Large D limit of Einstein's equations

Roberto Emparan^o

Departament de Física Quàntica i Astrofísica and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1, E-08028 Barcelona. Spain and Instituciò Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig Lluís Companys 23, E-08010 Barcelona, Spain

Christopher P. Herzog[®]

King's College London, The Strand, London WC2R 2LS, England

 \circledcirc (published 18 November 2020)

Recent progress in taking the large dimension limit of Einstein's equations is reviewed. Most of the analysis is classical and concerns situations where there is a black hole horizon, although various extensions that include quantum gravitational effects are discussed. The review consists of two main parts: the first is a discussion of general aspects of black holes and effective membrane theories in this large dimension limit, and the second is a series of applications of this limit to interesting physical problems. The first part includes a discussion of quasinormal modes that leads naturally into a description of effective hydrodynamiclike equations that describe the near-horizon geometry. There are two main approaches to these effective theories, a fully covariant approach and a partially gaugefixed one, which are discussed in relation to each other. In the second part the applications are divided up into three main categories: the Gregory-Laflamme instability, black hole collisions and mergers, and the anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory correspondence (AdS/CFT) . AdS/CFT posits an equivalence between a gravitational theory and a strongly interacting field theory, allowing the spectrum of applications to be extended to problems in hydrodynamics, condensed matter physics, and nuclear physics. The final, shorter part of the review describes further promising directions where there have been, as yet, few published research articles.

DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.92.045005](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.92.045005)

CONTENTS

3. Fluid stress energy, entropy, action, and coupling to radiation 13 4. Extensions 14 5. Limitations 15 6. Membrane paradigms 15 E. Effective theory for black branes 15 1. Black brane equations 15 2. Extensions 17 3. Black holes as blobs on a brane 17 V. The Gregory-Laflamme Instability at Large D 19 A. Further extensions 23 VI. Black Hole Collisions and Mergers 24 A. General aspects 24 B. Cosmic censorsip violation in black hole collisions 25 VII. Holographic Applications 27 A. Holographic hydrodynamics 27 $B. AdS/CMT$ 29 1. Breaking translation symmetry 29 2. Holographic superconductor 31 C. AdS-QCD program 32 1. Bjorken flow 32 2. Small black holes 33 VIII. Quantum Black Holes, Quantum Gravity and Strings 34 A. Large *D* limit of Hawking radiation 35 B. Large *D* matrix models 35 C. Large D entanglement 36 D. Large D strings 37 IX. Higher-Derivative Gravity 37 X. Beyond Decoupling 37

emparan@ub.edu

[[†]](#page-0-3) christopher.herzog@kcl.ac.uk

I. LARGE N AND LARGE D EXPANSIONS

Our theories of physics frequently have parameters (continuous ones such as coupling constants and masses, but also discrete ones such as numbers of fields and internal degrees of freedom) that can be varied from their actual values in nature while still maintaining consistency. An often fruitful strategy is to explore the theories when these parameters approach the boundaries of their allowed range, since in some of these limits the equations become significantly simpler. If a solution can be found, then, by perturbatively correcting it in an expansion around these limits, one hopes to obtain an approximation to the theoretical predictions for real-world parameter values.

A prime example is the theory of quantum electrodynamics. Much of what we know about it begins with the limit where the electron charge is zero and the theory is free, which then provides a convenient starting point for the perturbative analysis. For other theories, such as QCD at low energies, and more generally for theories of strongly coupled nonlinear systems, this strategy is inappropriate, and one should try to identify less obvious but still useful parameters. This search becomes harder the simpler and more elegant the formulation of the theory is. As a case in point, consider non-Abelian Yang-Mills (YM) theory and general relativity (GR) in the absence of any quarks or matter content. The Lagrangians that define them

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}F^2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{GR}} = \sqrt{-g}R \tag{1.1}
$$

are notorious for the lack of any apparent adjustable knobs. However, it has been known for many years that, retaining the same form [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) of the Yang-Mills Lagrangian, we can introduce a parameter as the rank of the gauge group SU(N), with N taking any value \geq 2. The limit $N \to \infty$ results not only in a simpler description of gluon dynamics but also in a reorganization of the degrees of freedom in terms of world sheets ('[t Hooft, 1974\)](#page-43-0), eventually leading to a reformulation as a holographic theory of strings and quantum gravity ([Maldacena, 1999](#page-43-1)).

The theory of general relativity in Eq. (1.1) contains a somewhat similar variable parameter, namely, the number of spacetime dimensions D. The classical theory is well defined in any $D \geq 4$, and moreover it retains its most remarkable features: black hole solutions and propagating degrees of freedom (i.e., gravitational waves). One may then hope that the limit $D \to \infty$ results in a convenient simplification of the equations and possibly also a novel reformulation of the dynamics, at least for some phenomena.

In this review we discuss the progress made in recent years in realizing these hopes, especially in the study of classical black hole physics and in applications to a variety of problems. We also describe prospects for further extensions, possibly beyond the realm of black holes and into the quantum regime.

A. The nature of the large D limit

What does it mean to take the large D limit of Einstein's gravitational theory (1.1) ? The comparison with the large N limit of gauge theories is illustrative for both its similarities and its differences. The SU $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ Yang-Mills theory is an instance of a limit of a quantum theory where the number of degrees of freedom at each point in space grows extremely large. These limits are widespread in theoretical physics, including $O(N \rightarrow \infty)$ vector models, Potts models, matrix models, Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) and tensor models, and large central charge limits of conformal field theories, among others. The simplifications that these afford can vary considerably; for instance, the SYK model hits the right balance between the overkill simplicity of vector theories and the difficulty of solving matrix quantum mechanics.

A different type of limit is obtained when what grows large is the number of connections to nearby points, or directions out of each point in space. This is familiar in statistical mechanics: when the coordination number of a lattice diverges, one recovers a mean-field theory. The intuition here is that spatial quantum fluctuations average themselves out, leading to a semiclassical collective theory, either the conventional mean field or a richer dynamical mean-field theory [\(Georges](#page-42-0) et al., 1996). However, such a limit is seldom considered for continuum quantum field theories, the reason being that although the effects of long-distance quantum fluctuations are suppressed the short distance, ultraviolet divergences get uncontrollably strong.¹ Nevertheless, the idea can be fruitfully applied as long as one appropriately focuses on infrared physics and, more specifically, on the classical $limit.²$

The large D limit in gravity contains aspects of these two types of limit. On the one hand, the number of graviton polarizations (i.e., the number of gravitational degrees of freedom at each point) grows as $\sim D^2$ at large D, similar to the growth $\sim N^2$ of gluon polarizations in SU(N) gauge theories. One may then hope that the simplifications that occur in the latter have a counterpart in the semiclassical expansion of Einstein's theory around flat space. Do the Feynman diagrams of perturbative quantum general relativity (itself a gauge theory) arrange themselves into something similar to the topological expansion of $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory? The

¹ Conformal blocks of conformal field theories are free from UV divergences and can be solved in an expansion in $1/D$ [\(Fitzpatrick,](#page-41-0) [Kaplan, and Poland, 2013](#page-41-0)). Other properties of conformal field theories (CFTs) in the limit of large D were investigated by [Gadde](#page-42-1) [and Sharma \(2020\)](#page-42-1). ²

 $I²$ In mathematics, geometry in the limit of infinite dimensions has often been studied, for instance, in Perelman's work on Ricci flow; see Sec. 6 of [Perelman \(2006\)](#page-43-2).

answers found so far have not been encouraging. The classes of diagrams enhanced at large D by the combinatorics of polarization indices in gravity do not bring in anything as suggestive as the world sheets of large N gauge theory [\(Strominger, 1981;](#page-43-3) [Bjerrum-Bohr, 2004\)](#page-40-0).³ Other approaches that, with varying degrees of success, also utilized the large number of graviton degrees of freedom include [Hamber and](#page-42-2) [Williams \(2006\)](#page-42-2), [Canfora, Giacomini, and Zerwekh \(2009\),](#page-40-1) and [Sloan and Ferreira \(2017\)](#page-43-4).

On the other hand, D also appears in general relativity in its basic role as the "directions out of a point," into which the gravitational lines of force get more and more diluted as D grows large. This effect is manifested in the radial decay of the potential

$$
\Phi \sim \frac{1}{r^{D-3}}\tag{1.2}
$$

that solves the Laplace equation in $D - 1$ spatial dimensions. The larger that D is, the faster the interaction potential decays at long distance, and the more steeply it increases at small scales. That is, as D grows the gravitational field is increasingly strongly localized near its source, while at larger distances it is suppressed in a manner that is nonperturbative in $1/D$. This suggests that this "mean-field-like" aspect of large D gravity (and not its "large N -like" quality) may be fruitfully exploited for the study of gravitating massive objects, particularly black holes.

[Kol and Sorkin \(2004\)](#page-42-3) and Asnin et al. [\(2007\)](#page-39-0) first made successful use of this idea and performed a $1/D$ expansion to analytically solve the Euclidean negative mode of the Schwarzschild solution or, equivalently, the zero mode at the threshold of the Gregory-Laflamme (GL) instability of black strings [\(Gregory and Laflamme, 1993](#page-42-4)).⁴ Although the study was not taken beyond this problem, Asnin et al. [\(2007\)](#page-39-0) correctly identified and exploited two important generic features of the large D limit of black holes: the parametric separation between a near-horizon region and a far region and the localization near the horizon of the dynamics of interest.

Despite this initial success and besides the incidental discussion of features of black holes as D is made large [\(Caldarelli](#page-40-2) et al., 2009; [Hod, 2011](#page-42-5)), a systematic study did not begin until the work of [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe \(2013\),](#page-41-1) which prompted the development of the subject in earnest. To date much has been understood that can be coherently reviewed. A new perspective with novel insights on black hole dynamics has emerged that has also been applied to current topical problems. For instance, efficient analytical calculations of black hole quasinormal modes and simplified numerical simulations of black hole collisions may eventually be relevant to gravitational wave astronomy (within limitations that we discuss later). Another natural niche for applications is the AdS/CFT correspondence, with studies

of dual superconductors, the dynamics of quark-gluon plasmas, the structure of entanglement, and others. In addition, the large D methods can, and already have, shed light on thorny problems in black hole theory for which conventional numerical methods are unwieldy, or at least can benefit from guidance from simpler, more intuitive techniques. These problems include basic issues about instabilities of black holes and their evolution, cosmic censorship, and perhaps critical collapse.

B. Organization of this review

Sections [I](#page-1-1)–[IV](#page-9-0) follow the path that led from the initial explorations of black holes at large D to the development of nonlinear effective theories, with some benefit of hindsight and discussion of issues not often found in the literature. Sections [V](#page-18-0)–[VII](#page-26-0) focus on applications, mostly (but not only) of the effective theories. Sections [V](#page-18-0)–[VII](#page-26-0) can be read independently of each other, although they require background developed in Secs. [I](#page-1-1)–[IV.](#page-9-0) In Secs. [VIII](#page-33-0) and [IX](#page-36-0) we discuss open ends and a sample of ideas that may admit development.

1. Outline

We begin in Sec. [II](#page-3-0) with an explanation of how the large D limit concentrates the gravitational effects of a black hole close to the horizon and makes the near-horizon geometry universal. Section [III](#page-5-0) shows that the effect can be regarded as a "decoupling limit" that separates the gravitational perturbations of the black hole into two distinct sectors. Section [IV](#page-9-0) introduces the nonlinear effective theories of black holes. Of these, we select two versions: Sec. [IV.D](#page-11-0) is devoted to the theory of [Bhattacharyya, Mandlik](#page-40-3) et al. (2016), the one with broadest generality, and Sec. [IV.E](#page-14-0) explains the effective theory for black branes ([Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015a](#page-41-2)), which is the basis of many applications.

In Secs. [V](#page-18-0)–[VII](#page-26-0), we discuss various applications of the large D limit. In Sec. [V,](#page-18-0) we explore how the Gregory-Laflamme instability of black strings depends on D. Then in Sec. [VI](#page-23-0) we review how black hole collisions and mergers behave as D becomes large, revealing a novel violation of cosmic censorship, and we examine the prospects for shedding light on black hole mergers in four dimensions. Section [VII](#page-26-0) explores how large D techniques have been applied to the AdS/CFT correspondence. By taking a large D limit of AdS/CFT, one may gain further leverage from Einstein's equations in explaining various phenomena in strongly interacting field theories. In this AdS/CFT context, we look at applications to hydrodynamics, condensed matter physics, and nuclear physics.

Sections [VIII](#page-33-0) and [IX](#page-36-0) survey other directions of the large D program, combining subjects that are already being investigated and other, more speculative lines that may hold promise for the future. Section [VIII](#page-33-0) examines the prospects of a large D approach to quantum and stringy physics. Section [IX](#page-36-0) is a brief overview of work done in the context of higher-derivative theories of gravity. Section [X](#page-36-1) explores open-ended opportunities to study phenomena in large D gravity beyond the scope of the effective theories. Section [XI](#page-38-0)

 3 [Deser \(2004\)](#page-41-3) gave an interesting historical perspective before the developments that we review here, concluding at that time that "perhaps we don't yet know how to extract the right questions [about the limit $D \to \infty$]."; see also [Deser \(2020\)](#page-41-4).

⁴ Asnin *et al.* [\(2007\)](#page-39-0) also introduced an expansion around $D = 3$, but this idea has not been followed up on.

ends the review on a speculative note about gravitational radiation.

Note.—We often use, instead of D , a number *n* defined as the power of the radial decay of the gravitational potential. Generally,

$$
n = D - p - 3,\t(1.3)
$$

where p, which remains finite as $D \rightarrow \infty$, stands for the spatial dimensionality of a *p*-brane world volume (so $p = 0$ for the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black holes). Throughout this review, D and n are interchangeably used as large expansion parameters.

II. THE LARGE D GEOMETRY OF STATIONARY BLACK HOLES

A. Near and far zones

We begin with the most elementary black hole in D dimensions: the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini solution [\(Schwarzschild,](#page-43-5) [1916;](#page-43-5) [Tangherlini, 1963\)](#page-43-6)

$$
ds^{2} = -f(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}d\Omega_{D-2}, \qquad f(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{D-3}.
$$
\n(2.1)

The main difference with respect to the four-dimensional Schwarzschild solution, besides the addition of angles to the sphere S^{D-2} , is the replacement of the Newtonian potential \sim 1/r with its D-dimensional counterpart [\(1.2\)](#page-2-0). Here we normalize it with a scale set by the horizon radius r_0

$$
\Phi \sim \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{D-3}.\tag{2.2}
$$

When D is considered a fixed number, r_0 is the only length that sets the scale for all phenomena in this geometry. However, when D is regarded as a variable parameter that we take to be large, we find a different scale that characterizes the gravitational force near the horizon as

$$
\nabla \Phi|_{r_0} \sim \frac{D}{r_0}.\tag{2.3}
$$

That is, the large *D* limit introduces a new, parametrically separated length scale in the system, namely,

$$
\frac{r_0}{D} \ll r_0. \tag{2.4}
$$

Other scales may appear, too; we later see that for black branes r_0/\sqrt{D} plays an important role.

The length r_0/D characterizes not only the slope of Φ but also the radial distance over which the gravitational field is appreciably nonzero. That is, as $D \to \infty$ we have

$$
r > r_0 \Rightarrow \Phi(r) \to 0,\tag{2.5}
$$

but also

$$
r - r_0 \lesssim \frac{r_0}{D} \Rightarrow \Phi(r) = \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{2.6}
$$

These behaviors define two regions in the geometry: the "far zone" (2.5) and the "near(-horizon) zone" (2.6) .⁵ In the far zone, the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini geometry [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) becomes flat Minkowski space. The near-horizon geometry is more interesting. As explained in Eq. [\(1.3\)](#page-3-4) it is convenient to use

$$
n = D - 3 \tag{2.7}
$$

instead of D as the perturbation parameter and introduce

$$
R = \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^n \tag{2.8}
$$

as the finite radial variable appropriate for the near-horizon region, which is defined by $\ln R \ll n$. We also introduce a near-horizon time

$$
\bar{t} = \frac{n}{2r_0}t.\tag{2.9}
$$

Then for large *n* one finds ([Soda, 1993](#page-43-7); [Emparan, Grumiller,](#page-41-5) [and Tanabe, 2013](#page-41-5))

$$
ds_{nh}^2 \to \frac{4r_0^2}{n^2} \left[-\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathsf{R}}\right) d\bar{t}^2 + \frac{d\mathsf{R}^2}{\mathsf{R}(\mathsf{R} - 1)} \right] + r_0^2 \mathsf{R}^{2/n} d\Omega_{n+1}.
$$
\n(2.10)

Note that in the sphere radius $r_0 R^{1/n} \simeq r_0 [1 + (\ln R)/n]$ we
are retaining an apparently subleading-order term since it is are retaining an apparently subleading-order term since it is promoted to leading order whenever the area element $r_0^n R^2$ is involved.

We now focus on the (\bar{t}, R) part of the metric. Its prefactor reflects that the short-scale r_0/n is a measure of the small proper radial extent of this zone, and of the short proper time required to cross it, measured in units of the distances and times of the far zone. The two-dimensional metric in Eq. [\(2.10\)](#page-3-5) is actually well known. Defining the proper radius ρ by

$$
\cosh^2 \rho = \mathsf{R},\tag{2.11}
$$

this part of the geometry takes the form

$$
ds_2^2 = \frac{4r_0^2}{n^2}(-\tanh^2\rho d\bar{t}^2 + d\rho^2),\tag{2.12}
$$

which is the black hole solution of the dilaton-gravity theory that appears in the low-energy limit of two-dimensional string theory [\(Elitzur, Forge, and Rabinovici, 1991;](#page-41-6) [Mandal,](#page-43-8) [Sengupta, and Wadia, 1991](#page-43-8); [Witten, 1991](#page-43-9)), with dilaton profile

$$
\phi = -\ln \cosh \rho. \tag{2.13}
$$

⁵We do not discuss the black hole interior in the review, but similar considerations apply there [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2013](#page-41-1)).

This follows from an observation by [Soda \(1993\)](#page-43-7) and [Grumiller, Kummer, and Vassilevich \(2002\)](#page-42-6) about the spherical reduction of Einstein gravity in the limit $D \to \infty$. For a metric of the form

$$
ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + r_{0}^{2} e^{-4\phi/(n+1)} d\Omega_{n+1}^{2}, \qquad (2.14)
$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\lambda})$ is a 2D metric and $\phi(x^{\lambda})$ is a 2D scalar field, the Einstein-Hilbert action reduces to $⁶$ </sup>

$$
I = \frac{\Omega_{n+1}r_0^{n+1}}{16\pi G} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g}e^{-2\phi} \left(R + \frac{4n}{n+1}(\nabla\phi)^2 + \frac{n(n+1)}{r_0^2}e^{4\phi/(n+1)}\right),
$$
 (2.15)

which in the limit $n \to \infty$ becomes the 2D string effective action

$$
I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} [R + 4(\nabla \phi)^2 + 4\Lambda_2^2] \tag{2.16}
$$

with

$$
G_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{G}{\Omega_{n+1} r_0^{n+1}}, \qquad \Lambda_2 = \frac{n}{2r_0}.
$$
 (2.17)

Notice that keeping r_0/n finite amounts to keeping finite the Hawking temperature

$$
T_H = \frac{\Lambda_2}{2\pi} \tag{2.18}
$$

of the large D Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole, while for the 2D string black hole the temperature conjugate to the time \bar{t} is $1/2\pi$, i.e., ~1/*n* times smaller. In this identification, Minkowski space at $D \to \infty$ corresponds to the linear dilaton vacuum, which appears as the asymptotic geometry at $\rho \to \infty$ of the black hole; see Eqs. [\(2.12\)](#page-3-6) and [\(2.13\).](#page-3-7) The string length is $\sim r_0/D$.

B. Universality

The appearance of the 2D string black hole [\(2.12\)](#page-3-6) in the context of a pure gravity theory is intriguing, but perhaps more surprising is that it appears universally as the large D nearhorizon geometry of all neutral, nonextremal black holes of Einstein's theory, including solutions with rotation and a cosmological constant ([Emparan, Grumiller, and Tanabe,](#page-41-5) [2013](#page-41-5)). This universality, together with the fact that this geometry has enhanced symmetry [it is the quotient space $SL(2,\mathbb{R})/U(1)$, entails significant simplifications in the study of large D black hole physics.

In the case of static (anti–)de Sitter [(A)dS] black holes, it is easy to verify that when the limit $n \to \infty$ is taken keeping finite R and

$$
\lambda = \frac{2r_0^2}{n^2} \Lambda,\tag{2.19}
$$

which parametrizes the cosmological constant Λ in units of r_0 , then to leading order the geometry in the (t, R) directions is the same as in Eq. (2.10) , only up to a rescaling of the coordinates that changes the overall size of the near-horizon region relative to the far zone scales: a negative cosmological constant shrinks it, a positive one enlarges it.

The effect of rotation is more interesting. Considering, for instance, the Myers-Perry solutions with a single angular momentum turned on ([Myers and Perry, 1986\)](#page-43-10), and focusing on the region around a given polar angle $\theta = \theta_0$, the nearhorizon geometry takes the form of the 2D string black hole, with an extra dimension (for the rotation direction) added to it, and then boosted along this direction with a velocity equal to the local velocity of the horizon at latitude θ_0 . Therefore, the rotation is accounted for by a local boost in a spatial direction parallel to the horizon. This feature is of great importance when one develops a general effective theory of large D black hole dynamics.

By considering the addition of charge and possibly dilatonic scalar fields to the black hole one obtains different universality classes of near-horizon geometries ([Emparan,](#page-41-5) [Grumiller, and Tanabe, 2013;](#page-41-5) [Guo, Li, and Sun, 2016](#page-42-7)). These geometries can all be regarded as solutions of theories where matter is added to Eq. [\(2.16\)](#page-4-0). Just as in the asymptotically flat case, the gravitational effects of this matter around the black hole decay at large values of R and are relevant only close to the horizon. Thus, the asymptotic geometry of the near-horizon zone in all cases has a 2D sector

$$
ds_2^2 \to \frac{r_0^2}{n^2} \left(-d\bar{t}^2 + \frac{d\mathsf{R}^2}{\mathsf{R}^2} \right) \tag{2.20}
$$

(up to an overall *n*-independent constant) and a dilaton $\phi \rightarrow -\ln \sqrt{R}$. That is, the linear dilaton vacuum plays the same role near the horizon at large D as Minkowski space plays in asymptotically flat spacetimes, but more universally since it is also the asymptotics of near-horizon zones when there is a D-dimensional cosmological constant.

The same 2D black hole and physics similar to the large D limit appears in a holographic plasma with a five-dimensional dilaton-gravity bulk dual, whose conformal critical point of the plasma is in correspondence with $D \rightarrow \infty$ [\(Betzios](#page-40-4) et al.[, 2018\)](#page-40-4).

C. Strings near the horizon?

This "stringy nature" of the black hole in the limit $D \to \infty$ is also manifested in its entropy. For the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole, it behaves as

$$
S(M) \sim M^{1+1/(D-3)}.\tag{2.21}
$$

The fact that the exponent is > 1 means that the specific heat of the black hole is negative and that the merger of two black holes is highly irreversible. However, when $D \to \infty$ we find that

⁶The apparently wrong sign of the kinetic term for ϕ is not a problem since this theory does not have any local dynamics. From the higher-dimensional point of view, this statement is Birkhoff's theorem, or the absence of any s-wave dynamics in vacuum gravity.

$$
S(M) \to M, \tag{2.22}
$$

which is the same behavior as that of a gas of strings with a Hagedorn spectrum whose thermodynamic stability depends on the details of logarithmic corrections to the leading-order result (2.22) . To leading order at large D, there is no entropy production in a merger, a feature that we encounter again in Sec. [IV.D.3](#page-12-0). Although this effect might be attributed to the vanishingly short interaction range in a large number of dimensions, one should bear in mind that it does not hold for charged black holes.

The behavior [\(2.22\)](#page-4-1) is a consequence of the properties of the two-dimensional dilaton black hole [\(2.12\)](#page-3-6), but it is possibile that it also indicates that large D black holes may be described in terms of effective strings.⁷ The entropy of a conformal gas with energy E in D dimensions

$$
S(E) \sim E^{1-1/D} \tag{2.23}
$$

also approaches the Hagedorn behavior $S \sim E$ when $D \to \infty$, now from the side of positive specific heat. The meaning, if any, of this coincidence in the large D limit of the degeneracy of the high-energy spectra of quantum field theories, string theories, and black holes is unclear.

III. DECOUPLED AND NONDECOUPLED DYNAMICS OF LARGE D BLACK HOLES

The previous discussion referred to stationary black hole states. To obtain an idea of their dynamical behavior at large D, it is convenient to start with small fluctuations.

A. Quasinormal spectrum

Slightly perturbed black holes oscillate with a set of characteristic frequencies known as the quasinormal mode spectrum. In many respects, these are analogous to normal modes, but they have a dissipative part (imaginary frequency) due to the absorptive nature of the horizon. These modes dominate the late-time ringdown phase of a black hole formed in a merger, and also the return to equilibrium when a black hole has been perturbed, for example, by a particle falling into it. An instability of a black hole is often manifested in the presence of a quasinormal mode with a positive imaginary part of the frequency whose amplitude grows exponentially in time.

Like normal mode spectra, the quasinormal frequencies depend on the specific features of the system, such as shape and composition or field content. Since the quasinormal oscillations of a black hole propagate away as gravitational radiation, they are a primary source of information in gravitational wave astronomy ([Berti, Cardoso, and](#page-40-5) [Starinets, 2009\)](#page-40-5). Quasinormal modes also play an important role in the AdS/CFT correspondence: the longest lived, least damped modes of a black brane characterize the late-time approach to equilibrium of the dual thermal state ([Horowitz](#page-42-8) [and Hubeny, 2000\)](#page-42-8).

The study of quasinormal modes of large D black holes has revealed one of their most basic properties: the existence of two different dynamical regimes, distinguished by frequencies parametrically separated in $1/D$. These are as follows:

- Fast, nondecoupled modes, with frequencies $\omega \sim D/r_0$. These modes straddle the near-horizon zone and the far zone. Most quasinormal modes are in this category, and their spectrum falls into large universality classes with no information (to leading order at large D) about the black hole other than its horizon radius or shape.
- Slow, decoupled modes, with frequencies $\omega \sim 1/r_0$. These oscillations are localized within the near-horizon zone, and they vanish in the far zone to all perturbative orders in $1/D$. There are only a few of them, and they are specific to each black hole. Hydrodynamic behavior and horizon instabilities appear in this sector of the dynamics.

The existence of the first set of modes is expected: generically, the characteristic oscillation frequency of the black hole is set by the surface gravity $\kappa \sim D/r_0$, which is also the inverse of the light-crossing time for the near-horizon region.

More surprising is the presence of the second set of much slower modes, indeed static ones relative to the characteristic time of the near zone. They were first found numerically by [Dias, Hartnett, and Santos \(2014\)](#page-41-7), and the further understanding of their nature detailed by [Emparan, Suzuki, and](#page-41-8) [Tanabe \(2014\)](#page-41-8) prompted the development of nonlinear effective theories for the slow dynamics of black hole fluctuations.

B. Qualitative features from the radial potential

The main qualitative features of the quasinormal spectrum at large D can be understood from the form of the effective radial potential for the perturbations of the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole ([Kodama and Ishibashi, 2003\)](#page-42-9). In the large D limit we later find a universality of quasinormal spectra that is larger than that implied by the universality

FIG. 1. Radial potentials $V_s(r_*)$ for perturbations of the Schwarzschild black hole for $n = 8$ and $\ell = 2$. The horizon is at $r_* \to -\infty$. We use the coding dotted black for tensor, dashed red for vector, and solid blue for scalar in this and the next two figures. Units are $r_0 = 1$.

 7 This would be similar to the evidence for "little strings" in the throat of NS5-branes ([Aharony](#page-39-1) et al., 1998).

FIG. 2. Radial potentials $V_s(r_*)$ for $n = 997$ and $\ell = 2$. On the right is an enlargement of the potential near the peak at $r_* \approx 1$.

classes of near-horizon geometries. Namely, the potential that nondecoupled modes perceive is insensitive to anything but the shape of the horizon, not to its charges or couplings to scalars. On the other hand, the universality of near-horizon geometries implies that a given universality class shares the same set of decoupled modes (e.g., one vector and two scalars for all neutral black holes) even though their actual frequencies are different since they depend on the near-horizon geometry at the next order.

We then consider linearized gravitational perturbations $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = e^{-i\omega t} h_{\mu\nu}(r, \Omega)$ around the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini
black hole solution (2.1). The angular dependence can be black hole solution [\(2.1\).](#page-3-3) The angular dependence can be separated and the perturbations classified according to their algebraic transformation properties under the $SO(n + 2)$ symmetry of the sphere S^{n+1} : scalar type (S), vector type (V) , and tensor type (T) . Tensor perturbations exist only in five or more spacetime dimensions ($n \geq 2$).

[Kodama and Ishibashi \(2003\)](#page-42-9) obtained decoupled master variables $\Psi_s(r_*)$, with $r_* = \int dr/f$, for each of these pertur-
bations, which satisfy equations of the form bations, which satisfy equations of the form

$$
\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2 - V_s\right)\Psi_s = 0, \qquad s = S, V, T. \tag{3.1}
$$

Explicit expressions for V_s can be found in [Kodama and](#page-42-9) [Ishibashi \(2003\)](#page-42-9) [and, in the present context, in [Emparan,](#page-41-8) [Suzuki, and Tanabe \(2014\)\]](#page-41-8). Figure [1](#page-5-1) illustrates $V_s(r_*)$ for moderate values of *n* and ℓ . There is a barrier, which grows with ℓ , corresponding to radial gradients and centrifugal energy. For small enough ℓ/n , the scalar and vector potentials possess additional minima and maxima closer to the horizon that are absent for the tensor perturbations. When n is extremely large these features of the potential become more marked, as illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-6-0). The left and right panels represent, respectively, the view of the far zone and an enlargement of the near-horizon zone. The former is dominated by the centrifugal barrier $\sim 1/r^2$, which reaches a maximum at the photon sphere near $r_* \simeq 1$, approaching

$$
V_s^{\text{max}} \to n^2 \omega_c^2, \tag{3.2}
$$

where

$$
\omega_c = \frac{1}{2r_0} \left(1 + \frac{2\ell}{n} \right). \tag{3.3}
$$

As a consequence, waves with frequency $\omega = \mathcal{O}(1/r_0) \ll$ $n\omega_c$ cannot penetrate the potential: they stay either outside or inside the barrier since their tunneling probability is infinitely suppressed as $n \to \infty$. Thus, large D induces a decoupling of low-frequency dynamics, and quasinormal modes are discussed separately according to whether their frequency is $\omega \sim n/r_0$ or $\omega \sim 1/r_0$.

Quasinormal modes are solutions of Eq. [\(3.1\)](#page-6-1) characterized by the absence of any amplitudes coming in from infinity or coming out of the horizon; see Fig. [3.](#page-6-2) Using the coordinate in Eq. [\(2.8\),](#page-3-8) the ingoing boundary condition at the future horizon at $R = 1$ is

$$
\Psi_s(\mathsf{R}) \propto (\mathsf{R} - 1)^{-i\omega r_0/n}.\tag{3.4}
$$

We can now expect to find quasinormal modes as solutions that connect outgoing and ingoing waves by joining them below the peak of the potential as in Fig. [3](#page-6-2). The potentials that

FIG. 3. Quasinormal modes at $D \gg 1$: nondecoupled modes near the peak of the potential have high frequencies and straddle between the near and far zones. Decoupled modes have low frequencies and are trapped in the near-horizon geometry.

these modes "see" are the ones in the left panel of Fig. [2](#page-6-0). The frequencies of these modes are of the order of the height of the potential (3.2) ,⁸

$$
\omega \simeq n\omega_c \sim \frac{D}{r_0},\tag{3.5}
$$

i.e., in the range of the fast timescale r_0/D . These oscillations travel ballistically between the near and far zones and are insensitive to any structure of the potential other than its peak. This is almost featureless as it depends only on the black hole radius r_0 , making this nondecoupled spectrum a universal feature of static black holes.

