al. examined the pressure effects on the ferroelectricity and piezoelectricity of tetragonal BTO.²⁶ In addition, recent successful applications of hybrid functionals in the ab initio phonon calculations of various materials^{18,27-31} prompted us to a reexamination of the dynamic properties of BTO polymorphs as a function of pressure using a hybrid functional. In this paper, we describe the structures and phonons of BTO polymorphs using a first-principles method in two directions. The first part compares the phonons of three polymorphs of BTO, paraelectric cubic and two FE phases, tetragonal and rhombohedral, calculated by using various exchange-correlation functionals including hybrid methods. The second part discusses the pressure dependencies of the phonon modes calculated for phonon-propagation vectors in the high-symmetry directions of the three BTO polytypes.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

First-principles calculations were performed using the CRYSTAL09 code.³² The basis sets (BSs) composed of Gaussian

type orbitals were used. To describe heavy elements, such as barium and titanium, the Hay-Wadt effective core potentials with small cores were adopted for the BSs. The Ba (5s/5p/6s)and Ti (3s/3p/4s/3d) electrons were considered to be valence electrons for self-consistent calculations.³³ Therefore, the computational time could be minimized within our computational resources. For oxygen atoms, the full electron BS, O-411d11G, was used.³⁴ The reciprocal space integration was approximated by sampling the Brillouin zone with a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ mesh of the Monkhorst-Pack scheme. The self-consistent cycles were repeated until convergence was reached within a tolerance of 10^{-10} Ha ($\sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ eV) of the total energy difference.

The ground state configurations calculated using a number of different exchange-correlation functionals, such as density functional theory (DFT), Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation, and their hybrids, were compared. This comparison allowed us to select the exchange-correlation functional, which best describes the lattice structural and electronic properties of the three different structures of BTO.³⁵ For DFT, a local density approximation (LDA) and generalized gradient approximations, GGA³⁶ and PWGGA,³⁷ were used. Four different hybrid-functional forms were applied to obtain a more accurate band gap: B3LYP,³² B3PW,³⁸ PBE0,³⁹ and B1WC.¹⁸ The B3LYP has a Becke's three parameter hybrid functional form,³⁸ which includes HF exchange and the nonlocal correlation reported by Lee, Yang, and Parr,⁴⁰ with A = 0.2, B = 0.9, and C = 0.81:

$$E_{xc} = (1 - A) \cdot \left(E_x^{LDA} + B \cdot E_x^{\text{Becke}} \right) + A \cdot E_x^{HF} + (1 - C) \cdot E_c^{VWN} + C \cdot E_c^{LYP},$$
(1)

where E_x^{LDA} and E_c^{VWN} are the LDA exchange and Vosko-Wilk-Nusair correlation,⁴¹ respectively, which fits the Ceperley-Alder data for an electron gas.⁴² Similarly, the B3PW hybrid functional uses PWGGA, E_c^{PWGGA} , as a nonlocal correlation instead of E_c^{LYP} in B3LYP shown in Eq. (1). The PBE0 is a parameter-free hybrid functional, which uses the PBE (GGA) exchange-correlation mixed with 25% of HF exchange. The B1WC is similar to the PBE0 but mixes 16% of the HF exchange on Wu-Cohen-GGA⁴³ exchange correlation, which is tuned to remove the supertetragonality within the GGA-derived hybrid functionals, as will be discussed in Sec. III.

The equilibrium geometries were determined by optimizing the lattice constants and fractional displacements of the basis atoms for the cubic $(Pm\bar{3}m)$, tetragonal (P4mm), and rhombohedral $(R\bar{3}m)$ structures of BTO: Structural relaxations were repeated until the norm of the total forces became less than 3×10^{-5} Ha/Bohr ($\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ eV/Å). The phonon modes were calculated using a frozen phonon method implemented in CRYSTAL09 code. The phonon dispersions were calculated using a supercell method: A $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell that consists of 40 atoms was assumed for each one of the cubic, tetragonal, and rhombohedral (i.e., $2 \times 2 \times 1$ in hexagonal setting) structures. The electronic dielectric constants and Born effective charges were also calculated to obtain LO/TO splitting of the zone-center phonon by a nonanalytic correction, which is due to the long range electrostatic interactions in polar materials. The high frequency (optical) dielectric constants were calculated using the finite field perturbation method: The effect of a "sawtooth" electric potential applied in a supercell was evaluated numerically. For the tetragonal or rhombohedral (in hexagonal setting) case, the anisotropic dielectric constants, $\varepsilon_{a,\infty}$ and $\varepsilon_{c,\infty}$, were determined by applying an electric field through the long axes of the supercells, respectively, taken along the crystallographic *a* and *c* directions. The Born effective charges were evaluated using the Berry phase approach.⁴⁴

