## The Galactic Center massive black hole and nuclear star cluster

Reinhard Genzel,<sup>\*</sup> Frank Eisenhauer, and Stefan Gillessen *Max-Planck Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, 85748 Garching, Germany* (Published 20 December 2010)

The Galactic Center is an excellent laboratory for studying phenomena and physical processes that may be occurring in many other galactic nuclei. The center of our Milky Way is by far the closest galactic nucleus, and observations with exquisite resolution and sensitivity cover 18 orders of magnitude in energy of electromagnetic radiation. Theoretical simulations have become increasingly more powerful in explaining these measurements. This review summarizes the recent progress in observational and theoretical work on the central parsec, with a strong emphasis on the current empirical evidence for a central massive black hole and on the processes in the surrounding dense nuclear star cluster. Current evidence is presented, from the analysis of the orbits of more than two dozen stars and from the measurements of the size and motion of the central compact radio source, Sgr A\*, that this radio source must be a massive black hole of about  $4.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ , beyond any reasonable doubt. What is known about the structure and evolution of the dense nuclear star cluster surrounding this black hole is reported, including the astounding fact that stars have been forming in the vicinity of Sgr A\* recently, apparently with a top-heavy stellar-mass function. A dense concentration of fainter stars centered in the immediate vicinity of the massive black hole are discussed, three of which have orbital peri-bothroi of less than one light day. This "S-star cluster" appears to consist mainly of young early-type stars, in contrast to the predicted properties of an equilibrium "stellar cusp" around a black hole. This constitutes a remarkable and presently not fully understood "paradox of youth." What is known about the emission properties of the accreting gas onto Sgr A\* is also summarized and how this emission is beginning to delineate the physical properties in the hot accretion zone around the event horizon.

DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3121

PACS number(s): 98.35.Jk

## CONTENTS

I. I	I. Introduction			
А.	A. Massive black holes			
В.	3. The Galactic Center laboratory			
II. The Nuclear Star Cluster				
А.	A. The nuclear cluster of cool old stars			
В.	The disk(s) of young massive stars	3125		
	1. The clockwise stellar disk	3125		
	2. More than one disk?	3127		
	3. Massive binaries in the disk(s)	3128		
С.	The central S-star cluster and the distribution of B			
	stars	3129		
D.	Is there an "equilibrium" stellar cusp?	3131		
	1. Radial distribution of different stellar			
	components	3132		
E.	Stellar mass function	3135		
F.	Chemical abundances	3137		
III. (	Observed Properties of the Nuclear Interstellar			
ľ	Matter	3139		
А.	Ionized gas in Sgr A West	3139		
В.	Neutral gas	3141		
С.	Dust and interstellar extinction toward the Galactic			
	Center	3142		
D. Hot gas and high-energy emission		3143		
IV. T	Festing the Black-Hole Paradigm: Is Sgr A* a			

\*Also at Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

Massive Black Hole?				
А.	Evidence for a central compact mass from gas			
	motions	3144		
В.	Evidence from stellar motions			
C.	Constraints from stellar orbits			
D.	. Very long baseline interferometry of Sgr A*			
E.	Does Sgr A* have an event horizon?			
F.	Could Sgr A* be a binary?			
G.	G. Alternatives to a black-hole configuration			
V. Mass Distribution in the Nuclear Star Cluster				
А.	Outlier "high velocity" stars	3154		
В.	Dark matter in the central parsec?	3154		
C.	Comparison to earlier statistical mass estimates	3155		
D.	Does IRS 13E contain an intermediate-mass black			
	hole?	3156		
E.	The distance to the Galactic Center	3157		
	1. Direct estimates	3158		
	2. Indirect estimates	3158		
	3. Model-based estimates	3159		
	4. Combined best estimate	3159		
	5. Discussion	3160		
I. I	Paradox of Youth: How did the Young Stars Get into			
the Central Parsec?				
А.	Star-formation history in the central parsec	3161		
	In situ star formation or in-spiral of a star cluster?	3163		
	1. Star formation near the black hole	3163		
	2. In-spiral of a massive star cluster	3166		
C.	Origin of B stars in the central cusp: Scattering or			
	migration?			
	1. The Hills capture mechanism	3168		
	2. Hypervelocity stars	3169		

ν

3. Injection into the loss cone	3169			
4. Migration	3171			
D. What powers the central parsec?				
VII. Accretion and Emission Close to the Central Black				
Hole				
A. Steady emission from Sgr A* across the				
electromagnetic spectrum	3174			
B. Variable emission	3176			
C. Flares	3176			
D. Accretion onto the black hole	3180			
VIII. Concluding Remarks and Outlook	3181			
Acknowledgments				
References				

## I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. Massive black holes

Following the discovery of distant luminous quasars (QSOs) in the early 1960s (Schmidt, 1963), considerations of energetics suggested that the enormous luminosities and energy densities of QSOs can be explained most plausibly by the conversion of gravitational energy into radiation when matter accretes onto massive black holes (Lynden-Bell, 1969; Rees, 1984). Lynden-Bell (1969) and Lynden-Bell and Rees (1971) proposed that most galactic nuclei, including the center of the Milky Way, might harbor such massive black holes, which in most cases are much less active than in QSOs. An unambiguous proof of the existence of a massive black hole as defined by general relativity requires the determination of the gravitational potential to the scale of the event horizon. This proof can, in principle, be obtained from spatially resolved measurements of the motions of test particles (interstellar gas or stars) in close orbit around the nucleus. In practice it is not yet possible to probe the scale of an event horizon of any black-hole candidate (stellar as well as massive black holes) with spatially resolved dynamical measurements. A more modest goal then is to show that the gravitational potential of a galaxy nucleus is dominated by a compact nonstellar mass and that this central mass concentration cannot be anything but a black hole because all other conceivable configurations are more extended, are not stable, or produce more light. Even this test cannot be conducted yet in distant QSOs from dynamical measurements. It has become feasible in nearby galaxy nuclei, however, including the Galactic Center.

Solid evidence for central "dark" (i.e., nonstellar) mass concentrations in about 50 nearby galaxies has emerged over the past two decades [see, e.g., Kormendy (2004) and Gültekin *et al.* (2009)] from optical and infrared imaging and spectroscopy on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and large ground-based telescopes, as well as from very long baseline radio interferometry (VLBI). The first really convincing case that such a dark mass concentration cannot just be a dense nuclear cluster of white dwarfs, neutron stars, and perhaps stellar black holes emerged in the mid-1990s from spectacular VLBI observations of the nucleus of NGC 4258, a mildly

active galaxy at a distance of 7 Mpc (Miyoshi *et al.*, 1995). The VLBI observations showed that the galaxy nucleus contains a thin slightly warped disk of H<sub>2</sub>O masers in Keplerian rotation around an unresolved mass of  $40 \times 10^6$  solar masses. The inferred density of this mass exceeds a few 10<sup>9</sup> solar masses pc<sup>-3</sup> and thus cannot be a long-lived cluster of dark astrophysical objects of the type mentioned above. As we discuss in this review, a still more compelling case can be made in the case of the Galactic Center.

#### **B.** The Galactic Center laboratory

The central few parsecs of our Milky Way contain a dense and luminous star cluster, as well as several components of neutral, ionized, and extremely hot gas (Fig. 1) (Becklin and Neugebauer, 1968; Genzel and Townes, 1987; Rieke and Rieke, 1988; Genzel et al., 1994; Mezger et al., 1996). The central parsec diameter region is mostly ionized. It consists of the H II region Sgr A West and a concentration of  $\sim 10^6$  K hot x-ray emitting gas (Baganoff et al., 2001, 2003; Muno et al., 2004). This lowdensity ionized "central cavity" is pervaded by a set of orbiting ionized gas filaments (the "minispiral") (Lo and Claussen, 1983), which, in turn, are surrounded by orbiting dense molecular cloud streamers at  $R \sim 1.5-4$  pc [the "circum-nuclear disk" (CND)] (Becklin *et al.*, 1982; Güsten et al., 1987; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005). Beyond its outer edge the CND is bordered by a young supernova remnant, Sgr A East, and surrounded by a number of dense molecular clouds on a scale of 5–100 pc (Güsten and Downes, 1980; Mezger et al., 1996).

The stellar density in the nuclear cluster increases inward from a scale of tens of parsecs to within the central parsec (Becklin and Neugebauer 1968; Catchpole et al., 1990). At its center is a very compact radio source, Sgr A\* (Fig. 1) (Balick and Brown, 1974; Lo et al., 1985; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 1986). Short-wavelength centimeter and millimeter VLBI observations have established that its intrinsic size is a mere 3-10 light minutes (Krichbaum et al., 1993; Rogers et al., 1994; Doeleman et al., 2001, 2008; Bower et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2005). Sgr A\* thus is the most likely candidate for the location of a possible central black hole. However, most of the time Sgr A\* is disappointingly faint in all bands other than the radio to submillimeter region (Falcke et al., 1998). For this reason, many considered the case for a massive black hole in the Galactic Center initially fairly unconvincing [see, e.g., Rees (1982) and Allen and Sanders (1986)].

This conclusion changed when increasingly detailed dynamical measurements of the mass distribution became available. Currently, there are precise determinations of the orbits of about 30 stars in the immediate vicinity of Sgr A\*, including one complete orbit. Several of these stars approach Sgr A\* to within tens of light hours, moving there with a speed of several 10<sup>3</sup> km/s. Combined with evidence for little motion of Sgr A\* itself from VLBI data, these measurements now provide



FIG. 1. (Color) Multiwavelength overview of the central few parsecs of the Milky Way. Top three panels: larger scale view. All three top images are on the same scale [at the distance of the Galactic Center (8.3 kpc) 1 arc min=2.41 pc]. The cross marks the position of the compact radio source Sgr A\*. Top left: Color composite of radio 6 cm emission (blue: VLA Yusef-Zadeh *et al.*, 1986; Roberts and Goss, 1993), HCN 1–0 emission (red: OVRO; Christopher *et al.*, 2005), and x-ray emission (green: Chandra; Baganoff *et al.*, 2003). The galactic plane runs at a position angle of 32° southwest-northeast across the image. Top middle: 6 cm VLA radio image (pink), HCN emission (green), and 2.2  $\mu$ m (*K*-band) image (blue, VLT-ISAAC; Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). Top right: Copy of the central panel with the key interstellar features marked. Bottom: Zoom into the central region. All three insets are on the same scale. Bottom left: Color composite near-IR adaptive optics image [blue: *H* band (1.6  $\mu$ m), green *L'* band (3.8  $\mu$ m), VLT-NACO; Genzel *et al.*, 2003a]; and the 1.3 cm VLA radio continuum (red; Zhao and Goss, 1998). Bottom center: *K* band (white, VLT-NACO; Genzel *et al.*, 2003a), derived dust extinction (red-yellow; Schödel *et al.*, 2007a), and x-ray emission (green, Chandra; Baganoff *et al.*, 2003). In addition to x-ray emission from Sgr A\*, IRS 13E, and a diffuse component, the elongated structure 10 arc sec northwest of Sgr A\* is the pulsar-wind nebula 259.95–0.04, which may also be associated with the HESS TeV source J1745–290. Bottom right: Copy of left panel with the "IRS" names of the stars, as well as some of the interstellar features marked. Here and in all other images of the Galactic Center region, north is up and east is to the left.

firm and compelling evidence that Sgr A\* must be a massive black hole of about  $4\!\times\!10^6$  solar masses.

Because of its proximity (the distance to the Galactic Center is about 10<sup>5</sup> times closer than the nearest quasars) high-resolution observations of the Milky Way nucleus yield much more detail and specific information than possible in any other galaxy nucleus to a linear scale comparable to the radius of the Earth's orbit around the Sun. As such, the Galactic Center is a unique laboratory for testing the massive black-hole paradigm and for exploring the impact of a massive black hole on its stellar and interstellar environments. Since the nucleus is highly obscured by interstellar dust particles in the plane of the galactic disk, however, observations in the optical waveband are not possible. Measurements need to be carried out at longer wavelengths, in the infrared and microwave bands, or at shorter wavelengths, at hard x rays and  $\gamma$  rays, where the veil of interstellar gas and dust is more transparent. The dramatic progress in our knowledge of the Galactic Center over the past

two decades is a direct consequence of the development of novel facilities, instruments, and techniques across the whole range of the electromagnetic spectrum (Fig. 1).

There have been a number of review articles on the Galactic Center region. The review of Oort (1977) covered many of the pioneering results: the first detailed radio and infrared maps, the discovery of Sgr A\*, and the properties of the ionized and molecular gas and stars on scales of 1 pc to 1 kpc. Ten years later, Genzel and Townes (1987) emphasized the phenomena in the central few parsecs and discussed the emerging dynamical evidence for a central mass mainly based at that time on observations of gas motions. Blitz et al. (1993) discussed the evidence that the central bulge of the Milky Way actually is bar shaped and what that means for the gas dynamics in the central few hundred parsecs. Genzel et al. (1994) summarized the then newly discovered young massive stars in the central parsec, analyzed the luminosity production there and the importance of stellar winds, and gave an update of the measurements of the

mass distribution, including the first stellar dynamics work. Morris and Serabyn (1996) focused on interstellar phenomena, magnetic fields, and star formation in the larger Galactic Center zone and discussed the evidence for a limit cycle of activity. Mezger et al. (1996) reviewed how Sgr A\*, the central ionized "cavity," and the nuclear star cluster relate to the dense and massive molecular clouds in the central ten parsecs, including the CND and the far-infrared and submillimeter dust emission. Melia and Falcke (2001) emphasized the most important theoretical aspects of accretion onto black holes and the puzzling faint emission of Sgr A\* at different wavelengths. Alexander (2005) and Merritt (2006) gave in-depth discussions of the rich physics of star clusters in the vicinity of massive black holes, with the Galactic Center nuclear star cluster and cusp as the most powerful testing ground for a number of predictions and explanations of the recent theoretical work. Genzel (2007) summarized the status of the proof of the black-hole paradigm with special reference to the instrumental progress across the electromagnetic spectrum. Finally, Reid (2009) gave a concise summary of the evidence for the black-hole paradigm in its most recent form, including the stellar orbit evidence and the VLBI beautiful work on Sgr A\*. Two entire books are devoted to the Galactic Center story, a textbook by Eckart et al. (2005) and a more popular account by Melia (2003).

## **II. THE NUCLEAR STAR CLUSTER**

Over the past one and a half decades, diffraction limited near-infrared imaging and spectroscopy, especially with the advent of adaptive optics and integral field spectroscopy, revolutionized the exploration of the nuclear star cluster. Compared to earlier work, the angular resolution has improved by more than one order of magnitude (to  $\sim$ 50 marc sec, corresponding to a linear scale of  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  pc) and the sensitivity by three to five magnitudes to  $K_s \sim 16$  and 18, for spectroscopy and imaging observations, respectively (Figs. 1 and 2). The depth of the best current imaging observations is limited by crowding and confusion throughout the central 10 arc sec (Genzel et al., 2003a; Ghez et al., 2005a, 2008; Schödel et al., 2007a; Gillessen et al., 2009b; Fritz et al., 2010a). As a result of these improvements, all supergiants, giants, and Wolf-Rayet stars, plus the main sequence to ~A0 stars (birth mass ~ $3M_{\odot}$ ), can now be studied throughout the cluster (Fig. 2).

One of the surprises of early observations was the fairly large number of bright stars, a number of which were already apparent on the discovery infrared images of Becklin and Neugebauer (1975) and Becklin *et al.* (1978): IRS 7, 13, and 16 (see Fig. 1). Infrared spectroscopy reveals that many of these bright stars are somewhat older, late-type (red) giants, supergiants (IRS 7), and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, which are naturally expected in an old nuclear star cluster. Starting with the discovery of the "Allen-Forrest" star (Fig. 1) (Forrest *et al.*, 1987; Allen *et al.*, 1990), however, an ever increasing number of these bright stars were found to be



FIG. 2. (Color)  $K_s$ -band magnitude as a function of stellar mass: black denotes main-sequence stars of luminosity class V [and mass is then the zero age main-sequence (ZAMS) mass], giants (III, blue), supergiants (I, red), and various Wolf-Rayet stars (cyan). The apparent  $K_s$ -band magnitudes are given for  $R_0$ =8.3 kpc (Ghez *et al.*, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b) and a  $K_s$ -band extinction of  $A_{K_s}$ =3 mag. The thick gray curve shows the main-sequence lifetime as a function of mass. The two-body relaxation time in the Galactic Center,  $T_{\rm NR} \sim (1-20) \times 10^{10}$  yr (Alexander, 2005; Merritt, 2010; Fig. 7, red arrow), the current confusion limited (40-70 % depending on location in cluster; Genzel et al., 2003a; Schödel et al., 2009) imaging detection limit in the  $K_s$  band with 8–10 m class telescopes in the central few arcseconds  $(K_s \sim 18)$ , the adaptive optics spectroscopic detection limit ( $K_s \sim 15.5 - 16$ ), as well as the confusion limit for imaging with a future 30-40 m-class telescope are marked by horizontal dotted lines.

hot early-type stars (Krabbe et al., 1991, 1995; Tamblyn and Rieke, 1993; Blum et al., 1995; Libonate et al., 1995; Genzel et al., 1996; Tamblyn et al., 1996; Paumard et al., 2001, 2003, 2006; Tanner et al., 2006). Stellar atmosphere modeling shows that these "He I stars" are post-mainsequence blue supergiants and Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars with ages of 2-8 Myr and zero age main-sequence (ZAMS) masses  $(30-100)M_{\odot}$  (Najarro *et al.*, 1994, 1997; Martins et al., 2007). A particularly impressive concentration of three O/WR stars is found in the IRS 13E region (Figs. 1 and 23), which is also a dense and dusty concentration in the H II region and an x-ray emission source (Fig. 1, bottom right). A remarkable concentration of mainly B stars, the so-called "S-star cluster,"<sup>1</sup> is found in the central arcsecond, centered on Sgr A\* (Fig. 8).

These findings are highly surprising, especially if there is a massive black hole at the center of the nuclear cluster. How did these young stars get there? Did they form

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The naming of the "S-stars originated from Eckart and Genzel (1996) to denote those remarkably fast moving stars in the "A\* (IR)-cluster" that were known at that time. Since then the number of S-stars has grown to over 200 (Ghez *et al.*, 1998; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b); unfortunately, the MPE and UCLA groups have used different nomenclature.

*in situ* or were they transported into the center from further out? The most recent count from deep SINFONI integral field spectroscopy yields 177 O/WR/B stars with good spectral identification (Bartko *et al.*, 2010), including the entire plethora of known types of luminous blue supergiants and Wolf-Rayet stars (WN, WC, and Ofpe), as well as dwarf and giant main-sequence O and B stars (Paumard *et al.*, 2006; Bartko *et al.*, 2009, 2010). The nuclear star cluster is one of the richest concentrations of young massive stars in the entire Milky Way.

## A. The nuclear cluster of cool old stars

96% of the currently observed stars in the central parsec [to  $K_s \sim 18$ ,  $M(K) \sim +1$ ] are old (>1 Gyr) late-type giant stars and helium-burning stars on the horizontal branch or in the so-called red clump, which have low to intermediate masses  $[m_* \sim (0.5-4)M_{\odot}]$  and temperatures of  $\sim 3500-3700$  K. In addition, there are a few dozen very bright and extremely cool ( $T \sim 2700$  K) AGB stars, which are thermally pulsing phases accompanied by large mass loss (AGB-TP). Finally, there are a few more massive red supergiant stars in the central region (2 within  $R \leq 0.5$  pc and 15 within 2.5 pc) (Blum *et al.*, 2003).

Observations of the two-dimensional (threedimensional) stellar motions (two proper motions on the sky and a radial velocity) are now available for ~6000 (660) of these stars (Trippe *et al.*, 2008; Schödel *et al.*, 2009). These show that the motions and distribution are largely random and isotropic, with no detectable phase-space fluctuations greater than a few percent (Trippe *et al.*, 2008). The old stellar cluster exhibits slow solid-body rotation in the sense of the rotation of the Galaxy and the entire Galactic Bulge, with an amplitude of ~1.4 (km/s)/arc sec (McGinn *et al.*, 1989; Genzel *et al.*, 1996; Trippe *et al.*, 2008; Schödel *et al.*, 2009).

## B. The disk(s) of young massive stars

The radial velocities of the massive young stars are mostly blueshifted north and redshifted south of Sgr A\*, exactly opposite to the rotation of the Milky Way and the old stars in the nuclear star cluster (Genzel *et al.*, 1996, 2000; Tanner *et al.*, 2006). This pattern suggests a large-scale coherent velocity field, such as rotation. When good quality proper motions were becoming available in the late 1990s it became clear that most of the bright early-type stars in the central few arcseconds (especially in the IRS 16 complex) exhibit a clockwise motion pattern ( $j_z > 0$ ),<sup>2</sup> on the sky, consistent with an inclined disk in Keplerian rotation around Sgr A\* (Genzel et al., 2000). However, there clearly were also some bright stars in counterclockwise motion  $(j_z < 0)$ : e.g., IRS 16NE and IRS 16NW). A single rotating disk model thus cannot fit the motions of all stars known in 2000. By only considering the clockwise stars Levin and Beloborodov (2003) then were able to demonstrate that the three-dimensional (3D) velocities of 10 out of 13 stars in the 2000 sample are consistent with a *thin rotat*ing disk. By including more and better velocity data Genzel et al. (2003a), Paumard et al. (2006), and Tanner et al. (2006) proposed that there are two rotating star disks or rings, at very large angles with respect to each other, and not coinciding with any other known orientation in and around the Galactic Center (Table I). The clockwise system is much better defined, however, and appears to be located inside most of the counterclockwise stars. Paumard et al. (2006) were able to first detect also 40 main-sequence and giant O stars (through absorption lines) and thus substantially expanded the sample of massive stars. More than two-thirds of these have  $j_z > 0$ .

#### 1. The clockwise stellar disk

Lu et al. (2006, 2009) achieved the next substantial step forward by deriving constraints for the individual orbits of the O/WR stars from a Monte Carlo analysis, including the spatial coordinates of the stars, as well as the 3D space velocities and limits to (and in one case a detection of) their accelerations. For this analysis they used much improved proper motion data in the central few arcseconds, as well as (for their "extended sample") the data set of Paumard et al. (2006). Because of the lack of accelerations, this technique still does not yield individual orbits but gives much better defined probability distributions of the orbital parameters. The top graph in Fig. 3 shows the overall angular-momentum distribution projected on the sky derived by Lu et al. (2009) for all O/WR stars in their extended sample. There is a highly significant overdensity of the orbital angular-momentum vector distributions toward the direction of the clockwise disk found in the previous studies.

Bartko et al. (2009, 2010) carried out a similar Monte Carlo orbit construction analysis based on a still larger data set of about 150 robustly identified early-type stars with proper motions and radial velocities, including more than 30 B dwarfs. For the clockwise stars their findings are in good agreement with Lu et al. and confirm the highly significant detection and average location of the clockwise system making up about 50-60 % of all the O/WR stars in the central parsec. Bartko et al. (2009) further found that the location of the normal vector of the clockwise disk changes with separation from Sgr A\*, putting on firm ground an earlier trend already seen in the analysis of the velocity vectors only (Fig. 4) [see also Löckmann and Baumgardt (2009)]. The change in angle between the inner edge at  $\sim 1$  arc sec and the outermost stars at >10 arc sec corresponds to  $64^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ}$ . The angular-momentum direction changes in both angles on

 $<sup>{}^{2}</sup>j_{z}=(xv_{y}-yv_{x})/({x^{2}+y^{2}}{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}})^{1/2}$  is the projected normalized specific angular momentum of the motion on the sky. If  $j_{z}>0$  the motion is clockwise and if  $j_{z}<0$  it is counterclockwise. Orbits with tangential motion in three dimensions also have projected tangential motion on the sky  $(|j_{z}|=1)$ . Orbits with projected radial motion on the sky  $(j_{z}=0)$  can be due to either true 3D radial orbits or tangential orbits or a mixture of such orbits (Genzel *et al.*, 2000).

Name	Inclination <i>i</i> <sup>a</sup> (deg)	Angle of line of nodes on sky $\Omega^b$ (deg)	Method (reference)
Rotation axis of Galaxy	90	31.4	
Northern arm	$45\pm10$	$15 \pm 15$	Paumard <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Zhao <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Bar	76	115	Liszt, 2003
Eastern arm	$58 \pm 5$	132±11	Zhao et al., 2009
Western arc of circum-nuclear molecular disk	66±6	25±5	Lacy <i>et al.</i> , 1991; Jackson <i>et al.</i> , 1993; Zhao <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Clockwise system (at inner edge)	122±7	99±3	Lu <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Bartko <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Counterclockwise disk (at $p=7 \text{ arc sec}$ )	$60 \pm 15$	$243\pm14$	Bartko et al., 2010

TABLE I. Orientations of planar structures in the central parsec.

<sup>a</sup>Angle between orbital and sky plane (clockwise: 0°–90°; counterclockwise: 90°–180°):  $\theta_n = 180° - i$ . <sup>b</sup>Angle between north and the line of ascending (receding) nodes (intersection of disk and sky plane), increasing east of north,  $\phi_n = -\Omega$ .

the sky. In the framework of a single disk structure the clockwise disk thus appears to be highly warped. Alternatively, the clockwise system may consist of a system of streamers with different orientations at different radii. Taken together, the various studies discussed above provide a fairly consistent picture of the properties of the clockwise star disk.

The location of the O stars in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram and the number of ratios of different subtypes of Wolf-Rayet stars show that most of the O/WR stars in the central  $R \le 0.5$  pc are coeval. The massive stars appear to have formed in a well-defined star-formation episode of short duration ( $t_{\rm OW} \sim 6 \pm 2$  Myr) (Paumard *et al.*, 2006). There is no discernible age difference between clockwise and counterclockwise orbiting stars or for stars at different radii from Sgr A\* (Bartko *et al.*, 2009).

The stellar surface density distribution of the stars in the clockwise disk is fairly steep and scales as  $\Sigma(R) \sim R^{-\beta}$ , with  $\beta$  ranging from 1.5 to 2.3 in the analyses of Paumard *et al.* (2006), Bartko *et al.* (2009, 2010), and Lu *et al.* (2009). The inner cutoff of the clockwise system is remarkably sharp. There are six O/WR stars projected between 0.96 and 1.5 arc sec but none inside of 0.96 arc sec.

The disk has a significant geometric (z) thickness. The local-orbital inclinations relative to the disk's midplane exhibit a  $1\sigma$  dispersion between 7° and 14° in the different analyses, with a mean of ~10°. This opening angle corresponds to a ratio of z-scale height to radius of ~0.1. For six of the disk stars near the inner edge, Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) derived individual stellar orbits. The locations of their angular-momentum vectors on the sky are plotted in the upper right inset of Fig. 4. The spread in angles of these six stars agrees with a Gaussian model of dispersion of 10°.

On average the stellar orbits are not circular. Beloborodov *et al.* (2006) found an average eccentricity of 0.3. Lu *et al.* (2009) concluded that a number of candidate stars have an eccentricity of at least 0.2. The mean ellipticity inferred by Bartko *et al.* (2009) is 0.37, with an uncertainty of the mean of  $\pm 0.07$  (lower right inset of Fig. 4). The average eccentricity of the six stars with individual orbits (Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b) is also 0.36 (lower right inset of Fig. 4). This significant eccentricity of the orbits provides strong constraints for the starformation processes and initial conditions of the orbits (Sec. VI.C).

The surface brightness distribution of near-infrared light is not symmetric with respect to Sgr A\*. Most of the bright stars near Sgr A\* are in the IRS 16 "cluster" located 1-2 arc sec east of Sgr A\* (Fig. 1). Most of these stars are members of the clockwise disk. Since the orbital times at this radius  $[(1-2) \times 10^3 \text{ yr}]$  are a small fraction of the ages of the stars, the distribution of stars at the inner edge of the clockwise disk should be well phase mixed. Is the strong asymmetry of light reflected in an azimuthal asymmetry of the density of stars and does the asymmetry perhaps signal the presence of a gravitationally bound structure (Lu et al., 2006)? Once projection effects and apparent crowding along the line of nodes are taken into account, as well as the presence of additional slightly fainter O stars west of Sgr A\*, both Paumard et al. (2006) and Lu et al. (2009) found that any remaining anisotropy is not significant at the  $>3\sigma$  level. In addition, there appears to be somewhat higher extinction west of Sgr A\* compared to east of Sgr A\* (central bottom inset of Fig. 1) (Schödel et al., 2007a), which could be the result of additional dust in the orbiting gas streamers of the minispiral (Vollmer and Duschl, 2000; Paumard et al., 2004). While this issue is probably not



FIG. 3. (Color) Distribution of orbital normal vectors on the sky of the O/WR stars in the central cluster. Top: Distribution of normal vectors for the extended sample of Lu et al. (2009), including 73 stars with projected radii from 0.8 to 12 arc sec. Densities are indicated in colors (stars deg<sup>-2</sup>) on a linear scale and the peak indicates an overdensity of stars with similar orbital planes. Overplotted in black are the candidate orbital planes as proposed by Genzel et al. (2003a) and Levin and Beloborodov (2003) with updated values from Paumard et al. (2006) for the candidate plane normal vector and uncertainties (solid black) and the disk thickness (dashed black) shown as solid angles of 0.05 and 0.09 sr for the clockwise and counterclockwise disks, respectively. Adapted from Lu et al., 2009. Bottom: Sky projection of the distribution of significance in the sky (25° aperture) for 82 bona fide early-type stars ( $K_s < 14$ ) with projected distances between 3.5 and 15 arc sec. The disk positions of Paumard et al. (2006) are marked with full black circles. There are two extended excesses visible for clockwise and counterclockwise stars, with maximum (pretrial) significances of  $8.2\sigma$  and  $7.1\sigma$ , respectively. The upper black circle in the bottom panel corresponds to the peak in the top panel. Adapted from Bartko et al., 2010.

completely closed, it may thus not be necessary to contemplate the alternative that the IRS 16 complex is selfgravitating perhaps because of a central intermediatemass black hole [see, e.g., Lu *et al.* (2006)].

## 2. More than one disk?

The analyses of Lu *et al.* (2009) and Bartko *et al.* (2009) showed that only slightly more than half of the stars are members of the well-defined clockwise disk. One question is whether the other ~40-45 % can be assigned to a second disklike system, as proposed by Genzel *et al.* (2003a) and Paumard *et al.* (2006), or whether they are distributed more isotropically. Lu *et al.* (2009) did not find a second significant overdensity (at a level >1 $\sigma$  above the background) at or near the position

of the counterclockwise disk system proposed by Genzel *et al.* (2003a) and Paumard *et al.* (2006) (left panel of Fig. 3). Lu *et al.* (2009) concluded that there is only one disk of young stars.

Recent work of Bartko et al. (2009, 2010), however, adds many new O/WR and B stars in the range of 5 arc sec  $\leq p \leq 15$  arc sec and did show a peak in the angular-momentum distribution of the counterclockwise stars (right panel of Fig. 3). The significance of the peak of counterclockwise stars in Fig. 3 is  $7\sigma$  and is unlikely due to a statistical fluctuation in an underlying isotropic distribution. The difference in the conclusions of Lu et al. and Bartko et al. can be plausibly understood from the fact that the former study concentrates on the innermost few arcseconds, which has few counterclockwise stars, while the latter [especially Bartko et al. (2010)] has many more stars at p > 5 arc sec. It thus seems probable that there is indeed a second counterclockwise system, coeval with the clockwise star disk but oriented almost orthogonally to it.

As in the case of the clockwise system, Bartko *et al.* (2009, 2010) found evidence for a large  $(50^{\circ}-70^{\circ})$  change in the direction or the angular-momentum direction with distance from Sgr A\*. In addition, perhaps 20% of the counterclockwise O/WR stars and a larger fraction of the fainter counterclockwise B stars do appear to be distributed more isotropically, as proposed by Lu *et al.* (2009). The counterclockwise disk or streamer structure may be in a more disrupted state than the clockwise disk. The *growing complexity* of the dynamics of the ~200 early-type stars in the central parsec probably indicates that the two-disk model may be a simplified approximate description. In reality the stellar distribution may be more irregular (Kocsis and Tremaine, 2010).

Cuadra et al. (2008b) concluded from N-body simulations that the current configuration of O/WR stars cannot be the result of the evolution of one single initially very thin circular disk over 6 Myr. They found that the known perturbers within the central parsec, such as massive stars, stellar black holes, and even putative intermediate-mass black holes, are not sufficient to explain the thickness, ellipticity, or warping. Interactions of the disk stars with stars and especially with remnants in the stellar cusp near Sgr A\* help in increasing eccentricities and inclinations more rapidly (Löckmann et al., 2009; Perets et al., 2009) but probably are still not sufficient to explain the current configuration from a single initial disk. If there was only one disk initially, the large range of inclinations and large eccentricities of some of the stars must have been created during the formation process. The required large perturbing potential may result from the circum-nuclear disk if it is massive enough (Subr et al., 2009), an intermediate-mass black hole or star cluster (Yu et al., 2007), or a second disk (Nayakshin et al., 2006). However, these simulations treat the background stellar cluster as a smooth gravitational potential. Using an analytical model based on the growth of normal modes in Laplace-Lagrange theory, Kocsis and Tremaine (2010) found that when the "graininess" of the cluster is taken into account, a strong warp of an initially



FIG. 4. (Color) Properties of the O/WR star disks. Left: Cylindrical equal area projection of the local average stellar angularmomentum direction for the clockwise stars as a function of the average projected distance. The points are correlated through a sliding window technique. The average angular momenta for the innermost stars agree well with the orientation of the clockwise disk of Paumard et al. (2006), shown by the black circle. The asterisk shows the galactic pole (Reid and Brunthaler, 2004), the diamond indicates the normal vector to the northern arm of the mini-spiral (Paumard et al., 2004), the triangle indicates the normal vector to the bar of the mini-spiral (Liszt, 2003), and the square shows the rotation axis of the circum-nuclear disk (CND) (Jackson et al., 1993). The red filled circle marks the position of the angular-momentum vector of the orbit of IRS 13E if it is located in the bar, about 10 arc sec behind the sky plane (Fritz *et al.*, 2010b). Top right: Orthographic projection (seen from  $\varphi_0=254^\circ$ ,  $\theta_0=54^\circ$ ) of the significance sky map in the interval of projected distances of 0.8-3.5 arc sec. It is overlaid with the  $2\sigma$  contours (black lines) for the direction of the orbital angular-momentum vectors of the six early-type stars (S66, S67, S83, S87, S96, and S97) with  $0.8 \le p \le 1.4$  arc sec for which Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) derived individual orbital solutions. These stars seem compatible with being members of the clockwise system. The orbital angular momenta of all of these stars are offset from the local angular-momentum direction of the clockwise system at a confidence level beyond 90%. The white ellipse shows the  $2\sigma$  contour of the clockwise system as determined by Paumard et al. (2006) and the brown one the  $2\sigma$  contour of Lu et al. (2009). Bottom right: Reconstructed eccentricity as a function of projected distance for the 30 clockwise moving WR/O stars (blue points), which have a minimum angular distance below 10° from the (local) average angular-momentum direction of the clockwise system. Red circles show the six early-type stars \$66, \$67, \$83, \$87, \$96, and \$97. Error bars denote the RMS of the reconstructed eccentricities. Adapted from Bartko et al., 2009.

thin disk arises naturally and inevitably through vector resonant relaxation within the influence of a central massive black hole (Fig. 7). It is not clear yet from Kocsis and Tremaine (2010), however, whether the specific warp structure of the clockwise disk and/or the counter-clockwise system inferred by Bartko *et al.* (2009, 2010) can be explained by this mechanism.

Nayakshin (2005a) and Nayakshin *et al.* (2006) pointed out that the interaction between two disks or rings of stars induces mutual orbital precession and thickens initially thin disks over time. The precession frequency is proportional to the mass of the ring or disk. The induced precession is fastest at radii inside of the ring and slowest at large radii. Nayakshin *et al.* (2006) found that two disks of mass a few  $(10^3-10^4)M_{\odot}$  induce then a thickness of  $h_z/R \sim 0.1$  over 4–6 Myr, in good agreement with the observed values of  $10^4$  and  $5 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$  for the clockwise and counterclockwise systems (Bartko *et al.*, 2010). Löckmann *et al.* (2009) found that the interaction between two disks can easily explain the large range of orbital orientations and warping of the O/WR stars observed by Bartko *et al.* (2009) and Lu *et al.* (2009). Resonant relaxation processes of the disk(s)-cusp system may be rapid enough to then also explain the ellipticities in the disks (Löckmann *et al.*, 2009).

#### 3. Massive binaries in the disk(s)

Another important issue is the abundance of binary stars in the central parsec. Because of the large velocity dispersion, binaries can only be long lived if they are tightly bound. Hopman (2009) found that the binary fraction can be a few percent outside 0.1 pc but has to be smaller further in. Within that radius, binaries can only exist on highly eccentric orbits. For the O/WR stars re-



FIG. 5. Spectral properties of S2/S02, the brightest member of the B-star cluster around Sgr A\*, which was the one identified first (Ghez *et al.*, 2003) and for which a full orbit is now available (Fig. 17). The left panel shows its H/K-band spectrum from the co-addition of SINFONI spectra taken between 2004 and 2007 (23.5 h total integration time). The various spectral features are marked. After a full modeling of the line equivalent widths, line shapes, and the absolute  $K_s$ -band magnitude of -2.75, a quantitative comparison with stellar models shows that the star must be a true main-sequence B0–2.5V star ( $\log_{10} g=4$ ) of temperature  $T \sim 22\ 000\ \text{K}$ ,  $\log_{10} L/L_{\odot}=4.45$ ,  $m=19.5M_{\odot}$ , and  $r=11.6R_{\odot}$ , with low rotation velocity of  $100\pm30\ \text{km/s}$  typical of solar neighborhood B stars, low-mass loss rate ( $dm/dt < 3 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$ ), and somewhat enriched He abundance (He/H=0.3–0.5). Adapted from Martins *et al.*, 2008b.

siding in the disks, binarity could open up a new route for investigating the mode of star formation that occurred ~6 Myr ago. The binary fraction is an indicator of the cooling time scale of the disks (Alexander *et al.*, 2008b). Also for the B stars (Sec. II.C) the binary fraction may be a signature of their formation (Perets *et al.*, 2008a; Perets, 2009). For an *in situ* formation scenario a rather normal binary fraction would be expected, whereas for a binary capture scenario (Sec. VI.C), a low binary fraction should result.

Observationally, relatively little is known currently about binaries in the Galactic Center. Ott *et al.* (1999) and Martins *et al.* (2006) demonstrated from light curves and line profile modulations that IRS 16SW, one of the brightest O/WR stars, is an eclipsing contact binary of mass  $\geq 50M_{\odot}$  of each member. Rafelski *et al.* (2007) listed a few more candidate stars, one of which is probably spectroscopically confirmed (Pfuhl, 2010). The number of O/WR stars explored for binarity through light curves or spectral variations is ~15. For the B stars, no evidence for binarity has been reported so far.

#### C. The central S-star cluster and the distribution of B stars

While the O/WR stars appear to reside in one or two nonequilibrium planar structures still reflecting their formation process a few million years ago, the somewhat lighter [ $\sim (3.5-20)M_{\odot}$ ], and potentially older, B stars trace a very different distribution. We will discuss in Sec. II.D that the overall radial surface density distribution of the B stars in the current data sets scales as  $p^{-1.4}$  (Fig. 9),<sup>3</sup> similar to the O/WR stars but continuing all the way to Sgr A\*, without the sharp inner cutoff observed for the O/WR stars. Of the 31  $K_s \leq 16$  stars that reside in projection in the central  $p \leq 1$  arc sec around Sgr A\*, 16 (52%) are B stars, 3 are O stars, and 12 are late-type stars. The three O stars are found at  $p \ge 0.9$  arc sec and are the innermost members of the clockwise disk discussed in the last section. If the 3D-space velocity of each star is used to eliminate probable foreground and background interlopers, there remain 17 B stars (74%) and 6 late-type stars (26%) with  $K_s \leq 16$  that reside in the innermost cusp. A still more robust accounting can be made for 28 stars for which Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) were able to derive individual orbits. Of the 19 stars with a semimajor axis  $a \le 1$  arc sec, 16 (84%) are B stars and 3 (16%) are late-type stars. Clearly, the brightest members of the central cusp are B stars.

Martins *et al.* (2008b) analyzed a high-quality combined multiepoch SINFONI spectrum of the brightest member of the B-star cusp, S2/S02, with model spectra and standard spectral atlas data (Fig. 5). S2/S02 appears to be a main-sequence (dwarf) B0-2.5 V star of ZAMS mass of  $m_{ZAMS} \sim 19.5 M_{\odot}$  and with a low rotation velocity typical of solar neighborhood BV stars. The data exclude the possibility that the star is the He-rich core of a stripped  $m_{ZAMS} \sim 8M_{\odot}$  AGB star, as proposed by Davies and King (2005). The He/H abundance of S2/S02 is relatively high (0.25–0.5), making the star a member of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the following p denotes projected angular distance, while R refers to the 3D physical distance.

so-called "He-rich" subclass of main-sequence B stars.

Eisenhauer *et al.* (2005) coadded the spectra of several of the fainter members of the S-star cluster and showed that they too have spectral properties (equivalent widths of H I Br- $\gamma$  and He 2.1  $\mu$ m, line profiles, absolute magnitudes, and rotation velocities) consistent with solar neighborhood B2-9 V stars. Given these spectral identifications, the B stars in the S-star cluster could have ages between 6 and 400 Myr, given by the main-sequence lifetimes. Interestingly, the lower limit to the age of S2/ S02 is 6 Myr, similar to the age of the O/WR-star disk(s).

From the studies by Schödel et al. (2003), Eisenhauer et al. (2005), Ghez et al. (2005b), and Gillessen et al. (2009b), it is now possible to constrain the orbital parameters of the B stars. The upper panel of Fig. 6 gives the orientations of the angular-momentum vectors of all S-stars with individual orbits. If only the 22 B stars and late-type stars outside the clockwise disk are considered, the probability density distributions of the angular momenta are in good agreement with an isotropic distribution. A Rayleigh test yields a probability of 73% that the sample is drawn from a random distribution. The bottom panel of Fig. 6 shows the cumulative distribution of the eccentricities of the B stars. The best-fit differential distribution of eccentricities is  $n(e)de \sim e^{2.6\pm 0.9}de$ . This favors somewhat higher eccentricities than, but is still marginally consistent with, a thermal isotropic distribution:  $n(e)de \sim e de$  (Schödel *et al.*, 2003; Alexander, 2005). The fact that the observed eccentricity distribution shows a larger fraction of highly eccentric orbits than in a relaxed thermal distribution may give a first interesting hint on the origin of the S-stars. This is because the normal two-body relaxation-time scale  $T_{\rm NR}$  (involving longdistance random interactions between two stars) is several gigayears or more, much longer than the lifetime of all S-stars (Fig. 7). Hence, the eccentricity distribution points toward a mechanism producing highly eccentric orbits.

In the sphere of influence of the central massive black hole, however, stellar orbits are near-Keplerian, precession time scales are much longer than orbital time scales, and the interaction between stars builds up coherently (resonantly) over many revolutions (Rauch and Tremaine, 1996). In this regime a change in the value of the angular momentum (and thus the eccentricity of the orbit) occurs on the "scalar" resonant relaxation-time scale  $T_{\rm RR}^{\rm s} \ll T_{\rm NR}$ . Changes of the orientation of the orbital angular momentum (but not its magnitude) can occur still faster on the "vector" resonant relaxation-time scale  $T_{RR}^{v} \ll T_{RR}^{s}$  [see, e.g., Hopman and Alexander (2006b) and Alexander (2007)]. Figure 7 shows that  $T_{RR}^{v}$  is sufficiently short in the central cusp that a complete randomization of all S-star orbital momentum orientations could have occurred during the entire range of their possible time of residence there (6 Myr  $< t < t_{ms}$ ). For the innermost S-stars Lense-Thirring precession around the spin axis of the black hole may also be important (Levin and Beloborodov, 2003). However, the scalar relaxation-



FIG. 6. (Color) Properties of the orbits of the "S-stars" in the central cusp around Sgr A\*. Top: Orientation of the orbital planes of S-stars with individually determined orbits. The orientation of the orbits in space is described by the orbital angular-momentum vector, corresponding to a position in this all sky plot, in which the vertical dimension corresponds to the inclination i of the orbit and the horizontal dimension to the longitude of the ascending node  $\Omega$ . A star in a face-on clockwise orbit relative to the line of sight, for instance, would be located at the top of the graph, while a star with an edge-on seen orbit would be located on the equator of the plot. The error ellipses correspond to the statistical  $1\sigma$  fit errors only, thus the area covered by each is 39% of the probability density function. Stars with an ambiguous inclination have been plotted at their more likely position. The stars S66, S67, S83, S87, S96, and S97, which were suspected to be part of the clockwise stellar disk by Paumard et al. (2006) and Bartko et al. (2009) at  $\Omega = 98^{\circ}$ ,  $i = 129^{\circ}$  actually are found close to the position of the disk. The latter is marked by the thick light blue dotted and dashed lines, indicating a disk thickness of  $14^{\circ} \pm 4^{\circ}$ . Red dots mark late-type stars, green dots mark B stars, and dark blue dots mark O stars. The orbits of the other stars are oriented randomly. Bottom: Cumulative probability distribution function (pdf) for the eccentricities of the early-type B stars. The two curves correspond to the two ways to plot a cumulative pdf, with values ranging either from 0 to (N-1)/N or from 1/Nto 1. The distribution is only marginally compatible with a thermal isotropic pdf  $n(e) \sim e$  (dashed line); the best fit is  $n(e) \sim e^{2.6 \pm 0.9}$  (corresponding to a cumulative pdf  $\sim e^{3.6 \pm 0.9}$ ). Adapted from Gillessen et al., 2009b.

time scale is too long for a thermalization of all but the lower-mass S-stars even if they have resided there for their entire main-sequence lifetime. Unless there are additional perturbers or still faster relaxation processes, these considerations would suggest that the observed eccentricity distribution still somewhat reflects the initial eccentricity distribution. We return to these issues in



FIG. 7. (Color) Time scales in the central parsec of the Galaxy. The dashed black lines show the gravitational radius of the central black hole (vertical) and the age of the Galaxy, which is an upper limit to the age of the nuclear cluster  $T_{cl}$  (horizontal). The horizontal thick hatched black line shows the age and radial extent of the disk(s) of WR/O stars. The slanted black line at the lower right shows the orbital time. The magenta lines show the apsidal precession times due to the Schwarzschild term of general relativity and the Newtonian retrograde precession due to the stellar cluster (N). The dotted thin magenta curve shows the combined apsidal precession time  $(\omega_{\rm GR} + \omega_N)^{-1}$ . The solid cyan line slanting up to the right shows the Lense-Thirring precession time due to frame dragging of circular orbits around a maximally spinning black hole. The red line shows the two-body relaxation time  $T_{\rm NR}$  for the stellar cluster for an average stellar mass of  $1M_{\odot}$ . The down-sloping magenta line shows the two-body relaxation time within the disk, assuming an average stellar mass of  $20M_{\odot}$  and a disk mass of  $5000 M_{\odot}$ . The cyan and green dotted lines show the scalar  $(T_{RR}^{s})$  and vector  $(T_{RR}^{v})$  resonant relaxation time scales for  $20M_{\odot}$  stars. The solid blue lines show the collision time in the stellar cluster for stars with mass  $m=1M_{\odot}$  and radius  $r_{\star}=1R_{\odot}$  and in the disk for  $m=20M_{\odot}$  and radius  $r_{\star}=10R_{\odot}$ . Finally, the slanted dashed black line shows the precession time due to the CND if the high-mass estimate of  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  of Christopher et al. (2005) is applicable. For more modest CND masses the time scale scales inversely to that mass (Sec. III.B). Adapted from Kocsis and Tremaine, 2010.