But the potential for scalar and vector modes also possesses structure to the left of the peak, deeper in the near-horizon zone, as seen in the right panel of Fig. [2.](#page-6-0) We can expect quasinormal oscillations that are ingoing at the horizon and are trapped inside the barrier, with wave functions that vanish like $\sim e^{-1/n}$ outside the barrier, and hence without any incoming component at any perturbation order.⁹ Their frequency is slow

$$
\omega \sim \frac{1}{r_0} \tag{3.6}
$$

and depends on the specific properties of the potential wells. These modes constitute the decoupled spectrum, and they dominate the late-time decay of black hole perturbations.

C. Nondecoupled modes

Following the previous discussion, we first focus on waves with high frequency (3.5) near the peak of the potential.

The tensor potential for all ℓ and the vector and scalar potentials for $\ell = \mathcal{O}(n)$ all approach the form in the left panel of Fig. [2](#page-6-0), that is,

$$
V_s \to \frac{n^2 \omega_c^2 r_0^2}{r_*^2} \Theta(r_* - r_0). \tag{3.7}
$$

Therefore, the three kinds of perturbations are isospectral. For each ℓ there is a sequence of modes, called "overtones," whose wave functions have $k - 1$ nodes, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ The least damped overtones, with $k \ll n$, are sensitive only to the structure near the tip of the potential, which approaches a triangular shape that makes it easy to obtain their frequencies by employing a WKB approximation [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and](#page-41-8) [Tanabe, 2014;](#page-41-8) [Emparan and Tanabe, 2014a\)](#page-41-9). The result is

$$
\omega r_0 = \frac{n}{2} + \ell - a_k \left[\frac{e^{i\pi}}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \ell \right) \right]^{1/3}, \quad (3.8)
$$

where a_k are the zeros of the Airy function $(k = 1, 2, \ldots),$ accurately approximated by

$$
a_k \simeq \left(\frac{3\pi}{8}(4k-1)\right)^{2/3}.\tag{3.9}
$$

The damping ratio of these modes

$$
\frac{\text{Im}\omega}{\text{Re}\omega} \sim n^{-2/3} \tag{3.10}
$$

vanishes as $n \to \infty$, so they are long lived in their characteristic timescale. They limit to undamped normal modes.

The comparison of Eq. [\(3.8\)](#page-7-1) with a numerical calculation of the frequencies for a large number of values of n [\(Dias,](#page-41-7) [Hartnett, and Santos, 2014\)](#page-41-7) gives agreement of the real part with an accuracy well matched by $\approx 1/(2n)$, which is slightly better than the naive expectation of errors $\approx 1/n$. The imaginary part shows poorer agreement even at $D = 100$, but this can be explained from features of the potential peak [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2014](#page-41-8)).

This calculation applies to all static, spherically symmetric black holes of the same radius in the limit $D \to \infty$, possibly with charge, dilatonic couplings, and cosmological constant [\(Emparan and Tanabe, 2014a](#page-41-9)). Nondecoupled modes are the oscillations of a field in a flat spacetime with a hole of radius r_0 in it.

D. Decoupled modes

Quasinormal modes with frequency $\omega/n \rightarrow 0$ correspond to static zero-energy states, which can exist only if the potential has a negative minimum, as is the case for vectors and scalars with $\ell = \mathcal{O}(1)$. These states are unique for a given ℓ , with no other overtones close to them.

The analysis of these modes starts with the wave equation

$$
(\mathcal{L} + U_s)\Psi_s(\mathbf{R}) = -\frac{\mathbf{R} - 1}{\mathbf{R}^{1/n}} \frac{d}{d\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{R} - 1}{\mathbf{R}^{1/n}} \frac{d}{d\mathbf{R}} \Psi_s\right) + \frac{1}{n^2} [V_s(\mathbf{R}) - \omega^2] \Psi_s = 0
$$
 (3.11)

in the near-horizon zone. At the boundary of this zone $R \gg 1$ we require that the divergent behavior $\Psi \sim \sqrt{R}$ is absent and allow only wave functions that are normalizable within this region

$$
\Psi(\mathsf{R} \to \infty) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathsf{R}}}.\tag{3.12}
$$

At the future horizon we impose Eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-6-4).

The procedure to solve the equation in a perturbative expansion in $1/n$ is straightforward [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and](#page-41-8) [Tanabe, 2014](#page-41-8)). Here we show only that decoupled modes, with the previous boundary conditions, exist as solutions that to leading order are static. Their nonzero frequencies arise at the next order. (For the remainder of this section we fix $r_0 = 1.$)

It is easy to see that there are no decoupled tensor modes. To leading order in $1/n$ the potential is

⁸We refer to the real part of the quasinormal frequency as ω . The imaginary part also turns out to be large, but, as we later see, less so. We elaborate on this in Sec. [III.F.](#page-8-0)

$$
U_T^{(0)} = \frac{R^2 - 1}{4R^2},\tag{3.13}
$$

and there is no combination of the two independent solutions

$$
u_0 = \sqrt{R}
$$
, $v_0 = \sqrt{R} \ln (1 - R^{-1})$ (3.14)

that satisfies the two boundary conditions (3.4) and (3.12) . The vector potential is

$$
U_V = \frac{R - 1}{4R^{1 + 2/n}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{2\ell}{n} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{3}{R} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{\omega^2}{n^2},
$$
\n(3.15)

and now there is a leading-order solution with the required behavior at the boundaries, namely,

$$
\Psi_V^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}}.\t(3.16)
$$

Thus, there does exist a vector quasinormal mode. To determine its frequency we need to go to the next order. The solution is

$$
\Psi_V^{(1)} = -\frac{(\ell - 1)\ln(\mathsf{R} - 1) + \ln\sqrt{\mathsf{R}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{R}}},\qquad(3.17)
$$

and now the boundary condition at the horizon [\(3.4\)](#page-6-4) fixes

$$
\omega = -i(\ell - 1). \tag{3.18}
$$

These mode frequencies are purely imaginary.

For the scalar modes the calculation is more involved, but one can again show the existence at leading order of a static solution. The next-order solution yields two frequencies, related by $\omega_- = -\omega_+^*$,

$$
\omega_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\ell - 1} - i(\ell - 1). \tag{3.19}
$$

These modes have both a real and an imaginary part. There are no other overtones near these vector and scalar modes in the complex ω plane.

It is straightforward to continue the expansion to higher orders and obtain corrections to the frequencies ([Emparan,](#page-41-8) [Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2014](#page-41-8), [2015b\)](#page-41-10). The accuracy of the large D expansion can then be tested by comparing with direct numerical calculations at finite D [\(Dias, Hartnett, and Santos,](#page-41-7) [2014](#page-41-7)). Vector mode frequencies are accurately captured by the large D expansion, with agreement of up to eight digits at $n = 100$. Even down to $D = 4$ (i.e., $n = 1$) the frequency of the "algebraically special mode" is reproduced with 6% accuracy from a calculation including up to terms $1/n³$. This level of agreement suggests again that the expansion parameter is better thought to be $1/(2n)$ rather than $1/n$.

E. Cosmological constant and rotation

The universality of the near-horizon geometry becomes extremely useful for the study of perturbations of black holes with rotation and a cosmological constant. The presence of decoupled quasinormal modes is automatic since it follows from the existence of static solutions in the universal nearhorizon geometry, which is proven in Sec. [III.D](#page-7-3). The values of their frequencies $\omega \sim 1/r_0$ depend on the $1/D$ corrections to the metrics, and these are different for each black hole. Nevertheless, including a cosmological constant is straightforward ([Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015b](#page-41-10)). In AdS, when the black hole becomes much larger than the cosmological radius and approaches a black brane, the scalar and vector modes become hydrodynamic sound and shear modes. We encounter them again in Secs. [IV.E](#page-14-0) and [VII.A](#page-26-1).

Adding rotation makes the calculation less simple but still feasible analytically. The latter is particularly interesting since higher-dimensional rotating black holes possess complex patterns of behavior [\(Emparan and Myers, 2003](#page-41-11); [Myers](#page-43-10) [and Perry, 1986\)](#page-43-10). For now we mention only a highlight of the analysis given by [Suzuki and Tanabe \(2015b\)](#page-43-11).

Unlike the Kerr black hole, the spin of singly rotating Myers-Perry black holes in dimension $D \ge 6$ is not limited by an extremality bound ([Myers and Perry, 1986\)](#page-43-10). It was noted by [Emparan and Myers \(2003\)](#page-41-11) that as the spin increases the horizon spreads along the plane of rotation. Since black membranes are known to be unstable, it was proposed that Myers-Perry black holes with large enough angular momenta should also become unstable. The onset of these instabilities would be marked by the appearance of zero-mode perturbations of the black holes as their spin is increased. This was confirmed by numerical solution of the perturbation equations by Dias *et al.* [\(2009](#page-41-12), [2010\)](#page-41-13) in $D = 6, ..., 11$. For instance, in $D = 8$ the zero modes appear when the rotation parameter a, in units of the mass radius r_m , is

$$
\frac{a}{r_m} = 1.77, 2.27, 2.72\dots
$$
\n(3.20)

[Suzuki and Tanabe \(2015b\)](#page-43-11) solved the problem analytically in the large D limit and found zero modes for 10

$$
\frac{a}{r_m} = \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7} \dots
$$
 (3.21)

The two results agree with an error smaller than 2.7%.

F. Asymptotic nonconvergence of the expansion

The high accuracy of the calculations of decoupled quasinormal frequencies prompts the question of whether successive expansion orders will continue to improve the results for finite values of D. In other words, is the $1/D$ expansion in the decoupled sector convergent, or is it instead only asymptotic?

A first hint comes from the fact that the potential $(r_0/r)^{D-3}$ is nonanalytic in $1/D$. One then expects nonperturbative effects from the far zone to be present in the near-horizon zone that spoil the convergence of the expansion.

We can see this by solving the scalar field equation in the far zone of the Schwarzschild black hole (or indeed any spherical

 10 We describe a simpler approach to this in Sec. [IV.E.3.](#page-16-0)

black hole) and studying its behavior in the "overlap" region $r_0/n \ll r - r_0 \ll r_0$, i.e., $1 \ll R \ll e^n$, where it must match the field in the near zone [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe,](#page-41-10) [2015b](#page-41-10)). Outgoing quasinormal waves are Hankel functions

$$
\Psi = \sqrt{r} H_{n\omega_c r_0}^{(1)}(\omega r). \tag{3.22}
$$

In the overlap zone and for frequencies $\omega \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, these behave schematically as

$$
\Psi(r) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} \sum_{i\geq 0} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^i \mathsf{R}^{i/n}.\tag{3.23}
$$

Matching to a decoupled wave in this region is possible if Eq. [\(3.12\)](#page-7-2) is satisfied, which requires that $\ell \ll n$. However, large orders in the expansion in Eq. [\(3.23\),](#page-9-1) with $i = n + \mathcal{O}(1)$, give a behavior $\Psi \sim \sqrt{R}$ that violates the decoupling con-dition [\(3.12\).](#page-7-2) The breakdown is nonperturbative in $1/n$, and for waves with $\ell = \mathcal{O}(1)$ one obtains

nonperturbative corrections =
$$
\mathcal{O}(n^{-n})
$$
, (3.24)

confirming the general expectation.

The nondecoupled quasinormal modes can be thought of as nonperturbative, or transseries, corrections to the decoupled sector [\(Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog, and Meiring, 2019](#page-40-6)). While the decoupled modes have $\mathcal{O}(1)$ imaginary part in the large D expansion, they are still relatively long lived compared to the nondecoupled modes, which damp out and oscillate on timescales $\sim n^{-1/3}$ and $\sim n^{-1}$, respectively. The long time behavior of the black holes is thus governed by the decoupled modes as a sort of attractor to which the nondecoupled modes serve as highly damped nonperturbative corrections.

IV. EFFECTIVE THEORIES OF BLACK HOLES AT LARGE D

Can the previous study of black hole fluctuations be taken beyond the linearized approximation into a fully nonlinear theory of large D black hole dynamics? To understand how this is possible and what it implies, it is convenient to broadly set the framework for the discussion.

A. Aspects of effective theories of black hole dynamics

The efficient study of a physical system often relies on the ability to parametrically separate dynamical regimes at different scales: high energy versus low energy, short distance versus long distance, early time versus late time. Effective theories encode in a few parameters the long-distance effects of short-distance physics.

From this perspective, the main reason that the dynamics of a black hole is generally hard to solve is that there is only one scale in the system: the horizon radius or, equivalently, the black hole mass. Nevertheless, there are many situations with another length scale that permits successful effective descriptions. The point-particle limit, in which a black hole moves in gravitational fields of curvature radii much larger than its horizon size, is a well-known example. But if we are interested in the dynamics of the horizon (the range of its possible shapes and fluctuations), we must keep the horizon size finite and nonzero. New length scales can be introduced with the addition of rotation and charge: close to the extremality bounds, a throat in the radial direction appears that is much longer than the horizon radius. This is the basis of the decoupling between the dynamics in the throat and outside it that pervades correspondences of the AdS/CFT type. In other instances, the horizon along some directions is much longer than the scale of variation in directions transverse to it (which is typically set by the surface gravity or horizon temperature). This happens for black branes in asymptotically flat and AdS spacetimes, and in ultraspinning black holes in higher dimensions. It is then possible to isolate the horizon fluctuations of wavelength much longer than the radial scale, and to formulate effective theories organized in an expansion in horizon gradients. These are the fluid-gravity correspondence ([Bhattacharyya, Hubeny](#page-40-7) et al., 2008) and the blackfold approach [\(Emparan](#page-41-14) et al., 2010; [Camps and Emparan, 2012](#page-40-8)).

The large D limit is useful since it provides another generic length scale without recourse to any large charge, rotation, or long directions along the horizon, i.e., a scale that is present even for neutral, slowly rotating black holes. As we saw earlier, in this limit the horizon radius r_0 and its surface gravity κ define two separate scales such that $\kappa^{-1}/r_0 \sim 1/D$. Moreover, we have found that quasinormal oscillations of frequency $\omega \ll \kappa$ are decoupled from the physics far from the horizon. Then it seems possible to develop a fully nonlinear effective theory of the slow fluctuations of black holes.

B. Hydrodynamics review

In view of the close connections between, on the one hand, the large D limit of Einstein's equations, the fluid-gravity correspondence, and the AdS/CFT correspondence and, on the other hand, hydrodynamics, we want to begin with a review of hydrodynamics as an effective description of physical systems. More details can be found in [Kovtun \(2012\).](#page-42-10)

There is lore that most interacting many-body systems (at nonzero temperature, close to equilibrium, and at long enough timescales and length scales) are well described by hydrodynamics. Hydrodynamics is intended here in a generalized sense that encompasses the Navier-Stokes equations as a particular example. One identifies the conserved or quasiconserved currents that follow from the symmetries of the theory. In the Lorentzian setting, there is at least a stressenergy tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, and possibly additional conserved currents J_I^{μ} as well $I = 1, 2, ...$ The conservation rules for these currents $\partial T^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial I^{\mu}$ govern the behavior of the slowest currents $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 = \partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}$ govern the behavior of the slowest
modes in the system and thus determine the physics at the modes in the system and thus determine the physics at the longest timescales and length scales.

For each of these conservation equations $\partial_u T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $\partial_{\mu}J_{I}^{\mu}=0$, we can introduce one functional quantity to describe the behavior of the fluid. For the stress tensor, one describe the behavior of the fluid. For the stress tensor, one conventionally chooses local temperature T and the fluid four velocity u^{μ} , $u^2 = -1$. When J^{μ}_{I} exist, we can introduce
associated charge densities ρ_{I} as well. From the near equiassociated charge densities ρ_I as well. From the near equilibrium assumption, $T^{\mu\nu}$ must have an expansion in gradients of T and u^{μ} that can then be further constrained by symmetry. This expansion is often called the stress tensor constitutive relation.

We now focus on the particular example of a Lorentz invariant system that has a stress tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ but no other conserved currents. We can write the following constitutive relation for the stress tensor generally as a gradient expansion in the fluid four velocity u^{μ} and the temperature T:

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon(T)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + P(T)\Pi_{\mu\nu} - 2\eta\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \zeta\Pi_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\lambda}u^{\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\partial^{2}),
$$
\n(4.1)

where

$$
\Pi^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu}u^{\nu},\tag{4.2}
$$

$$
\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mu}{}^{\lambda} \Pi_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} (\partial_{\lambda} u_{\sigma} + \partial_{\sigma} u_{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{d-1} \Pi^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\lambda} u^{\lambda}.
$$
 (4.3)

Here $P(T)$ is the pressure and $\varepsilon(T)$ is the energy density, both of which depend on T through equations of state. The coefficients η and ζ are conventionally called the shear and bulk viscosities, respectively.

One may be confused at this point by the claim that Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-10-0) is the general result, given that it lacks several possible first-order gradient corrections. For example, no terms involving gradients of T have been included. A confusing aspect of hydrodynamic descriptions is that T and u^{μ} are only well-defined concepts when they do not vary from point to point. We can redefine T and u by gradients, for instance, replacing $T \to T + u^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} T$, and get a new constitutive relation for $T^{\mu\nu}$ with a correspondingly altered set of hydrodynamic equations $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$. We use this freedom to eliminate several possible gradient corrections from Eq. [\(4.1\).](#page-10-0) The particular choice [\(4.1\)](#page-10-0) is called the Landau frame, which defines u as the unit-normalized timelike eigenvector of the stress tensor, i.e., $T^{\mu\nu}u_{\nu} = \varepsilon u^{\mu}$.

The second law of thermodynamics puts constraints on the hydrodynamic expansion. We expect that the entropy will increase during hydrodynamic flows. This increase is encoded in a positive divergence of the entropy current. If we introduce an entropy density s that satisfies the thermodynamic relation

$$
\varepsilon + P = Ts,\t(4.4)
$$

the entropy current at leading order in the gradient expansion is

$$
J_S^{\mu} = s u^{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(\partial^2). \tag{4.5}
$$

From conservation of the stress tensor, it then follows that

$$
\partial_{\mu}J_{S}^{\mu} = \frac{2\eta}{T}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\zeta}{T}(\partial_{\mu}u^{\mu})^{2} + \cdots, \qquad (4.6)
$$

which means that the viscosities η and ζ must be non-negative for the fluid to satisfy the second law of thermodynamics.

The generality of this discussion, which did not specify the nature of the system being considered, suggests that the hydrodynamic description should also apply to the long wavelength fluctuations of extended black hole horizons. As previously mentioned, for black branes in AdS spacetimes this idea was developed into the subject of the fluid-gravity correspondence ([Bhattacharyya, Hubeny](#page-40-7) et al., 2008; [Hubeny,](#page-42-11) [Minwalla, and Rangamani, 2012](#page-42-11)). Motivated by this context, we are often interested here in conformal fluids. For these fluids, the stress tensor is traceless, which puts many additional constraints on the behavior of the system. In particular, one finds that $\varepsilon = (d-1)P$ and that the bulk viscosity vanishes ($\zeta = 0$). Scale invariance further implies that the energy density and pressure dependence on the temperature is power law

$$
\varepsilon = (d-1)P \sim T^d. \tag{4.7}
$$

Other applications are concerned with the fluids dual to asymptotically flat black branes, which are not conformal [\(Camps, Emparan, and Haddad, 2010](#page-40-9); [Emparan](#page-41-14) et al., 2010). Nevertheless, they can be linked to the conformal fluids for AdS black branes, inheriting from them contraints on the constitutive relation [\(Kanitscheider and Skenderis, 2009](#page-42-12); [Caldarelli](#page-40-10) et al., 2013). Specifically, they imply that for an asymptotically flat black p-brane in $D = n + p + 3$ the fluid has

$$
\varepsilon = -(n+1)P,
$$
\n $\zeta = 2\eta \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$ \n(4.8)

C. Large D versus AdS/CFT decoupling and fluid-gravity correspondence

The large D approach shares features with the decoupling limit of AdS/CFT and with the fluid-gravity correspondence, but with important differences between them. In contrast to the long AdS throats of near-extremal black holes, where highly redshifted modes are trapped, the near-horizon zone of a large D black hole is extremely short. Still, it contains dynamics of its own: the small set of almost static gravitational modes that are decoupled from the far zone, which are described in Sec. [III.D.](#page-7-3)

The connections are even closer between large D effective theories and the fluid-gravity correspondences. In both cases one integrates the radial dependence orthogonal to the horizon, leaving an effective theory for the fluctuations parallel to the horizon, with frequencies and wave numbers $\omega, k \ll \kappa, T_H$. The two methods differ in how the expansion parameter k/T_H is made small. In the fluid-gravity correspondence, T_H remains finite while k is taken to be infinitesimal, so the theory is organized in powers of k , i.e., it is a gradient expansion of the hydrodynamic type. In the large D effective theory, k instead remains finite while T_H diverges like D. The smallness of k/T_H does not require a gradient expansion, so the large D effective theory can consistently consider fluctuations with finite (noninfinitesimal) wave numbers k (at the price of introducing large dimensionality). For the same reason, it also applies to black holes of finite extent, including the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini solution, and not only to black branes or ultraspinning black holes.

In general, the expansions in $1/D$ and in gradients (powers of k) have overlapping but noncoincident ranges of applicability; see [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-11) et al. (2019), [Bhattacharyya, Biswas, and](#page-40-12) [Patra \(2019\)](#page-40-12), and [Patra \(2019\)](#page-43-12) for detailed comparisons.

D. The large D effective membrane theory

The large D effective theory may be conceived of in a pictorial way. Recall that the effect of taking $D \gg 1$ is to concentrate the gravitational dynamics of the black hole within a thin sliver outside the horizon, leaving a hole in an otherwise undistorted background geometry (e.g., Minkowski or AdS spacetime). We can then envisage the surface of the hole as a membrane in that background, with properties obtained by integrating the Einstein equations near the horizon of the black hole. We describe this next.

Currently there exist several formulations of effective theories of large D black holes, roughly divided into the Japan-Barcelona variety [\(Emparan](#page-41-15) et al., 2015, [2016](#page-41-16); [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015a](#page-41-2); [Suzuki and Tanabe,](#page-43-11) [2015b](#page-43-11)) and the India (Mumbai-Kanpur-Pune) variety [\(Bhattacharyya, De](#page-40-13) et al., 2016; [Bhattacharyya, Mandlik](#page-40-3) et al.[, 2016;](#page-40-3) [Dandekar, Mazumdar](#page-41-17) et al., 2016; [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-14) et al., 2017, [2018](#page-40-15)).¹¹ They employ different Ansätze, gauges, and variables and result in equations whose complete equivalence has not been established in general. Nevertheless, they all share the same basic concepts, and whenever precise comparisons have been made, e.g., in computations of quasinormal frequencies, they agree perfectly. Each formulation has its advantages, but it seems fair to say that the Indian formulation, which is fully covariant, is more elegant and encompasses in a single, compact set of equations what the Japan-Barcelona methods achieve with several partially gauge-fixed theories. Thus, in the following we explain the Indian "hardcover edition" of the effective theory—the so-called large D membrane paradigm. Afterward, in Sec. [IV.E](#page-14-0) we devote attention to an interesting subcase, a usable "pocket edition" of the equations, initially found in [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe \(2015a\)](#page-41-2).

1. The membrane equations

We start again from the Schwarzschild-Tangherlini black hole, now written in Kerr-Schild coordinates,

$$
ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{n+1} + \left(\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{n}(dt - dr)^{2}.
$$
 (4.9)

This is convenient since the deviations from flat space appear in a linear-looking form, and when n is large the separation is neat between the flat space at $r>r_0$ and the thin, membranelike, near-horizon region $r \approx r_0$. Observe that the one-form $dt - dr$ is null both in the complete geometry and in the flat spacetime metric.

A covariant, boosted version is obtained if we replace dt with a timelike one-form u with unit norm (a velocity vector) in the Minkowski spacetime, so the metric is

$$
g_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^n (\partial_M r - u_M)(\partial_N r - u_N), \qquad (4.10)
$$

with the radius function

$$
r^{2}(x) = x^{M} x^{N} (\eta_{MN} + u_{M} u_{N}). \qquad (4.11)
$$

Written like this, the solution contains a constant parameter, the horizon radius at $r = r_0$ with normal $dr = \partial_M r dx^M$, and a constant one-form, the velocity u . We turn them into collective fields by letting them vary over the horizon much more slowly than the scale $\sim D/r_0$ of the radial gradients. The horizon can then have nonuniform, varying shapes and move with varying velocity in tangential directions. We see in Sec. [II.B](#page-4-2) that when D is large the rotation of the black hole acts like a local boost along the horizon. Then with this formalism we expect to be able to describe large D rotating black holes and their fluctuations.

When the constant parameters are allowed to vary along the horizon, the metric no longer solves the Einstein equations $R_{MN} = 0$. To remedy this, one introduces small corrections to the metric, of the order of $1/D$ of the ratio between horizon gradients and radial gradients, such that the Einstein equations can be satisfied consistently in an expansion in $1/D$. In the spirit of a "radial Arnowitt-Deser-Misner decomposition," one separates the radial evolution equations from the constraint equations on surfaces at constant radius. The hierarchy between radial gradients and gradients along the horizon allows one to explicitly solve the radial dependence of the evolution equations. Then the constraint equations, with dependence only along horizon directions, become effective equations for the collective fields. This philosophy, which is common to all the large D effective theories, was first employed in the fluidgravity correspondence [\(Bhattacharyya, Hubeny](#page-40-7) et al., 2008) and later used to derive the blackfold equations ([Camps,](#page-40-9) [Emparan, and Haddad, 2010](#page-40-9); [Camps and Emparan, 2012](#page-40-8)).

According to this, we consider a metric of the form

$$
g_{MN} = g_{MN}^{(0)} + \frac{g_{MN}^{(1)}}{n} + \cdots, \qquad (4.12)
$$

with

$$
g_{MN}^{(0)} = \eta_{MN} + \rho^{-n} (n_M - u_M)(n_N - u_N). \tag{4.13}
$$

Here $\rho(x)$ is now a function of the coordinates in flat space, n_M is a one-form field normal to surfaces of constant ρ ,

$$
n_M = \frac{\partial_M \rho}{\sqrt{\eta^{NP} \partial_N \rho \partial_P \rho}} \tag{4.14}
$$

(not to be confused with the number of dimensions *n*), and u_M is a one-form velocity field tangent to these surfaces

$$
\eta^{MN} u_M u_N = -1, \qquad \eta^{MN} u_M n_N = 0. \tag{4.15}
$$

These one-forms are unit normalized with the Minkowski

 11 Contributions from Beijing and other places are mentioned later. metric. In the full spacetime we have

$$
g_{(0)}^{MN} n_M n_N = 1 - \rho^{-n}, \qquad (4.16)
$$

which implies that $\rho = 1$ is a null hypersurface generated by u. This is the event horizon. As a submanifold of flat space, $\rho = 1$ is a timelike codimension-1 surface that is regarded as the membrane world volume, and u is a velocity vector in its tangent space.

One can see that this geometry is a good approximation to the metric of a boosted Schwarzschild black hole, within a region of the horizon of the size ~1/D, if $\nabla^2(\rho^{-n})=0$ and

$$
\nabla^A u_A = 0. \tag{4.17}
$$

Here and henceforth all indices A, B, \ldots and derivatives refer to the metric on the membrane.

The Einstein radial evolution equations for $g_{MN}^{(1)}$ are now decomposed into scalars, vectors, and tensors according to their tensorial character under the group $SO(n + 1)$ of rotations orthogonal to u_M and n_M , as we did in the study of linear perturbations.¹² The radial dependence in $g_{MN}^{(1)}$ can then be integrated, and the remaining constraint equations involve only the collective fields. They take the form

$$
\mathcal{P}_C^A \left(u^B (\nabla_B u_A - K_{BA}) + \frac{\nabla_A K - \nabla^2 u_A}{K} \right) = 0. \tag{4.18}
$$

Here

$$
\mathcal{P}_{B}^{A} = \delta_{B}^{A} + u_{B}u^{A} \tag{4.19}
$$

is the spatial projector orthogonal to the velocity on the membrane world volume, K_{AB} is the extrinsic curvature of the membrane in Minkowski space, and K is its trace.

Together Eqs. [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.18\)](#page-12-2) constitute a set of $n + 2$ equations for $n + 2$ variables: the $(n + 1)$ -independent components of the unit velocity field along the membrane, plus the function that specifies the shape of the membrane. The derivation ensures that solving these equations provides a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations to first order in $1/D$. As a check, it was verified that the decoupled vector and scalar quasinormal frequencies of the Schwarzschild black hole that we study in Sec. [III.D](#page-7-3) are correctly reproduced from the linearized fluctuations of a spherical membrane [\(Bhattacharyya, De](#page-40-13) et al., 2016; [Bhattacharyya, Mandlik](#page-40-3) et al.[, 2016\)](#page-40-3).

2. Playing with soap bubbles

The elastic aspects of the membrane are more manifest for stationary configurations where the velocity is proportional to a Killing vector on the membrane

$$
u^A = \gamma k^A, \tag{4.20}
$$

with $\gamma = |k|^{-1}$ the Lorentz redshift factor relative to Killing
time. Then the equations simplify and admit a first integral time. Then the equations simplify and admit a first integral, reducing to ([Dandekar](#page-41-18) et al., 2018; [Mandlik and Thakur,](#page-43-13) [2018](#page-43-13))

$$
\gamma^{-1}K = 2\kappa. \tag{4.21}
$$

The integration constant κ corresponds to the surface gravity of the black hole, normalized relative to Killing time.

Equation [\(4.21\)](#page-12-3) was initially derived following a different approach by [Emparan](#page-41-15) et al. (2015) and [Suzuki and Tanabe](#page-43-11) [\(2015b\),](#page-43-11) who referred to it as the "soap-bubble equation." When the membrane is static ($\gamma = 1$), we recover the Laplace-Young equation that governs the shape of fluid interfaces (such as soap films) as surfaces of constant mean curvature. Equation [\(4.21\)](#page-12-3) is a relativistic version of the Laplace-Young equation. It can be extended to soap films in curved backgrounds by including the gravitational time dilation on the same footing as the Lorentz dilation factor γ ([Emparan](#page-41-15) et al., [2015](#page-41-15); [Suzuki and Tanabe, 2015b](#page-43-11)). One can solve this equation to find bubbles for rotating Myers-Perry black holes [\(Suzuki](#page-43-11) [and Tanabe, 2015b](#page-43-11); [Mandlik and Thakur, 2018](#page-43-13)) and for "black droplets" in AdS ([Emparan](#page-41-15) et al., 2015).

We are thus led to a satisfyingly suggestive picture: large D black holes are spherical and ellipsoidal soap bubbles in flat spacetime, and their tension is given by the surface gravity. Black strings and black branes are also soap films at large D, but if you ask any child, he or she will tell you that these bubbles must be unstable. And of course the child is correct.