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table I summarizes the results calculated for the relaxed geometries of the three phases of BTO by using the B1WC and LDA functionals. The cell volumes of the three structures calculated using the B1WC hybrid functional overestimate the LDA results, but provide better estimations of the experimental volumes. However, the tetragonality factor, c/a = 1.021, of P4mm, which was calculated using the B1WC functional, slightly overestimates the result from LDA (~ 1.011)⁴⁵ or the experimental value (~ 1.010).⁴⁸ These generic behaviors regarding the lattice parameters, the successful reproduction of the cell volumes and the supertetragonality (of P4mm), can be attributed to the nonlocal exchange-correlation functional embedded within the GGA-derived hybrid functionals, which is well known for accurate predictions of the cell volumes. The c/a ratios of the tetragonal BTO calculated using seven different exchange-correlation functionals in the previous comparative study are summarized here for references:³⁵ c/a = 1.077 (HF), 1.009 (LDA), 1.038 (PWGGA), 1.039 (GGA), 1.072 (B3LYP), 1.046 (B3PW), and 1.042 (PBE0). The GGA-derived functionals (from PWGGA to PBE0), other than the Wu-Cohen-GGA, gave supertetragonal results: c/a =1.038–1.072. These supertetragonal results are undesirable for ferroelectric BTO. Therefore, the B1WC functional with c/a = 1.021 was adopted for the following phonon calculations.

The Born effective charges (BECs) were calculated using the Berry phase method for the fully relaxed geometries. Among the calculated tensor components of the BECs using the B1WC, most are very close but slightly smaller than the LDA results for all phases. The electronic dielectric constants, ε_{∞} 's, calculated for the three phases also underestimate the LDA predictions but match the experimental values more closely. The underestimations of ε_{∞} 's and the Z^{*}'s need to be analyzed concurrently because they affect the LO phonon modes in different ways. The BECs are dynamic charges that are useful for calculating the so-called LO-TO splitting through a nonanalytical correction in polar materials, such as BaTiO₃. In the long-wavelength limit, the dynamical matrix $D_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k};\mu\nu)$ of a polar crystal can be expressed as the sum of the analytical $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k};\mu\nu)$ and nonanalytical contributions,⁵¹ such as

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(\boldsymbol{k};\mu\nu) = D_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{k};\mu\nu) + \frac{4\pi e^2}{V\varepsilon_{\infty}\sqrt{M_{\mu}M_{\nu}}} \times \frac{[\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{Z}^*(\mu)]_{\alpha}[\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{Z}^*(\nu)]_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{k}|^2}, \qquad (2)$$

TABLE I. Calculated lattice parameters (in Å), dielectric constants, and Born-effective-charge tensors (Z^* in |e|) of the cubic, tetragonal, and rhombohedral phases of BaTiO₃. The present calculations using the B1WC and LDA functional are compared with previous LDA results calculated at the experimental volumes. Experimental values are from Refs. 46–50.

	Cubic <i>Pm</i> 3 <i>m</i>				Tetragonal P4mm				Rhombohedral R3m			
	B1WC at 0 K	LDA at 0 K	LDA ^a at 300 K	Expt. at 300 K	B1WC at 0 K	LDA at 0 K	LDA ^b at 300 K	Expt. at 300 K	B1WC at 0 K	LDA at 0 K	LDA ^b at 300 K	Expt. at 300 K
a	3.952	3.936	4.000	3.996 ^c	<i>a</i> : 3.958 <i>c</i> : 4.042 <i>c/a</i> : 1.021	3.949 3.984 1.009	3.994 4.036 1.011	3.994 ^d 4.036 ^e 1.011 ^e	<i>a</i> : 3.991 <i>α</i> : 89.86°	3.962 89.96°	4.003 89.84°	4.004 ^e 89.84 ^{°e}
V	61.72	60.97	64.00	63.81 [°]	63.34	62.15	64.38	64.38 ^e	63.55	62.20	64.14	64.17 ^e
ε_{∞}	5.12	5.81	6.75	5.40 ^e	a: 4.92 c: 4.56	5.69 5.41	6.48 5.84	5.93 ^f 5.60 ^f	4.96 4.60	6.01 5.95	6.16 5.73	6.19 ^g 5.88 ^g
Ζ*	(Ba) 2.675	2.726	2.74		xx: 2.658 zz: 2.776	2.708 2.769	2.726 2.814		2.711 2.681	2.742 2.696	2.783 2.737	
	7.029	7.235	7.32		xx: 6.732 zz: 5.686	7.094 6.385	7.044 5.971		6.410 5.548	6.863 6.430	6.608 5.765	
	(0)				(O ₁)				(0)			
	xx: -5.584 zz: -2.060	-5.707 -2.127	-5.76 -2.14		xx: -1.948 zz: -4.596	-2.061 -5.139	-2.024 -4.836		xx: -2.489 xy: -0.956 xz: 0.712 yx: -0.956	-2.618 -1.012 0.788 -1.012	-2.562 -0.984 0.647 -0.984	
					(O ₂) xx: -2.046 yy: -5.396 zz: -1.933	-2.117 -5.623 -2.008	-2.149 -5.596 -1.974		yy: -3.592 yz: 1.233 zx: 0.610 zy: 1.057	-3.786 1.366 0.746 1.293 3.042	-3.699 1.121 0.733 1.269 2.834	

^aReference 17.