Sec. VI.C when we discuss the origin of the S-stars in more detail.

Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) also derived the distribution of the volume density distribution of the cusp's B stars and found for the 15 stars with a semimajor axis  $\leq 0.5 \operatorname{arc} \operatorname{sec}, \Sigma_B(R) \sim R^{-1.1\pm0.3}$ . This slope appears to differ somewhat from the overall B-star distribution, which shows a surface density profile out to  $p \sim 15$  arc sec of  $\Sigma_B(p) \sim p^{-1.5\pm0.2}$  [Fig. 3.3.2 of Bartko *et al.* (2010)]. This would suggest that the S-star cluster is a distinct component and not the inward continuation of an overall larger cusp of B stars. Still, the fainter B stars ( $K_s > 15$ ) at p > 0.8 arc sec may reside in a more isotropic distribution than the O/WR stars and resemble the B stars in the S-star cluster in this respect (Bartko *et al.*, 2010).

## D. Is there an "equilibrium" stellar cusp?

Depending on the mass function and radial distribution of the nuclear stars, including the fraction of stellar remnants, estimates of the two-body relaxation-time scale  $T_{\rm NR}$  in the central parsec range between 1 and 20 Gyr, close to or somewhat smaller than the Hubble time T<sub>H</sub> (Fig. 7) (Alexander, 2005; Merritt, 2006, 2010; Kocsis and Tremaine, 2010). In an equilibrium cluster  $(age \gg T_{NR})$  around a massive black hole a dense stellar cusp of old stars and remnants is expected to form (Bahcall and Wolf, 1976, 1977; Cohn and Kulsrud, 1978; Young, 1980). The Galactic Center nuclear cluster (age  $T_{\rm cl} \sim T_{\rm NR}$ ) probably approaches but may not fully reach this equilibrium state. The equilibrium stellar distribution of a spherically symmetric single mass star cluster is a singular power law of slope  $\zeta = 7/4$  ( $\rho \sim R^{-\zeta}$ ) (Bahcall and Wolf, 1976). In a multicomponent stellar cluster mass segregation results in the more massive stars being more concentrated than the less massive ones. For a mass-segregated multimass cluster with a range of masses from  $m_{\min}$  to  $m_{\max}$ , the equilibrium density distribution of stars with mass  $m_{\min} \leq m \leq m_{\max}$  is independent of the initial conditions and scales as

$$\rho(R,m) \propto R^{-\zeta(m)}$$
 with  $\zeta(m) = 1.5 + m/4m_{\text{max}}$  (1)

(Bahcall and Wolf, 1977). Recent analytical work and numerical simulations of multicomponent nuclear clusters broadly confirm this classical analytical result (Freitag *et al.*, 2006; Hopman and Alexander, 2006a). For the parameters of the Galactic Center, the Monte Carlo simulations of Freitag *et al.* (2006) predicted 560 $M_{\odot}$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ , and  $2.1 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$  in  $10 M_{\odot}$  stellar black holes within 0.01, 0.1, and 1 pc from the center, with a slope approaching  $\zeta \sim 7/4$ . Within the same radii Freitag *et al.* found 180, 6500, and  $3.4 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$  in main-sequence stars (and giants), 30, 2000, and  $1.2 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$  in white dwarfs, and  $\sim 0 M_{\odot}$ ,  $600 M_{\odot}$ , and  $1.5 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$  in neutron stars. The lighter stars attain a slope of  $\zeta = 1.3 - 1.4$ , close to but somewhat shallower than the low-mass limit of Bahcall and Wolf.

If the "heavy" stars (mass  $m_h$  and number  $N_h$ ) are rare while the "light" stars (mass  $m_l$  and number  $N_l$ ) dominate such that the self-relaxation parameter  $\Delta = 4N_h m_h^2/(N_l m_l^2 [3+m_h/m_l])$  is much less than unity (the Bahcall-Wolf solution is reached when  $\Delta > 1$ ); much stronger relaxation can occur (Alexander, 2007). The slope of the most massive stars can then be significantly steeper than  $\zeta = 7/4$ . This limit would be applicable to stellar black holes, with  $\zeta = 2$  to 11/4. Massive stars are too short lived to reach relaxation. Alexander and Hopman (2009) showed that old or continuously star-forming systems with a Salpeter-Kroupa initial mass function (IMF) have  $\Delta \ll 1$ . The strong segregation solution might thus be relevant for most galaxies if they have old relaxed cusps with a standard IMF. If physical collisions are frequent in the densest innermost part of the cusp (at stellar densities  $>10^8 M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup>), the cusp slope can become shallower than  $\zeta = 1.5$  and, in principle, can reach a minimum value of  $\zeta = 1/2$  (Murphy *et al.*, 1991). Deviations from the Bahcall-Wolf solution also would generally be expected if the cusp has not reached equilibrium, following a strong disturbance, such as a merger or star-formation event, or the in-spiral of an intermediate-mass black hole (Baumgardt *et al.*, 2006; Merritt, 2006).

Is such an equilibrium stellar cusp observed in the Galactic Center? Speckle imaging with  $\sim 0.15$  arc sec resolution in the early 1990s showed that the star counts to  $K \leq 14$  are consistent with a power-law density distribution  $(\zeta \sim 2)$  to  $\sim 0.15$  pc with a flattening to a core inside (Eckart et al., 1993; Allen and Burton, 1994). Following the availability of high-quality adaptive optics imaging at 8–10 m-class telescopes, Genzel et al. (2003a) reported the first significant detection of an inward increase of the  $K \leq 17$  stellar number counts to scales of  $\leq 0.04$  pc from Sgr A\*. A stellar maximum of faint stars centered on Sgr A\* is detected and is centered on Sgr A\* to within  $\pm 0.2$  arc sec (Genzel *et al.*, 2003a; Schödel et al., 2007a). The azimuthally averaged projected stellar surface density distribution can be described by a broken-power law (Genzel et al., 2003a; Schödel et al., 2007a). The inferred power-law slope of the cusp is  $\zeta = 1.3 \pm 0.1$  within  $R_b = 6.0 \pm 1.0$  arc sec  $(0.22\pm0.04 \text{ pc})$ , outside of which the slope steepens to  $\zeta = 1.8 \pm 0.1$ , in agreement with earlier studies of the surface brightness distribution by Becklin and Neugebauer (1968), Catchpole et al. (1990), Eckart et al. (1993), Haller et al. (1996), Genzel et al. (2000), or Launhardt et al. (2002) on larger scales. Refining these works, Graham and Spitler (2009) subtracted off the contribution from bulge stars to the light profile. They showed that the nuclear cluster of the Milky Way is reasonably well represented by a Sersic function with index n=3 and an effective half-light radius of 80 arc sec. The profile is steeper in the outer parts than the previous power-law descriptions due to the omission of the bulge stars. Schödel (2010) confirmed this finding.

In contrast to the surface density distribution of the faint stars, the surface brightness distribution of the "diffuse" infrared light between bright stars is somewhat flatter and does not show a maximum toward Sgr A\* (Scoville *et al.*, 2003). Following up on similar results in earlier work with seeing limited images by Rieke and Rieke (1988), Allen and Burton (1994), and Scoville *et al.* (2003) found from NICMOS-HST 1.9  $\mu$ m imaging that the diffuse light corrected for extinction is flat outside about 10 arc sec, slowly increases inward to about 1 arc sec, but then has a core or even a dip toward Sgr A\*. Because of the spillover of light from bright stars, it is not clear how well this diffuse light traces the intrinsic distribution of the underlying faint stars

(Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). The confusion corrected stellar surface density distribution thus is arguably the best tracer of the older giants and the B/A main-sequence population in the nuclear cluster. Neither the near-infrared light nor the star counts, however, are sensitive to main-sequence stars less than a few solar masses, to white dwarfs, or to stellar remnants.

### 1. Radial distribution of different stellar components

We show in this section that the concentration of faint stars near Sgr A\* probably does not represent a classical Bahcall-Wolf cusp once the nuclear stars are assigned to different stellar types based on their spectral properties. Instead the *superposition* of a fairly flat large core of (relaxed?) old giants and a *compact concentration* of unrelaxed young massive stars toward Sgr A\* conspire to create a cusp in the number counts of faint stars.

It has been clear for almost two decades that the mix of stars changes with radius as one approaches the nucleus from the bulge. The relative fraction of bright stars  $(M_{\rm bol} \sim -5 \text{ to } -8)$  increases significantly from a few parsecs to the center (Rieke and Rieke, 1988). While the light of the old low-mass stars dominates the outer star cluster, the central few arcseconds are dominated by massive early-type stars (Fig. 8) (Krabbe et al., 1991; Burton and Allen, 1992). There is a central depression in the surface brightness or equivalent width of the light of the red giants and bright AGB stars (Fig. 8) (Sellgren et al., 1990; Allen and Burton, 1994; Genzel et al., 1996; Haller et al., 1996). The key question is whether this inferred depression of late-type stars is a true physical effect or whether it is the result of the strong increase in the density of early-type stars.

Increasingly more sensitive and higher angular resolution imaging spectroscopy over the past few years now makes a compelling case that the main effect is the steep increase in the density (and brightness) in the density of bright early-type stars [see, e.g., Genzel et al. (2003a), Eisenhauer et al. (2005), and Schödel et al. (2007a)]. This conclusion is demonstrated qualitatively in Fig. 8, which shows the spatial distribution of early- and late-type stars, colored blue and red, respectively, as well as quantitatively in Fig. 9, which gives the binned surface density distributions of different types of stars averaged over annuli centered on Sgr A\* (corrected when necessary for confusion, incomplete coverage, etc. [see Do et al. (2009a) and Bartko et al. (2010)]. The data shown in Fig. 9 are from SINFONI/VLT integral field spectroscopy (Bartko et al., 2010) but OSIRIS/Keck spectroscopy by Do et al. (2009a) and narrow-band NACO/VLT spectrophotometry by Buchholz et al. (2009) yield similar results, with some differences mainly between the spectroscopic and narrow-band photometric data due to erroneous stellar identifications in the narrow-band method. Because of a combination of confusion, completeness, and reliability of spectroscopic features, the limiting magnitude of the spectroscopic data currently reaches a 40–50 % completeness at  $M(K) \leq -1.5$  $(K \le 15.5)$  (Do *et al.*, 2009a; Bartko *et al.*, 2010), with the



FIG. 8. (Color) Distribution of early-type stars (blue) and late-type stars (orange-red) as obtained from SINFONI integral field spectroscopy in the central  $p \sim 1$  pc (left) and central  $p \sim 0.08$  pc (right). The blanked out region in the left image is the location of the bright red supergiant IRS 7. The image is a deconvolved NACO image of the central  $p \sim 0.08$  pc region with SINFONI spectroscopic identifications marked as colors. Gray stars lack a spectroscopic identification.

exception of the central 1–2 arc sec where the spectroscopic data are somewhat deeper ( $K_{\rm s} \sim 16.5$ ) and more complete (Bartko *et al.*, 2010). The spectroscopy thus currently samples all O/WR and AGB stars, B stars to ~B3V and red giants to K0III, including the peak of the red clump.

The surface density of the O and Wolf-Rayet stars, representing the most massive component of the star cluster, rises steeply ( $\Sigma_{O/WR} \sim p^{-1.4\pm0.2}$  for the "clockwise disk" stars) from ~15 arc sec to a few arcseconds (black points in Fig. 9). There is a sharp inner cutoff of the O/WR population at  $p \leq 1$  arc sec and no O/WR stars have so far been discovered for p > 13 arc sec (0.5 pc) (Paumard *et al.*, 2006; Bartko *et al.*, 2009, 2010; Lu *et al.*, 2009). Likewise the surface density of B starss ( $14 \leq K_s \leq 15.5$ ) also sharply increases inward (blue points in Fig. 9), with a similar slope as that of the O/WR stars ( $\Sigma_B \sim p^{-1.5\pm0.2}$ ). All of these early-type stars have an age much less than  $T_{NR}$ . The central concentration of B stars centered on Sgr A\* thus cannot be an equilibrium Bahcall-Wolf cusp.

In contrast to the early-type stars, the density of latetype giants does not peak on or near Sgr A\*. There are only a handful of bright giants ( $K_s \le 12.5$ ) in the central few arcseconds and no bright TP-AGB stars ( $K_s \le 10.5$ ) in the central 7 arc sec (cyan points in Fig. 9) (Genzel *et al.*, 1996). The fainter K giants (later than K0III:  $K_s \le 15.5$ ) exhibit a flat surface brightness distribution in the central 10–12 arc sec, perhaps even with a central depression (red points in Fig. 9) (Buchholz *et al.*, 2009; Do *et al.*, 2009a; Bartko *et al.*, 2010). There is also a lack of horizontal branch or red-clump stars toward the central few arcseconds, which sample the oldest ( $\ge 1$  Gyr) and lowest mass ( $\le 2M_{\odot}$ ) stars accessible to the current spectroscopic studies (open green circles in Fig. 9) (Genzel *et al.*, 2003a; Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). The central S-star cluster is dominated by main-sequence B stars to the current spectroscopic limit (Eisenhauer et al., 2005; Do et al., 2009a; Gillessen et al., 2009b). However, there definitely are a few late-type stars within the central S-star cluster. Gillessen et al. (2009b) found that four of the 17  $K_{\rm s} \leq 16.7$  spectroscopically identified late-type stars in the central arcsecond have orbital periods of  $\leq 120$  yr; the remainder may just be projected toward the S-star cluster. However, from a Monte Carlo analysis, Do et al. (2009a) concluded that the intrinsic density distribution of giants and red clumps stars to  $K_s \leq 15.5$  has a powerlaw slope that is flatter than  $\zeta = 1$  at the 99.7% probability level. All these results lead to the robust conclusion that the old late-type stars have a large central core  $(\zeta \sim 0)$ , a central hole  $(\zeta < 0)$ , or at most a very flat cusp  $(\zeta < 1).$ 

As discussed above, analytical and numerical work cannot explain cusp slopes with  $\zeta \leq 1$  solely by mass segregation in an equilibrium multimass system. One possible explanation for the flat or perhaps even centrally depleted density distribution of giants in the central arcseconds is their physical collisions with other stars and remnants. They may result in a long lasting or permanent loss of the giant's envelope (Lacy et al., 1982; Phinney, 1989; Sellgren et al., 1990; Genzel et al., 1996). The efficacy of this process is quite uncertain, however, despite increasingly more sophisticated simulations of collisions. From analytical considerations Alexander (1999) concluded that the depletion of moderately bright giants in the central  $\sim 1$  arc sec could be accounted for by such collisions, while Bailey and Davies (1999) found from "smoothed particle hydrodynamics" (SPH) simulations that the high velocity collisions in the innermost arcseconds are not effective enough in most instances to remove enough mass to destroy the envelope permanently. Adopting a background stellar cusp model from Freitag



FIG. 9. (Color) Radial surface density distributions of different stellar components in the Galactic Center as a function of projected distance from Sgr A\* (from NACO and SINFONI observations on the VLT). Counts are corrected for spatially variable extinction, incompleteness of coverage, and local confusion with nearby bright stars. Filled black circles and dark green crossed squares mark the distribution of spectroscopic O/WR stars in the clockwise and counterclockwise systems ( $K_s \leq 14$ ). Filled and open blue circles denote spectroscopic B stars with  $14.5 \le K_s \le 15.5$  and  $K_s \le 16$ . Filled red and cyan squares mark spectroscopic late-type stars to  $K_s \leq 15.5$ and  $K_s \leq 12.5$ . Open green circles denote the ratio of the redclump feature at  $K_s \sim 15-16$ , relative to the underlying KLF, marking the relative fraction of the oldest stars currently accessible to study (right ordinate). Filled brown triangles represent the overall distribution of all stars to  $K_s \leq 17$ . Adapted from Bartko et al., 2010.

et al. (2006), Dale et al. (2009) carried out more detailed SPH and Monte Carlo simulations to investigate collisional mass removal and envelope destruction as a function of mass and evolutionary stage of the giant as a function of distance and for different collision partners. Dale et al. found that penetrating collisions indeed can eject several tens of percent of the giant's mass that then subsequently permanently removes the star as a bright K-band object.  $10M_{\odot}$  black holes and main-sequence solar-mass stars contribute approximately equally to the collisional destructions. For a population of a few  $10^4$ stellar black holes in the central 0.1 pc the cumulative effects of these collisions are able to account for a significant depletion of moderately bright ( $10 < K_s < 12$ ) giants within the central ~1 arc sec around Sgr A\*. The depletion zone is more spatially confined for the more massive bright AGB stars. Dale et al. also concluded that collisional depletion is probably irrelevant for faint giants and red-clump stars ( $K_s < 15$ ) because of the extreme-mass loss required. Physical collisions with a

Recent work with a top-heavy IMF suggests that the collisionally depleted zone may then be significantly larger (Davies *et al.*, 2010a) but the issue needs to be investigated in more detail before a final verdict is possible. Another option is that an additional massive or

intermediate-mass black hole has gouged out a core in the old star cluster on its inward spiral due to dynamical friction (Milosavljevic and Merritt, 2001; Baumgardt et al., 2006; Merritt, 2010). Baumgardt et al. (2006) found that the in-spiral of a  $(3 \times 10^3 - 1 \times 10^4) M_{\odot}$  intermediatemass black hole would create a central flat core of radius  $R \sim 0.2$  pc, broadly consistent with the density distributions shown in Fig. 9 (Buchholz et al., 2009; Do et al., 2009a). Finally, a minor merger or major star-formation event may also strongly perturb the central cluster (Merritt, 2006, 2010). However, Trippe et al. (2008) found that the dynamics of the old cluster is well described by a uniform slowly rotating and relaxed system, with no evidence for any large-scale disturbance. Likewise the dynamics of the S-stars and of Sgr A\* also strongly restrict the parameter space available for an intermediate-mass black hole (Fig. 21).

standard stellar-mass function thus do not appear to be

able to explain the large observed core of late-type stars.

If the flat spatial distribution of the  $K_s \leq 15.5$  late-type stars is representative of most of the stellar mass, the two-body relaxation-time scale at  $R \ll 1$  pc would be significantly longer than the age of the Galactic Center star cluster (and the Hubble time) (Merritt, 2010). The observed large core may then reflect the initial conditions of the nuclear cluster at formation (Merritt, 2010). Note, however, that the relaxation time depends sensitively on the mass function, abundance of remnants, radial distribution, and other parameters (Fig. 7) (Kocsis and Tremaine, 2010). In addition, Preto and Amaro-Seoane (2010) argued that analytical estimates might overestimate the relaxation time by a factor of 4-10. In addition, the existence of the central S-star cluster implies that relaxation processes much faster than the standard twobody rate are relevant in the nuclear star cluster (see Sec. VI.C) (Alexander, 2007). Another important consequence of the flat core and long relaxation-time scale, if representative for the overall central star cluster, would be reduced extreme-mass ratio in-spiral rates and thus smaller event rates for the planned gravitational wave observatory LISA when compared to most current simulations (Freitag et al., 2006; Hopman and Alexander, 2006a, 2006b; Alexander, 2007; Merritt, 2010; Preto and Amaro-Seoane, 2010).

A top-heavy IMF (Bartko *et al.*, 2010, Sec. II.E), if applicable throughout the evolution of the central nuclear cluster, would also lead to a lack of old low-mass giants in the very center, which would then be completely dominated by stellar remnants [but see Löckmann *et al.* (2010)]. To explain the flat or even inverted radial slope (Buchholz *et al.*, 2009; Do *et al.*, 2009a), the IMF probably would have to depend strongly on radius. Finally, it is interesting to note that the mass of the missing late-type stars in the cusp between Sgr A\* and



FIG. 10. (Color) Multiepoch Chandra observations of the Galactic Center showing the detection of four transient x-ray sources in five years (white circles). Adapted from Muno *et al.*, 2005.

 $R \sim 10$  arc sec [subtracting the observed core from a  $n(R) \sim R^{-1.5}$  cusp] is  $\sim (3-5) \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$  for  $K_s \leq 17-18$ . This is comparable to the stellar mass in the cusp of early-type stars ( $\sim 1500 M_{\odot}$  for  $14.5 \leq K_s \leq 17.5$  and substantially larger if any of the O/WR stars between  $p \sim 0.8$  and 10 arc sec are considered as well). Could it be that nonequilibrium effects due to episodic star formation (with a top-heavy IMF) and capture of B stars in the central S-star cluster might be capable of driving out a large number of giants from the innermost cusp region?

Muno et al. (2005) reported the detection of four (of seven total) x-ray transients in the central parsec from multiple Chandra observations over a five-year period (Fig. 10), representing a 20-fold excess of such transients with respect to the greater Galactic Center environment on 10 pc scales. These sources each vary in luminosity by more than a factor of 10 and have peak x-ray luminosities greater than  $5 \times 10^{33}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting that they are accreting black holes or neutron stars. The peak luminosities of the transients are intermediate between those typically considered outburst and quiescence for x-ray binaries. The excess transient x-ray sources may be lowmass x-ray binaries that were produced by three-body interactions between binary star systems and either black holes or neutron stars that have migrated into the central parsec as a result of dynamical friction. Alternatively, they could be high-mass x-ray binaries that formed among the young stars that are present in the central parsec. Thus, the transients of Muno et al. may represent direct evidence for a concentrated population of remnants in the central cusp.

#### E. Stellar mass function

The distribution of stellar masses at birth, the socalled IMF, is well described in most galactic environments by a power law  $[dN(m_*) \sim m_*^{-\gamma} dm_*]$ , with exponent  $\gamma = 2.3$  from  $m_* \sim 1$  to  $\sim 120 M_{\odot}$ . Below this mass the IMF turns over and flattens (Salpeter, 1955; Chabrier, 2001; Kroupa, 2002). It is of general interest to ask whether in the unique environment around a massive black hole this "universal" IMF holds as well.

There are several constraints on the IMF in the central parsec. The first (and strongest) comes from the number counts of young massive stars as a function of K-band magnitude [the K-band luminosity function (KLF)]. Generally, the "present day" stellar-mass function (PMF) and its associated KLF depend on the IMF, as well as on the star-formation history and the mapping of each star onto the KLF through stellar atmosphere modeling. The IMF is a reasonable approximation for the PMF inferred from the KLF when modeling (1) very recently born stars, (2) a decaying sharp star-formation burst (for masses below a suitably adjusted turn-off mass), or (3) the topmost edge of the PMF in an old system with continuous star formation. The latter is the case because very massive stars have quite similar lifetimes. The rate at which stars "accumulate" across the PMF due to the continuous star formation is then roughly independent of mass. The star disk(s) discussed above have a common and reasonably well-defined age of  $6\pm 2$  Myr and thus match conditions (1) and (2) very well. Figure 11 shows different estimates of the KLF of the early-type stars in three different radial bins: the S-star cluster ( $p \le 0.8$  arc sec), the zone of the O/WRstar disks (0.8 arc sec arc sec), and the regionp > 12 arc sec [all from Bartko *et al.* (2010)]. These KLFs and the corresponding best-fit model IMFs (shown as histograms under the assumption of *in situ* formation) rely on a deep spectroscopic survey with SINFONI, which has an average 50% spectroscopic and photometric completeness at  $K \sim 15$ . In the S-star cluster and a few deeper fields the spectroscopic data reach  $K \sim 16.5$ . The KLFs in Fig. 11 were corrected for the spectroscopic, photometric, and area incompleteness.

The KLF in the young star disk(s) is remarkably flat with a best-fit power-law PMF/IMF of slope  $\gamma = 0.45 \pm 0.3$ , almost 2 dex flatter than a standard IMF. The results of Bartko et al. confirm and put on a robust spectroscopic footing the earlier finding of Paumard et al. (2006) that the PMF/IMF in the O/WR-star disk(s) is top heavy. The disks contain fewer B than O/WR stars, while a Salpeter-Kroupa-Chabrier IMF would have 2.6 times as many  $K_s \leq 16$  B stars than O/WR stars. The inferred top-heavy IMF in the O/WR-star disk(s) is in strong contrast to the S-star cluster ( $p \le 0.8$  arc sec) and probably also the region outside the disk(s), where the KLF is well fit by a standard IMF for a single burst population or a continuous star-formation history with a range of ages between six and a few tens of Myr (Fig. 11).



FIG. 11. (Color) Completeness corrected K-band luminosity functions of spectroscopic early-type stars in three radial intervals p < 0.8 arc sec (red triangles, scaled by a factor 0.05), 0.8 arc sec  $\leq p \leq 12$  arc sec (blue points), and 12 arc sec arc sec (black asterisks). For comparison,the green shaded distribution is the KLF for p < 7 arc sec derived from the narrow-band spectrophotometric technique of Buchholz et al. (2009) (normalized to the spectroscopic data). This technique allows full aerial coverage of the central region and sets an upper limit to the number of fainter early-type stars. For a 6 Myr population the best fitting inferred IMF in the radial interval  $0.8 \le p \le 12$  arc sec (blue histogram), where the disks of early-type stars are most prominent, is extremely top heavy and clearly different from the IMFs of the S-stars (red histogram) and the field stars beyond 12 arc sec (black histogram). It can be fitted by a power-law IMF with a slope of  $0.45 \pm 0.3$  or the IMF proposed by Bonnell and Rice (2008). The IMF of the field stars beyond 12 arc sec as well as the S-stars within 0.8 arc sec can be fitted by a power-law IMF with a slope of 2.15±0.3, consistent with a standard Salpeter and Kroupa IMF. These latter KLFs can also be fitted by somewhat older populations with a continuous star-formation history, which would then, however, predict lower numbers of  $K \leq 14$ stars (relevant for S-star cluster). All IMFs use a mass range of  $(0.1-120)M_{\odot}$ . Adapted from Bartko *et al.*, 2010.

There are three main uncertainties affecting this conclusion. One is the mapping of the KLF into the PMF at the bright end ( $K_s \leq 13$ ), where most stars are post-mainsequence supergiants. In this range there is no unique relation between  $K_s$ -band magnitude and stellar mass, and the transformation relies on population synthesis modeling. It is encouraging that the modeled mapping between KLF and PMF has been verified by more detailed spectral atmosphere modeling for about 20 of the O/WR stars by Martins et al. (2007) (see Sec. VI.D). The second issue is the reliability of the area and spectroscopic completeness correction at the faint end  $(K_{\rm s} \sim 14.5 - 16)$ . The area coverage of the data of Bartko et al. (2010) as well as the probability and reliability of correct spectral identification both decrease with increasing  $K_s$  magnitude. This may suggest that the  $K_{\rm s}$  > 14.5 KLF points underestimate the true distribution. This conclusion is supported qualitatively by the photometric analyses of Paumard et al. (2006) and Buchholz et al. (2009), both of which indicate a larger number of faint early-type stellar candidates (green shaded distribution in Fig. 11) than obtained in the spectroscopic technique of Bartko et al. (2010). However, the resulting possible increase in faint-end counts is modest (factor of  $\leq 2$ ; Fig. 11). In addition, a number of the  $K_s \sim 15$  earlytype candidates identified with the technique of Bucholz et al. were targeted in the spectroscopic observations of Bartko et al. (2010) and turned out to be late-type stars. The green shaded KLF in Fig. 11 thus represents an upper bound to the number of fainter early-type stars in the star disk(s). Finally, some of the B stars counted in the faintest bins ( $K_s \ge 15$ ) of Fig. 11 appear to not be situated within the disks but in a more isotropic distribution (Bartko et al., 2010). Taken together this suggests that possible upward corrections of the B-star counts of Bartko et al. (2010) may result in a somewhat steeper slope of the PMF but will remain significantly flatter than the standard IMF. The final and probably most important uncertainty is the relation between the PMF and the IMF in case the stars in the disk(s) have not formed in their current locations. We return to this issue at the end of this section and in Sec. VI.B.2.

The second constraint on the IMF in the Galactic Center comes from the x-ray emission in the central region. Navakshin and Sunvaev (2005) compared the diffuse x-ray emission observed with Chandra in Sgr A\* with that of the Orion nebula cluster. The IMF in Orion is known to be close to a Kroupa-Chabrier IMF. If the Galactic Center has a standard IMF, its x-ray emission should then be larger than that in Orion by the ratio of OB stars in these two regions. The predicted diffuse x-ray flux in the central parsec exceeds the value observed by Baganoff et al. (2003) and Muno et al. (2004) by a factor of 20-100. Since the x-ray emission of a young star cluster is dominated by low-mass T-Tauri stars, Nayakshin and Sunyaev concluded that the ratio of OB stars to low-mass stars must be at least one order of magnitude larger in the Galactic Center than in Orion. This implies a very flat IMF, similar to the arguments based on the KLF in the last section. However, since T-Tau stars have a mass of  $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ , the lack of x-ray emission could also be consistent with a more canonical IMF that is truncated below  $(1-2)M_{\odot}$ .

Could the PMF be different from the IMF because the massive young stars did not form in their present location but migrated inward in a mass-dependent manner? The "in-spiraling cluster" scenario for the origin of the star disks discussed in more detail in Sec. VI.B indeed predicts a fairly flat PMF for the innermost deposited stars although the assumed intrinsic IMF of the cluster is a standard one ( $\gamma_{PMF} \sim 1.1$ ) (Gürkan and Rasio, 2005). This is because mass segregation within the cluster leads to a differential shedding of stars of different masses when the cluster is tidally stripped during in-spiral. Lower mass stars are deposited further out, while the most massive stars sink the farthest in, especially if the cluster contains a central intermediate-mass black hole

(Gürkan and Rasio, 2005). The finding of Bartko et al. (2010) of a flat PMF/KLF in the O/WR disks and a steeper KLF further out is qualitatively consistent with such a model. However, the differential shedding of different stellar masses combined with the predicted flat surface density of the deposited cluster stars ( $\Sigma \sim p^{-0.75}$ ) (Berukoff and Hansen, 2006) would require a large "sea" of B stars outside the location of the O/WR disk(s). The ratio of  $K_s < 16$  B stars [(8–20) $M_{\odot}$ ] to O stars (>20 $M_{\odot}$ ) is 2.6 for a Kroupa-Chabrier IMF but ten times smaller (0.25) for  $\gamma$ =0.45. The ratio of the number of B stars inferred from the radial surface distribution in Fig. 3.3.2  $(\Sigma_B \sim p^{-1.4 \pm 0.2})$  to lie between  $p \sim 12$  and 24 arc sec to that observed in the region of the disks ( $p \le 12$  arc sec) is 0.6±0.2, by far not sufficient to make up the lack of B stars for a normal IMF. In addition, the angular-momentum distribution of B stars at p > 12 arcsec appears to be more isotropic. This means that the observed number of B stars in the disk planes outside p > 12 arc sec is still smaller. Finally, in the simulations of Gürkan and Rasio (2005) the differential shedding is a fairly slow function of distance from the center. Unless there is a much larger surface density of B stars outside the region probed by the current observations, a top-heavy PMF at  $p \le 12$  arc sec probably is a reasonable estimate of the *in situ* IMF.

The third constraint on the IMF comes from the relatively small z thickness of the disks if there are indeed two separate star disks. Nayakshin et al. (2006) showed from numerical simulations that in this case the mutual interaction induces warping and precession of their stellar orbits, leading to thickening and eventual destruction. If the disks were initially very thin, the z thickness of each disk depends on the time since formation and is proportional to the mass of the other disk. Nayakshin et al. inferred that the observed thickness requires that the masses of the clockwise and counterclockwise systems do not exceed  $1 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$  and  $0.5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ , in excellent agreement with the values estimated by Bartko et al. (2010) from direct integration of the observed KLFs. Since Nayakshin et al. adopted an age of 4 Myr (instead of 6 Myr) these upper limits should be quite conservative. Navakshin et al. concluded that this consideration further supports the evidence in favor of a top-heavy IMF.

Löckmann *et al.* (2010) pointed out that star formation in the nuclear cluster cannot always be characterized by a strongly top-heavy IMF throughout the past 10 Gyr, at least not for  $R \ge 1$  pc. This is because otherwise the number of stellar remnants is too large and the predicted ratio of stellar mass (including remnants) to the faint-end K-band luminosity is significantly larger than the observed ratio, as inferred from the dynamical mass of the stellar cluster [Fig. 22 and Eq. (4)] and the diffuse K-band light (Schödel *et al.*, 2009). Pfuhl *et al.* (2010) agreed with this conclusion based on the observed number counts of faint stars (and especially the number of A stars) in the central parsec.

Weaker evidence exists for a somewhat flatter than standard IMF in the young "Arches" and "Quintuplet" star clusters located ~30 pc from Sgr A\* ( $\tau$ ~2–4 Myr) (Morris and Serabyn, 1996; Figer et al., 1999). Figer et al. (1999), Stolte et al. (2005), and Kim et al. (2006) found that the slope of the integrated PMF in these clusters ranges between  $\gamma = 1.7$  and 2 in the mass range of  $(1-50)M_{\odot}$ , perhaps with a tendency of flattening at higher mass. The corresponding IMF slope then is  $\gamma=2$ , close to but perhaps somewhat flatter than the standard IMF (Kim et al., 2006). From another analysis of data on the arches cluster, however, including a treatment of the effect of strong differential reddening on the inferred intrinsic photometry, Espinoza et al. (2009) found  $\gamma = 2.1 \pm 0.2$ , consistent with a Salpeter IMF to within the uncertainties.

In contrast to the picture we have just developed for the region outside the central S-star cluster and within  $p \leq 12$  arcsec, the KLF in the S-star cluster and at p > 12 arc sec appears to be very different (Fig. 11). In these regions the observed KLF is consistent with the KLF of a young stellar component with a normal IMF. Normal IMFs with older ages but a continuous starformation history are also possible but for t > 50 Myr the more massive B stars move off the main sequence and the KLF becomes too steep (Bartko et al., 2010). This finding is of great interest for understanding the origin of the B stars in the central cusp. The steep KLF would appear to speak against a scenario where the B stars formed in the star disk(s) 6 Myr ago and then migrated into the central cusp since that time. Instead it appears more likely that the B stars in the cusp were formed over larger regions and longer times, perhaps with a close to universal IMF outside the sphere of influence of the massive black hole, and then migrated into the central cusp.

In summary, the evidence for a top-heavy IMF in the disk(s) of young O/WR stars has become fairly strong. While in most astrophysical environments where sufficiently detailed information is available, the concept of a universal IMF seems to hold true (Kroupa, 2002), and the sphere of influence of a massive black hole may favor the formation of O stars. We return to this issue when we discuss the theoretical concepts of star formation in this environment in Sec. VI.

#### F. Chemical abundances

The Galactic Center is also an interesting laboratory for studying the evolution and enrichment of heavy elements by nucleosynthesis. For several decades there has been evidence for an increase of the interstellar oxygen to hydrogen abundance ratio with decreasing galactocentric radius from the outer to the inner Galaxy. Electron temperature determinations with radio recombination lines, optical forbidden line ratios, and infrared fine-structure line ratios all suggest an increase of  $\alpha$ -element abundances (O/H, Ar/H, and Ne/H) by a factor of 1.5–3 between the solar neighborhood and the



FIG. 12. (Color) Chemical abundances in the Galactic Center. Left panel:  $\alpha$ -element abundances in interstellar H II regions, as a function of galactocentric distance, obtained from mid-infrared fine-structure line ratios obtained with the ISO-SWS and analyzed with the most recent generation of stellar atmosphere models. Each number refers to a single H II region. The red oval marks the Galactic Center (central parsec and the Arches region 30 pc from Sgr A\*). Adapted from Giveon *et al.*, 2002. Right panel: Stellar  $\alpha$  element and Fe abundances as a function of galactocentric distance. Galactic Center stars (red supergiants and AGB stars in the central parsec, as well as a supergiant in the Quintuplet cluster 30 pc from Sgr A\*) are marked green, along with the measurement uncertainties. While the Fe abundance is solar throughout the central 10 kpc, the  $\alpha$ -element abundances (and the  $\alpha$ /Fe ratio) appears to be super-solar by  $\sim 0.2-0.3$  dex, perhaps consistent with the interstellar abundances. Adapted from Cunha *et al.*, 2007.

Galactic Center region, albeit with large uncertainties and substantial scatter (left panel of Fig. 12) (Shaver et al., 1983; Lester et al., 1987; Simpson et al., 1995; Afflerbach et al., 1997; Giveon et al., 2002). The central parsec fits in with this general picture. Lacy *et al.* (1980) first concluded that Ne and Ar are enriched by a factor of 1.5–2 in the minispiral streamers of the Sgr A East H II region. This evidence for elevated  $\alpha$  abundances in the ionized gas of Sgr A West has been confirmed by more recent observations and analyses, although Shields and Ferland (1994) found twice the solar values for N/O and Ar/H but solar abundance for Ne/H. Recent analysis by Giveon et al. (2002) included the most recent photoionization and stellar models and yields Ar/H and Ne/H abundances in the central parsec and in the Arches cluster about 1.5 solar (left panel of Fig. 12). Is this somewhat supersolar abundance in the ionized interstellar gas also present in the Galactic Center stars?

There is ample evidence for the release of heavy element (O, N, C, Si, and Mg) nucleosynthesis products in the intense winds of the massive young stars throughout the central parsec and the Arches and Quintuplet clusters (Najarro *et al.*, 1994, 1997, 2004, 2009; Figer *et al.*,

1999; Martins et al., 2007, 2008a). Determination of the initial (ZAMS) element abundances in the hot stars is more difficult. Najarro et al. (2004) and Martins et al. (2008a) inferred the initial O/H abundances of hot young stars in the Arches cluster from the maximum, asymptotic nitrogen abundances of the cluster's most evolved Wolf-Rayet stars. Both compared the spectroscopic data to the latest stellar evolutionary models and inferred solar (Najarro et al.) or marginally supersolar  $(Z/Z_{\odot}=1.35\pm0.2)$  (Martins *et al.*, 2007, 2008a) initial metal abundances. Martins et al. pointed out that this technique in essence gives only a lower limit to the initial metallicity since most or all of the stars in the sample may not have reached the asymptotic limit. In a study of two luminous blue variables in the Quintuplet cluster Najarro et al. (2009) deduce Fe- and  $\alpha$ -element (Si, Mg) abundances. They found Fe to hydrogen to be approximately solar, while Si and Mg appear to be supersolar by  $0.3 \pm 0.2$  dex. Geballe *et al.* (2006) studied the star IRS 8 in the northern arm of the minispiral in the central parsec. They concluded that the 2.116  $\mu$ m feature, which is a blend of C III, N III, and O III transitions, is sensitive

to the oxygen abundance and inferred an O/H abundance that is about  $2\sigma$  above the solar abundance of Asplund *et al.* (2005).

Maeda *et al.* (2002) and Sakano *et al.* (2004) carried out x-ray spectroscopy of the Sgr A East supernova remnant and also found strong evidence for  $\alpha$  enrichment (four times solar), perhaps not surprising, as this is a remnant of a recent (less than a few 10<sup>4</sup> yr) core-collapse supernova in the central few parsecs.

There have been a series of studies of heavy element abundances in red supergiants and AGB stars in the Galactic Center region based on high-quality, highresolution absorption line H/K-band spectra. Carr *et al.* (2000) first determined abundances in IRS 7, the brightest red supergiant in the central parsec. They found approximately solar values for Fe to within their quoted uncertainties, with a depletion of oxygen, probably as a result of internal CNO processing. Ramirez et al. (2000) analyzed three bright red giant (AGB) stars and six red supergiants in the central 2.5 pc (including IRS 7), as well as the red supergiant VR 5-7 in the Quintuplet cluster 30 pc north of Sgr A\*. Compared to a solar neighborhood comparison sample, the Fe abundance in the Galactic Center sample is fully consistent with the solar value  $[\Delta(Fe/H)=0.1\pm0.2]$ . Cunha et al. (2007) reanalyzed the sample of Ramirez et al. (2000) after adding H-band spectra to the data set. They confirmed the solar Fe abundances and also concluded that  $\alpha$ -element abundances and thus  $\alpha$ /Fe ratios (O/Fe and Ca/Fe) are 0.2–0.3 dex above the solar values (right panel of Fig. 12). The significance of this  $\alpha$ /Fe overabundance is  $\sim 2\sigma$ . Davies et al. (2009) analyzed an independent H-band data set of IRS 7 and VR 5-7. Again, they confirmed solar Fe abundances and also found slight (0.1-0.2 dex) supersolar abundances of Si, Ca, and Ti but solar values for Mg in both stars. The situation with respect to oxygen is puzzling. Oxygen is supersolar in VR 5-7 but substantially subsolar in IRS 7 [see also Carr et al. (2000)] perhaps due to fast rotation and enhanced mass loss in this evolved supergiant. Davies et al. (2009) concluded that once uncertainties in data and modeling are properly taken into account, there is no evidence for supersolar  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ /Fe abundances in the two Galactic Center stars.

The emerging picture for the stellar abundances is somewhat confusing but reflects the scatter of the observational data. The subject is tricky, given systematic uncertainties in data and modeling. If a global average of all stars, data sets, and analyses is able to average out the substantial scatter from star to star and the influence of different analyses, there appears to be an overall trend toward a modest (factor of  $1.5\pm0.25$ ) enhancement of  $\alpha$  elements but a solar iron abundance in the Galactic Center stars. The  $\alpha$ /Fe enhancement is similar to that seen in the ionized interstellar gas. However, the comparison of the different papers discussed shows that this result is overall not (yet) compelling certainly when considering a specific star or H II region. How would a supersolar  $\alpha$ /Fe-abundance ratio have to be interpreted? The most likely possibilities lie in the properties and evolution of star formation in the Galactic Center. A supersolar  $\alpha$ /Fe abundance could have been created by a recent burst of star formation creating massive stars and, in turn, core-collapse supernovae. This explanation would work locally near OB-starforming sites. Yet Cunha *et al.* (2007) found the same O/Ca overabundances in three older red giants. Further, the star-formation history of the Galactic Center region is probably best described by relatively continuous star formation over the past few hundred megayears (see Sec. VI.A) (Blum *et al.*, 2003; Figer *et al.*, 2004; Maness *et al.*, 2007; Pfuhl *et al.*, 2010).

An alternative explanation involves a top-heavy IMF, as discussed in the last section (Cunha *et al.*, 2007). In this case there is a continuing enhanced rate of corecollapse supernovae, pushing the  $\alpha$ /Fe ratio above that of the galactic disk. Another aspect is the source of the gas that is collapsing to form new stars. If there is little influx of fresh gas from outside the Galactic Center, a significant fraction of the star-forming gas is affected by winds from red giant (AGB) stars, which tend to have high  $\alpha$ /Fe ratios. Thus, the natal material was already  $\alpha$ -enriched before it arrived in various regions in the Galactic Center (Morris and Serabyn, 1996).

# III. OBSERVED PROPERTIES OF THE NUCLEAR INTERSTELLAR MATTER

The properties of the interstellar matter in the central parsec(s) have been previously discussed in the reviews of Genzel et al. (1994), Mezger et al. (1996), and Serabyn and Morris (1996) to which we refer here for details. In the following we concentrate on updates since these reviews. Figure 1 summarizes the spatial distribution and nomenclature of the gas components discussed below. The global properties of the interstellar material in the central parsecs can be described by a central  $\sim 1-1.5$  pc radius "ionized cavity" devoid of much dense gas and surrounded by a set of streamers of dense molecular gas and warm dust, the circum-nuclear disk (CND), extending from 1.5 to  $\sim 4 \text{ pc}$  (Becklin *et al.*, 1982; Güsten *et al.*, 1987; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005). There is a sharp transition at  $\sim 1.5$  pc between the ionized cavity and the CND. Outside of the CND there are several massive molecular clouds (the so-called +20 and +50 km/s clouds) [Mezger et al. (1996), and references therein].

## A. Ionized gas in Sgr A West

To first order, the morphology and dynamics of the ionized gas in Sgr A West (the minispiral) are well described by a system of ionized clumpy streamers or filaments orbiting Sgr A\* (Figs. 1 and 13) (Ekers *et al.*, 1983; Lo and Claussen, 1983; Serabyn and Lacy, 1985; Serabyn *et al.*, 1988; Schwarz *et al.*, 1989; Lacy *et al.*, 1991; Herbst *et al.*, 1993; Roberts and Goss, 1993; Rober



FIG. 13. (Color) Superposition of integrated line emission in HCN 4-3 (green: SMA; Montero-Castano *et al.*, 2009) and HCN 1-0 (blue: OVRO; Christopher *et al.*, 2005), both at a resolution of  $3 \times 5$  arc sec, as well as 6 cm radio continuum emission (red) (Lo and Claussen, 1983; Ekers *et al.*, 1983; Yusef-Zadeh *et al.*, 2010) (resolution ~1 arc sec).

erts *et al.*, 1996; Vollmer and Duschl, 2002; Liszt, 2003; Paumard *et al.*, 2004; Zhao *et al.*, 2009). Some of these streamers (e.g., the "western arc") may be on mostly circular orbits, similar to the neutral gas in the CND (see below), while others (the "northern" and "eastern" arms and the "bar") penetrate deep into the central ionized cavity to within a few arcseconds from Sgr A\*.

These streamers are almost certainly photoionized by the combined ultraviolet radiation from the massive stars in the young star disk(s) (Shields and Ferland, 1994; Martins *et al.*, 2007, Sec. VI.D). The detailed morphology of the minispiral probably results from the combination of the physical distribution of the streamers with their illumination by the ionizing radiation (Paumard *et al.*, 2004). Radio and infrared recombination line fluxes (and their ratios to the radio continuum flux) are well fit by electron temperatures between 5000 and 7500 K (Rieke *et al.*, 1989; Maloney *et al.*, 1992; Roberts and Goss, 1993). The total mass of ionized gas in the central cavity is fairly small and amounts to only  $\sim 25(n_e/10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3})^{-1} M_{\odot}$ . In addition, there is about  $300M_{\odot}$  of neutral atomic gas (Jackson *et al.*, 1993) and a few  $M_{\odot}$  of warm dust (Davidson *et al.*, 1992). Some or most of the central gas and dust may be associated with the mini-spiral filaments and the ionized gas may in part be the ionized surfaces or rims of the more massive gas clouds (Paumard et al., 2004). The average gas density in the central parsec thus is much lower than in the surrounding CND. This central gas cavity may be the result of the young massive star disks being in the post-mainsequence "wind" phase (Genzel et al., 1994; Morris and Serabyn, 1996). The mini-spiral streamers represent an apparent mass inflow rate of  $\sim 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$  into the central few arcseconds (Genzel et al., 1994).