3. Fluid stress energy, entropy, action, and coupling to radiation

Since Eq. [\(4.18\)](#page-12-2) arises as momentum-type constraint equations on the surface of the membrane, one naturally expects that they can be interpreted as the conservation equations of a quasilocal stress-energy tensor for the membrane

$$
\nabla^A T_{AB} = 0. \tag{4.22}
$$

This stress-energy tensor was found by [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-14) et al. [\(2017\)](#page-40-14) to be

$$
16\pi T_{AB} = K u_A u_B + K_{AB} - 2\sigma_{AB}, \qquad (4.23)
$$

where σ_{AB} is the shear tensor of the velocity field u. Moreover, an entropy current associated with the horizon area was identified as

$$
J_S = \frac{u}{4}.\tag{4.24}
$$

We can now attempt to interpret Eqs. [\(4.22\)](#page-12-4) and [\(4.24\)](#page-12-5) as describing an effective fluid on a dynamical, curved membrane.¹³ Following [Dandekar](#page-41-18) *et al.* (2018) we first subtract an automatically conserved Brown-York (BY) term, $16\pi T_{AB}^{\text{BY}} = K_{AB} - K g_{AB}$, which leaves

¹²Actually, in the derivation one separates a finite number p of directions where there is nontrivial dependence and preserves a large SO $(n - p + 1)$ symmetry. The final equations can be covariantized without distinguishing world-volume directions.

¹³These are similar in many respects to the equations of the blackfold approach [\(Emparan](#page-41-14) et al., 2010), but, being obtained in different expansions, the effective fluids are not the same except in common regimes of validity. The blackfold fluid of [Emparan](#page-41-14) et al. [\(2010\)](#page-41-14) has nonzero energy density and is compressible.

$$
16\pi T_{AB}^{\text{fluid}} = KP_{AB} - 2\sigma_{AB}.\tag{4.25}
$$

Comparing Eqs. [\(4.25\)](#page-12-6) and [\(4.24\)](#page-12-5) with the general expressions of first-order hydrodynamics [\(4.1\),](#page-10-0) [\(4.5\),](#page-10-1) and [\(4.4\)](#page-10-2) yields the effective energy density, pressure, shear viscosity, entropy density, and temperature as

$$
\varepsilon = 0,
$$
 $P = \frac{K}{16\pi}, \qquad \eta = \frac{1}{16\pi}, \qquad s = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad T = \frac{K}{4\pi}.$ \n(4.26)

Observe that Eq. [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) implies that the fluid is incompressible, so $\zeta = 0$. It is nevertheless a peculiar fluid since its energy density vanishes. We see in Sec. [IV.E](#page-14-0) that a more conventional fluid is found when the velocity is nonrelativistic and the membrane geometry is flat.

Additionally, Eq. [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) means that entropy is conserved to leading order at large D. At the next order this equation is modified to ([Dandekar, De](#page-41-19) et al., 2016)

$$
\nabla_A u^A = \frac{1}{8K} \sigma_{AB} \sigma^{AB}, \qquad (4.27)
$$

which, when we plug in Eq. (4.26) , reproduces precisely the standard hydrodynamic result for viscous entropy production [\(4.6\).](#page-10-3)

Within this same context, [Dandekar](#page-41-18) et al. (2018) made an interesting observation. The stress-energy tensor [\(4.23\)](#page-12-7) satisfies

$$
K_{AB}T^{AB} = 0 \tag{4.28}
$$

to leading order at large D . Equation (4.28) is known to generically govern the elastic dynamics of relativistic branes [\(Carter, 2001](#page-40-16); [Emparan](#page-41-14) et al., 2010; [Camps and Emparan,](#page-40-8) [2012](#page-40-8)) (it generalizes the geodesic equation for particles). Here it is satisfied as an identity, although only in the first nontrivial order in the $1/D$ expansion. [Dandekar](#page-41-18) *et al.* (2018) then noted that it is possible to improve the membrane stress-energy tensor such that Eq. [\(4.28\)](#page-13-1) is an exact algebraic identity at finite values of D. The improved tensor is

$$
16\pi \tilde{T}_{AB} = \tilde{K}\mathcal{P}_{AB} - 2\sigma_{AB} + K_{AB} - Kg_{AB}, \quad (4.29)
$$

where g_{AB} is the metric induced on the membrane, and the improvement term is

$$
\tilde{K} = \frac{K^2 - K^{AB}K_{AB} + 2K^{AB}\sigma_{AB}}{K + K_{AB}u^A u^B}.
$$
 (4.30)

Using the appropriate rules for counting powers of D , one can verify that $\tilde{K} \to K$ when $D \to \infty$, so $\tilde{T}_{AB} \to T_{AB}$ is recovered. Since Eq. [\(4.28\)](#page-13-1) is satisfied, it defines consistent membrane dynamics at finite D. Moreover, the improved stress tensor also reproduces the equation for entropy production [\(4.6\)](#page-10-3).

For stationary membranes the conservation of \tilde{T}_{AB} leads to the improved soap-bubble equation $\gamma^{-1}\tilde{K} = 2\kappa$. [Dandekar](#page-41-18) et al. [\(2018\)](#page-41-18) proved that this equation follows from the extremization of the world-volume action

$$
I = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M} \sqrt{-g} (K - 2\gamma \kappa).
$$
 (4.31)

Using this action one defines thermodynamic quantities for stationary membranes: energy, entropy, and temperature. For static spherical membranes these reproduce the properties of Schwarzschild black holes exactly at finite values of D. This agreement also holds if one includes a background cosmological constant, but it does not when applied to rotating black holes.

Finally, since we are viewing the black hole as a physical membrane moving in a background geometry, we can envisage computing the gravitational radiation that this motion creates. In the same spirit as the quadrupole coupling of a source to the radiation field, we can couple the membrane stress tensor to linearized gravitational perturbations of the background. It is clear from our earlier discussion that such a coupling between near and far zones is nonperturbative in $1/D$: the emission of radiation from the slowly oscillating black hole is suppressed exponentially $(\sim e^{-D})$ or even factorially (D^{-D}) ([Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2013](#page-41-1); [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-14) et al., 2017; [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al., 2019a).

The theory of the radiation emission from the coupling to the membrane was worked out in detail by [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-14) et al. [\(2017\)](#page-40-14). It would be interesting to put it to use to calculate the gravitational waves emitted from a nonlinearly relaxing black hole or, much more ambitiously, the collision and merger of two black holes. As we see in Sec. [VI](#page-23-0), these phenomena have already been studied in a large D membrane approach, but thus far gravitational wave emission has been estimated only heuristically [\(Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al., 2019a).

4. Extensions

The membrane equations [\(4.18\)](#page-12-2) have been extended in many ways:

- The membrane can carry charge as a conserved current in its world volume, and much of the previous discussion extends to this case [\(Bhattacharyya, Mandlik](#page-40-3) et al., [2016](#page-40-3); [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-14) et al., 2017; [Kundu and Nandi,](#page-42-13) [2018](#page-42-13); [Mandlik and Thakur, 2018\)](#page-43-13).
- Subleading corrections in $1/D$, as well as the inclusion of a cosmological constant, have been successfully incorporated into the equations ([Dandekar, De](#page-41-19) et al., [2016](#page-41-19); [Bhattacharyya](#page-40-15) et al., 2018; [Bhattacharyya, Bis](#page-40-17)[was, and Dandekar, 2018](#page-40-17); [Kundu and Nandi, 2018](#page-42-13); [Biswas, 2019\)](#page-40-18).
- Membrane equations have been derived for gravitational theories with higher-curvature terms in the action, namely, Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity ([Saha, 2019\)](#page-43-14) and a general fourth-derivative gravity theory ([Kar,](#page-42-14) [Mandal, and Saha, 2019](#page-42-14)), and their properties were further studied by [Bhattacharya](#page-40-19) et al. (2020) and [Dandekar and Saha \(2020\)](#page-41-20). The analysis is possible perturbatively only in the couplings to the higherderivative terms, a point that we return to lin Sec. [IX](#page-36-0).

In all these extensions, one finds agreement whenever there is a previous calculation of quasinormal mode frequencies at large D.

5. Limitations

It is clear from the derivation of the effective theory that the equations are not valid when temporal gradients or spatial gradients along the horizon are $\mathcal{O}(D/r_0)$ (where r_0 is a characteristic length of the horizon). Since the effective equations of Sec. [IV.D.1,](#page-11-1) and also those of [Suzuki and](#page-43-11) [Tanabe \(2015b\)](#page-43-11) and [Tanabe \(2016c\)](#page-43-15), correctly capture the properties of Myers-Perry black holes and black rings,¹⁴ it is possible to use these theories for stationary configurations where the horizon modifies its shape away from sphericity by amounts of the order of $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$. The effective theories can also deal with nonuniform black strings with inhomogeneity of the size $\mathcal{O}(r_0/\sqrt{D})$ (see Sec. [V](#page-18-0)), but not on much shorter scales $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$ 15 scales $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$.¹⁵
However when

However, when time evolution is involved, the effective theories in the Japan-Barcelona framework [\(Emparan](#page-41-15) et al., [2015](#page-41-15), [2020;](#page-41-21) [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015a](#page-41-2); [Suzuki and](#page-43-11) [Tanabe, 2015b](#page-43-11); [Tanabe, 2016a](#page-43-16), [2016c](#page-43-15)) encounter a limitation. In these formulations, one has to first specify a stationary membrane shape by solving the soap-bubble equation (4.21) ; then one lets this shape fluctuate dynamically with an amplitude that is $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$, so it remains within the nearhorizon region of the stationary solution. Attempting to make this amplitude larger, of the size $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$, renders the fluctuation non-normalizable in the near zone, thus entering the regime of nondecoupled dynamics. As a consequence, these theories can deal only with time-dependent variations of the radius of the horizon that are small, with amplitude not more than $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$ away from the stationary shape.¹⁶

This limitation is not apparent in the Indian formulation, so in principle Eqs. [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.18\)](#page-12-2) could describe large $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$ time-dependent fluctuations of an amplitude comparable to the horizon size. However, these equations have not been applied yet to investigate nonlinear time-dependent processes. To date all such studies have employed the effective theory of black branes that we see in Sec. [IV.E](#page-14-0), for which, as Eq. [\(4.36\)](#page-15-0) shows, the fluctuations in r_0 are of the size $\mathcal{O}(1/D)$. In the derivation of these equations by [Dandekar, Mazumdar](#page-41-17) et al. [\(2016\),](#page-41-17) this condition follows necessarily from Eq. [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1).

A complete understanding of the scope of the large D effective theories would require one to verify explicitly whether Eqs. (4.17) and (4.18) can overcome this limitation.¹⁷

the stationary shape, with time gradients of $\mathcal{O}(1/r_0)$, building up over time to a large $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$ deformation in shape. The Japan-Barcelona framework cannot accommodate this, and it is not clear either whether it is compatible with the constraint in the Indian theories that the velocity must be tangential to the horizon. ¹⁷The Indian framework could not be extended to cases of large

temporal gradients if such fluctuations would always belong to nondecoupled dynamics and proceed on fast timescales of the order of $1/D$. Heuristically, recall that nondecoupled frequencies are $\omega \sim D/r_0$, and they may be excited when the black hole fluctuates. A deformation away from a stationary solution of the same order (in D) as r_0 might be expected to excite frequencies $\mathcal{O}(D/r_0)$, so the evolution will happen on fast timescales $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$.

As a concrete and interesting test, one may try to derive from them a set of two nonlinear $1 + 1$ partial differential equations (PDEs) for black strings with large dynamical fluctuations, with an amplitude that is an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ fraction of the black string thickness, and which reduce to Eqs. [\(4.37\)](#page-15-1) and [\(4.38\)](#page-15-2) when the amplitudes are $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$.

Another interesting phenomenon where this question is relevant is the evolution of the merger of two black holes. The method employed by [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a, [2019b\)](#page-39-3) to investigate these collisions, which we review in Sec. [VI.B,](#page-24-0) requires the horizon to be within an amplitude $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$ of the stationary shape. If the large D techniques could go beyond this regime, a more complete description of the merger would be possible.

6. Membrane paradigms

We now comment on the relation between the large D effective theories of any variety and the older membrane paradigm of [Damour \(1982\)](#page-41-22) and [Price and Thorne \(1986\)](#page-43-17). In spite of broad similarities, the large D theories differ from the membrane paradigm in crucial ways. Like the large D equations for the collective coordinates, the membrane paradigm consists of constraint equations on a surface on, or just outside, a horizon. However, unlike the large D approach, these constraints are not imposed after having integrated the dynamics near the horizon. In fact, they apply generally to any null hypersurface in Einstein's theory in any dimension, without requiring any separation of scales. They employ arbitrarily large boosts close to a Rindler horizon.

The membrane paradigm is a suggestive way of writing the boundary conditions on a null hypersurface, but no more than that. The radial dependence is not integrated, so it is not an effective theory in the sense that we discussed previously, with dynamical information about the possible shapes and fluctuations of the horizon. As a consequence, the membrane paradigm is more general, but it is also more limited than the large D equations for solving the physics of black holes.

E. Effective theory for black branes

1. Black brane equations

We now focus on the fluctuations of a black brane extended along a finite number p of spatial directions. It is known from the work of [Kol and Sorkin \(2004\)](#page-42-3), Asnin et al. [\(2007\),](#page-39-0) [Camps, Emparan, and Haddad \(2010\)](#page-40-9), and [Emparan, Suzuki,](#page-41-1) [and Tanabe \(2013\)](#page-41-1) that the most interesting dynamics of a black brane, the Gregory-Laflamme instability, occurs over length scales of the order of $\sim 1/\sqrt{D}$ along the horizon. Therefore, we appropriately rescale the spatial directions $\sigma^i \rightarrow \sigma^i/\sqrt{n}$ so that the background metric where the membrane moves is

$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{1}{n}\delta_{ij}d\sigma^i d\sigma^j + d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega_{n+1}.
$$
 (4.32)

Here $i = 1, \ldots, p$, so now

$$
n = D - p - 3. \t\t(4.33)
$$

¹⁴For black rings, see also [Armas and Parisini \(2019\)](#page-39-4) and [Mandlik](#page-43-18) [\(2020\).](#page-43-18) ¹⁵Nonuniformity on black strings on scales $\mathcal{O}(r_0/D)$ can be

described at large D if one leaves the remit of these effective theories ([Emparan and Suzuki, 2019](#page-41-23)). 16 One might envisage a membrane that changes slowly away from

Time is not rescaled since the frequency of Gregory-Laflamme modes is of order 1. Then we will consider small velocities

$$
u_M = \left(-1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \frac{v_i(t, \sigma)}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \tag{4.34}
$$

If the fluctuating radius of the membrane surface is at

$$
\rho^n = m(t, \sigma), \tag{4.35}
$$

then when n is large

$$
\rho = 1 + \frac{\ln m(t, \sigma)}{n}.
$$
\n(4.36)

Plugging all of this into the membrane equations [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.18\),](#page-12-2) they become [\(Dandekar, Mazumdar](#page-41-17) et al., 2016)

$$
\partial_t m + \nabla_i (m v^i) = 0 \tag{4.37}
$$

and

$$
\partial_t (mv_i) + \nabla^j (mv_i v_j + \tau_{ij}) = 0, \qquad (4.38)
$$

with

$$
\tau_{ij} = -\epsilon m \delta_{ij} - 2m \nabla_{(i} v_{j)} - m \nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} \ln m. \qquad (4.39)
$$

We introduce here a sign parameter ϵ to accommodate the fact that the same effective equations apply for a black brane in AdS, changing only¹⁸

$$
\epsilon = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for asymptotically flat (AF)},\\ -1 & \text{for AdS}. \end{cases}
$$
 (4.40)

The easiest way to derive this connection uses the AdS-Ricci flat correspondence ([Caldarelli](#page-40-10) et al., 2013, [2014\)](#page-40-20), which implies a close relationship between brane solutions in AdS and asymptotically flat space. In the limit $D \to \infty$, it yields the previous result.

The equations are invariant under constant Galilean boosts

$$
\sigma^i \to \sigma^i - w^i t, \qquad v_i \to v_i + w_i, \tag{4.41}
$$

which is expected since we have taken small velocities.

There is a definite hydrodynamic flavor to these equations: Eq. [\(4.37\)](#page-15-1) is the continuity equation for a fluid with mass density *m* and velocity field v_i , and Eq. [\(4.38\)](#page-15-2) is the conservation equation for the momentum density mv_i with stress tensor τ_{ij} . This is a nonrelativistic limit of the fluid of Section [IV.B,](#page-9-2) now moving in the flat geometry [\(4.32\)](#page-14-1). This fluid is compressible, with pressure

$$
P = -\epsilon m \tag{4.42}
$$

and shear and bulk viscosities [the latter is nonzero for an AF black p-brane; see Eq. [\(4.8\)\]](#page-10-4)

$$
\eta = m, \qquad \zeta = \frac{1+\epsilon}{p}\eta. \tag{4.43}
$$

The entropy density is $s = 4\pi m$, and since the mass is conserved, so is the entropy. Again, viscous entropy production enters only at the next order in $1/n$ ([Herzog, Spillane, and](#page-42-15) [Yarom, 2016;](#page-42-15) [Andrade](#page-39-5) et al., 2020). However, entropy can be generated at leading order in charged fluids when there is charge diffusion, i.e., via Joule heating [\(Emparan](#page-41-16) et al., 2016; [Andrade](#page-39-5) et al., 2020).

These properties agree with the large D limit of the effective fluids for AF and AdS black branes in the hydrodynamic theories of [Bhattacharyya, Loganayagam](#page-40-21) et al. (2008) and [Camps, Emparan, and Haddad \(2010\)](#page-40-9). But the differences in the theories are instructive: the constitutive relation for the stress-energy tensor [\(4.39\)](#page-15-3) contains terms with one and two derivatives, and, unlike in hydrodynamics, here these are leading-order terms in $1/D$ and hence are allowed to become as large as the zero-derivative, perfect fluid pressure term. The reason why the derivative expansion terminates in Eq. [\(4.39\)](#page-15-3) is not that higher gradients are assumed to be small and neglected, but that an infinite number of higher-order transport coefficients of the black brane vanish when $D \to \infty$. We return to this point in Sec. [VII.A](#page-26-1), but for now we mention that the elastic interpretation of the equations (where m plays the role of the membrane radius) makes this feature less mysterious, as these two-derivative terms are needed to complete the expression for the extrinsic curvature of the membrane to leading order at large D [\(Emparan](#page-41-16) et al., 2016).

A conveniently simple form of the equations is obtained by introducing

$$
p_i = mv_i + \nabla_i m. \tag{4.44}
$$

Then Eqs. [\(4.37\)](#page-15-1) and [\(4.38\)](#page-15-2) become

$$
\partial_t m - \nabla^2 m = -\epsilon \nabla_i p^i, \qquad (4.45)
$$

$$
\partial_t p_i - \nabla^2 p_i = \nabla_i m - \nabla^j \left(\frac{p_i p_j}{m} \right). \tag{4.46}
$$

These equations were first derived by [Emparan, Suzuki, and](#page-41-2) Tanabe $(2015a)$ using the metric *Ansätze*

$$
ds^2 = 2dt \, d\rho - A dt^2 - \frac{2}{n} C_i d\sigma^i dt + \frac{1}{n} G_{ij} d\sigma^i d\sigma^j + \rho^2 d\Omega_{n+1}
$$
\n
$$
(4.47)
$$

in the AF case, and

$$
ds^{2} = 2dt d\rho + \rho^{2} \left(-Adt^{2} - \frac{2}{n} C_{i} d\sigma^{i} dt + \frac{1}{n} G_{ij} d\sigma^{i} d\sigma^{j} \right)
$$
\n(4.48)

in AdS. Requiring that these metrics solve the Einstein equations in an expansion in $1/n$ yields

 18 In AdS the world volume is infinite dimensional, but we consider that there is nontrivial dependence in only a finite number p of directions.

$$
A = 1 - \frac{m(t, \sigma)}{\rho^n}, \qquad C_i = \frac{p_i(t, \sigma)}{\rho^n}, \qquad (4.49)
$$

$$
G_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{p_i(t, \sigma) p_j(t, \sigma)}{m(t, \sigma) \rho^n},
$$
\n(4.50)

with *m* and p_i constrained to satisfy Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\).](#page-15-5) To transform the Eddington-Finkelstein metric [\(4.47\)](#page-15-6) into the Kerr-Schild form [\(4.13\)](#page-11-2), change $t + \rho \rightarrow t$ and identify $m(t,\sigma)/\rho^n \to \rho^{-n}(t,\sigma)$.

In this form, Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) resemble inhomogeneous heat equations, whose dissipative properties make them well behaved and suited for numerical evolution. All the nonlinearity of the gravitational Einstein equations has been reduced to the last term in Eq. [\(4.46\).](#page-15-5)

The sign difference ϵ between AdS and AF black branes is not innocuous. Consider small fluctuations and long wavelengths, such that we can neglect the nonlinear term and the two-derivative terms in Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5). Then m and p_i satisfy wave equations

$$
(\partial_t^2 - \epsilon \nabla^2)(m, p_i) \approx 0. \tag{4.51}
$$

For AdS this describes sound waves with speed 1, which in physical length units is the correct sound velocity $c_s = 1/\sqrt{n}$
of a conformally invariant fluid at large *n*. For asymptotically of a conformally invariant fluid at large n . For asymptotically flat black branes, the sound speed is instead imaginary: density fluctuations are unstable and tend to clump. This is the Gregory-Laflamme instability of the black brane. We return to it at length in Sec. [V.](#page-18-0)

2. Extensions

The black brane effective equations are taken further in several different directions¹⁹:

- Branes with electric charge and p-brane charge and external electric field [\(Emparan](#page-41-16) et al., 2016).
- Higher orders in $1/D$ ([Herzog, Spillane, and Yarom,](#page-42-15) [2016](#page-42-15); [Rozali and Vincart-Emard, 2016](#page-43-19); [Emparan](#page-41-24) et al.[, 2018\)](#page-41-24).
- Curved background geometries (deformed boundary metrics) ([Andrade, Pantelidou, and Withers, 2018](#page-39-6)).
- Higher-curvature theories ([Chen, Li, and Zhang, 2017,](#page-40-22) [2018](#page-40-23); Chen et al.[, 2019\)](#page-40-24).
- Other classes of deformations of AdS black branes [\(Iizuka, Ishibashi, and Maeda, 2018\)](#page-42-16).
- Similar effective theories for finite black holes ([Herzog](#page-42-17) [and Kim, 2018](#page-42-17); [Tanabe, 2016a](#page-43-16), [2016b](#page-43-20), [2016c;](#page-43-15) [Chen, Li,](#page-40-25) [and Wang, 2017;](#page-40-25) [Chen, Li, and Zhang, 2018](#page-40-23)).

The simplicity that these equations bring to the nonlinear dynamics of black branes has made them a successful tool for applications of the large D expansion. Some of these follow from an unexpected feature of the equations that we explain next.

3. Black holes as blobs on a brane

Although the effective equations [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) have been derived for fluctuating black branes with an infinite extent, they turn out to also capture an unexpected amount of the basic physics of localized black holes, affording a simpler approach to them than the general membrane equations.

[Andrade, Emparan, and Licht \(2018\)](#page-39-7) observed that Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) support a class of time-independent solutions localized with Gaussian profiles. Restricting to 2 + 1 dimensions with $\delta_{ij}d\sigma^i d\sigma^j = dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2$, the simplest of these solutions is of these solutions is

$$
m(r) = m_0 e^{-r^2/2}, \qquad p_r(r) = \partial_r m \qquad (4.52)
$$

(so $v_i = 0$), with constant m_0 . Then [Andrade, Emparan, and](#page-39-7) [Licht \(2018\)](#page-39-7) [following [Suzuki and Tanabe \(2015a\)\]](#page-43-21) claimed that this Gaussian blob on a membrane (whose density does not asymptote to a constant but vanishes exponentially) approximates the geometry and properties of the large D Schwarzschild black hole well.

To see how this can be so and to make contact with the Ansatz [\(4.47\)](#page-15-6) for a 2-brane, we go once again to the black hole metric [\(2.1\)](#page-3-3) and write it in $D = n + 2 + 3$ dimensions as

$$
ds^{2} = -(1 - \hat{r}^{-(n+2)})d\hat{t}^{2} + \frac{d\hat{r}^{2}}{1 - \hat{r}^{-(n+2)}}
$$

$$
+ \hat{r}^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + \cos^{2}\theta d\Omega_{n+1})
$$
(4.53)

(we set $r_0 = 1$ for simplicity). Define the new coordinates R and r as

$$
\mathsf{R}^{1/n} = \hat{r}\cos\theta, \qquad \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}} = \hat{r}\sin\theta \tag{4.54}
$$

(such that $\hat{r}^n = \text{Re}^{r^2/2}$ as $n \to \infty$) and also an Eddington-Finkelstein time coordinate

$$
t = \hat{t} - \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\mathsf{R} - e^{-r^2/2} \right). \tag{4.55}
$$

Now take the large *n* limit of Eq. (4.53) , keeping *t*, *r*, and **R** finite; the metric takes the form of a black membrane [\(4.47\)](#page-15-6) with the Gaussian profile of Eq. [\(4.52\)](#page-16-2), normalized to $m_0 = 1$. Observe that Eq. [\(4.54\)](#page-16-3) implies that the blob extends over a region of small angular size $\Delta \theta \sim 1/\sqrt{n}$ around $\theta = 0$.
Indeed most of the area of the sphere S^{n+3} comes from this Indeed, most of the area of the sphere S^{n+3} comes from this region, and for the same reason the total mass and horizon area of the black hole are obtained with exponential accuracy by integrating the mass and area densities of the membrane. Heuristically, these blobs can be regarded as end points of the instability of a black brane [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe,](#page-41-2) $2015a$ ²⁰

¹⁹These have been obtained independently of the extensions mentioned in Sec. [IV.D.4](#page-13-2) of the "parent equations" [\(4.17\)](#page-12-1) and [\(4.18\).](#page-12-2)

 20 ²⁰To properly regularize it, put the unstable membrane in a finite box and take the limit where the box becomes infinite keeping the total energy fixed. Bear in mind that when $D \to \infty$ the black brane instability forms the blobs and ends without singular pinches to zero thickness [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015a](#page-41-2); [Emparan](#page-41-24) et al., [2018](#page-41-24)).

FIG. 4. The profile $m(r)$ for (a) the spinning black disk and (b) the spinning black bar. From [Andrade, Emparan, and Licht, 2018.](#page-39-7)

This analysis can be extended to Myers-Perry rotating black holes. For a single spin, the profiles are

$$
m = m_0 \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2(1+a^2)}\right), \qquad p_r = \partial_r m,
$$

$$
p_{\phi} = mr^2 \frac{a}{1+a^2}, \qquad (4.56)
$$

so the effect of the rotation is to spread the blob along the rotation plane; see Fig. [4\(a\).](#page-17-0) Note that the ratio $p^{\phi}/m =$ $a/(1 + a^2) = \Omega$ is the angular velocity. Integrating the relevant stress-energy components over the Gaussian profile produces a total mass M and angular momentum J for the spinning disks, from which one finds $J/M = 2a$. The angular velocity is restricted to the range $\Omega \in [0, 1/2]$, reaching a maximum at $a - 1$. For velocities $\Omega \le 1/2$, a can take one of maximum at $a = 1$. For velocities $\Omega < 1/2$, a can take one of two values; the angular velocity vanishes both in the static $a \rightarrow 0$ and "ultraspinning" $a \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

[Andrade, Emparan, and Licht \(2018\)](#page-39-7) also found spinning black bar solutions that obey

$$
m(t, r, \phi) = \exp\left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4} \{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\Omega^2} \cos[2(\phi - \Omega t)]\}\right).
$$
\n(4.57)

These are oblong Gaussians rotating with angular velocity Ω ; see Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-17-0). For these profiles, $J/M = 1/\Omega$. The reality constraint on m restricts the angular velocity to the range $\Omega \in [0, 1/2]$. At $\Omega = 1/2$, the spinning black bars become axisymmetric and join the spinning disk solutions as shown axisymmetric and join the spinning disk solutions, as shown in Fig. [5](#page-17-1).

These rotating bars break the axial symmetry in the ϕ direction. Generically, such an object should emit gravitational waves and could not exist in a stationary configuration. However, when $D \rightarrow \infty$ the emission of gravitational radiation is strongly suppressed as D^{-D} . Black bars are then expected to exist as long-lived configurations when D is finite but large, as we discuss in greater detail in Sec. [VI](#page-23-0).

With these stationary solutions in hand, one can then perturb them slightly. Requiring that the perturbations are regular and that they decay at infinity, they reproduce the quasinormal modes of the large D Schwarzschild and Myers-Perry black holes. For the latter, when the rotation parameter is $a = \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}...$ there are zero modes that mark the onset
of ultraspinning instabilities: recall Eq. (3.21). The "phase of ultraspinning instabilities; recall Eq. [\(3.21\).](#page-8-1) The "phase

FIG. 5. Phases of spinning disk large D, i.e., Myers-Perry black holes (dashed blue line) and spinning black bars (black line) in the plane $(J/M, \Omega)$. The dots indicate the presence of nonaxisymmetric corotating zero modes for the spinning disks and bars. The dots in the disk branch encircled in black indicate axisymmetric zero modes. New families of solutions branch from all of these zero modes, as explored in greater detail by [Licht, Luna, and Suzuki \(2020\)](#page-43-22). From [Andrade, Emparan, and](#page-39-7) [Licht, 2018](#page-39-7).

space" of the spinning disk and bar solutions is shown in Fig. [5,](#page-17-1) along with zero modes that indicate such instabilities. The qualitative picture that emerges is that for small J/M the spinning disks are stable. However, once J/M exceeds 2, the spinning bar becomes a preferred solution, at least for a little while, before new zero modes appear. The first (parity odd) mode appears at $J/M = 3/\sqrt{2}$, followed by a parity even
mode at $J/M = 4/\sqrt{2}$, Liebt, Lyne, and Symulti (2020) mode at $J/M = 4/\sqrt{3}$. [Licht, Luna, and Suzuki \(2020\)](#page-43-22)
suggested that the parity odd modes of the bar may not lead suggested that the parity odd modes of the bar may not lead to instabilities, 21 but after the first parity even mode appears, spinning bars decay in instabilities that are analogs of the GL instability that we consider in Sec. [V](#page-18-0) for the black string.

Besides Myers-Perry black holes and black bars, Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) reveal the existence and properties of many other new large D black holes as blobs with a variety of deformation patterns ([Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al., 2019a; [Andrade](#page-39-5) et al., 2020; [Licht, Luna, and Suzuki, 2020\)](#page-43-22). We

²¹The parity odd zero modes are associated with solutions that have larger angular frequency than the spinning black bar. As the size of the deformation increases, these solution branches quickly become singular and terminate ([Licht, Luna, and Suzuki, 2020](#page-43-22)). In the case of axisymmetric perturbations of the spinning disk, the parity odd and parity even modes lead to black Saturns and black rings, respectively.

comment on some aspects and consequences of the resulting enriched phase diagram in Sec. [VI.](#page-23-0)

Furthermore, using Eq. [\(4.41\)](#page-15-7) a blob can be boosted to move with constant velocity. [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-3) et al. (2019b) took the further step of throwing two such solutions at each other and observing the time evolution. The results of this experiment are also discussed in Sec. [VI](#page-23-0).