^bReference 45.

^cReference 46.

^dReference 48.

^eReference 47.

^fReference 49.

^gReference 50.

where $D_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}; \mu \nu)$ is the dynamical matrix derived using the direct method from the Hellmann-Feynman forces. In Eq. (2), k is the wave vector, V is the volume of the primitive cell, and M_{μ} and $\mathbf{Z}^{*}(\mu)$ are the atomic masses and BEC tensor of atom indexed with μ , respectively. The nonanalytic contributions are proportional to the squares of \mathbf{Z}^{*} 's but inverse proportional to ε_{∞} 's. Therefore, the effects from the small underestimations of the BECs are clearly overwhelmed by the (relatively) larger effect by the underestimations of ε_{∞} : i.e., LO-TO splitting is dominated by the differences in ε_{∞} 's rather by the \mathbf{Z}^{*} 's.

A. Optical phonon modes at ambient pressure

In the cubic perovskite structure of BaTiO₃ with $Pm\bar{3}m$ symmetry, i.e., with space group (SG) No. 221, there are 12 optical modes at the Γ point (i.e., at zone center): three triply degenerate modes of F_{1u} and one triply degenerate silent mode of F_{2u} symmetry, with $\Gamma_{optic} = 3F_{1u} + F_{2u}$ as its irreducible representation at the Γ point. In the tetragonal BaTiO₃ with P4mm symmetry (SG No. 99), each of the F_{1u} modes splits into a nondegenerate A_1 mode and a doubly degenerate E mode, and F_{2u} mode splits into E and B_1 modes: i.e., $\Gamma_{\text{optic}} = 3A_1 + B_1 + 4E$, where the *E* and A_1 modes are both infrared (IR) and Raman active, whereas B_1 is a Raman only mode. Similarly in rhombohedral BaTiO₃ with *R3m* symmetry (SG No. 160), F_{1u} splits into A_1 & *E* and F_{2u} splits into A_2 & E; $\Gamma_{\text{optic}} = 3A_1 + A_2 + 4E$, with A_2 being a Raman only mode.⁵²

Table II summarizes the zone-center optical phonon modes calculated using the B1WC and LDA functionals. The symmetries and frequencies of the phonon modes for all three phases were obtained. The LO-TO splittings in the long-wavelength limit were calculated by applying the nonanalytical corrections along the (100) directions. In agreement with previous LDA results,^{17,45} nonstable modes with imaginary frequencies, i.e., soft modes signifying structural instability, were obtained for the cubic and tetragonal phases of BaTiO₃; the real frequencies were calculated for all the modes in the rhombohedral phase. The mode frequencies calculated using the B1WC and LDA functionals are comparable to the previous LDA results,^{17,45} except for a few out-of-order TO modes at $\sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. A possible preliminary clue for these discrepancies, which will be proven later using the pressure dependencies, is that the previous LDA results⁴⁵ for the tetragonal and rhombohedral

TABLE II. Calculated frequencies (cm^{-1}) of the zone-center phonon modes of the cubic, tetragonal, and rhombohedral phases of BaTiO₃. Present calculations using the B1WC and LDA functional are compared with previous LDA results calculated at the experimental volumes.

		Cubic <i>Pm</i> 3m		Te	tragonal P4m	ım	Rhombohedral R3m			
	B1WC	LDA	LDA ^a	B1WC	LDA	LDA ^b	B1WC	LDA	LDA ^b	
	at 0 K	at 0 K	at 300 K	at 0 K	at 0 K	at 300 K	at 0 K	at 0 K	at 300 K	
то				125 <i>i</i> (E)	91 <i>i</i>	161 <i>i</i>	125 (E)	144	163	
	174 <i>i</i> (F_{1u})	92 <i>i</i>	219 <i>i</i>	$185(A_1)$	186	161	$192(A_1)$	195	167	
	198 (F_{1u})	194	166	190 (<i>E</i>)	188	167	217 (E)	191	210	
	$312(F_{2u})$	296	281	$311(B_1)$	302	287	$307(A_2)$	299	277	
	$495 (F_{1u})$	496	453	313 (E)	297	284	318 (E)	305	293	
				$334(A_1)$	242	302	285 (A_1)	199	259	
				488 (E)	487	457	497 (<i>E</i>)	493	470	
				543 (A ₁)	507	507	542 (A_1)	508	512	
LO				184 (<i>E</i>)	185	162	193 (E)	190	174	
	191 (F_{1u})	190	159	203 (A_1)	194	180	$199(A_1)$	195	178	
	$480 (F_{1u})$	468	445	312 (<i>E</i>)	297	284	318 (E)	305	293	
	737 (F_{1u})	736	631	473 (E)	463	444	472 (<i>E</i>)	464	441	
				$485(A_1)$	468	452	491 (<i>A</i> ₁)	469	461	
				724 (E)	720	641	$740(A_1)$	716	687	
				770 (A_1)	735	705	730 (<i>E</i>)	710	676	

^aReference 17.

