ences that any other comparison procedure would not alter the preceding statement. By way of suggesting future lines of attack, it is worth pointing out the similarity between Kozlenkov's relation (14) and a very similar expression underlying the intensity of x-ray scattering by disordered alloys. It can be shown that when short-range order only is present, the intensity

$$I \propto \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \frac{\sin kr_{i}}{kr_{i}}, \qquad (15)$$

where α_i is a coefficient dependent on the scattering

power and number of atoms in the *i*th shell about a central atom and $k = (2\pi/\lambda) 2 \sin \theta$. The close relation between absorption and diffraction has already been pointed out above and suggests that a consideration of the ejected electron's diffraction by the atomic arrays may lead to a more convenient formulation of the absorption process. If successful, such an approach also would have the advantage of making absorption-edge studies amenable to the investigation of the structure of solids. A more rigorous theory, however, doubtlessly also will require more exact experimental measurements than are generally available at the present time.

REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS

VOLUME 35, NUMBER 4

OCTOBER 1963

Thermal Conductivity of Multicomponent Mixtures of Inert Gases

S. C. SAXENA AND J. M. GANDHI Physics Department, Rajasthan University, Jaipur, India

I. INTRODUCTION

THE proper understanding of the process of thermal conduction in monatomic gases is not only important in itself but is also basic for the more complicated case of polyatomic gases. The investigations during the last five years have thrown considerable light on this problem. In this paper we discuss all the experimental and theoretical work with a view to get an over-all assessment.

Three different groups of workers have reported the experimental thermal conductivity data of binary and ternary mixtures of inert gases. These are: (a) Saxena (1956, 1957) and Srivastava and Saxena (1957a, 1957b), who determined the thermal conductivity of six binary gas systems and of two ternary gas systems at 38° C as a function of composition; (b) von Ubisch (1959), who measured the thermal conductivity of all the ten possible binary systems of the five stable inert gases, and of the ternary system He-Kr-Xe for different proportions of the constituents at 29° and 520°C; and (c) Thornton (1960, 1961) who reported on the thermal conductivity of seven binary gas pairs at 18°C and again as a function of composition.

The *rigorous* treatment of the phenomenon of thermal conduction in gases was given by Enskog (1911) and Chapman (1917) and is adequately described by Chapman and Cowling (1952), and Hirschfelder, Curtiss, and Bird (1954). Recently Muckenfuss and Curtiss (1958) have derived an equation for the complete second approximation to the thermal conductivity of multicomponent gas mixtures. Mason (1958) gave a somewhat simpler expression and Mason and Saxena (1959) have investigated the relative accuracies of both these formulations. Muckenfuss' and Curtiss' (1958) formula for the thermal conductivity of an *n*-component mixture can be written after the modification suggested by Mason and Saxena (1959) in the following form:

$$\lambda_{\min} = \begin{vmatrix} L_{11} & \cdots & L_{1n} & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{n1} & \cdots & L_{nn} & x_n \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n & 0 \end{vmatrix} \div \begin{vmatrix} L_{11} & \cdots & L_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{n1} & \cdots & L_{nn} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$L_{ii} = -\frac{4x_i^2}{[\lambda_i]_1} - \frac{16T}{25p}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^n \frac{x_i x_k [\frac{15}{2} M_i^2 + \frac{5}{2} M_k^2 + 4M_i M_k A_{ik}^*]}{(M_i + M_k)^2 D_{ik}}, \quad (2)$$

$$L_{ij} (i \neq j) = \frac{16Tx_i x_j M_i M_j (10 - 4A_{ij}^*)}{(M_i + M_j)^2 D_{ij}} \cdot (3)$$

Here $[\lambda_i]_1$ is the first approximation to the thermal conductivity of pure component *i* in units of erg cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹, *p* is the pressure in dyne cm⁻², x_i is the mole fraction of the *i*th component, D_{ik} is the accurate value of the binary diffusion coefficient for components *i* and *k* in units of cm² sec⁻¹, the *M*'s are molecular weights, and the A_{ik}^* are dimensionless ratios of collision integrals [Hirschfelder *et al.* (1954)]. The A_{ik}^* depend weakly on the temperature and on the force law between molecules *i* and *k*, and are usually nearly equal to unity.

As earlier pointed out by Mason and Saxena (1958) the calculation of λ_{mix} according to Eq. (1) has two disadvantages from practical viewpoint. Firstly the formula is quite complicated and involves laborious computation. Secondly a reliable knowledge of force laws for the various molecular interactions involved is essential, which is very seldom available. To get over these difficulties Mason and Saxena (1958) suggested the following *approximate* formula:

$$\lambda_{\min} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left[1 + \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^{n} G_{ik} \frac{x_k}{x_i} \right]^{-1}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$G_{ik} = \frac{1.065}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(1 + \frac{M_i}{M_k} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{M_i}{M_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 .$$
 (5)

The numerical factor 1.065 in Eq. (5) is introduced to compensate partially for the neglect of higher terms in the expansion of the determinant, Eq. (1), and also to replace a complicated factor in the very first term whose value is close to unity. In assigning this numerical value the data of Srivastava and Saxena (1957b) and Saxena (1957) on the binary gas mixtures were used.