V. THE GREGORY-LAFLAMME INSTABILITY AT LARGE D

About 25 years ago, [Gregory and Laflamme \(1993](#page-42-4), [1994\)](#page-42-18) found that a uniform black string extended along a periodic direction develops a dynamical instability when the length of that direction grows too large. The end point of this instability has been a topic of lengthy and fruitful discussion ever since. One possibility, advocated by Gregory and Laflamme, is that the end point should be a localized black hole. However, such a transition requires that the horizon pinch-off, creating arbitrarily large curvature outside it and violating cosmic censorship. [Horowitz and Maeda \(2001\)](#page-42-19) argued instead that the end point may simply be a nonuniform black string (NUBS). In seeming support of their argument, using the Raychaudhuri equation they were in fact able to prove that horizon pinch-off could only occur at infinite affine parameter, measured with respect to null generators of the horizon. However, as pointed out a few years later ([Garfinkle, Lehner,](#page-42-20) [and Pretorius, 2005](#page-42-20); [Marolf, 2005](#page-43-23)), affine time is not the same thing as time measured by an observer far from the black string, and with reasonable assumptions (for example, that the spacetime is similar to that of a static Schwarzschild black hole) infinite affine time may correspond to finite time for the observer. Independently, several researchers constructed NUBS solutions in perturbation theory, close to the point of dynamical instability. [Gubser \(2002\)](#page-42-21) in five dimensions and [Wiseman \(2003\)](#page-43-24) and [Kudoh and Wiseman \(2005\)](#page-42-22) in six dimensions found that these NUBS solutions had larger entropy and were thermodynamically disfavored, seeming to support the original localized black hole scenario of Gregory and Laflamme.

The situation in a low dimension was later clarified by a time-dependent numerical simulation in $D = 5$ by [Lehner and](#page-43-25) [Pretorius \(2010\).](#page-43-25) The black string horizon evolves in a selfsimilar fractal cascade. At any given time, the solution consists of spherical black holes connected by thin black strings. The thin black strings between the black holes then evolve further into smaller black holes connected by yet thinner strings. The behavior is a gravitational analog of the Rayleigh-Plateau instability exhibited by thin streams of water [\(Cardoso and Dias, 2006\)](#page-40-26). The numerical simulation crashes when the curvature in the thinner segments becomes extremely large, but extrapolating the evolution indicates that a singularity will be reached in a finite asymptotic time [\(Lehner and Pretorius, 2010](#page-43-25), [2012](#page-43-26))²²

Thus, cosmic censorship is violated.²³ The further evolution requires new laws of physics beyond Einstein's classical theory, involving arguably a quantum theory of gravity. Nevertheless, a plausible evolution across the singularity was proposed by [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a, [2019b\)](#page-39-3) such that the input and consequences from quantum gravity are minimal. The neck that forms in the horizon has extremely high curvature and may be regarded as a small "Planck-size black hole," with high effective temperature. Such an object, without any conserved charges that could stabilize it, is expected to decay quantum mechanically by emitting a few Planck-energy quanta in a few Planck times. Then the neck evaporates in much the same manner as the neck in an unstable fluid jet evaporates (literally) and breaks the jet into a number of droplets. In the fluid jet, classical hydrodynamics quickly resumes control of droplet evolution after the brief episode of evaporation. If this picture also applies to black strings, the horizon pinch quickly evaporates through quantum-gravity effects, splitting the horizon into separate black holes, and classical evolution takes over again. Predictivity of the entire evolution using general relativity is maintained to great accuracy, with uncertainties proportional to at most a power of M_{Planck}/M , where M is the total mass of the system.²⁴ This picture assumes the evolution observed in numerical simulations, where the horizon shrinks without stopping until a singularity forms.

Importantly for our large D perspective, [Sorkin \(2004\)](#page-43-27) made a key observation that the end point of the instability should in fact depend sensitively on the spacetime dimension. Generalizing Gubser's perturbative method to an arbitrary dimension, he was able to establish that for $D > 13$ there is a second-order transition to a NUBS, while for $D \le 13$ the transition can only be first order, i.e., the perturbative NUBS solutions have higher entropy than their uniform cousins of equal mass.²⁵ It is this D dependence that makes the Gregory-Laflamme instability a preeminent application, perhaps the best application, for a large D approach to Einstein's equations.

We begin by reviewing a heuristic argument made by [Sorkin \(2004\)](#page-43-27) comparing the entropy of a black string and a black hole of the same mass in D dimensions. For simplicity, we work in the microcanonical ensemble at fixed entropy S and energy E. To this end, we review the thermodynamic

²²See also [Figueras](#page-41-25) *et al.* (2017) for a related numerical simulation of spinning black holes.

 23 There is active debate in the literature about these issues; see, e.g., [Iizuka, Ishibashi, and Maeda \(2018\)](#page-42-16) for a large D analysis of "mushroom" solutions in AdS that comes to the conclusion that in that instance cosmic censorship is not violated. They studied black branes "polarized" under an electromagnetic field sourced at the boundary, for which violations of cosmic censorship were argued in low dimensions by [Horowitz, Santos, and Way \(2016\)](#page-42-23). However, the physics of these violations appears to be different than in the case of the GL instability.
²⁴The loss of predictivity may even be exponentially small: the

evolution of a fluid jet, both right before and right after breaking into droplets, is controlled by attractor solutions of the hydrodynamic equations [\(Eggers, 1997](#page-41-26)). 25 In this problem *D* can actually be regarded as a continuous

parameter, so one can more accurately determine that the critical dimension is $D \approx 13.6$ [\(Sorkin, 2004](#page-43-27); [Emparan](#page-41-24) *et al.*, 2018).

properties of black p -branes in D dimensions, where p is the number of spatial dimensions of the membrane. We start with the asymptotically flat, black brane metric in a D-dimensional spacetime. This metric satisfies Einstein's equations in vacuum. The line element in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates is²⁶

$$
ds^{2} = 2dt dr - \left(1 - \frac{r_{0}^{n}}{r^{n}}\right)dt^{2} + \delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} + r^{2}d\Omega_{n+1}^{2}, \quad (5.1)
$$

with $i, j = 1, ..., p$ and $d\Omega_{n+1}^2$ the line element on a sphere with unit radius.

From the Bekenstein-Hawking formula for the entropy of a black hole $S = A/4G_N$, where A is the area of the event horizon, we can read off an entropy density

$$
s = \frac{r_0^{n+1} \Omega_{n+1}}{4G_N} \tag{5.2}
$$

per unit volume of the black brane.²⁷ The Hawking temperature can be determined as follows from the usual trick of analytically continuing to Euclidean time and insisting that there is no conical singularity at the horizon or, equivalently, from the surface gravity:

$$
T = \frac{n}{4\pi r_0}.\tag{5.3}
$$

The first law of black hole thermodynamics tells us that $\delta \varepsilon = T \delta s$, where ε is an energy density, from which we can deduce that

$$
\varepsilon = \frac{(n+1)r_0^n \Omega_{n+1}}{16\pi G_N}.
$$
\n(5.4)

We now want to compare the black hole $p = 0$ result with the black string $p = 1$ result in D dimensions. To render thermodynamic quantities finite, we compactify the x direction with periodicity L. For the string, our previously mentioned general thermodynamic formulas reduce to the special case

$$
S_s = sL = \frac{Lr_s^{D-3}\Omega_{D-3}}{4G_N}, \qquad E_s = \varepsilon L = \frac{(D-3)Lr_s^{D-4}\Omega_{D-3}}{16\pi G_N},
$$
\n(5.5)

where the horizon of the string is at $r = r_s$. We compare the corresponding values for a black hole in D dimensions to this result. Our black hole solution is no longer a solution if one of the spatial directions is compactified. Nevertheless, for small

 26 This is the uniform black brane case of Eqs. [\(4.47\)](#page-15-6) and [\(4.49\)](#page-15-8) with constant $m = r_0^n$ and $p_i = 0$ (and $\rho \to r, \sigma^i/\sqrt{n} \to x^i$
²⁷The volume of a (D – 1)-dimensional unit sphere

$$
\Omega_{D-1} = \frac{2\pi^{D/2}}{\Gamma(D/2)} \sim \left(\frac{2\pi}{D}\right)^{D/2} e^{D/2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(D^{-1/2})\right)
$$

gets exponentially small as D increases.

black holes we expect the corrections to s and ε to be small. Indeed, in the large D limit, where the gravitational effects of the black hole die off as r^{-n} , we expect this approximation to become better and better. We find then that

$$
S_h = \frac{r_h^{D-2} \Omega_{D-2}}{4G_N}, \qquad E_h = \frac{(D-2)r_h^{D-3} \Omega_{D-2}}{16\pi G_N}, \qquad (5.6)
$$

where the horizon of the black hole is at $r = r_h$.

In the stringy case the entropy grows with energy as $\log S_s \sim [(D-3)/(D-4)] \log E$, while in the black hole case
we instead find that $\log S_s \sim [(D-2)/(D-3)] \log E$. we instead find that $\log S_h \sim [(D-2)/(D-3)] \log E$. As
 $(D-3)/(D-4) > (D-2)/(D-3)$ for the cases of interest $(D-3)/(D-4)$ > $(D-2)/(D-3)$ for the cases of interest where $D > 4$, in the microcanonical ensemble we expect a low-energy phase where the black hole is stable ($S_h > S_s$), and a high-energy phase where the black string is stable $(S_s > S_h)$. The transition point, where the two entropies are equal, occurs when the horizon radii are

$$
r_s^* = \frac{D-2}{D-3} r_h^*
$$

= $L \left(\frac{D-3}{D-2} \right)^{D-2} \frac{\Gamma[(D-1)/2]}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma[(D/2)-1]}$
= $\frac{L\sqrt{D}}{e\sqrt{2\pi}} + \mathcal{O}(D^{-1/2}).$ (5.7)

For this phase transition to be self-consistent, the black hole should have a diameter that is less than or equal to L , i.e., it should fit in the box. This constraint leads to a critical value of the dimension $D = 13.06$. In other words, for $D \gtrsim 13$ we do not expect to find a stable localized black hole phase near the point in the phase diagram where the entropies would otherwise be equal.²⁸ Instead, for sufficiently large D , the uniform black string evolves into a nonuniform black string for thicknesses slightly below the critical thickness, as we see later.

In Sec. [IV.E.1,](#page-14-2) we gave the first steps for identifying the GL instability in a large D limit and for constructing the NUBS. A solution for a large D black string with varying profile along its length is given by inserting into Eqs. [\(4.47\)](#page-15-6) and [\(4.49\)](#page-15-8) any functions $m(t, z)$ and $p(t, z)$ that solve

$$
\partial_t m = -\partial_z (p - \partial_z m), \qquad (5.8)
$$

$$
\partial_t (p - \partial_z m) = -\partial_z \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + \partial_z^2 m - 2\partial_z p - m\right). \tag{5.9}
$$

We write the pair of partial differential equations in such a way that they can be interpreted as conservation of a stress tensor $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ with energy density $T^{00} \sim m$ and momentum $T^{tz} \sim p - \partial_z m$. Equation [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) gives an equivalent purely second-order presentation of the differential equations that is usually used, where we replace the second equation with a linear combination

²⁷The volume of a ($D - 1$)-dimensional unit sphere

 28 We could start with an extremely thin string, well below the critical thickness and also much smaller than L. In this case, there is no constraint from the size of the box. The isolated black holes of the same energy have a radius $r_h \sim r_s \ll L$ in the large D limit.

$$
\partial_t p - \partial_z^2 p = \partial_z \left(m - \frac{p^2}{m} \right). \tag{5.10}
$$

The uniform black string solution fixes the constant of proportionality that relates T^{tt} to m. From Eq. [\(5.4\)](#page-19-0) we see that

$$
T^{tt} = \frac{(n+1)\Omega_{n+1}}{16\pi G_N} [m + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})]. \tag{5.11}
$$

The conservation equations (5.8) and (5.10) then suggest

$$
T^{tz} = \frac{(n+1)\Omega_{n+1}}{16\pi G_N} [p - \partial_z m + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})], \quad (5.12)
$$

$$
T^{zz} = \frac{(n+1)\Omega_{n+1}}{16\pi G_N} \left(\frac{p^2}{m} + \partial_z^2 m - 2\partial_z p - m + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})\right).
$$
\n(5.13)

[Emparan](#page-41-15) et al. (2015) obtained the same result for a quasilocal stress tensor defined on a constant large R slice of the geometry.

The conservation equations [\(5.8\)](#page-19-1) and [\(5.10\)](#page-19-2) can be solved to find static NUBS solutions. These static solutions satisfy $T^{tz} = 0$ or, equivalently, at leading order in the $1/n$ expansion $p = \partial_z m$, leading to the following nonlinear ordinary differential equation for $m(z)$:

$$
\frac{(m')^2}{m} - m'' - m = -\tau,\tag{5.14}
$$

where τ is a constant proportional to the tension of the black string. This ordinary differential equation (ODE) becomes simpler with the substitution $m(z) = e^{-\rho(z)}$:

$$
\rho''(z) = 1 - \tau e^{\rho(z)}.
$$
 (5.15)

Equation [\(5.15\)](#page-20-0) describes periodic motion of a particle in a Toda-like potential $V(\rho) = \tau e^{\rho} - \rho$ provided that $\tau > 0$ ([Toda,](#page-43-28) [1975](#page-43-28); [Oppo and Politi, 1985;](#page-43-29) [Emparan](#page-41-15) et al., 2015). As such, it has a "conserved energy" and can be reduced to first-order form

$$
\frac{1}{2}\rho'(z)^2 + \tau e^{\rho(z)} - \rho(z) = c,\tag{5.16}
$$

where c is a second integration constant. This periodic motion in a bounded potential is reinterpreted here as a periodic profile of the NUBS that can be adapted to a choice of periodic boundary condition.

A particularly simple solution comes from Eq. [\(5.15\)](#page-20-0) in the limit of vanishing tension $\tau = 0$, in which case the potential is not bounded. In this case, the solution is a Gaussian $m = e^{-z^2/2}$. Such a solution will not obey periodic boundary conditions consistent with a compactified z direction. Yet if the box is large enough, m will be exponentially close to zero at the edges of the box, and the solution is a good approximation ([Suzuki and Tanabe, 2015a\)](#page-43-21). Arguing as in Sec. [IV.E.3](#page-16-0), one sees that this Gaussian solution is a one-dimensional version of the blobs that approximate exponentially well the properties of a spherical Schwarzschild black hole.

Time-dependent solutions are more difficult to analyze in general. However, in Sec. [IV.E.1](#page-14-2) we straightforwardly identified the large D version of the GL instability, and here we can make it more precise. Linearizing the conservation equations [\(5.8\)](#page-19-1) and [\(5.10\)](#page-19-2) about the uniform black string solution using the Ansatz

$$
m = m_0 + \delta m e^{\Omega t + ikz}, \qquad p = \delta p e^{\Omega t + ikz}, \quad (5.17)
$$

one finds the dispersion relation

$$
\Omega = \pm k(1 \mp k). \tag{5.18}
$$

For $0 \leq |k| < 1$, there is a growing mode and the uniform black string is unstable. The wave number $k_{\text{GL}} = 1$ is at the threshold of instability and corresponds to $\Omega = 0$. With some work (Asnin et al.[, 2007;](#page-39-0) [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe,](#page-41-10) [2015b](#page-41-10)), the analysis can be carried to higher order in the $1/n$ expansion as follows:

$$
k_{\text{GL}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{7}{8n^2} + \frac{-25/16 + 2\zeta(3)}{n^3} + \frac{363/128 - 5\zeta(3)}{n^4} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-5}).
$$
 (5.19)

This analytic result reproduces numerical calculations of k_{GL} down to $D = 6$ with better than 2.4% accuracy.

To analyze stability, we now follow two distinct approaches: thermodynamic and dynamical. For thermodynamic stability, we analyze static NUBS solutions. We extend the linear analysis at $\Omega = 0$ and $k = k_{\text{GL}}$ to higher, nonlinear order in the amplitude of the perturbation. Decomposing the NUBS in a Fourier series, one finds for the static string

$$
m(z) = 1 + \delta m \cos kz + \frac{\delta m^2}{6} \cos 2kz + \frac{\delta m^3}{96} \cos 3kz + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^4),
$$
\n(5.20)

$$
p(z) = \partial_z m(z),
$$
 $k = 1 - \frac{\delta m^2}{24} + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^4).$ (5.21)

We set $m_0 = 1$ and let k depend on δm to reduce the complexity of the expansion. These expressions can straightforwardly be extended to higher order in δm and $1/n$ [\(Emparan](#page-41-24) et al., 2018).

To see whether this solution is thermodynamically stable, we compare the energy and entropy of the NUBS with its uniform cousin. We define the following rescaled energy and entropy densities that absorb some of the n dependence:

$$
M(z) \equiv -\frac{16\pi G_N}{(n+1)\Omega_{n+1}} T^t{}_t = m(z) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \qquad (5.22)
$$

$$
S(z) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Omega_{n+1}} \text{area}(z, \mathbf{R}_h)
$$

= $\sqrt{G_{11}(z, \mathbf{R}_h)} \mathbf{R}_h^{(n+1)/n}(z) = m(z) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}),$ (5.23)

FIG. 6. For $D < D_*$, there are no weakly nonuniform black strings in the region where the uniform black strings are dynamically unstable. Therefore, the latter cannot evolve into the former. The phase transition must happen at some $M_* > M_{\text{GL}}$ and be first order. For $D > D_*$, there do exist weakly nonuniform black strings for the dynamically unstable black strings to decay into, which is consistent with a second-order phase transition at $M = M_{\text{GL}}$. From [Emparan](#page-41-24) *et al.*, 2018.

where G_{11} is as defined in Eq. [\(4.50\)](#page-16-4). Note that the entropy and energy are the same at leading order in the large D expansion. Thus, a thermodynamic stability analysis requires going to higher order in the $1/n$ expansion. We average these local densities over the z coordinate to define thermodynamic quantities

$$
\mathbf{M} \equiv \left(\frac{2\pi}{k_{\text{GL}}L}\right)^n \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dz}{L} M(z),
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{S} \equiv \left(\frac{2\pi}{k_{\text{GL}}L}\right)^{n+1} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \frac{dz}{L} S(z). \tag{5.24}
$$

While the conservation equations (5.8) and (5.10) give us only the leading-order term in the $1/n$ expansion, one can continue the calculation to the next few orders to obtain [\(Emparan](#page-41-24) et al., 2018)

$$
\mathbf{M} = 1 + n\delta m^2 \left(-\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{3n} + \frac{7}{12n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^4),
$$
\n(5.25)

$$
\mathbf{S} = 1 + n\delta m^2 \left(-\frac{1}{24} + \frac{11}{12n} + \frac{7}{24n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\delta m^4).
$$
\n(5.26)

The $O(n\delta m^2)$ correction to the mass changes sign at $n_* = 9.48$, which corresponds to

$$
D_* = 13.48.\t(5.27)
$$

This critical value of D_* is weakly sensitive to the order in $1/n$ at which one truncates the expansion. If we were to use only the first-order correction, then $D_* = 12$ while keeping further orders yields $D_* = 13.65$ and 13.93 [\(Emparan](#page-41-24) *et al.*, 2018). As we discussed in Sec. [III.F,](#page-8-0) the $1/n$ expansion is expected to be asymptotic [\(Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe, 2015b](#page-41-10)), so there should be an optimal order at which to truncate the expansion. Given the estimate of [Sorkin \(2004\)](#page-43-27) of the critical value $D_* = 13.5$, that order is perhaps n^{-2} or n^{-3} .²⁹
Indeed, the fact that the correction to $M(z)$ change

Indeed, the fact that the correction to $M(z)$ changes sign means that for $D < D_*$ there is no available weakly nonuniform black string for a dynamically unstable uniform black string to decay into; see Fig. [6.](#page-21-0) The uniform black string must decay before it reaches the GL instability, at some larger $M_* > M_{GL}$, by a first-order phase transition instead. In contrast, for $D > D_*$ there are weakly nonuniform black strings that can be accessed; the uniform black string is expected to decay via a second-order phase transition into these candidate solutions.

To double check this scenario, one should also compare the entropy density of the weakly nonuniform strings with their uniform cousins. The picture that emerges is shown in Fig. [6](#page-21-0); the entropy of the weak NUBS is larger than the UBS for $D > D_*$ and less than the UBS for $D < D_*$, as expected on thermodynamic grounds. The condition on the entropy difference between the uniform and nonuniform black strings leads to similar estimates for the critical dimension $D_* \approx 13.5$ in a $1/n$ expansion.³⁰ One can further investigate dynamical stability of the weakly nonuniform black strings, repeating the linear fluctuation analysis [\(5.17\)](#page-20-1) but about the nonuniform background. One finds that above the critical dimension the weakly nonuniform black strings are dynamically stable [\(Emparan](#page-41-24) et al., 2018).

For $D < D_*$, even though the transition is first order the stable phase can still be a NUBS, just with a finite deformation parameter. In $D = 12$ and 13 but not $D = 11$, both $1/n$ thermodynamic analysis and a direct numerical approach suggest that the first-order phase transition is indeed of such

²⁹The next-order term inside the brackets in Eq. [\(5.25\)](#page-21-1) is \approx 14/ n^3 , and its large coefficient probably reveals that the expansion does not converge. 30This analysis can be repeated in the canonical ensemble, at fixed

temperature instead of fixed energy. The critical value of the dimension is then slightly less $D_* \approx 12.5$ ([Emparan](#page-41-24) *et al.*, 2018).

a nature ([Figueras, Murata, and Reall, 2012](#page-41-27); [Emparan](#page-41-24) et al., [2018](#page-41-24)). The $1/n$ dynamical fluctuation analysis about the nonuniform black string can be extended beyond linear order to give some support for this scenario as well.

While we have provided evidence for a phase diagram of the black string, what would really tie everything together is a dynamical closed form solution that evolves a UBS to a NUBS for $k < k_{\text{GL}}$ or a NUBS to a UBS for $k > k_{\text{GL}}$. Such a solution has not yet been presented in the literature to our knowledge, but it is straightforward to work out by modifying Eq. [\(5.20\)](#page-20-2) to allow δm in Eq. (5.20) to have the right t and n dependence $\delta m \to \delta m(t/n)/\sqrt{n}$:

$$
m = 1 + \frac{\delta m}{\sqrt{n}} \cos kz + \frac{\delta m^2}{6n} \cos 2kz + \frac{\delta m^3}{96n^{3/2}} \cos 3kz + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}),
$$
\n(5.28)

$$
p = -\frac{(\delta m + \delta \dot{m})k}{\sqrt{n}} \sin kz - \frac{\delta m^2 k}{3n} \sin 2kz
$$

$$
-\frac{\delta m^3}{32n^{3/2}} \sin 3kz + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}).
$$
(5.29)

We allow the wave number to be freely tunable $(k = 1 - k_1/n)$ by an $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ amount. One finds the following differential equation for δm:

$$
24\delta \dot{m}(t) + \delta m(t)^3 + 12(1 - 2k_1)\delta m(t) = 0, \qquad (5.30)
$$

which has a solution

$$
\delta m(t/n) = \sqrt{24\delta k} (1 + Ce^{-2t\delta k/n})^{-1/2}, \qquad (5.31)
$$

where C is an integration constant and $k_1 = 1/2 + \delta k$. Comparing this with Eq. [\(5.19\)](#page-20-3), we see that $\delta k = 0$ corresponds to the $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ correction to the critical wave number k_{GL} . For $\delta k > 0$ or, correspondingly, $k < k_{\text{GL}}$, the solution evolves from a UBS in the distant past $(t \rightarrow -\infty)$ to a NUBS in the distant future ($t \to \infty$), assuming that $C > 0$. For $\delta k < 0$ and, correspondingly, $k > k_{GI}$, we need to take $C < 0$ to find a real solution. It is a solution that evolves to a UBS from something like a NUBS but that has nonzero time derivatives; it is a solution that does not exist arbitrarily far in the past. There is a singularity at $e^{2t_*\delta k/n} = -C$, before which the solution ceases to be real. This dependence on the sign of δk is consonant with the fact that for $\delta k < 0$ the NUBS is a complex saddle point: it is not a real solution of the equations of motion.

In conclusion, one can ask what happens if one starts with a uniform thin string in a box of length L in a high number of dimensions, well below the onset of the GL instability $L \gg L_{\text{GL}} \sim n^{-1/2} r_0$. Is the end point still a NUBS? [Emparan](#page-41-24) et al. (2018) indicated that the end point of the instability (wavy string or beads on a wire) depends on both n and the thickness r_0/L of the initial black string. At any given finite n , thin enough strings always evolve to beads on a wire; but as n grows larger this end point requires thinner strings. To gain some insight, we analyze $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$ corrections to the Gaussian solution of Eq. (5.16) , which are the large D analogs of isolated Schwarzschild black holes. [Suzuki and Tanabe](#page-43-21) $(2015a)$ found that³¹

$$
m(z) = e^{-z^2/2} \left(1 - \frac{z^4}{4n} + \frac{z^6(-16+3z^2)}{96n^2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}) \right). \tag{5.32}
$$

There is a breakdown in the $1/n$ approximation once $z \sim n^{1/4}$. In the original unscaled spatial coordinate system, this spatial distance is proportional $n^{-1/4}r_0$. Inverting the logic, this limit suggests that already narrow boxes with a length $L \sim n^{-1/4}r_0$ can support effectively tensionless black hole solutions, at least to leading order in the $1/n$ approximation, that can be interpreted as isolated Schwarzschild black holes.

There is something counterintuitive about this result, that isolated black holes become the favored end point in the regime where the box is still extremely narrow ($L \gtrsim n^{-1/4}r_0$). From the crude estimate that led to Eq. [\(5.7\),](#page-19-3) one sees that isolated spherical black holes and black strings with the same energy satisfy $r_h = r_s$ to leading order in the $1/n$ approximation, which might suggest that isolated black holes become the favored end point of the evolution only once $L \gtrsim 2r_0$. Indeed, a more careful study [\(Emparan and Suzuki, 2019\)](#page-41-23) of the neck formation as the black string pinches off suggested that the isolated black holes fit in the box only once $[r_0 = L/2 - \mathcal{O}(1/n)]$. The gradients involved, however, are beyond what the effective equations [\(5.8\)](#page-19-1) and [\(5.10\)](#page-19-2) can handle. Thus, in the regime $n^{-1/4} \le L/r_0 \le 2$ we expect the end point to actually be a highly nonuniform black string that looks tensionless, and hence like an isolated black hole within the approximations of the effective theory. These nonuniform black strings have a neck that is thinner than $\mathcal{O}(r_0)$, but shifting to an analysis like that by [Emparan and Suzuki \(2019\)](#page-41-23) it is possible to resolve the neck when its thickness is as small as $\sim \mathcal{O}(r_0/\sqrt{n}).$

A. Further extensions

Generalizations of the black string and black brane effective equations were mentioned in Sec. [IV.E.2.](#page-16-5) We comment here on their application to the GL problem.

[Emparan](#page-41-16) et al. (2016) and [Rozali and Vincart-Emard](#page-43-19) [\(2016\)](#page-43-19) considered charged black branes in a large D limit, while [Emparan](#page-41-16) *et al.* (2016)) went on to consider polarized branes (electric field parallel to the brane) and black branes charged with respect to an Abelian $(p + 2)$ -form. In all cases, there is a Gregory-Laflamme-type instability. The charge dependence of the solution modifies the dispersion relation for the fluctuations in various ways. In the charged black brane case, while $k_{\text{GL}} = 1$ remains the same, for modes with $k < k_{\text{GL}}$ the growth rate Ω is reduced by the presence of the charge. In the $(p + 2)$ -form case, in contrast, the onset of the instability $k_{\text{GL}} = \sqrt{1 - q_p^2/m^2}$ is reduced by the presence of

 31 We corrected some typographical errors in Eq. (6.22) of [Suzuki](#page-43-21) [and Tanabe \(2015a\)](#page-43-21) and Eq. (5.1) of [Emparan](#page-41-24) et al. (2018).

the charge. Perhaps not surprisingly, in the $p = 2$ case at large D the end point of the instability appears to be a nonuniform black brane with a triangular closest packed lattice symmetry [\(Rozali and Vincart-Emard, 2016](#page-43-19)).

Extensions of the large D analysis of black string instabilities to black rings [\(Tanabe, 2016a,](#page-43-16) [2016c](#page-43-15); [Armas and](#page-39-4) [Parisini, 2019](#page-39-4); [Mandlik, 2020\)](#page-43-18), higher-curvature corrections to black rings ([Chen, Li, and Zhang, 2018](#page-40-23)), charged black rings [\(Chen, Li, and Wang, 2017](#page-40-25)), and higher-curvature corrections to black strings ([Chen, Li, and Zhang, 2017\)](#page-40-22) exist. Note that the black rings rotate at an angular velocity $\sim D^{-1/2}$ to maintain their shape, somewhat complicating the analysis. The behavior has qualitative similarities to that of the black strings that we have already discussed at length, but there are also differences when they are fat. Thin black rings exhibit a GL instability, which disappears for fat ones, but these are afflicted by other instabilities [\(Santos and Way,](#page-43-30) [2015](#page-43-30); [Figueras, Kunesch, and Tunyasuvunakool, 2016](#page-41-28); [Tanabe, 2016a](#page-43-16), [2016c](#page-43-15); [Armas and Parisini, 2019](#page-39-4); [Mandlik,](#page-43-18) 2020). At small D, the rings and strings tend to break up into isolated black holes. At large D, the end point is a NUBS. There are also similarities between the GL instability and the instability of small black holes in $AdS_D \times S^D$ [\(Herzog and](#page-42-17) [Kim, 2018\)](#page-42-17) that we explore in more depth later in the context of holography.

VI. BLACK HOLE COLLISIONS AND MERGERS

A. General aspects

The collision and merger of two black holes is the quintessential phenomenon of general relativity: it involves its main players (black holes and gravitational waves, horizons, and curvature oscillations) in a fully dynamical situation with strong gravitational fields, i.e., spacetime geometry distorted to the extreme. One of the most exciting aspects of black hole research in recent years is the observation of gravitational waves from black hole collisions and mergers at the LIGO detectors. Beyond this astrophysical context, black hole collisions are also of great interest as situations where we can hope to learn more about gravitational dynamics. Do the Einstein equations govern the entire collision process and its outcome, or does the classical theory break down under certain circumstances? In other words, does cosmic censorship hold throughout the evolution of all collisions? The lessons we have learned from the GL instability suggest that the answer can depend sensitively on the dimensionality of spacetime. Besides the fundamental interest of these questions, the study of black hole collisions in dimensions other than the fourth is pertinent for AdS/CFT applications. The collision of two five-dimensional black holes describes (in a dual setup) the collision of two balls of plasma in fourdimensional spacetime.

In light of the applications, there is great interest in accurately and efficiently simulating these collision events. Paper and pencil approaches are typically useful only in the limit where one of the black holes is much more massive than the other so that the smaller black hole may be treated as a perturbation to the metric created by the larger one. Full and accurate computer simulations of astrophysical black hole collisions have recently become possible but still require hours of computing time per event [\(Lehner and Pretorius,](#page-43-31) [2014](#page-43-31)). A key question for us then is whether a large D limit can shed light on black hole dynamics.

To answer that question, we begin with some rough estimates for radiation processes in a large D limit. Gravitational radiation is sourced by time-dependent quadrupolar or higher multipolar mass distributions; the amplitude of metric fluctuations sourced by the quadrupole is linear in both G_N and the quadrupole moment $Q \sim ML^2$, where M and L are characteristic mass and length scales of the source. The power produced goes as the square of the amplitude. However, a power of G_N gets absorbed in computing an energy from the metric fluctuations. The overall expression is then completed by including an oscillation frequency ω to give a dimensionally correct result $P \sim G_N \omega^6 Q^2 \sim G_N \omega^6 M^2 L^4$ in four dimensions. In D dimensions, the dependence on Q and G_N remains the same. However, the dimensionality of G_N changes, requiring the power of ω to be correspondingly adjusted [\(Cardoso, Dias, and Lemos, 2003](#page-40-27))

$$
\frac{dE}{dt} \sim G_N \omega^{D+2} Q^2 \Omega_{D-2} \sim G_N \omega^{D+2} M^2 L^4 \Omega_{D-2}.
$$
 (6.1)

To capture the D dependence of the result, we also include a sphere volume from integrating the power produced per unit angle over the quadrupole radiation pattern.