^bReference 45.

structures were calculated by constraining the cell volume to the experimental value. These results were obtained from the fully relaxed geometry including the cell volume without any assumption.

The zone-center optical phonon modes were also calculated for the three BTO phases using various exchange-correlation functionals, such as LDA, PWGGA, PBE(GGA), B1WC, B3LYP, B3PW, PBE0, and HF. The results are plotted in Fig. 1. The closed and open symbols represent the TO modes and LO modes, respectively. First, the results from GGA are indistinguishable from the PWGGA results. The three hybrid functionals provide similar results. The results from



FIG. 1. (Color online) Optical phonon modes at the Γ point calculated within different functionals for (a) $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic, (b) P4mm tetragonal, and (c) R3m rhombohedral phases of BaTiO₃. For $Pm\bar{3}m$: F_{1u} (•) and F_{2u} (•); for P4mm: E (•), A_1 (•), and B_1 (•); for R3m: E (•), A_1 (•), and A_2 (•). Open circles designate corresponding LO modes.

tations of each structure can be understood more clearly. The phonon modes were calculated for the fully relaxed geometries under applied hydrostatic pressures; pressures ranged from -15 (negative fictitious pressure) to 230 GPa. The LDA and B1WC were selected for the pressure-dependent calculations for the three BTO phases. The LDA is for the comparison with other literatures. The B1WC hybrid functional is for the successful reproduction of the experimental cell volume, c/a

B3PW fully agree with the PBE0 results. The B3LYP provide slightly lower (in $Pm\bar{3}m$) or slightly higher (in P4mm/R3m)

frequencies. The results from the LDA or HF deviated significantly from the results of the other functionals. The

LDA gives out-of-ordered TO modes near 300 cm⁻¹ for both

P4mm and R3m. The HF provides no soft modes for P4mm,

whereas all the other functionals do. Among the GGA-derived

functionals, the B1WC provides the closest values to the

LDA results for both *P*4*mm* and *R*3*m*. In the *Pm* $\overline{3}m$ phase,

shown in Fig. 1(a), the phonon modes do not vary drastically,

B. Pressure dependence of the phonon modes and structural instability

If the phonon modes are calculated as a function of pressure, one can see the evolution and interplay of the modes. In addition, the connections between the irreducible represen-

irrespective of the functional choices.

ratio, and energy gap.

The results are shown in Fig. 2. The data points under ambient pressure (at P = 0) reproduce the phonon modes tabulated in Table II. (Note that the LO-TO splitting was not considered here.) Eight modes can be resolved in P4mm[LDA: Fig. 2(a); B1WC: Fig. 2(b)] and in R3m [LDA: Fig. 2(c); B1WC: Fig. 2(d)]. As pressure increases from zero to ~6 GPa in LDA or to ~12 GPa in B1WC, most modes show blue-shifting behaviors due to the mode Grüneissen effect,⁵³ and the trajectories of the modes converge to one of the four



FIG. 2. (Color online) Pressure dependencies of the optical phonon modes at the Γ point calculated for *P4mm* with (a) LDA and (b) B1WC; for *R3m* with (c) LDA and (d) B1WC. *E* (•), *A*₁ (•), and *B*₁ (•) for *P4mm*; *E* (•), *A*₁ (•), and *A*₂ (•) for *R3m*. The *F*_{1u} and *F*_{2u} modes calculated for the *Pm3m* cubic phase are overlaid with dashed lines.

modes of $Pm\bar{3}m$, three F_{1u} 's and one F_{2u} , which are shown with dashed lines on each panel. The most exotic behavior was found from the pressure trajectories of the two modes, 125i (*E*) and 334 (A_1) of P4mm; 217 (*E*) and 285 (A_1) of *R*3*m*, tabulated for B1WC in Table II (calculated at P = 0). In P4mm, as pressure increases, the unstable *E* mode becomes a real-frequency mode and merges with the A_1 mode to become the lowest F_{1u} mode at $V \sim 60.19$ Å³ (LDA) or 59.31 Å³ (B1WC). In *R*3*m*, however, the two stable modes (*E* and A_1) showed a redshift with decreasing volume, and merged to the lowest F_{1u} lines at $V \sim 60.16$ Å³ for (LDA) or 59.36 Å³ (B1WC). The transitions to $Pm\bar{3}m$ from P4mm or from *R*3*m* occur at the same critical volume within a 0.1% error using the same functional.