With the availability of proper motions from multiepoch Very Large Array (VLA) observations and including H96 $\alpha$  spectroscopic data, it is now possible to derive unique orbital solutions for the main gas streamers. Recent work of Zhao et al. (2009) confirmed earlier inferences from near- and mid-infrared imaging spectroscopies that the western arc is the inner ionized edge of the neutral circum-nuclear disk and has an orbit that is close to circular, while the northern arm and eastern arm (+bar) are on highly elliptical orbits. Zhao et al. also strengthen the earlier conclusions of Vollmer and Duschl (2000), Liszt (2003), and Paumard et al. (2004) that all these features and the CND form a bundle of orbits with the same inclination relative to the plane of the sky. The northern arm and western arc (+CND) may also be in the same plane, which prompted Lacy et al. (1991) to propose that both features are part of a single gas flow with dissipative loss of angular momentum along its length (perhaps as a result of frictional losses). Recent data of Zhao et al. (2009) probably still permit this model but favor two distinct kinematic features. Northern arm and eastern arm gas may collide in the region of the bar (several arcseconds behind the plane of the sky). There are significant deviations from the simple single Keplerian orbit fits. Given the compelling evidence from infrared polarization and radio Zeeman effect measurements for an ordered milligauss magnetic field in the central few parsecs (Aitken et al., 1991; Hildebrand et al., 1993; Morris and Serabyn, 1996; and references therein), these deviations and the morphology of some of the filaments (e.g., braided structures) (Zhao et al., 2009) may make a good case in favor of a significant impact of magnetic fields on the ionized gas dynamics. See Morris and Serabyn (1996) for a detailed discussion on the role of magnetic fields in the Galactic Center.

While the dynamics of most of the mass of the ionized gas in the minispiral may be accounted for by tidally stretched gas filaments orbiting in the central gravitational potential, some of the ionized gas shows much larger motions (up to  $\sim$ 700 km/s) and complex patterns (Yusef-Zadeh et al., 1998; Zhao and Goss, 1998; Zhao et al., 2009). These peculiar motions may be the result of the ionized gas interacting with the orbiting stars and their stellar winds or of cloud-cloud collisions (Morris and Yusef-Zadeh, 1987; Genzel et al., 1994). Star-windgas interactions are documented in about a dozen cases as bow shocks in gas (and warm dust) distributions pointing opposite to the motion of bright stars centered on or near the gas clumps (Serabyn et al., 1991; Yusef-Zadeh and Melia, 1992; Tanner et al., 2002, 2005; Genzel et al., 2003a; Geballe et al., 2004, 2006; Paumard et al., 2004; Viehmann et al., 2006; Perger et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2009). If the plowing star is a Wolf-Rayet star with a powerful wind, these interactions can create bright radio and mid-infrared dust emission sources (IRS 1, 5, 8, 10, 13E, 21, 33) (Zhao and Goss, 1998; Tanner et al., 2002, 2005; Paumard et al., 2004; Muzic et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2009). The case of IRS 13E is especially dramatic as this star-wind interaction region also is a prominent source of x-ray emission (Baganoff et al., 2003), which can be modeled by a wind-wind collision region (Coker et al., 2002).

#### **B.** Neutral gas

The central 5 pc of the Galaxy contain a concentration of dense and warm molecular and atomic gas and dust that is commonly referred to as the CND (Figs. 1 and 13) (Becklin et al., 1982; Liszt et al., 1983; Genzel et al., 1985; Serabyn et al., 1986; Güsten et al., 1987; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005; Montero-Castano et al., 2009). The most prominent feature is a rotating disk or set of filaments at inclination of 60°–70° (inclined  $20^{\circ}$ - $30^{\circ}$  relative to the galactic plane) that can be followed for a good fraction of the circumference at  $R \sim 1.5$  pc. The western arc (Fig. 13) appears to be the ionized inner surface of the CND (Genzel et al., 1985; Serabyn and Lacy, 1985). The HCN clumps are also bright in vibrationally excited near-infrared H<sub>2</sub> line emission, suggesting that they are illuminated by farultraviolet radiation and/or are the sites of dissipative shocks (Gatley et al., 1986; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2001). Figure 13 shows that the distributions of ionized and molecular gas are spatially anticorrelated. The northern arm appears to penetrate into the central cavity from outside through a gap in the inner edge of the CND. Some clumps and streamers may have large noncircular motions.

The CND clumps have large velocity dispersions  $(\sigma = 17 \pm 8 \text{ km/s})$ , are very dense  $[n(H_2) \sim 10^{6-8} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ , and have moderately high gas and dust temperatures  $(T_{gas} \sim 50-200 \text{ K}, T_{dust} \sim 50-70 \text{ K})$  (Becklin *et al.*, 1982; Güsten et al., 1987; Davidson et al., 1992; Jackson et al., 1993; Mezger et al., 1996; Telesco et al., 1996; Latvakoski et al., 1999; Christopher et al., 2005; Montero-Castano et al., 2009). It is not clear whether the large line widths are caused by gravity induced virialized motions or by a transient turbulent cascade, as in galactic-disk giant molecular clouds (GMCs) (Krumholz and McKee, 2005; McKee and Ostriker, 2007). In the former case the clump densities would exceed the local Roche density at  $R \sim 1.5 \text{ pc} (\sim 3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3})$  and the clumps would be stable against tidal disruption. The amount of gas in the CND is also quite uncertain. If the CND clumps (typical diameters  $\sim 0.2-0.3$  pc) are virialized and stable, H<sub>2</sub> columns and masses must be very large  $[\langle N(H_2) \rangle_{clump} \sim 3 \times 10^{25} (A_V \sim 10^4),$  $\langle M_{\rm clump} \rangle \sim (1-2) \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ ] and the total CND mass may be a few  $(10^5-10^6)M_{\odot}$  (Christopher *et al.*, 2005; Montero-Castano et al., 2009). The far-IR to millimeter dust continuum emission from the CND is quite faint, however, suggesting a total CND gas mass of a few  $10^4 M_{\odot}$  with standard (galactic-disk) submillimeter dust opacities and gas to dust ratios (Mezger et al., 1989, 1996; Davidson et al., 1992; Dent et al., 1993). In that case, the clumps and filaments, as well as the entire CND structure, may be transient features (Güsten et al., 1987). If the mass of the CND is near the upper range of the estimates discussed above, the CND has a significant

impact on the stellar precession time scale in the central parsec (Fig. 7). Subr *et al.* (2009) noted that if the mass of the CND were larger than  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ , the resulting gravitational torque would have destroyed the disk of young massive stars within its age of 6 Myr, supporting the idea of a light and/or transient CND.

There is presently no conclusive evidence confirming or excluding either the "virial" or "transient" scenarios. The line ratios of H<sup>12</sup>CN (and other heavy top molecules) and its isotopolog H<sup>13</sup>CN in different rotational transitions (J=1-0,3-2,4-3) are sensitive to the local gas density. The available observations can be fit either by sub-Roche density ( $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), warmer ( $\geq 100 \text{ K}$ ) gas, or by super-Roche density ( $\sim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) gas with a range of somewhat lower temperatures (50-100 K) (Wright et al., 1989; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005; Montero-Castano et al., 2009). The {HCN}/{H<sub>2</sub>} abundance is a free parameter and mass estimates directly based on the HCN-line fluxes thus are uncertain. While the faint submillimeter dust emission favors the lower column density, transient solution, higher column densities may, in principle, be accommodated if dust emission properties in the CND deviate from the galactic disk or if the submillimeter emission is somewhat optically thick (as indeed is predicted in the virial scenario). However, the HCN clumps should then be visible as "black" spots  $(A_K \sim 100 - 1000)$  on the near-infrared images. While the outline of the southern part of the CND is indeed recognizable as a clear drop in K-band surface brightness in the top left image of Fig. 1, individual clumps are not (Mezger *et al.*, 1996).

In the virial scenario the clumps may then collapse and form stars locally. Near-infrared spectroscopic searches for early-type stars in or near the CND clumps have not been successful so far (Martins, 2010) but, given the high extinctions implied, may not have been deep enough to exclude that some young stars have formed there. Latvakoski et al. (1999) found a few local dust temperature peaks in the mid-infrared dust emission distribution of the CND, which may be suggestive of intrinsic heating sources. Latvakoski et al. also concluded that the mid-infrared emission at a resolution of about 5 arc sec is optically thin. Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2008b) found  $H_2O$  and collisionally excited  $CH_3OH$ masers toward nine locations in the CND clumps. These masers may be signposts for recently formed embedded massive stars, as elsewhere in the Galaxy, although some of the H<sub>2</sub>O masers may also originate in the envelopes of evolved late-type stars.

The sharp inner edge of the CND and the large drop in average gas density within ~1.5 pc is undoubtedly a transient feature in the interplay between gas inflow from further out, angular-momentum dissipation, and radiation and ram pressure from the central massive star cluster (Güsten *et al.*, 1987). The current low gas density in the central cavity may be the result of the nuclear star cluster currently being in a post-main-sequence winddominated phase of the star-formation episode 6 Myr ago. Once the O/WR stars have disappeared, the gas accretion into the central parsec may increase to a few times  $10^{-2}M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, as estimated from the gas mass and noncircular motions in the CND. Increased gas accretion may then lead to another star-formation episode in the future, resulting in a continuous but episodic limit cycle (Morris and Serabyn, 1996).

#### C. Dust and interstellar extinction toward the Galactic Center

The seminal spectrophotometric studies of stars by Becklin and Neugebauer (1968), Becklin et al. (1978), Rieke and Lebofsky (1985), and Rieke et al. (1989) established that the Galactic Center is situated behind  $\sim$ 30 magnitudes of visible extinction, mainly due to diffuse galactic dust along the line of sight. The nearinfrared extinction curve falls off steeply with wavelength [A( $\lambda$ )~ $\lambda^{-1.7}$  at  $\lambda$ ~1–2.5  $\mu$ m; Fig. 14], with a  $K_{\rm s}$ -band extinction toward Sgr A\* of  $3\pm0.2$  mag. This early work also indicated that this steep drop-off continues to 7–8  $\mu$ m but then the extinction increases again sharply in two spectral features near 10 and 20  $\mu$ m. The empirical curve appears to agree broadly with the extinction curve derived in the Orion star-forming region (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2000) and with theoretical dust models of mixtures of silicate and graphite dust grains with a standard ratio of B-V reddening to total extinction  $[R_V = A_V / E(B - V) = 3.1]$  (Draine, 1989; Weingartner and Draine, 2001; Draine, 2003).

Recent work by Lutz *et al.* (1996), Lutz (1999), and Scoville *et al.* (2003) used near- and mid-infrared hydrogen recombination line ratios and calibrated with case B recombination theory either to an assumed  $K_s$  extinction or to the free-free radio continuum emission in the same aperture. These observations confirm the steep nearinfrared slope and the high extinction near the silicate features but indicate a much shallower slope in between, perhaps with additional extinction maxima near 3 and 6  $\mu$ m (Fig. 14). Spitzer spectrophotometry on larger spatial scales by Nishiyama *et al.* (2009b) since confirmed the 2–8  $\mu$ m extinction curve inferred by Lutz *et al.* 

The shallow 2.5–8  $\mu$ m slope can be explained by a grayer dust model ( $R_V$ =5.5) (Weingartner and Draine, 2001). Alternatively, the dust of the line of sight toward the Galactic Center may have ice mantles with water, CO<sub>2</sub>, and organic ices surrounding silicate and graphite cores. Such ice mantles would be naturally expected in cold molecular clouds that are known from molecular line spectroscopy to reside along the line of sight to the Galactic Center. Direct evidence for these ice features are also seen in the ISO-SWS spectrum of Sgr A\* shown in the right panel of Fig. 14 (Lutz, 1999).

Figure 1 shows that there is also a component of spatially variable local extinction. The line of sight toward Sgr A\* is a local minimum in extinction and the extinction rises on average by about 0.5 magnitude from Sgr A\* to  $p \sim 5$  arc sec (Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). In addition, the minispiral and, even more strongly, the CND contain dust that can be clearly seen by its imprint on extinction of the stellar light (Fig. 1) (Scoville *et al.*,



FIG. 14. (Color) Extinction toward the Galactic Center. Left: Compilation of near- and mid-infrared extinction measurements toward the central few arcseconds around Sgr A\* from the literature, as well as two theoretical dust models from Weingartner and Draine (2001) for different choices of  $R_V = A_V / E(B - V)$ . The data points of Rieke *et al.* (1989) (open black circles), Blum *et al.* (1996) (filled green triangles), Rieke (1999), Schödel *et al.* (2010) (open magenta triangles), and Nishiyama *et al.* (2009b) rely mainly on the analysis of stellar spectrophotometry with known intrinsic spectral energy distributions. In contrast, the work of Scoville *et al.* (2003) (open-crossed square) and Lutz *et al.* (1996) and Lutz (1999) (filled blue circles) derived extinction from hydrogen recombination line ratios (and radio continuum) in comparison to case B recombination. For comparison, the magenta curve denotes the extinction curve derived for the Orion star-forming region by Rosenthal *et al.* (2000). Right: Near- to mid-infrared SED of Sgr A\* from ~20 arc sec resolution SWS spectroscopy with the Infrared Space Observatory (Lutz, 1999), directly showing the silicate and ice dust absorption features (H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, 6.5  $\mu$ m, etc.) that probably cause the flat infrared extinction curve on the left.

2003). The dense +20 km/s cloud a few arcminutes southeast of Sgr A\* has an even stronger impact and blocks out much of the stellar near-infrared light (Mezger *et al.*, 1996).

#### D. Hot gas and high-energy emission

The central parsec(s) also contain(s) several components of diffuse, hard x-ray line, and continuum emission with equivalent temperatures of  $10^7 - 10^8$  K (1-10 keV after subtraction of individual point sources) [Muno et al. (2004) and for a recent review see Goldwurm (2010)]. On scales of 10-30 pc the 6.4 keV  $K\alpha$ -line emission from "neutral" Fe and some of the hard x-ray continuum shows a strong spatial correlation with large clouds of molecular line emission, such as Sgr B2 (Sunyaev et al., 1993; Koyama et al., 1996, 2003). The most likely interpretation of this component is the Compton reflection or fluorescence of cold gas irradiated by (a) time variable hard x-ray continuum source(s), which might be identified with Sgr A\* (Koyama et al., 2003; Revnivtsev et al., 2004; Muno et al., 2007). Alternatively, the emission may be generated by the interaction of cold gas with energetic particles (Valinia et al., 2000; Predehl et al., 2003; Goldwurm, 2010).

The more extended "galactic ridge" continuum and the highly ionized Fe 6.7 keV line emission may be either diffuse gas or a superposition of many unresolved point sources (Muno *et al.*, 2004). Suzaku observations support an interpretation of the diffuse x-ray emission in terms of distributed plasma with a range of temperatures (Yuasa et al., 2008; Koyama et al., 2009). The cooler plasma (~1 keV) exhibits a spatial structure on arcminute or smaller scales and is plausibly the result of the interaction of stellar winds with each other and of stellar winds and expanding supernova remnants with cold and ionized gas (Baganoff et al., 2003; Muno et al., 2004). The hot component ( $\sim 10 \text{ keV}$ ) is spatially more uniform (Yuasa et al., 2008) but broadly correlated with the softer component, perhaps suggesting a related physical origin (Muno et al., 2004). Because of its high sound speed  $\sim 10$  keV diffuse gas would not be bound to the Galactic Center region. Its temperature is above that usually associated with stellar winds and supernovae remnants, and its origin may be magnetic reconnection or acceleration in supernova shocks. To maintain such a component in dynamical equilibrium requires  $10^{40-43}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> (Muno et al., 2004; Revnivtsev et al., 2006, 2009). These energy requirements are very large. Alternatively, the hard x-ray continuum emission may be due to the superposition of many unidentified compact sources, such as cataclysmic variables or coronally active binary sources (Muno et al., 2004; Revnivtsev et al., 2006). Revnivtsev et al. (2009) presented very deep Chandra imaging of the galactic ridge emission near the center but  $\sim 1.4^{\circ}$  below the galactic plane where the interstellar absorption and confusion is minimized. This observation resolves >80% of the  $\sim$ 6–7 keV line and continuum emission into *dis*- *crete sources* and thus makes a strong case for the compact stellar source interpretation.

In the central parsec, the diffuse emission is consistent with thermal emission from  $\sim 1$  keV plasma with a mean electron density of  $\sim 30f^{-1/2}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, where f is the filling factor of the emission (Fig. 1) (Baganoff et al., 2003). In addition, there is a compact source associated with Sgr A\* itself (Sec. VII) (Baganoff et al., 2001, 2003; Xu et al., 2006), as well as a number of compact stellar sources (Muno et al., 2009) and several transients (Sec. II.D) (Muno et al., 2005). There are local emission maxima on IRS 13E (Sec. IV.G) and a north-south "streak" of emission located  $\sim$ 7 arc sec northwest of Sgr A\* (Baganoff et al., 2003). The latter is not obviously related to any feature in the radio of infrared maps and may be a pulsar-wind nebula (Muno et al., 2008). The x-ray emission in Sgr A West is connected to more extended emission from the entire Sgr A complex and the supernova remnant Sgr A East (Baganoff et al., 2003).

Ground-based Cerenkov telescopes detected a counterpart of the Sgr A complex (Aharonian et al., 2004; Kosack et al., 2004; Albert et al., 2006). The currently most sensitive and highest angular resolution  $\gamma$ -ray observations are from the HESS observatory, reporting the detection of a pointlike TeV source  $7 \pm 10$  arc sec from Sgr A\* (van Eldik, 2008; Acero et al., 2009). The luminosity of this  $\gamma$ -ray source is about  $10^{35}$  erg/s in the TeV range and its spectrum follows a power law  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-0.25}$ between 0.2 and 9 TeV (Aharonian et al., 2004; van Eldik, 2008). The TeV emission might be created in the relativistic accretion zone, in shocks, or in dark matter annihilation in the vicinity of Sgr A\* (Bergström, 2000; Atoyan and Dermer, 2004; Aharonian and Neronov, 2005), might originate from accelerated relativistic particles in the supernova remnant Sgr A East (Aharonian et al., 2004), or could come from inverse Compton upscattering of infrared photons by relativistic electrons in the bright pulsar-wind nebula 359.95-0.04 located  $\sim 9$  arc sec northwest of Sgr A\* (Fig. 1) (Wang, 2006; Muno et al., 2007; van Eldik, 2008; Acero et al., 2009). Given the lack of TeV time variability even during an x-ray flare (Aharonian et al., 2008) and its location in the central 10 arc sec, the TeV source near Sgr A\* may simply be due to the pulsar-wind nebula (van Eldik, 2008).

## IV. TESTING THE BLACK-HOLE PARADIGM: IS SGR A\* A MASSIVE BLACK HOLE?

It is now well established that the mass distribution in the central (few) parsec(s) can be well described by a combination of a central compact mass associated with Sgr A\* and a dense nuclear star cluster that is embedded in the larger scale bulge and disk of the Milky Way (cf. Genzel and Townes, 1987; Genzel *et al.*, 1994; Mezger *et al.*, 1996; Melia and Falcke, 2001; Reid, 2009). In this and the next section we discuss the significant progress over the past two decades in our quantitative knowledge of the relative importance and masses of these two components, as well as the nature of the central mass.

#### A. Evidence for a central compact mass from gas motions

The first dynamical evidence for a central mass concentration emerged in the late 1970s and early 1980s when the group of Townes at the University of California, Berkeley, discovered that the radial velocities of ionized gas (in the 12.8  $\mu$ m line of [Ne II]) increased to a few hundred km/s in the central parsec of our Milky Way (Wollman *et al.*, 1977) (Fig. 15). Applying a virial analysis to these gas velocities suggested the presence of a central parsec, in excess of what can be plausibly assigned to stars. The Berkeley group concluded that this mass concentration might be a massive black hole plausibly associated with the compact radio source Sgr A\* (Lacy *et al.*, 1980, 1982).

The case further improved throughout the 1980s with the advent of increasingly more detailed measurements of the ionized gas within the central ionized cavity, as well as of atomic and molecular gas outside the central parsec (Crawford *et al.*, 1985; Serabyn and Lacy, 1985; Mezger and Wink, 1986; Güsten et al., 1987; Serabyn et al., 1988; Schwarz et al., 1989; Lacy et al., 1991; Herbst et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 1993; Roberts et al., 1996). However, many considered the evidence for a central mass concentration based on gas dynamics unconvincing mainly because gas is sensitive to forces other than gravity and also because there was no accompanying detection of a luminous infrared or x-ray source associated with Sgr A\* (Rees, 1982; Allen and Sanders, 1986; Kormendy and Richstone, 1995). Further progress required stellar dynamics.

#### **B.** Evidence from stellar motions

The first stellar velocity dispersion measurements came from spectroscopy of the 2  $\mu$ m CO overtone absorption bands in late-type giants and supergiants (from  $p \sim 10$  arc sec to 3 arc min) (Rieke and Rieke, 1988; McGinn et al., 1989; Sellgren et al., 1990; Haller et al., 1996) and from 18 cm OH maser emission stars (Lindqvist et al., 1992). These data broadly confirmed the gas measurements but sampled the mass distribution at too large a radius to give a conclusive constraint on the existence of a central nonstellar mass. At around the same time Forrest et al. (1987), Allen et al. (1990), and Krabbe et al. (1991) detected the first blue supergiants in the central 10 arc sec. Krabbe et al. (1995) used about a dozen of these stars for a virial estimate of the mass within 10 arc sec of Sgr A\*, strengthening the evidence in favor of a few million solar-mass central nonstellar mass.

Genzel *et al.* (1996) and Haller *et al.* (1996) carried out a more quantitative analysis of the nuclear mass distribution from ~0.1 pc (2.5 arc sec) to a few parsecs (~1 arc min) based on radial velocities of ~200 latetype stars and two dozen early-type stars available at that time. Employing a combination of statistical projected mass estimators [virial and estimators of Bahcall and Tremaine (1981)], as well as statistical modeling with



FIG. 15. (Color online) 3.6 cm VLA radio continuum map of the central parsec (right) (Roberts and Goss, 1993), and 12.8  $\mu$ m [Ne II] line profiles from Wollman *et al.* (1977) (left) for the apertures indicated on the radio map. The radio emission delineates ionized gas streamers (the "minispiral") orbiting the compact radio source Sgr A\*. The observations of Wollman *et al.* provided the first dynamic evidence from large gas velocities that there might be a hidden mass of  $(2-4) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  located near Sgr A\*.

the Jeans equation, separately for the early- and latetype stellar components, Genzel *et al.* (1996) inferred a combination of a  $3.0 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  central mass and a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$ star cluster with a core radius of ~0.4 pc (~10 arc sec). The resulting average stellar density in the core is ~ $10^6 M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup>. Haller *et al.* (1996) employed Jeans modeling as well and arrived at a combination of a  $(1.5-1.8) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  central mass plus a stellar cluster with a mass of  $(1-2.5) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  (all values are rescaled from the published values to the  $R_0=8.3$  kpc distance used in this paper).

A major breakthrough occurred with the first detections of stellar proper motions in the central few arcseconds and, in particular, with the determination of proper motions of the fast moving (up to  $\sim 10^3$  km/s) "S"-stars within  $\leq 1$  arc sec of Sgr A\* based on near-infrared speckle imaging observations with the 3.5 m ESO NTT since 1992 (Eckart and Genzel, 1996, 1997; Genzel *et al.*, 1997). Ghez *et al.* (1998) confirmed and improved these results by higher resolution speckle imaging with the 10 m Keck telescope (since 1995). Both data sets showed that velocity dispersion of the stars follows a Kepler law around a compact mass [ $\sigma(v) \sim R^{-1/2}$ ] to a scale of about 0.01 pc (0.4 light month; Fig. 16). The case for a compact central mass was then considered convincing by most practitioners in the field [cf. Kormendy (2004)]. The

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 4, October-December 2010

number and quality of proper motions in the S-star cluster rapidly improved in the following years but the lack of z coordinates initially dictated a statistical approach. This inevitably limits the innermost scale to the average radius of the S-star cluster ( $\sim 0.5$  arc sec or 0.02 pc) and the significance of the results because of the Poisson noise for a modest sample of stars.

## C. Constraints from stellar orbits

It was clear that the next big step would come from the determination of individual stellar orbits (Genzel and Eckart, 1999; Fragile and Mathews, 2000; Ghez et al., 2000; Rubilar and Eckart, 2001; Eckart et al., 2002). The first success toward this goal was the detection of accelerations for three S-stars (right panel of Fig. 16) (Ghez et al., 2000; Eckart et al., 2002). The breakthrough came with the unambiguous determination of the first orbit of the star S2 (S02) (Schödel et al., 2002; Ghez et al., 2003) revolving with a period of 15.8 years. Since S2 is on a highly elliptical orbit with e=0.88, its pericenter distance from Sgr A\* in Spring 2002 was a mere 17 light hours, or  $1400R_s$  for a  $4.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole (Fig. 17). The data from the NTT/VLT and Keck telescopes agreed well: the first orbital analyses gave  $4.1 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Schödel *et al.*, 2002) and  $4.6 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  (Ghez *et al.*, 2003)



FIG. 16. (Color) Stellar motions in the immediate vicinity of Sgr A\*. Left: Stellar velocity dispersion as a function of projected separation from Sgr A\* (Eckart and Genzel, 1996, 1997; Genzel *et al.*, 1997). Circles are data derived from proper motions; crossed squares from line of sight velocities. The best fitting point mass model and its  $1\sigma$  uncertainty are shown as continuous curves. Right: First detections of orbital accelerations for the stars S1 (S01), S2 (S02), and S8 (S04) and inferred possible orbits. From Ghez *et al.*, 2000.

(both rescaled to  $R_0=8.3$  kpc), in agreement with each other to within the uncertainties and with the statistical estimates at larger radii.

Recent work on the S-star orbits (Schödel *et al.*, 2003; Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2005; Ghez *et al.*, 2005b, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009a, 2009b) has corroborated and fur-



FIG. 17. (Color) Orbit of the star S2 (S02) on the sky (left panel) and in radial velocity (right panel). Blue filled circles denote the NTT and VLT points of Gillessen *et al.* (2009a, 2009b) (updated to 2010) and open and filled red circles are the Keck data of Ghez *et al.* (2008) corrected for the difference in coordinate system definition (Gillessen *et al.*, 2009a). The positions are relative to the radio position of Sgr A\* (black circle). The gray crosses are the positions of various Sgr A\* IR flares (Sec. VII). The center of mass as deduced from the orbit lies within the black circle. The orbit figure is not a closed ellipse since the best fitting model ascribes a small proper motion to the point mass, which is consistent with the uncertainties of the current IR-frame definition. Adapted from Gillessen *et al.*, 2009a.



FIG. 18. (Color) A summary of 20 of the  $\sim$ 30 S-star orbits delineated by the most recent orbital analysis of Gillessen *et al.* (2009b).

ther substantiated this evidence. Higher quality data are now available mainly due to the advent of high-quality adaptive optics (AO) data, based either on near-infrared wave front sensing or on laser guide star AO. High quality radial velocities have been obtained for roughly two dozen of the S-stars (Eisenhauer et al., 2005; Gillessen et al., 2009a, 2009b). Detailed analysis significantly improved the astrometric precision by elimination of a number of systematic uncertainties, especially in the distortion of the infrared cameras and the long-term definition of the reference frame (between 150 and 300 µarc sec) (Ghez et al., 2008; Gillessen et al., 2009b; Fritz et al., 2010a). Currently the number of welldetermined S-star orbits has grown to about 30 (Fig. 18)<sup>4</sup> (Gillessen et al., 2009b). For the star S2 a complete orbit is now available (Fig. 17) (Gillessen et al., 2009a). Given uncertainties, a near perfect agreement between the NTT/VLT and Keck-data sets is reached by allowing for a linear drift between the two reference frames (Gillessen et al., 2009a). Ghez et al. and Gillessen et al. reported a mass of  $M_{\bullet}$ =4.4×10<sup>6</sup> $M_{\odot}$  and 4.28×10<sup>6</sup> $M_{\odot}$ , respectively (for  $R_0 = 8.3$  kpc), with a statistical uncertainty of about  $\pm 0.07 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  (Gillessen *et al.*) at fixed distance  $R_0$ . The mass scales roughly as  $M_{\bullet} \sim R_0^2$  which is the result of mixing astrometry  $(M_{\bullet} \sim R_0^3)$  and radial velocity information  $(M_{\bullet} \sim R_0)$ . Including the dominating systematic uncertainty of the distance (see Sec. V.C) gives a total mass uncertainty of  $\pm 0.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ .

The combined data set, including also the stars S1, S8, S12, S13, and S14, yields a marginal improvement over the numbers cited by Gillessen *et al.* (2009b),

$$R_0 = 8.28 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.29 \text{ kpc},$$

$$M_{\bullet} = 4.30 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.30 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}.$$
(2)

where the first error is the statistical fit error and the second is the systematic error (Gillessen *et al.*, 2009a). The *extended mass component* within the orbit of S2 (visible stars, stellar remnants, and possible diffuse dark matter) contributes less than 4–6.6 % of this central mass  $(2\sigma)$  (Ghez *et al.*, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b).

The analysis has two main sources of uncertainty. First, the S2 data during the pericenter passage are suspicious. The star was brighter than usual in 2002 and its position may have been confused (and offset) by another weaker source on or near Sgr A\*. Such "astrometric" confusions by faint ( $K_s > 16.5$ ) stars very close to Sgr A\* have since been seen on other occasions, for instance, in the astrometry of Sgr A\* itself [see, e.g., Dodds-Eden et al. (2010a)]. For this reason, Gillessen et al. (2009b) assigned lower weights (larger uncertainties) for the 2002 data, and Ghez et al. (2008) ignored their 2002 points. This is unfortunate since the pericenter data are most constraining for the gravitational potential. Second, the motion of the reference frame cannot yet be determined from the orbital data itself to a high precision. Ghez et al. (2008) showed two orbital fits, one with the 3D reference system fixed (yielding  $R_0 = 8.4 \pm 0.4$  kpc) and the other with the reference system motion treated as free parameters ( $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.6$  kpc), the mass scaling correspondingly. In particular, the line-of-sight velocity of the massive black hole is degenerate with mass and distance. Gillessen et al. (2009a) used priors on the coordinate system derived from tests of the accuracy of the coordinate system. They also presented a table of fit results for various combinations of priors and selections of orbital data.

The position of the mass and that of the radio source coincide to  $\pm 2$  marc sec. The mapping between radio and infrared coordinates is hampered by the absence of any extragalactic reference sources in the Galactic Center field. The measurement is nevertheless achieved by comparing the positions of SiO maser stars that are visible in both the near infrared and the radio (Menten *et al.*, 1997; Reid *et al.*, 2003, 2007). The level of agreement matches the expectation following from the formal uncertainties of the radio and near-infrared positions of the SiO maser stars. It is also worth noting that positions of various near-infrared flares agree with the same position. Sgr A\* is the only galactic nucleus for which the coincidence of mass and variable emission can be shown to the remarkable precision of 2 marc sec.

In summary, from the stellar orbits it is now established that the Galactic Center contains a highly concentrated mass of  $\sim 4 \times 10^6$  solar masses within the pericenter of S2, i.e., within 125 AU. This requires a minimum density of  $5 \times 10^{15} M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup>. The mass centroid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>For movies of these orbits see http://www.mpe.mpg.de/ir/ GC/index.php, http://www.eso.org/public/news/eso0846/, and http://www.astro.ucla.edu/~ghezgroup/gc/pictures/ orbitsMovie.shtml

lies within  $\pm 2$  marc sec at the position of the compact radio source Sgr A\*, which itself has an apparent size of <1 AU only (Shen *et al.*, 2005; Bower *et al.*, 2006; Doeleman *et al.*, 2008). Taken together, this makes the Galactic Center black hole the currently best case for the existence of astrophysical black holes. Further support for this conclusion comes from the fact that nearinfrared and x-ray flares are observed from the same position, which naturally can be ascribed to variations in the accretion flow onto the massive black hole.

Given this accurate determination of the central black-hole mass, one can ask how well the Galactic Center black hole matches the  $M_{\bullet}$ - $\sigma$  relation in nearby galaxies (Ferrarese and Merritt, 2000; Gebhardt et al., 2000; Tremaine et al., 2002). These authors found that empirically central black-hole mass  $M_{\bullet}$  and velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  for many galaxies correlate over several orders of magnitude. The importance of the relation comes from the fact that the velocity dispersion  $\sigma$  is measured over a region much larger than the sphere of influence of the central black hole, thereby suggesting that the black hole and the bulge must have coevolved. Given a bulge velocity dispersion of 105 km/s (Gültekin et al., 2009), the Milky Way falls below the best fitting relation, although its position is compatible with the general scatter in the relation (Gültekin et al., 2009) and the relatively large uncertainty in the Milky Way's bulge velocity dispersion  $(\pm 20 \text{ km/s})$ , in principle, allows it to fall on the relation if the velocity dispersion was as small as 85 km/s. While in Tremaine *et al.* (2002) the Milky Way was off by a factor of 5 (mainly due to the too low mass of Sgr A\*), the current mass estimates from stellar orbits make the discrepancy slightly less than a factor of  $\sim 2$  or  $\sim 0.3$  dex. Graham (2008b) and Gültekin *et al.* (2009) redetermined the intrinsic scatter of the relation and found an increased value (Gültekin *et al.*:  $0.44 \pm 0.06$  dex) compared to Tremaine et al. (2002) (0.30 dex). Even if the extreme outlier Circinius is excluded from the sample of Gültekin et al. (2009), the intrinsic scatter stays as high as 0.36 dex. This scatter is large enough to include the Milky Way point. Furthermore, the Milky Way has a pseudobulge (Binney, 2009). Graham (2008a) and Hu (2008) noted that galaxies with pseudobulges tend to lie below the relation. Hence, the Milky Way actually might be an excellent example of the  $M_{\bullet}$ - $\sigma$  relation for pseudobulges.

## D. Very long baseline interferometry of Sgr A\*

Very long baseline interferometry observations deliver the highest resolution images and submilliarcsecond astrometry. This places strong additional constraints on the properties of Sgr A\*. The left panel of Fig. 19 shows the currently best determinations of the intrinsic size of the radio source as a function of wavelength across the centimeter and millimeter bands, as obtained by Bower *et al.* (2004, 2006), Shen *et al.* (2005), and Doeleman *et al.* (2008). The various data sets and analyses show consistently that—once the observed source diameters are corrected for wavelength dependent interstellar scattering-the intrinsic size of Sgr A\* decreases with decreasing wavelength. At the shortest wavelength currently reached by these challenging measurements (1.3 mm) (Krichbaum et al., 1998; Doeleman et al., 2008), the source size is  $37(+16, -10)(3\sigma)$  µarc sec. This is a remarkable result as this diameter corresponds to a mere 3.7 times the size of the event horizon of a  $4.3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ black hole. Because of the foreground scattering and the limited u-v coverage of the interferometry, the current data are not yet good enough to yield a proper twodimensional image of the source and by necessity make the strongly simplifying assumption of a circular or elliptical Gaussian brightness distribution. Yuan et al. (2006) showed that a radiatively inefficient accretion flow model reproduces the sizes of the 7 and 3.5 mm emission reasonably well, and they predicted a brightness distribution at 1.3 mm that cannot be described at all by a Gaussian. The increased angular resolution and spatial frequency coverage of VLBI at short millimeter wavelengths should soon allow observations of more complex structures. The 1.3 mm VLBI data of Doeleman et al. (2008) can also well be fit by a uniform thick ring of inner diameter of 35 µarc sec and outer diameter of 80  $\mu$ arc sec when convolved with the interstellar scattering. Such a distribution is motivated by physical models of the Sgr A\* accretion region with general relativistic ray tracing (Falcke et al., 2000; Broderick and Loeb, 2006) and magnetohydrodynamic effects (Noble et al., 2007). These models predict a "shadow" or null in emission in front of the black-hole position, especially in the case of face-on accretion disks. As a result of the strong light bending and lensing near the event horizon, the minimum full width at half maximum (FWHM) diameter of even intrinsically very compact light distributions (centered on the black hole and azimuthally symmetric) would be at least  $\sim 5R_s \sim 50 \ \mu \text{arc sec}$  for a Schwarzschild hole and  $\sim 4.5R_S \sim 45 \ \mu \text{arc sec}$  for a maximally rotating Kerr hole (Broderick and Narayan, 2006). This lower limit is just marginally consistent with the  $3\sigma$  upper limit of the 1.3 mm VLBI observations of Doeleman et al. (2008). A plausible solution is that the millimeter emission is offset from Sgr A\* due to Doppler boosting and inhomogeneities ("hot spots") in the rapidly rotating accretion zone (Broderick and Loeb, 2006) or because the emission comes from a jet (Falcke and Markoff, 2000).

Monitoring Sgr A\*'s position with respect to background QSOs since 1981, initially with the VLA (1981– 1998) (Backer and Sramek, 1999) and more recently with the VLBA (1995–2007) (Reid and Brunthaler, 2004; Reid, 2009), has established that the radio source is stationary once the solar reflex motion is corrected for (Fig. 19). The latter was independently measured from HIP-PARCOS data. The residual motion of Sgr A\* in this reference frame is very small. The motion in the galactic plane is  $-7.2\pm 8.5$  km/s (Reid *et al.*, 2009a), but the precise value depends on the circular speed at the solar radius, for which values between 220 and 255 km/s are



FIG. 19. (Color) Current constraints on the intrinsic size (left) and motion (right) of Sgr A\*, as obtained by very long baseline interferometry observations. Left: Observed (red) and intrinsic (green) sizes of Sgr A\* as a function of wavelength (adapted from Doeleman et al., 2008). Red circles show major-axis observed sizes of Sgr A\* from VLBI observations (all errors  $3\sigma$ ). Data from wavelengths of 6 cm to 7 mm are from Bower et al. (2006), data at 3.5 mm are from Shen et al. (2005), and data at 1.3 mm are from Doeleman et al. (2008). The solid line is the best-fit  $\lambda^2$  scattering law from Bower et al. (2006) and is derived from measurements made at 1.17 cm. Below this line, measurements of the intrinsic size of Sgr A\* are dominated by scattering of foreground interstellar electrons, while measurements that fall above the line indicate intrinsic structures that are larger than the scattering size. Green points show derived major-axis intrinsic sizes (subtracting in squares the expected sizes for a point source from the measured sizes) from 2 cm > $\lambda$  >1.3 mm and are fitted with a power law (~ $\lambda^{1.44}$ ) shown as a dotted line. Right: The apparent motion on the sky of the compact radio source Sgr A\* relative to a distant quasar (J1745-283). Adapted from Reid, 2009. The dashed line is the variance-weighted best-fit motion of  $6.379 \pm 0.024$  marc sec/yr (= $30.24 \pm 0.12$  km/s kpc) for the data published by Reid and Brunthaler (2004). Recent data from 2007 shown here confirm the published result. All of the apparent motion of Sgr A\* can be accounted for by the  $\approx$ 210 Myr period orbit of the Sun about the Galactic Center. The solid line gives the orientation of the galactic plane, and the difference in orientation of the two lines is caused by the 7.16 km s<sup>-1</sup> motion of the Sun perpendicular to the galactic plane. The residual intrinsic motion of Sgr A\* perpendicular to the galactic plane is extremely small:  $-0.4\pm0.9$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. A massive black hole perturbed by stars orbiting within its gravitation sphere of influence is expected to move  $\approx 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in each coordinate (Merritt *et al.*, 2007).

reported. A more precise number can be given for the motion perpendicular to the galactic plane:  $-0.4\pm0.9$  km/s (Reid and Brunthaler, 2004). The extremely small intrinsic motion orthogonal to the plane is close to the expected Brownian motion of a massive black hole in the potential of the surrounding dense star cluster (Chatterjee et al., 2002; Dorband et al., 2003; Reid and Brunthaler, 2004). The fact that Sgr A\* moves  $\sim$ 100–3000 times slower than the surrounding S-stars [with masses of  $\sim (10-15)M_{\odot}$ ] implies that the radio source must contain a significant fraction of the dynamical mass inferred from the stellar orbits. In equilibrium, the motions of stars and central black hole tend toward equipartition of kinetic energy. Analytical as well as numerical work indicates that a  $4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole would move at  $\sim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  per coordinate (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2002; Dorband *et al.*, 2003; Reid and Brunthaler, 2004; Merritt *et al.*, 2007). The observed limits to the motion of Sgr A\* then require a mass of at least  $4 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ .

The  $3\sigma$  upper limit of the intrinsic source size obtained at 1.3 mm (53 µarc sec) (Doeleman *et al.*, 2008) combined with this lower limit of the mass of Sgr A\* of  $4 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$  then yields a conservative lower limit for the mass density of  $8 \times 10^{22} M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup>. This limit is only two orders of magnitude below the "effective" density of a massive Schwarzschild black hole of  $4.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ ,

$$\rho_{\rm eff}(M_{\bullet} = 4.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}) = M_{\bullet} / (4\pi/3R_S^3)$$
$$= 1.8 \times 10^{25} M_{\odot} \ \rm pc^{-3}$$



FIG. 20. (Color) Arguing that Sgr A\* cannot have a surface. Left: Limits of the ratio of the surface luminosity of a putative infrared photosphere to the observed luminosity of Sgr A\*,  $L_{surf}/L_{obs}$ , as a function of the photosphere size as seen at infinity for the infrared measurements of Hornstein *et al.* (2007) (1.6, 2.1, and 4.7  $\mu$ m), Ghez *et al.* (2005a) (3.8  $\mu$ m), and Schödel *et al.* (2007b) (10  $\mu$ m). The hatched bands denote the 3 $\sigma$  upper bounds. The peculiar behavior of the 10  $\mu$ m band constraint is a result of the transition from the Rayleigh-Jeans limit to the Wien limit around  $R_a/D \approx 50$   $\mu$ arc sec as the surface becomes cooler. The region above any of the limits is necessarily excluded. The right-hand vertical axis shows the corresponding limits upon the accretion flow's radiative efficiencies. The top axis gives the redshift associated with a Schwarzschild space-time given the apparent source radius and the thick gray line shows the apparent radii associated with the photon orbit for Kerr space times. Right: The limits upon  $R_a/D$  implied by recent VLBI observations of Bower *et al.* (2004) (7 mm), Shen *et al.* (2005) (3 mm), and Doeleman *et al.* (2008) (1.3 mm) superposed on the combined constraint implied by IR flux measurements. Regions to the right of the leftmost (smallest) size constraint are excluded. When combined with the limits from the IR flux measurements, the permissible parameter space is reduced to a small corner in the  $R_a - L_{surf}/L_{obs}$  plane. Adapted from Broderick *et al.*, 2009a.

## E. Does Sgr A\* have an event horizon?

Broderick and Narayan (2006) and Broderick et al. (2009a) recasted earlier considerations by Narayan et al. (1997, 1998) to argue that Sgr A\* must have an event horizon [but see the arguments of Abramowicz et al. (2002) and the MECO model mentioned below in Sec. **IV.G**]. The basic argument of Broderick *et al.* is as follows. Matter accreting onto a hypothetical hard surface lying outside the gravitational radius  $R_g = GM_{\bullet}/c^2$ , but within the upper limit  $\sim 10R_g$  set by the VLBI images, will emit on the way some of its gravitational energy as nonthermal radiation (and possibly particles). Once the matter hits the surface, it will shock, thermalize, and emit all its remaining energy as black-body radiation in the IR range. Such a component is not observed in the spectral energy distribution (SED), and this sets an upper limit on the mass accretion rate. This limit is so low that even the low level of observed quiescent nonthermal emission from the infalling gas requires an assumed radiative efficiency of nearly 100% (Fig. 20). This can be ruled out, which then leads to the conclusion that the central object does not have a hard surface but rather an event horizon.

## F. Could Sgr A\* be a binary?

The available data place interesting limits on the mass of a possible second black hole in the Galactic Center (Fig. 21). A second black hole of similar mass as the



FIG. 21. (Color) Constraints on the orbital parameters of a hypothetical second (intermediate-mass) black hole in the Galactic Center region. The shaded areas represent regions of parameter space that can be excluded based on observational or theoretical arguments. The dotted lines mark the distances at which the S-stars are currently observed. The dashed line represents the 5 yr orbital period corresponding to discoverable systems. The parameters enclosed in the empty rectangular box are required for an efficient randomization of inclinations in the cluster infall scenario (Merritt *et al.*, 2009). The small rectangular region just below the empty box represents the parameter space excluded by numerical simulations. Adapted from Gualandris and Merritt, 2009; see also Yu and Tremaine, 2003.

main one in an orbit within the pericenter distances of the S-stars (<10 light hour separation) would coalesce by gravitational radiation within a few hundred years. Any second object would have to be of significantly smaller mass and thus be an "intermediate" mass black hole.

The first constraint comes from the lack of motion of Sgr A\*. If Sgr A\* and a nearby intermediate-mass black hole were orbiting each other, the orbital reflex motion of Sgr A\* might show up in the infrared astrometry. The upper limits on the velocity set by Ghez et al. (2008) (~30 km/s 1 $\sigma$  error per axis) and Gillessen *et al.* (2009b)  $(\sim 11 \text{ km/s} 1\sigma \text{ error per axis, assuming that the nuclear})$ star cluster rests with respect to Sgr A\*) correspond to lines in a phase-space plot of the mass of the intermediate-mass black hole versus the black-holeblack-hole distance (Fig. 21), separating configurations at smaller masses from systems with higher masses. However, it is not possible to detect such an orbital motion of the massive black hole if the orbital period P is much shorter than that of S2 (P < 5 yr). Taken together, this excludes an area toward higher masses and larger distances. An even stronger constraint of the same type comes from limit on the motion of radio Sgr A\* in galactic latitude  $(-0.4\pm0.9 \text{ km s}^{-1})$  (Reid and Brunthaler, 2004). Also for these data it seems reasonable to assume that only systems with P > 5 yr would have been discovered. Similar arguments constraining the binarity of Sgr A\* have been put forward by Hansen and Milosavljevic (2003), whose results are also reproduced in Fig. 21.

Second, black holes in close orbits will lose energy via gravitational waves and thus in-spiral. Demanding a lifetime of  $>10^7$  yr for the massive black-holeintermediate-mass black-hole system excludes configurations with smaller separations and higher masses. Dynamical stability can also be assumed for the S-star cluster as a whole. Mikkola and Merritt (2008) showed that an intermediate-mass black hole of  $10^{-3}M_{\bullet}$  at a distance of 1 mpc would make the S-star cluster unstable. Based on simulations, Gualandris and Merritt (2009) concluded that an intermediate-mass black hole will reach a stalling radius that is proportional to the mass of the intermediate-mass black hole:  $R_{\text{stall}}=3.5 \ \mu \text{arc sec}$  $\times M_{\text{IMBH}}[M_{\odot}]$ . Since one does not expect an intermediate-mass black hole to reside at a much smaller radius, this puts another constraint on the binary. Finally, the S2 orbit itself excludes part of the phase space. Motivated by Ghez et al. (2008) and Gillessen et al. (2009b), we assume that no mass larger than  $0.02M_{\bullet}$  can be hidden inside 0.5 times the pericenter distance of S2.