We can further refine this estimate ([Emparan, Suzuki, and](#page-41-1) [Tanabe, 2013](#page-41-1)) by calculating the energy produced per unit mass per period of oscillation as

$$
\frac{1}{M\omega}\frac{dE}{dt} \sim \Omega_{D-2}G_N\omega^{D+1}ML^4 \sim D^2\left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^D, \qquad (6.2)
$$

where in the last similarity relation we extract the leading D dependence of the result, employing Eq. [\(5.3\)](#page-19-4). The temperature of the black hole sets a threshold for radiation effects to kick in. For a Schwarzschild black hole or brane in the large D limit, the temperature (5.3) scales with D. For frequencies larger than $T \sim D/r_h$, radiation effects can be quite large, while for frequencies smaller than T radiation effects are essentially negligible.

This scale set by the temperature appears also in quasinormal modes; see Sec. [III.](#page-5-0) The typical quasinormal mode has an imaginary part that produces damping and that scales with the temperature T. Most quasinormal modes damp out exponentially quickly in a large D limit on a timescale set by $1/T \sim r_h/D$. Thus, in black hole collisions we expect any energy that is released from these modes to come out rapidly, almost as a shock wave or Dirac delta function shell. This increase in the speed at which gravitational radiation is released has been observed in numerical simulations of head-on collisions of black holes as a function of the spacetime dimension (Cook et al.[, 2017](#page-41-29)).

Another important radiation process for black holes is Hawking radiation. While we expect Hawking radiation to have a small effect during black hole collisions, as we are discussing radiation processes here, we now make some remarks. Estimating black holes as black bodies, we can derive the large D scaling for power emitted by Hawking radiation from the Stefan-Boltzmann law, namely, that the power P scales as $T⁴$ times the surface area in four dimensions. In D dimensions, the T^4 scaling is replaced by T^D . For Schwarzschild black holes, from Eqs. [\(5.2\)](#page-19-5) and [\(5.3\)](#page-19-4) we find that

$$
P \sim r_h^{-2} \Omega_{D-2} D^D. \tag{6.3}
$$

To keep this effect finite in a large D limit, we must consider extremely large black holes, with $r_h \sim D^{D/4}$ in units of the Planck length. More careful discussions of Hawking radiation were provided by [Hod \(2011\),](#page-42-5) [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe](#page-41-1) [\(2013\),](#page-41-1) and [Holdt-Sørensen, McGady, and Wintergerst](#page-42-24) [\(2019\).](#page-42-24) We return to these discussions in Sec. [VIII.A](#page-34-0).

A final rough estimate uses the second law of black hole thermodynamics to constrain the energy radiated. If we take two black holes of energy E_1 and E_2 that merge to form a black hole of energy E_f , then the entropy of the final state should exceed the entropy of the initial state. Moreover, as black holes are highly entropic objects, we neglect the entropy carried by the radiated energy $E_1 + E_2 - E_f$ in this estimate. Using the entropy and energy results [\(5.6\)](#page-19-6) for a D-dimensional Schwarzschild black hole, we deduce the inequality

$$
E_f^{(D-2)/(D-3)} \le E_1^{(D-2)/(D-3)} + E_2^{(D-2)/(D-3)}.\tag{6.4}
$$

Fixing $E_i = E_1 + E_2$, the energy lost is maximized for equal mass black holes ($E_1 = E_2$) and scales with D as [\(Witek](#page-43-32) *et al.*, [2010](#page-43-32))

$$
E_i - E_f \le 2^{1/(D-2)} E_i.
$$
 (6.5)

This bound may be violated weakly because of the entropy carried by the emitted radiation but nevertheless indicates that the radiation is highly suppressed as D increases.

Numerical calculations of head-on collisions ([Berti,](#page-39-8) [Cardoso, and Kipapa, 2011;](#page-39-8) Cook et al.[, 2017\)](#page-41-29) produce a more nuanced picture of how gravitational radiation varies with spacetime dimension. The amount of radiation produced depends not only on D but also on the ratio M_1/M_2 as well as the initial kinetic energy associated with the collision. In the limit $M_1/M_2 \rightarrow 0$, the smaller mass black hole can be treated as a small perturbation on the metric sourced by the heavier object (Davis et al.[, 1971;](#page-41-30) [Berti, Cavaglia, and Gualtieri,](#page-40-28) [2004](#page-40-28); [Berti, Cardoso, and Kipapa, 2011](#page-39-8)). By solving the wave equation in this fixed background and numerically summing over modes, the radiated momentum and energy are obtained. [Berti, Cardoso, and Kipapa \(2011\)](#page-39-8) found that there is a critical value of the spacetime dimension where the radiation is minimized. This critical value depends on the initial kinetic energy of the system. For black holes that start at rest, the minimum completely disappears and the radiation is a strictly increasing function of D. This monotonically increasing behavior for $D > D_*$ is problematic, as Berti, Cardoso, and Kipapa observed; at some point the radiated energy exceeds the mass of the point particle and suggests a breakdown in the approximation in which the background metric is fixed.

Cook *et al.* [\(2017\)](#page-41-29) later reevaluated the $M_1/M_2 \rightarrow 0$ limit computations by exactly solving Einstein's equations. In these later numerical simulations, where the initial kinetic energy is taken to vanish, the monotonically increasing behavior is absent, replaced by a maximum at a relatively small number of dimensions ($D = 5$ or 6). The fact that the radiation decreases in the strict large D limit seems much more plausible, especially given the estimate [\(6.5\)](#page-24-1).

These simulations of head-on collisions in $D > 4$, while interesting, are not promising from the point of view of understanding black hole merger events in four dimensions. The presence of maxima and minima in the energy radiated as a function of dimension seems to exclude any sort of simple extrapolation from a large D limit down to $D = 4$. Additionally, these are head-on collisions with no angular momentum. They lack the inspiral phase that produced the characteristic chirp in the gravitational waves observed at LIGO.

It would be helpful to look at black hole collisions that involve angular momentum effects in higher D. Numerically, there are obvious challenges in working with higher-dimensional spacetime grids to allow for the reduction in symmetry. Our underlying purpose in taking a large D limit, however, is to obviate the need for numerical simulations, most optimistically to be able to produce simple analytical estimates that can be extrapolated down to $D = 4$. In this context, a less obvious challenge is Bertrand's theorem, which states that closed orbits for central force problems in classical mechanics are possible only for r^2 and $1/r$ potentials. While the potential experienced by two orbiting black holes in four dimensions is not strictly speaking $1/r$, when the black holes are far from each other it is close to $1/r$, allowing for a long period of quasistable inspiraling behavior. In higher dimensions, with a $1/r^{D-3}$ potential, the behavior promises to be more chaotic, and it is not entirely clear what universal lessons applicable to the $D = 4$ case can be gleaned from working in the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

To sum up this discussion, collisions performed for large values of D can be expected to resemble four-dimensional ones only when the impact parameters and the total angular momenta are small. For this study, the full scope of the large D techniques, in particular, the membrane theory of Sec. [IV.D,](#page-11-0) is still to be elucidated (see the discussion in Sec. [IV.D.5](#page-14-3)), but at a minimum one can expect to describe the nonlinear relaxation toward the final stationary state using large D effective theories.

Beyond this regime, the value of exploring large D collisions lies in what we can learn about generic gravitational dynamics, and in applications to dual collisions in AdS/CFT. While the latter have received little attention so far, there are detailed analyses of the evolution of black hole collisions in asymptotically flat space that reveal qualitatively new behaviors, in particular, violations of cosmic censorship, which we discuss next.

B. Cosmic censorsip violation in black hole collisions

[Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a, [2019b\)](#page-39-3) built on the idea, discussed in Sec. [IV.E.3,](#page-16-0) of treating localized black holes as blobs on a thin black brane, a picture that can be regarded as borne out of the GL instability. The advantage of this approach is that one can employ the effective brane equations [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) to model the entire collision process, starting from two Gaussian blobs thrown at each other and following their evolution with numerical simulations. These run stably and, since a collision can be simulated in just a few minutes in a conventional computer, it is easy to explore wide ranges of initial conditions.

Because the gravitational potential dies off so quickly with distance, the impact parameter needs to be small for the two black holes to have any effect on each other. Another crucial property of these collisions is that no gravitational radiation is emitted since, as we have seen, it is strongly suppressed as D grows. As a consequence, the total mass and angular momentum of the black hole system are conserved. This is of enormous help in obtaining a qualitative picture of the possible outcomes of the merger by examining the available stable configurations with the same mass and spin as the initial state. More precisely, we fix the total mass and add up the orbital angular momentum as well as the intrinsic spin of the black holes to obtain a value of J/M that characterizes the system.

The basic idea is that, when two blobs merge, if a stable blob with the value of J/M of the initial configuration exists, then the evolution will end on it. If instead no singleblob configuration is stable for that J/M , then the system fragments into separate blobs that fly apart. For values of J/M just beyond a stability threshold, a long-lived but eventually unstable state (typically a bar or a dumbbellshaped blob) can form. These play a role similar to resonances in scattering, and they help us to understand the physics involved in the fragmentation. Long black bars with large enough values of J/M exhibit a GL-type instability, which grows a pinch in their middle and eventually become singular. The violation of cosmic censorship that occurs in the GL instability and its proposed resolution by fragmenting the horizon also appear here. This evolution is borne out by the numerical collision experiments of [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a), employing Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5), as depicted in Fig. [7.](#page-25-0)

We now examine this proposed picture in more detail. In Fig. [5,](#page-17-1) we present some of the phases of blob solutions, namely, Myers-Perry black holes and black bars and their zero modes. Figure [8](#page-25-1) contains additional details, including other phases found by [Licht, Luna, and Suzuki \(2020\).](#page-43-22) In line with the stability argument, collisions with a given initial value of $J/M < 2.66$ always end in the stable blobs indicated in Fig. [8](#page-25-1): Myers-Perry black holes for $0 \leq J/M < 2$, black bars for $2 \leq J/M < 4/\sqrt{3}$ and dumbbell-shaped blobs [\(Licht, Luna, and Suzuki, 2020](#page-43-22)) for $4/\sqrt{3} \leq J/M < 2.66$. For larger values of J/M , there are no stable stationary blobs, so any black hole merger with $J/M > 2.66$ evolves to a horizon-pinching violation of cosmic censorship ([Andrade](#page-39-5) et al., 2020).³²

FIG. 7. Two spinning black holes collide and form a rotating black bar, which then breaks up into two outgoing black holes unlike the initial ones. From [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al., 2019a.

FIG. 8. Stable blobs as the end points of merger evolution [cf. Fig. [5](#page-17-1) and [Licht, Luna, and Suzuki \(2020\), Fig. 1\]](#page-43-22). Solid (dashed) lines are stable (unstable) stationary blobs. Myers-Perry black holes, stable up to $J/M = 2$; black bars, stable up to $J/M = 4/\sqrt{3} \approx 2.31$; black dumbbells, stable up to $J/M \approx 2.66$
(dumbbells along the dashed line are more like unstable binaries (dumbbells along the dashed line are more like unstable binaries of blobs). No stable stationary blobs exist for $J/M \gtrsim 2.66$, so if a merger occurs in this region, it can evolve only to a horizon pinch, violating cosmic censorship. From [Andrade](#page-39-5) et al., 2020.

In the context of the simulations here, that pinch-off never really happens. Recall that we represent black holes as blobs on a continuous brane. The neck joining the two blobs just becomes thinner and thinner. Subleading effects in the $1/D$ expansion, which we have neglected, become more and more important, invalidating our approximation. However, since cosmic censorship does appear to be violated in the analogous evolution of black strings, it is also expected to be violated in these black hole collisions.

To conclude this section, we discuss how these collision experiments may or may not extrapolate to lower, finite values of D. A big issue in this context is the competition between the dynamical instability associated with large enough J/M and the classical radiation sourced by the nonaxisymmetric spinning black objects. [Andrade, Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a) performed a detailed estimate in which they argued that, likely down to at least $D \gtrsim 8$, radiation has a negligible effect and the dynamical instability breaks the bar up into two disks. Their estimates are not precise enough to conclude whether or not the effect would persist for lower D , but dedicated numerical simulations indicate that it would, even in $D = 6$ [\(Andrade,](#page-39-9) [Figueras, and Sperhake, 2020](#page-39-9)). The arguments by [Andrade,](#page-39-2)

³²As discussed in footnote 21, odd-parity modes of bars lead to the formation of singular cusps at their end points. These may have interesting implications for the amount of gravitational radiation produced, especially in extrapolating to smaller D, where these radiation effects are anticipated to become more important.

[Emparan](#page-39-2) et al. (2019a, [2019b\)](#page-39-3) and [Andrade](#page-39-5) et al. (2020) were based on the similarity between the instabilities of black bars and of black strings, and the latter exist down to $D = 5$. However, it seems possible that in this dimension the system would lose angular momentum rapidly enough to fall beneath the dynamical instability threshold and would relax back to a spinning black hole. In $D = 4$ there are no black strings and all the mergers result in plump horizons, without any reason for why they should destabilize and pinch. Therefore, cosmic censorship seems likely to hold in black hole collisions in $D = 4$.

VII. HOLOGRAPHIC APPLICATIONS

One of the grand challenges of theoretical physics is to describe strongly interacting systems. In the context of understanding how strong interactions between quarks and gluons lead to the formation of hadrons in quantum chromodynamics, one of the Clay Mathematics Prizes³³ is offered for proving that the simpler Yang-Mills theory has a mass gap. A better understanding of strange metals (or non-Fermi liquids) may unlock the secrets of high temperature superconductivity and yet seems to necessitate accurately modeling strong interactions between electrons. Turbulence and shocks in hydrodynamics, which are associated with another Clay Mathematics Prize, involve both the strong interactions between the liquid molecules and strong time dependence. Indeed, a second important challenge for theoretical physics involves nonequilibrium phenomena.

There are few tools at our disposal to address these types of questions. Perturbation theory is obviously limited to weakly coupled regimes. Numerical simulations play an important role in examining turbulence but tend to be expensive and time intensive. They also, in a time-dependent and nonzero density world, are largely limited to classical phenomena. In the quantum realm, computer simulations in lattice QCD work well when the Monte Carlo simulations do not run into issues with oscillatory path integrals: the "sign problem." While these lattice computations can tell us the energy spectrum of QCD and the critical temperature above which QCD deconfines, they cannot in most cases address the physics needed to describe fermions, nonzero densities, and time dependence.

In this context, AdS/CFT correspondence (or holography) presents an additional tool to examine time dependence and strong interactions in quantum field theory. The tool works by conjecturing a relationship between a strongly interacting field theory and a classical theory of gravity in one extra dimension. The field theories in question have not thus far been directly related to the field theories that we believe describe the world we live in, e.g., QCD or QED. AdS/CFT examples are thus a bit like integrable models—systems that while not directly related to the physical systems of interest, can be exactly solved and thus may shed light on the original targets.

One uses AdS/CFT to examine QFT by solving the equations of motion of the dual gravity theory, effectively performing a saddle point approximation of the field theory in a strongly interacting limit, thereby reducing the computation of a path integral to solving Einstein's equations. One of the points of this review, however, is that solving Einstein's equations is still a difficult task. A large D limit may make this task simpler. Thus, it becomes natural to ask what additional insight a large D limit may shed on strongly interacting quantum field theories and nonequilibrium phenomena via AdS/CFT.

Many partial answers to this question have already been provided. We divide our discussion into three parts: (1) hydrodynamics; (2) applications of AdS/CFT to condensed matter physics, sometimes called AdS/CMT , where CMT stands for condensed matter theory; (3) applications of AdS/CFT to problems in nuclear physics, sometimes called AdS/QCD.

A. Holographic hydrodynamics

We review here some applications of the large D formalism to the hydrodynamic limit of strongly interacting field theories with a dual gravity description.

As AdS/CFT deals with conformal field theories, we must have a traceless stress tensor $T^{\mu}_{\mu} = 0$, which greatly con-
strains the form of the constitutive relations, as mentioned at strains the form of the constitutive relations, as mentioned at the end of Sec. [IV.B](#page-9-2). There is no bulk viscosity, only shear viscosity. For a field theory in d spacetime dimensions, the energy density, pressure, and temperature are related in a simple way: $\varepsilon = (d-1)P \sim T^d$. Furthermore, the speed of sound $c_s^2 = \partial \varepsilon / \partial P = 1/(d-1)$ is suppressed in the large d
limit As we did in the discussion of the Gregory-Laflamme limit. As we did in the discussion of the Gregory-Laflamme instability, we need to rescale the spatial coordinates by a factor of \sqrt{d} to keep sound modes in the leading-order equations of a large d limit.

As previously discussed, black branes in the large $D =$ $d+1$ limit of anti–de Sitter space are described by a hydro-dynamiclike set of equations [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) with $\epsilon = -1$. In the context of the discussion of hydrodynamics in Sec. [IV.B,](#page-9-2) these equations have a notable property. They involve only first- and second-order derivatives. Viewed as $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ in an appropriately chosen hydrodynamic frame, the gradient expansion is truncated at this leading order in the $1/D$ expansion ([Emparan](#page-41-16) et al., 2016; [Herzog, Spillane, and](#page-42-15) [Yarom, 2016\)](#page-42-15).³⁴ Provided that gradients are small compared to D, even if the gradients are otherwise large, e.g., $1 \ll (\partial_z m)/m \ll D$, hydrodynamics is exact.

The statement that the gradient expansion is truncated is not, however, independent of frame choice. By an appropriate frame redefinition, one can put Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) in Landau frame, with $T^{\mu\nu}$ given by Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-10-0) to first order in gradients. Indeed, one can find the map to second order in gradients given by [Emparan](#page-41-16) et al. (2016), [Herzog, Spillane,](#page-42-15) [and Yarom \(2016\)](#page-42-15), and [Rozali, Sabag, and Yarom \(2018\).](#page-43-33) Such a frame redefinition will introduce an infinite series of higher-order gradient corrections. The frame chosen by the large D limit is thus special.

Equations [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) constitute a nonrelativistic, compressible version of the Navier-Stokes equations. The

³³See <https://www.claymath.org/millennium-problems>.

³⁴See also [Camps, Emparan, and Haddad \(2010\)](#page-40-9) for the earlier black string analog of this statement.

FIG. 9. (a) Phase diagram for the solution to the Riemann problem in a large D limit. Given a pair $(m_L, 0)$ and (m_R, p_R) , the selection of shock and rarefaction waves is determined by the value of m_R/m_L and p_R/m_L . The dashed and solid lines are critical: The dashed line indicates the values of (m_R, p_R) connected to $(m_L, 0)$ by a single rarefaction wave, while the solid line indicates the value of (m_R, p_R) connected to $(m_L, 0)$ by a single shock wave. (b) Snapshot of the time evolution of the energy density for a RS case. x is the rescaled position coordinate, while $\delta m = (m_L - m_R)/(m_L + m_R)$ and $\langle m \rangle = (m_L + m_R)/2$. From [Herzog, Spillane, and Yarom, 2016.](#page-42-15)

equations must be compressible to allow for sound waves. The equations are nonrelativistic because of the rescaling that has kept the sound modes in the spectrum of the theory. By zooming in on modes with a speed of the order of $1/\sqrt{D}$, we see that the speed of light is effectively pushed off to infinity. Indeed, the equations are invariant under the Galilean boost [\(4.41\).](#page-15-7) If $m(t, x)$ and $p_i(t, x)$ are a solution, then so are $m(t, x - vt)$ and $p_i(t, x - vt) - v_i m(t, x - vt)$.

To see the sound modes, as we did in the Gregory-Laflamme case we can perform a fluctuation analysis $m =$ $m_0 + \delta m e^{-i\omega t + ikx}$ and $p = \delta p e^{-i\omega t + ikx}$. We quickly arive at a dispersion relation

$$
\omega = \pm k - ik^2. \tag{7.1}
$$

Unlike the Gregory-Laflamme case where an instability appears below a threshold k_{GL} , these modes are always stable. They are sound modes that have a speed equal to 1, given our rescaling of the spatial coordinates. They also have a damping term, indicative of the presence of viscosity. Indeed, we can understand the relation between damping and viscosity by running a similar analysis with the constitutive relation [\(4.1\)](#page-10-0) for $T^{\mu\nu}$ in Landau frame. In that case, we start with the Ansatz $T = T_0 + \delta T e^{-i\omega t + i\tilde{k}x}$ and $u_x = \delta u e^{-i\omega t + i\tilde{k}x}$, with $u_t = -1$. The position coordinate is not rescaled in Eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-10-0), so in relating the wave vectors, we must make the identification $\tilde{k} = \sqrt{n}k$. We find the following dispersion relation, accurate only to terms of $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{k}^2)$:

$$
\omega = \pm \frac{\tilde{k}}{\sqrt{d-1}} - \frac{i(2d-3)}{2d(d-1)} \frac{\eta}{P} \tilde{k}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{k}^3). \tag{7.2}
$$

Taking the large d limit, we identify the shear viscosity with the pressure $\eta = P$. In this large d limit, black hole thermodynamics tells us that the entropy density $s = 4\pi P$, allowing us to recover the well-known holographic result $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$ [\(Kovtun, Son, and Starinets, 2005\)](#page-42-25).

While equations similar to Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) (with $\epsilon = -1$) have been explored in a hydrodynamic context in depth over the years, the connection to black hole physics is relatively new. It is also surprising that in this context the description is exact at leading order in a $1/D$ expansion, even when the gradients are large. We now mention two explorations of these equations in more detail.

The first ([Herzog, Spillane, and Yarom, 2016\)](#page-42-15) explores the physics of shock formation in a $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional setting, keeping only a single p_i . The second [\(Rozali, Sabag, and](#page-43-33) [Yarom, 2018;](#page-43-33) [Andrade, Pantelidou](#page-39-10) et al., 2019) explores turbulence in $2 + 1$ and $3 + 1$ dimensions, keeping two or three of the p_i . Through the AdS/CFT correspondence, these explorations correspond to particular fluidlike behaviors of the dual field theories. In the context of gravity, they have an intriguing interpretation as dynamical motion of black hole horizons.

[Herzog, Spillane, and Yarom \(2016\)](#page-42-15) considered the case of a Riemann problem where at time zero there is a planar interface in the system. To the right, the energy and current are (m_R, p_R) , while to the left they are (m_L, p_L) . In fact, by Galilean invariance, we can boost to a frame where $p_L = 0$ without loss of generality. In a typical time evolution, a pair of rarefaction and/or shock waves form and move away from each other, creating in their wake a region with almost constant m and p . In the recent literature, this intermediate region has been called a nonequilibrium steady state [\(Bernard](#page-39-11) [and Doyon, 2016](#page-39-11)). Starting with Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\),](#page-15-5) [Herzog, Spillane, and Yarom \(2016\)](#page-42-15) determined a "phase diagram" (see Fig. [9\)](#page-27-0) that describes which pair of waves is formed: rarefaction shock (RS), shock shock (SS), shock rarefaction (SR), or rarefaction rarefaction (RR). Entropy production plays a key role in deciding which types of waves are preferred; in cases where the nearly adiabatic rarefaction wave is preferred, the corresponding shock solution leads to a decrease in the entropy of the fluid. The rules for the phase diagram can be derived in a limit where, away from the shock

interface, the second-order derivative contributions to Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5), i.e., the viscous terms, are dropped and the fluid is treated as ideal. Nevertheless, the numerical simulations indicate that the viscous effects are small and become less important as time increases.

[Rozali, Sabag, and Yarom \(2018\)](#page-43-33) explored decaying turbulence described by Eqs. (4.45) and (4.46) in $2 + 1$ and $3 + 1$ dimensions.³⁵ They looked at counterflow initial conditions, where a sinosoidally varying initial current distribution was allowed to relax. These initial conditions allowed for the initial Mach and Reynolds numbers to be independently varied; low Mach number corresponds to the incompressible limit, and high Reynolds number corresponds to a situation that should quickly become turbulent. Their results were largely insensitive to Mach number, and the turbulence that they observed is largely similar in character to that of incompressible fluids. In three spatial dimensions, they saw an energy cascade where the energy of the initial counterflow was carried to smaller and smaller scales and eventually dissipated in small eddies. They found the standard Kolmogorov scaling law $E(k) \sim r^{2/3}k^{-5/3}$, where $E(k)$ is the energy carried by wave numbers k in a shell between k | and energy carried by wave numbers k in a shell between $|k|$ and $|k| + dk$ and r is the energy loss rate.

In two spatial dimensions, they saw instead an inverse cascade where the vortices became larger as time proceeded. The scaling law that they saw $[E(k) \sim k^{-4}]$ was not as expected. In studies of steady-state turbulence in two dimensions, one typically pumps in energy at some scale k_{in} . There is then an inverse energy cascade with scaling $E(k) \sim r_{\text{IR}}^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$ to larger and larger length scales where eventually one must put in some damping term r_{IR} in the simulation to remove energy from the largest vortices. But there is also a direct enstrophy cascade, with scaling $E(k) \sim r_{\text{UV}}^{2/3} k^{-3}$, where r_{UV} is the rate at which enstrophy
is removed at small scales. Enstrophy roughly speaking is is removed at small scales. Enstrophy, roughly speaking, is the square of the vorticity density of the system. That [Rozali, Sabag, and Yarom \(2018\)](#page-43-33)) did not see the standard scaling results $k^{-5/3}$ or k^{-3} in two spatial dimensions may have been largely due to the fact that they were looking at decaying turbulence and did not carry out a large enough simulation to see robust scaling results. Chen et al. [\(2019\)](#page-40-24) later generalized this analysis to include a Gauss-Bonnet term in the Einstein equations. They found the same qualitative behavior as [Rozali, Sabag, and Yarom \(2018\),](#page-43-33) including the k^{-4} scaling in two-dimensional turbulent flows at large Reynolds number and low Mach number. However, [Andrade, Pantelidou](#page-39-10) et al. (2019) considered forced turbulence in the large D limit in an effective $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional system. They did observe the $k^{-5/3}$ scaling in the inverse cascade as well as standard Kolmogorov scaling results for the longitudinal structure functions. However, they did not discuss the direct enstrophy cascade or the dependence of their results on Mach and/or Reynolds number.

B. AdS/CMT

Our survey of the large D AdS/CMT literature proceeds in reverse chronological order. The previous hydrodynamic discussion transitions naturally into a discussion of translation symmetry breaking ([Andrade, Pantelidou, and Withers, 2018](#page-39-6)), achieved by fixing a nontrivial metric on the boundary of the asymptotically AdS spacetime. A drawback of using holography to compute transport coefficients such as charge and heat conductivities is that most of the simplest models preserve translation invariance. In a condensed matter context, this invariance is broken by a lattice and impurities, leading to qualitative differences in the behavior of transport. For example, in a system at nonzero charge density, charge currents also carry momentum. With nothing to dissipate momentum, the dc charge conductivity would be infinite.

Having disposed of the conceptually simplest method of breaking translation invariance, we move on to an earlier work by [Andrade, Gentle, and Withers \(2016\)](#page-39-12), where translation symmetry breaking was achieved by adding additional scalar fields to the Einstein-Hilbert action. Indeed, in the context of AdS/CMT applications, Einstein's equations are often solved in the presence of additional fields. The holographic dictionary maps the boundary values of bulk scalar fields to scalar operators in the field theory. The dictionary also posits an equivalence between the boundary values of bulk gauge fields and conserved currents in the dual field theory. If one wants to study conductivity, which could be extracted from applying a Kubo formula to a two point current-current correlation function, it is natural to include a bulk gauge field in the gravity system. Similarly, if one wants to model a phase transition with a scalar order parameter, it becomes natural to include a bulk scalar field. The idea of adding additional fields to the Einstein-Hilbert action leads us to the last topic, the large D holographic superconductor [\(Emparan](#page-41-31) [and Tanabe, 2014b;](#page-41-31) [García-García and Romero-Bermúdez,](#page-42-26) [2015](#page-42-26)), which historically preceded the works on translation symmetry breaking.

1. Breaking translation symmetry

While a variety of techniques to break translation invariance in AdS/CMT models exist, the reduction in symmetry involved typically greatly increases the difficulty of solving the equations of motion. The most obvious method is also technically quite challenging. Beginning with the Einstein-Hilbert action in a background with negative cosmological constant, one lets the boundary of this spacetime be an arbitrary metric

$$
h_{AB}dx^{A}dx^{B} = -\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{tt}(t, x)}{n}\right)dt^{2} - \frac{2}{n}\zeta_{i}(t, x)dtdx^{i}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{n}\gamma_{ij}(t, x)dx^{i}dx^{j}.
$$
(7.3)

In analogy with the Newtonian limit of general relativity, γ_{tt} is a physical potential for the system, ζ_i has some similarities to an external gauge field, and γ_{ij} in a condensed matter context

³⁵See [Adams, Chesler, and Liu \(2014\)](#page-39-13) for earlier numerical AdS/CFT simulations of decaying turbulence in $2 + 1$ dimensions, not in the large D limit.

can be thought of as strain disorder. The reduction in symmetry makes Einstein's equations an enterprise to solve. The case of γ_{tt} was described with relatively heavy duty numerical techniques by [Balasubramanian and Herzog \(2014\)](#page-39-14) for the special case of $AdS₄$. If one restricts to boundaries with small temporal and spatial gradients, a fluid-gravity approach [\(Hubeny, Minwalla, and Rangamani, 2012](#page-42-11)) can be employed. [Scopelliti, Schalm, and Lucas \(2017\)](#page-43-34) looked at a nonzero γ_{ii} through this lens.

Here we review a large D approach [\(Andrade, Pantelidou,](#page-39-6) [and Withers, 2018](#page-39-6)). One follows the same path that led to the hydrodynamiclike equations [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5). In the same way, the gradient expansion truncates at leading order in the $1/D$ expansion. The covariantized version of Eqs. [\(4.45\)](#page-15-4) and (4.46) is then

$$
(\partial_t + K - \nabla_i \nabla^i) m = -\nabla_i \tilde{p}^i, \tag{7.4}
$$

$$
(\partial_t + K - \nabla_j \nabla^j) \tilde{p}_i = -\nabla_i m - \nabla_j \left(\frac{\tilde{p}_i \tilde{p}^j}{m}\right) + \frac{\tilde{p}_i}{2} \mathcal{R}
$$

$$
- \frac{\nabla_i (m^2 \mathcal{R})}{2m} - m \partial_i K + 2 \nabla_j (m K^j{}_i)
$$

$$
+ m \partial_i \zeta_i + 2(\tilde{p}^j - \nabla^j m) \nabla_{[j} \zeta_i] + \frac{m}{2} \nabla_i \gamma_{tt},
$$

(7.5)

where $\tilde{p}_i = p_i + m\zeta_i$. The covariant derivatives are computed with respect to the spatial metric γ_{ij} , \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar of γ_{ij} , and $K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \gamma_{ij}$, with $K = \gamma^{ij} K_{ij}$.
Fountions (7.4) and (7.5) are not the

Equations (7.4) and (7.5) are not the simplest to work with, but they yield some interesting results. Keeping only two spatial directions dynamical and introducing translation breaking in the form

$$
\gamma_{ij} = [1 + A_0 \cos(k_L x) \sin(k_L y)] \delta_{ij}, \qquad (7.6)
$$

[Andrade, Pantelidou, and Withers \(2018\)](#page-39-6) computed the heat conductivity tensor κ^{ij} . They looked at nonzero frequency and wave number $e^{-i\omega t + iqx}$ in a perturbative expansion in A_0 , in the regime where $\omega \sim q \sim (A_0)^2$. They found that

$$
\kappa^{xx} = \frac{i\omega}{\omega(\omega + i\Gamma + 2iq^2) - q^2} + \mathcal{O}(A_0),\tag{7.7}
$$

$$
\kappa^{yy} = \frac{i}{\omega + i\Gamma + iq^2} + \mathcal{O}(A_0). \tag{7.8}
$$

The mixed components κ^{xy} vanish to $\mathcal{O}(A_0)$. The damping coefficient has the form

$$
\Gamma = \frac{k_L^2 (1 + 2k_L^2 + 2k_L^4)}{4(1 + 2k_L^2)^2} A_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(A_0)^4 \tag{7.9}
$$

and prevents the conductivities from diverging in a $\omega \rightarrow 0$ and $q \rightarrow 0$ limit, as they otherwise would without the explicit translation symmetry breaking introduced through γ_{ii} .