The calculated pressure dependence of the phonon modes of P4mm below ~10 GPa can provide the long-awaited explanation based on first principles regarding the pressureinduced phonon-coupling behaviors reported years ago by Sood *et al.*¹⁴ According to Sood *et al.*, three A_1 (TO) modes revealed anomalous behaviors as a function of pressure for P = 0-4 GPa: (i) nonmonotonic pressure dependencies at ~2 GPa, (ii) asymmetries in the line shapes, (iii) interference effects, etc. These behaviors were explained by the existence of a coupled-mode interaction involving only a phonon together with the structural transition from tetragonal to cubic occurring at 2.2 GPa. Our results, shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), reproduce only some of their observations. The two A_1 modes showed (counterintuitive) softening behavior as a function of pressure, and the pressure rates of the modes were nonmonotonic, but no peculiarity was observed at ~2 GPa. Such behaviors of the two A_1 modes with increasing pressures, however, can be interpreted as the precursors of a symmetry change from low to high symmetry: The two A_1 modes (with two E modes) merged to the F_{1u} modes of the cubic phase, as indicated in the results of the cubic phase $Pm\bar{3}m$, which is indicated with dashed lines in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). In addition, any nonlinearity that may be needed for the mode-coupling model by Sood *et al.* is beyond the capability of the present calculation, because our first-principles calculation is limited to the harmonic approximation for phonon modes.

Let's come back to the pressure dependencies of phonon modes of tetragonal structure shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). As pressure increases beyond ~ 6 GPa (LDA) or 12 GPa (B1WC), where the structure is $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic, the lowest F_{1u} mode shows hardening until it reaches a maximum at \sim 50 GPa, and then it shows a softening again until \sim 120 GPa (LDA) or 160 GPa (B1WC). As pressure increases more above 135 GPa (LDA) or 170 GPa (B1WC), each phonon mode of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic diverges into two separate phonon modes of P4mm tetragonal again. These phonon-branching behaviors provide clear reconfirmation of the re-entrant ferroelectricity under high pressure, which was first reported for PbTiO₃ by Kornev et al.²⁴ and further explored with the unstable cubic F_{1u} mode by Bousquet and Ghosez.²⁵ We also meticulously confirmed the re-entrant ferroelectricity by the reappearance of spontaneous polarization and atomic displacements, which are provided in a supplemental material.⁵⁴ The calculated polarizations are summarized in Fig. 3(a) for LDA and in Fig. 3(b) for B1WC, respectively. The FE polarization disappears at $P \approx 8$ GPa (LDA) and reappears at high pressures above $P \approx 135$ GPa (LDA), which reproduces the previous results.²⁶ With the B1WC functional, the FE-reappearing pressure was expected at ≈ 170 GPa.

In the rhombohedral structure, however, the re-entrant polarization was not found under high pressure, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The FE polarization for the rhombohedral structure under ambient pressure disappears at above $P \approx$ 8 GPa (LDA), and remains at a zero level at pressures below \sim 230 GPa. However, the cell experiences two successive symmetry changes for the pressure range between -15 and 230 GPa: R3m to $Pm\bar{3}m$ (cubic) at $P \approx 8$ GPa; $Pm\bar{3}m$ to $R\bar{3}m$ at $P\approx 30$ GPa. The rhombohedral cell at above $P \approx 30$ GPa has a centrosymmetric $R\bar{3}m$ symmetry: The basis atoms are Ba (0, 0, 0), Ti (1/2, 1/2, 1/2), and O (1/2, 1/2, 0) in a rhombohedral setting; the rhombohedral angle α begins to deviate from 90° at pressures \geq 30 GPa. The evolution of the structural parameters is provided separately in the supplemental material.54 Therefore, the phonon modes under high pressure in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) show the transition between the nonpolar structures, which is from $Pm\bar{3}m$ to $R\bar{3}m$ at $P \approx$ 30 GPa ($V \approx 55 \text{ Å}^3$). Our observations of the nonpolar $R\bar{3}m$ structure at elevated hydrostatic pressures are similar to the cases observed in the (111)-oriented (and epitaxially strained) BTO films: There are experimental evidences showing that the (111)-oriented BTO film has much smaller polarization than that of the (001)-oriented (tetragonal) film.^{55,56} Oja et al. also reported that the (111) epitaxially strained BTO film can



FIG. 3. (Color online) Calculated spontaneous polarizations of the ferroelectric structures of BaTiO₃, P4mm (\Box), and R3m (\diamond), as functions of pressure: (a) with LDA and (b) with B1WC. Polarization vectors are parallel to the [001] (P4mm) and to the [111] (R3m) directions of the pristine cubic lattice.

have a first order transition (having a jump in polarization) from R3m to nonpolar $R\bar{3}m$ by applying compressive-inplane (anisotropic) stress.⁵⁷ Similar to these reports, the observed non-FE state at elevated hydrostatic pressures can be ascribed to the strain origin. As pressure increases, the cell volume decreases monotonically, but the $c_{\rm H}/a_{\rm H}$ -ratio (in hexagonal setting) varies nonlinearly. First, the $c_{\rm H}/a_{\rm H}$ -ratio decreases continuously to ~ 1.224 in R3m, and it remains unchanged within *Pm3m* symmetry below $P \approx 30$ GPa. Then it increases again as pressure increases above $P \approx 30$ GPa. In a restatement using the strain, the cell shrinks more along the $c_{\rm H}$ axis in R3m; it shrinks in an isotropic way in $Pm\bar{3}m$; it shrinks more along the $a_{\rm H}$ axis in $R\bar{3}m$. The cell is strained in an anisotropic manner in $R\bar{3}m$, which is a preferentially compressive-in-plane strain. Therefore, we reconfirm that a strain effect prevents ferroelectricity by leading to a nonpolar state in rhombohedral structure, similar to the Oja et al.⁵⁷ The difference is that the hydrostatic pressure in a BTO bulk induces successive second-order transitions (without a jump in polarization) in a sequence of $R3m(FE)-Pm\bar{3}m(PE)$ - $R\bar{3}m(PE).$