Finally, Gualandris and Merritt (2009) studied, with numerical integrations, the impact of a black-hole binary on the properties of the S-star orbits and derived some further constraints. The parameters enclosed in the empty rectangular box in Fig. 2 are required for an efficient randomization of inclinations in the cluster infall scenario (Merritt *et al.*, 2009). The small rectangular region just below the empty box represents the parameter space excluded by numerical simulations.

In summary, there currently is no empirical evidence for a second massive black hole in the central parsec. To be consistent with the observations, such a second hole anywhere in the central parsec has to be less massive than about  $\sim 10^5 M_{\odot}$ . The measurements do allow the presence of an intermediate-mass black hole  $[(10^3-10^{4.5})M_{\odot}]$  if it is either very close ( $\leq 1$  mpc) or at >100 mpc from Sgr A\*.

#### G. Alternatives to a black-hole configuration

This section summarizes how the continuously improving constraints on the size and density of the central mass over time have eliminated ever more of the alternatives to the black-hole hypothesis for Sgr A\*.

With the 1996–1998 detections of the  $O(10^3 \text{ km/s})$ proper motions of the S-stars on scales of  $\leq 0.02$  pc, the case for a compact central mass was firmly established. Its implied density is  $\ge 10^{12} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ , more than  $10^6$  times denser than the visible nuclear star cluster and is similar to the density of the dark mass in NGC 4258 from the H<sub>2</sub>O maser work of Miyoshi et al. (1995). At that density any astrophysical cluster of faint stars (e.g., white or brown dwarfs), dark stellar remnants (stellar or hypothetical planetary mass black holes and neutron stars), or other collisional matter (e.g., rocks, asteroids, etc.) must be short lived because relaxation and collisions lead to core collapse and/or evaporation on a time scale of  $\sim 10^{7-8}$  yr (Maoz, 1998). Such a short-lived dark cluster thus is a fairly implausible explanation of the central mass. The determination of stellar orbits since 2002 (especially S2) has increased the minimum density of the central object by another four orders of magnitude to  $\sim 10^{16} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ . This eliminates the dark cluster scenario on the basis of the Copernican principle. At that density a dark astrophysical cluster would have a lifetime less than a few 10<sup>5</sup> yr (Maoz, 1998), just a small fraction of the lifetime of the stars in the central cusp.

Viollier et al. (1993) proposed that the dark matter concentrations in galactic nuclei, including QSOs, may be due to compact "fermion balls" (for example, made of hypothetical massive neutrinos) supported by degeneracy pressure. Not only the size of a degenerate object of a given mass increases when the fermion is lighter but also the maximum stable "Chandrasekhar" mass of a fermion ball (or its relativistic analog, the "Oppenheimer-Volkoff" mass). In this scenario the largest observed central masses in elliptical galaxies, a few  $10^9 M_{\odot}$ , would approach the Oppenheimer-Volkoff mass, resulting in an upper limit to the mass of the constituent fermions to about 17 keV/ $c^2$ , with larger black-hole masses requiring smaller masses. At that mass a fermion ball in the Galactic Center would have a radius of about 15 light days (Munyaneza et al., 1998), 36 times larger than the pericenter distances of the stars S2 and S14. This is obviously excluded by the orbital data. To still

"fit" within these pericenter distances the fermions would have to have a mass of

$$m_f \sim 70 \text{ keV } c^{-2} \left( \frac{R_{\text{peri}}}{10 \text{ light hours}} \right)^{-3/8} \left( \frac{g}{2} \right)^{-1/4} \times \left( \frac{M_{\bullet}}{4.4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/8},$$
 (3)

where g is the spin degeneracy factor. Smaller pericenter distances would hence require even larger fermion masses. The two limits are incompatible; hence, massive fermions cannot explain all massive black holes. Such a hypothetical fermion cannot also be the cosmological dark matter particle since it would be  $2 \times 10^5$  times more massive than allowed by the upper limit to the mass of stable neutrinos in the standard Big Bang model with the input of cosmic microwave background (CMB) constraints in WMAP 3 (Goobar *et al.*, 2006). The fermion ball hypothesis thus is probably excluded.

Another proposed non-black-hole configuration is the "boson star" scenario by Torres et al. (2000). A wide range of boson star masses can be realized, including ones with massive black-hole masses, depending on the assumptions about the specific boson particle masses and their self-interactions. Since such an object consists of weakly interacting particles it is unclear how it may have formed. A boson star does not extend much beyond its event horizon and is highly relativistic. It does not possess a singularity, a horizon, or a hard surface. A boson star is unstable to collapse to a black hole if it experiences baryonic accretion, as observed in the Galactic Center to occur frequently (Sec. VII). Furthermore, accreted baryons will amass in the interior, providing a surface satisfying the requirements for the surface-emission arguments from Sec. IV.E. We conclude that the boson star scenario is also excluded by the present evidence. Since a boson star is a factor of a few larger than the event horizon of a massive black of the same mass, it may in addition be possible to test this proposal with future interferometric observations (Eisenhauer et al., 2008).

In summary, the evidence that Sgr A\* is a massive black hole is compelling and beyond any reasonable doubt as long as general relativity holds. Some argued, however, that adding quantum phenomena may prevent the formation of black holes due to early evaporation by Hawking radiation (Vachaspati et al., 2007). There are also proposals for alternative nonblack-hole configurations of very high density (but without an event horizon or a central singularity) motivated by quantum phase transitions. One such configuration is the "grava star" (Mazur and Mottola, 2001) or "dark energy" star (Chapline, 2005), where inside  $R_{\text{grava}} \sim R_S + O(\lambda_P = 1.6 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm})$  the volume is filled with a de Sitter vacuum solution of general relativity, with  $\rho = -p$  (dark energy). As this configuration has practically the same size as the event horizon of a black hole (and hence a very large gravitational redshift from its surface to a distant observer) it will be difficult (or impossible) [Abramowicz et al. (2002) but see also Broderick and Narayan (2006)] to verify or falsify such a hypothetical configuration through present or future empirical measurements.

## V. MASS DISTRIBUTION IN THE NUCLEAR STAR CLUSTER

In this section, we summarize our current knowledge about the distribution of the extended mass in the nuclear star cluster within the sphere of influence of the massive black hole ( $\sim 3$  pc). In this region the extended mass is dominated by stars and stellar remnants (stellar black holes and neutron stars). Gas and dark matter probably do not contribute significantly. We also discuss the status of the determinations of the distance to Galactic Center.

Figure 22 shows the best direct mass estimates to  $R \sim 6$  pc. Recent measurements from the young stars based on the S-star orbits (mainly S2) at the smallest scales (Ghez *et al.*, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009a, 2009b) and the "orbital roulette" estimated at ~0.1-0.2 pc from the clockwise rotating O/WR disk (Beloborodov *et al.*, 2006; Bartko, 2010) show that the massive black hole completely dominates the mass distribution out to ~0.5 pc. No significant extended mass is (as yet) detected from the S-star orbits on scales of <0.02 pc (Ghez *et al.*, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b) or from the clockwise disk determination at ~0.1-0.2 pc.

Trippe et al. (2008) and Schödel et al. (2009) derived high-quality proper motions ( $\delta v \sim 3-10 \text{ km/s}$ ) for a large number ( $\sim 6000$ ) of late-type stars across the entire central  $\sim 1$  pc. Trippe *et al.* (2008) also obtained radial velocities for about 660 of these stars. After elimination of the (unrelaxed) early-type stars in the sample, both proceed to derive the mass distribution of the nuclear cluster, including earlier measurements as needed. Trippe et al. first decomposed the late-type star motions into a random component and a rotation in the plane of the Milky Way, and then used Jeans equation modeling to feed the rotational and random motions back into the mass modeling. Schödel et al. do not factor out the rotational component and apply both isotropic and anisotropic modelings, as well as projected mass estimators to the observed velocity dispersion distribution. The results of the two studies are in good agreement with each other, which perhaps is not surprising, as both analyses use partially overlapping NACO/VLT data sets. Both found that the stellar velocities at  $R \sim 0.5-1$  pc require a statistically significant extended mass, in addition to the central black hole, consistent with but much improved over the earlier work of Genzel et al. (1996) and Haller et al. (1996). The inferred dynamical mass within the central parsec is  $(5.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ . The cluster mass is thus  $M_{\text{cluster}} \sim (1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  within  $R \leq 1$  pc. This mass is also consistent with the stellar light distribution combined with a 2  $\mu$ m light to mass ratio calibrated at larger radii [see, e.g., Genzel et al. (1996)]. The quoted uncertainty is systematic and is dominated by assump-



FIG. 22. (Color) Mass distribution in the central few parsec of the Galaxy for  $R_0=8.3$  kpc. The distribution is based on the most recent analyses of the orbit of S2 (blue) (Ghez et al. 2008; Gillessen et al. 2009b), of "roulette estimations" of the clockwise rotating disk of O/WR stars (filled black squares) (Beloborodov et al., 2006; Bartko, 2010), of modeling of the proper motions (Schödel et al., 2009) and proper motions and radial velocities (Genzel et al., 1996; Trippe et al., 2008), of late-type stars (filled red squares), and from the light of the late-type stars [Launhardt et al., 2002 (open red triangles)], and the rotation of the molecular gas: in the CND [Güsten et al., 1987; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005 (open blue circles)]. In addition to a central mass  $(4.35 \times 10^6 M_{\odot})$ , the gray dashed model curve includes a star cluster with a brokenpower-law density distribution [ $\rho(R) \sim R^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 1.3$  ( $\gamma = 0$ ) for  $R \leq R_0 = 6''$  or 0.25 pc and  $\gamma = 1.8$  for  $R > R_0 = 6''$  for the long-dashed gray (and continuous magenta) curves. This is the best fit ( $\chi^2 \sim 6.4$  for 12 data points) to the S-star cluster, orbital roulette, and late-type cluster data, plus the gas measurements of the  $R \ge 1.5$  pc circum-nuclear disk for fixed power-law exponents and  $R_{break}$ =0.25 pc. The best fitting stellar cluster model has a density at  $R_0$  of  $\sim 1.35 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup>. We also give the  $2\sigma$  upper limit of any extended mass within the S2 orbit from Gillessen et al. (2009a, 2009b) and the estimates of masses in main-sequence stars (MSs), stellar black holes (SBHs), white dwarfs (WDs), and neutron stars (NS) at 0.01 and 0.1 pc in the "standard" simulation of Freitag et al. (2006) (blue symbols).

tions on the power-law slope of the stellar density distribution.

Further constraints between 0.1 and 10 pc come from ionized and molecular gas (Serabyn and Lacy, 1985; Güsten *et al.*, 1987; Serabyn *et al.*, 1988; Lacy *et al.*, 1991; Herbst *et al.*, 1993; Jackson *et al.*, 1993; Roberts *et al.*, 1996; Christopher *et al.*, 2005; Zhao *et al.*, 2009). Based on the assumption that the various gas streamers indeed follow unique Keplerian orbits and that the gas velocities are not strongly affected by forces other than gravity, the derived dynamical masses are in reasonable agreement with those derived from the stellar dynamics [see, e.g., Zhao *et al.* (2009)]. The extended mass at larger radii obviously depends on the slope  $\gamma$  of the stellar density distribution  $[M(\leq R) \sim R^{3-\gamma}]$ . Figure 22 uses  $\gamma=1.8$  motivated from the light distribution of the star cluster (and a constant  $M/L_{Ks}$ ) (Genzel *et al.*, 1996; Trippe *et al.*, 2008). Stellar dynamical mass determinations at  $R \geq 1$  pc suggest a somewhat flatter slope ( $\gamma \sim 1.5$ ) (Launhardt *et al.*, 2002; Trippe *et al.*, 2008) but by themselves are not precise enough to constrain the power-law slope accurately. If the circular velocity of the CND at R=1.4-4 pc ( $v_{rot}=110\pm10$  km/s) (Serabyn and Lacy, 1985; Güsten *et al.*, 1987; Jackson *et al.*, 1993; Christopher *et al.*, 2005) is used as an additional constraint, a steeper slope  $\gamma \sim 1.8-2$  and smaller stellar mass is favored, giving then a significantly better fit.

Motivated by the observations of the stellar surface density distribution discussed in Sec. II.D, we adopt a broken-power law, fitting function for the intrinsic density distribution of the overall stellar (plus remnant) mass, with a break radius at ~6 arc sec (0.25 pc) (Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). Depending on whether the slope within the break radius follows that of the early-type stars ( $\gamma$ ~1.3) or that of the late-type stars ( $\gamma$ ~0), the best fitting density and mass distributions of the stellar dynamical constraints, plus the dynamical constraints from the CND, are

$$\rho_*(R) = 1.35(\pm 0.5) \times 10^6 \left(\frac{R}{0.25 \text{ pc}}\right)^{-\gamma} M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3},$$
  
with  $\gamma = 1.3$  (or  $\gamma = 0$ ) for  $R < 0.25$  pc  
and  $\gamma = 1.8$  for  $R \ge 0.25$  pc,  
 $M_*(R) = 1.54 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{R}{0.25 \text{ pc}}\right)^{1.7} M_{\odot}$   
for  $R < 0.25$  pc and  $\gamma = 1.3$ ,

$$M_*(R) = 9.0 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{R}{0.25 \text{ pc}}\right)^5 M_{\odot}$$
  
for  $R < 0.25 \text{ pc}$  and  $\gamma = 0$ ,  
$$M_*(R) = 2.2 \times 10^5 \left\{ \left(\frac{R}{0.25 \text{ pc}}\right)^{1.2} - 1 \right\}$$
  
$$+ M(0.25 \text{ pc})M_{\odot}$$
  
for  $R \ge 0.25 \text{ pc}$ . (4)

The quoted mass distribution has a systematic uncertainty of about 50% and is in good agreement with previous estimates (Genzel *et al.*, 2003a; Mouawad *et al.*, 2005; Schödel *et al.*, 2007a). For comparison we show in Fig. 22 the masses of different stellar components and remnants within 0.01 and 0.1 pc in the simulations of Freitag *et al.* (2006), which are based on the overall  $\gamma \sim 1.3$  power-law slope in the mass distribution. In this model and the work by Hopman and Alexander (2006a), the mass of the stellar remnants starts to dominate over the stellar mass within the central ~0.5 pc and the stellar black holes attain a slope close to that of the equilibrium Bahcall-Wolf solution ( $\gamma \sim 1.75$ ). According to these simulations, 50–100 stellar black holes may reside inside the orbit of S2, resulting in a mass of 200–300 times below the current limit on an extended mass around Sgr A\* (Ghez *et al.*, 2008; Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b). Merritt (2010) pointed out that the remnant densities and masses in the central 0.1 pc would be significantly less than estimated by Freitag *et al.* (2006) and, as shown in Fig. 22, if the overall stellar mass was to follow the distribution of the late-type stars ( $\gamma \sim 0$ ) and mass segregation was tied to the nonresonant two-body rate.

#### A. Outlier "high velocity" stars

If the dynamical mass in the central region is dominated by a compact central mass and all stars are on bound orbits around this mass, a conservative lower limit of this mass can be obtained from the observed 3D space velocity  $v_{\text{tot}}$ , its  $1\sigma$  uncertainty  $\Delta v_{\text{tot}}$ , and the projected distance from Sgr A\*, p, from

$$M_{\rm min} = \frac{(v_{\rm tot} - 3\Delta v_{\rm tot})^2 p}{2G}.$$
 (5)

The true mass is always greater than  $M_{\min}$  and only equals  $M_{\min}$  for parabolic orbits (e=1) at the pericenter distance  $(p = R_{peri})$  and for  $\Delta v \sim 0$ . Reid *et al.* (2007) used this estimator to show that for 14 out of 15 SiO maser stars in the nuclear star cluster this minimum mass is below the best estimate of the mass of Sgr A\*, while for the bright maser star IRS 9 (p=0.34 pc) this minimum mass is at least  $5.1 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ . Reid *et al.* considered a number of explanations, including orbital motion in a binary and an offset of the reference frame relative to the local standard of rest. They concluded that the most plausible explanations are either that IRS 9 is not bound to the central parsec and is on a near-parabolic orbit with an apo-center radius of  $\sim 10$  pc or that the Galactic Center distance must exceed 9 kpc. IRS 9 is not the only bright AGB star with these properties. The late-type star sample of Trippe et al. (2008) (~6000 stars in the central parsec) contains 12 stars for which  $M_{\rm min}$  is  $3\sigma$ larger than the mass of Sgr A\* as estimated from the S-star orbits. The overall space velocity distribution is well fit by a Maxwellian distribution to the highest velocities of 450 km/s. Most of these outlier stars give even more extreme lower-mass limits than IRS 9. All but IRS 9 would still not be bound to the central parsec even if the distance was  $R_0=9.2$  kpc,  $3\sigma$  above the current best estimate (Sec. V.E). This means that of the various explanations discussed by Reid et al. (2007) the scenario of highly parabolic orbits remains as the most plausible one. Remarkably, of these 12 outlier stars 8 have  $K_s < 13$  and 4 have  $K_s < 12$ . If the selection of outlier stars is relaxed by subtracting only two times the velocity uncertainty, the number of outliers increases (only) to 18, with 10 stars that have  $K_s < 13$  and 6 with  $K_{\rm s}$  < 12. The identification of outliers thus is fairly stable. This indicates that at least half of the outlier stars are bright and cool, TP-AGB stars of age <1 Gyr. While the 12 (18) stars only make up  $\sim 0.2\%$  (0.3%) of the entire sample of Trippe *et al.* (2008), these 5 (6) bright stars make up  $\sim 5\%$  (6%) of all K < 12 AGB stars in the central parsec. Since their lifetime is very probably less than the relaxation time in the nuclear cluster ( $\sim 2$  Gyr or even longer), these highly elliptical or parabolic orbits probably trace their initial orbital parameters.

#### B. Dark matter in the central parsec?

One question is whether dark matter might contribute in a measurable way to the extended mass in the Galactic Center. Given the standard dark matter profile obtained by Navarro et al. (1997) from N-body simulations, the amount of dark matter inside a region of the size of the sphere of influence of a massive black hole actually is negligible compared to the mass of astrophysical sources. Still, the presence of the black hole can create a spike in the cold dark matter distribution, as pointed out by Gondolo and Silk (1999) and Gnedin and Primack (2004). The argument is the same as that for the stellar cusp, in which the lightest component would settle in a power-law density profile with a slope of -3/2 (Alexander, 2005). For a Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile, the actual slope is -7/3 (Quinlan et al., 1995). The dynamics of the dark matter cusp was recently discussed by Vasiliev and Zelnikov (2008). The evolution of dark matter in the Galactic Center is driven by the scattering of dark matter particles by bulge stars, their accretion into the massive black hole, and self-annihilation. If the Milky Way is older than the two-body relaxation time, a universal dark matter profile is reached regardless of the initial conditions. Roughly 40% of the original reservoir has evaporated from the sphere of influence of the massive black hole in these calculations. Only 10-15 % of the reservoir is accreted into the hole. Hence, this is not the dominant mechanism to grow it and is lower compared to what previous studies (Zhao et al., 2002; Zelnikov and Vasiliev, 2005) found neglecting either heating or assuming that the loss cone would always be filled. This also renders the dark matter accretion driven explanation of the  $M_{\bullet}$ - $\sigma$  relation less probable.

Even with the enhancement of a spike, the mass of the dark matter in the Galactic Center is orders of magnitude too small to be dynamically relevant (Vasiliev and Zelnikov, 2008) when compared with other forms of unseen extended mass, such as stellar remnants (Morris, 1993). Also, dynamical friction with weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) is irrelevant for the stellar orbits (Salati and Silk, 1989). Regardless of this, the effect of dark matter on stellar dynamics has been discussed. Hall and Gondolo (2006) found that from the infrared-based dynamical measurements at most 10% of the mass of the black hole could be dark matter, implicitly assuming that unseen mass would be dark matter. The same confusion is found in Zakharov et al. (2007), who claimed to derive constraints on the dark matter distribution from the absence of an observed apo-center shift for the S-stars. To be clear, while formally the limits

are correct, a detection of an extended mass component would not necessarily imply a dark matter spike.

Another route to detecting dark matter in the Galactic Center is the search for a radiation signal. In many models, the dark matter particles can annihilate with themselves, creating high-energy photons. This process leads to a flux proportional to the square of the density. Any such signal from a spike would thus be even more peaked than the spike; however, also a standard NFW halo would have a pronounced concentration of the emission. The actual flux values expected (and thus the perspectives for detecting the signal with current or future instruments) are quite uncertain, depending on the assumptions and models used. For example, Amin and Wizansky (2008) argued that for a certain class of supersymmetric dark matter models the cross section for annihilation is dependent on the relative velocities of the particles, which leads in the potential well of the massive black hole to a flatter halo profile and an enhanced signal. Also astrophysical processes might be important. Merritt et al. (2002) investigated the effect of galaxy mergers and found that these events transfer energy to the dark matter particles. This lowers their density and decreases the fluxes by one order of magnitude.

Essentially all high-energy signals from the Galactic Center have been suggested to originate from dark matter annihilation. Boehm et al. (2004) claimed that the INTEGRAL-based observation of the 511 keV line from the galactic bulge (Knödlseder et al., 2003) is consistent with annihilation of dark matter particles with masses of  $\sim 1-100$  MeV. Similarly, Cesarini *et al.* (2004) attributed the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope signal from the Galactic Center region (Belanger et al., 2004) to the same sort of process. Hooper et al. (2004) interpreted the detections of TeV  $\gamma$  rays at the time available (Kosack et al., 2004; Tsuchiya et al., 2004) from the central parsec as a dark matter signal. While the detection from Tsuchiya et al. (2004) is now considered erroneous, the MAGIC and HESS telescopes have also observed a TeV source in the Galactic Center. The properties of the Sgr A TeV source, however, are similar to other galactic, astrophysical TeV sources. Horns (2005) analyzed the TeV signal in the light of a WIMP origin and concluded that an unexpectedly high mass and interaction cross section for the WIMP particles would be needed in order to explain the TeV data. The problem apparently is that the WIMP-predicted TeV spectrum would be peaked, while the TeV data are perfectly consistent with a simple power law [see Horns (2005) and Hall and Gondolo (2006)].

Interestingly, not only high-energy data constrain the dark matter content of the Galactic Center. Gondolo (2000) showed that the radio flux upper limit from Sgr A\* at 408 MHz excludes a spike of neutralinos. Hence, if the neutralino in the minimal supersymmetric standard model is the dark matter particle, there cannot be a dark matter spike in the Galactic Center. Conversely, if a dark matter cusp extends to the central parsec, the neutralino cannot be the dark matter in our galaxy. Aloisio *et al.* (2004) revisited the problem with more

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 4, October-December 2010

realistic assumptions for the accretion flow and the magnetic field. They reaffirmed the previous conclusions.

Taking all wavebands together, Regis and Ullio (2008) concluded that "none of the components, which have been associated to Sgr A\*, nor the diffuse emission components from the Galactic Center region have spectral or angular features typical of a dark matter source. Still, data sets at all energy bands, namely, the radio, near infrared, and x-ray and gamma-ray bands, contribute to place significant constraints on the WIMP parameter space."

Another aspect of a possible dark matter peak in the Galactic Center is its influence on stellar evolution. Salati and Silk (1989) suggested that stars could catalyze the annihilation of WIMPs. The additional energy source would alter the evolution of the stars. Salati and Silk showed that for massive stars the effect is small. For low-mass stars, the luminosity is increased by a factor of 10–100 and the effective temperature of the star is lowered; i.e., these stars change their appearance to be more giantlike. Moskalenko and Wai (2007) showed that stars can capture WIMPs, which would make them dark matter burners since the energy released by the annihilation can exceed the thermonuclear reactions. The most efficient burners should be white dwarfs. Scott et al. (2009) presented the results of studies with a stellar evolution code that includes energy injection by WIMP annihilation, applied to the nuclear stars and assuming an isothermal dark matter halo. They concluded that for the OB stars residing in two disklike systems with small orbital eccentricities, the WIMP luminosity would not exceed 1% of the nuclear power. The situation is different for solar-mass stars on highly elliptical orbits (similar to the S-stars). Then the additional energy source can even exceed the nuclear fusion energy release. Detecting such low-mass stars would thus provide a strong test for the WIMP properties. They also mentioned a scenario in which the S-stars have extended main-sequence lifetimes due to the WIMP burning, thus maybe resolving the paradox of youth. However, they also pointed out that the stars would need to be formed in a region where the dark matter density is not enhanced. Formation and transport of the S-stars to the central arcsecond would still take longer than their lifetimes and thus the explanation is incomplete.

#### C. Comparison to earlier statistical mass estimates

A possible concern is the differences in derived (central) dynamical masses in different publications (once all are rescaled to 8.3 kpc), exceeding in some cases the quoted uncertainties. This is especially relevant when comparing the more recent values obtained from the S-star orbits (mainly S2:  $M_{\bullet}$ =4.32×10<sup>6</sup> $M_{\odot}$ ) with those obtained in the initial statistical proper motion and radial velocity determinations prior to the availability of the orbits [see, e.g., Genzel and Townes, 1987; Eckart and Genzel, 1996, 1997; Genzel *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Ghez *et al.*, 1998; and Chakrabarty and Saha, 2001]  $[M_{\bullet} \sim (2-3.2) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}]$ . A majority of these earlier sta-

tistical estimates used the projected mass estimators of Bahcall and Tremaine (1981) (for radial velocities) and/or Leonard and Merritt (1989) (for proper motions). For a more detailed discussion of these estimators see the original papers and the summary of Alexander (2005). The projected mass estimators are sensitive to the orbital structure (isotropic, radially, or tangentially anisotropic) and the radial surface density distributions assumed. They also are predicated on the assumption that the motions are determined by a central mass and that the entire cluster volume is sampled. The impact of these various effects on the mass estimators were explored by Genzel et al. (2000) by simulating and "reobserving" model clusters. These simulations revealed the need for correction factors to the mass estimators and/or systematic uncertainties of O(10-20 %). When such corrections are included the mass estimators result in a central mass of  $\sim (3.5-4.1) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ , consistent with (to within the  $1\sigma$  uncertainties) but still slightly below the orbital estimates [see, e.g., Genzel et al. (2000) and Schödel et al. (2003, 2009)]. Modeling the surface density and velocity dispersion data of the late-type stars with isotropic, anisotropic, or Jeans equation techniques (Genzel et al., 1996; Trippe et al., 2008; Schödel et al., 2009) also resulted in low central mass values  $[(2.5-3.6)\times10^{6}M_{\odot}]$ . Here, the most plausible cause is the radial distribution of the late-type star population in the Galactic Center. As discussed in Sec. II.D these stars are less frequent in the central few arcseconds (Sellgren et al., 1990; Genzel et al., 1996; Haller et al., 1996; Genzel et al., 2003a; Buchholz et al., 2009; Do et al., 2009a; Schödel et al., 2009), thus strongly decreasing the sensitivity of projected velocity dispersion measurements to a central point mass. Some modeling also combined the early-type stars together with the late-type stars in a single estimate (Chakrabarty and Saha, 2001). The earlytype stars have a different spatial distribution than the late-type stars and are now known to be unrelaxed and dominated by moderately elliptical orbits, such that a combination of the data is not appropriate.

Jeans modeling of the O/WR stars gave  $(3.8\pm0.8)\times10^6 M_{\odot}$  in Genzel *et al.* (2000). Applying the orbital roulette technique proposed by Beloborodov and Levin (2004) to the clockwise disk O/WR stars gives a mass of  $(4.3\pm0.4)\times10^6 M_{\odot}$  (Beloborodov *et al.*, 2006; Bartko et al., 2009; Bartko, 2010), both in excellent agreement with the orbital estimates. The dynamical estimates obtained from fitting orbits to the ionized gas in the minispiral (western arc, northern arm, and central regions) (Serabyn and Lacy, 1985; Serabyn et al., 1988; Lacy et al., 1991) and from the circular velocities estimated in the CND under the assumption of pure rotation (Güsten et al., 1987; Jackson et al., 1993; Christopher et al., 2005, and references therein) give  $(2-4) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ . These values are lower than but, given their uncertainties, probably still consistent within the more recent data. We note here that several of the minispiral estimates are quoted as lower limits in the original papers.

We conclude that most (or all) of the differences between different mass estimates arise as the result of now plausibly understood systematics in the analyses and differences in distribution and kinematics of different stellar components used for these. It is clear, however, that the earlier work underestimated the systematic uncertainties present in the data.

### D. Does IRS 13E contain an intermediate-mass black hole?

IRS 13E (Figs. 1 and 23) is a remarkable grouping of three bright blue supergiants (two Wolf-Rayet stars and one O/B supergiant, E1, E2 and E4), all within a compact region of diameter ~0.6 arc sec. IRS 13E is also associated with x-ray emission and a prominent local enhancement in the western ridge of the radio "minicavity" (Fig. 1). In addition, there are a number of stars and emission maxima on  $H/K_s/L'$  maps in the immediate vicinity of the O/WR stars (including the bright central knot E3, right inset of Fig. 23) (Maillard *et al.*, 2004; Schödel *et al.*, 2005; Paumard *et al.*, 2006). The O/WR stars have similar 3D space velocities, which are counterclockwise on the sky.

For these reasons Maillard et al. (2004) proposed that IRS 13E might be a compact self-gravitating star cluster with an embedded intermediate-mass black hole. The basic argument is that such an overdensity of stars (as well as of dust and gas) cannot be a statistical fluctuation and thus must be a true 3D concentration. If so IRS 13E must then be self-gravitating, otherwise it would have been long destroyed by the strong tidal forces. The residual proper motion and radial velocity dispersion of the brightest four or five objects in IRS 13E then implies a mass of at least  $10^3 M_{\odot}$  (Maillard *et al.*, 2004), and possibly as large as several  $10^4 M_{\odot}$  (Schödel *et al.*, 2005). The observed stellar mass associated with IRS 13E is no larger than a few  $10^2 M_{\odot}$  (~350 $M_{\odot}$ ) (Paumard *et al.*, 2006), depending on the adopted stellar-mass function and on how many of the fainter stars seen in projection toward the core of IRS 13E are actually physically associated with the concentration of O/WR stars (Schödel et al., 2005; Paumard et al., 2006; Trippe et al., 2008). The excess mass thus might be an intermediate-mass black hole at the center of the stars. Such an intermediatemass black hole might be the natural result of the core collapse of a massive dense star cluster spiraling into the central parsec (Portegies Zwart and McMillan, 2002). There is also a second group of compact dusty (L')sources just north of IRS 13E and IRS 13N (left panel of Fig. 23). The IRS 13N knots share a common proper motion. Muzic et al. (2008) concluded that IRS 13N is a concentration of embedded, luminous, and perhaps very young stars. The mean proper motion of IRS 13N, however, is significantly different from IRS 13E (left panel of Fig. 23) and it is thus not obvious at all that IRS 13E and N are physically related.

The evidence for an intermediate black hole associated with IRS 13E is potentially very exciting. Since the case rests on the determination of the (residual) velocity dispersion of only a few stars in a highly crowded region,


FIG. 23. (Color) The compact stellar group IRS 13E. Right: High-quality "Lucy-deconvolved" NACO-AO image in the  $K_s$  band of the central cusp around the "WR-cluster" IRS 13E (right, coadd of four  $K_s$  images between 2002 and 2007). The restoring beam of this image has a FWHM of 40 marc sec and the dynamic range is about six magnitudes. The faintest trustworthy sources are around  $K_s \sim 16.5$ . Derived proper motions (Fritz *et al.*, 2010b) are indicated by arrows. The left panel shows a three color composite of the  $K_s$  image on the right (blue), an L' (3.8  $\mu$ m) image (green, also NACO), and a 1.3 cm VLA image of the radio continuum (Zhao *et al.*, 2009). Proper motions of the radio knots (red) are from Zhao *et al.* (2009) and of the L' knots (green) from Muzic *et al.* (2008). The vector lengths are on the same scale as those of the stars in the right panel. The bottom panel shows SINFONI K-band spectra of the four brightest stars in IRS 13E.

it is desirable to test and improve the robustness of the result. Trippe et al. (2008) found that most of the somewhat brighter stars outside the core containing the O/WR stars E1-4 do not share the average proper motion of these stars and are thus almost certainly unrelated. If these unrelated stars are removed from the surface density counts, the maximum of stellar density found by Paumard et al. (2006) and Schödel et al. (2007a) becomes much less significant (Trippe et al., 2008). Fritz et al. (2010b) deconvolved the best  $H/K_s/L'$  NACO images of IRS 13E between 2003 and 2008. The results are shown in the right panel of Fig. 23. By adding in the fainter components in the estimate of the stellar density Fritz *et al.* found that IRS 13E represents a  $\sim$ 3.5 $\sigma$  overdensity above the local background and also derive proper motions for half a dozen additional, fainter components, as shown in Fig. 23. The overall onedimensional velocity dispersion of all IRS 13E members identified by Fritz et al. (2010b) is 85±15 km/s, in good agreement with the dispersion of the four O/WR stars  $(75\pm21 \text{ km/s})$  and suggesting perhaps a central mass of a few  $10^4 M_{\odot}$ .

The main concern with this interpretation is the nature of the faint components in the core of IRS 13E. Are they really stars? Some of these components are closely associated with emission peaks in the ionized gas (radio continuum) and the dust emission (L' map), which are comoving with the  $H/K_s$  components (left panel of Fig. 23). An analysis of the spectral energy distributions in-

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 4, October-December 2010

dicates that most of these knots, including IRS 13E3, are peaks of hot dust and ionized gas, with no evidence for an embedded star (Fritz *et al.*, 2010b). The x-ray emission from IRS 13E (bottom right panel of Fig. 1) (Baganoff *et al.*, 2003) suggests as well that wind-gas interactions play an important role. If IRS 13E3 and the fainter knots are interstellar in nature, they cannot be used as independent "test particles" for determining the underlying velocity dispersion and gravitational potential.

This leaves the remarkable clustering of two or three massive stars in a very small volume, which is statistically unlikely to be due to a random fluctuation and would thus favor the presence of a central mass (Fritz *et al.*, 2010b). However, if such a mass in the form of an intermediate-mass black hole is present, accelerations of the O/WR stars should be observable. They are not detected, making the presence of a  $10^4 M_{\odot}$  black hole unlikely at a similar level as a random fluctuation hypothesis. We conclude that the case for an intermediate-mass black hole in IRS 13E remains tantalizing but presently unconvincing.

## E. The distance to the Galactic Center

The distance to the Galactic Center  $(R_0)$  is one of the fundamental parameters for all models of the Milky Way. It sets a length scale to the models and its value has impact on distance and mass estimates of objects throughout the Milky Way and extragalactic distance es-

timators such as period-luminosity relationships. Various reviews on this subject have been written (de Vaucouleurs, 1983; Kerr and Lynden-Bell, 1986; Reid, 1989, 1993; Nikiforov, 2004). The currently recommended International Astronomical Union (IAU) value is 8.5 kpc.

The methods available to estimate  $R_0$  can be divided into (1) direct estimates, (2) indirect estimates, (3) model-based estimates, and (4) others. Beyond a classical parallax, a direct estimate compares an angular dimension, such as an apparent size or a proper motion with an absolute scale, for example, a radial velocity or a light travel time. Indirect measurements rely on some secondary calibration, for example, a period-luminosity relationship. A model-based estimate is a more global approach, where some model of the Milky Way is fit to data and  $R_0$  is one of the model parameters. Finally, there are other estimates that usually involve strong assumptions. We do not discuss such estimates here.

#### 1. Direct estimates

The most direct way to obtain a distance is to measure the trigonometric orbital parallax induced by Earth's motion around the Sun. The expected parallax of Sgr A\* in front of the extragalactic background is  $\approx 100 \ \mu \text{arc sec.}$ The astrometric accuracy of VLBI observations has reached the 10 µarc sec regime (Pradel et al., 2006) and in principle one could hope for a determination of  $R_0$ from radio observations. Unfortunately, Sgr A\* is strongly scatter broadened (Bower et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2005), limiting the longest VLBA baseline to 1500 km. Observing a less scattered source located close enough in space to Sgr A\* can lower these difficulties. The complex Sgr B2 almost certainly lies within 300 pc from the Galactic Center (Reid et al., 1988) and contains bright H<sub>2</sub>O masers. Reid et al. (2009b) determined the parallax with VLBI measurements of these masers. Their result is  $R_0 = 7.9 \pm 0.8$  kpc.

The determination of 3D stellar orbits around Sgr A\* (Schödel et al., 2002; Eisenhauer et al., 2003, 2005; Ghez et al., 2005b, 2008; Gillessen et al., 2009a, 2009b) yields another direct estimate of  $R_0$ . This measurement relies on the knowledge of radial velocities and proper motions for individual stars orbiting the massive black hole (Salim and Gould, 1999). The difficulty is the large number of parameters that need to be determined from the same data, namely, the orbital elements of each star as well as the coordinate system parameters. The first such estimate was presented by Eisenhauer et al. (2003), who obtained  $R_0 = 7.94 \pm 0.38|_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.16|_{\text{sys}}$  kpc. This work used the orbital data of one star only, namely, S2, which is in a 16-year orbit around Sgr A\*. Ghez et al. (2008) and Gillessen et al. (2009a, 2009b) updated the geometric distance estimates. Ghez et al. (2008) found  $R_0 = 8.4 \pm 0.4$  kpc from the S2 orbit, where the error is of statistical nature. The systematic uncertainty is of similar size. Gillessen et al. (2009b) derived  $R_0 = 8.33 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.31$  kpc from a combined fit of six stars (S2, S1, S8, S12, S13, and S14). These results demonstrated that the earlier papers underestimated the systematic uncertainties dominating the current accuracy. The main problem is source confusion in the dense stellar cluster in the immediate vicinity of Sgr A\*. Gillessen et al. (2009a) presented a combined fit, taking both VLT-Keck-data and obtained and sets  $R_0 = 8.28 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.29$  kpc. Zucker *et al.* (2006) noted that fitting Keplerian instead of relativistic models might systematically underestimate  $R_0$ . Using the data from Eisenhauer et al. (2005), the effect amounted to  $\Delta R_0 = +0.11$  kpc. Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) found for their data  $\Delta R_0 = +0.18$  kpc.

Assuming axisymmetry of the star cluster around the rotation axis of the Galaxy, 3D velocity measurements of nuclear stars in the Galactic Center yield a statistical parallax estimate of  $R_0$  from a comparison of the radial velocity and proper motion velocity dispersions. Taking into account the corotation of the cluster with the Galaxy and the finite field of view results in a small correction factor (Trippe et al., 2008). The first statistical distance estimate by Huterer et al. (1995) compared the velocity dispersion for two samples of  $\approx 50$  giants and yielded  $R_0 = 8.21 \pm 0.98$  kpc. The most recent update is based on 664 full 3D velocities of late-type giants in the central parsec and yields  $R_0 = 8.07 \pm 0.35$  kpc (Trippe et al., 2008). A statistical parallax distance to a star-forming region has also been obtained for H<sub>2</sub>O masers in Sgr B2 and gives  $R_0 = 7.1 \pm 1.5$  kpc (Reid *et al.*, 1988).

### 2. Indirect estimates

The spherical halo of globular clusters around the dynamical center of the Milky Way allows one to determine the position of the Galactic Center by measuring the three-dimensional distribution of globular clusters. In total, 154 galactic globular clusters are currently known.<sup>5</sup> The method is indirect since getting the distances to the individual clusters requires an additional step of cross calibration. Different results are mainly due to the subset of clusters considered and due to the choice of secondary calibration. A review of the latter is beyond the scope of this article. Recent work by Bica et al. (2006) yields a low value of  $R_0 = 7.2 \pm 0.3$  kpc (statistical error), similar to earlier works. Speculating why this is a bit shorter than other recent estimates, one might ask how reliable the apparent magnitudes obtained in the crowded stellar fields actually are. Stellar confusion would mimic too high apparent magnitudes and would place the cluster closer than it is. Also, probably some clusters behind the Galactic Center are missing from the sample.

RR Lyrae stars are variable stars with a characteristic absolute magnitude of  $M(RR) \approx 0.75$ . They are abundant throughout the Galaxy and trace the stellar density. The position of the Galactic Center can thus be found as the center of the population of galactic RR Lyrae stars. The main obstacle for this approach is extinction, at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>A catalog of globular clusters can be found at http://physwww.mcmaster.ca/~harris/Databases.html

least in the optical. There exist a few low extinction "windows" through which RR Lyrae stars can be found out to 15 kpc. The first such work from Baade (1951) used M(RR)=0 and yielded  $R_0=8.7$  kpc. A major uncertainty is the value of M(RR) and its dependence on metallicity (Reid, 1993). Blanco (1985) and Carney et al. (1995) showed that using either a galactic or Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) calibration,  $R_0$  changes by as much as 1 kpc. Fernley et al. (1987) first used infrared observations of RR Lyrae stars in the galactic bulge to overcome the problem of extinction. Their result was  $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.65$  kpc. Dambis (2009) argued that six different subpopulations of RR Lyrae stars with slightly different absolute magnitude calibrations can be defined and derived,  $R_0 = 7.58 \pm 0.40$  kpc. Majaess (2010) concluded from OGLE RR Lyrae variables observed in the direction of the bulge  $R_0 = 8.1 \pm 0.6$  kpc.

Using stars brighter than RR Lyraes for deriving distance moduli allows one to observe stars further out and in more extinct regions. Groenewegen et al. (2008) used 49 Cepheids (bright variables with a well-defined periodluminosity relation) in the galactic bulge. As a "byproduct," they also obtained data for 37 RR Lyrae stars. Their combined estimate is  $R_0 = 7.94 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.26$  kpc. The apparent magnitude uncertainty due to extinction is reduced to 0.1 mag for red giants (and long period variables, such as Miras). The downside is that the calibration of the absolute magnitudes is more complicated for cooler stars. Glass and Feast (1982) obtained in this way  $R_0 = 8.8$  kpc using a (probably too large) distance modulus of 18.69 mag for the LMC. Groenewegen and Blommaert (2005) found from a sample of 2691 Mira star candidates in the galactic bulge OGLE fields that  $R_0 = 8.8 \pm 0.7$  kpc. Matsunaga *et al.* (2009) used 143 Miras from a region more concentrated on the Galactic Center and obtained  $R_0 = 8.24 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.42$  kpc.

In many cases, a stellar population as a whole has some characteristic absolute magnitudes in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, which can be used to determine a distance modulus. van den Bergh and Herbst (1974), for example, used the position of the mainsequence turn off and reported  $R_0 = 9.2 \pm 2.2$  kpc. More frequently the so-called *red-clump* feature was used; it appears roughly at absolute magnitude M=0 with a typical width of ±0.5. Paczynski and Stanek (1998) used the feature in Baade's low extinction window and found  $R_0 = 8.4 \pm 0.4$  kpc. Recently, Babusiaux and Gilmore (2005) conducted a deep wide-angle NIR photometric analysis of the central regions of the Galaxy and found  $R_0 = 7.7 \pm 0.15$  kpc (statistical error). Nishiyama *et al.* (2006) gave  $R_0 = 7.52 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.35$  kpc from an independent bulge red-clump data set, obtained at a 1.4 m telescope in the NIR.

## 3. Model-based estimates

One can determine geometric distances to starforming regions by computing a *cluster parallax distance* from the 3D motions of  $H_2O$  masers in the regions with VLBI. Combined with a kinematic model of the Milky

Way, such an approach puts tight constraints on  $R_0$ . Genzel et al. (1981) and Schneps et al. (1981) presented the first attempts of this type and determined the distance to W51. Unfortunately that source is found in an unfavorable direction to constrain  $R_0$  (Reid *et al.*, 1988). Better suited is W49, for which Gwinn et al. (1992) obtained  $R_0 = 8.1 \pm 1.1$  kpc. Recently, Reid *et al.* (2009a) measured trigonometric parallaxes of a total of 18 masers (CH<sub>3</sub>OH, H<sub>2</sub>O, and SiO) along with proper motions and radial velocities. Their parallaxes have errors as small as 10  $\mu$ arc sec and the proper motion errors are a few km/s. They obtained  $R_0 = 8.4 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.5$  kpc, noting that the model parameter that actually is best constrained from the measurements is  $\Theta_0/R_0 = 30.3 \pm 0.9$  km/s kpc.

Many objects in the Galaxy have been used to constrain the distance to the Galactic Center by tying a model to the spatial distribution (and sometimes radial velocities). Joy (1939) inferred  $R_0 = 10$  kpc from a Cepheid-based Galaxy model. Feast (1967) extended the sample and added B stars and open clusters to deduce  $R_0 = 8.9 \pm 1.4$  kpc. Metzger *et al.* (1998) found  $R_0 = 7.66 \pm 0.32$  kpc. Recently, Vanhollebeke *et al.* (2009) used a population synthesis code to model the galactic bulge with several classes of objects. Their best fit yielded  $R_0 = 8.7 \pm 0.5$  kpc. van de Hulst *et al.* (1954) mapped the 21 cm neutral hydrogen emission through a substantial part of the Milky Way. From a kinematic model they obtained  $R_0$ =8.26 kpc. Rybicki *et al.* (1974) sampled the rotation curve in several directions, yielding  $R_0=9$  kpc. Honma and Sofue (1996) concluded from the geometry of the H I disk  $v_0=200$  km/s and thus quoted a lower value of  $R_0 = 7.6$  kpc.

#### 4. Combined best estimate

Reid (1993) determined a weighted "best average" of  $R_0$  for the data available up to 1992 and concluded  $R_0 = 8.0 \pm 0.5$  kpc. Similarly, Nikiforov (2004) calculated an average and proposed  $R_0 = 7.9 \pm 0.2$  kpc. The error of the latter is, however, inconsistent with the scatter in the data up to 2004. Probably the sample variance was not taken into account. Figure 24 shows a comprehensive compilation of published values for  $R_0$ . Many of these are not independent and using a simple weighted average is not correct. Instead, we average the most recent measurements from the main methods (Table II), with two modifications: Recent globular cluster analysis by Bica et al. (2006) cites a statistical error only. The value of  $7.2 \pm 0.3$  kpc is much lower than the value of Surdin (1999) of  $8.6 \pm 1.0$  kpc. Hence, we assign it a larger error of 1.0 kpc. Second, from Groenewegen et al. (2008) we only use the Cepheid-based value ( $R_0 = 7.98 \pm 0.51$  kpc) since, for the RR Lyrae stars, the approach by Dambis (2009) seems to be more complete. In this way, we obtain  $R_0 = 8.15 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.35$  kpc, where the first error is the variance of the weighted mean and the second error the unbiased weighted sample variance. Using only direct estimates yields  $R_0 = 8.23 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.19$  kpc and addition-



FIG. 24. (Color) Graphical compilation of published values for  $R_0$ . The publication dates have been adjusted slightly such that the overlap between the various error bars is minimized. The time axis before 1970 has three gaps from 1920 to 1938, 1940 to 1950, and 1960 to 1970, denoted by vertical dashed lines.

ally using the maser data from Reid *et al.* (2009a) gives  $R_0 = 8.25 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.19$  kpc.

