

## Erratum: Axions and the strong $CP$ problem [Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 557 (2010)]

Jihn E. Kim and Gianpaolo Carosi

 (published 30 December 2019)

DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.91.049902](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.91.049902)

With a small  $\theta$  in Eq. (38) in [Kim and Carosi \(2010\)](#), we presented the  $CP$  violating coupling in the  $\pi NN$  vertex in Eq. (8). But a factor, arising from the matrix element between nucleon states  $\langle N' |$  and  $| N \rangle$ , was missed in Eq. (8). Equation (8) should read

$$\overline{g_{\pi NN}} \simeq -\frac{\theta}{3} g_{\pi NN}. \quad (1)$$

The axial-vector isospin current is  $J_\mu^{i5} = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 T_i q$ , where  $q$  is the quark doublet  $(u d)^T$  and  $T_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is the generator in the isospin space. The divergence of the axial-vector current between  $q'$  and  $q$  is

$$\partial^\mu J_\mu^{(q'q)5} = (m_q + m'_q) \bar{q}' i \gamma_5 q. \quad (2)$$

In the partially conserved axial-vector current, the divergence of axial-vector current  $J_\mu^{i5}$  in the broken phase of the chiral symmetry is related to the creation of the Goldstone field  $\pi^i$ ,

$$\frac{1}{f_\pi} \langle \pi^i(q) | \partial^\mu J_\mu^{i5} | 0 \rangle \simeq q^2 \delta^{ij}, \quad (3)$$

where  $q^2 = m_\pi^2$  is usually used. Considering the Goldstone pole propagator, thus the pion-nucleon coupling is given by ([Georgi, 1984](#)),

$$\frac{1}{f_\pi} \langle \pi^i(q), N' | \partial^\mu J_\mu^{i5} | N \rangle \simeq q^2 \frac{1}{q^2} g_{\pi NN} = g_{\pi NN}. \quad (4)$$

Now using the right-hand side of Eq. (2), the relation

$$\langle n | \frac{m_q + m'_q}{f_\pi} \bar{q}' i \gamma_5 q | n \rangle = \frac{M_n g_A}{f_\pi} \quad (5)$$

is required for the validity of the Goldberger-Treiman (GT) relation ([Goldberger and Treiman, 1958a, 1958b](#)),

$$M_N = \frac{f_\pi g_{\pi NN}}{g_A}. \quad (6)$$

If  $\pi^0$  develops a vacuum expectation value, it breaks the  $CP$  invariance. In that case, Eq. (5) is multiplied by a phase  $e^{i\theta_{\pi^0}}$ . In Eq. (38) of [Kim and Carosi \(2010\)](#),

$$m_u \frac{\Lambda_u^2 v^6}{K^5}$$

and

$$m_d \frac{\Lambda_d^2 v^6}{K^5} \simeq 2Z m_d \frac{\Lambda_d^2 v^6}{K^5}$$

arise from  $\langle m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u \rangle$  and  $\langle m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d \rangle$ , respectively. For  $\Lambda_u^2 = \Lambda_d^2$  that is equivalent to  $\langle \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q \rangle \equiv \langle \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u \rangle = \langle \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d \rangle$ ,  $\theta_{\eta'} = 0$ , and  $c_2^u = c_2^d = 0$ , i.e., in the Kim-Shifman-Vainshtein-Zakharov model, the last two lines of Eq. (38) give, for small  $\theta_\pi$  and  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u \cos(-\theta_\pi - \theta) - m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d \cos(\theta_\pi - \theta) \\
 & \simeq m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u \sin \theta_\pi \sin \theta - m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d \sin \theta_\pi \sin \theta \\
 & \simeq (m_u + m_d) \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q \frac{Z - 2Z \frac{\pi^0}{f_\pi}}{1 + Z} \theta \\
 & \simeq -\frac{Z}{1 + Z} \theta (m_u + m_d) \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q \frac{\pi^0}{f_\pi},
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where we neglected  $\theta$  independent terms and  $O(\theta^2)$  terms. Without the kinetic term, it describes the  $\theta$  term. Using Eq. (5), we obtain

$$\langle n | 2(m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u + m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d) | n \rangle = M_N g_A. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, from Eqs. (7) and (8), we have the following  $\theta$  dependent term for a small  $\theta$ :

$$\langle n, \pi^0 | m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u \cos(-\theta_\pi - \theta) + m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d \cos(\theta_\pi - \theta) | n \rangle = -\frac{M_N g_A}{6 f_\pi} \theta = -\frac{g_{\pi NN}}{6} \theta. \tag{9}$$

In view of two possibilities of inserting the  $CP$  violating vertex in Fig. 4(b), we note that a factor  $g_{\pi NN}$  is missed in Eq. (8) of [Kim and Carosi \(2010\)](#). Using the 2018 bound on the upper limit on the neutron electric dipole moment, then we have the following bound on  $\bar{\theta}$ :

$$|\bar{\theta}| \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-13}. \tag{10}$$

Note further that, unlike in [Crewther \*et al.\* \(1979\)](#), this estimation on the bound on  $|\bar{\theta}|$  is applicable even in the case without the  $s$  quark.

- Crewther, R. J., P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, and E. Witten, 1979, ‘‘Chiral Estimate of the Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron in Quantum Chromodynamics,’’ *Phys. Lett. B* **88**, 123.
- Georgi, H., 1984, *Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory* (Benjamin-Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menro Park, CA), Eq. (4.7.4).
- Goldberger, M. L., and S. B. Treiman, 1958a, ‘‘Decays of the Pi Meson,’’ *Phys. Rev.* **110**, 1178;
- Goldberger, M. L., and S. B. Treiman, 1958b, ‘‘Form Factors in  $\beta$  Decay and  $\mu$  Capture,’’ *Phys. Rev.* **111**, 354.
- Kim, J. E., and G. Carosi, 2010, ‘‘Axions and the Strong  $CP$  Problem,’’ *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 557.