Thus far, we discussed the phonon modes at the Γ point. To determine the full extent of structural stability, it is important to examine the phonon modes of all possible directions of the phonon-propagation vectors. The optical phonon modes were calculated for the propagation vectors pointing at the high-symmetry points of the Brillouin zone (BZ): The vectors were at Γ (000), *X* (010), *Z* (001), *M* (110), *R* (011), and *A* (111) for *P4mm*, and at Γ (000), *X* (001), *M* (011), and *R* (111) for both *R3m* and *Pm3m*. The above vectors are compatible with



FIG. 4. (Color online) Optical phonon modes calculated under hydrostatic pressures for the phonon-propagation vectors at the highsymmetry points of the BZ: of (a) $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic for the vectors at Γ , X, M, and R; (b) P4mm tetragonal for vectors at Γ , X, M, Z, R, and A; of (c) R3m rhombohedral for the vectors at Γ , X, M, and R. The modes with imaginary frequencies are plotted below zero. The modes were calculated for applied pressures of P = 0, 4, 14, 200, and 220 GPa using the B1WC functional.

the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells used for the dispersion calculations at each of the cubic, tetragonal, and rhombohedral structures, i.e., the calculated phonon frequencies at these points are exact within numerical errors. Note that some characters for the BZ points in one structure deliver different meanings in another structure, as will be shown below. For example, the *R* point in *P4mm*, i.e., representing the (011) point, corresponds to the *M* point in *R3m* or *Pm3m*; the *A* point for (111) in *P4mm* to the *R* point in *R3m* or *Pm3m*. To check the stability of the structures of *Pm3m*, *P4mm*, and *R3m* symmetries under ambient pressures, the optical phonon modes were calculated for the phonon-propagation vectors at the high-symmetry points of the BZ for selected pressures: *P* = 0, 4, 14, 200, and 220 GPa. Figure 4 summarizes the results calculated using the B1WC functional.

Let's first compare the results of three polymorphs under ambient pressure, i.e., P = 0. At P = 0, the $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure of BTO was found to be unstable from the calculated imaginary frequencies at Γ , X, and M, except at R, as shown in Fig. 4(a). This means that the cubic structure is unstable with respect to the phonon perturbations at three out of four high-symmetry points under consideration. The results for P4mm (at P = 0) also showed imaginary modes at Γ , X, Z, and R, but not at the M or A points. The imaginary phonon modes of P4mm, shown in Fig. 4(b), are clearly contrasted from the nonimaginary modes of R3m, as shown in Fig. 4(c). The R3m (at P = 0) has no imaginary frequencies for all high-symmetry points, which means that the structure is stable with respect to any dynamic perturbations. One might have predicted the stability of the R3m from the findings of real-frequency modes at the R point in $Pm\bar{3}m$ and A point in P4mm, both corresponding to the trigonal perturbations along the (111) directions.

Figure 4 summarizes the structural instabilities of the three polymorphs with respect to the dynamic perturbations as functions of pressure. Regarding the optical phonon modes of the $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic at the high-symmetry points, shown in Fig. 4(a), the imaginary modes at the Γ , X, and M points disappear gradually as pressure increases from zero to 14 GPa, and then the instabilities show up again at the Γ , X, M, and R points under high pressures. As is well known, the triple-degenerate imaginary modes at the Γ point represent the structural instability of $Pm\bar{3}m$, and they impart ferroelectric distortion into the P4mm structure. Figure 4(b) shows the cases of the P4mm tetragonal. As pressure increases from zero, the number of imaginary frequency modes decreases to zero and then increases again at high pressures. The imaginary modes are removed from the Γ , Z, X, and R points as the pressure increases from zero to 14 GPa, i.e., as the crystal symmetry relaxes from P4mm tetragonal to $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic. The imaginary modes re-emerge at Γ , Z, and A at higher pressures as the crystal symmetry lowers itself from $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic to P4mm tetragonal. Figure 4(c) shows the cases of the rhombohedral structure: the symmetries at each pressure are *R*3*m* at P = 0 and 4 GPa; $Pm\bar{3}m$ (cubic) at 14 GPa; $R\bar{3}m$ at 200 and 220 GPa.