On the other hand, the direct methods will continue to improve gradually as they have done over the past years. As a consequence, probably in a few years from now it will become pointless to estimate  $R_0$  in an indirect way.

# 5. Discussion

The indirect methods have a long history, yet the various calibrations continue to be a serious error source. The value of  $R_0$  has little direct influence on estimates of the halo mass of the Milky Way. Smith *et al.* (2007)

TABLE II. Values for  $R_0$  used to calculate a weighted average. Indicated are first author, year of publication, method used, and the values for  $R_0$ . The errors quoted are the square sum of statistical and systematic errors (if given in the original work).

First author and year	Method	$R_0$ (kpc)	Comment
Bica <i>et al.</i> , 2006	Globular clusters	7.2±0.3 (1.0)	Error seems to be statistical only
Nishiyama et al., 2006	Red clump	$7.52 \pm 0.45$	
Groenewegen et al., 2008	Bulge Cepheids	$7.98 \pm 0.51$	Infrared
Ghez et al., 2008	Stellar orbit S2	$8.4 \pm 0.4$	Error is statistical only
Trippe et al., 2008	Statistical parallax	$8.07 \pm 0.35$	Corrects for cluster rotation
Vanhollebeke et al., 2009	Galaxy model	$8.7 \pm 0.50$	Model of bulge
Gillessen et al., 2009b	Stellar orbits S1, S2, S8, S12, S13, and S14	$8.33 \pm 0.35$	
Dambis, 2009	RR Lyrae	$7.58 \pm 0.40$	Six subsamples with different calibration
Reid et al., 2009a	H <sub>2</sub> 0 masers and Galaxy model	$8.4 \pm 0.6$	
Matsunaga et al., 2009	Miras	$8.24 \pm 0.43$	DM LMC: 18.45
Reid et al., 2009b	Direct parallax to SgrB2	$7.9 \pm 0.8$	

used high velocity stars from the RAVE survey to estimate the escape velocity of the Milky Way and deduced a (low) halo mass of  $1.4 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ . They found that their results change by less than 1% if  $R_0$  is varied by 0.5 kpc. However, there is an indirect coupling of  $R_0$  and halo mass. The value of  $\Theta_0$  is strongly coupled to the mass of the halo. Since  $\Theta_0/R_0$  is well determined by Reid *et al.* (2009a), an independent measurement of  $R_0$  will fix  $\Theta_0$ and thus influence the estimates for the halo mass.

The value of  $R_0$ , on the other hand, might have direct influence on the shape of the dark matter halo. Olling and Merrifield (2001) claimed that if  $R_0 > 7$  kpc, the halo would have to be close to spherical. This conclusion is weakened, however, by the fact that they also required the circular speed at the Sun to be <190 km/s, a value which is strongly disfavored and would be inconsistent with Sgr A\* being at rest in the Galactic Center. A spherical halo excludes that decaying massive neutrinos or a disk of cold molecular hydrogen is responsible for the dark matter halo. Independently, Koposov *et al.* (2010) concluded from the observation of a tidal stream that the potential flattening is  $q=0.87\pm0.6$  (q=1 corresponds to a spherical halo).

# VI. PARADOX OF YOUTH: HOW DID THE YOUNG STARS GET INTO THE CENTRAL PARSEC?

We have discussed in Sec. II that the central parsec contains  $\sim 200$  young massive stars and is one of the richest "massive star-formation regions" in the entire Milky Way. This is highly surprising. If there is indeed a central black hole associated with Sgr A\*, the presence of so many young stars in its immediate vicinity is unexpected (Allen and Sanders, 1986; Morris, 1993; Ghez *et al.*, 2003; Alexander, 2005). For gravitational collapse to occur in the presence of the tidal shear from the central mass, gas clouds have to be denser than the critical Roche density,

$$n_{\rm Roche}(R) \sim 6 \times 10^{10} \left(\frac{R}{0.1 \text{ pc}}\right)^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
 (6)

which exceeds by several orders of magnitude the density of any gas currently observed in the central region  $(\sim 10^3 - 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3})$  (Jackson *et al.*, 1993; Christopher *et al.*, 2005; Montero-Castano et al., 2009). In situ star formation in the central parsec thus requires substantial compression of the gas (Morris, 1993). Furthermore, the near-diffraction limited AO spectroscopy with both the Keck and VLT shows that most of the cusp stars brighter than  $K \sim 16$  mag and within 0.5 arc sec of Sgr A\* appear to be normal main-sequence B stars (Ghez *et al.*, 2003; Eisenhauer et al., 2005; Martins et al., 2008b; Gillessen et al., 2009b). If these stars are conjectured to have formed in situ as well, the required cloud densities approach conditions in outer stellar atmospheres, which are fairly implausible. Transport of massive stars from more benign formation regions into the central core by two-body relaxation also is not straightforward. The two-body relaxation time at  $\sim 1 \text{ pc}$  is equivalent to the mainsequence lifetime of stars more massive than  $\sim (1.5-2) M_{\odot}$  (Fig. 2). Several scenarios have been proposed to account for this "paradox of youth" (Ghez et al., 2003), which will be discussed here in more detail. The most promising ones are in situ formation in a dense gas accretion disk that can overcome the tidal forces (Levin and Beloborodov, 2003), rapid in-spiral of a compact, massive star cluster that formed outside the central region (Gerhard, 2001), and rejuvenation of older stars by collisions or stripping (Lee, 1987; Genzel et al., 2003a; Davies and King, 2005). Another possibility is efficient transport mechanisms, such as relaxation by massive perturbers and three-body collisions (Hills, 1988; Hopman and Alexander, 2006b; Alexander, 2007; Perets et al., 2007) that act on time scales faster than the classical two-body relaxation time (Fig. 7). We begin with a summary of what is known about the star-formation history in the Galactic Center.

#### A. Star-formation history in the central parsec

There are a number of complementary estimates of the Galactic Center star-formation rate as a function of look-back time t. We have already discussed in Secs. II.B and II.E that the O and Wolf-Rayet stars in the star disk(s) were formed in a well-defined star-formation episode ( $t=6\pm 2$  Myr) with a top-heavy IMF (Paumard et al., 2006; Bartko et al., 2010). The results confirm and put on solid footing earlier estimates by Tamblyn and Rieke (1993) (t=7-8 Myr), Krabbe *et al.* (1995) (t=3-7 Myr), and Tamblyn et al. (1996). Depending on star-formation histories chosen (single burst versus a somewhat extended star-formation episode) and on the input metallicities and stellar tracks, any finite duration burst could not have lasted longer than about 2 Myr. Given the total number of observed O/WR stars ( $N_{O+WR} \sim 100$ ) and with the best parameters of the burst (t=6 Myr, an e-folding decay time of) $\tau=2$  Myr for  $\gamma=0.45-0.85$ ) the resulting peak starformation rate of an exponentially decaying model is  $\sim 5.5 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ .

The star-formation rate just prior to this burst is constrained by the number of red supergiants. There are 2 (15) spectroscopically confirmed red supergiants with  $M(K) = -7.6, \dots, -11.6$  (the brightest is IRS 7) within 0.5 pc (2.5 pc) (Blum et al., 2003). An analysis of the stellar tracks suggests that at most one of these red supergiants (IRS 7) may have formed during the 6 Myr burst; all others had to have formed between  $t \sim 10$  and 40 Myr (Blum et al., 2003). The fraction of red supergiants in a cluster of given star-formation history depends sensitively on the metallicity and the stellar tracks chosen (Maeder and Meynet, 2000). Stellar rotation, mass loss, convection, and metallicity all strongly affect the time an  $m_* \leq 40 M_{\odot}$  star spends on the red supergiant branch, and how many "blue loops" occur during that time. As a result the uncertainty in converting the observed number of supergiants into a star-formation rate is substantial. As an indication, Fig. 25 shows an esti-



FIG. 25. (Color) Star-formation history of the central 1–2.5 pc as a function of look-back time. The left panel shows the derived star-formation rates in various epochs, while the right panel refers to the mass of stars formed during that epoch, thus taking into account the widely different time bins. Different types of squares denote estimates based on the assumption that the Galactic Center IMF was always flat ( $\gamma$ =0.85) as proposed by Paumard *et al.* (2006) and Maness *et al.* (2007) (Sec. VI.A). Triangles denote models based on a truncated Salpeter IMF ( $\gamma$ =-2.35) with a lower mass cutoff of  $m_l$ =0.7 $M_{\odot}$  favored by Blum *et al.* (2003). For all data points the bar in the time direction denotes the time width of each bin. The blue filled square fits the number of O+WR stars ( $N_{O+WR}$ =100) in the *t*=6 Myr star disk(s). Red squares mark the constraints derived from the number of red supergiants inferred by Blum *et al.* (2003) for  $R \le 1$  pc ( $N_{RSG}$ =3, filled) and  $R \le 2.5$  pc ( $N_{RSG}$ =15, open crossed). The filled green triangles denote the best-fit star-formation history from observations of bright red giants or supergiants [ $M(K_s) \le -7.5$ ] derived by Blum *et al.* (2003). The filled and open black circles denote the star-formation rates and masses derived from observations of red-clump stars with a  $\gamma$ =2.35 and 0.85 IMF, respectively (Pfuhl *et al.*, 2010; see also Maness *et al.*, 2007). The models assume solar metallicity. The total stellar mass formed in these models is consistent with the dynamical mass constraint of  $4(+5, -2) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  in the central 2.5 pc (Fig. 22).

mate of the time averaged star-formation rate between t=1 and  $4 \times 10^7$  yr within R=0.5 pc (and 2.5 pc) if the IMF is flat as for the 6 Myr burst and with solar metallicity tracks with rotation from Maeder and Meynet (2000), and references therein. This estimate would indicate that the star-formation activity in this time frame on average was less by a factor of several relative to the 6 Myr burst. This is a statement about an average over a time much longer than the burst duration of the 6 Myr event, so one cannot exclude that the star-formation rate during that period varied, with a few short epochs of active star formation and little star formation in between.

Estimates of the star formation prior to the red supergiant phase rely on quantitative modeling of the distribution in the HR diagram of older, cool red giants, AGB stars, and red-clump stars. Blum *et al.* (2003) first carried out detailed stellar population analysis of ~80 bright  $[M(K) \le -7.1, K_s \le 10.5]$  red supergiants and bright AGB stars, including very cool ( $T \sim 2750$  K) dusty long period variables (LPVs) at the tip of the AGB phase, during which the stars experience a series of thermal pulses with very high-mass loss rates and dust formation. Treating the complex evolution of the LPVs (20 of 78) in an approximate manner, Blum et al. (2003) compared the data and model star-formation histories in four time bins using an input IMF (Salpeter or somewhat flatter) with a variable lower-mass cutoff  $m_l$ . The cutoff mass is then constrained to be  $\sim 0.7 M_{\odot}$  from matching the integrated current stellar mass (including remnants but subtracting mass lost during stellar evolution) to the dynamical mass of the star cluster within 2.5 pc  $[\sim (1-3) \times 10^6 M_{\odot}]$  in Blum et al.]. The resulting star-formation history is shown as green triangles in Fig. 25. Its salient characteristic is a higher star-formation rate recently (t < 0.1 Gyr) and perhaps again in the distant past (8–12 Gyr) with a minimum in between. Given the fairly high-flux limit, the relatively small number of stars and the uncertainties of the TP-AGB analysis mentioned above, however, it is clear that the earlier star-formation history is comparatively poorly constrained. The estimate in the most recent bin  $(t=10^7-10^8 \text{ yr})$  agrees reasonably well with the simple estimate from the number of red supergiants discussed above despite the strong differences in assumed IMFs.

Maness et al. (2007) and Pfuhl et al. (2010) classify 300 and 800, respectively, late-type giants and red-clump stars within 1 pc of Sgr A\* with deep, adaptive optics assisted,  $K_{\rm s}$ -band integral field spectroscopy. These observations represent the deepest spectroscopic data set so far obtained for the Galactic Center (approximately six magnitudes deeper than Blum et al.), reaching a 50% completeness threshold at the approximate magnitude of the "red clump" [ $K_s = 15.5 \text{ mag}, M(K) = -1.5$ ]. Maness et al. (2007) and Pfuhl et al. (2010) then proceeded as in Blum et al., combining the spectroscopic indices with extinction corrected photometry to construct an HR diagram and then comparing with Padua tracks and various star-formation histories through a Monte Carlo likelihood analysis. This method has the advantage that it samples a well populated part of the HR diagram (the helium-burning red clump and the horizontal branch), in which stellar evolutionary models are fairly well understood. The disadvantage is that it attempts to construct the overall star-formation history of the central parsec by sampling only a relatively small fraction of the total volume. Maness et al. (2007) found that the average redclump star is hotter and more luminous than predicted in the model of Blum et al. (2003) or in most other input scenarios based on a standard IMF. Maness et al. then concluded that either the IMF is top heavy or that there was little star formation prior to a few gigayears ago. Using an improved calibration of the equivalent width of the CO bands Pfuhl et al. (2010) found that this temperature excess disappears and that the red-clump data are fully commensurate with a standard IMF. The filled and open black circles in Fig. 25 give the derived starformation history from the work of Pfuhl et al. To within the uncertainties the Pfuhl *et al.* results are in excellent qualitative and quantitative agreement with the model of Blum et al. (2003).

It thus appears that most of the stars in the Galactic Center formed about 9±2 Gyr ago, plausibly at the same time as the galactic bulge. The star-formation rate then dropped to a minimum a few gigayears ago. This minimum is also consistent with the low abundance of A stars in the central parsec found in the study of Pfuhl et al. (2010). For the past few hundred million years the star-formation rate in the central few parsecs has been approximately constant at a level of a few  $10^{-3}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and adding no more than  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  of stellar mass during that epoch. As witnessed by the star-formation episode  $\sim 6$  Myr ago, this roughly constant rate of star formation may have consisted of a number of star-formation events. This scenario may be consistent with the picture of a recurring "limit cycle" developed by Morris and Serabyn (1996).

#### B. In situ star formation or in-spiral of a star cluster?

In Secs. II.B and II.C we discussed the empirical evidence for the paradox of youth. In the following we review the recent theoretical work on the two most plausible scenarios for explaining this remarkable population of massive stars. One is that *dense gas* fell into the nucleus about 6 Myr ago and formed a disk around the black hole. Shock compression and cooling drove the disk into global gravitational instability, resulting in an intense star-formation episode (Morris, 1993; Genzel *et al.*, 1996; Levin and Beloborodov, 2003). The alternative is that a *dense and massive star cluster* formed outside the hostile central parsecs, subsequently spiraled into the nuclear region by dynamical friction and then finally was disrupted tidally there (Gerhard, 2001). The following section then discusses fast relaxation, scattering, and migration processes that could bring young stars into the immediate vicinity of the hole.

#### 1. Star formation near the black hole

Theoretical considerations lead to the generic prediction that parsec-scale gas disks around a central black hole can become self-gravitating and form stars (Paczynski, 1978; Kolykhalov and Sunyaev, 1980; Lin and Pringle, 1987; Shlosman and Begelman, 1989; Collin and Zahn, 1999; Goodman, 2003). This theoretical work predated by more than a decade the discovery of the stellar disks in the Galactic Center (Sec. II.B) and M31 (Bender *et al.*, 2005).

A cooling self-gravitating gas disk of mass  $M_{\text{disk}}$ around a black hole of mass  $M_{\bullet}$  becomes unstable to fragmentation on a dynamical time scale if the Q parameter of Toomre (1964) drops below unity,

$$Q = \frac{c_s \Omega}{\pi G \Sigma_{\text{disk}}} \sim \left(\frac{h_z}{R}\right) \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{\text{disk}}}\right) \leq 1.$$
(7)

Here,  $c_s$  is the effective sound speed (or turbulent speed),  $h_z$  the z-scale height,  $\Omega = v/R$  is the orbital frequency of the disk, and  $\Sigma_{\text{disk}}$  is its surface density. Goodman (2003) and Nayakshin and Cuadra (2005) used standard accretion disk theory and energy arguments to show that radiative feedback from star formation or the central black hole are probably insufficient to prevent a disk with an accretion rate above ~0.01 times the Eddington rate from becoming strongly self-gravitating at a distance of  $10^4 - 10^5$  Schwarzschild radii from the central black hole (0.1–1 arc sec for the Galactic Center).

Larson (2006) argued that at the high temperatures likely prevalent near a black hole newly formed stars should have large average masses simply because of the temperature dependence of the Jeans mass,  $M_{\text{Jeans}} \sim T^{3/2}n^{-1/2}$ . However, the high densities required for overcoming the large tidal shear might also drive the Jeans mass downward rather than upward. For this reason the initial fragmentation mass in a  $Q \sim 1$  disk around a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole may be quite small  $M_{\text{frag}} \sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$ (Collin and Hure, 1999). Levin (2007) [see also Levin and Beloborodov (2003)] applied results from protostellar disk theory and numerical results from Gammie (2001) to argue that the initially fragmenting gas clumps can grow rapidly by additional accretion and clump merging in the disk to a high final mass. This mass could possibly approach the maximum "isolation mass" at the radius of the orbiting initial clump (Lissauer, 1987; Milosavljevic and Loeb, 2004). A lower limit to this isolation mass is given by the disk mass contained with an annulus of width  $2h_z$ ,

$$M_{\text{isolation}-1} \sim 4 \pi R h_z \Sigma_{\text{disk}} \mathop{=}_{Q=1} 4 \left( \frac{M_{\text{disk}}}{M_{\bullet}} \right) M_{\text{disk}}$$
$$\sim 100 \left( \frac{M_{\text{disk}}}{10^4 M_{\odot}} \right)^{3/2} M_{\odot}. \tag{8}$$

An upper limit to the isolation mass is the maximum accreted mass in an annulus that is stable to tidal disruption as given by Lissauer (1987),

$$M_{\rm isolation-2} \sim \beta \left(\frac{M_{\rm disk}}{M_{\bullet}}\right)^{1/2} M_{\rm disk} \sim 500 \beta \left(\frac{M_{\rm disk}}{10^4 M_{\odot}}\right)^{3/2} M_{\odot}, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\beta \sim O(10)$  is a constant. The isolation mass probably cannot be reached because of the opening of gaps in the disk. Levin (2007) estimated a reasonable upper mass range between  $10M_{\odot}$  and  $10^2M_{\odot}$ .

An isothermal  $Q \sim 1$  disk has a surface density that scales with radius as  $\Sigma_{\text{disk}} \propto R^{-1.5}$ . If the mass of stars scales as the isolation mass (Lissauer, 1987), these stellar masses in turn scale as  $R^{0.75}$  and the surface number density of stars scales as  $R^{-2.25}$  (Levin, 2007). These simple estimates are remarkably and perhaps fortuitously close to the observed surface density of stars in the O/WR stars in the Galactic Center (Sec. II.B). In the Galactic Center the current star disk(s) have  $M_{\bullet}/M_d$  of a few  $10^2$  and  $h_z/R \sim 0.1$  so that  $Q \ge 1$ . For star formation to have occurred in these disk(s) 6 Myr ago, the initial gas disk would have to have been much thinner or the star-formation efficiency would have to have been low ( $\ll 10\%$ ), or a combination of both. Since stellar disks always increase their velocity dispersions with time and the current disk(s) are well defined, it is quite likely that the initial gas disk(s) indeed was (were) quite thin.

Unavoidably these analytical considerations are too simplistic. A number of numerical simulations have explored the physical processes in more detail. Sanders (1998) first considered the fate of a low angularmomentum molecular cloud entering the high tidal shear region in the central parsec. With a sticky particle scheme he calculated the evolution of clouds on different orbits. The generic feature of these simulations is the formation of a "dispersion ring" (top left panel of Fig. 26). The infalling cloud is tidally stretched into a long filament. When the upstream part of this filament reaches peri-center it starts losing angular momentum through shocks. As a result the gas orbits in a nearcircular or elliptical orbit near that radius. Downstream gas in the filament on the first passage crashes into the upstream gas on its second passage, increasing dissipation and resulting in circularization on an orbital time scale. The result is the formation of a rapidly cooling, dense inner gas disk, or ring that is prone to fragmentation and star formation.

Nayakshin et al. (2007) first reported a series of recent SPH simulations of a cooling disk with a simple cooling time recipe,  $t_{cool} = bt_{dyn}$ , motivated by earlier work of Gammie (2001). They treated star formation through a sink particle technique when the local density significantly exceeds the tidal (Roche) critical density and included growth of the initial stellar cores by accretion through a Bondi-Hoyle formalism with an upper limit given by the Eddington rate. As predicted from the analytical work, stellar cores in this relatively "benign" simulation grow substantially through accretion and mergers. Nayakshin et al. found that the accretion disk forms stars vigorously inside out. The rate of fragmentation into cores and the resulting stellar-mass function are strongly dependent on the parameter b. Fragmentation sets in for  $b \leq 3$  (Gammie, 2001). Just below that critical limit the IMF is strongly top heavy and dominated by very massive ( $\sim 100 M_{\odot}$ ) stars. For smaller b the disk fragments more rapidly and the IMF becomes more "normal." Alexander et al. (2008a) arrived at similar conclusions and, in addition, found that for smaller b there appears to be a high abundance of captured binaries. Alexander et al. (2008a) concluded that the fragmentation processes are not significantly different for eccentric gas disks. However, the average stellar mass in eccentric gas disks is higher than in circular disks since the stronger and variable tidal forces near peri-center suppresses the fragmentation of weakly bound clumps.

Bonnell and Rice (2008) go beyond these "static" simulations and studied the dynamical result of the plunging of a low angular-momentum giant molecular cloud ( $10^4 M_{\odot}$  and  $10^5 M_{\odot}$ ) into the central parsec around a  $10^6 M_{\odot}$  black hole (top middle panel of Fig. 26). Their SPH scheme also includes radiative transport. The basic sequence of events resembles the findings of Sanders (1998): tidal disruption and stretching of the cloud, compressional heating at the impact-parameter radius of  $\sim 0.1$  pc, dissipation and capture of  $\sim 10\%$  of the cloud's initial mass in an eccentric, clumpy and filamentary disk near this circularization radius, rapid fragmentation, and star formation. The resulting stellar masses depend on the balance between compressional heating, radiative cooling, and optical depth effects. Near the inner radius the heating is largest, increasing the gas temperature to several thousands of kelvin and the resulting typical stellar mass to  $(10-50)M_{\odot}$  for the  $10^5M_{\odot}$  case, matching the prediction by Larson (2006). The resulting IMF in this simulation is very top heavy (top right panel of Fig. 26) but much less so for the  $10^4 M_{\odot}$  case. Another SPH simulation for a  $4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$  infalling cloud by Mapelli *et* al. (2008) yielded similar results. Molecular line mapping shows that there are several smaller molecular clouds in the central 10 pc that probably are on highly eccentric orbits as required by this scenario (Stark et al., 1991; Jackson et al., 1993; Mezger et al., 1996). Wardle and Yusef-Zadeh (2008) proposed the alternative scenario



FIG. 26. (Color) Simulations of star formation near a massive black hole. Top left: Snapshot of the velocity vectors of the gas of a tidally stretched infalling gas cloud that is beginning to fall back on itself near the circularization radius and that then forms a "dispersion ring" (from Sanders, 1998). Top middle: The final state of the simulation of a  $10^5 M_{\odot}$  molecular cloud falling toward a  $3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$  supermassive black hole. The image shows the region within 0.25 pc of the black hole located at the center; colors denote column densities from 0.75 to 7500 g cm<sup>-2</sup>. A portion of the cloud has formed a disk around the black hole, while—at the stage shown here—most of the mass is still outside the region shown. The disk fragments very quickly, producing 198 stars with semimajor axes between a=0.04 and 0.13 pc and eccentricities between e=0 and 0.53. Top right: The resulting IMF of the stars formed in the top middle simulation. Because of the high temperatures of the compression shock in this simulation, the resulting IMF is top heavy. Both panels adapted from Bonnell and Rice 2008. Bottom left: Gas density (red colors) and newly formed stars  $t \sim 10^5$  yr after the collision at  $R \sim 1$  pc of two somewhat unequal mass molecular clouds of mass  $\sim 3 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$  on slightly elliptical orbits. Stars with mass  $\leq 1M_{\odot}$  are marked green,  $(1-10)M_{\odot}$  are marked in cyan,  $>10M_{\odot}$  stars are marked blue, and  $>150M_{\odot}$  stars (colors) and payakshin (2009). Bottom right: Location on the sky (same coordinate system as in Sec. II.D) of stars (colors) and gas particles (gray). This is simulation 2 of Hobbs and Nayakshin (2009).

that a small central gas disk forms by partial capture of a large massive cloud, such as the "+50 km/s" cloud. As such a cloud engulfs the Sgr A region on part of its orbit, low angular-momentum gas could be created from cancellation of the angular momentum on either side of Sgr A\*. Such an "engulfing" cloud may perhaps also be capable of simultaneously forming stars with opposite angular momenta.

Hobbs and Nayakshin (2009) explored the more complex situation of what happens when two clouds fall into the central parsec simultaneously and collide there, as motivated by several of the observational papers to account for the simultaneous presence of clockwise and counterclockwise young stars (bottom left of Fig. 26). The numerical approach is the same as that of Nayakshin *et al.* (2007) but in this case two clouds of different mass are injected at  $R \sim 1$  pc with somewhat elliptical orbits and at large angles with respect to each other. Low angular-momentum gas created in the first collision settles at  $R \sim 0.04$  pc and forms a dense small disk that forms many (high-mass) stars. Higher angularmomentum gas on different trajectories is initially tidally unstable and first has to cool before it can form (some-

what lower-mass) stars in several outer filaments. Lower angular-momentum gas falls back onto the central disk and forms additional stars in an overall strongly warped inner disk. The distribution and angular-momentum distribution of gas and stars of different masses near the end of the simulations at  $t \sim 10^5$  yr are shown in the bottom right panel of Fig. 26. The angular-momentum orientations of inner disk and outer filament stars still reflect the initial conditions of the two clouds. The inner disk is close to circularization while the filaments contain stars with elliptical orbits. Overall the salient results of these simulations match the data reasonably well (not so the radial changes in the IMF). This includes the strong warping and, in particular, the steep overall stellar surface density distribution ( $\Sigma_* \sim p^{-2}$ ). The details of the 3D spatial and velocity structure depend sensitively on the initial conditions of the colliding clouds. For instance, fairly massive star clusters form in some but not in other simulations. In all simulations the amount of gas accreted through the inner disk radius is large enough to provide Sgr A\* with gas to radiate near its Eddington throughout the star-formation episode. This is in contrast to Nayakshin et al. (2007) who found little gas to accrete onto the central black hole. Hobbs and Nayakshin (2009) showed that to create a highly warped main clockwise disk with a significant population of surrounding counterclockwise stars at the time of formation probably does require the collision of two separate gas clouds.

In summary these recent simulations suggest that rapid dissipation and cooling in a molecular cloud plunging into the vicinity of a massive black hole can plausibly overcome the demanding critical Roche density at  $\sim 0.1-1$  pc. The resulting clumpy filamentary disk fragments and may form stellar clumps efficiently. Initially eccentric cloud orbits may result in eccentric stellar orbits. More complex scenarios including several cloud systems may create more than one stellar system and also include warps. These results are in good qualitative agreement with the observations in the Galactic Center. Nevertheless, caution is warranted given that star formation is theoretically not fully understood even in more benign environments. Top-heavy IMFs seem to be the natural outcome of several of these simulations, although for different reasons. In the "dynamic" models of infalling clouds the top-heavy IMF appears to be mainly the consequence of the high Jeans mass and rapid accretion in the post-compression gas, while in the more static models the top-heavy IMF is a result of more prolonged accretion and merging of the initially small stellar cores. These differences can be easily understood from the basic underlying physics but do not yet answer convincingly the question what causes the top-heavy IMF in the Galactic Center.

#### 2. In-spiral of a massive star cluster

As mentioned in Sec. VI the relaxation-time scale  $T_{\rm NR}$  is too long for any star more massive than about  $1.5M_{\odot}$  to drift within its main-sequence lifetime into the central

region from significantly outside the central parsec (Morris, 1993). However, the mass segregation time scale of an object of mass  $M_{\rm cl}$  is  $\langle m_* \rangle / M_{\rm cl}$  times smaller than  $T_{\rm NR}$ . Gerhard (2001) proposed that a massive star cluster might have formed outside the hostile central few parsecs, then spiraled in by dynamical friction, getting tidally disrupted on its way in and finally depositing its massive stars as a disk near the center. To explain the Galactic Center disk(s) the in-spiral process cannot take longer than the current age of the massive stars (~6 Myr). The dynamical friction in-spiral time from an initial radius  $R_{i,10}$  (in units of 10 pc) to the central parsec is given by (Gerhard, 2001; Binney and Tremaine, 2008)

$$t_{df} \sim 3 \times 10^6 \varepsilon R_{i,10}^2 v_{130} M_{\rm cl,5}^{-1} \,\,{\rm yr},\tag{10}$$

where the cluster mass  $M_{cl,5}$  is in units of  $10^5 M_{\odot}$  and the initial velocity of the cluster is in units of the circular speed (~130 km/s) at 10 pc. The number  $\varepsilon \sim 2$  takes into account that if the cluster is tidally disrupted en route, only a fraction of the mass arrives at the center and the in-spiral time is correspondingly longer. Equation (10) shows that young clusters similar to the Arches or Quintuplet cluster (mass  $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$ ) (Figer, 2008) can in-spiral in 6 Myr from  $R_i \sim a$  few parsecs, while  $R_i \sim 10$  pc requires much more massive clusters than those observed currently in the central tens of parsecs (Ivanov *et al.*, 2005; Figer, 2008).

A second requirement is that the radius at which the cluster is tidally disrupted ( $R_{dis}$ ) must be comparable to the final radius at which the massive stars in the core of the cluster are deposited. This places a lower limit on the mean density of the star cluster, which is (Gerhard, 2001)

$$\langle \rho \rangle_{\rm dis} \sim 10^{7.5} M_{\bullet, 4.4} \left( \frac{R_{\rm dis}}{0.4 \ \rm pc} \right)^{-3} M_{\odot} \ \rm pc^{-3}.$$
 (11)

This is a tough criterion even at  $R_{\rm dis} \sim 0.4$  pc (10 arc sec) and still more difficult at smaller radii. For comparison, the Arches and Quintuplet cluster have mean densities of  $10^{5.6}M_{\odot}$  and  $10^{3.2}M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-3</sup> (Figer, 2008) far below this limit. The in-spiral mechanism thus faces the combined challenges of requiring an unusually massive and dense cluster when compared to currently observed circumnuclear star clusters.

Numerical simulations with SPH or direct *N*-body codes have confirmed these simple analytical estimates and show that the in-spiral hypothesis can only be met with fairly extreme assumptions [see, e.g., Kim and Morris (2003)]. Portegies Zwart *et al.* (2003) made the important point that the high-density criterion may be achievable in the post-core-collapse state of a stellar cluster. They found that with suitable combinations of initial virial radius, initial galactocentric radius, and mass, the cluster undergoes core collapse during the in-spiral phase and thus can survive to a smaller final radius. Core collapse clusters are mass segregated and deposit their most massive stars preferentially at small galactocentric radii, thus matching the observed top-heavy *in situ* mass function in the Galactic Center disk(s).

However, in all cases where core collapse occurred (for an initial cluster mass of  $6 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ ) the final radius reached in the simulations is still ~1 pc, far outside the current location of the density maximum of the O/WR stars ( $R_{\text{peak}} \sim 0.1$  pc). An initial elliptical orbit helps some but does not solve the problem that even massive clusters do not appear to penetrate to a small enough final radius (Kim and Morris, 2003).

An intermediate-mass black hole of mass  $(10^3 - 10^4) M_{\odot}$  would stabilize the in-spiraling cluster core against tidal disruption and thus permit deeper penetration (Hansen and Milosavljevic, 2003). As the intermediate-mass black-hole sinks further into the central region it drags massive stars in the cluster's core along with it. Such an intermediate-mass black hole with mass fraction  $\sim (1-2) \times 10^{-3}$  of the cluster mass can form plausibly during core collapse of a dense star cluster (Portegies Zwart and McMillan, 2002; Gürkan et al., 2004; Fuji et al., 2009). Portegies Zwart et al. (2006) even concluded that 10% of all massive star clusters born in the central 100 pc form intermediate-mass black holes and that 50 such intermediate-mass black holes might be presently located within 10 pc of Sgr A\*. In their simulations of  $(10^5 - 2 \times 10^6) M_{\odot}$  clusters with a range of central densities and masses of embedded intermediatemass black holes, Kim et al. (2004) and Gürkan and Rasio (2005) showed that the inclusion of an intermediate-mass black hole indeed helps bringing in more massive stars deeper into the potential of the Galactic Center. The most successful models, however, have uncomfortably large cluster and intermediate-mass black-hole masses. In one of the  $10^5 M_{\odot}$  simulations of Kim et al. (2004) the central cluster density is low enough to come close to the central density for the early phase of the Arches cluster and deposits about 10% of the original cluster mass  $(8 \times 10^3 M_{\odot})$  in the central parsec, reasonably close to the observed stellar content of the clockwise star disk (Paumard et al., 2006). The intermediate-mass black hole in this simulation has a mass of  $2 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ , which would seem implausibly high in terms of the formation scenario of Portegies Zwart and McMillan (2002) and Gürkan et al. (2004). Kim et al. (2004) expressed doubt that intermediate-mass black holes can realistically be of help in solving the deep penetration problem.

The cluster in-spiral scenario also faces some problems explaining the observed properties of the star disk(s) in the Galactic Center. While the simulations match qualitatively the top-heavy-mass function, the sharp inner edge, and the thickness of the observed population, the smearing in radius of the tidally disrupted cluster core results in a fairly shallow surface density distribution,  $\Sigma_* \sim p^{-0.75}$ , while the observed distribution is much steeper (Berukoff and Hansen, 2006). Further, if the IMF of the initial cluster is close to a normal Chabrier or Kroupa distribution there should be three times as many  $K_s \leq 16$  B stars as O/WR stars, which would be expected to be deposited just outside the surface density peak of the more massive stars. We have discussed in Sec. II.D that this sea of B stars is not seen in the current data. There is also no direct evidence for the presence of intermediate-mass black holes in the central parsec. A  $\sim 10^4 M_{\odot}$  black hole outside the central few arcseconds is consistent with the current data (Fig. 21) but the evidence for an intermediate-mass black hole in IRS 13E, for instance, is currently not convincing (Sec. V.D).

In summary it is clear that the promising cluster inspiral proposal of Gerhard (2001) faces a number of theoretical and observational challenges. To complete the in-spiral from a more benign formation region in a dense molecular cloud more than a few parsecs from Sgr A\* within  $\leq 6$  Myr requires cluster masses much above the masses of young clusters currently observed in the central bulge. Even if one assumes that an unusually massive,  $(10^5 - 10^6) M_{\odot}$ , cluster did form and spiral into the central region, the cluster had to have been just dense enough to undergo core collapse during its travel and form an unusually large intermediate-mass black hole to have a chance to deposit its O stars at  $R_{dis} \ll 1$  pc. The surface density profile of the observed O/WR stars is probably too steep and the outer onion shell of somewhat lower-mass B stars is missing. Application of Occam's razor suggests to us that the cluster-inspiral scenario is not favored by the current observations (Paumard et al., 2006; Bartko et al., 2009, 2010; Lu et al., 2009).

# C. Origin of B stars in the central cusp: Scattering or migration?

What is the explanation for the remarkable central S-star cluster containing  $\sim 80 K_s \leq 17.5$  stars within 0.04 pc? It is now clear from the orbits and radial distributions that these S-stars are not directly part of the central O/WR disk(s). An additional mechanism is required to account for their presence so close to the central black hole above and beyond the options discussed in the last section. Two contenders have emerged as the most likely explanations. The first is the interaction of a massive binary star with the massive black hole, in which the binary is disrupted, one member captured and the other expelled at high velocity (Hills, 1988). A variant of this mechanism is the capture or ejection of an incoming single star by a three-body interaction between star, massive black hole, and an intermediate massive black hole in its vicinity (Yu and Tremaine, 2003). To explain the observed number of S stars successfully, Hill's proposal requires an efficient resupply of massive binaries on highly elliptical orbits. One possible such mechanism is the interaction of binaries with giant molecular clouds at parsec distances from Sgr A\* (Perets et al., 2007). The second contender is the migration of massive stars from the disk(s) at 0.1-1 pc to the center of the cusp, perhaps aided by an intermediate-mass black hole (Levin, 2007; Fuji et al., 2010). Other possible explanations, such as the collision and merging of lower-mass stars (Genzel et al., 2003a), the stripping of large intermediate-mass latetype stars (Davies and King, 2005; Dray et al., 2006), or

three-body exchange interactions of single nearparabolic B stars with a stellar black hole in the potential of the MBH, where the similarity in mass of the B stars and stellar black holes enhances the exchange cross section (Alexander and Livio, 2004), now have lost favor because of low rates or other incompatibilities with the data.

# 1. The Hills capture mechanism

Hills (1988) considered the fate of a binary of total mass  $M_{12}$  and semimajor axis  $a_{12}$  in a near-loss cone orbit around a massive black hole. The simulations of Hills (1991), Yu and Tremaine (2003), and Gualandris et al. (2005) suggest that in >50% of the encounters the binary is destroyed, with one member captured in a tight and highly elliptical orbit and one ejected as a hypervelocity star with a velocity up to 4000 km/s [but see Sari et al. (2010)]. Hills (1988) proposed that the detection of such hypervelocity stars (HVSs) emanating from the Galactic Center would be strong evidence for the presence of a central black hole. Gould and Quillen (2003) first proposed the "Hills" capture process for the origin of the S2 orbit. In the Hills mechanism initial binary semimajor axis and final capture semimajor axis  $a_{capture}$  are proportional to each other,

$$a_{\text{capture}} \sim a_{12} \left(\frac{M_{\star}}{M_{12}}\right)^{2/3} \sim 3.6 \times 10^3 (M_{12}/20M_{\odot})^{-2/3} a_{12},$$
(12)

orbits in the S-star cluster SO that  $(a_{\rm S \ stars} \sim 0.1 - 1 \ {\rm arc \ sec} \sim 800 - 8000 \ {\rm AU})$  would have to originate from binaries with  $a_{12} \sim 0.1$ —a few AU. Such orbital semimajor axes are, in fact, consistent with the average massive binary separation in the disk of the Milky Way  $[\langle a_{12} \rangle \sim 0.2(+0.6, -0.15) \text{ AU}]$  (Kobulnicky and Fryer, 2007). The predicted initial ellipticity of the captured binary star member is very high (Gould and Quillen, 2003; Ginsburg and Loeb, 2006; Alexander, 2007),

$$e_{\text{capture}} \sim 1 - \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{M_{12}}\right)^{-1/3} \sim 0.98.$$
 (13)

The second member of the binary is ejected at a velocity

$$\langle v_{\infty} \rangle \sim \left(\frac{1.4GM_{\bullet}}{a_{\text{capture}}}\right)^{1/2} \sim 2400 \left(\frac{a_{\text{capture}}}{0.12 \text{ arc sec}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{M_{\bullet}}{4.3 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2} \text{ km/s.}$$
(14)

A star ejected with a radial velocity of  $\sim 10^3$  km/s from the Galactic Center will escape the Galaxy with 400–500 km/s at  $R \sim 100$  kpc (Kenyon *et al.*, 2008).

Are the properties of the S-star cluster compatible with the Hills proposal? First, we have shown in Sec. II.C that the brightest S-stars are normal main-sequence B stars with a low rotational velocity (Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2005; Martins *et al.*, 2008a). Second, their orbital angular-momentum distribution appears to be random on the sky both inside and outside the central arcsecond (Gillessen *et al.*, 2009b; Bartko *et al.*, 2010). Third, we have shown in Sec. II.E that the KLF of the S-star cluster is steep and plausibly consistent with a normal (i.e., galactic-disk) IMF. All three facts are broadly consistent with a "field" origin of the B stars. The angularmomentum orientations in the central arcsecond could have been randomized by a combination of vector resonant relaxation for all S-stars (Fig. 7) and Lense-Thirring precession for S2 and perhaps S14 (Fig. 7) (Levin and Beloborodov, 2003). The random orientation of the B stars at R > 1 arc sec, however, may give a hint that these stars did not form in a planar structure, such as the O/WR star disk(s).

Because of the simple scaling relationship between initial binary semimajor axes and orbital semimajor axis of the captured star in the Hills mechanism, the initial binary orbit distribution function should map directly into the semimajor-axis distribution of captured stars since the time scale for energy relaxation is longer than the lifetime of B stars. Taking into account the mechanism that puts binaries onto near-loss-cone orbits, the semimajor-axis distribution of the S-stars also reflects the periapse dependence of this process. This dependence is weak when the binaries gradually diffuse to near-radial orbits, the so-called "empty loss-cone regime," and the semimajor-axis distribution of the S-stars mirrors the one of the original binaries. In the "full losscone regime" the distribution actually is a product of the intrinsic semimajor-axis distribution and the periapse dependence of the scattering rate (Perets and Gualandris, 2010).

The observed semimajor-axis distribution derived from the orbital parameters of Gillessen *et al.* (2009b) fits a constant number distribution in  $\log_{10}(a_{12})$  space, similar to Öpik's distribution (Öpik, 1924), which plausibly approximates the major-axis distribution of massive binaries in the solar neighborhood [see, e.g., Kobulnicky and Fryer (2007)]. The Öpik distribution corresponds to a surface density distribution scaling as  $\Sigma_* \sim p^{-2}$ . This means that the distribution of the B stars outside of the S-star cluster, in principle, may also originate from an Öpik distribution. However, given the substantial uncertainty of the input binary semimajor-axis distribution (Martins, 2010) this agreement cannot be more than a plausibility check.

The highly eccentric orbital distribution of the captured binary members in the Hills process is different from the only slightly superthermal eccentricity observed distribution of the S-stars. Does this eliminate the Hills mechanism? Perets *et al.* (2009) carried out simulations of the interaction of a population of highly elliptical Hills orbits immediately in the aftermath of the capture, with the central cusp, including  $10^3$  stellar black holes with  $10M_{\odot}$  distributed isothermally around Sgr A\*. Perets *et al.* found that within the central arcsecond (but not outside) the combination of the dense stellar black hole cusp and resonant relaxation can thermalize and isotropize an initial highly elliptical input distribution over a time of about 20 Myr. This is consistent with the radial dependence of the relaxation times shown in Fig. 7. Perets et al. inferred a probability of 93% for the final model distribution of B stars and the empirical distribution of S-stars to be drawn from the same distribution (bottom left panel of Fig. 28). While this explanation is applicable to most of the fainter S-stars, the brighter and more massive star S2 has a main-sequence lifetime of  $\sim 6$  Myr. Figure 28 shows that over this shorter time span the model distribution would still be somewhat more elliptical than the observed one. This would indicate that at least S2 must have been deposited within the past 6 Myr and its current ellipticity (0.88) may still reflect more closely its original ellipticity. Levin and Beloborodov (2003) pointed out that the Lense-Thirring precession time scale for S2 is probably short enough to erase memory of its original angularmomentum orientation. Bar-Or et al. (2010) showed that the existence of a dense dark cusp would also make the Hills mechanism plus postcapture resonant relaxation evolution picture fully consistent with observed eccentricities of the S-stars, including the short-lived S2.

A variant of the process of Hills (1988) is an exchange interaction between an incoming single star with a central binary consisting of the massive black hole and a stellar or intermediate-mass black hole in its vicinity (Hansen and Milosavljevic, 2003; Yu and Tremaine, 2003; Alexander and Livio, 2004; Levin, 2006; Yu et al., 2007). In the three-body interaction energy exchange can result in capture of the B star and ejection of the stellar black hole or the star can also get ejected as a hypervelocity star, which can then escape the Galaxy (Yu and Tremaine, 2003). In the latter case, no star is captured of course and this process cannot account for the S-stars, but for the HVS. An interaction with an intermediate-mass black hole in close orbit around the massive black hole is ten times more efficient in creating the most extreme HVS than the classical stellar binarymassive black-hole process (Yu and Tremaine, 2003; Yu et al., 2007). Somewhat lower velocity HVS can be created in interactions with stellar black holes (Miralda-Escude and Gould, 2000; O'Leary and Loeb, 2008). Quantitative estimates of the combination of the capture reaction with the rate of injection into the loss cone by standard relaxation processes in the central few parsecs require a large density of B stars at R > 0.5 pc (Alexander and Livio, 2004), which are in excess of the observed surface densities found by Paumard et al. (2006) and Bartko et al. (2010). However, this process remains attractive for both capture and HVS ejection when combined with the more rapid relaxation processes discussed in Sec. VI.C.3.

## 2. Hypervelocity stars

As discussed in the last section, one of the most straightforward consequences of a massive black hole residing in the center of a dense star cluster is that from time to time stars get ejected at sufficiently high velocity  $(>10^3 \text{ km/s})$  so the star can escape the Milky Way.

From a spectroscopic survey at the multiple-mirror telescope (MMT) Brown *et al.* (2005, 2006a, 2006b, 2009a, 2009b) and Brown (2008) found more than a dozen high velocity B stars (upper left panel in Fig. 27). Their velocities and radii make them unbound to the Galaxy given the escape velocity model of Kenyon *et al.* (2008). These stars are excellent candidates for the Hills process discussed in the last section. Their observed properties are broadly consistent with a continuous ejection model (Brown, 2008). Perets (2009) argued that the observed number of HVS B stars and the number of S-stars near Sgr A\* are best consistent with the classical stellar binary-massive black-hole Hills interaction scenario.

The most important question thus is whether the HVS have indeed been ejected from the Galactic Center. Abadi *et al.* (2009) pointed out several of the HVS may be on a constant travel time track (dotted in the right panel of Fig. 27). They thus could also be remnants of a dwarf accretion or disruption event, although the statistical significance of the HVS clustering around constant travel time tracks is quite marginal. Heber *et al.* (2008) proposed that some of the HVS instead may have been ejected from the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) or from the outer galactic disk when a massive binary companion star exploded as a supernova.

From HST imaging Brown et al. (2010) recently first reported detection of an absolute proper motion of an HVS. HVS HE 0437-5439 is a short-lived B star (mass of  $9M_{\odot}$ ) located in the direction of the LMC. The measured velocity vector indeed points directly away from the center of the Milky Way, in strong support of the Hills ejection scenario (bottom left panel of Fig. 27). An origin from the center of the LMC is ruled out at the  $3\sigma$ level. The flight time of the HVS from the Milky Way exceeds the star's main-sequence lifetime, suggesting that HE 0437-5439 is a rejuvenated "blue straggler" that was originally in a binary system. The large space velocity rules out a galactic-disk ejection. Combining the HVS's observed trajectory, stellar nature, and required initial velocity, Brown et al. (2010) concluded that HE 0437-5439 was most likely a compact binary ejected by the Milky Way's central black hole.