In attempts to find technically simpler if conceptually less straightforward methods of breaking translation invariance, researchers fell upon introducing a set of scalar fields with a linear spatial profile $\Psi_I = \alpha x^a \delta_{Ia}$ [\(Andrade and Withers,](#page-39-15) [2014](#page-39-15); [Donos and Gauntlett, 2014a\)](#page-41-32), with α a real number parametrizing the strength of the translation symmetry breaking. One is able to keep an Ansatz for the metric and fields that requires solving only ODEs and not PDEs. Consider the action

$$
I = \int d^{n+3}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{4} F^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} (\partial \psi_l)^2 \right), \quad (7.10)
$$

with negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -(n+1)(n+1)$ $2)/2L^2$ (one can typically set $L = 1$ without loss of generality) and field strength $F = dA$. Adding a field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ allows one to look at charge conductivities in addition to heat conductivities.

A slight generalization of a charged black hole in AdS solves the equations of motion

$$
ds2 = -f(r)dt2 + \frac{dr2}{f(r)}r2\delta_{ab}dxadxb, \qquad A = At(r)dt,
$$
\n(7.11)

where

$$
f(r) = r^2 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2n} - \frac{m_0}{r^n} + \frac{n\mu^2}{2(n+1)} \frac{r_0^{2n}}{r^{2n}},
$$
 (7.12)

$$
A_t(r) = \mu \left(1 - \frac{r_0^n}{r^n} \right). \tag{7.13}
$$

From the form of $f(r)$, it is clear that for it to remain a significant effect in the large D limit, one should keep α of $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$, defining $\hat{\alpha} \equiv \alpha/\sqrt{n}$. The Hawking temperature of the held theory black hole, which is also the temperature of the field theory, depends on both the chemical potential μ and the inhomogeneity parameter α :

$$
T = \frac{f'(r_0)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left((n+2)r_0 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2r_0} - \frac{n^2\mu^2}{2(n+1)r_0} \right). \tag{7.14}
$$

We introduce the frequency-dependent transport coefficients: charge conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$, thermoelectric coefficient $\beta(\omega)$, and heat conductivity $\kappa(\omega)$. As expected, the dc conductivities are all finite [\(Andrade and Withers, 2014](#page-39-15); [Donos and Gauntlett, 2014b](#page-41-33))

$$
\sigma(0) = r_0^{n-1} \left(1 + n^2 \frac{\mu^2}{\alpha^2} \right),\tag{7.15}
$$

$$
\kappa(0) = r_0^{n+1} \frac{(4\pi)^2 T}{\alpha^2}, \qquad \beta(0) = r_0^n \frac{4\pi \mu}{\alpha^2}.
$$
 (7.16)

It is also possible to compute as follows $\kappa(\omega)$ exactly when $\mu = 0$, $\alpha = \sqrt{2n}$, and *n* is odd ([Andrade, Gentle, and Withers,](#page-39-12) 2016). [2016](#page-39-12)):

$$
\kappa(\omega) = \frac{2\pi \cosh(\pi\omega/2)\Gamma((1/2)(n-i\omega))\Gamma((1/2)(n+i\omega))}{\Gamma(n/2+1)\Gamma(n/2)}.
$$
\n(7.17)

While appearing formidable, the expression is merely an $[(n-1)/2]$ -order real polynomial in ω^2 .

Given this plethora of results and the initial careful choice of translation breaking to simplify the equations of motion, one may legitimately ask why we then take a large D limit. The answer is that it gives one some extra power in looking at the nonzero frequency behavior of these transport coefficients [\(Andrade, Gentle, and Withers, 2016](#page-39-12)). Poles in σ , β , and κ , generically at complex values of ω , are given by quasinormal modes of the black hole, which can be analyzed more thoroughly in a large D limit. It is also possible to compute $\kappa(\omega)$ to the first few orders in $1/n$, at least when $\mu = 0$. The leading-order result is

$$
\kappa(\omega) = 2\pi \frac{2 - \hat{\alpha}^2}{\hat{\alpha}^2 - i\omega} + \cdots, \tag{7.18}
$$

and the somewhat lengthy expressions for the next two orders can be found in [Andrade, Gentle, and Withers \(2016\).](#page-39-12)

Equation [\(7.18\)](#page-30-0) summarizes another key observation of [Andrade, Gentle, and Withers \(2016\)](#page-39-12) concerning the transition between coherent and incoherent transport. Coherent transport is governed by a single pole in the transport coefficient, in this a case a purely dissipative mode at $\omega = -i\hat{\alpha}^2$. Incoherent transport, on the other hand, involves a sum over many incommensurate modes. [Andrade, Gentle, and Withers \(2016\)](#page-39-12) noticed that as $\hat{\alpha}^2$ grows toward $2 - \mu^2$ the transport becomes more incoherent in a large D limit. In Eq. (7.18) , this changeover is represented by a decrease in the strength of the residue.

2. Holographic superconductor

The holographic superconductor ([Gubser, 2008](#page-42-27); [Hartnoll,](#page-42-28) [Herzog, and Horowitz, 2008a](#page-42-28), [2008b](#page-42-29)) is a system that includes both an Abelian gauge A_u field and a charged scalar Ψ:

$$
I = -\int d^{n+1}x\sqrt{-g}(R - 2\Lambda + \frac{1}{4}F^2 + |\nabla\psi - iA\Psi|^2 + m^2|\Psi|^2),
$$
\n(7.19)

where in the absence of the additional fields the negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -n(n-1)/2L^2$ would give rise to AdS with radius of curvature L. The interest in this system stems largely from the fact that it provides a proof of principle that the physics of a superconducting phase transition can be added to the strongly interacting, scale invariant field theory described by this action in the absence of Ψ. In other words, there is hope that this system may shed light on the puzzle of high temperature superconductivity.

The puzzle of high temperature superconductivity is not so much about the superconducting region of the phase diagram, but instead about the normal phase. At optimal doping but above the critical temperature, the system behaves like a strange metal or non-Fermi liquid, where the interactions between the electrons are large and a quasiparticle picture does not seem to be valid. One hypothesis is that this region of the phase diagram is controlled by a scale invariant quantum critical point, i.e., by a field theory that may bear some resemblance to conformal field theories dual to gravity systems via AdS/CFT correspondence. While such AdS/CFT systems do not ordinarily have a superconducting phase, [Gubser \(2008\)](#page-42-27) and [Hartnoll, Herzog, and Horowitz](#page-42-28) [\(2008a,](#page-42-28) [2008b\)](#page-42-29) demonstrated that it is relatively trivial to add such a feature to the model by simply adding a charged scalar.³⁶

An issue with the holographic superconductor is that the equations of motion that follow from Eq. [\(7.19\)](#page-30-1) must be solved numerically in most cases. The large D limit provides the possibility of a paper and pencil approach ([Emparan and](#page-41-31) [Tanabe, 2014b](#page-41-31); [García-García and Romero-Bermúdez, 2015](#page-42-26)). We now review some of the analysis of [Emparan and Tanabe](#page-41-31) [\(2014b\)](#page-41-31) and provide a summary of the results in [García-García](#page-42-26) [and Romero-Bermúdez \(2015\).](#page-42-26)

To further simplify the analysis, [Hartnoll, Herzog, and](#page-42-28) [Horowitz \(2008a\)](#page-42-28) proposed a probe limit, where the background metric is fixed and one looks only at the (A_{μ}, Ψ) system. While [Hartnoll, Herzog, and Horowitz \(2008a\)](#page-42-28) worked in $n = 3$, it is straightforward to generalize [\(Emparan and Tanabe, 2014b](#page-41-31)). The Schwarzschild metric takes the form

$$
ds^{2} = -r^{2}h(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}h(r)} + r^{2}dx^{2}, \qquad (7.20)
$$

where $h(r) = 1 - (r_0/r)^n$ and the temperature is $\mathcal{O}(n)$, $T = nr_0/4\pi$. To model the phase transition that preserves translational symmetry on the boundary, it is sufficient to assume that $\Psi = \psi(r)$ and $A = \phi(r)$. Then

$$
\psi'' + \left(\frac{h'}{h} + \frac{n+1}{r}\right)\psi' + \left(\frac{\phi^2}{r^4h^2} - \frac{m^2}{r^2h}\right)\psi = 0, \qquad (7.21)
$$

$$
\phi'' + \frac{n-1}{r}\phi' - \frac{2\psi^2}{r^2h}\phi = 0. \qquad (7.22)
$$

The system is solved with the large r boundary conditions

$$
\psi = \frac{\psi_+}{r^{\Delta_+}} + \cdots, \tag{7.23}
$$

$$
\phi = \mu - \frac{\rho}{r^{n-2}} + \cdots, \tag{7.24}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{n}{2} (1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\hat{m}^2}), \qquad \hat{m} = \frac{m}{n}.
$$
 (7.25)

³⁶To be more precise, these systems do not describe superconductivity, where the symmetry is gauged, but instead superfluidity, where the broken symmetry is global. Depending on the questions asked, the difference in many cases can be ignored.

One can work in the grand canonical ensemble where the chemical potential μ is a tunable parameter. Regularity of the solution at the black hole horizon then fixes the charge density ρ as a function of μ . One also tunes the source for the scalar field to zero, where otherwise there would be an additional solution near the boundary that scales as $\psi \sim \psi_-/r^{\Delta_-}$. The order parameter for the phase transition or, equivalently, the expectation value for the scalar, is proportional to ψ_+ .

[Emparan and Tanabe \(2014b\)](#page-41-31) were able to analyze this system analytically near criticality using a WKB style approach. As in the finite D analysis, the phase transition is caused by the scalar becoming tachyonic close to the horizon because of a large value of μ . They find an estimate for the critical chemical potential above which the system becomes superconducting

$$
\hat{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \hat{m}^2} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{1/4 + \hat{m}^2}}{2n^2}\right)^{1/3} a_1 + o(n^{-2/3}),\tag{7.26}
$$

where $a_1 = 2.33811$ is a zero of the Airy function Ai (x) and $\mu = n\hat{\mu}r_0$. In this scale invariant setting, the physical quantity should be a dimensionless ratio of the temperature to the charge density (or chemical potential)

$$
\frac{T}{\rho^{1/(n-1)}}\Big|_{\text{crit}} = \frac{n^2}{4\pi} \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \hat{m}^2} + a_1 n^{-2/3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1/4 + \hat{m}^2}}{2} \right)^{1/3} + \cdots \right]^{-1/(n-1)}.
$$
\n(7.27)

This estimate has about a 15% error for $n = 4$ and a 35% error for $n = 3$.

In addition to this probe analysis, [Emparan and Tanabe](#page-41-31) [\(2014b\)](#page-41-31) included a discussion of how to move beyond the probe limit and look at the backreaction of the (A_{μ}, Ψ) sector on the metric. While largely numerical, some additional paper and pencil results were given by [García-García and Romero-](#page-42-26)[Bermúdez \(2015\)](#page-42-26). They provided analytic estimates for the optical conductivity at zero temperature in both the highfrequency and low-frequency limits. They also compute the entanglement entropy in a large D expansion.

C. AdS-QCD program

We now describe two applications of the large D limit to the AdS-QCD program. The first [\(Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog,](#page-40-6) [and Meiring, 2019](#page-40-6)) is part of a larger effort to shed light on the physics of heavy-ion collisions by looking at the hydrodynamics of a strongly interacting field theory with a gravity dual. The second [\(Herzog and Kim, 2018](#page-42-17)) attempts to gain insight into the confinement phase transition (or crossover) in QCD by looking at a similar phase transition in a field theory with a gravity dual.

1. Bjorken flow

Boost invariant hydrodynamic solutions are important for modeling heavy-ion collisions studied at RHIC and the LHC. Close to the central region of the collision, the particles produced are modeled well by an approximately boost invariant fluid. In addition to its phenomenological interest, this boost invariant flow, or Bjorken flow, is an interesting laboratory to explore far from equilibrium dynamics. A holographic dual of this flow was first studied by [Janik](#page-42-30) [and Peschanski \(2006\)](#page-42-30). Here we review how to take a large D limit of this holographic dual [\(Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog,](#page-40-6) [and Meiring, 2019\)](#page-40-6).

Bjorken flow is a solution of relativistic hydrodynamic equations that is invariant under Lorentz boosts along one of the spatial directions x_{\parallel} of the system. If we use Milne-type coordinates

$$
\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - x_{\parallel}^2}, \qquad y = \arctanh\left(\frac{x_{\parallel}}{t}\right), \qquad (7.28)
$$

with τ the proper time and y the rapidity, Bjorken flow depends only on τ . The flow does not depend on the rapidity y or any of the transverse spatial coordinates. In the context of the gravity dual, one important difference from what has come before is that no rescaling of the spatial coordinate has been performed. Indeed, the flow is relativistic and there is no spatial coordinate, only τ .

Given the symmetry restriction, the hydrodynamic conservation equations $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ reduce to a single equation

$$
\tau \dot{\varepsilon}(\tau) + \varepsilon(\tau) + P_L = 0, \qquad (7.29)
$$

where $\varepsilon(\tau) \equiv T^{\tau\tau}$ is the energy density and $P_L \equiv T_y^y$ is the longitudinal pressure. For a conformal fluid at equilibrium, we longitudinal pressure. For a conformal fluid at equilibrium, we have $\varepsilon(\tau) = (n-1)P_L$. We can thus isolate the deviation from equilibrium

$$
\Delta P_L = P_L - \frac{1}{n-1} \varepsilon(\tau) \tag{7.30}
$$

as coming from gradient corrections in the hydrodynamic expansion. Given the dependence on a single variable τ , gradients become equivalent to inverse powers of τ .

To express the hydrodynamic equation at arbitrary order in the gradient expansion in a large $n = D - 1$ limit, [Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog, and Meiring \(2019\)](#page-40-6) found it convenient to introduce two auxiliary quantities $\tilde{\varepsilon} =$ $(4\pi T/n)^n$ and $w_{\varepsilon} = \tau \tilde{\varepsilon}^{1/n}$. With these definitions, the conservation equation takes the form

$$
\tau \frac{\dot{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \frac{n}{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{(i)}}{w_{\epsilon}^j} = 0, \qquad \theta^{(i)} = \sum_j \theta_j^{(i)} \frac{1}{n^j}, \quad (7.31)
$$

where $\theta_j^{(i)}$ are numerical coefficients. Starting from Einstein's equations, [Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog, and Meiring \(2019\)](#page-40-6) provided these coefficients up to and including order n^{-3} . Consistent with earlier observations that the gradient expansion truncates in the large D limit, the sum appears to start with $j = |(i + 1)/2|$. In other words, working to a given order in $1/n$ only a finite number of inverse powers of τ are required. At late times, all the gradient corrections vanish and the flow is controlled by ideal Bjorken expansion

$$
\tilde{\varepsilon} \sim \frac{\Lambda^n}{(\Lambda \tau)^{n/(n-1)}}.
$$
\n(7.32)

The information necessary to set up the initial conditions is mostly lost at late times, reduced to a single constant Λ. The data describing the initial conditions can be recovered by looking at nonperturbative corrections to the flow. These corrections are governed by nonhydrodynamic (or nondecoupled) black hole quasinormal modes and have a time dependence of the form $e^{-i\Lambda n\tau + \mathcal{O}(n^{1/3})}$ [\(Casalderrey-Solana,](#page-40-6) [Herzog, and Meiring, 2019](#page-40-6)). The corrections indicate that the large D expansion is only asymptotic, as discussed in Sec. [III.F.](#page-8-0) In addition to being asymptotic in $1/D$, a similar analysis of the gradient expansion for Bjorken flow shows that the gradient series is only an asymptotic series as well [\(Heller,](#page-42-31) [Janik, and Witaszczyk, 2013\)](#page-42-31). This story has close connections with the recent interest in resurgence and transseries; see [Aniceto, Basar, and Schiappa \(2019\)](#page-39-16) for a review. In particular, the nonperturbative corrections in both the gradient expansion [\(Heller and Spalinski, 2015\)](#page-42-32) and the large D expansion [\(Casalderrey-Solana, Herzog, and Meiring, 2019\)](#page-40-6) can be cast as transseries.

2. Small black holes

The deconfinement transition in QCD remains mysterious, and there has been a long-standing hope that AdS/CFT might shed some light on its nature. While we do not have a holographic dual to QCD, one can study an analog deconfinement phase transition in maximally supersymmetric $SU(N)$ Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions (MSYM). MSYM does have a gravity dual, a sector of which is well described by Einstein's equations in five dimensions with a negative cosmological constant. While MSYM is conformal in flat space, [Witten \(1998\)](#page-43-35) realized that this theory does undergo a sort of deconfinement phase transition when placed on $S³$. The sphere introduces a mass gap for the scalars and fermions of MSYM, of the order of the inverse radius of the sphere. When the temperature is small compared to the inverse radius, the theory confines. When the temperature is large, the theory deconfines. On the gravity side, this first-order phase transition is the Hawking-Page phase transition ([Hawking and](#page-42-33) [Page, 1983](#page-42-33)) for black holes in AdS.

While this picture works well in the canonical ensemble, with a heat reservoir and a well-defined temperature, it is less clear what should happen in the microcanonical ensemble, at fixed energy and entropy. Black holes in AdS have two branches: a small black hole branch with negative specific heat, similar to black holes in Minkowski space, and a large black hole branch with positive specific heat. In the canonical ensemble, one starts on the large black hole branch at high temperature and cools the system down. At some point, there is a first-order phase transition to empty AdS; see Fig. [10](#page-32-0). In the microcanonical ensemble, one wants to know what happens to smaller black holes beyond the Hawking-Page point. There is an old conjecture that it is insufficient to look just at the AdS_5 sector of the geometry, that one should consider the full ten-dimensional supergravity $AdS_5 \times S^5$ dual to MSYM, that the end point of the instability should be a small black hole in ten-dimensional space with $S⁸$ topology

FIG. 10. Black hole temperature as a function of radius for $D = 5$. Approaching from the large black hole branch, the circle indicates the Hawking-Page phase transition to thermal or empty AdS in the canonical ensemble, the square is the point at which the heat capacity becomes negative, and the triangle is the Gregory-Laflamme-type instability [\(Hubeny and Rangamani,](#page-42-34) [2002](#page-42-34)). As D gets larger, the three special points approach each other. From [Herzog and Kim, 2018.](#page-42-17)

(Banks et al.[, 1998](#page-39-17); [Peet and Ross, 1998\)](#page-43-36), associated with breaking the SO(6) symmetry of S^5 . [In MSYM, this SO(6) symmetry is the Rsymmetry of the superconformal field theory.] Later numerical studies pinned down the onset of this Gregory-Laflamme-like instability (; [Hubeny and](#page-42-34) [Rangamani, 2002;](#page-42-34) [Buchel and Lehner, 2015](#page-40-29); [Dias, Santos,](#page-41-34) [and Way, 2015\)](#page-41-34). The end point was then studied with heavy duty numerics ([Dias, Santos, and Way, 2015,](#page-41-34) [2016](#page-41-35)). Here we review a large D approach ([Herzog and Kim, 2018\)](#page-42-17) that can in principle be carried out with pen and paper, although realistically some computer assisted algebra is required. There are strong similarities to the discussion of the Gregory-Laflamme instability for the previously discussed black strings.

As the AdS₅ \times S⁵ type IIB supergravity solution involves both the metric and the five-form, we begin with the large D action

$$
S = \int d^{p}x d^{q}x \sqrt{-g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2q!} |F_{q}|^{2} \right) \tag{7.33}
$$

and focus on the case of interest $p = q = d$, where the equations of motion are supplemented by a self-duality constraint on F_d . [Herzog and Kim \(2018\)](#page-42-17) took the Ansatz for the metric and field strength

$$
ds2 = gttdt2 + 2dtdr + 2gttdt d\theta + g\theta\theta d\theta2
$$

+ $gA d\Omegad-22 + gB d\Omegad-12,$ (7.34)

$$
F_d = \sqrt{g_A^{d-2} \det(S^{d-2})} (f_{tr} dt \wedge dr + f_{t\theta} dt \wedge d\theta
$$

+ $f_{r\theta} dr \wedge d\theta) \wedge d\Omega_{d-2}$
+ $\sqrt{g_B^{d-1} \det(S^{d-1})} (f_t dt + f_r dr + f_{\theta} d\theta) \wedge d\Omega_{d-1},$
(7.35)

where $n = d - 3$. In the $1/n$ expansion, one finds the leadingorder solution

$$
g_{tt} = -\left(1 + r_c^2 R^{2/n} - \frac{m(t,\theta)}{R} \left[1 + r_c^2 m(t,\theta)^{2/n}\right]\right) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}),\tag{7.36}
$$

$$
g_{t\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{p(t,\theta)}{R} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad g_{\theta\theta} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}),
$$

\n
$$
g_A = r_c^2 R^{2/n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}), \quad g_B = \sin^2 \theta + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}),
$$

\n
$$
f_t = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad f_r = \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \quad f_\theta = \sqrt{2(n+2)} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-3/2}),
$$

\n(7.37)

where as usual $R = (r/r_c)^n$. The functions $m(t, \theta)$ and $p(t, \theta)$ are governed by the hydrodynamiclike equations

$$
\partial_t m - \cot \theta (r_c \partial_\theta m + p) = 0, \tag{7.38}
$$

$$
\partial_t p - \cot\theta \left(r_c \partial_\theta p + \frac{p^2}{m} \right) + (1 + r_c^2) \partial_\theta m + r_c (2 + \csc^2 \theta) p = 0.
$$
\n(7.39)

In contrast to what is done in the black string case, here no rescaling of the spatial θ coordinate is performed. It is possible to rescale θ [\(Herzog and Kim, 2018\)](#page-42-17) by zooming in on the equatorial region of the sphere. The penalty one pays is that it becomes less clear how to deal with the boundary conditions at the poles $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. These boundary conditions are important given that we are looking for global solutions on the entire sphere. Note that $\cot \theta$ in Eqs. [\(7.38\)](#page-33-1) and [\(4.46\)](#page-15-5) comes from a connection term in the covariant derivative ∇_{θ} . The portion ∂_{θ} is suppressed relative to the connection term in the large *n* limit, although it could be restored by rescaling θ .

These hydrodynamic equations can be solved generally despite their apparent nonlinearity as

$$
p(t,\theta) = (-r_c \partial_{\theta} + \tan \theta \partial_t) m(t,\theta), \qquad (7.40)
$$

$$
m(t,\theta) = c \exp\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (a_{\ell_+}e^{-i\omega_{\ell_+}t} + a_{\ell_-}e^{-i\omega_{\ell_-}t})\cos^{\ell}\theta\right),\tag{7.41}
$$

where c and $a_{\ell_{\pm}}$ are normalization constants and the allowed frequencies are

$$
\omega_{\ell_{\pm}} = i[-r_c(\ell+1) \pm \sqrt{\ell + r_c^2(\ell+1)}], \quad (7.42)
$$

with $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots$ a non-negative integer. The Gregory-
Laflamme-like instability identified by Hubeny and Laflamme-like instability identified by Hubeny [Rangamani \(2002\)](#page-42-34) occurs where exactly one of these ω_{ℓ} . develops a positive imaginary part, namely, ω_{1+} . The zero mode first appears when $r_c = 1/\sqrt{2}$. There is in fact an entire series of such instabilities for each choice of integer ℓ series of such instabilities for each choice of integer ℓ , corresponding to ever higher spherical harmonics on the S^d . These instabilities kick in as the radius of the black hole gets ever smaller at $r_c = 1/\sqrt{\ell+1}$.
The time-dependent solution (7.40)

The time-dependent solution [\(7.40\)](#page-33-2) lends some support to the early conjecture (Banks et al.[, 1998;](#page-39-17) [Peet and Ross, 1998\)](#page-43-36) that the end point of the instability involves breaking the symmetry of S^d . Depending on the initial conditions, the timedependent solutions lead to black holes that look like spots or belts on S^d . Similar lumpy black holes were observed by [Dias,](#page-41-34) [Santos, and Way \(2015\)](#page-41-34), for $d = 5$, as well.

It would be beneficial to find static lumpy solutions with topology $S^{d-2} \times S^d$, or even isolated static solutions where the topology of the black hole changes to S^{2d-2} , as were found by [Dias, Santos, and Way \(2015,](#page-41-34) [2016\)](#page-41-35) in the $d = 5$ case. Indeed, a more careful consideration of $1/n$ corrections reveals candidate lumpy static solutions and also cousins of the interpolating solution [\(5.31\)](#page-22-0) that takes one from the uniform black hole in the far past to a lumpy black hole in the far future [\(Herzog and Meiring, 2020](#page-42-35)). Finding solutions where the topology changes to S^{2d-2} appears to be beyond the scope of this large d expansion. There will always be a horizon everywhere on the S^d , even though the horizon radius varies.

In the study of the black string, we found there was a critical dimension above which the Gregory-Laflamme transition became second order and below which it was first order. One may ask whether a similar situation holds here. It seems likely, although the jury is still out. [Dias, Santos, and Way](#page-41-34) [\(2015](#page-41-34), [2016\)](#page-41-35) found that for $AdS_5 \times S^5$ the transition is likely to be first order, while the analysis of [Herzog and Kim \(2018\)](#page-42-17) and [Herzog and Meiring \(2020\)](#page-42-35) indicated that in the large d limit the transition is smooth and of second order.

An important issue is the degree to which the *Ansatz* [\(7.34\)](#page-32-1) restricts the form of the answer. The Ansatz allows the $SO(d + 1)$ symmetry of the S^d to break only to $SO(d)$, while the actual end point could conceivably break more symmetry. Furthermore, there are many fields in type IIB supergravity, in addition to the metric and five-form; it may be that the end point involves these other fields as well, in which case it is also less clear how to extend type IIB appropriately to higher dimensions. One hopes that the situation is as simple as was conjectured by Banks et al. [\(1998\)](#page-39-17) and [Peet and Ross \(1998\),](#page-43-36) and the existence of the appropriate solutions by [Dias, Santos,](#page-41-34) [and Way \(2015,](#page-41-34) [2016\)](#page-41-35) and [Herzog and Kim \(2018\)](#page-42-17) lends support to this hope.

VIII. QUANTUM BLACK HOLES, QUANTUM GRAVITY AND STRINGS

There are several subjects in gravitational theory where a $1/D$ expansion is potentially fruitful, but which nevertheless have received relatively little attention. In the following we discuss a sample of them without attempting to be exhaustive.

The study of quantum effects in black holes seems to be a natural field where the large D limit may be useful. Even though interacting quantum field theories in $D \geq 4$ have terrible ultraviolet behavior, many effects of quantum fields in curved spacetime arise in free theories and constitute essentially infrared physics, so they might be amenable to study in the $1/D$ expansion. A good example is the study by [Keeler and Priya \(2019\)](#page-42-36) of one-loop determinants in the large D limit. It exploited the decoupling of low-frequency fluctuations to obtain analytical results, which give indications of the membranelike nature of black holes in this regime.

The most famous and important black hole quantum effect is Hawking radiation, so it behooves us to discuss its main features when $D \gg 1$.

A. Large D limit of Hawking radiation

We begin with some elementary remarks. When \hbar is present, gravitational theory (retaining G but setting $c = 1$) acquires a length scale of its own, the Planck scale

$$
L_{\text{Planck}} = (G\hbar)^{1/(D-2)}.
$$
 (8.1)

Quantum effects on black holes of radius r_0 are then governed by the dimensionless ratio r_0/L_{Planck} . We may keep it fixed as D grows, or instead change it with D at a specified rate.³⁷ The choice sets the size in Planck units of the black holes we are considering, and selects which quantum properties remain nonzero and finite in the large D limit. For instance, it turns out to be impossible to take $D \to \infty$ in such a way that both the entropy and the temperature of the black hole are finite. These are³

$$
S_{\rm BH} \sim \left(\frac{r_0}{\sqrt{D}L_{\rm Planck}}\right)^D \tag{8.2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{T_H}{E_{\text{Planck}}} \sim L_{\text{Planck}} \frac{D}{r_0},\tag{8.3}
$$

where

$$
E_{\text{Planck}} = \frac{\hbar}{L_{\text{Planck}}} = \frac{L_{\text{Planck}}^{D-3}}{G}.
$$
 (8.4)

We see that the entropy stays finite when $D \to \infty$ if the black hole radius is $r_0 \sim \sqrt{D}L_{\text{Planck}}(1 + \alpha/D)$ (with constant α), while finite temperature requires much larger sizes while finite temperature requires much larger sizes $r_0 \sim DL$ _{Planck}. The latter is the condition that the size of the near-horizon region is Planckian parametrically in D , i.e., it could still be much larger than L_{Planck} but in a Dindependent manner.

Keeping T_H finite does not imply finite emission rates, as noted in the first study of Hawking radiation in the large D limit by [Hod \(2011\)](#page-42-5). One might have expected that the typical energy $\hbar\omega$ of Hawking quanta is of the order of T_H . However, the actual energies are much larger due to the huge increase in the phase space available to high-frequency quanta at large D , which grows like ω^D . This shifts the radiation spectrum toward energies much larger than T_H , with a peak at around

$$
\hbar \omega_H \simeq DT_H \sim \hbar \frac{D^2}{r_0}.\tag{8.5}
$$

Thus, we find a new, ultrashort length scale

$$
\lambda_H \sim \frac{r_0}{D^2} \tag{8.6}
$$

for the wavelength of typical Hawking quanta. It means that black holes at large D, unlike four-dimensional black holes (but like, say, stars), are large quantum radiators whose radius $\sim r_0$ and typical classical vibrational wavelengths $\sim r_0/D$ are much longer than the wavelengths that they radiate quantum mechanically. One consequence is that radiated Hawking quanta follow null geodesics in the black hole background, and the geometric optics approximation for gray body factors applies accurately (Hod, 2011).³⁹

Moreover, since the energy per quantum is extremely large and the time to emit each of them is extremely short, the black hole evaporates extremely quickly, within a timescale [\(Holdt-](#page-42-24)[Sørensen, McGady, and Wintergerst, 2019\)](#page-42-24)

$$
t_{\text{evap}} \sim t_{\text{Planck}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{D}\right)^{D+1/2} S_{\text{BH}}^{(D-1)/(D-2)}.
$$
 (8.7)

The factorial rate D^{-D} makes this time potentially much shorter than the scrambling time

$$
t_{\rm scr} \sim \frac{t_{\rm Planck}}{\sqrt{D}} S_{\rm BH}^{1/(D-2)} \ln S_{\rm BH},\tag{8.8}
$$

and when this happens the assumption of semiclassicality of the evaporation becomes questionable. Conversely, this result puts constraints on the size of black holes that in a given dimension admit a semiclassical description [\(Holdt-Sørensen,](#page-42-24) [McGady, and Wintergerst, 2019](#page-42-24)).