The implications of the dynamic instabilities at Γ (at zone center) are different from the other instabilities at high-symmetry points of the zone boundary. The $Pm\bar{3}m$ cubic BTO was well known to have unstable phonon modes at the Γ , X, and M points.¹⁷ One of the triple-degenerate unstable modes at Γ induces a distortion along the z direction, which stabilizes the ferroelectric P4mm BTO at least within the five-atom unit cell description. The remaining two unstable modes at Γ in P4mm are the instabilities along the x and y directions, being suggestive of additional antiferroelectric distortions involving the unit-cell doubling. The instabilities at the X point of $Pm\bar{3}m$ are doubly degenerate, and their

eigenvectors are dominated by the bending motions of titanium and apical oxygen at P = 0 and by the buckling motions of titanium and planar oxygen at P = 220 GPa. According to Bousquet *et al.*'s study on $(BaTiO_3)_m/(BaO)_n$ superlattices,⁵⁸ the centrosymmetric tetragonal P4/mmm structure becomes dynamically unstable as m/n exceeds 4/2: X point instability appears first, which is related to the antiferroelectric distortion, and as m/n is increased, the instability extends to the M point, but both instabilities are nonpolar modes. In addition, the M/Rpoint instabilities in $Pm\bar{3}m$ structure are related to structural distortions accompanying the in-phase/out-of-phase rotations of the alternating oxygen octahedra, which were observed in the $SrTiO_3^{59}$ and $EuTiO_3^{60}$ dynamically stabilized into the I4/mcm structure. There are similar but controversial reports in BaZrO₃,⁶¹ in which the dynamic instabilities at the M and R point are stabilized into the larger nonpolar structure with the lower symmetry.

IV. CONCLUSION

We studied the phonon modes of three polytypes of $BaTiO_3$, $Pm\bar{3}m$, P4mm, and R3m, by *ab initio* calculations using DFT, HF, and hybrid functionals. The zone-center optical phonon modes calculated under zero-external pressure, i.e. with the fully relaxed geometries, were compared systematically using eight different functionals. The B1WC hybrid functional predicted the closest values to the LDA results, except for the displaced A_1 TO mode at ~300 cm⁻¹, but the pressure dependence of the phonon mode was surprisingly similar to each other. The evolution of the phonon branches as functions of pressure revealed two successive transitions, each preceded by the softening of modes, the FE (P4mm) to PE ($Pm\bar{3}m$) transition at below ~ 10 GPa and the re-entrant PE to FE transition at above ~ 150 GPa. In the rhombohedral structure, the phonon-branching behavior and missing polarization at pressures above 30 GPa suggested the re-entrant PE $(Pm\bar{3}m)$ to the PE (R3m) transition. An analysis of the phonon modes propagating to the high-symmetry directions under high pressure, where the ferroelectricity recurs, suggested that the $Pm\bar{3}m$ phase has ferroelectric-distortive instability at Γ and nonpolar instability at X, M, and R.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MEST), No. 2012006641. The computation is supported by the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information (KISTI) Supercomputing Center through Contract No. KSC-2012-C2-36.

- *Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed: jaisahn@pusan.ac.kr
- ¹D. C. Hill and H. L. Tuller, *Ceramic Sensors: Theory and Practice*, edited by L. M. Levinson (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988), pp. 265–374.
- ²G. H. Haertling, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 82, 797 (1999).
- ³G. Shirane, K. Suzuki, and A. Takeda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 7, 12 (1952).
- ⁴B. Jaffe, R. S. Roth, and S. Marzullo, J. Appl. Phys. **25**, 809 (1954).
- ⁵B. Jaffe, W. R. Cook, and H. L. Jaffe, *Piezoelectric Ceramics* (Academic, New York, 1971).