The velocity, spatial, temporal, and rotation velocity distributions of the HVS can also, in principle, distinguish between the different variants of the Hills process [see Brown (2008), and references therein]. The proposed binary nature of HE 0437-5439 at ejection may be evidence for the presence of an intermediate-mass black hole in the galactic center (Lu *et al.*, 2007).

### 3. Injection into the loss cone

Next, one needs to understand the source of the binaries and how stars get injected at a high enough rate into the highly elliptical orbits required for tidal disruption and capture. Perets *et al.* (2007) considered the possibility that stars are injected into loss-cone orbits by interactions with *massive perturbers* at parsec distances from



FIG. 27. (Color) Hypervelocity stars. Upper left: Observed galactic radial velocity distribution of the 759 B stars in the CfA-MMT survey. There are 26 stars with  $v_r > 275$  km/s and two stars with  $v_r < -275$  km/s. Thus, 24 of the 26 cannot be explained by stellar interactions and 14 stars cannot be bound to the Galaxy. Right: Velocity-radius distribution of the HVS. Magenta asterisks denote the 14 unbound stars, given the escape velocity model of Kenyon *et al.* (2008) (long-dashed curve). Adapted from Brown, 2008. Bottom left: Mean proper motion of HE 0437-5439 (solid black square) and the distribution of proper motions (open squares) measured from the individual epoch-1 and epoch-2 HST images. The  $1\sigma$  statistical uncertainty (cross) and systematic uncertainty (solid line) are indicated, as well as the full correction for charge-transfer inefficiency on the HST detector (dotted line). The blue ellipse shows the locus of proper motions with trajectories passing within 8 kpc of the Milky Way center, and the red ellipse denotes the locus of proper motions originating within 3 kpc of the LMC center at the time of pericenter passage. Adapted from Brown *et al.*, 2010.

Sgr A\*. Proposed first by Spitzer and Schwarzschild (1951) for molecular clouds in the solar neighborhood, massive clouds, or clusters can dominate the overall relaxation rate when the product of their mass squared and their density is much greater than the corresponding product for the average star in the cluster,

$$N_p m_p^2 \gg N_* \langle m_* \rangle^2. \tag{15}$$

The upper left panel of Fig. 28 shows the effective relaxation-time scales when considering different massive-perturber candidates near the Galactic Center. From this comparison Perets *et al.* (2007) concluded that the population of observed dense and massive  $[(10^5-10^7)M_{\odot}]$  giant molecular clouds outside the central few parsecs are numerous and massive enough to

inject field stars on highly elliptical orbits into the central cusp.

Perets *et al.* (2007) calculated the efficiency of the massive-perturber induced refilling of the loss cone given a 75% binary fraction of equal-mass binaries and a log-normal semimajor-axis distribution around  $\langle a_{binary} \rangle \sim 0.2$  AU, motivated by the observed distribution of massive binaries in the solar neighborhood (Kobulnicky and Fryer, 2007). With these inputs and an assumed capture probability of 75%, Perets *et al.* (2007) found that the massive-perturber induced injection deposits several tens of young B stars in the central arcsecond at any given time (upper right panel of Fig. 28). At the same time, there are several hundreds of HVS ejected into the outer Galaxy. The massive perturber and Hills model thus appears to be successful in ac-



FIG. 28. (Color) The massive-perturber model for creating the S-star cluster. Top left: The impact of massive perturbers (giant molecular clouds, star clusters) on the relaxation time in the Galactic Center as a function of distance from Sgr A\* due to stars alone, the upper (GMC1) and lower (GMC2) mass estimates of the observed molecular clumps and giant molecular clouds (GMCs) and due to upper (Clusters1) and lower (Clusters2) estimates on the number and masses of stellar clusters. The sharp transitions at R=1.5 and 5 pc are artifacts of the noncontinuous mass perturber distribution assumed here. GMCs dominate the relaxation in the Galactic Center. Bottom left: Cumulative number of young B stars as predicted by the massive-perturber model and by stellar two-body relaxation. The vertical bar represents the total number of observed young stars inside 0.04 pc (Eisenhauer et al., 2005; Gillessen et al., 2009b). The hatched vertical line marks the approximate maximal distance within which captured B stars are expected to exist. Adapted from Perets et al., 2007. Top right: The cumulative distribution of eccentricities after 6 and 20 Myr of stars migrating from the disk to the central cusp (green) and stars captured by the Hills process (red), compared to the data from Gillessen et al. (2009b). This shows that a migration process cannot explain the superthermal distribution of eccentricities in the S-star cusp, but that the Hills mechanism can. Adapted from Perets et al., 2009. Bottom right: Evolution of the distribution of stellar orbital eccentricities in a set of simulations with an intermediate-mass black hole with a mass  $M_{\rm IMBH}/M_{\bullet}=q=5\times10^{-4}$  and a semimajor axis  $a_{\rm IMBH}=15$  mpc,  $e_{\rm IMBH}=0.5$ . The results of averaged simulations are shown for six times are shown: t=0 (thick black curve), t=(0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.2) Myr (thin blue lines), and t=1 Myr (thick red line). The distribution is essentially unchanged at times greater than 1 Myr. Dashed line shows a thermal distribution,  $N \propto e^2$ , and open circles are the eccentricity distribution observed for the S-stars (Gillessen et al., 2009b). Adapted from Merritt et al., 2009.

counting for the puzzling B-star cusp around Sgr A\*. It also accounts for the observed HVS if these indeed turn out to originate from the Galactic Center. Its philosophical shortcoming is that it appeals to fast relaxation processes twice, once to increase the injection rate into losscone orbits (by two to three orders of magnitude through the massive-perturber scenario) and once to increase the thermalization rate of the captured B stars (through resonant relaxation with stellar black holes). Both processes are difficult to test observationally.

## 4. Migration

The second possibility is that the S-stars were formed relatively near their current location, in the O/WR disk(s), and then migrated to the central cusp. The interaction of newly formed stars with their parent (gas) disk leads to inward migration that may be fast enough to bring stars from the disk(s) to the cusp in a fraction of their main-sequence lifetime (Levin, 2007). Levin (2007) then appealed to resonant relaxation (Rauch and Tremaine, 1996) to redistribute angular momenta in the central cusp. Löckmann et al. (2009) considered the interaction of two disks with a top-heavy IMF and appropriate mass ratios and ages with the central massive black hole. As discussed in Sec. II.B (Nayakshin et al., 2006), the disks perturb each other and cause precession and warping. This warping is stronger for counterclockwise stars because of the dominant mass in the clockwise disk. In principle, any star orbiting in the Galactic Center and on a highly inclined orbit relative to the orbit of a large mass, for instance, the stars in the other disk or an intermediate-mass black hole, can undergo a Kozai resonance (Kozai, 1962). Here, the highly inclined nearcircular orbit converts into a low inclination but highly elliptical one. Löckmann et al. (2009) found from their numerical simulations that the stars in the clockwise and counterclockwise systems can drive such a Kozai mechanism and perhaps inject enough B stars from disk orbits into the central cusp where they are then captured by the Hills process. However, these simulations do not include a stellar cusp. From analytical calculations Chang (2009) [see also Ivanov et al. (2005)] found that the Kozai resonance is suppressed when the mass in the cusp is larger than the mass in the perturbing disk; since then, the apsidal precession induced by a (spherical) cusp is sufficient to destroy the resonance. Chang concluded that the Kozai process cannot work in the Galactic Center as long as the cusp is spherical, an assumption that seems empirically well justified (Trippe et al., 2008; Gillessen et al., 2009b). Perets et al. (2008b) and Löckmann et al. (2009) included spherical cusps in their numerical simulations and confirmed the assessment, but they commented that the Kozai mechanism may still be helpful for bringing a binary already on a moderately eccentric orbit (e.g., through resonant relaxation) to the very high eccentricity needed to drive the Hills capture/ ejection process. Numerically, they found one to two pre-Hills binaries for a top-heavy IMF but seven such binaries for a Kroupa IMF.

The simulations of Perets et al. (2009) discussed above in the context of the massive-perturber scenario also consider the alternative migration scenario from within the O/WR disk(s). The green curves in the bottom left panel of Fig. 28 show the results. The relaxation processes considered appear to be too slow to heat an initial "cold" disk population to the observed properties of the S-star cluster within the lifetime of the current O/WR stars. Even for a potentially older ( $\sim 20$  Myr) star disk component the resulting ellipticity distribution is still too cool in comparison with the data. Perets et al. concluded that migration and relaxation of an initially cold (disk) population cannot account for the S-star properties. This conclusion may not hold if an intermediate-mass black hole (of mass  $>10^3 M_{\odot}$ ) is present. Merritt *et al.* (2009) showed that an intermediate-mass black hole in a tight elliptical orbit around the massive hole can thermalize and randomize the orbits of stars in a plane (in their case due to an in-spiral of a star cluster) within a few Myr (bottom right panel of Fig. 28). Fuji et al. (2010) presented N-body simulations of an infalling star cluster creating an intermediate-mass black hole, producing a disk of young stars, and accounting for the population of the S-stars. The surface density distribution of the young massive stars found by Fuji *et al.* is  $\Sigma_{O/WR} \sim p^{-1.5}$  in the central 10 arc sec, consistent with the data. As expected from Perets *et al.* (2009), this migration simulation produces a thermal eccentricity distribution but not hotter than that (Fig. 28, top right). Fuji *et al.* presented only one simulation. Hence, it only shows that the scenario is possible but does not shed light on how likely it is. Also, they used an unrealistically large mass for the intermediate mass black hole (IMBH) excluded by the radio proper motion limits (Reid *et al.*, 2009a). Finally, the resulting S-star population on average resides at a larger distance than what is observed.

The Newtonian precession time scale increases with ellipticity of the orbit of a given star (Madigan *et al.*, 2009). Hence, if the stars in the O/WR disk(s) originally had nonzero eccentricity with a modest dispersion the somewhat higher eccentricity stars in this distribution precess slower and thus experience a coherent torque from the rest of the disk, increasing their ellipticity and angular momentum further (Madigan *et al.*, 2009). Madigan *et al.* (2009) showed from numerical simulations that this instability over time creates a spread of eccentricities with a tail of  $e \sim 1$  orbits, which could then again be captured via the process of Hills (1988).

Perets and Gualandris (2010) showed that many models overpredict the number of B stars at  $R \approx 0.5$  pc, given the observed number of S-stars. Among these is the intermediate-mass black hole assisted cluster in-spiral scenario. On the other hand, the massive-perturber induced binary disruption picture is consistent with both the S-stars and the extended population of B stars further away. Another basic problem of the migration scenario is that the migration mechanism would have to selectively push the lower-mass B stars to the center while leaving the more massive O stars in the disk. Otherwise one would expect to see some O stars from the present disk among the S-stars (Alexander, 2010). The only loophole might be that the expected number of O stars in the central arcsecond is small, such that not observing any such star is not a significant finding.

In summary, the properties of the S-stars around Sgr A\* as well as the hypervelocity star in the halo of the Milky Way appear to be well accounted for by the field-binary-massive-perturber Hills scenario. This explanation, however, is very complex. Especially if there were one or several intermediate massive black holes not yet discovered by the present observations (Sec. IV.F and Fig. 21) it may be premature to already dismiss the alternative of a migration process from the disks in the central parsec. More precise measurements of the eccentricity distribution of the S-stars may offer a tool for distinguishing the migration and Hills scenarios. In the former the thermal distribution is reached from above in the latter from below. A significant deviation from a thermal distribution therefore could discriminate between the two scenarios.

#### D. What powers the central parsec?

The question of the dominant luminosity source in the central parsec was a puzzle until a few years ago. We show in this section that the puzzle now appears to be resolved. The ultraviolet luminosity of the central parsec is  $L_{\rm UV} = 10^{7.5} (0.2/f_{\rm FUV}) L_{\odot}$ , where  $f_{\rm FUV}$  is the fraction of the intrinsic far-ultraviolet luminosity that is absorbed in the circum-nuclear environment and converted to the observed far-infrared luminosity  $(L_{\rm FIR} \sim 5 \times 10^6 L_{\odot})$ (Davidson et al., 1992; Mezger et al., 1996). The intrinsic number of Lyman-continuum photons produced in the central parsec is  $\dot{Q}_{\rm Lyc} = 10^{50.7} (0.5/f_{\rm ion}) \, {\rm s}^{-1} (L_{\rm Lyc} \sim 10^{6.5} \, L_{\odot}, \, L_{\rm UV}/L_{\rm Lyc} \sim 10)$ , where  $f_{\rm ion}$  is the fraction of hydrogen ionizing photons absorbed (Ekers et al., 1983; Mezger et al., 1996). The UV radiation field is relatively soft. The ratio of helium to hydrogen ionizing photons in the H II region Sgr A West is  $\sim 0.06$  (Krabbe et al., 1991) and the effective temperature of the ionizing UV field is 35 000±2000 K, as estimated from finestructure line ratios (Lacy et al., 1980, 1982; Shields and Ferland, 1994; Lutz et al., 1996). Najarro et al. (1994, 1997) and Krabbe et al. (1995) showed that the He I emission line stars can account plausibly for the majority of the far-UV and hydrogen ionizing luminosity. The basic conclusion is that the central parsec is currently powered by an aging cluster of hot stars, with a vanishing contribution from Sgr A\* itself (Rieke and Lebofsky, 1982; Allen and Sanders, 1986; Genzel et al., 1994; Krabbe et al., 1995). The nuclear region of the Milky Way would be classified as "H II region nucleus" by an extragalactic observer (Shields and Ferland, 1994).

The  $T_{\rm eff} \sim 20\ 000-30\ 000$  K He I stars detected in the 1990s are too cool, however, to be able to account for the nebular excitation, including the helium ionizing photons. Lutz (1999) pointed out that another problem is the small number of cool blue supergiants predicted by theoretical models at that time for an evolving cluster at  $t_{\rm burst} \sim 6$  Myr. In addition, such models in conjunction with the stellar atmosphere models available at that time and photoionization modeling predict a ratio of the [Ne III] to [Ne II] nebular mid-infrared fine-structure lines of ~2 at  $t_{\rm burst}$ . However, the observations with ISO-SWS find a ratio of 0.05 (Thornley *et al.*, 2000).

The likely solution of this puzzle is presented by Martins et al. (2007) and involves, on the one hand, the detection in the past few years of many hotter Wolf-Rayet and dwarf and giant main-sequence O stars [mainly by Paumard et al. (2006)] and, on the other hand, the availability of updated stellar atmosphere modeling. Martins et al. (2007) analyzed with the CMFGEN stellar atmosphere code the H/K-band SINFONI spectra of 28 luminous massive stars covering the entire sequence of blue supergiants and WR stars. CMFGEN includes updated treatments of non-localthermal-equilibrium effects, winds, microturbulence, and line blanketing due to metals. Figure 29 shows examples of the spectra and the best fits achieved. The analysis of Martins *et al.* represents a distinct improvement in matching the observed near-infrared spectra of the Galactic Center stars with remarkable detail compared to the earlier work of Najarro et al. (1997). With some notable exceptions (e.g., 2.058  $\mu$ m He I) details of the line profiles, including those of carbon lines, are now matched well by the theoretical atmosphere modeling and instill confidence in the extracted basic stellar parameters. The most important difference between the analyses of Martins et al. and Najarro et al. are the larger stellar temperatures in the new work because of more recently discovered earlier type WR stars [e.g., IRS 16SE2 (WN 5/6) in Fig. 29 with  $T_{\rm eff} \sim 41~000$  K and a number of WC9 stars with  $T_{\rm eff} \sim 37\ 000-39\ 000\ {\rm K}$ ] but also because higher inferred temperatures in some of the previously analyzed WN 7-9 stars. As a result, the modeling now indicates that the massive stars can comfortably account for both the hydrogen and helium ionization rates required to explain the nebular excitation. With some extrapolation to the stars not specifically analyzed Martins et al. inferred for the total output of the early-type cluster  $Q_{\rm Lyc} = 10^{50.8} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$  and  $Q_{\rm He}/Q_{\rm Lyc} = 0.04$ , in very good agreement with the observations. The new data and analysis also remove the discrepancy between stellar evolution models and observed stellar population noted by Lutz (1999). The empirical location of the WR stars of all types is in excellent agreement with evolution models with an age of  $6\pm 1$  Myr and tracks with metallicity  $(1-2)Z_{\odot}$  (bottom right panel in Fig. 29) (Paumard et al., 2006). The low [Ne III]/[Ne II] flux ratio can also be plausibly be accounted for by a combination of enhanced line blanketing in the extreme ultraviolet and high gas densities in Sgr A West  $(\langle n_e^2 \rangle^{1/2} > 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ in-}$ stead of  $10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ).

The new models, also yield approximately four times lower mass loss rates  $(dM_{tot}/dt > 5 \times 10^{-4}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  as compared to  $>2 \times 10^{-3}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) and lower helium abundances in the star cluster. The latter result suggests that the classical He I stars (mainly WN9/Ofpe), which are thought to be closely related to luminous blue variable (LBV) stars like  $\eta$  car, are less evolved than previously thought and may be precursors to WN8 stars (which are found to be more helium rich).

In summary, the observed bolometric, hydrogen, and helium ionizing luminosity of the central parsec as well as the excitation of the H II region Sgr A West can now be quantitatively explained by the combined radiation of the 100+O/WR stars in the  $t_{burst}=6$  Myr star disk(s), without requiring any peculiar stellar evolution.

# VII. ACCRETION AND EMISSION CLOSE TO THE CENTRAL BLACK HOLE

The Galactic Center black hole is most of the time in a *steady state*, emitting  $\sim 10^{36}$  erg/s predominately at radio to submillimeter wavelengths (Sec. VII.A). On top of this quasisteady component there is *variable emission* in the x-ray and infrared bands (Sec. VII.B). Some of this variable emission, especially at x rays, appears as *flares*, typically a few times per day and lasting for about  $10^2$  min. Flares release an additional luminosity of up to



FIG. 29. (Color) Five representative cases of O/WR-star H/K-band spectra (black) covering the entire range of blue supergiant types in the central parsec along with the best stellar atmosphere models using CMFGEN (red dashed). Bottom right: HR diagram of WR stars. The assumed twice solar metallicity tracks include rotation. Star symbols are Ofpe/WN9 stars, triangles WN8 stars, pentagons WN 5–7 stars, and circles WC9 stars. Different line thickness indicates different evolutionary tracks (the thicker the line, the higher the mass). ZAMS masses are marked for each track. The Humphreys-Davidson limit is also shown in the right upper part of each diagram. Adapted from Martins *et al.* 2007.

another  $10^{36}$  erg/s (Sec. VII.C). The steady emission is well described by synchrotron radiation from a thermal distribution of relativistic electrons; the variable emission and the flares originate from transiently heated electrons, but details are still under debate. Compared to quasars, which accrete and radiate at a significant fraction of the maximum rate given by the Eddington limit  $(L_{\rm Edd} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  for Sgr A\*), the Galactic Center is remarkably underluminous by more than eight orders of magnitude with respect to  $L_{\rm Edd}$  and by more than four orders of magnitude compared to the luminosity estimated from the accretion rate at the Bondi radius (Sec. VII.D). The luminosity of Sgr A\* is currently dominated by accretion from stellar winds in its vicinity (Sec. VII.D). In addition, larger accretion rates probably occur from time to time when large low angularmomentum gas clouds fall into the center (Sec. VI.B) or when a star is scattered to within the tidal disruption radius  $(R_t \sim 10R_s)$  at a rate of once every 40 000 yr (Freitag et al., 2006).

# A. Steady emission from Sgr A\* across the electromagnetic spectrum

The compact radio source Sgr A\* is clearly detectable at all times and shows only moderate flux and spectral

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 4, October-December 2010

variations (Falcke *et al.*, 1998; Zhao *et al.*, 2001; Herrnstein *et al.*, 2004; Miyazaki *et al.*, 2004; Yusef-Zadeh *et al.*, 2006a, 2009; Marrone *et al.*, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2009). Steady faint x-ray emission from Sgr A\* is also detected. It is spatially extended with an intrinsic size of about FWHM of 1.4 arc sec, consistent with the size of the Bondi accretion radius of the black hole (bottom central panel of Fig. 1) (Baganoff *et al.*, 2003; Xu *et al.*, 2006). Because of confusion with stars along the line of sight to Sgr A\*, it is less clear whether there is a steady nearinfrared counterpart to Sgr A\* (Genzel *et al.*, 2003b; Do *et al.*, 2009b; Dodds-Eden *et al.*, 2010b). We call the state in which Sgr A\* emission is dominated by the radio flux the "steady" or "quiescent" state.

The spectral energy distribution (SED) of Sgr A\* in its steady state is shown in Fig. 30. The steady-state radio emission is linearly polarized at a level of 2–9 % at submillimeter and millimeter wavelengths (Aitken *et al.*, 2000; Macquart *et al.*, 2006; Marrone *et al.*, 2006, 2007). The centimeter emission is weakly circularly polarized (~0.3 %), with a linear polarization of <0.2% (Bower *et al.*, 1999a, 1999b, 1999c; Sault and Macquart, 1999). The emitted flux increases from 20 cm to 350  $\mu$ m (Zylka *et al.*, 1995; Serabyn *et al.*, 1997; Falcke *et al.*, 1998; Zhao *et al.*, 2001), approximately with a power law ( $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{4/3}$ )



FIG. 30. (Color) Spectral energy distribution of Sgr A\*. All numbers are given for a distance of 8.3 kpc of the Galactic Center and are dereddened for interstellar absorption (infrared and x rays) and scattering (x rays). Left: steady state. The Sgr A\* radio spectrum follows roughly a power law  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{4/3}$ . The observed peak flux at submillimeter wavelengths is about  $5 \times 10^{35}$  erg/s. The spectrum then steeply drops toward infrared wavelengths down to less than the detection limit of about  $2 \times 10^{34}$  erg/s at 2  $\mu$ m. The only other unambiguous detection of Sgr A\* in its steady state is at x rays with energies from 2–10 keV with a flux of about  $2 \times 10^{33}$  erg/s. The figure shows a compilation of data (with increasing frequency) from  $\blacksquare$  (Zhao *et al.*, 2001),  $\blacklozenge$  (Falcke *et al.*, 1998), ▲ (Zylka et al., 1995), × (Serabyn et al., 1997), - (Cotera et al., 1999), + (Gezari, 1999), × (Schödel et al., 2007b), ● (Hornstein et al., 2002), and – (Baganoff et al., 2003). Overplotted is a model of the quiescent emission [adapted from Yuan et al. (2003)]: the radio spectrum is well described by synchrotron emission of thermal electrons (short-dashed line). The flattening of the radio spectrum at low frequency is modeled by the additional emission from a nonthermal power-law distribution of electrons, which carry about 1.5% of the total thermal energy (dash-dotted line). The quiescent x-ray emission arises from thermal bremsstrahlung from the outer parts of the accretion flow (dotted line). The secondary maximum (long-dashed line) at frequencies of about  $10^{16}$  Hz is the result of the inverse Compton upscattering of the synchrotron spectrum by the thermal electrons. Right: SED during a simultaneous x ray and infrared flare: while the total energy in the radio emission is largely unaffected during a flare, the IR and x-ray fluxes increase by factors of 10 and 100, respectively (from Dodds-Eden et al., 2009). The near-infrared emission results from synchrotron radiation of transiently heated electrons. Several emission mechanisms can account for the x-ray flares. Top: Synchrotron model, in which both x-ray and infrared emission are synchrotron radiation from a population of ultrarelativistic electrons following a power-law energy distribution. Middle: Inverse Compton model, in which the near-infrared emitting electrons upscatter the submillimeter seed photons to x-ray energies (synchrotron and IC model adapted from Dodds-Eden et al., 2009). Bottom: Synchrotron self-Compton model, in which the near-infrared emitting electrons transfer their energy to the synchrotron photons emitted from the same electron population. Adapted from Sabha et al., 2010.

and with a peak luminosity of  $\sim 5 \times 10^{35}$  erg/s (Serabyn et al., 1997). Simultaneous observations reveal that the power-law index increases slightly with frequency (Falcke et al., 1998; Melia and Falcke, 2001; and references therein). This upturn of the spectrum is usually referred to as the "submillimeter bump." The SED at >20 cm and  $<350 \ \mu m$  is unknown because here the limited angular resolution and sensitivity of the available observations make it impossible to disentangle the emission from Sgr A\* from surrounding sources. In any case, the Sgr A\* spectrum must drop off steeply between the submillimeter and the mid-infrared. Sgr A\* is not detected at mid-infrared wavelengths down to 8.6  $\mu$ m, with upper limits on its flux of  $\sim 6 \times 10^{35}$ ,  $4 \times 10^{35}$ ,  $2 \times 10^{35}$ , and 0.6  $\times 10^{35}$  erg/s at 25, 18, 12, and 8.6  $\mu$ m, respectively (Gezari, 1996; Cotera et al., 1999; Schödel et al., 2007b). Sgr A\* is frequently detected at near-infrared wavelengths between 5 and 1.5  $\mu$ m, but the luminosity falls below about  $2 \times 10^{34}$  erg/s at 2.2  $\mu$ m in its steady state (Hornstein et al., 2002; Schödel et al., 2007b; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010b). The steady-state x-ray emission, including 6.7 keV He-like Fe K line emission,

is  $\sim 2 \times 10^{33}$  erg/s (Xu *et al.*, 2006) and follows a moderately soft power-law spectrum  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-2-0.2}$  (Baganoff *et al.*, 2003). Higher energy  $\gamma$ -ray observations again suffer strongly from comparably poor angular resolution, which makes an unambiguous identification with Sgr A\* currently impossible (Aharonian *et al.*, 2004; Kosack *et al.*, 2004; Albert *et al.*, 2006).

The observed spectral energy distribution, polarization, and compactness (Sec. IV.D) of the Sgr A\* radio source directly constrain a number of fundamental properties of the steady-state emitting region without the need for detailed spatial and dynamical modeling [see, e.g., Loeb and Waxman (2007)]. According to Loeb and Waxman the radio emission is predominantly optically thick synchrotron radiation from relativistic thermal electrons. Its peak flux originates from within  $10R_S$ ; the electron temperature and density are a few  $10^{10}$  K ( $\gamma \sim 10$ ) and  $10^6 e^{-}$ /cm<sup>3</sup>, respectively, and the magneticfield strength is about 10–50 G.

The relative faintness of Sgr A\* is difficult to understand and has triggered the development of theoretical models with *radiatively inefficient accretion flows*.

Among the early models is the advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF) (Narayan and Yi, 1995; Narayan et al., 1995), in which the low luminosity is explained by the combination of a high ratio of radial to tangential gas velocities and the decoupling of hot protons and cold electrons in low-density gas. However, the detection of linear polarization and the low electron densities estimated from the Faraday rotation measure rules out the large accretion rate of the standard ADAF model. This led to the development of convection dominated accretion flow models (Quataert and Gruzinov, 2000; Ball et al., 2001; Narayan et al., 2002), which favor lower accretion rates and shallower density profiles. The latest sets of models are radiatively inefficient accretion flow (RIAF) models with substantial mass loss (Yuan et al., 2003, 2004). Related models are the advectiondominated inflow-outflow solutions (Blandford and Begelman, 1999), jet models (Falcke and Markoff, 2000; Yuan et al., 2002), and Bondi-Hoyle models (Melia and Falcke, 2001). All these models can be tuned to fit the steady-state spectrum of Sgr A\*. As far as the spectral modeling is concerned, most of them are hybrid models (Özel *et al.*, 2000), involving a spatially modeled thermal component, which is responsible for the bulk of the radio emission with its peak at (sub)millimeter wavelengths plus a minor nonthermal component to explain the slight change of the power-law index across the radio wavelength range. The faint quiescent emission in the x-ray band is explained by thermal bremsstrahlung originating from the transition region between the ambient medium and the accretion flow (Quataert, 2002; Xu et al., 2006).

# **B.** Variable emission

Sgr A\* is "variable" at all wavelengths but the degree of variation changes drastically across the electromagnetic spectrum. Figure 31 shows characteristic light curves and the observed level of variability as a function of wavelength.

For almost 30 years Sgr A\* has been known to be variable at radio wavelengths (Brown and Lo, 1982). The amplitude of the intensity modulation at wavelengths between 20 cm and 7 mm (Zhao et al., 1992, 2001; Falcke et al., 2004; Herrnstein et al., 2004) is 30-40 % (Macquart and Bower, 2006), with a trend that the spectral index of the centimeter emission becomes larger when the flux increases (Falcke, 1999). There is also a trend that the amplitude of variability increases at millimeter wavelengths (Zhao et al., 2001, 2003; Miyazaki et al., 2004; Mauerhan et al., 2005; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006a; Li et al., 2009), which can be as large as a factor of a few. The typical time scale of these millimeter fluctuations is about 1.5-2.5 h. A similar variability, in terms of both amplitude and typical time scale is observed at submillimeter wavelengths (Eckart et al., 2006a; Marrone et al., 2006, 2008; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006a, 2008a, 2009; Kunneriath et al., 2008). The luminosity fluctuations of Sgr A\* at near-infrared wavelengths are about one order of magnitude larger than at radio wavelengths. Typically a few times per day Sgr A\*'s near-infrared flux rises by factors of a few above the stellar background and confusion. The highest near-infrared flux observed at 2.2  $\mu$ m was about 20 times above its minimum (Hornstein et al., 2002; Schödel et al., 2007b; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a). These brightest flares frequently come with simultaneous x-ray emission, large polarization, and with strong, and perhaps quasiperiodic, substructure (Sec. VII.C). The largest flux variations are seen in the x-ray band. Here, the brightest x-ray flare observed so far (Porquet et al., 2003) is about 160 times brighter than Sgr A\* in its quiescent state (Baganoff et al., 2003). The statistics of the x-ray variability is less certain than that at near-infrared and radio wavelengths, but again it is clear that the x-ray variability is yet another order of magnitude above what is observed at near-infrared wavelengths.

The variable emission at radio wavelengths and at low and moderate near-infrared flux levels is well described by a featureless, red-power law, noise distribution (Mauerhan *et al.*, 2005; Macquart and Bower, 2006; Do *et al.*, 2009b). This red-power-law distribution can naturally be explained by stochastic fluctuations in the accretion disk, perhaps triggered by magnetohydrodynamic turbulence (Chan *et al.*, 2009; Dexter *et al.*, 2009). Figure 31 (bottom right) shows a snapshot from magnetohydrodynamic simulations of the accretion disk in Sgr A\* observed at 1.7  $\mu$ m [taken from Chan *et al.* (2009)]. The level of x-ray variability of Sgr A\* at low-flux levels is unknown. The current x-ray telescopes do not provide the required angular resolution and sensitivity for such observations.

## C. Flares

A key issue in the interpretation of the observed variability is whether the brightest variable emission from Sgr A\* at near-infrared and x-ray wavelengths are statistical fluctuations from the probability distribution at low flux or flare "events" with distinct properties. The event interpretation is suggested by the distinct peaks in the light curves of the brightest x-ray and infrared flares (Fig. 31).

Up to mid-2009 about a dozen x-ray flares have been reported from observations with the XMM and Chandra telescopes (Baganoff *et al.*, 2001; Baganoff, 2003; Goldwurm *et al.*, 2003; Porquet *et al.*, 2003, 2008; Eckart *et al.*, 2004, 2006a, 2008b; Belanger *et al.*, 2005; Aharonian *et al.*, 2008; Marrone *et al.*, 2008). The x-ray light curves of Sgr A\* clearly delineate well-separated flares (Fig. 31). The brightest observed x-ray flare had a 2–10 keV peak luminosity of ~ $3.9 \times 10^{35}$  erg/s. The x-ray spectrum of Sgr A\* during the two brightest flares follows a power law  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-0.6-0.1}$  (Porquet *et al.*, 2008), which is compatible with that of the quiescent state  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-2-0.2}$  (Baganoff *et al.*, 2003). The average power law of all reported flares is slightly harder with  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{0.5}$ , but the



FIG. 31. (Color) Variability of Sgr A\* in several nonsimultaneous data sets. Top: X-ray light curve of Sgr A\* [from Porquet et al. (2008)] spanning  $2\frac{3}{4}$  days in April 2007. The 2–10 keV peak luminosity of the bright flare is about  $2.6 \times 10^{35}$  erg/s. Middle: Near-infrared light curve of Sgr A\* as observed in 2008 (adapted from Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a), the time axis also covering  $2\frac{3}{4}$  days, collected from 16 nights (separated by dotted vertical lines) spread over several months. The flux at low levels is dominated by the star S17 close to the line of sight to Sgr A\* in 2008. The intrinsic flux of Sgr A\* in its minimum is below (1-2)×10<sup>34</sup> erg/s (Hornstein et al., 2002; Schödel et al., 2007b; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a). The peak flux of the bright flare is about 34 mJy, corresponding to a luminosity  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim 10^{35.6}$  erg/s. Bottom: Light curve at submillimeter wavelengths as observed in 2007-2009 (adapted from Haubois et al., 2010, Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2010, and Trap et al., 2010). Again, the time axis covers  $2\frac{3}{4}$  days and the data are collected from several nights (separated by vertical dashed lines). Note that the x-ray, infrared, and submillimeter data are not simultaneous nor continuous. They are meant to give a pictorial impression of the amplitude and time scales of variability on scales of days. Top right: Flux variation of Sgr A\* across the electromagnetic spectrum; the ratio between the observed peak and minimum flux strongly depends on the wavelength. While Sgr A\* fluctuates only up to a factor few at radio wavelengths, the brightest flares observed at infrared and x rays peak a factor 20 and 160 above the quiescent steady state. The centimeter variability was adapted from Macquart and Bower (2006); the millimeter variability from Zhao et al. (2001, 2003); near infrared=brightest K-band flare observed so far (Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a) divided by upper limit on steady state (Hornstein et al., 2002; Schödel et al., 2007b; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a); x ray=brightest x-ray flare observed so far (Porquet et al., 2003) divided by quiescent state (Baganoff et al., 2003). Bottom right: Snapshot from a magnetohydrodynamic simulation of the accretion disk in Sgr A\* observed at 1.7 µm. From Chan et al., 2009.

spectral indices for fainter x-ray flares are weakly constrained (typically to only  $\pm 0.6$ ), and the significance of a harder spectral index for fainter flares is under discussion. The available data are also inconclusive on the question whether the brightest x-ray light curves exhibit quasiperiodic oscillations (Aschenbach *et al.*, 2004; Belanger *et al.*, 2006). In contrast to the time period prior to 2003, nearinfrared flares from Sgr A\* are now routinely detected, perhaps owing to a combination of the much improved detection capabilities with adaptive optics cameras on 8-10 m class telescopes and the fact that S2 has moved out of the line of sight toward Sgr A\* (Genzel *et al.*, 2003b; Clenet *et al.*, 2004; Ghez *et al.*, 2004, 2005a; Eisen-



FIG. 32. (Color) Near-infrared flares from Sgr A<sup>\*</sup>. Top left: Near-infrared (2.2  $\mu$ m) flux density distribution function at the position of Sgr A\*. Adapted from Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a. The flux density distribution is well described by a log-normal distribution (red curve) at low-flux levels and an additional high-flux tail (blue shaded area). Top right: Representative power spectral densities of Sgr A\*'s near-infrared flux. Black solid line: average power spectrum for 12 h observing time (adapted from Do et al., 2009b) with no significant quasiperiodic substructure and fully consistent with a red-power-law noise (dashed line). Red and blue: power spectra of infrared flares (red from Genzel et al., 2003b and Trippe et al., 2007; blue from Dodds-Eden et al., 2009), exhibiting quasiperiodic substructure of about 20 min on top of a red-power spectrum. The near-infrared flux density distribution function and the variable strength of its quasiperiodic substructure can perhaps be explained by a two-component model: at low-flux levels the variable infrared emission from Sgr A\* is characterized by a red-power-law noise process (Meyer *et al.*, 2008; Do et al., 2009b), with a log-normal amplitude distribution function (Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a). At highest flux levels, which cannot be explained by the same log-normal flux distribution, flares can show quasiperiodic substructure, are strongly polarized, and are often associated with x-ray flares. Bottom left: Near-infrared spectral index  $\alpha (\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{\alpha})$  of Sgr A\* (crosses: Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2005; plus: Ghez et al., 2005a; triangles: Gillessen et al., 2006; asterisk: Krabbe et al., 2006; and diamonds: Hornstein et al., 2007). The near-infrared spectrum is close to flat with  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-1-1}$  at high-flux levels above about 5 mJy, the measurements at low fluxes are inconclusive, photometric observations favoring a constant spectral index irrespective of flux (Hornstein et al., 2007), and spectroscopic observations indicating a red spectrum with  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-3-1}$  (Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2005; Gillessen *et al.*, 2006). Bottom right: Orbit-averaged near-infrared image from a simulation of a hot-spot orbiting the Galactic Center black hole on the last-stable orbit. From Broderick and Loeb, 2006.

hauer et al., 2005; Eckart et al., 2006a, 2006b; Gillessen et al., 2006; Krabbe et al., 2006; Meyer et al., 2006, 2007, 2008; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006b, 2009; Hornstein et al., 2007; Trippe et al., 2007; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2009, 2010a; Hamaus et al., 2009; Nishiyama et al., 2009a). The brightest observed near-infrared flares had a peak luminosity of  $\nu L_{\nu} \sim 10^{35.6}$  erg/s (Dodds-Eden et al., 2009).

2010a). Figure 32 shows the measured near-infrared flux distribution at the position of Sgr A\* from  $\approx$ 12 000 images in 117 separate observation nights collected between 2004 and 2009 (Dodds-Eden *et al.*, 2010a). The flux distribution of Sgr A\* is well characterized by a two-component model, consisting of the "variable state" log-normal power-law distribution dominating to about

5 mJy in the K band, in combination with a significant "flare tail" at higher flux densities (Dodds-Eden *et al.*, 2010a).

The transition from the log-normal distribution at low-flux levels to the tail of high fluxes may also explain the apparent mismatch between the detection versus nondetection of quasiperiodic substructures in different near-infrared light-curve studies. Several investigations have reported such quasiperiodic substructure during flares, with periods of 17-40 min (Genzel et al., 2003b; Eckart et al., 2006b; Meyer et al., 2006; Trippe et al., 2007; Hamaus et al., 2009) (Fig. 32), while other observations of Sgr A\* are found to be fully consistent with a red-noise source without any periodic component (Meyer et al., 2008; Do et al., 2009b) (top right panel of Fig. 32). One reason for this apparent discrepancy is the question whether the observer is allowed to select certain parts of the light curve to justify the significance of the quasiperiodic substructure of flares, which is otherwise washed out in the noiselike variable state of longer time series. Such selection criteria are, for example, the simultaneous observations of a strong change in polarization (Zamaninasab et al., 2010) or an exceptional high peak flux (Trippe et al., 2007). The two-component flux distribution described above may offer a natural explanation for this controversy: at low-flux levels below about 5 mJy the variable infrared emission from Sgr A\* is characterized by a log-normal distributed red-powerlaw noise (Meyer et al., 2008; Do et al., 2009b; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010a). With increasing flux an additional flare component starts to dominate the emission. This excess cannot be explained by the same log-normal flux distribution and perhaps exhibits quasiperiodic substructure with varying strength. The high-flux flare state is associated with bright x-ray emission.

The event nature of strong flares is further supported by their strong and variable near-infrared linear polarization (Eckart *et al.*, 2006b, 2008b; Meyer *et al.*, 2006; Trippe *et al.*, 2007; Nishiyama *et al.*, 2009a). The degree of near-infrared polarization is up to about 40%. The polarization changes by typically 20% on time scales comparable to the flux variations of few to several tens of minutes. The position angle of the polarization changes on similar time scales by about 70%.

Several groups have measured the spectral index (Fig. 32, bottom left) of Sgr A\* either directly from K-band  $(2.2 \ \mu m)$  spectra obtained with imaging spectrographs (Eisenhauer et al., 2005; Gillessen et al., 2006; Krabbe et al., 2006) or with quasisimultaneous multiband photometry at 1.65–5 µm (Ghez et al., 2005a; Hornstein et al., 2007). The near-infrared SED is consistently found to be close to flat  $(\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{0\pm 1})$  at high-flux levels above about 5 mJy. The results at low-flux levels below about 3 mJy are inconclusive because of the difficult and uncertain background subtraction. Several spectroscopic observations found a correlation between the infrared color and flux of Sgr A\*, with a significantly redder spectrum  $(\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{-3-1})$  at low-flux levels (Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2005; Gillessen et al., 2006; Krabbe et al., 2006). The photometric observations, however, yield a constant spectral

Rev. Mod. Phys., Vol. 82, No. 4, October-December 2010

index irrespective of the flux of Sgr A\* (Hornstein *et al.*, 2007).

Following the discovery of x-ray and infrared flares of Sgr A\* a number of research groups have conducted simultaneous multiwavelength observations covering all accessible frequencies from the radio to  $\gamma$  rays (Eckart et al., 2004, 2006a, 2008a; Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006b, 2008a, 2009; Aharonian et al., 2008; Kunneriath et al., 2008; Marrone et al., 2008; Dodds-Eden et al., 2009). These multiwavelength observations reveal the salient characteristics and the broad-band SED of Sgr A\*'s variable emission. Every x-ray flare is accompanied by a nearinfrared flare but not every near-infrared flare is seen at x rays. X-ray and near-infrared flares occur simultaneously, with no significant delay. Strong x-ray and nearinfrared flares sometimes appear to be accompanied by a subsequent flare at (sub)millimeter wavelengths, with a typical delay of 100 min to 3 h. No mid-infrared  $(8-12 \ \mu m)$  emission Sgr A\* has been detected during an x-ray and near-infrared flare [see Marrone et al. (2008), Dodds-Eden et al. (2009), and Yusef-Zadeh et al. (2009)] even during bright near-infrared flares. The mid-infrared to near-infrared spectral index of the brightest flares thus is flat or positive ( $\nu L_{\nu} \sim \nu^{\alpha}, \alpha > 0$ ). Figure 30 (right) shows the spectral energy distribution of the currently best studied multiwavelength flare [from Dodds-Eden et al. (2009)]. While the total energy in the radio emission at centimeter wavelengths is largely unaffected during a typical flare, the infrared and x-ray fluxes increase by factors few to few tens, respectively. There is still a turnover at submillimeter to far-infrared wavelengths and probably even a minimum between the submillimeter and near-infrared bands. These suggest that the energy distribution of electrons in flares is distinct from that in the quiescent state and not just a modest increase in the high-energy tail of the quiescent distribution.

In contrast to the quiescent emission of Sgr A\* (Sec. VII.A), the physics of the flare emission of Sgr A\* is much less certain. However, there are a few properties we can directly conclude from the observations. First, infrared flares are due to optically thin synchrotron emission, as indicated by the high polarization of up to 40% (Eckart et al., 2006b, 2008b; Meyer et al., 2006; Trippe et al., 2007; Nishiyama et al., 2009a). This infrared flare emission must originate from a population of highly relativistic electrons ( $\gamma \sim 10^{2-3}$ ) if the magneticfield strength is within reasonable limits set by the steady state (Sec. VII.A). Since the infrared spectral energy distribution is flat for bright flares (Ghez et al., 2005a; Gillessen et al., 2006; Hornstein et al., 2007), this highly relativistic electron population must be drawn from a power-law distribution  $n(\gamma) \sim \gamma^{-1-5}$ , or alternatively from a thermal distribution with a temperature of  $10^{12-13}$  K. The situation is more complex for x-ray flares. The favored two main models are synchrotron emission from yet higher energetic electrons (Yuan et al., 2003, 2004; Dodds-Eden et al., 2009) or inverse Compton (IC) scattering from the electrons, which are also responsible for the submillimeter and infrared emission. In the latter model the electrons either transfer their energy via syn-

	Radius ( $R_S$ or pc)	Gas mass $(M_{\odot})$	Mass accretion rate $(M_{\odot}/\mathrm{yr})$
Giant molecular clouds (GMCs)	Tens to few hundreds of parsec	$10^{5.5} - 10^{6.5}$	10 <sup>-2</sup>
Circum nuclear disk (CND)	1.7–7 pc	A few $10^4 - 10^5$	$10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$
Central cavity, minispiral, and stellar cluster	<1.7 pc	A few 10 <sup>2</sup>	$10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$
Stellar winds at Bondi radius	$0.05 \text{ pc} = 10^5 R_S$		A few 10 <sup>-6</sup>
Outer accretion zone	$10^2 - 10^3 R_S$		$< 10^{-6}$
Inner accretion zone	A few to $10^2 R_S$		A few 10 <sup>-9</sup> -10 <sup>-7</sup>

chrotron self-Compton (SSC) scattering to the synchrotron photons emitted from the same electron population (Markoff et al., 2001; Eckart et al., 2004, 2006a; Sabha et al., 2010) or scatter up the photons from the radio and submillimeter steady-state emission (Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006b). Considering the fairly large uncertainties in near- to mid-infrared and x-ray spectral indices, these models are all able to broadly match the observed characteristics of the flare spectral energy distribution (right panel of Fig. 30). One conclusion from these observations and associated modeling is that x-ray and nearinfrared flares probably arise as a result of the acceleration of a small fraction of the particle distribution in the innermost accretion zone, perhaps as the result of magnetic reconnection and conversion of magnetic energy into the energy density of relativistic particles (Sharma et al., 2007a; Dodds-Eden et al., 2010b; Yuan, 2010).

While the observed spectral energy distribution gives insights into the emission processes and physical conditions in the flare, it provides only few constraints on the source geometry. The three most plausible scenarios are a jet with blob(s) of ejected material, hot spot(s) orbiting the black hole, and statistical fluctuations in the accretion flow. The latter scenario is supported from the natural explanation of the red-noise variability at low and intermediate flux levels (Do et al., 2009b), in which flares arise from the superposition of statistical fluctuations throughout the accretion disk. The substructure of the light curves then results from the sum of independent spatially and temporarily disjunct emitting regions, in plausible agreement with the log-normal flux distribution discussed above. In contrast, the simultaneous change of the linear polarization, the short-time scale substructure of the light curves, the delayed submillimeter emission, and the spectral energy distribution point toward a compact flare region with a size comparable to the Schwarzschild radius. This interpretation of a compact flare region holds both for the jet model (Markoff et al., 2001) and for orbiting hot spots in the accretion disk (Genzel et al., 2003b; Broderick and Loeb, 2006; Eckart et al., 2006b; Gillessen et al., 2006; Meyer et al., 2006; Trippe *et al.*, 2007). As such these are not necessarily two distinct scenarios. As indicated by the two-component infrared brightness distribution (see above), there may also be a smooth transition from the superposition of statistical fluctuations in the accretion disk at moderate flux levels to a single dominant hot blob or spot for the brightest flares. The delayed submillimeter emission has been interpreted as the consequence of adiabatic cooling in an expanding emission region (Yusef-Zadeh et al., 2006a, 2008a, 2009; Eckart et al., 2008a; Marrone et al., 2008). An alternative scenario is that the delayed submillimeter flares may not be flares but rather a "dip recovery" corresponding to the reestablishment of the steady state, which follows a violent disruption of the inner accretion flow in the preceding infrared and x-ray flaring event (Dodds-Eden et al., 2010b).