More elucidation of the import of all these observations is desirable, which should be relevant for the further use of the large D expansion in this context, as a conceptual guide and also as a calculational method.

B. Large D matrix models

In Sec. [II.C](#page-4-3) we saw hints of a relation between string theory and the 2D black hole that appears near the horizon of large D Schwarzschild. It is not clear, though, how to better ground these observations, since the microscopic description of that black hole in string theory is not well understood. Nevertheless, there are other classes of near-horizon limits of large D black holes, e.g., if one includes charge, which arise as solutions of different 2D dilatonic gravities. Some of these hold better promise for associating large D black holes with a class of microscopic quantum theories.

To motivate this connection, we begin by recalling that our best quantum theories of gravity so far (those based on holographic dualities) take the form of theories of large N $\frac{37}{2}$ Equivalently, we can redefine the Planck length with suitable matrices. Four-dimensional large N gauge theories dual to

D-dependent factors.

³⁸This discussion refers to Schwarzschild and possibly Myers-Perry black holes. In AdS the analysis can be substantially different.

³⁹See [Wei and Wen \(2014\)](#page-43-37) for other considerations on Hawking radiation at large D.

 $AdS₅$ gravity are a well-known example with full-fledged gravitational dynamics, but they are extremely difficult to solve. Matrix models in zero spacetime dimensions are much more tractable, and in the limit $N \to \infty$ they reproduce features of strings, gravity, and black holes, but the absence of temporal dynamics limits their usefulness. Models of quantum mechanical matrices should provide a more realistic setup, but it is in general difficult to do explicit calculations with them. For this reason, the advent of the SYK model, a quantum mechanical model of matrices where a "melonic" [\(Bonzom](#page-40-30) et al., 2011) subclass of the planar diagrams dominates at large N ([Kitaev, 2015\)](#page-42-37), triggered great advances in the microscopic understanding of black holes ([Maldacena](#page-43-38) [and Stanford, 2016](#page-43-38)). The main properties that make it tractable have also been found in certain models of tensors [\(Klebanov and Tarnopolsky, 2017;](#page-42-38) [Klebanov, Popov, and](#page-42-39) [Tarnopolsky, 2018;](#page-42-39) [Witten, 2019\)](#page-43-39). Of interest to us here is a class of mixed matrix-vector models [\(Ferrari, 2017\)](#page-41-36) that, as we see later, has reasonable hope of connecting to large D black holes.

Matrix quantum mechanics can be motivated by the study of D0-branes in string theory: these are pointlike objects (i.e., not extended in space like p -branes) that are described using bosonic and fermionic $SU(N)$ matrices $X_{\mu}^{ij}(t), \psi_{\mu}^{ij}(t)$, where the matrix indices i, j stand for open string degrees of freedom, and the index μ indicates directions in the target space where the 0-brane lives, so we can regard it as an $O(D-2)$ vector index. String theory determines uniquely the Hamiltonian for these matrices, providing a quantum theory of gravity in which the regime of classical gravity emerges when $N \rightarrow \infty$ (Banks *et al.*[, 1997\)](#page-39-18).

We may consider other models of this kind with different Hamiltonians, e.g., with interactions not restricted by supersymmetry, in the expectation that the large N limit also leads to a dual gravitational theory. Such models are in general as hard to solve as any matrix quantum mechanics, but [Ferrari](#page-41-36) [\(2017\)](#page-41-36) made a remarkable observation: there exist models such that if one expands them in $1/N$ and then takes the limit $D \rightarrow \infty$ in a specific way, the same melonic class of diagrams as in SYK becomes dominant. 40 The idea is highly suggestive: taking the limit $N \to \infty$ results in a regime of classical gravity that is difficult to solve, but if we then send $D \to \infty$, substantial simplifications occur that make the theory much more manageable. The similarity to the large D limit of classical black holes is tantalizing.

Since SYK models at low energies are dual to the dynamics of the near- $AdS₂$ throats that appear in Reissner-Nordström black holes close to extremality [not solutions of the dilatongravity theory of Eq. [\(2.16\)](#page-4-0) but of Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity [\(Almheiri and Polchinski, 2015](#page-39-19))], the natural expectation is that a model of the type of [Ferrari \(2017\)](#page-41-36) gives a quantum mechanical description of the large D limit of near-extremal charged black holes. There seem to be the right ingredients, but more work is needed to support this connection.

C. Large D entanglement

Quantum entanglement in a many-body system or a quantum field theory naturally presents a marked dependence on the dimensionality of space. The larger the number of dimensions, the more neighboring degrees of freedom are available to be entangled with. As in our introductory remarks on $D \rightarrow \infty$ as a mean-field theory limit, one would expect entanglement to become strongly localized as the number of dimensions grows large, eventually resulting in the decoupling in average of correlations between points in the system.

The correlations between two subsystems A and B separated by a common spatial boundary can be quantified in terms of the entanglement entropies $S(A)$ and $S(B)$ of the density matrices ρ_A and ρ_B obtained by tracing out the degrees of freedom of the complementary subsystems B and A , respectively. Of special interest to us is that for strongly coupled conformal field theories there exists a prescription to compute these entropies by means of a dual gravitational bulk spacetime. This is the celebrated Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) entanglement entropy formula [\(Ryu and Takayanagi, 2006](#page-43-40)), covariantly extended by [Hubeny, Rangamani, and Takayanagi](#page-42-40) [\(2007\).](#page-42-40) The entanglement entropy associated with a region A is given by the area (divided by $4G\hbar$) of an extremal surface in an AdS bulk that is homologous to A, minimized over all such possible surfaces.

Within this circle of ideas, [Colin-Ellerin](#page-41-37) *et al.* (2020) chose to study the mutual information $I(A:B)$ as an appropriate measure of the correlations between two distant regions. This is defined as the amount by which the entropies of the separate regions differ from the entropy of their union, i.e.,

$$
I(A:B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(A \cup B). \tag{8.9}
$$

It vanishes if the systems are uncorrelated, and it reaches a maximum $I(A:B) = 2S(A) = 2S(B)$ when the joined system is pure $[S(A \cup B) = 0].$

Two convenient regions for the holographic study of their mutual information are two "caps" centered around opposite poles of S^{D-2} at the boundary of AdS_D. A simple argument suggests that the behavior of $I(A:B)$ must change discontinuously as the caps grow in size: when they are small, the RT surface for $S(A \cup B)$ consists of two disjoint surfaces anchored at the boundaries of A and B, so $I(A:B) = 0$ (in holography, this vanishes to leading order in $1/N$, or in G h). As the caps become larger and get closer to each other, the RT minimal surface for $S(A \cup B)$ jumps to a cylinder stretched between the boundaries of A and B , thus making $I(A:B) > 0$.

The calculations that explicitly show this behavior cannot be done analytically in any finite D except when $D = 3$. [Colin-Ellerin](#page-41-37) *et al.* (2020) took a large D limit of these equations and found enough simplification to analytically compute the separation at which the phase transition occurs. Their result shows that, when D is extremely large, the averaged correlations between distant regions are small (zero, at leading large N order) unless the two regions become infinitesimally close and occupy the entire volume of the boundary theory. This is naturally interpreted as a manifestation of the spatial localization of correlations when $D \to \infty$.

 40 For more work on these models, see [Azeyanagi](#page-39-20) et al. (2018), [Azeyanagi, Ferrari, and Massolo \(2018\),](#page-39-21) [Ferrari and Massolo \(2019\),](#page-41-38) [Ferrari, Rivasseau, and Valette \(2019\)](#page-41-39), and [Carrozza](#page-40-31) et al. (2020).

Given this confirmation that the structure of holographic entanglement simplifies in the large D limit, there is hope that the emergence of spacetime from quantum entanglement may be more easily understood in a $1/D$ expansion.

D. Large D strings

The study of strings in the limit of large D is an old subject, in particular, in the context of the effective string model for confinement in QCD. [Alvarez \(1981\)](#page-39-22) exploited the mean-field character of the large D limit to study the corrections from quantum fluctuations to the linear potential between two quarks joined by a Nambu-Goto string.

With the advent of AdS/CFT , where the effective string is a fundamental string in AdS_5 , [Vyas \(2013\)](#page-43-41) investigated a holographic bulk dual of that approach in a manner that may relate to the large D limit in gravity. As a simple illustration of the expected effects, consider the holographic representation of a quark as a string hanging from the boundary into the bulk. The gluonic cloud around the quark at the boundary corresponds to the gravitational field that the string in the bulk creates around itself. When D gets large, this field becomes more and more strongly localized near the string and vanishes a short distance away from it. On the boundary, this means that the quantum gluonic cloud is becoming averaged out beyond distances $\mathcal{O}(1/D)$, an effect related to the spatial decoupling of correlations that we discussed in Sec. [VIII.C](#page-35-0). Thus, the large D localization of classical gravity is indeed dual to a mean-field limit in a quantum theory.⁴¹

Although strings in theories at large D might appear to necessarily be effective strings valid only at low energies, an intriguing construction by [Friess and Gubser \(2006\)](#page-41-40) suggests that critical strings might exist as conformally invariant 2D sigma models in AdS_D when D is large. The uses of these theories and their possible connections to large D gravity need to be better understood.

IX. HIGHER-DERIVATIVE GRAVITY

In dimensions $D \geq 4$, Einstein's theory can be extended to a class of theories with higher-derivative terms in the action, whose field equations nevertheless are of second derivative order. These are the Lovelock theories, the simplest of which consists of the addition of a Gauss-Bonnet term in $D \geq 5$, but as D grows higher an increasing number of terms are allowed in the action ([Lovelock, 1971](#page-43-42)). Even though their consistency as classical theories has not been completely established [see, e.g., [Reall, Tanahashi, and Way \(2014\)](#page-43-43), [Papallo and Reall](#page-43-44) [\(2017\),](#page-43-44) and [Kovacs and Reall \(2020\)](#page-42-41)], they are a natural field of study for the large D program.

The same elementary remark can be made about these theories as was made in Sec. [VIII.A](#page-34-0). Since each additional Lovelock term comes with a length scale of its own, in the form of an undetermined coefficient (coupling) in the action, there is a choice to be made about how these lengths change with D . That is, there is not a correct way of taking the large D limit for these theories, but rather there are different trajectories in the space of D-dependent couplings, leading to different limits as $D \rightarrow \infty$. Which limit one chooses may be dictated by the kind of physics that one intends to select or, more pragmatically, by the simplifications that result from a specific choice.⁴²

There are exact solutions for static black holes with arbitrary numbers of Lovelock couplings ([Boulware and](#page-40-32) [Deser, 1985;](#page-40-32) [Wheeler, 1986a](#page-43-45), [1986b](#page-43-46); [Cai and Soh, 1999](#page-40-33)). A first study of the large D limit in these theories analyzed elementary properties of these black holes [\(Giribet, 2013\)](#page-42-42). In a similar context but with additional terms in the action motivated by string theory, [Dominis Prester \(2013\)](#page-41-41) took a large D limit to explicitly solve the equations for a class of "small black holes" of string-scale size.

A more comprehensive study was begun by Chen [et al.](#page-40-34) [\(2016\)](#page-40-34) with the investigation of the quasinormal spectrum of large D black holes in Gauss-Bonnet theory. An important feature of the Lovelock black holes is that if the large D limit is taken in such a way that the effects of the higher couplings remain finite near the horizon, then the geometry loses most of the simplifying properties of the pure Einstein theory. In particular, it is not possible in general to solve for the quasinormal modes in closed analytic form. One may, however, find solutions in a perturbative expansion for small values of those couplings, as was done by Chen et al. [\(2016\).](#page-40-34) This same limitation extends to all subsequent studies of higher-derivative black holes at large D. Even though this may appear to be a serious restriction, one must bear in mind that when gravitational theory is regarded as an effective field theory the higher-derivative operators must consistently be treated as perturbatively small corrections.

Within this framework of ideas, the different effective theories of black holes that we discussed in Sec. [IV,](#page-9-0) as well as many of their applications, were extended to higherderivative theories (mostly Gauss-Bonnet) in the Beijing variety of the Japan-Barcelona style by [Chen and Li \(2016,](#page-40-35) [2017\)](#page-40-36), [Chen, Li, and Wang \(2017\),](#page-40-25) [Chen, Li, and Zhang](#page-40-22) [\(2017](#page-40-22), [2018\)](#page-40-23), Chen et al. [\(2019\),](#page-40-24) [Li, Zhang, and Chen \(2019\),](#page-43-47) and [Guo, Li, and Chen \(2020\),](#page-42-43) and in the Indian style by [Kar,](#page-42-14) [Mandal, and Saha \(2019\),](#page-42-14) [Saha \(2019\)](#page-43-14), [Bhattacharya](#page-40-19) et al. [\(2020\),](#page-40-19) and [Dandekar and Saha \(2020\).](#page-41-20)

X. BEYOND DECOUPLING

A. Nondecoupled waves and hair

Much interesting black hole physics takes place outside the regime of decoupled dynamics that is so elegantly captured by the large D membrane theories. We lack a general conceptual framework for nondecoupled black hole dynamics of the kind that underlies the physics of the decoupled sector. Nevertheless, there are several examples of phenomena outside the remit of decoupled physics for which the large D

⁴¹The study of large D strings by [Ambjørn and Makeenko \(2016\)](#page-39-23) may also contain other lessons for gravity.

⁴²In principle, string theory determines the values of these couplings in $D = 10$ or lower.

expansion has proved efficient. Perhaps they contain clues for more systematic approaches.

An early instance was the study of the scattering of scalar waves off of a black hole, which was fully solved analytically by [Emparan, Suzuki, and Tanabe \(2013\).](#page-41-1) The result was cast into the form of effective boundary conditions at the "hole" for waves that propagate in the far zone.

We also discussed in Sec. [III.C](#page-7-4) how the calculation of the universal spectrum of nondecoupled quasinormal modes easily yields the real part of frequencies with an accuracy that compares well with other analytical methods. The broad universality of the spectrum, consisting of almost normal, nondissipative modes, may allow for further analysis, possibly including the quantization of black hole oscillations.

A problem that is technically similar to the nondecoupled quasinormal oscillations (solved using a WKB approximation near a peak of a radial potential) is the study of scalar field condensation in a holographic superconductor, which we reviewed in Sec. [VII.B](#page-28-0). This is among a class of phenomena that have attracted considerable attention in recent years: the formation of hair as a scalar condensate around black holes. Hair loss can be prevented, in AdS and in other situations, when the black hole is inside some sort of "box" that does not allow the scalar to disperse. When D is large, in known models the hair condenses just outside the near-horizon zone. With the hair in this region, the gains from considering large D are meager: the complete description of the condensate generally cannot be reduced to a fully analytical solution and must be solved numerically as when D is finite.⁴³ We do not know of any argument contending that the scalar field could not condense within the near-horizon zone, so it would be interesting if a well-motivated and workable model of it could be constructed.

Finally, a related topical problem that is hard to solve with numerical approaches (since it involves widely separate scales) is the evolution of "black hole bomb" superradiant instabilities of rotating black holes, in AdS and in other "box" spacetimes.⁴⁴ Studying it in a large D approach requires handling the nonperturbative in $1/D$ coupling of the near and far zones in a dynamical situation, which is not yet sufficiently understood.

B. Short-scale structure, singularities, and topology change

There are many situations where the structure on short distances appears in a gravitational system, often involving the presence of singularities. While there are many types of singularities and our understanding of them is incomplete, it seems likely that the strength of a singularity often will depend on the number of dimensions.⁴⁵ Given the increased localization of gravity, we expect that as D grows, the divergences will become stronger near a curvature singularity.

This suggests looking for an appropriate scaling with D that magnifies the singular region and, by isolating it, possibly simplifies its investigation.

Opportunities of this kind arise in the deep nonlinear regime of the GL instability, which we discussed in Sec. [V.](#page-18-0) Singularities play a role both in the phase space of static solutions and in the time evolution of the instability. The former were successfully addressed by [Emparan and Suzuki](#page-41-23) [\(2019\),](#page-41-23) who studied the topology-changing merger transition in solution space between black strings and black holes localized in a Kaluza-Klein circle. A new kind of large D scaling of the black string was found that blows up the merger region and reduces the problem not only to a tractable form but also to a mathematically appealing one: the near-horizon geometry varies along the Kaluza-Klein circle direction following the equations of Ricci flow.⁴⁶ A singular pinch on the black string horizon corresponds to the end point of a certain Ricci flow. [Emparan and Suzuki \(2019\)](#page-41-23) then were able to obtain a complete understanding of the singularity and its deformation and resolution across the topology-changing horizon.

In the time-dependent setting, a naked singularity forms dynamically in the evolution of the GL instability, as we mentioned in Sec. [V.](#page-18-0) This has been observed only numerically [\(Lehner and Pretorius, 2010](#page-43-25)). An analytic understanding of it using the large D limit is a challenging problem that is still unsolved.

C. Critical collapse and Choptuik scaling

Another important instance where the dynamics of gravity leads to short-distance physics, indeed naked singularities, is the phenomenon of critical collapse, discovered in a numerical study by [Choptuik \(1993\);](#page-40-37) see [Gundlach and Martín-García](#page-42-44) [\(2007\)](#page-42-44) for a review.

Consider a one-parameter family of initial data for a spherical scalar field cloud. For definiteness we take the parameter (call it a) to be the amplitude of the cloud, but it could also be its radial velocity or its width. Left to evolve under the action of gravity, the cloud collapses, and the outcome depends on its initial amplitude. For small amplitudes, the field contracts and then bounces back and disperses away to infinity. For large amplitudes, the collapse instead forms a black hole (with part of the scalar field dispersing to infinity): a larger black hole for a larger initial amplitude. By decreasing the parameter p , we can tune to a regime where the mass of the black hole scales as

$$
M \propto (a - a_*)^{\gamma}, \tag{10.1}
$$

where a_{\ast} is the critical parameter that separates the two outcomes and the critical exponent is numerically determined to be $\gamma \approx 0.374$. This value is universal for all one-parameter families of scalar field initial data. Moreover, all near-critical data approach the same geometry in a finite region of space for a finite time, from either side of the threshold. The critical

⁴³There are analytic holographic superconductors [\(Herzog, 2010\)](#page-42-45). 44 Among many references to this subject, [Press and Teukolsky](#page-43-48) [\(1972\),](#page-43-48) [Cardoso](#page-40-38) et al. (2004), and [Cardoso, Dias, and Yoshida \(2006\)](#page-40-39) authored the relevant early ones.
 45 Even the nature of the singularity may change with D, as is

known to occur for Belinski-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz singularities ([Damour, Henneaux, and Nicolai, 2003](#page-41-42)).

⁴⁶Unknown to [Emparan and Suzuki \(2019\)](#page-41-23) at the time, the same large D limit had been studied earlier by [Perelman \(2006\).](#page-43-2)

solution $\phi_*(t, r)$ repeats itself with discrete self-similarity, or scale echoing, such that

$$
\phi_*(t, r) = \phi_*(e^{\Delta}t, e^{\Delta}r), \qquad (10.2)
$$

where the logarithmic period is found to be $\Delta \approx 3.44$.

This phenomenon is as intriguing as it is exciting. By finetuning the initial data, we can use the gravitational attraction to create regions of increasingly large curvature, eventually reaching a naked singularity, or more physically, a region of Planck-scale curvature where quantum-gravity effects are made visible to observers from afar.⁴⁷

What is the meaning of the exponents γ and Δ ? In statistical mechanics, when critical exponents are irrational, it is because of large quantum fluctuations near criticality. In gravitational physics, critical phenomena typically arise associated with physics on a preexisting horizon, and the exponents take simple, rational values of mean-field theory type. Choptuik's criticality is remarkable in this respect since γ seems to be irrational coming out of a fully naked singularity. Its mystery might start to unravel if we could find a way of computing it analytically, possibly in a perturbative expansion where the leading-order value is rational, from some sort of limiting solvable mean-field theory which we could then correct order by order.

The large *D* limit appears to have the right ingredients for this problem. Numerical investigations of scalar field critical collapse at increasing values of D reveal an interesting pattern (Bland et al.[, 2005](#page-40-40); [Sorkin and Oren, 2005](#page-43-49)): as D grows large, γ approaches the value 1/2. In fact, the data are well approximated by

$$
\gamma \approx \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{D} \right) \tag{10.3}
$$

(for $D = 4$ this yields $\gamma = 0.375$, although a better fit to the data at larger D is $\gamma \approx (1/2)[1 - 1/(D + 1)]$; more accurate fits were given by Rozali and Way (2018)). The behavior with fits were given by [Rozali and Way \(2018\)](#page-43-50)). The behavior with D of the echoing period is less clear since this quantity is in general hard to extract numerically, even more so as the number of dimensions increases. But in any case these observations provide good motivation to attack the problem of critical collapse using a large D approach, going beyond the scope of the effective membrane theory.

The first task is to identify the appropriate scalings with D of field variables and coordinates. To approach this problem, [Rozali and Way \(2018\)](#page-43-50) first examined the wave equation for a spherically symmetric scalar field in flat spacetime⁴⁸

moderate power law (not an exponential) in the initial data. ⁴⁸A mass term may be added, but one expects it would become irrelevant at the short scales near criticality.

In the study of nondecoupled waves in a black hole background (both quasinormal modes and scattering), the appropriate scalings were $t = \overline{t}/D$ and $r \to 1 + (\ln R)/D$, i.e., ∂_t , $∂_r ∼ D$, which focused on the region near the horizon while preserving the hyperbolic (wavelike) character of the equa-tion. Instead, [Rozali and Way \(2018\)](#page-43-50) noticed that if $\partial_t \sim \sqrt{D}$ and $\partial_r \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, that is, by scaling

$$
t = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{D}}\tag{10.5}
$$

and then taking $D \to \infty$, the wave equation [\(10.4\)](#page-38-1) turns into a parabolic equation

$$
\partial_{\tau}^{2} \varphi = -\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r} \varphi. \tag{10.6}
$$

This does not give us what we are after, but there are not that many nontrivial large D scalings of the equation, and moreover it is the same scaling (with t and r swapped) as was taken by [Emparan and Suzuki \(2019\)](#page-41-23) for the study of the black hole–black string transition, a problem linked by double analytic continuation to that of critical collapse ([Kol,](#page-42-46) [2006](#page-42-46)). Equation [\(10.6\)](#page-38-2) admits simple exact solutions, which as [Rozali and Way \(2018\)](#page-43-50) found can be extended with a similar scaling in D to the case in which the field φ is coupled to gravity. These gravitating solutions describe not a collapsing field but instead a class of oscillating "soliton stars," which have an intriguing feature: when the amplitude of the oscillations reaches a critical value, a divergence appears. If the divergence is regarded as a signal of the formation of the horizon of a black hole, one finds a critical exponent $\gamma = 1/2$.

Thus, even if the gravitating scalar solution given by [Rozali](#page-43-50) [and Way \(2018\)](#page-43-50) is not the critical collapse that we seek (it is not self-similar and it is not a collapse), these findings suggest that the scaling [\(10.5\)](#page-38-3) may zoom in on the right regime of physics.

If a large D expansion manages to yield a simple enough solution to collapse near criticality, then one may consider embedding it in AdS with the aim of improving the understanding of the nonlinear instability of AdS [\(Bizon and](#page-40-41) [Rostworowski, 2011](#page-40-41)).

XI. GRAVITATIONAL RADIATION

A. Shock wave collisions

The collision of gravitational shock waves in D dimensions was studied by [Herdeiro, Sampaio, and Rebelo \(2011\)](#page-42-47) and [Coelho](#page-41-43) *et al.* (2013). It was found that when D is extremely large the result for the radiated energy admits a simple fit [\(Coelho, Herdeiro, and Sampaio, 2012\)](#page-41-44). This suggests that rather than first solving the problem for arbitrary D and then taking $D \gg 1$ it may be fruitful to set up the problem from the start in terms of a $1/D$ expansion, hoping that the equations become simple enough to allow a more complete study.

⁴⁷Because of the fine-tuning required, critical collapse is often dismissed as an unphysical violation of cosmic censorship. We disagree with this view: the distinction is that of experimental physics versus observational physics. Although hard to observe in the skies by astronomers, critical gravitational collapse to form a Planck-size "black hole" may well be engineered by the experimentalists of a sufficiently advanced civilization. Observe that the fine-tuning is a

B. An effective theory of gravitational waves?

Before concluding, we now speculate on whether, in addition to effective theories of black holes, a large D effective theory of gravitational waves might be possible. Since the vacuum theory $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is essentially a theory of black holes and of the gravitational waves that interact with them, we would achieve a complete reformulation of general relativity around the limit $D \to \infty$. As we have seen, the coupling between the effective membrane and the gravitational waves is nonperturbative in $1/D$. Therefore, the two sectors of the theory (black holes and gravitational waves) can be studied independently before we couple them.

The studies of perturbative quantum general relativity by [Strominger \(1981\)](#page-43-3) and [Bjerrum-Bohr \(2004\)](#page-40-0) may be regarded as attempts to exploit the large number $\sim D^2$ of graviton polarizations to tame the gravitational fluctuations of the geometry away from the black holes. However, as mentioned in the Introduction this route does not appear to lead far, so other ideas must be considered.

To formulate an effective theory of gravitational waves, it seems necessary to have a separation of length scales. There are two natural ones: their amplitude and their wavelength. Perhaps an effective theory is possible in regimes where these lengths are parametrically separated in D. It is not clear whether or how this may employ either of the two aspects of the large D limit that we discussed in the Introduction (the large number of graviton polarizations or the localization of the gravitational interaction) or whether other new concepts will be required instead.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are greatly indebted to our collaborators Tomás Andrade, Jorge Casalderrey-Solana, Daniel Grumiller, Keisuke Izumi, Young-Shin Kim, David Licht, Raimon Luna, Marina Martínez, Ben Meiring, Tetsuya Shiromizu, Michael Spillane, Takahiro Tanaka, and Amos Yarom. R. E. owes special gratitude to Ryotaku Suzuki and Kentaro Tanabe for their innumerable essential contributions to launching and developing our joint work on the large D program. We also acknowledge discussions with Jay Armas, Joan Camps, Óscar Dias, Pau Figueras, Gavin Hartnett, Barak Kol, Christiana Pantelidou, Moshe Rozali, Arunabha Saha, Jorge Santos, Benson Way, and Ben Withers on several topics covered in this review. We are grateful to Sayantani Bhattacharyya and Shiraz Minwalla for sharing with us their deep knowledge of the subject. R. E. is supported by ERC Advanced Grant No. GravBHs-692951, AGAUR Grant No. 2017-SGR 754, and MEC Grant No. FPA2016-76005-C2-2-P. C. P. H. is supported by U.K. Science and Technology Facilities Council Grants No. ST/P000258/1 and No. ST/T000759/1 and a Wolfson Fellowship from the Royal Society.

REFERENCES

Adams, Allan, Paul M. Chesler, and Hong Liu, 2014, "Holographic Turbulence," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.151602) 112, 151602.