- ⁶B. Noheda, J. A. Gonzalo, L. E. Cross, R. Guo, S.-E. Park, D. E. Cox, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8687 (2000).
- ⁷D. Damjanovic, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 062906 (2010).
- ⁸H. Fu and R. E. Cohen, Nature (London) 403, 281 (2000).
- ⁹W. Liu and X. Ren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 257602 (2009).
- ¹⁰I.-K. Jeong, C. Y. Park, J. S. Ahn, S. Park, and D. J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 214119 (2010).
- ¹¹I.-K. Jeong and J. S. Ahn, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 242901 (2012).
- ¹²Y.-S. Seo, J. S. Ahn, and I.-K. Jeong, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **62**, 749 (2013).
- ¹³J. Kreisel, P. Bouvier, M. Maglione, B. Dkhil, and A. Simon, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 092104 (2004).
- ¹⁴A. K. Sood, N. Chandrabhas, D. V. S. Muthu, and A. Jayaraman, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 8892 (1995).
- ¹⁵B. Zalar, V. V. Laguta, and R. Blinc, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 037601 (2003); R. Pirc and R. Blinc, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 134107 (2004).
- ¹⁶R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 49, 5828 (1994).
- ¹⁷Ph. Ghosez, E. Cockayne, U. V. Waghmare, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 836 (1999).
- ¹⁸D. I. Bilc, R. Orlando, R. Shaltaf, G.-M. Rignanese, J. Íñiguez, and Ph. Ghosez, Phys. Rev. B 77, 165107 (2008).
- ¹⁹J. J. Wang, F. Y. Meng, X. Q. Ma, M. X. Xu, and L. Q. Chen, J. Appl. Phys. **108**, 034107 (2010).
- ²⁰R. A. Evarestov and A. V. Bandura, J. Comp. Chem. **33**, 1123 (2012).
- ²¹W. Zhong, D. Vanderbilt, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 6301 (1995).
- ²²Q. Zhang, T. Cagin, and W. A. Goddard III, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103**, 14695 (2006).
- ²³X. Wu, D. Vanderbilt, and D. R. Hamann, Phys. Rev. B 72, 035105 (2005).
- ²⁴I. A. Kornev, L. Bellaiche, P. Bouvier, P.-E. Janolin, B. Dkhil, and J. Kreisel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 196804 (2005).
- ²⁵E. Bousquet and Ph. Ghosez, Phys. Rev. B 74, 180101 (2006).
- ²⁶Y. Duan, G. Tang, C. Chen, T. Lu, and Z. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 85, 054108 (2012).
- ²⁷R. Wahl, D. Vogtenhuber, and G. Kresse, Phys. Rev. B 78, 104116 (2008).
- ²⁸M. Goffinet, P. Hermet, D. I. Bilc, and Ph. Ghosez, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 014403 (2009).
- ²⁹K. Hummer, J. Harl, and G. Kresse, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 115205 (2009).
- ³⁰R. A. Evarestov, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 014105 (2011).
- ³¹J. Hong, A. Stroppa, J. Íñiguez, S. Picozzi, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 85, 054417 (2012).
- ³²R. Dovesi, R. Orlando, B. Civalleri, C. Roetti, V. R. Saunders, and C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, Z. Kristallogr. **220**, 571 (2005); R. Dovesi, V. R. Saunders, C. Roetti, R. Orlando, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, F. Pascale, B. Civalleri, K. Doll, N. M. Harrison, I. J. Bush, Ph. D'Arco, and M. Llunell, *CRYSTAL09 User's Manual* (University of Torino, Torino, 2009).
- ³³S. Piskunov, E. Heifets, R. I. Eglitis, and G. Borstel, Comp. Mat. Sci. **29**, 165 (2004).

- ³⁴L. Valenzano, F. J. Torres, K. Doll, F. Pascale, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, and R. Dovesi, Z. Phys. Chem. **220**, 893 (2006).
- ³⁵Y.-S. Seo and J. S. Ahn, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **62**, 1629 (2013).
- ³⁶J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
- ³⁷J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6671 (1992).
- ³⁸A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 5648 (1993).
- ³⁹C. Adamo and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 6158 (1999).
- ⁴⁰C. Lee, W. Yang, and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B 37, 785 (1988).
- ⁴¹S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, and M. Nusair, Can. J. Phys. **58**, 1200 (1980).
- ⁴²D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Alder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 566 (1980).
- ⁴³Z. Wu and R. E. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 235116 (2006).
- ⁴⁴R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 1651 (1993); D. Vanderbilt and R. D. King-Smith, *ibid.* **48**, 4442 (1993);
 R. Resta, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 899 (1994).
- ⁴⁵P. Hermet, M. Veithen, and Ph. Ghosez, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 215901 (2009).
- ⁴⁶J. W. Edwards, R. Speiser, and H. L. Johnston, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 2934 (1951).
- ⁴⁷G. Burns and F. H. Dacol, Solid State Commun. **42**, 9 (1982).
- ⁴⁸G. Shirane, H. Danner, and P. Pepinsky, Phys. Rev. **105**, 856 (1957);
 G. H. Kwei, A. C. Lawson, S. J. L. Billinge, and S.-W. Cheong, J. Phys. Chem. **97**, 2368 (1993).
- ⁴⁹M. S. Shumate, Appl. Opt. 5, 327 (1966).
- ⁵⁰B. Wang and C. Sun, Appl. Opt. **40**, 672 (2001).
- ⁵¹K. Parlinski, J. Łażewski, and Y. Kawazoe, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **61**, 87 (2000).
- ⁵²C. J. Bradley and A. P. Cracknell, *The Mathematical Theory of Symmetry in Solids* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1972).
- ⁵³N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Saunders, Philadelphia, 1976), p. 493.
- ⁵⁴See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.014114 for the structural parameters and polarizations as a function of pressure, and the optic-phonondispersion calculated using LDA.
- ⁵⁵O. Nakagawara, T. Shimuta, T. Makino, S. Arai, H. Tabata, and T. Kawai, Vacuum **66**, 397 (2002).
- ⁵⁶J. Zhu, L. Zheng, W. B. Luo, Y. R. Li, and Y. Zhang, J. Phys. D **39**, 2438 (2006).
- ⁵⁷R. Oja, K. Johnston, J. Frantti, and R. M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 094102 (2008).
- ⁵⁸E. Bousquet, J. Junquera, and Ph. Ghosez, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 045426 (2010).
- ⁵⁹Y. Xie, H. Yu, G. Zhang, and H. Fu, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 215215 (2008).
- ⁶⁰K. Z. Rushchanskii, N. A. Spaldin, and M. Ležaić, Phys. Rev. B 85, 104109 (2012).
- ⁶¹A. Bilić and J. D. Gale, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 174107 (2009).