#### D. Accretion onto the black hole

Sgr A\* is surprisingly faint despite the rich gas reservoir (Sec. III) in its immediate surroundings. This faintness can in part be understood from the drastic decrease of the effective-mass accretion toward the black hole, which is summarized in Table III.

Radio observations have revealed in detail the distribution and dynamics of the cold and ionized gas flowing from the galactic bulge at a few hundred parsecs down to the minispiral at distances less than 1 pc (Mezger et al., 1996; Morris and Serabyn, 1996; and references therein). The interstellar medium of the nuclear bulge  $(\sim 300 \text{ pc})$  is concentrated in a narrow layer of predominantly molecular gas with a height of about 30-50 pc (the "central molecular zone"). The total gas mass is about  $(5-10) \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ . About half of the gas resides in compact giant molecular clouds (GMCs) at radii between 10 and 200 pc with typical masses of  $10^{5.5} - 10^{6.5} M_{\odot}$ . Dynamical models of the cloud kinematics indicate an average in-fall rate of order  $10^{-2}M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  at

3181

these radii (Von Linden *et al.*, 1993). Some of this infalling gas settles on the circum-nuclear disk (CND) at 1.7–5 pc radius (Jackson *et al.*, 1993). The current mass accretion rate within the CND ranges between  $10^{-3}M_{\odot}$  and  $10^{-4}M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  (Vollmer and Duschl, 2002). The gas masses and motions in the ionized minispiral filaments at 0.1–2 pc imply similar accretion rates (Lacy *et al.*, 1991; Zhao *et al.*, 2009). The O/WR stars in the central parsec eject stellar winds with a total mass loss rate of about  $10^{-3}M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$  (Martins *et al.*, 2007), which currently dominate the Bondi-like accretion onto the black hole.

With ever improved observations of the stellar population (Sec. II) the models for the gas accretion onto the Bondi radius  $(R_{\text{Bondi}} \sim 1 \text{ arc sec} \sim 10^5 R_s)$  have evolved from a simple bow shock model of gas from the most nearby IRS 16 complex (Melia, 1992) to early hydrodynamic models from pseudorandomly distributed point sources (Coker and Melia, 1997), to spherically symmetric hydrodynamic models (Quataert, 2004), and to 3D numerical simulations of stellar wind dynamics using the observed orbits and wind properties of individual stars (Cuadra et al., 2008a). The accretion rate is dominated by a few close slow wind ( $v_w \leq 750 \text{ km/s}$ ) stars and is of the order few  $\times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ /yr. This accretion at the Bondi radius is traced by the extended x-ray emission (Baganoff et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2006). This emission can be explained by thermal bremsstrahlung (Quataert, 2002) from a hot plasma with  $T \sim 4 \times 10^7$  K. The remaining gas is thermally driven out of the central star cluster in a wind.

At  $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$  Schwarschild radii, the detection of linear polarization of Sgr A\* (Aitken et al., 2000; Macquart et al., 2006; Marrone et al., 2006) directly indicates that the mass accretion rate must be very low  $(<10^{-6}M_{\odot}/\text{yr})$  because otherwise extreme Faraday rotation gradients would depolarize the emission (Agol, 2000; Quataert and Gruzinov, 2000). The accretion rate at scales of a few to 10<sup>2</sup> Schwarzschild radii is constrained from the observed Faraday rotation at submillimeter wavelengths (Marrone et al., 2006, 2007). Depending on the actual radius at which the electrons become relativistic and depending on the detailed magnetic-field configuration, the accretion rate must be within  $2 \times 10^{-9} - 2 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ /yr. Only about  $10^{-6}$  of the gas entering the central molecular zone actually accretes onto the black hole. Theoretically this remarkably low accretion (and radiation) efficiency is now believed to originate from a combination of inefficient angularmomentum transport at all radii, relatively poor coupling between electrons and protons at the low gas densities characteristic for Sgr A\*, and strong outflows and convection in the innermost accretion zone (Blandford and Begelman, 1999; Hawley and Balbus, 2002; Quataert, 2003; Sharma et al., 2007b; Mościbrodzka et al., 2009; Yuan, 2010).

This extremely low accretion efficiency is what also typifies other low-luminosity active galactic nuclei. As such the Galactic Center may be rather typical for "normal" galactic nuclei in the local Universe. Similar to the Galactic Center black hole, these low-luminosity active galactic nuclei are by no means fuel starved. Instead the broad-line region and obscuring torus disappear in some of the faintest sources, and the optically thick accretion disk is thought to transform into a three-component structure consisting of an inner radiatively inefficient accretion flow, a truncated outer thin disk, and a jet or outflow (Ho, 2008). In the future, pericenter passages of stars close to Sgr A\* might be used to probe the inner accretion flow. The stellar radiation field provides seed photons that are Compton up scattered by the hot electrons of the accretion flow, possibly leading to an observable increase of the x-ray flux (Nayakshin, 2005b).

Even though the Galactic Center is currently one of the least luminous supermassive black holes, this may also be just a transient phase, and the gas accretion and luminosity of Sgr A\* may have been much higher in the recent past. There is indication that Sgr A\*'s past x-ray emission is echoed in the Compton scattered and reprocessed radiation emitted by the Sgr B2 giant molecular cloud. While there are other plausible scenarios for this transient irradiation from Sgr B2 (Fryer *et al.*, 2006), the "echo" scenario suggests that Sgr A\*'s luminosity must have been a few 10<sup>5</sup> times higher a few hundred years ago (Sunyaev et al., 1993; Koyama et al., 1996; Revnivtsev et al., 2004; Muno et al., 2007; Ponti et al., 2010). Numerical simulations of the stellar wind accretion (Cuadra *et al.*, 2008a) indeed show that Sgr A\*'s x-ray luminosity could have varied by several orders of magnitude in the recent past, although this strong luminosity variation requires a highly nonlinear dependence on mass accretion rate, as, for example, indicated by the "fundamental plane of black-hole activity" (Merloni et al., 2003). Still, the simulations fail to explain the putative extreme x-ray outburst a few hundred years ago, and the observational evidence is not yet conclusive on the question whether Sgr A\* was indeed much more luminous in the resent past.

## VIII. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OUTLOOK

The progress in Galactic Center research over the past two decades and currently has been astounding, with many unexpected discoveries and fundamental results. A number of the phenomena studied in the central parsec of our Milky Way are of broad relevance to other galactic nuclei. This success is largely based on the rapid advances in high-resolution observations across a broad range of wavelengths and, in the past decade, to ever more powerful computer simulations of dense star clusters, star formation, and accretion near or onto black holes.

Based on precise measurements of stellar orbits and of the central radio source Sgr A\*, the empirical evidence for the existence of a central massive black hole of about  $4 \times 10^6$  solar masses is compelling. The dense star cluster near the black hole has surprising properties, most of which were not anticipated. Massive O/WR stars have been forming there recently, deep in the sphere of influence of the central black hole, at a high rate and probably with high efficiency. Similar "starburst events" near the hole may have occurred from time to time throughout the entire  $\sim 10$  Gyr evolution of the Galactic Center. A very compact cluster of B stars is centered on the massive black hole, with randomly oriented orbits on solar system scales. The lower mass old stars that are traced by the current observations do not exhibit a concentration toward the central black hole, in contrast to basic theoretical predictions. Spatial distribution and dynamics of the O/WR and B stars are complex, indicating a number of processes at work that operate much faster than the classical two-body relaxation-time scale. The massive black hole plays a central role in driving these processes.

Gas is streaming into the central parsecs at substantial rates, but the accretion into the event horizon at the present time is orders of magnitude lower than simple theoretical estimates had predicted. Theoretical work now suggests that this puzzling faintness of Sgr A\* (and of other low-luminosity AGNs) is due to a combination of a relatively low accretion rate at the Bondi radius, inefficient angular-momentum transport, outflows, and low radiation efficiency. There is tantalizing evidence that Sgr A\* was much brighter in the recent past. Accretion onto the Galactic Center thus appears to be variable and chaotic, controlled by local processes near the black hole. Is this true for most other galaxies with a central black hole?

Where might the journey go in the next decade? Assuming that stellar orbit studies continue over this time scale, steady progress in the number and quality of the orbital determinations of the central star cluster should provide stringent tests of the different scenarios of the formation and evolution of the star disk(s) and the central S-star cluster discussed in this review. Detection and characterization of additional binary stars would be helpful for the same purpose. Extending deep searches for young massive stars to the region outside the central parsec would explore evidence for recent star formation outside the central star disk(s), as well as for the presence of a sea of moderately massive stars that is proposed in several of the theoretical scenarios. Even more fundamentally, if the two peripassages of the stars S31 (S08) in 2013 and S2 (S02) in 2018 are covered well by radial velocity measurements, there is a good chance of a significant detection of the second-order  $[O(v/c)^2]$ post-Newtonian (transverse-Doppler effect and gravitational redshift) terms of special and general relativity in these orbits (Fragile and Mathews, 2000; Rubilar and Eckart, 2001; Weinberg et al., 2005; Zucker et al., 2006; Angelil and Saha, 2010). Spectroscopic detection and tracking of faint stars even closer to Sgr A\* would be exciting and open new prospects for future, still higher resolution measurements. As a by-product of these studies the accuracy of the distance determination to Sgr A\* can be expected to reach  $\sim 1-2$  %. Continuing studies of the multiwavelength properties, SED, polarization state,

and temporal behavior of Sgr A\*'s emission are needed for a robust discrimination between the different emission scenarios discussed in this review and for a deeper exploration of the nature of the flares. High-quality light curves of a sufficient number of flares would settle the question whether or not quasiperiodic temporal substructures are present in these flares. As for many other questions in the Galactic Center, such multiwavelength observations of the emission from Sgr A\*, while time consuming and not always successful, will be helpful for a deeper understanding of the important and widespread phenomenon of radiatively inefficient accretion flows in other normal galaxy nuclei.

If the near-infrared interferometry experiments now under development at the VLT(I) [PRIMA of Delplancke (2008) and GRAVITY of Eisenhauer et al. (2008) and Gillessen et al. (2010)] and Keck (ASTRA of Pott *et al.*, 2008) achieve the planned  $10-100 \ \mu \text{arc sec}$ astrometric accuracy in combination with milliarcsecond imaging, the dynamics of gas and stars within a few hundred times the event horizon of the central black hole will become accessible for study. Likewise the next generation, extremely large optical and infrared telescopes (such as the European Extremely Large Telescope, the Giant Magellan Telescope, and the Thirty Meter Telescope) will also be valuable by combining superb sensitivity with 50–100  $\mu$ arc sec astrometric accuracy (Weinberg et al., 2005; Davies et al., 2010b). VLBI imaging at  $\leq 1$  mm, with submilliarcsecond resolution, will be another powerful tool for exploring the gas distribution in the central accretion zone (Broderick et al., 2009b; Dexter et al., 2009, 2010; Huang et al., 2009; Fish and Doeleman, 2010). These new observational tools will probably give us the answer whether the Sgr A\* flares originate from a jet, from hot spots, or from global fluctuations in the central accretion zone. The VLBI experiments may detect the effects of strong light bending (the shadow) by the black hole (Falcke et al., 2000). The near-infrared interferometry may be able to trace the motions of hot spots or a jet within  $(5-10)R_S$  (Eisenhauer *et al.*, 2008; Hamaus et al., 2009). If there are stars sufficiently close to the central black hole, the Schwarzschild precession term and perhaps even the Lense-Thirring precession term due to the spin of the hole might be detectable (Kraniotis, 2007; Gillessen et al., 2008; Will, 2008; Merritt et al., 2010). The Galactic Center black hole may then become a test bed for probing general relativity in the strong-field limit (Falcke et al., 2000; Fish and Doeleman, 2010; Hamaus et al., 2009).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tal Alexander, Fred Baganoff, Hendrik Bartko, Ian Bonnell, Avery Broderick, Warren Brown, Katia Cunha, Mel Davies, Katie Dodds-Eden, Shep Doeleman, Chris Fragile, Tobias Fritz, Alister Graham, Alessia Gualandris, Paul Ho, G. V. Kraniotis, Avi Loeb, Dieter Lutz, Fabrice Martins, Maria Montero-Castano, Sergei Nayakshin, Thomas Ott, Hagai Perets, Oliver Pfuhl, Pawel Pietrukowicz, Delphine Porquet, Mark Reid, Bob Sanders, Rainer Schödel, Amiel Sternberg, Linda Tacconi, Scott Tremaine, Qingjuan Yu, and Farhad Yusef-Zadeh for material, valuable comments, and suggestions.

# REFERENCES

- Abadi, M. G., *et al.*, 2009, "An alternative origin for hypervelocity stars," Astrophys. J. Lett. **691**, L63.
- Abramowicz, M. A., *et al.*, 2002, "No observational proof of the black-hole event-horizon," Astron. Astrophys. **396**, L31.
- Acero, F., *et al.*, 2009, "Localizing the VHE gamma-ray source at the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **402**, 1915.
- Afflerbach, A., *et al.*, 1997, "Galactic abundance gradients from infrared fine-structure lines in compact H II regions," Astrophys. J. **478**, 190.
- Agol, E., 2000, "Sagittarius A\* polarization: No advectiondominated accretion flow, low accretion rate, and nonthermal synchrotron emission," Astrophys. J. **538**, L121.
- Aharonian, F., and A. Neronov, 2005, "High-energy gamma rays from the massive black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **619**, 306.
- Aharonian, F., et al., 2004, "Very high energy gamma rays from the direction of Sagittarius A\*," Astron. Astrophys. 425, L13.
- Aharonian, F., *et al.*, 2008, "Simultaneous HESS and Chandra observations of Sagittarius Astar during an x-ray flare," Astron. Astrophys. **492**, L25.
- Aitken, D. K., *et al.*, 1991, "Polarimetric imaging of the galactic center at 12.4 microns—The detailed magnetic field structure in the northern arm and the east-west bar," Astrophys. J. 380, 419.
- Aitken, D. K., *et al.*, 2000, "Detection of polarized millimeter and submillimeter emission from Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. 534, L173.
- Albert, J., *et al.*, 2006, "Observation of gamma rays from the galactic center with the MAGIC telescope," Astrophys. J. **638**, L101.
- Alexander, R. D., *et al.*, 2008a, "Self-gravitating fragmentation of eccentric accretion disks," Astrophys. J. **674**, 927.
- Alexander, R. D., *et al.*, 2008b, "Binary formation and mass function variations in fragmenting discs with short cooling times," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **389**, 1655.
- Alexander, T., 1999, "The distribution of stars near the supermassive black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **527**, 835.
- Alexander, T., 2005, "Stellar processes near the massive black hole in the galactic center," Phys. Rep. **419**, 65.
- Alexander, T., 2007, "Stellar relaxation processes near the galactic massive black hole," e-print arXiv:0708.0688.
- Alexander, T., 2010, "Key questions about galactic center dynamics," e-print arXiv:1007.4991.
- Alexander, T., and C. Hopman, 2009, "Strong mass segregation around a massive black hole," Astrophys. J. 697, 1861.
- Alexander, T., and M. Livio, 2004, "Orbital capture of stars by a massive black hole via exchanges with compact remnants," Astrophys. J. Lett. **606**, L21.
- Allen, D. A., and M. Burton, 1994, "The population I core of the Galaxy," Proc. Astron. Soc. Aust. **11**, 191.
- Allen, D. A., and R. H. Sanders, 1986, "Is the galactic centre black hole a dwarf?," Nature (London) **319**, 191.
- Allen, D. A., et al., 1990, "The source of luminosity at the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 244, 706.
- Aloisio, R., et al., 2004, "Neutralino annihilation at the galactic

centre revisited," J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 2004, 007.

- Amin, M. A., and T. Wizansky, 2008, "Relativistic dark matter at the galactic center," Phys. Rev. D 77, 123510.
- Angelil, R., and P. Saha, 2010, "Relativistic redshift effects and the galactic-center stars," Astrophys. J. **711**, 157.
- Aschenbach, B., *et al.*, 2004, "X-ray flares reveal mass and angular momentum of the galactic center black hole," Astron. Astrophys. **417**, 71.
- Asplund, M., et al., 2005, The Solar Chemical Composition: Cosmic Abundances as Records of Stellar Evolution and Nucleosynthesis in Honor of David L. Lambert (ASP, San Francisco), Vol. 336, pp. 25.
- Atoyan, A., and C. D. Dermer, 2004, "TeV emission from the galactic center black hole plerion," Astrophys. J. Lett. **617**, L123.
- Baade, W., 1951, Galaxies—Present Day Problems (Observatory of Michigan), Vol. 10.
- Babusiaux, C., and G. Gilmore, 2005, "The structure of the galactic bar," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **358**, 1309.
- Backer, D. C., and R. A. Sramek, 1999, "Proper motion of the compact, nonthermal radio source in the galactic center, Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **524**, 805.
- Baganoff, F. K., 2003, "Multiwavelength monitoring of Sgr A\* during Chandra observations of multiple x-ray flares," Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. **7**, 606.
- Baganoff, F. K., *et al.*, 2001, "Rapid x-ray flaring from the direction of the supermassive black hole at the galactic centre," Nature (London) **413**, 45.
- Baganoff, F. K., *et al.*, 2003, "Chandra x-ray spectroscopic imaging of Sagittarius A\* and the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **591**, 891.
- Bahcall, J. N., and S. Tremaine, 1981, "Methods for determining the masses of spherical systems. I—Test particles around a point mass," Astrophys. J. **244**, 805.
- Bahcall, J. N., and R. A. Wolf, 1976, "Star distribution around a massive black hole in a globular cluster," Astrophys. J. **209**, 214.
- Bahcall, J. N., and R. A. Wolf, 1977, "The star distribution around a massive black hole in a globular cluster. II. Unequal star masses," Astrophys. J. **216**, 883.
- Bailey, V. C., and M. B. Davies, 1999, "Red giant collisions in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **308**, 257.
- Balick, B., and R. L. Brown, 1974, "Intense sub-arcsecond structure in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **194**, 265.
- Ball, G. H., *et al.*, 2001, "Spectral models of convectiondominated accretion flows," Astrophys. J. **552**, 221.
- Bar-Or, B., et al., 2010, unpublished.
- Bartko, H., 2010, private communication.
- Bartko, H., et al., 2009, "Evidence for warped disks of young stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 697, 1741.
- Bartko, H., *et al.*, 2010, "An extremely top-heavy initial mass function in the galactic center stellar disks," Astrophys. J. **708**, 834.
- Baumgardt, H., *et al.*, 2006, "Ejection of hypervelocity stars from the galactic centre by intermediate-mass black holes," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **372**, 174.
- Becklin, E. E., and G. Neugebauer, 1968, "Infrared observations of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **151**, 145.
- Becklin, E. E., and G. Neugebauer, 1975, "High-resolution maps of the galactic center at 2.2 and 10 microns," Astrophys. J. Lett. **200**, L71.
- Becklin, E. E., et al., 1978, "Infrared observations of the galactic center. I—Nature of the compact sources," Astrophys. J.

# **219**, 121.

- Becklin, E. E., *et al.*, 1982, "Far-infrared observations of Sagittarius A—The luminosity and dust density in the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **258**, 135.
- Belanger, G., *et al.*, 2004, "Detection of hard x-ray emission from the galactic nuclear region with integral," Astrophys. J. Lett. **601**, L163.
- Belanger, G., *et al.*, 2005, "Repeated x-ray flaring activity in Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. 635, 1095.
- Belanger, G., *et al.*, 2006, "Periodic modulations in an x-ray flare from Sagittarius A\*," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **54**, 420.
- Beloborodov, A. M., and Y. Levin, 2004, "Orbital roulette: A new method of gravity estimation from observed motions," Astrophys. J. **613**, 224.
- Beloborodov, A. M., *et al.*, 2006, "Clockwise stellar disk and the dark mass in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 648, 405.
- Bender, R., *et al.*, 2005, "HST STIS spectroscopy of the triple nucleus of M31: Two nested disks in Keplerian rotation around a supermassive black hole," Astrophys. J. **631**, 280.
- Bergström, L., 2000, "Non-baryonic dark matter: Observational evidence and detection methods," Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 793.
- Berukoff, S. J., and B. M. S. Hansen, 2006, "Cluster core dynamics in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 650, 901.
- Bica, E., *et al.*, 2006, "Globular cluster system and Milky Way properties revisited," Astron. Astrophys. **450**, 105.
- Binney, J., 2009, "The bulge-disc connection in the Milky Way," *The Galaxy Disk in Cosmological Context*, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, edited by J. Andersen *et al.*, IAU Symposium Vol. 254 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), p. 145.
- Binney, J., and S. Tremaine, 2008, *Galactic Dynamics*, 2nd ed. (Princeton University Press, Princeton).
- Blanco, V. M., 1985, "The distance to the galactic center," Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. **17**, 562.
- Blandford, R. D., and M. C. Begelman, 1999, "On the fate of gas accreting at a low rate on to a black hole," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **303**, L1.
- Blitz, L., et al., 1993, "The centre of the Milky Way," Nature (London) **361**, 417.
- Blum, R. D., *et al.*, 1995, "A comparison of near-infrared spectra of the galactic center compact He I emission-line sources and early-type mass-losing stars," Astrophys. J. **441**, 603.
- Blum, R. D., *et al.*, 1996, "JHKL photometry and the K-band luminosity function at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **470**, 864.
- Blum, R. D., *et al.*, 2003, "Really cool stars and the star formation history at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **597**, 323.
- Boehm, C., et al., 2004, "MeV dark matter: Has it been detected?," Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 101301.
- Bonnell, I. A., and W. K. M. Rice, 2008, "Star formation around supermassive black holes," Science **321**, 1060.
- Bower, G. C., *et al.*, 1999a, "The linear polarization of Sagittarius A\*. II. VLA and BIMA polarimetry at 22, 43, and 86 GHz," Astrophys. J. **527**, 851.
- Bower, G. C., *et al.*, 1999b, "The linear polarization of Sagittarius A\*. I. VLA spectropolarimetry at 4.8 and 8.4 GHZ," Astrophys. J. **521**, 582.
- Bower, G. C., *et al.*, 1999c, "Detection of circular polarization in the galactic center black hole candidate Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **523**, L29.
- Bower, G. C., et al., 2004, "Detection of the intrinsic size of Sagittarius A\* through closure amplitude imaging," Science

## **304**, 704.

- Bower, G. C., *et al.*, 2006, "The intrinsic size of Sagittarius A\* from 0.35 to 6 cm," Astrophys. J. Lett. **648**, L127.
- Broderick, A. E., and A. Loeb, 2006, "Imaging optically-thin hotspots near the black hole horizon of Sgr A\* at radio and near-infrared wavelengths," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **367**, 905.
- Broderick, A. E., and R. Narayan, 2006, "On the nature of the compact dark mass at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **638**, L21.
- Broderick, A. E., *et al.*, 2009a, "The event horizon of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **701**, 1357.
- Broderick, A. E., *et al.*, 2009b, "Estimating the parameters of Sagittarius A\*'s accretion flow via millimeter VLBI," Astrophys. J. 697, 45.
- Brown, R. L., and K. Y. Lo, 1982, "Variability of the compact radio source at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 253, 108.
- Brown, W. R., 2008, "Hypervelocity stars and the galactic center," e-print arXiv:0811.0571.
- Brown, W. R., *et al.*, 2005, "Discovery of an unbound hypervelocity star in the Milky Way halo," Astrophys. J. Lett. **622**, L33.
- Brown, W. R., et al., 2006a, "Hypervelocity stars. I. The spectroscopic survey," Astrophys. J. 647, 303.
- Brown, W. R., *et al.*, 2006b, "A successful targeted search for hypervelocity stars," Astrophys. J. Lett. **640**, L35.
- Brown, W. R., et al., 2009a, "The anisotropic spatial distribution of hypervelocity stars," Astrophys. J. Lett. 690, L69.
- Brown, W. R., *et al.*, 2009b, "MMT hypervelocity star survey," Astrophys. J. **690**, 1639.
- Brown, W. R., *et al.*, 2010, "A galactic origin for HE 0437-5439, the hypervelocity star near the Large Magellanic Cloud," Astrophys. J. Lett. **719**, L23.
- Buchholz, R. M., *et al.*, 2009, "Composition of the galactic center star cluster. Population analysis from adaptive optics narrow band spectral energy distributions," Astron. Astrophys. **499**, 483.
- Burton, M., and D. A. Allen, 1992, "Imaging the hot molecular gas at the centre of the Galaxy," Proc. Astron. Soc. Aust. 10, 55.
- Carney, B. W., *et al.*, 1995, "The distance to the galactic center obtained by infrared photometry of RR Lyrae variables," Astron. J. **110**, 1674.
- Carr, J. S., *et al.*, 2000, "The first stellar abundance measurements in the galactic center: The M Supergiant IRS 7," Astrophys. J. **530**, 307.
- Catchpole, R. M., *et al.*, 1990, "The distribution of stars within two degrees of the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **247**, 479.
- Cesarini, A., *et al.*, 2004, "The galactic center as a dark matter gamma-ray source," Astropart. Phys. **21**, 267.
- Chabrier, G., 2001, "The galactic disk mass budget. I. Stellar mass function and density," Astrophys. J. **554**, 1274.
- Chakrabarty, D., and P. Saha, 2001, "A nonparametric estimate of the mass of the central black hole in the Galaxy," Astron. J. **122**, 232.
- Chan, C.-k., *et al.*, 2009, "MHD simulations of accretion onto Sgr A\*: Quiescent fluctuations, outbursts, and quasiperiodicity," Astrophys. J. **701**, 521.
- Chang, P., 2009, "The effectiveness of the Kozai mechanism in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **393**, 224.
- Chapline, G., 2005, "Dark energy stars," in *Proceedings of the* 22nd Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics at Stan-

ford, edited by P. Chen, E. Bloom, G. Madejski, and V. Patrosian (Stanford University, Stanford, CA), p. 101.

- Chatterjee, P., *et al.*, 2002, "Dynamics of a massive black hole at the center of a dense stellar system," Astrophys. J. **572**, 371.
- Christopher, M. H., *et al.*, 2005, "HCN and HCO + observations of the galactic circumnuclear disk," Astrophys. J. **622**, 346.
- Clenet, Y., *et al.*, 2004, "Detection of the Sgr A\* activity at 3.8 and 4.8  $\mu$ m with NACO," Astron. Astrophys. **424**, L21.
- Cohn, H., and R. M. Kulsrud, 1978, "The stellar distribution around a black hole—Numerical integration of the Fokker-Planck equation," Astrophys. J. **226**, 1087.
- Coker, R. F., and F. Melia, 1997, "Hydrodynamical accretion onto Sagittarius A\* from distributed point sources," Astrophys. J. Lett. **488**, L149.
- Coker, R. F., *et al.*, 2002, "The galactic centre source IRS 13E: A post-LBV Wolf-Rayet colliding wind binary?," Astron. Astrophys. **383**, 568.
- Collin, S., and J.-M. Hure, 1999, "Influence of the metallicity and of the irradiation on the structure of accretion disks around massive black holes," Astron. Astrophys. **341**, 385.
- Collin, S., and J.-P. Zahn, 1999, "Star formation and evolution in accretion disks around massive black holes," Astron. Astrophys. **344**, 433.
- Cotera, A., et al., 1999, "Mid-infrared imaging of the central parsec with Keck," in *The Central Parsecs of the Galaxy*, edited by H. Falcke et al., ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 186 (ASP, San Francisco), p. 240.
- Crawford, M. K., *et al.*, 1985, "Mass distribution in the galactic centre," Nature (London) **315**, 467.
- Cuadra, J., *et al.*, 2008a, "Variable accretion and emission from the stellar winds in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **383**, 458.
- Cuadra, J., *et al.*, 2008b, "Stellar dynamical evidence against a cold disc origin for stars in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **388**, L64.
- Cunha, K., et al., 2007, "Chemical abundances of luminous cool stars in the galactic center from high-resolution infrared spectroscopy," Astrophys. J. 669, 1011.
- Dale, J. E., *et al.*, 2009, "Red giant stellar collisions in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **393**, 1016.
- Dambis, A. K., 2009, "The kinematics and zero-point of the log P- $\langle MK \rangle$  relation for galactic RR Lyrae variables via statistical parallax," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **396**, 553.
- Davidson, J. A., et al., 1992, "The luminosity of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 387, 189.
- Davies, B., et al., 2009, "The chemical abundances in the galactic center from the atmospheres of red supergiants," Astrophys. J. 694, 46.
- Davies, M. B., and A. King, 2005, "The stars of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **624**, L25.
- Davies, M. B., *et al.* 2010a, "The impact of stellar collisions in the galactic center," e-print arXiv:1002.0338.
- Davies, R. I., *et al.*, 2010b, "Science and adaptive optics requirements of MICADO, the E-ELT adaptive optics imaging camera," Proceedings of the 1st AO4ELT Conference— Adaptative Optics for Extremely Large Telescopes (unpublished), p. 1002.
- Delplancke, F., 2008, "The PRIMA facility phase-referenced imaging and micro-arcsecond astrometry," New Astron. Rev. 52, 199.

Dent, W. R. F., et al., 1993, "Sub-millimeter continuum emis-

sion from the galactic center region," Astrophys. J. **410**, 650. de Vaucouleurs, G., 1983, "The galaxy as fundamental calibrator of the extragalactic distance scale. I—The basic scale factors of the galaxy and two kinematic tests of the long and short distance scales," Astrophys. J. **268**, 451.

- Dexter, J., *et al.*, 2009, "Millimeter flares and VLBI visibilities from relativistic simulations of magnetized accretion onto the galactic center black hole," Astrophys. J. Lett. **703**, L142.
- Dexter, J., *et al.*, 2010, "The submillimeter bump in Sgr A\* from relativistic MHD simulations," Astrophys. J. **717**, 1092.
- Do, T., *et al.*, 2009a, "High angular resolution integral-field spectroscopy of the Galaxy's nuclear cluster: A missing stellar cusp?," Astrophys. J. **703**, 1323.
- Do, T., *et al.*, 2009b, "A Near-infrared variability study of the galactic black hole: A red noise source with NO detected periodicity," Astrophys. J. **691**, 1021.
- Dodds-Eden, K., *et al.*, 2009, "Evidence for x-ray synchrotron emission from simultaneous mid-infrared to x-ray observations of a strong Sgr A\* flare," Astrophys. J. **698**, 676.
- Dodds-Eden, K., *et al.*, 2010a, "The two states of Sgr A\* in the near-infrared: Bright episodic flares on top of low-level continuous variability," e-print arXiv:1008.1984.
- Dodds-Eden, K., *et al.*, 2010b, "Time dependent models of flares from Sagittarius A\*," e-print arXiv:1005.0389.
- Doeleman, S. S., *et al.*, 2001, "Structure of Sagittarius A\* at 86 GHZ using VLBI closure quantities," Astron. J. **121**, 2610.
- Doeleman, S. S., *et al.*, 2008, "Event-horizon-scale structure in the supermassive black hole candidate at the galactic centre," Nature (London) **455**, 78.
- Dorband, E. N., *et al.*, 2003, "Systolic and hyper-systolic algorithms for the gravitational N-body problem, with an application to Brownian motion," J. Comput. Phys. **185**, 484.
- Draine, B. T., 1989, "Interstellar extinction in the infrared," Eur. Space Agency, [Spec. Publ.] ESA SP **290**, 93.
- Draine, B. T., 2003, "Interstellar dust grains," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **41**, 241.
- Dray, L. M., *et al.*, 2006, "Young stars in the galactic centre: A potential intermediate-mass star origin," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **372**, 31.
- Eckart, A., and R. Genzel, 1996, "Observations of stellar proper motions near the galactic centre," Nature (London) **383**, 415.
- Eckart, A., and R. Genzel, 1997, "Stellar proper motions in the central 0.1 pc of the Galaxy," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **284**, 576.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 1993, "Near-infrared 0.15 arcsec resolution imaging of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **407**, L77.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2002, "Stellar orbits near Sagittarius A\*," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **331**, 917.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2004, "First simultaneous NIR/x-ray detection of a flare from Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **427**, 1.
- Eckart, A., et al., 2005, The Black Hole at the Center of the Milky Way (Imperial College Press, London).
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2006a, "The flare activity of Sagittarius A\*. New coordinated mm to x-ray observations," Astron. Astrophys. **450**, 535.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2006b, "Polarimetry of near-infrared flares from Sagittarius A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **455**, 1.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2008a, "Simultaneous NIR/sub-mm observation of flare emission from Sagittarius A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **492**, 337.
- Eckart, A., *et al.*, 2008b, "Polarized NIR and x-ray flares from Sagittarius A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **479**, 625.

- Eisenhauer, F., et al., 2003, "A geometric determination of the distance to the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. 597, L121.
- Eisenhauer, F., *et al.*, 2005, "SINFONI in the galactic center: Young stars and infrared flares in the central light-month,"
- ited by M. Schöller, W. C. Danchi, and F. Delplancke (SPIE, Bellingham, WA), Vol. 7013, p. 69. Ekers, R. D., *et al.*, 1983, "The radio structure of SGR A,"
- Astron. Astrophys. **122**, 143.
- Espinoza, P., *et al.*, 2009, "The massive star initial mass function of the Arches cluster," Astron. Astrophys. **501**, 563.
- Falcke, H., 1999, "Radio variablilty of Sgr A\* at centimeter wavelengths," in *The Central Parsecs of the Galaxy*, edited by H. Falcke, A. Cotera, W. J. Duschl, F. Melia, and M. J. Rieke (ASP, San Francisco), p. 113.
- Falcke, H., and S. Markoff, 2000, "The jet model for Sgr A\*: Radio and x-ray spectrum," Astron. Astrophys. **362**, 113.
- Falcke, H., *et al.*, 1998, "The simultaneous spectrum of Sagittarius A\* from 20 centimeters to 1 millimeter and the nature of the millimeter excess," Astrophys. J. **499**, 731.
- Falcke, H., *et al.*, 2000, "Viewing the shadow of the black hole at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **528**, L13.
- Falcke, H., *et al.*, 2004, "A scheme to unify low-power accreting black holes. Jet-dominated accretion flows and the radio/x-ray correlation," Astron. Astrophys. **414**, 895.
- Feast, M., 1967, "The radial velocities of distant Cepheids and galactic models," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **136**, 141.
- Fernley, J. A., *et al.*, 1987, "The absolute magnitude of RR Lyraes and the distance to the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **226**, 927.
- Ferrarese, L., and D. Merritt, 2000, "A fundamental relation between supermassive black holes and their host Galaxies," Astrophys. J. **539**, L9.
- Figer, D. F., 2008, "Young massive clusters," in *Massive Stars as Cosmic Engines*, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symposium Vol. 250 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), p. 247.
- Figer, D. F., *et al.*, 1999, "Hubble space telescope/NICMOS observations of massive stellar clusters near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **525**, 750.
- Figer, D. F., *et al.*, 2004, "An extended star formation history for the galactic center from Hubble space telescope NICMOS observations," Astrophys. J. **601**, 319.
- Fish, V. L., and S. S. Doeleman, 2010, "Observing a black hole event horizon: (Sub)millimeter VLBI of Sgr A\*," in *Relativity* in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symposium Vol. 261 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), p. 271.
- Forrest, W. J., et al., 1987, "Brackett alpha images of the galactic center," AIP Conf. Proc. 155, 153.
- Fragile, P. C., and G. J. Mathews, 2000, "Reconstruction of stellar orbits close to Sagittarius A\*: Possibilities for testing general relativity," Astrophys. J. **542**, 328.
- Freitag, M., et al., 2006, "Stellar remnants in galactic nuclei: Mass segregation," Astrophys. J. 649, 91.
- Fritz, T., *et al.*, 2010a, "What is limiting near-infrared astrometry in the galactic centre?," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **401**, 1177.
- Fritz, T., *et al.*, 2010b, "GC-IRS 13E—An association of three puzzling early-type stars," Astrophys. J. **721**, 395.

- Fryer, C. L., *et al.*, 2006, "The Sgr B2 x-ray echo of the galactic center supernova explosion that produced Sgr A east," Astrophys. J. **638**, 786.
- Fuji, M., et al., 2009, "Trojan stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 695, 1421.
- Fuji, M., *et al.*, 2010, "The origin of S-stars and a young stellar disk: Distribution of debris stars of a sinking star cluster," Astrophys. J. Lett. **716**, L80.
- Gammie, C. F., 2001, "Nonlinear outcome of gravitational instability in cooling, gaseous disks," Astrophys. J. 553, 174.
- Gatley, I., *et al.*, 1986, "The spatial distribution and velocity field of the molecular hydrogen line emission from the centre of the Galaxy," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **222**, 299.
- Geballe, T. R., *et al.*, 2004, "A bow shock of heated dust surrounding galactic center source IRS 8," Astrophys. J. **602**, 770.
- Geballe, T. R., *et al.*, 2006, "The K-band spectrum of the hot star in IRS 8: An outsider in the galactic center?," Astrophys. J. **652**, 370.
- Gebhardt, K., *et al.*, 2000, "A relationship between nuclear black hole mass and Galaxy velocity dispersion," Astrophys. J. Lett. **539**, L13.
- Genzel, R., 2007, "The power of new experimental techniques in astronomy: Zooming in on the black hole in the center of the Milky Way," Highlights Astron. **14**, 63.
- Genzel, R., and A. Eckart, 1999, "The galactic center black hole," "The Central Parsecs of the Galaxy," ASP Conference Series (unpublished), Vol. 186, p. 3.
- Genzel, R., and C. H. Townes, 1987, "Physical conditions, dynamics, and mass distribution in the center of the Galaxy," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **25**, 377.
- Genzel, R., et al., 1981, "Proper motions and distances of H2O maser sources. II—W51 MAIN," Astrophys. J. 247, 1039.
- Genzel, R., et al., 1985, "The neutral-gas disk around the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 297, 766.
- Genzel, R., et al., 1994, "The nucleus of our Galaxy," Rep. Prog. Phys. 57, 417.
- Genzel, R., *et al.*, 1996, "The dark mass concentration in the central parsec of the Milky Way," Astrophys. J. **472**, 153.
- Genzel, R., *et al.*, 1997, "On the nature of the dark mass in the centre of the Milky Way," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **291**, 219.
- Genzel, R., *et al.*, 2000, "Stellar dynamics in the galactic centre: Proper motions and anisotropy," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **317**, 348.
- Genzel, R., *et al.*, 2003a, "The stellar cusp around the supermassive black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **594**, 812.
- Genzel, R., *et al.*, 2003b, "Near-infrared flares from accreting gas around the supermassive black hole at the galactic centre," Nature (London) **425**, 934.
- Gerhard, O., 2001, "The galactic center HE I stars: Remains of a dissolved young cluster?," Astrophys. J. Lett. **546**, L39.
- Gezari, D., 1996, "Mid-infrared emission amid luminous sources in the central parsec," in *The Galactic Center*, edited by R. Gredel, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol 102 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco), p. 491.
- Gezari, D., 1999, "Sgr A\* in the mid-infrared reference frame: The relationship between the radio and infrared sources in the central parsec," in *The Central Parsecs of the Galaxy*, edited by H. Falcke *et al.*, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol 186 (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco), p. 234.
- Ghez, A. M., et al., 1998, "High proper-motion stars in the

vicinity of Sagittarius A\*: Evidence for a supermassive black hole at the center of our Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **509**, 678.

- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2000, "The accelerations of stars orbiting the Milky Way's central black hole," Nature (London) **407**, 349.
- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2003, "The first measurement of spectral lines in a short-period star bound to the Galaxy's central black hole: A paradox of youth," Astrophys. J. Lett. **586**, L127.
- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2004, "Variable infrared emission from the supermassive black hole at the center of the Milky Way," Astrophys. J. Lett. **601**, L159.
- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2005a, "The first laser guide star adaptive optics observations of the galactic center: Sgr A\*'s infrared color and the extended red emission in its vicinity," Astrophys. J. **635**, 1087.
- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2005b, "Stellar orbits around the galactic center black hole," Astrophys. J. 620, 744.
- Ghez, A. M., *et al.*, 2008, "Measuring distance and properties of the Milky Way's central supermassive black hole with stellar orbits," Astrophys. J. **689**, 1044.
- Gillessen, S., *et al.*, 2006, "Variations in the spectral slope of Sagittarius A\* during a near-infrared flare," Astrophys. J. Lett. **640**, L163.
- Gillessen, S., et al., 2008, "IAUS: 15 years of high precision astrometry in the galactic center," in A Giant Step: From Milli- to Micro-Arcsecond Astrometry, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symposium Vol. 248 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), p. 466.
- Gillessen, S., *et al.*, 2009a, "The orbit of the star S2 around Sgr A\* from very large telescope and Keck data," Astrophys. J. Lett. **707**, L114.
- Gillessen, S., *et al.*, 2009b, "Monitoring stellar orbits around the massive black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **692**, 1075.
- Gillessen, S., *et al.*, 2010, "Gravity: A four-telescope beam combiner instrument for the VLTI," Proc. SPIE **7734**, 77340Y-20.
- Ginsburg, I., and A. Loeb, 2006, "The fate of former companions to hypervelocity stars originating at the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **368**, 221.
- Giveon, U., et al., 2002, "The excitation and metallicity of galactic H II regions from infrared space observatory SWS observations of mid-infrared fine-structure lines," Astrophys. J. 566, 880.
- Glass, I. S., and M. W. Feast, 1982, "Infrared photometry of Mira variables in the Baade windows and the distance to the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **198**, 199.
- Gnedin, O. Y., and J. R. Primack, 2004, "Dark matter profile in the galactic center," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 061302.
- Goldwurm, A., 2010, "An overview of the high-energy emission from the galactic center," e-print arXiv:1007.4174.
- Goldwurm, A., *et al.*, 2003, "A new x-ray flare from the galactic nucleus detected with the XMM-Newton photon imaging cameras," Astrophys. J. **584**, 751.
- Gondolo, P., 2000, "Either neutralino dark matter or cuspy dark halos," Phys. Lett. B **494**, 181.
- Gondolo, P., and J. Silk, 1999, "Dark matter annihilation at the galactic center," Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1719.
- Goobar, A., *et al.* 2006, "The neutrino mass bound from WMAP 3 year data, the baryon acoustic peak, the SNLS supernovae and the Lyman- $\alpha$  forest," J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **06**, 019.

- Goodman, J., 2003, "Self-gravity and quasi-stellar object discs," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **339**, 937.
- Gould, A., and A. C. Quillen, 2003, "Sagittarius A\* companion S0-2: A probe of very high mass star formation," Astrophys. J. 592, 935.
- Graham, A. W., 2008a, "Fundamental planes and the barless Mbh- $\sigma$  relation for supermassive black holes," Astrophys. J. **680**, 143.
- Graham, A. W., 2008b, "Populating the Galaxy velocity dispersion—Supermassive black hole mass diagram: A catalogue of (Mbh,  $\sigma$ ) values," Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust. **25**, 167.
- Graham, A. W., and L. R. Spitler, 2009, "Quantifying the coexistence of massive black holes and dense nuclear star clusters," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **397**, 2148.
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., and J. A. D. L. Blommaert, 2005, "Mira variables in the OGLE bulge fields," Astron. Astrophys. **443**, 143.
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., *et al.*, 2008, "The distance to the galactic centre based on population II Cepheids and RR Lyrae stars," Astron. Astrophys. **481**, 441.
- Gualandris, A., and D. Merritt, 2009, "Perturbations of Intermediate-mass black holes on stellar orbits in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **705**, 361.
- Gualandris, A., *et al.*, 2005, "Three-body encounters in the galactic centre: The origin of the hypervelocity star SDSS J090745.0+024507," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **363**, 223.
- Gültekin, K., *et al.*, 2009, "The M- $\sigma$  and M-L relations in galactic bulges, and determinations of their intrinsic scatter," Astrophys. J. **698**, 198.
- Gürkan, M. A., and F. A. Rasio, 2005, "The disruption of stellar clusters containing massive black holes near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **628**, 236.
- Gürkan, M. A., *et al.*, 2004, "Formation of massive black holes in dense star clusters. I. Mass segregation and core collapse," Astrophys. J. **604**, 632.
- Güsten, R., and D. Downes, 1980, "Formaldehyde in the galactic center region—Interpretation," Astron. Astrophys. 87, 6.
- Güsten, R., *et al.*, 1987, "Aperture synthesis observations of the circumnuclear ring in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **318**, 124.
- Gwinn, C. R., *et al.*, 1992, "Distance and kinematics of the W49N H2O maser outflow," Astrophys. J. **393**, 149.
- Hall, J., and P. Gondolo, 2006, "Stellar orbit constraints on neutralino annihilation at the galactic center," Phys. Rev. D **74**, 063511.
- Haller, J. W., *et al.*, 1996, "Stellar kinematics and the black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **456**, 194.
- Hamaus, N., *et al.*, 2009, "Prospects for testing the nature of Sgr A\*'s near-infrared flares on the basis of current very large telescope and future very large telescope interferometer observations," Astrophys. J. **692**, 902.
- Hansen, B. M. S., and M. Milosavljevic, 2003, "The need for a second black hole at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. 593, L77.
- Haubois, X., et al., 2010, unpublished.
- Hawley, J. F., and S. A. Balbus, 2002, "The dynamical structure of nonradiative black hole accretion flows," Astrophys. J. **573**, 738.
- Heber, U., *et al.*, 2008, "The B-type giant HD 271791 in the galactic halo. Linking run-away stars to hyper-velocity stars," Astron. Astrophys. **483**, L21.
- Herbst, T. M., et al., 1993, "Infrared imaging spectroscopy of

the galactic center—Distribution and motions of the ionized gas," Astron. J. **105**, 956.