- Aharony, Ofer, Micha Berkooz, David Kutasov, and Nathan Seiberg, 1998, "Linear dilatons, NS5-branes and holography," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/10/004) [Energy Phys. 10, 004.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/10/004)
- Almheiri, Ahmed, and Joseph Polchinski, 2015, "Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography," [J. High Energy Phys. 11, 014.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2015)014)
- Alvarez, Orlando, 1981, "Static potential in string models," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.440) [Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.440) 24, 440.
- Ambjørn, J., and Yuri Makeenko, 2016, "String theory as a Lilliputian world," [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.02.075) 756, 142–146.
- Andrade, Tomas, Roberto Emparan, Aron Jansen, David Licht, Raimon Luna, and Ryotaku Suzuki, 2020, "Entropy production and entropic attractors in black hole fusion and fission," [arXiv:2005.14498.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2005.14498)
- Andrade, Tomas, Roberto Emparan, David Licht, and Raimon Luna, 2019a, "Black hole collisions, instabilities, and cosmic censorship violation at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 099.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2019)099)
- Andrade, Tomas, Pau Figueras, and Ulrich Sperhake, 2020, "Generic violations of the weak cosmic censorship conjecture in higher dimensions" (unpublished).
- Andrade, Tomas, Simon A. Gentle, and Benjamin Withers, 2016, "Drude in D major," [J. High Energy Phys. 06, 134.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)134)
- Andrade, Tomas, Christiana Pantelidou, Julian Sonner, and Benjamin Withers, 2019, "Driven black holes: From Kolmogorov scaling to turbulent wakes," [arXiv:1912.00032.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1912.00032)
- Andrade, Tomas, Christiana Pantelidou, and Benjamin Withers, 2018, "Large D holography with metric deformations," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)138) [Energy Phys. 09, 138.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)138)
- Andrade, Tomas, and Benjamin Withers, 2014, "A simple holographic model of momentum relaxation," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 101.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2014)101)
- Andrade, Tomás, Roberto Emparan, and David Licht, 2018, "Rotating black holes and black bars at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 107.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)107)
- Andrade, Tomás, Roberto Emparan, David Licht, and Raimon Luna, 2019b, "Cosmic censorship violation in black hole collisions in higher dimensions," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 121.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)121)
- Aniceto, Inés, Gokce Basar, and Ricardo Schiappa, 2019, "A primer on resurgent transseries and their asymptotics," [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2019.02.003) 809, 1–[135.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2019.02.003)
- Armas, Jay, and Enrico Parisini, 2019, "Instabilities of thin black rings: Closing the gap," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 169.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2019)169)
- Asnin, Vadim, Dan Gorbonos, Shahar Hadar, Barak Kol, Michele Levi, and Umpei Miyamoto, 2007, "High and low dimensions in the black hole negative mode," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/22/015) 24, 5527–[5540.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/22/015)
- Azeyanagi, Tatsuo, Frank Ferrari, Paolo Gregori, Laetitia Leduc, and Guillaume Valette, 2018, "More on the new large D limit of matrix models," [Ann. Phys. \(Amsterdam\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2018.04.010) 393, 308–326.
- Azeyanagi, Tatsuo, Frank Ferrari, and Fidel I. Schaposnik Massolo, 2018, "Phase Diagram of Planar Matrix Quantum Mechanics, Tensor, and Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Models," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.061602) 120, [061602.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.061602)
- Balasubramanian, Koushik, and Christopher P. Herzog, 2014, "Losing forward momentum holographically," [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/12/125010) Gravity 31[, 125010.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/12/125010)
- Banks, Tom, Michael R. Douglas, Gary T. Horowitz, and Emil J. Martinec, 1998, "AdS dynamics from conformal field theory," [arXiv:hep-th/9808016.](https://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9808016)
- Banks, Tom, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, and Leonard Susskind, 1997, "M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5112) 55, 5112–[5128 .](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5112)
- Bernard, Denis, and Benjamin Doyon, 2016, "Conformal field theory out of equilibrium: A review," [J. Stat. Mech. 064005.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2016/06/064005)
- Berti, Emanuele, Vitor Cardoso, and Barnabas Kipapa, 2011, "Up to eleven: Radiation from particles with arbitrary energy falling into higher-dimensional black holes," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084018) 83, 084018.
- Berti, Emanuele, Vitor Cardoso, and Andrei O. Starinets, 2009, "Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes," [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/26/16/163001) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/26/16/163001) 26, 163001.
- Berti, Emanuele, Marco Cavaglia, and Leonardo Gualtieri, 2004, "Gravitational energy loss in high-energy particle collisions: Ultrarelativistic plunge into a multidimensional black hole," [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.124011) D 69[, 124011.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.124011)
- Betzios, Panagiotis, Umut Gürsoy, Matti Järvinen, and Giuseppe Policastro, 2018, "Fluctuations in non-conformal holographic plasma at criticality," [arXiv:1807.01718.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1807.01718)
- Bhattacharya, Jyotirmoy, Sayantani Bhattacharyya, Anirban Dinda, and Nilay Kundu, 2020, "An entropy current for dynamical black holes in four-derivative theories of gravity," [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)017) [06, 017.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2020)017)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Parthajit Biswas, Bidisha Chakrabarty, Yogesh Dandekar, and Anirban Dinda, 2018, "The large D black hole dynamics in AdS/dS backgrounds," [J. High Energy Phys. 10,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)033) [033.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)033)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Parthajit Biswas, and Yogesh Dandekar, 2018, "Black holes in presence of cosmological constant: Second order in $1/D$," [J. High Energy Phys. 10, 171.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2018)171)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Parthajit Biswas, Anirban Dinda, and Milan Patra, 2019, "Fluid-gravity and membrane-gravity dualities—Comparison at subleading orders," [J. High Energy Phys. 05,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)054) [054.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)054)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Parthajit Biswas, and Milan Patra, 2019, "A leading-order comparison between fluid-gravity and membranegravity dualities," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 022.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)022)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Anandita De, Shiraz Minwalla, Ravi Mohan, and Arunabha Saha, 2016, "A membrane paradigm at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 076.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)076)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Veronika E. Hubeny, Shiraz Minwalla, and Mukund Rangamani, 2008, "Nonlinear fluid dynamics from gravity," [J. High Energy Phys. 02, 045.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/045)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, R. Loganayagam, Ipsita Mandal, Shiraz Minwalla, and Ankit Sharma, 2008, "Conformal nonlinear fluid dynamics from gravity in arbitrary dimensions," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/116) [Phys. 12, 116.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/116)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Anup Kumar Mandal, Mangesh Mandlik, Umang Mehta, Shiraz Minwalla, Utkarsh Sharma, and Somyadip Thakur, 2017, "Currents and radiation from the large D black hole membrane," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 098.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)098)
- Bhattacharyya, Sayantani, Mangesh Mandlik, Shiraz Minwalla, and Somyadip Thakur, 2016, "A charged membrane paradigm at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 128.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2016)128)
- Biswas, Parthajit, 2019, "Stress tensor for large-D membrane at subleading orders," [arXiv:1912.00476.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1912.00476)
- Bizon, Piotr, and Andrzej Rostworowski, 2011, "On Weakly Turbulent Instability of Anti–de Sitter Space," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.031102) 107, [031102.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.031102)
- Bjerrum-Bohr, N. E. J., 2004, "Quantum gravity at a large number of dimensions," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2004.02.012) B684, 209–234.
- Bland, J., B. Preston, M. Becker, G. Kunstatter, and V. Husain, 2005, "Dimension-dependence of the critical exponent in spherically symmetric gravitational collapse," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/22/24/009) 22, 5355–[5364.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/22/24/009)
- Bonzom, Valentin, Razvan Gurau, Aldo Riello, and Vincent Rivasseau, 2011, "Critical behavior of colored tensor models in the large N limit," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2011.07.022) B853, 174–195.
- Boulware, David G., and Stanley Deser, 1985, "String Generated Gravity Models," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2656) 55, 2656.
- Buchel, Alex, and Luis Lehner, 2015, "Small black holes in AdS₅ \times S⁵," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/14/145003) 32, 145003.
- Cai, Rong-Gen, and Kwang-Sup Soh, 1999, "Topological black holes in the dimensionally continued gravity," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.044013) 59, [044013.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.044013)
- Caldarelli, Marco M., Joan Camps, Blaise Goutéraux, and Kostas Skenderis, 2013, "AdS/Ricci-flat correspondence and the Gregory-Laflamme instability," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.061502) 87, 061502.
- Caldarelli, Marco M., Joan Camps, Blaise Goutéraux, and Kostas Skenderis, 2014, "AdS/Ricci-flat correspondence," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)071) [Phys. 04, 071.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)071)
- Caldarelli, Marco M., Oscar J. C. Dias, Roberto Emparan, and Dietmar Klemm, 2009, "Black holes as lumps of fluid," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/024) [Energy Phys. 04, 024.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/024)
- Camps, Joan, Roberto Emparan, and Nidal Haddad, 2010, "Black brane viscosity and the Gregory-Laflamme instability," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2010)042) [Energy Phys. 05, 042.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2010)042)
- Camps, Joan, and Roberto Emparan, 2012, "Derivation of the blackfold effective theory," [J. High Energy Phys. 03, 038;](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2012)038) [06,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)155) [155\(E\) \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2012)155)
- Canfora, Fabrizio, Alex Giacomini, and Alfonso R. Zerwekh, 2009, "Kaluza-Klein theory in the limit of large number of extra dimensions," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084039) 80, 084039.
- Cardoso, Vitor, and Oscar J. C. Dias, 2006, "Rayleigh-Plateau and Gregory-Laflamme Instabilities of Black Strings," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181601) 96[, 181601.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181601)
- Cardoso, Vitor, Oscar J. C. Dias, and Jose P. S. Lemos, 2003, "Gravitational radiation in D-dimensional space-times," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.064026) Rev. D 67[, 064026.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.064026)
- Cardoso, Vitor, Oscar J. C. Dias, Jose P. S. Lemos, and Shijun Yoshida, 2004, "Black hole bomb and superradiant instabilities," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.044039) 70, 044039; 70[, 049903\(E\) \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.049903)
- Cardoso, Vitor, Oscar J. C. Dias, and Shijun Yoshida, 2006, "Classical instability of Kerr-AdS black holes and the issue of final state," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.044008) 74, 044008.
- Carrozza, Sylvain, Frank Ferrari, Adrian Tanasa, and Guillaume Valette, 2020 , "On the large D expansion of Hermitian multi-matrix models," [arXiv:2003.04152](https://arXiv.org/abs/2003.04152).
- Carter, Brandon, 2001, "Essentials of classical brane dynamics," [Int.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012934901706) [J. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012934901706) 40, 2099–2130.
- Casalderrey-Solana, Jorge, Christopher P. Herzog, and Ben Meiring, 2019, "Holographic Bjorken flow at large-D," [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)181) [01, 181.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)181)
- Chen, Bin, Zhong-Ying Fan, Pengcheng Li, and Weicheng Ye, 2016, "Quasinormal modes of Gauss-Bonnet black holes at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 01, 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2016)085)
- Chen, Bin, and Peng-Cheng Li, 2016, "Instability of charged Gauss-Bonnet black hole in de Sitter spacetime at large D," [arXiv:1607](https://arXiv.org/abs/1607.04713) [.04713](https://arXiv.org/abs/1607.04713).
- Chen, Bin, and Peng-Cheng Li, 2017, "Static Gauss-Bonnet black holes at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 025.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2017)025)
- Chen, Bin, Peng-Cheng Li, Yu Tian, and Cheng-Yong Zhang, 2019, "Holographic turbulence in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 01, 156.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)156)
- Chen, Bin, Peng-Cheng Li, and Zi-zhi Wang, 2017, "Charged black rings at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 167.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)167)
- Chen, Bin, Peng-Cheng Li, and Cheng-Yong Zhang, 2017, "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black strings at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 10,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)123) [123.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)123)
- Chen, Bin, Peng-Cheng Li, and Cheng-Yong Zhang, 2018, "Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black rings at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 07,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)067) [067.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2018)067)
- Choptuik, Matthew W., 1993, "Universality and Scaling in Gravitational Collapse of a Massless Scalar Field," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.9) 70, 9–[12.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.9)
- Coelho, Flavio S., Carlos Herdeiro, Carmen Rebelo, and Marco Sampaio, 2013, "Radiation from a D-dimensional collision of shock waves: Higher-order setup and perturbation theory validity," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.084034) 87, 084034.
- Coelho, Flavio S., Carlos Herdeiro, and Marco O. P. Sampaio, 2012, "Radiation from a D-Dimensional Collision of Shock Waves: A Remarkably Simple Fit Formula," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.181102) 108, 181102.
- Colin-Ellerin, Sean, Veronika E. Hubeny, Benjamin E. Niehoff, and Jonathan Sorce, 2020, "Large-d phase transitions in holographic mutual information," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 173.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)173)
- Cook, William G., Ulrich Sperhake, Emanuele Berti, and Vitor Cardoso, 2017, "Black-hole head-on collisions in higher dimensions," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.124006) 96, 124006.
- Damour, T., 1982, "Surface effects in black hole physics," in Proceedings of the Second Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, Trieste, Italy, 1979, edited by R. Ruffini (North-Holland, Amsterdam), p. 587.
- Damour, T., M. Henneaux, and H. Nicolai, 2003, "Cosmological billiards," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/9/201) 20, R145–R200.
- Dandekar, Yogesh, Anandita De, Subhajit Mazumdar, Shiraz Minwalla, and Arunabha Saha, 2016, "The large D black hole membrane paradigm at first subleading order," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)113) [Phys. 12, 113.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)113)
- Dandekar, Yogesh, Suman Kundu, Subhajit Mazumdar, Shiraz Minwalla, Amiya Mishra, and Arunabha Saha, 2018, "An action for and hydrodynamics from the improved large D membrane," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 137.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2018)137)
- Dandekar, Yogesh, Subhajit Mazumdar, Shiraz Minwalla, and Arunabha Saha, 2016, "Unstable 'black branes' from scaled membranes at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 12, 140.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2016)140)
- Dandekar, Yogesh, and Arunabha Saha, 2020, "Large D membrane for higher derivative gravity and black hole second law," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)083) [Energy Phys. 02, 083.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)083)
- Davis, M., R. Ruffini, W. H. Press, and R. H. Price, 1971, "Gravitational Radiation from a Particle Falling Radially into a Schwarzschild Black Hole," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.27.1466) 27, 1466–1469.
- Deser, S., 2020, "Why does $D = 4$, rather than more (or less)? An Orwellian explanation," [Proc. R. Soc. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2019.0632) 476, 20190632.
- Deser, Stanley, 2004, "The many dimensions of dimension," Comment. Phys.-Math. Soc. Sci. Fenn. 166, 65.
- Dias, Oscar J. C, Pau Figueras, Ricardo Monteiro, Jorge E. Santos, and Roberto Emparan, 2009, "Instability and new phases of higherdimensional rotating black holes," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.111701) 80, 111701.
- Dias, Oscar J. C., Pau Figueras, Ricardo Monteiro, and Jorge E. Santos, 2010, "Ultraspinning instability of rotating black holes," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104025) 82, 104025.
- Dias, Óscar J. C, Gavin S. Hartnett, and Jorge E. Santos, 2014, "Quasinormal modes of asymptotically flat rotating black holes," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/24/245011) 31, 245011.
- Dias, Óscar J. C, Jorge E. Santos, and Benson Way, 2015, "Lumpy $AdS_5 \times S^5$ black holes and black belts," [J. High Energy Phys. 04,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)060) [060.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)060)
- Dias, Óscar J. C, Jorge E. Santos, and Benson Way, 2016, "Localized $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Black Holes," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.151101) 117, 151101.
- Dominis Prester, Predrag, 2013, "Small black holes in the large D limit," [J. High Energy Phys. 06, 070.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)070)
- Donos, Aristomenis, and Jerome P. Gauntlett, 2014a, "Holographic Q-lattices," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 040.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2014)040)
- Donos, Aristomenis, and Jerome P. Gauntlett, 2014b, "Thermoelectric DC conductivities from black hole horizons," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2014)081) [Phys. 11, 081.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2014)081)
- Eggers, Jens, 1997, "Nonlinear dynamics and breakup of free-surface flows," [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.865) 69, 865–930.
- Elitzur, S., A. Forge, and E. Rabinovici, 1991, "Some global aspects of string compactifications," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90073-7) B359, 581–610.
- Emparan, Roberto, Daniel Grumiller, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2013, "Large-D Gravity and Low-D Strings," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.251102) 110, [251102.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.251102)
- Emparan, Roberto, Troels Harmark, Vasilis Niarchos, and Niels A. Obers, 2010, "Essentials of blackfold dynamics," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)063) [Phys. 03, 063.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2010)063)
- Emparan, Roberto, Keisuke Izumi, Raimon Luna, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2016, "Hydro-elastic complementarity in black branes at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 06, 117.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2016)117)
- Emparan, Roberto, Keisuke Izumi, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2020, "Covariant effective equations for large D black holes" (unpublished).
- Emparan, Roberto, Raimon Luna, Marina Martínez, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2018, "Phases and stability of non-uniform black strings," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 104.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2018)104)
- Emparan, Roberto, and Robert C. Myers, 2003, "Instability of ultraspinning black holes," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 025.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/09/025)
- Emparan, Roberto, Tetsuya Shiromizu, Ryotaku Suzuki, Kentaro Tanabe, and Takahiro Tanaka, 2015, "Effective theory of black holes in the 1/D expansion," [J. High Energy Phys. 06, 159.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2015)159)
- Emparan, Roberto, and Ryotaku Suzuki, 2019, "Topology-changing horizons at large D as Ricci flows," [J. High Energy Phys. 07, 094.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2019)094)
- Emparan, Roberto, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2015a, "Evolution and End Point of the Black String Instability: Large D Solution," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.091102) 115, 091102.
- Emparan, Roberto, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2013, "The large D limit of general relativity," [J. High Energy Phys. 06, 009.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)009)
- Emparan, Roberto, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2014, "Decoupling and non-decoupling dynamics of large D black holes," [J. High Energy Phys. 07, 113.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)113)
- Emparan, Roberto, Ryotaku Suzuki, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2015b, "Quasinormal modes of (anti–)de Sitter black holes in the 1/D expansion," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 085.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2015)085)
- Emparan, Roberto, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2014a, "Universal quasinormal modes of large D black holes," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.064028) 89, 064028.
- Emparan, Roberto, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2014b, "Holographic superconductivity in the large D expansion," [J. High Energy Phys. 01,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2014)145) [145.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2014)145)
- Ferrari, Frank, 2017, "The large D limit of planar diagrams," [arXiv:1701.01171.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1701.01171)
- Ferrari, Frank, and Fidel I. Schaposnik Massolo, 2019, "Phases of melonic quantum mechanics," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.026007) 100, 026007.
- Ferrari, Frank, Vincent Rivasseau, and Guillaume Valette, 2019, "A new large N expansion for general matrix-tensor models," [Com](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03511-7)[mun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-019-03511-7) 370, 403–448.
- Figueras, Pau, Markus Kunesch, Luis Lehner, and Saran Tunyasuvunakool, 2017, "End Point of the Ultraspinning Instability and Violation of Cosmic Censorship," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.151103) 118, [151103.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.151103)
- Figueras, Pau, Markus Kunesch, and Saran Tunyasuvunakool, 2016, "End Point of Black Ring Instabilities and the Weak Cosmic Censorship Conjecture," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.071102) 116, 071102.
- Figueras, Pau, Keiju Murata, and Harvey S. Reall, 2012, "Stable nonuniform black strings below the critical dimension," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)071) [Phys. 11, 071.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)071)
- Fitzpatrick, A Liam, Jared Kaplan, and David Poland, 2013, "Conformal blocks in the large D limit," [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2013)107) [08, 107.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2013)107)
- Friess, Joshua J., and Steven S. Gubser, 2006, "Non-linear sigma models with anti–de Sitter target spaces," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.05.008) B750, 111– [141.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.05.008)

Gadde, Abhijit, and Trakshu Sharma, 2020, "Constraining conformal theories in large dimensions," [arXiv:2002.10147](https://arXiv.org/abs/2002.10147).

- García-García, Antonio M., and Aurelio Romero-Bermúdez, 2015, "Conductivity and entanglement entropy of high dimensional holographic superconductors," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 033.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)033)
- Garfinkle, David, Luis Lehner, and Frans Pretorius, 2005, "Numerical examination of an evolving black string horizon," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.064009) 71[, 064009.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.064009)
- Georges, Antoine, Gabriel Kotliar, Werner Krauth, and Marcelo J. Rozenberg, 1996, "Dynamical mean-field theory of strongly correlated fermion systems and the limit of infinite dimensions," [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.68.13) 68, 13–125.
- Giribet, Gaston, 2013, "Large D limit of dimensionally continued gravity," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.107504) 87, 107504.
- Gregory, R., and R. Laflamme, 1993, "Black Strings and p-Branes Are Unstable," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2837) 70, 2837–2840.
- Gregory, Ruth, and Raymond Laflamme, 1994, "The instability of charged black strings and p-branes," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90206-2) B428, 399–434.
- Grumiller, D., W. Kummer, and D. V. Vassilevich, 2002, "Dilaton gravity in two dimensions," [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00267-3) 369, 327–430.
- Gubser, Steven S., 2002, "On nonuniform black branes," [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/19/303) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/19/303) 19, 4825–4844.
- Gubser, Steven S., 2008, "Breaking an Abelian gauge symmetry near a black hole horizon," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.065034) 78, 065034.
- Gundlach, Carsten, and Jose M. Martín-García, 2007, "Critical phenomena in gravitational collapse," [Living Rev. Relativity](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2007-5) 10, 5.
- Guo, Er-Dong, Miao Li, and Jia-Rui Sun, 2016, "CFT dual of charged AdS black hole in the large dimension limit," [Int. J. Mod.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271816500851) Phys. D 25[, 1650085.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271816500851)
- Guo, Minyong, Peng-Cheng Li, and Bin Chen, 2020, "Photon emission near Myers-Perry black holes in the large dimension limit," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.024054) 101, 024054.
- Hamber, Herbert W., and Ruth M. Williams, 2006, "Quantum gravity in large dimensions," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.044031) 73, 044031.
- Hartnoll, Sean A., Christopher P. Herzog, and Gary T. Horowitz, 2008a, "Building a Holographic Superconductor," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.031601) 101[, 031601.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.031601)
- Hartnoll, Sean A., Christopher P. Herzog, and Gary T. Horowitz, 2008b, "Holographic superconductors," [J. High Energy Phys. 12,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/015) [015.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/015)
- Hawking, S. W, and Don N. Page, 1983, "Thermodynamics of black holes in anti–de Sitter space," [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01208266) 87, 577.
- Heller, Michal P., Romuald A. Janik, and Przemyslaw Witaszczyk, 2013, "Hydrodynamic Gradient Expansion in Gauge Theory Plasmas," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.211602) 110, 211602.
- Heller, Michal P., and Michal Spalinski, 2015, "Hydrodynamics beyond the Gradient Expansion: Resurgence and Resummation," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072501) 115, 072501.
- Herdeiro, Carlos, Marco O. P. Sampaio, and Carmen Rebelo, 2011, "Radiation from a D-dimensional collision of shock waves: First order perturbation theory," [J. High Energy Phys. 07, 121.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2011)121)
- Herzog, Christopher P., 2010, "Analytic holographic superconductor," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.126009) 81, 126009.
- Herzog, Christopher P., and Youngshin Kim, 2018, "The large dimension limit of a small black hole instability in anti–de Sitter space," [J. High Energy Phys. 02, 167.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2018)167)
- Herzog, Christopher P., and Ben Meiring, 2020 (to be published).
- Herzog, Christopher P., Michael Spillane, and Amos Yarom, 2016, "The holographic dual of a Riemann problem in a large number of dimensions," [J. High Energy Phys. 08, 120.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)120)
- Hod, Shahar, 2011, "Bulk emission by higher-dimensional black holes: Almost perfect blackbody radiation," [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/10/105016) Gravity 28[, 105016.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/28/10/105016)
- Holdt-Sørensen, Frederik, David A. McGady, and Nico Wintergerst, 2019, "Black hole evaporation and semiclassicality at large D ," [arXiv:1908.08083.](https://arXiv.org/abs/1908.08083)
- Horowitz, Gary T., and Veronika E. Hubeny, 2000, "Quasinormal modes of AdS black holes and the approach to thermal equilibrium," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.024027) 62, 024027.
- Horowitz, Gary T., and Kengo Maeda, 2001, "Fate of the Black String Instability," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.131301) 87, 131301.
- Horowitz, Gary T., Jorge E. Santos, and Benson Way, 2016, "Evidence for an electrifying violation of cosmic censorship," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/19/195007) 33, 195007.
- Hubeny, Veronika E., Shiraz Minwalla, and Mukund Rangamani, 2012, "The fluid/gravity correspondence," in Black Holes in Higher Dimensions, edited by Gary T. Horowitz (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England), pp. 348–383 and 817.
- Hubeny, Veronika E., and Mukund Rangamani, 2002, "Unstable horizons," [J. High Energy Phys. 05, 027.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/05/027)
- Hubeny, Veronika E., Mukund Rangamani, and Tadashi Takayanagi, 2007, "A covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," [J. High Energy Phys. 07, 062.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/07/062)
- Iizuka, Norihiro, Akihiro Ishibashi, and Kengo Maeda, 2018, "Cosmic censorship at large D: Stability analysis in polarized AdS black branes (holes)," [J. High Energy Phys. 03, 177.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2018)177)
- Janik, Romuald A., and Robert B. Peschanski, 2006, "Asymptotic perfect fluid dynamics as a consequence of Ads/CFT," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.045013) 73[, 045013.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.045013)
- Kanitscheider, Ingmar, and Kostas Skenderis, 2009, "Universal hydrodynamics of non-conformal branes," [J. High Energy Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/062) [04, 062.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/062)
- Kar, Aditya, Taniya Mandal, and Arunabha Saha, 2019, "The large D membrane paradigm for general four-derivative theory of gravity with a cosmological constant," [J. High Energy Phys. 08, 078.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2019)078)
- Keeler, Cynthia, and Alankrita Priya, 2019, "Black hole one-loop determinants in the large dimension limit," [arXiv:1904.09299](https://arXiv.org/abs/1904.09299).
- Kitaev, A., 2015, "A simple model of quantum holography," lecture at KITP program Entanglement in Strongly-Correlated Quantum Matter.
- Klebanov, Igor R., Fedor Popov, and Grigory Tarnopolsky, 2018, "TASI lectures on large N tensor models," Proc. Sci. [TASI2017](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.305.0004), [004](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.305.0004) [\[arXiv:1808.09434](https://arXiv.org/abs/1808.09434)].
- Klebanov, Igor R., and Grigory Tarnopolsky, 2017, "Uncolored random tensors, melon diagrams, and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.046004) 95, 046004.
- Kodama, Hideo, and Akihiro Ishibashi, 2003, "A master equation for gravitational perturbations of maximally symmetric black holes in higher dimensions," [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.110.701) 110, 701-722.
- Kol, Barak, 2006, "The phase transition between caged black holes and black strings: A review," [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.10.001) 422, 119–165.
- Kol, Barak, and Evgeny Sorkin, 2004, "On black-brane instability in an arbitrary dimension," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/21/003) 21, 4793– [4804.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/21/003)
- Kovacs, Aron D., and Harvey S. Reall, 2020, "Well-posed formulation of Lovelock and Horndeski theories," [arXiv:2003.08398.](https://arXiv.org/abs/2003.08398)
- Kovtun, P., Dan T. Son, and Andrei O. Starinets, 2005, "Viscosity in Strongly Interacting Quantum Field Theories from Black Hole Physics," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.111601) 94, 111601.
- Kovtun, Pavel, 2012, "Lectures on hydrodynamic fluctuations in relativistic theories," [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/45/47/473001) 45, 473001.
- Kudoh, Hideaki, and Toby Wiseman, 2005, "Connecting Black Holes and Black Strings," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.161102) 94, 161102.
- Kundu, Suman, and Poulami Nandi, 2018, "Large D gravity and charged membrane dynamics with nonzero cosmological constant," [J. High Energy Phys. 12, 034.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2018)034)
- Lehner, Luis, and Frans Pretorius, 2010, "Black Strings, Low Viscosity Fluids, and Violation of Cosmic Censorship," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.101102) [Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.101102) 105, 101102.
- Lehner, Luis, and Frans Pretorius, 2012, "Final state of Gregory-Laflamme instability," in Black Holes in Higher Dimensions, edited by Gary T. Horowitz (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England), pp. 44–68.
- Lehner, Luis, and Frans Pretorius, 2014, "Numerical relativity and astrophysics," [Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-040031) 52, 661–694.
- Li, Peng-Cheng, Cheng-Yong Zhang, and Bin Chen, 2019, "The fate of instability of de Sitter black holes at large D," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)042) [Phys. 11, 042.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)042)
- Licht, David, Raimon Luna, and Ryotaku Suzuki, 2020, "Black ripples, flowers and dumbbells at large D," [J. High Energy Phys. 04, 108.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2020)108)
- Lovelock, D., 1971, "The Einstein tensor and its generalizations," [J. Math. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665613) 12, 498–501.
- Maldacena, Juan, and Douglas Stanford, 2016, "Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106002) 94, 106002.
- Maldacena, Juan Martin, 1999, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) 38[, 1113](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961)–1133.
- Mandal, Gautam, Anirvan M. Sengupta, and Spenta R. Wadia, 1991, "Classical solutions of two-dimensional string theory," [Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732391001822) [Lett. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732391001822) 06, 1685–1692.
- Mandlik, Mangesh, 2020, "Black rings in large D membrane paradigm at the first order," [arXiv:2006.16163](https://arXiv.org/abs/2006.16163).
- Mandlik, Mangesh, and Somyadip Thakur, 2018, "Stationary solutions from the large D membrane paradigm," [J. High Energy Phys. 11, 026.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)026)
- Marolf, Donald, 2005, "On the fate of black string instabilities: An observation," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.127504) **71**, 127504.
- Myers, Robert C., and M. J. Perry, 1986, "Black holes in higher dimensional space-times," [Ann. Phys. \(N.Y.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(86)90186-7) 172, 304.
- Oppo, G L., and A. Politi, 1985, "Toda potential in laser equations," [Z. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01325388) 59, 111–115.
- Papallo, Giuseppe, and Harvey S. Reall, 2017, "On the local wellposedness of Lovelock and Horndeski theories," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.044019) 96, [044019.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.044019)
- Patra, Milan, 2019, "Comparison between fluid-gravity and membrane-gravity dualities for Einstein-Maxwell system," [arXiv:](https://arXiv.org/abs/1912.09402) [1912.09402](https://arXiv.org/abs/1912.09402).
- Peet, Amanda W., and Simon F. Ross, 1998, "Microcanonical phases of string theory on $AdS_m \times S^n$," [J. High Energy Phys. 12, 020.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1998/12/020)
- Perelman, Grisha, 2006, "The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications," [arXiv:math/0211159.](https://arXiv.org/abs/math/0211159)
- Press, William H., and Saul A. Teukolsky, 1972, "Floating orbits, superradiant scattering and the black-hole bomb," [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/238211a0) 238[, 211](https://doi.org/10.1038/238211a0)–212.
- Price, R. H., and K. S. Thorne, 1986, "Membrane viewpoint on black holes: Properties and evolution of the stretched horizon," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.33.915) [Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.33.915) 33, 915–941.
- Reall, Harvey, Norihiro Tanahashi, and Benson Way, 2014, "Causality and hyperbolicity of Lovelock theories," [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/20/205005) Gravity 31[, 205005.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/20/205005)
- Rozali, Moshe, Evyatar Sabag, and Amos Yarom, 2018, "Holographic turbulence in a large number of dimensions," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2018)065) [Energy Phys. 04, 065.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2018)065)
- Rozali, Moshe, and Alexandre Vincart-Emard, 2016, "On brane instabilities in the large D limit," [J. High Energy Phys. 08, 166.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2016)166)
- Rozali, Moshe, and Benson Way, 2018, "Gravitating scalar stars in the large D limit," [J. High Energy Phys. 11, 106.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2018)106)
- Ryu, Shinsei, and Tadashi Takayanagi, 2006, "Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602) 96, [181602.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602)
- Saha, Arunabha, 2019, "The large D membrane paradigm for Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity," [J. High Energy Phys. 01, 028.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2019)028)
- Santos, Jorge E., and Benson Way, 2015, "Neutral Black Rings in Five Dimensions Are Unstable," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.221101) 114, 221101.
- Schwarzschild, Karl, 1916, "On the gravitational field of a mass point according to Einstein's theory," Sitzungsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 1916, 189–196.
- Scopelliti, Vincenzo, Koenraad Schalm, and Andrew Lucas, 2017, "Hydrodynamic charge and heat transport on inhomogeneous curved spaces," [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.075150) 96, 075150.
- Sloan, David, and Pedro Ferreira, 2017, "Cosmology of an infinite dimensional universe," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.043527) 96, 043527.
- Soda, J., 1993, "Hierarchical dimensional reduction and gluing geometries," [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/89.6.1303) 89, 1303–1310.
- Sorkin, Evgeny, 2004, "Critical Dimension in the Black String Phase Transition," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.031601) 93, 031601.
- Sorkin, Evgeny, and Yonatan Oren, 2005, "Choptuik's scaling in higher dimensions," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.124005) 71, 124005.
- Strominger, Andrew, 1981, "Inverse-dimensional expansion in quantum gravity," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.3082) 24, 3082.
- Suzuki, Ryotaku, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2015a, "Non-uniform black strings and the critical dimension in the $1/D$ expansion," [J. High](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2015)107) [Energy Phys. 10, 107.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2015)107)
- Suzuki, Ryotaku, and Kentaro Tanabe, 2015b, "Stationary black holes: Large *D* analysis," [J. High Energy Phys. 09, 193.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)193)
- Tanabe, Kentaro, 2016a, "Elastic instability of black rings at large D," [arXiv:1605.08116](https://arXiv.org/abs/1605.08116).
- Tanabe, Kentaro, 2016b, "Instability of the de Sitter Reissner-Nordstrom black hole in the $1/D$ expansion," [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/12/125016) Gravity 33[, 125016.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/12/125016)
- Tanabe, Kentaro, 2016c, "Black rings at large D," [J. High Energy](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)151) [Phys. 02, 151.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)151)
- Tangherlini, F. R., 1963, "Schwarzschild field in n dimensions and the dimensionality of space problem," [Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784569) 27, 636–651.
- 't Hooft, Gerard, 1974, "A planar diagram theory for strong interactions," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90154-0) B72, 461.
- Toda, Morikazu, 1975, "Studies of a non-linear lattice," [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(75)90018-6) 18, 1–[123.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(75)90018-6)
- Vyas, Vikram, 2013, "Heavy quark potential from gauge/gravity duality: A large D analysis," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.045026) 87, 045026.
- Wei, June-Yu, and Wen-Yu Wen, 2014, "The small and large D limit of Parikh-Wilczek tunneling model for Hawking radiation," [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/13/137001) 31, 137001.
- Wheeler, James T., 1986a, "Symmetric solutions to the Gauss-Bonnet extended Einstein equations," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90268-3) B268, 737–746.
- Wheeler, James T., 1986b, "Symmetric solutions to the maximally Gauss-Bonnet extended Einstein equations," [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90388-3) B273, 732–[748.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90388-3)
- Wiseman, Toby, 2003, "From black strings to black holes," [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/6/309) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/6/309) 20, 1177–1186.
- Witek, Helvi, Miguel Zilhao, Leonardo Gualtieri, Vitor Cardoso, Carlos Herdeiro, Andrea Nerozzi, and Ulrich Sperhake, 2010, "Numerical relativity for D dimensional space-times: Head-on collisions of black holes and gravitational wave extraction," [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104014) Rev. D 82[, 104014.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104014)
- Witten, Edward, 1991, "String theory and black holes," [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.314) 44[, 314](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.314)–324.
- Witten, Edward, 1998, "Anti–de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories," [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n3.a3) 2[, 505](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n3.a3)–532.
- Witten, Edward, 2019, "An SYK-like model without disorder," [J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab3752) Phys. A 52[, 474002.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab3752)