- Herrnstein, R. M., *et al.*, 2004, "The variability of Sagittarius A\* at centimeter wavelengths," Astron. J. **127**, 3399.
- Hildebrand, R. H., *et al.*, 1993, "Polarization of the thermal emission from the dust ring at the center of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **417**, 565.
- Hills, J. G., 1988, "Hyper-velocity and tidal stars from binaries disrupted by a massive galactic black hole," Nature (London) 331, 687.
- Hills, J. G., 1991, "Computer simulations of encounters between massive black holes and binaries," Astron. J. 102, 704.
- Ho, L. C., 2008, "Nuclear activity in nearby galaxies," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **46**, 475.
- Hobbs, A., and S. Nayakshin, 2009, "Simulations of the formation of stellar discs in the galactic centre via cloud-cloud collisions," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **394**, 191.
- Honma, M., and Y. Sofue, 1996, "Mass of the Galaxy inferred from outer rotation curve," Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn. 48, L103.
- Hooper, D., *et al.*, 2004, "Have atmospheric Cerenkov telescopes observed dark matter?," J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **09**, 002.
- Hopman, C., 2009, "Binary dynamics near a massive black hole," Astrophys. J. 700, 1933.
- Hopman, C., and T. Alexander, 2006a, "The effect of mass segregation on gravitational wave sources near massive black holes," Astrophys. J. Lett. **645**, L133.
- Hopman, C., and T. Alexander, 2006b, "Resonant relaxation near a massive black hole: The stellar distribution and gravitational wave sources," Astrophys. J. **645**, 1152.
- Horns, D., 2005, "TeV  $\gamma$ -radiation from dark matter annihilation in the galactic center," Phys. Lett. B 607, 225.
- Hornstein, S. D., *et al.*, 2002, "Limits on the short-term variability of Sagittarius A\* in the near-infrared," Astrophys. J. Lett. **577**, L9.
- Hornstein, S. D., *et al.*, 2007, "A constant spectral index for Sagittarius A\* during infrared/x-ray intensity variations," Astrophys. J. **667**, 900.
- Hu, J., 2008, "The black hole mass-stellar velocity dispersion correlation: Bulges versus pseudo-bulges," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **386**, 2242.
- Huang, L., *et al.*, 2009, "Testing the accretion flow with plasma wave heating mechanism for Sagittarius A\* by the 1.3 mm VLBI measurements," Astrophys. J. **706**, 960.
- Huterer, D., *et al.*, 1995, "Distances to nearby galaxies, combining fragmentary data using four different methods," Astron. J. **110**, 2705.
- Ivanov, V. D., et al., 2005, "Discovery of new Milky Way star cluster candidates in the 2MASS point source catalog. IV. Follow-up observations of cluster candidates in the galactic plane," Astron. Astrophys. 435, 107.
- Jackson, J. M., *et al.*, 1993, "Neutral gas in the central 2 parsecs of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **402**, 173.
- Joy, A. H., 1939, "Rotation effects, interstellar absorption, and certain dynamical constants of the Galaxy determined from Cepheid variables," Astrophys. J. **89**, 356.
- Kenyon, S. J., *et al.*, 2008, "Hypervelocity stars: From the galactic center to the halo," Astrophys. J. **680**, 312.
- Kerr, F. J., and D. Lynden-Bell, 1986, "Review of galactic constants," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **221**, 1023.
- Kim, S. S., and M. Morris, 2003, "Dynamical friction on star clusters near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **597**, 312.
- Kim, S. S., et al., 2004, "Dynamical friction on galactic center

star clusters with an intermediate-mass black hole," Astrophys. J. Lett. **607**, L123.

- Kim, S. S., *et al.*, 2006, "The Arches cluster mass function," Astrophys. J. Lett. **653**, L113.
- Knödlseder, J., *et al.*, 2003, "Early SPI/INTEGRAL constraints on the morphology of the 511 keV line emission in the 4th galactic quadrant," Astron. Astrophys. **411**, L457.
- Kobulnicky, H. A., and C. L. Fryer, 2007, "A new look at the binary characteristics of massive stars," Astrophys. J. 670, 747.
- Kocsis, B., and S. Tremaine, 2010, "Resonant relaxation and the warp of the stellar disc in the galactic centre," e-print arXiv:1006.0001.
- Kolykhalov, P. I., and R. A. Sunyaev, 1980, "The outer parts of the accretion disks around supermassive black holes in galaxy nuclei and quasars," Sov. Astron. Lett. **6**, 357.
- Koposov, S. E., *et al.*, 2010, "Constraining the Milky Way potential with a 6-D phase-space map of the GD-1 stellar stream," Astrophys. J. **712**, 260.
- Kormendy, J., 2004, "The stellar-dynamical search for supermassive black holes in galactic nuclei," in *Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), Vol. 1, p. 1.
- Kormendy, J., and D. Richstone, 1995, "Inward bound—The search for supermassive black holes in galactic nuclei," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **33**, 581.
- Kosack, K., *et al.*, 2004, "TeV gamma-ray observations of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **608**, L97.
- Koyama, K., *et al.*, 1996, "ASCA view of our galactic center: Remains of past activities in x-rays?," Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn. **48**, 249.
- Koyama, K., *et al.*, 2003, "The ASCA and Chandra observations of the galactic center," Chin. J. Astron. Astrophys. **3**, 297.
- Koyama, K., *et al.*, 2009, "Spatial distribution of the galactic center diffuse x-rays and the spectra of the Brightest 6.4 keV clumps," Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn. **61**, 255.
- Kozai, Y., 1962, "Secular perturbations of asteroids with high inclination and eccentricity," Astron. J. 67, 591.
- Krabbe, A., *et al.*, 1991, "A cluster of He I emission-line stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **382**, L19.
- Krabbe, A., *et al.*, 1995, "The nuclear cluster of the Milky Way: Star formation and velocity dispersion in the central 0.5 parsec," Astrophys. J. Lett. **447**, L95.
- Krabbe, A., *et al.*, 2006, "Diffraction-limited imaging spectroscopy of the Sagittarius A\* region using OSIRIS, a new Keck instrument," Astrophys. J. Lett. **642**, L145.
- Kraniotis, G. V., 2007, "Periapsis and gravitomagnetic precessions of stellar orbits in Kerr and Kerr de Sitter black hole spacetimes," Class. Quantum Grav. 24, 1775.
- Krichbaum, T. P., *et al.*, 1993, "First 43-GHZ VLBI detection of the compact source Sagittarius-A\* in the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. **274**, L37.
- Krichbaum, T. P., *et al.*, 1998, "VLBI observations of the galactic center source Sgr A\* at 86 GHz and 215 GHz," Astron. Astrophys. **335**, L106.
- Kroupa, P., 2002, "The initial mass function of stars: Evidence for uniformity in variable systems," Science **295**, 82.
- Krumholz, M. R., and C. F. McKee, 2005, "A general theory of turbulence-regulated star formation, from spirals to ultraluminous infrared galaxies," Astrophys. J. **630**, 250.
- Kunneriath, D., et al., 2008, "Coordinated mm/sub-mm observations of Sagittarius A\* in May 2007," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.

**131**, 1.

- Lacy, J. H., *et al.*, 1980, "Observations of the motion and distribution of the ionized gas in the central parsec of the Galaxy. II," Astrophys. J. **241**, 132.
- Lacy, J. H., *et al.*, 1982, "The nature of the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **262**, 120.
- Lacy, J. H., *et al.*, 1991, "Galactic center gas dynamics—A onearmed spiral in a Keplerian disk," Astrophys. J. Lett. **380**, L71.
- Larson, R. B., 2006, "Understanding the stellar initial mass function," in *Proceedings of the XI IAU Regional Latin American Meeting of Astronomy (Serie de Conferencias)*, edited by L. Infante and M. Rubio (Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica), Vol. 26, p. 55.
- Latvakoski, H. M., *et al.*, 1999, "Kuiper widefield infrared camera far-infrared imaging of the galactic center: The circumnuclear disk revealed," Astrophys. J. **511**, 761.
- Launhardt, R., *et al.*, 2002, "The nuclear bulge of the Galaxy. III. Large-scale physical characteristics of stars and interstellar matter," Astron. Astrophys. **384**, 112.
- Lee, H. M., 1987, "Dynamical effects of successive mergers on the evolution of spherical stellar systems," Astrophys. J. 319, 801.
- Leonard, P. J. T., and D. Merritt, 1989, "The mass of the open star cluster M35 as derived from proper motions," Astrophys. J. **339**, 195.
- Lester, D. F., *et al.*, 1987, "Far-infrared measurements of N/O in H II regions—Evidence for enhanced CN process nucleosynthesis in the inner Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **320**, 573.
- Levin, Y., 2006, "Ejection of high-velocity stars from the galactic center by an inspiraling intermediate-mass black hole," Astrophys. J. **653**, 1203.
- Levin, Y., 2007, "Starbursts near supermassive black holes: Young stars in the galactic centre, and gravitational waves in LISA band," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **374**, 515.
- Levin, Y., and A. M. Beloborodov, 2003, "Stellar disk in the galactic center: A remnant of a dense accretion disk?," Astrophys. J. Lett. **590**, L33.
- Li, J., *et al.*, 2009, "The variability of Sagittarius A\* at 3 millimeter," Astrophys. J. **700**, 417.
- Libonate, S., *et al.*, 1995, "Near-infrared spectra of compact stellar wind sources at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **439**, 202.
- Lin, D. N. C., and J. E. Pringle, 1987, "A viscosity prescription for a self-gravitating accretion disc," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **225**, 607.
- Lindqvist, M., et al., 1992, "OH/IR stars close to the galactic centre. II—Their spatial and kinematic properties and the mass distribution within 5–100 PC from the galactic centre," Astron. Astrophys. 259, 118.
- Lissauer, J. J., 1987, "Timescales for planetary accretion and the structure of the protoplanetary disk," Icarus **69**, 249.
- Liszt, H. S., 2003, "The velocity field of ionized gas near Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **408**, 1009.
- Liszt, H. S., *et al.*, 1983, "VLA synthesis of H I absorption toward SGR A," Astron. Astrophys. **126**, 341.
- Lo, K. Y., and M. J. Claussen, 1983, "High-resolution observations of ionized gas in central 3 parsecs of the Galaxy— Possible evidence for infall," Nature (London) **306**, 647.
- Lo, K. Y., *et al.*, 1985, "On the size of the galactic centre compact radio source diameter less than 20 AU," Nature (London) **315**, 124.
- Löckmann, U., and H. Baumgardt, 2009, "On the number of

young stellar discs in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **394**, 1841.

- Löckmann, U., *et al.*, 2009, "Influence of a stellar cusp on the dynamics of young stellar discs and the origin of the S-stars in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **398**, 429.
- Löckmann, U., et al., 2010, "Constraining the initial mass function of stars in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 402, 519.
- Loeb, A., and E. Waxman, 2007, "Properties of the radioemitting gas around Sgr A\*," J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03, 011.
- Lu, J. R., *et al.*, 2006, "Galactic center youth: Orbits and origins of the young stars in the central parsec," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 54, 279.
- Lu, J. R., *et al.*, 2009, "A disk of young stars at the galactic center as determined by individual stellar orbits," Astrophys. J. 690, 1463.
- Lu, Y., Q. Yu, and D. N. C. Lin, 2007, "Hypervelocity binary stars: Smoking gun of massive binary black holes," Astrophys. J. Lett. **666**, L89.
- Lutz, D., 1999, "ISO observations of the galactic centre," in *The Universe as Seen by ISO*, edited by P. Cox and M. F. Kessler, Vol. 427, p. 623.
- Lutz, D., et al., 1996, "SWS observations of the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. 315, L269.
- Lynden-Bell, D., 1969, "Galactic nuclei as collapsed old quasars," Nature (London) **223**, 690.
- Lynden-Bell, D., and M. J. Rees, 1971, "On quasars, dust and the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **152**, 461.
- Macquart, J.-P., and G. C. Bower, 2006, "Understanding the radio variability of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. 641, 302.
- Macquart, J.-P., *et al.*, 2006, "The rotation measure and 3.5 millimeter polarization of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **646**, L111.
- Madigan, A.-M., *et al.*, 2009, "A new secular instability of eccentric stellar disks around supermassive black holes, with application to the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **697**, L44.
- Maeda, Y., *et al.*, 2002, "A Chandra study of Sagittarius A east: A supernova remnant regulating the activity of our galactic center?," Astrophys. J. **570**, 671.
- Maeder, A., and G. Meynet, 2000, "The evolution of rotating stars," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **38**, 143.
- Maillard, J. P., *et al.*, 2004, "The nature of the galactic center source IRS 13 revealed by high spatial resolution in the infrared," Astron. Astrophys. **423**, 155.
- Majaess, D. J., 2010, "Concerning the distance to the center of the Milky Way and its structure," Acta Astron. 60, 55.
- Maloney, P. R., *et al.*, 1992, "Heating of H II regions with application to the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **401**, 559.
- Maness, H., *et al.*, 2007, "Evidence for a long-standing topheavy initial mass function in the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **669**, 1024.
- Maoz, E., 1998, "Dynamical constraints on alternatives to supermassive black holes in galactic nuclei," Astrophys. J. Lett. **494**, L181.
- Mapelli, M., *et al.*, 2008, "In situ formation of the massive stars around Sgr A\*," e-print arXiv:0805.0185.
- Markoff, S., *et al.*, 2001, "The nature of the 10 kilosecond x-ray flare in Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **379**, L13.
- Marrone, D. P., *et al.*, 2006, "Interferometric measurements of variable 340 GHz linear polarization in Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **640**, 308.

- Marrone, D. P., *et al.*, 2007, "An unambiguous detection of faraday rotation in Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **654**, L57.
- Marrone, D. P., *et al.*, 2008, "An x-ray, infrared, and submillimeter flare of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **682**, 373.
- Martins, F., 2010, private communication.
- Martins, F., et al., 2006, "GCIRS 16SW: A massive eclipsing binary in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. 649, L103.
- Martins, F., *et al.*, 2007, "Stellar and wind properties of massive stars in the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astron. Astrophys. **468**, 233.
- Martins, F., et al., 2008a, "The most massive stars in the Arches cluster," Astron. Astrophys. 478, 219.
- Martins, F., *et al.*, 2008b, "On the nature of the fast-moving star S2 in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **672**, L119.
- Matsunaga, N., *et al.*, 2009, "A near-infrared survey of Miras and the distance to the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **399**, 1709.
- Mauerhan, J. C., *et al.*, 2005, "Intraday variability of Sagittarius A\* at 3 millimeters," Astrophys. J. Lett. **623**, L25.
- Mazur, P. O., and E. Mottola, 2001, "Gravitational condensate stars: An alternative to black holes," e-print arXiv:gr-qc/0109035.
- McGinn, M. T., *et al.*, 1989, "Stellar kinematics in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **338**, 824.
- McKee, C. F., and E. C. Ostriker, 2007, "Theory of star formation," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 45, 565.
- Melia, F., 1992, "An accreting black hole model for Sagittarius A," Astrophys. J. Lett. **387**, L25.
- Melia, F., 2003, *The Black Hole at the Center of our Galaxy* (Princeton University Press, Princeton).
- Melia, F., and H. Falcke, 2001, "The supermassive black hole at the galactic center," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **39**, 309.
- Menten, K. M., *et al.*, 1997, "The position of SGR A star: Accurate alignment of the radio and infrared reference frames at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **475**, L111.
- Merloni, A., et al., 2003, "A fundamental plane of black hole activity," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 345, 1057.
- Merritt, D., 2006, "Dynamics of galaxy cores and supermassive black holes," Rep. Prog. Phys. **69**, 2513.
- Merritt, D., 2010 "The distribution of stars and stellar remnants at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **718**, 739.
- Merritt, D., *et al.*, 2002, "Dark matter spikes and annihilation radiation from the galactic center," Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 191301.
- Merritt, D., et al., 2007, "Brownian motion of black holes in dense nuclei," Astron. J. 133, 553.
- Merritt, D., *et al.*, 2009, "Explaining the orbits of the galactic center S-stars," Astrophys. J. Lett. **693**, L35.
- Merritt, D., et al., 2010, "Testing properties of the galactic center black hole using stellar orbits," Phys. Rev. D 81, 062002.
- Metzger, M. R., et al., 1998, "The shape and scale of galactic rotation from Cepheid kinematics," Astron. J. 115, 635.
- Meyer, L., *et al.*, 2006, "K-band polarimetry of an Sgr A\* flare with a clear sub-flare structure," Astron. Astrophys. **458**, L25.
- Meyer, L., *et al.*, 2007, "On the orientation of the Sagittarius A\* system," Astron. Astrophys. **473**, 707.
- Meyer, L., *et al.*, 2008, "A 600 minute near-infrared light curve of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **688**, L17.
- Mezger, P. G., and J. E. Wink, 1986, "Dynamics of the ionized gas within 2 pc of the galactic center. I—First results of a radio recombination line survey," Astron. Astrophys. **157**,

252.

- Mezger, P. G., et al., 1989, "Continuum observations of SGR A at mm/submm wavelengths," Astron. Astrophys. 209, 337.
- Mezger, P. G., *et al.*, 1996, "The galactic center: A laboratory for AGN?," Astron. Astrophys. Rev. 7, 289.
- Mikkola, S., and D. Merritt, 2008, "Implementing few-body algorithmic regularization with post-Newtonian terms," Astron. J. 135, 2398.
- Milosavljevic, M., and A. Loeb, 2004, "The link between warm molecular disks in maser nuclei and star formation near the black hole at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. 604, L45.
- Milosavljevic, M., and D. Merritt, 2001, "Formation of galactic nuclei," Astrophys. J. **563**, 34.
- Miralda-Escude, J., and A. Gould, 2000, "A cluster of black holes at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **545**, 847.
- Miyazaki, A., *et al.*, 2004, "Intraday variation of Sagittarius A\* at short millimeter wavelengths," Astrophys. J. Lett. **611**, L97.
- Miyoshi, M., *et al.*, 1995, "Evidence for a black hole from high rotation velocities in a sub-parsec region of NGC4258," Nature (London) **373**, 127.
- Montero-Castano, M. M., *et al.*, 2009, "Gas infall toward Sgr A\* from the clumpy circumnuclear disk," Astrophys. J. 695, 1477.
- Morris, M., 1993, "Massive star formation near the galactic center and the fate of the stellar remnants," Astrophys. J. **408**, 496.
- Morris, M., and E. Serabyn, 1996, "The galactic center environment," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 34, 645.
- Morris, M., and F. Yusef-Zadeh, 1987, "Radio emission from Sgr A and its extended halo," AIP Conf. Proc. **155**, 127.
- Mościbrodzka, M., *et al.*, 2009, "Radiative models of Sgr A\* from GRMHD simulations," Astrophys. J. **706**, 497.
- Moskalenko, I. V., and L. L. Wai, 2007, "Dark matter burners," Astrophys. J. Lett. **659**, L29.
- Mouawad, N., *et al.*, 2005, "Weighing the cusp at the galactic centre," Astron. Nachr. **326**, 83.
- Muno, M. P., et al., 2004, "Diffuse x-ray emission in a deep Chandra image of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 613, 326.
- Muno, M. P., *et al.*, 2005, "An overabundance of transient x-ray binaries within 1 parsec of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **622**, L113.
- Muno, M. P., *et al.*, 2007, "Discovery of variable iron fluorescence from reflection nebulae in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **656**, L69.
- Muno, M. P., *et al.*, 2008, "A catalog of diffuse x-ray-emitting features within 20 pc of Sagittarius A\*: Twenty pulsar wind nebulae?," Astrophys. J. **673**, 251.
- Muno, M. P., *et al.*, 2009, "A catalog of x-ray point sources from two megaseconds of Chandra observations of the galactic center," Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser. **181**, 110.
- Munyaneza, F., *et al.*, 1998, "Sagittarius A\*: A supermassive black hole or a spatially extended object?," Astrophys. J. Lett. **509**, L105.
- Murphy, B. W., *et al.*, 1991, "Dynamical and luminosity evolution of active galactic nuclei—Models with a mass spectrum," Astrophys. J. **370**, 60.
- Muzic, K., et al., 2008, "IRS 13N: A new comoving group of sources at the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. 482, 173.
- Najarro, F., *et al.*, 1994, "The nature of the brightest galactic center HeI emission line star," Astron. Astrophys. **285**, 573.
- Najarro, F., et al., 1997, "Quantitative spectroscopy of the HeI

cluster in the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. **325**, 700. Najarro, F., *et al.*, 2004, "Metallicity in the galactic center: The Arches cluster," Astrophys. J. Lett. **611**, L105.

- Najarro, F., *et al.*, 2009, "Metallicity in the galactic center: The Quintuplet cluster," Astrophys. J. **691**, 1816.
- Narayan, R., and I. Yi, 1995, "Advection-dominated accretion: Underfed black holes and neutron stars," Astrophys. J. **452**, 710.
- Narayan, R., *et al.*, 1995, "Explaining the spectrum of Sagittarius A\* with a model of an accreting black hole," Nature (London) **374**, 623.
- Narayan, R., *et al.*, 1997, "Advection-dominated accretion and black hole event horizons," Astrophys. J. Lett. **478**, L79.
- Narayan, R., *et al.*, 1998, "Advection-dominated accretion model of Sagittarius A\*: Evidence for a black hole at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **492**, 554.
- Narayan, R., et al., 2002, "The magnetohydrodynamics of convection-dominated accretion flows," Astrophys. J. 577, 295.
- Navarro, J. F., *et al.*, 1997, "A universal density profile from hierarchical clustering," Astrophys. J. **490**, 493.
- Nayakshin, S., 2005a, "Warped accretion discs and the unification of active galactic nuclei," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **359**, 545.
- Nayakshin, S., 2005b, "Using close stars as probes of hot accretion flow in Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **429**, L33.
- Nayakshin, S., and J. Cuadra, 2005, "A self-gravitating accretion disk in Sgr A\* a few million years ago: Is Sgr A\* a failed quasar?," Astron. Astrophys. **437**, 437.
- Nayakshin, S., and R. A. Sunyaev, 2005, "The 'missing' young stellar objects in the central parsec of the Galaxy: Evidence for star formation in a massive accretion disc and a top-heavy initial mass function," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **364**, L23.
- Nayakshin, S., et al., 2006, "Weighing the young stellar discs around Sgr A\*," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 366, 1410.
- Nayakshin, S., *et al.*, 2007, "Simulations of star formation in a gaseous disc around Sgr A\*—A failed active galactic nucleus," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **379**, 21.
- Nikiforov, I., 2004, "The distance to the center of the Galaxy: The current state-of-the-art in measuring R0," in *Proceedings* of the Conference on Order and Chaos in Stellar and Planetary Systems, edited by G. G. Byrd et al. (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco), Vol. 316, p. 199.
- Nishiyama, S., *et al.*, 2006, "The distance to the galactic center derived from infrared photometry of bulge red clump stars," Astrophys. J. **647**, 1093.
- Nishiyama, S., *et al.*, 2009a, "Near-infrared polarimetry of flares from Sgr A\* with Subaru/CIAO," Astrophys. J. Lett. **702**, L56.
- Nishiyama, S., *et al.*, 2009b, "Interstellar extinction law toward the galactic center III: J, H, KS bands in the 2MASS and the MKO systems, and 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0  $\mu$ m in the Spitzer/IRAC system," Astrophys. J. **696**, 1407.
- Noble, S. C., *et al.*, 2007, "Simulating the emission and outflows from accretion discs," Class. Quantum Grav. 24, S259.
- O'Leary, R. M., and A. Loeb, 2008, "Production of hypervelocity stars through encounters with stellar-mass black holes in the galactic centre," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **383**, 86.
- Olling, R. P., and M. R. Merrifield, 2001, "Luminous and dark matter in the Milky Way," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **326**, 164.
- Oort, J. H., 1977, "The galactic center," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 15, 295.

- Öpik, E., 1924, "Statistical studies of double stars: On the distribution of relative luminosities and distances of double stars in the Harvard revised photometry north of declination  $-30^{\circ}$ ," Publ. Obs. Astron. Univ. Tartu **25**, 1.
- Ott, T., *et al.*, 1999, "Variable and embedded stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **523**, 248.
- Özel, F., et al., 2000, "Hybrid thermal-nonthermal synchrotron emission from hot accretion flows," Astrophys. J. 541, 234.
- Paczynski, B., 1978, "A model of selfgravitating accretion disk," Acta Astron. 28, 91.
- Paczynski, B., and K. Z. Stanek, 1998, "Galactocentric distance with the optical gravitational lensing experiment and HIP-PARCOS red clump stars," Astrophys. J. Lett. 494, L219.
- Paumard, T., *et al.*, 2001, "New results on the helium stars in the galactic center using BEAR spectro-imagery," Astron. Astrophys. **366**, 466.
- Paumard, T., *et al.*, 2003, "New results on the galactic center helium stars," Astron. Nachr. **324**, 303.
- Paumard, T., *et al.*, 2004, "Kinematic and structural analysis of the minispiral in the galactic center from BEAR spectroimagery," Astron. Astrophys. **426**, 81.
- Paumard, T., *et al.*, 2006, "The two young star disks in the central parsec of the galaxy: Properties, dynamics, and formation," Astrophys. J. **643**, 1011.
- Perets, H. B., 2009, "Dynamical and evolutionary constraints on the nature and origin of hypervelocity stars," Astrophys. J., 690, 795.
- Perets, H. B., and A. Gualandris, 2010, "Dynamical constraints on the origin of the young B-stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 719, 220.
- Perets, H. B., *et al.*, 2007, "Massive perturber-driven interactions between stars and a massive black hole," Astrophys. J. **656**, 709.
- Perets, H. B., et al., 2008a, "Getting a kick out of the stellar disk(s) in the galactic center," in *IAUS: Dynamical Evolution* of Dense Stellar Systems, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union, IAU Symposium Vol. 246 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge), p. 275.
- Perets, H. B., *et al.*, 2008b, "Dynamical evolution of the young stars in the galactic center," Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital. **79**, 1100.
- Perets, H. B., *et al.*, 2009, "Dynamical evolution of the young stars in the galactic center: N-body simulations of the S-stars," Astrophys. J. **702**, 884.
- Perger, M., et al., 2008, "Compact mid-IR sources east of galactic center source IRS 5," Astron. Astrophys. 478, 127.
- Phinney, E. S., 1989, "Manifestations of a massive black hole in the galactic center," in *The Center of the Galaxy*, Proceedings of the 136th Symposium of the IAU (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht), Vol. 136, p. 543.
- Pfuhl, O., 2010, private communication.
- Pfuhl, O., et al., 2010, unpublished.
- Ponti, G., *et al.*, 2010, "Discovery of a superluminal Fe K echo at the galactic center: The glorious past of Sgr A\* preserved by molecular clouds," Astrophys. J. **714**, 732.
- Porquet, D., *et al.*, 2003, "XMM-Newton observation of the brightest x-ray flare detected so far from Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **407**, L17.
- Porquet, D., *et al.*, 2008, "X-ray hiccups from Sagittarius A\* observed by XMM-Newton. The second brightest flare and three moderate flares caught in half a day," Astron. Astrophys. **488**, 549.
- Portegies Zwart, S. F., and S. L. W. McMillan, 2002, "The runaway growth of intermediate-mass black holes in dense star

clusters," Astrophys. J. 576, 899.

- Portegies Zwart, S. F., *et al.*, 2003, "The origin of IRS 16: Dynamically driven in-spiral of a dense star cluster to the galactic center?," Astrophys. J. **593**, 352.
- Portegies Zwart, ., *et al.*, 2006, "The Ecology of star clusters and intermediate-mass black holes in the galactic bulge," Astrophys. J. **641**, 319.
- Pott, J. U., *et al.* 2008, "Astrometry with the Keckinterferometer: The ASTRA project and its science," New Astron. Rev. **53**, 363.
- Pradel, N., *et al.*, 2006, "Astrometric accuracy of phase-referenced observations with the VLBA and EVN," Astron. Astrophys. **452**, 1099.
- Predehl, P., *et al.*, 2003, "XMM-Newton observation of the galactic centre—Evidence against the x-ray reflection nebulae model?," Astron. Nachr. **324**, 73.
- Preto, M., and P. Amaro-Seoane, 2010, "On strong mass segregation around a massive black hole: Implications for lowerfrequency gravitational-wave astrophysics," Astrophys. J. Lett. **708**, L42.
- Quataert, E., 2002, "A thermal bremsstrahlung model for the quiescent x-ray emission from Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **575**, 855.
- Quataert, E., 2003, "Radiatively inefficient accretion flow models of Sgr A\*," Astron. Nachr. **324**, 435.
- Quataert, E., 2004, "A dynamical model for hot gas in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 613, 322.
- Quataert, E., and A. Gruzinov, 2000, "Convection-dominated accretion flows," Astrophys. J. 539, 809.
- Quinlan, G. D., *et al.*, 1995, "Models of galaxies with central black holes: Adiabatic growth in spherical galaxies," Astrophys. J. **440**, 554.
- Rafelski, M., *et al.*, 2007, "Photometric stellar variability in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **659**, 1241.
- Ramirez, S. V., *et al.*, 2000, "Stellar iron abundances at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **537**, 205.
- Rauch, K. P., and S. Tremaine, 1996, "Resonant relaxation in stellar systems," New Astron. 1, 149.
- Rees, M. J., 1982, "The compact source at the galactic center. in The galactic center," *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Galactic Center* (AIP, New York), Vol. 83, p. 166.
- Rees, M. J., 1984, "Black hole models for active galactic nuclei," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 22, 471.
- Regis, M., and P. Ullio, 2008, "Multiwavelength signals of dark matter annihilations at the galactic center," Phys. Rev. D 78, 043505.
- Reid, M. J., 1989, "The distance to the galactic center: R0 (review)," *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Galactic Center* (AIP, New York), Vol. 183, p. 37.
- Reid, M. J., 1993, "The distance to the center of the Galaxy," Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **31**, 345.
- Reid, M. J., 2009, "Is there a supermassive black hole at the center of the Milky Way?," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 18, 889.
- Reid, M. J., and A. Brunthaler, 2004, "The proper motion of Sagittarius A\*. II. The mass of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. 616, 872.
- Reid, M. J., *et al.*, 1988, "The distance to the center of the Galaxy—H<sub>2</sub>O maser proper motions in Sagittarius B2(N)," Astrophys. J. **330**, 809.
- Reid, M. J., *et al.*, 2003, "The position of Sagittarius A\*. II. Accurate positions and proper motions of stellar SiO masers near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **587**, 208.

Reid, M. J., et al., 2007, "The position of Sagittarius A\*. III.

Motion of the stellar cusp," Astrophys. J. 659, 378.

- Reid, M. J., *et al.*, 2009a, "Trigonometric parallaxes of massive star-forming regions. VI. Galactic structure, fundamental parameters, and noncircular motions," Astrophys. J. **700**, 137.
- Reid, M. J., *et al.*, 2009b, "A trigonometric parallax of Sgr B2," Astrophys. J. **705**, 1548.
- Revnivtsev, M., *et al.*, 2006, "Map of the Galaxy in the 6.7-keV emission line," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **373**, L11.
- Revnivtsev, M., *et al.*, 2009, "Discrete sources as the origin of the galactic x-ray ridge emission," Nature (London) **458**, 1142.
- Revnivtsev, M. G., *et al.*, 2004, "Hard x-ray view of the past activity of Sgr A\* in a natural Compton mirror," Astron. Astrophys. **425**, L49.
- Rieke, G. H., and M. J. Lebofsky, 1982, "Comparison of galactic center with other galaxies. In The Galactic Center," Proceedings of the Workshop 83, 194.
- Rieke, G. H., and M. J. Lebofsky, 1985, "The interstellar extinction law from 1 to 13 microns," Astrophys. J. 288, 618.
- Rieke, G. H., and M. J. Rieke, 1988, "Stellar velocities and the mass distribution in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **330**, L33.
- Rieke, G. H., et al., 1989, "Origin of the excitation of the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 336, 752.
- Rieke, M. J., 1999, "NICMOS search for the tip of the main sequence," *The Central Parsecs of the Galaxy*, edited by H. Falcke, A. Cotera, W. J. Dunschl, F. Melia, and M. J. Rieke, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 186 (ASP, San Francisco), p. 32.
- Roberts, D. A., and W. M. Goss, 1993, "Multiconfiguration VLA H92-alpha observations of Sagittarius A west at 1 arcsecond resolution," Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser. **86**, 133.
- Roberts, D. A., *et al.*, 1996, "Kinematics of the ionized gas in Sagittarius A west: Mass estimates of the inner 0.13 parsecs of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **459**, 627.
- Rogers, A. E. E., *et al.*, 1994, "Small-scale structure and position of Sagittarius A(\*) from VLBI at 3 millimeter wavelength," Astrophys. J. Lett. **434**, L59.
- Rosenthal, D., *et al.*, 2000, "ISO-SWS observations of OMC-1: H<sub>2</sub> and fine structure lines," Astron. Astrophys. **356**, 705.
- Rubilar, G. F., and A. Eckart, 2001, "Periastron shifts of stellar orbits near the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. 374, 95.
- Rybicki, M., et al., 1974, "From galactic disk models," Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 6, 453.
- Sabha, N., *et al.*, 2010, "The extreme luminosity states of Sagittarius A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **512**, A2.
- Sakano, M., et al., 2004, "XMM-Newton observations of Sagittarius A east," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **350**, 129.
- Salati, P., and J. Silk, 1989, "A stellar probe of dark matter annihilation in galactic nuclei," Astrophys. J. **338**, 24.
- Salim, S., and A. Gould, 1999, "Sagittarius A\* 'visual binaries': A direct measurement of the galactocentric distance," Astrophys. J. 523, 633.
- Salpeter, E. E., 1955, "The luminosity function and stellar evolution," Astrophys. J. **121**, 161.
- Sanders, R. H., 1998, "The circumnuclear material in the galactic centre—A clue to the accretion process," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **294**, 35.
- Sari, R., *et al.*, 2010, "Hypervelocity stars and the restricted parabolic three-body problem," Astrophys. J. **708**, 605.
- Sault, R. J., and J.-P. Macquart, 1999, "Confirmation and analysis of circular polarization from Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **526**, L85.
- Schmidt, M., 1963, "3C 273: A Star-like object with large red-

shift," Nature (London) 197, 1040.

- Schneps, M. H., et al., 1981, "Proper motions and distances of H2O maser sources. III—W51NORTH," Astrophys. J. 249, 124.
- Schödel, R., 2010, "The Milky Way nuclear star cluster in context," e-print arXiv:1001.4238.
- Schödel, R., et al., 2002, "A star in a 15.2-year orbit around the supermassive black hole at the centre of the Milky Way," Nature (London) 419, 694.
- Schödel, R., *et al.*, 2003, "The galactic center stellar cluster: The central arcsecond," Astron. Nachr. **324**, 535.
- Schödel, R., et al., 2005, "A black hole in the galactic center complex IRS 13E?," Astrophys. J. Lett. 625, L111.
- Schödel, R., *et al.*, 2007a, "The structure of the nuclear stellar cluster of the Milky Way," Astron. Astrophys. **469**, 125.
- Schödel, R., *et al.*, 2007b, "The possibility of detecting Sagittarius A\* at 8.6  $\mu$ m from sensitive imaging of the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. **462**, L1.
- Schödel, R., et al., 2009, "The nuclear star cluster of the Milky Way: Proper motions and mass," Astron. Astrophys. 502, 91.
- Schödel, R., *et al.*, 2010, "Peering through the veil: Nearinfrared photometry and extinction for the galactic nuclear star cluster. Accurate near infrared H, Ks, and L' photometry and the near-infrared extinction-law toward the central parsec of the Galaxy," Astron. Astrophys. **511**, 18.
- Schwarz, U. J., et al., 1989, "The distribution and kinematics of the ionized gas in the galactic centre region," Astron. Astrophys. 215, 33.
- Scott, P., *et al.*, 2009, "Dark stars at the galactic centre—The main sequence," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **394**, 82.
- Scoville, N. Z., *et al.*, 2003, "Hubble space telescope  $P\alpha$  and 1.9 micron imaging of Sagittarius A west," Astrophys. J. **594**, 294.
- Sellgren, K., *et al.*, 1990, "Velocity dispersion and the stellar population in the central 1.2 parsecs of the Galaxy," Astrophys. J. **359**, 112.
- Serabyn, E., and J. H. Lacy, 1985, "Forbidden NE II observations of the galactic center—Evidence for a massive block hole," Astrophys. J. **293**, 445.
- Serabyn, E., and M. Morris, 1996, "Sustained star formation in the central stellar cluster of the Milky Way," Nature (London) **382**, 602.
- Serabyn, E., et al., 1986, "CO 1–0 and CS 2–1 observations of the neutral disk around the galactic center," Astron. Astrophys. **169**, 85.
- Serabyn, E., *et al.*, 1988, "High-resolution forbidden NE II observations of the ionized filaments in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **326**, 171.
- Serabyn, E., *et al.*, 1991, "A gaseous tail ablated from the supergiant IRS 7 near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **378**, 557.
- Serabyn, E., et al., 1997, "High frequency measurements of the spectrum of Sgr A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. 490, L77.
- Sharma, P., *et al.*, 2007a, "Faraday rotation in global accretion disk simulations: Implications for Sgr A\*," Astrophys. J. **671**, 1696.
- Sharma, P., et al., 2007b, "Electron heating in hot accretion flows," Astrophys. J. 667, 714.
- Shaver, P. A., *et al.*, 1983, "The galactic abundance gradient," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **204**, 53.
- Shen, Z.-Q., *et al.*, 2005, "A size of  $\sim 1$  AU for the radio source Sgr A\* at the centre of the Milky Way," Nature (London) **438**, 62.

- Shields, J. C., and G. J. Ferland, 1994, "Nebular properties and the ionizing radiation field in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 430, 236.
- Shlosman, I., and M. C. Begelman, 1989, "Evolution of selfgravitating accretion disks in active galactic nuclei," Astrophys. J. 341, 685.
- Simpson, J. P., et al., 1995, "Far-infrared lines from H II regions: Abundance variations in the galaxy," Astrophys. J. 444, 721.
- Smith, M. C., *et al.*, 2007, "The RAVE survey: Constraining the local galactic escape speed," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **379**, 755.
- Spitzer, L., and M. Schwarzschild, 1951, "The possible influence of interstellar clouds on stellar velocities," Astrophys. J. 114, 385.
- Stark, A. A., *et al.*, 1991, "On the fate of galactic centre molecular clouds," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **248**, 14P.
- Stolte, A., et al., 2005, "The Arches cluster: Evidence for a truncated mass function?," Astrophys. J. Lett. 628, L113.
- Subr, L., et al., 2009, "The warped young stellar disc in the galactic centre," Astron. Astrophys. 496, 695.
- Sunyaev, R. A., *et al.*, 1993, "The center of the Galaxy in the recent past—A view from GRANAT," Astrophys. J. 407, 606.
- Surdin, V. G., 1999, "Distance to the galactic centre," Astron. Astrophys. Trans. **18**, 367.
- Tamblyn, P., and G. H. Rieke, 1993, "IRS 16—The galaxy's central wimp?," Astrophys. J. 414, 573.
- Tamblyn, P., et al., 1996, "The peculiar population of hot stars at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 456, 206.
- Tanner, A., *et al.*, 2002, "Spatially resolved observations of the galactic center source IRS 21," Astrophys. J. **575**, 860.
- Tanner, A., *et al.*, 2005, "Stellar bow shocks in the northern arm of the galactic center: More members and kinematics of the massive star population," Astrophys. J. **624**, 742.
- Tanner, A., *et al.*, 2006, "High spectral resolution observations of the massive stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **641**, 891.
- Telesco, C. M., *et al.*, 1996, "10–30 micron maps of the central 5 parsecs of the galaxy: Heating of the cavity and neutral gas disk," Astrophys. J. **456**, 541.
- Thornley, M. D., *et al.*, 2000, "Massive star formation and evolution in starburst galaxies: Mid-infrared spectroscopy with the ISO short wavelength spectrometer," Astrophys. J. **539**, 641.
- Toomre, A., 1964, "On the gravitational stability of a disk of stars," Astrophys. J. **139**, 1217.
- Torres, D. F., et al., 2000, "Supermassive boson star at the galactic center?," Phys. Rev. D 62, 104012.
- Trap, G., et al., 2010, private communication.
- Tremaine, S., et al., 2002, "The slope of the black hole mass versus velocity dispersion correlation," Astrophys. J. 574, 740.
- Trippe, S., *et al.*, 2007, "A polarized infrared flare from Sagittarius A\* and the signatures of orbiting plasma hotspots," Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **375**, 764.
- Trippe, S., *et al.*, 2008, "Kinematics of the old stellar population at the galactic centre," Astron. Astrophys. **492**, 419.
- Tsuchiya, K., *et al.*, 2004, "Detection of sub-TeV gamma rays from the galactic center direction by CANGAROO-II," Astrophys. J. Lett. **606**, L115.
- Vachaspati, T., *et al.*, 2007, "Observation of incipient black holes and the information loss problem," Phys. Rev. D 76, 024005.

Valinia, A., *et al.*, 2000, "On the origin of the iron K line in the spectrum of the galactic x-ray background," Astrophys. J. **543**, 733.

- van de Hulst, H. C., *et al.*, 1954, "The spiral structure of the outer part of the galactic system derived from the hydrogen emission at 21 cm wavelength," Bull. Astron. Inst. Neth. **12**, 117.
- van den Bergh, S., and E. Herbst, 1974, "Photometry of stars in the nuclear bulge of the Galaxy through a low absorption window at 1=0d, b=-8d," Astron. J. **79**, 603.
- van Eldik, C., 2008, "Very high energy gamma-ray observations of the galactic centre region," AIP Conf. Proc. **1085**, 146.
- Vanhollebeke, E., *et al.*, 2009, "Stellar populations in the galactic bulge. Modelling the galactic bulge with TRILEGAL," Astron. Astrophys. **498**, 95.
- Vasiliev, E., and M. Zelnikov, 2008, "Dark matter dynamics in the galactic center," Phys. Rev. D 78, 083506.
- Viehmann, T., *et al.*, 2006, "Dusty sources at the galactic center the N- and Q-band views with VISIR," Astrophys. J. **642**, 861.
- Viollier, R. D., *et al.*, 1993, "Supermassive neutrino stars and galactic nuclei," Phys. Lett. B **306**, 79.
- Vollmer, B., and W. J. Duschl, 2000, "The minispiral in the galactic center revisited," New Astron. 4, 581.
- Vollmer, B., and W. J. Duschl, 2002, "The dynamics of the circumnuclear disk and its environment in the galactic centre," Astron. Astrophys. **388**, 128.
- Von Linden, S., *et al.*, 1993, "Molecular clouds as tracers of the dynamics in the central region of the galaxy," Astron. Astrophys. **269**, 169.
- Wang, Q. D., 2006, "Chandra observations of the galactic center: High energy processes at arcsecond resolution," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 54, 115.
- Wardle, M., and F. Yusef-Zadeh, 2008, "On the formation of compact stellar disks around Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. 683, L37.
- Weinberg, N. N., *et al.*, 2005, "Stellar dynamics at the galactic center with an extremely large telescope," Astrophys. J. **622**, 878.
- Weingartner, J. C., and B. T. Draine, 2001, "Dust grain-size distributions and extinction in the Milky Way, Large Magellanic Cloud, and Small Magellanic Cloud," Astrophys. J. 548, 296.
- Will, C. M., 2008, "Testing the general relativistic "no-hair" theorems using the galactic center black hole Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. Lett. **674**, L25.
- Wollman, E. R., *et al.*, 1977, "NE II 12.8 micron emission from the galactic center. II," Astrophys. J. Lett. **218**, L103.
- Wright, M. C. H., *et al.*, 1989, "Hat creek HCO<sup>{+}</sup> and HCN observations of SGR a," The Center of the Galaxy: Proceedings of the 136th Symposium of the IAU (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht), Vol. 136, p. 407.
- Xu, Y.-D., *et al.*, 2006, "Thermal x-ray iron line emission from the galactic center black hole Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **640**, 319.
- Young, P., 1980, "Numerical models of star clusters with a central black hole. I—Adiabatic models," Astrophys. J. 242, 1232.
- Yu, Q., and S. Tremaine, 2003, "Ejection of hypervelocity stars by the (binary) black hole in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **599**, 1129.

Yu, Q., et al., 2007, "On the origin of the kinematic distribution

of the subparsec young stars in the galactic center," Astrophys. J. 666, 919.

- Yuan, F., 2010, "Accretion and ejection in Sgr A\*," e-print arXiv:1002.3012.
- Yuan, F., et al., 2002, "A Jet-ADAF model for Sgr A\*," Astron. Astrophys. **383**, 854.
- Yuan, F., *et al.*, 2003, "Nonthermal electrons in radiatively inefficient accretion flow models of Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **598**, 301.
- Yuan, F., *et al.*, 2004, "On the nature of the variable infrared emission from Sagittarius A\*," Astrophys. J. **606**, 894.
- Yuan, F., *et al.*, 2006, "Testing the radiatively inefficient accretion flow model for Sagittarius A\* using the size measurements," Astrophys. J. Lett. **642**, L45.
- Yuasa, T., *et al.*, 2008, "Suzaku detection of extended/diffuse hard x-ray emission from the galactic center," Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn. **60**, 207.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., and F. Melia, 1992, "The bow shock structure of IRS 7—Wind-wind collision near the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **385**, L41.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 1986, "Nonthermal radio emission from the galactic center arc," Astrophys. J. **310**, 689.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 1998, "Proper motions of ionized gas at the galactic center: Evidence for unbound orbiting gas," Astrophys. J. Lett. **499**, L159.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2001, "High spectral and spatial resolution observations of shocked molecular hydrogen at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. **560**, 749.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2006a, "Flaring activity of Sagittarius A\* at 43 and 22 GHz: Evidence for expanding hot plasma," Astrophys. J. **650**, 189.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2006b, "A multiwavelength study of Sgr A\*: The role of near-IR flares in production of x-ray, soft gamma-ray, and submillimeter emission," Astrophys. J. 644, 198.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2008a, "Simultaneous Chandra, CSO, and VLA observations of Sgr A\*: The nature of flaring activity," Astrophys. J. **682**, 361.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2008b, "Massive star formation in the molecular ring orbiting the black hole at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **683**, L147.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F., *et al.*, 2009, "Simultaneous multi-wavelength observations of Sgr A\* during 2007 April 1–11," Astrophys. J. **706**, 348.
- Yusef-Zadeh, F, et al., 2010, private communication.
- Zakharov, A. F., *et al.*, 2007, "Apoastron shift constraints on dark matter distribution at the galactic center," Phys. Rev. D **76**, 062001.
- Zamaninasab, M., *et al.*, 2010, "Near infrared flares of Sagittarius A\*: Importance of near infrared polarimetry," Astron. Astrophys. **510**, 3.
- Zelnikov, M. I., and E. A. Vasiliev, 2005, "The influence of dark matter halo onto evolution of supermassive black hole," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, 4217.
- Zhao, J.-H., and W. M. Goss, 1998, "Radio continuum structure of IRS 13 and proper motions of compact HII components at the galactic center," Astrophys. J. Lett. **499**, L163.
- Zhao, J.-H., *et al.*, 1992, "A transient radio source near the center of the Milky Way Galaxy," Science **255**, 1538.
- Zhao, J.-H., *et al.*, 2001, "Radio variability of Sagittarius A\*—A 106 day cycle," Astrophys. J. Lett. **547**, L29.
- Zhao, H., *et al.*, 2002, "Feeding black holes at galactic centres by capture from isothermal cusps," New Astron. **7**, 385.

Zhao, J.-H., *et al.*, 2003, "Variability of Sagittarius A\*: Flares at 1 millimeter," Astrophys. J. Lett. **586**, L29.

- Zhao, J.-H., *et al.*, 2009, "Dynamics of ionized gas at the galactic center: Very large array observations of the threedimensional velocity field and location of the ionized streams in Sagittarius A west," Astrophys. J. **699**, 186.
- Zucker, S., *et al.*, 2006, "Probing post-Newtonian physics near the galactic black hole with stellar redshift measurements," Astrophys. J. Lett. **639**, L21.
- Zylka, R., *et al.*, 1995, "Anatomy of the Sagittarius A complex.
  4: Sgr A\* and the central cavity revisited," Astron. Astrophys. 297, 83.