The form of Eq. (4) for λ_{mix} is not very new, it was suggested long back by Wassiljewa (1904). Lindsay and Bromley (1950) have given the following expression for G_{ik}

$$G_{ik} = \frac{1}{4} \left[1 + \left\{ \frac{\eta_i}{\eta_k} \left(\frac{M_k}{M_i} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \frac{1 + S_i/T}{1 + S_k/T} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \frac{1 + S_{ik}/T}{1 + S_i/T},$$
(6)

where η_i , η_k and S_i , S_k are the viscosities and Sutherland constants of the two components, respectively. S_{ik} is assumed to be the geometric mean of S_i and S_k . G_{ki} is obtained from G_{ik} by interchanging the subscripts. However, as shown by Srivastava and Saxena (1957b) and Saxena (1957), Eq. (4) in conjunction with Eq. (6) does not yield accurate values for λ_{mix} and is therefore not considered in this paper. A modification of the Eq. (4) was therefore also suggested by Srivastava and Saxena (1957b) which involves an additional unknown constant to be determined from the known λ_{mix} value at one composition. Further, G_{ik} may be treated as disposable parameters and determined directly from the known experimental λ_{mix} values at two compositions. These empirically determined G_{ik} and G_{ki} are fairly successful in reproducing the experimental data in the entire composition range as well as in predicting the multicomponent thermal conductivity. We also consider this *empirical* method of calculating λ_{mix} in this paper.

In the empirical method of calculating λ_{mix} a knowledge of its value at two compositions is essential to enable the determination of G_{ik} and G_{ki} . If, however, a relation is established between G_{ik} and G_{ki} the knowledge of λ_{mix} at only one composition will be sufficient to determine these two constants. An approximate relation of this type is suggested by the work of Mason and Saxena (1958), viz.,

$$G_{ik}/G_{ki} = \lambda_i/\lambda_k. \tag{7}$$

Mason and von Ubisch (1960) have exploited this relation and they write these two constants in the form

$$G_{ik} = \lambda_i / \Lambda_{ik}, \ G_{ki} = \lambda_k / \Lambda_{ki},$$
 (8)

where $\Lambda_{ik} = \Lambda_{ki}$ is an empirical parameter to be determined from the known thermal conductivity of the binary mixture at one composition. A relation of the type of Eq. (8) is implied in Eq. (7). Mason and von Ubisch (1960) suggested Eqs. (4) and (8) for calculating λ_{mix} and designate this method of computation as *semiempirical*.

We thus consider in detail four different procedures of calculating λ_{mix} in this paper. These are, (1) rigorous, Eqs. (1) to (3); (2) approximate, Eqs. (4) and (5); (3) empirical, Eq. (4) along with λ_{mix} values at two compositions; and (4) semiempirical, Eqs. (4), (8), and λ_{mix} value at one composition.

II. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENT AND THEORY

Calculations described in this section are performed according to the modified exp-six potential [Mason (1954)]

$$\phi(r) = \frac{\epsilon}{1 - \frac{6}{\alpha}} \left[\frac{6}{\alpha} \exp \alpha \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_m} \right) - \left(\frac{r_m}{r} \right)^6 \right], \quad (9)$$

where $\phi(r)$ is the intermolecular potential energy between two molecules at a molecular separation distance r, ϵ is the depth of the potential energy minimum, r_m is the value of r for which $\phi(r)$ is a minimum, and α is a parameter which is measure of the steepness of repulsive potential energy. α , ϵ , and r_m are called the potential parameters and the values used in the calculations of this paper are those recorded in Table I.

TABLE I. Exp-six potential parameters.^a

Parameter	Gas	He	Ne	Ar	Kr	Xe
α	He Ne Ar Kr Xe	12.4	$\begin{array}{c} 13.46\\ 14.5\end{array}$	$13.21 \\ 14.17 \\ 14.0$	$12.92 \\13.85 \\13.74 \\13.50$	$12.55 \\13.45 \\13.44 \\13.22 \\13.00$
€/k °K	He Ne Ar Kr Xe	9.16	18.71 38.0	$33.4 \\ 73.7 \\ 123.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.6 \\ 115.4 \\ 159.2 \\ 200.0 \end{array}$	$52.3 \\121.8 \\178.5 \\226.3 \\231.2$
r _m A	He Ne Ar Kr Xe	3.135	$3.143 \\ 3.147$	$3.488 \\ 3.443 \\ 3.866$	$3.539 \\ 3.484 \\ 3.946 \\ 4.036$	$3.65 \\ 3.574 \\ 4.108 \\ 4.221 \\ 4.45$

^a Mason (1955, 1960). Mason and von Ubisch (1960).

In Table II are recorded the experimental [Thornton (1960), (1961)] and computed values of thermal conductivity according to the four different procedures mentioned in the previous section for seven binary systems as a function of composition at 18°C. Experimental λ_{mix} values of Srivastava and Saxena (1957b) and Saxena (1957) at 38°C as a function of composition are recorded in Table III along with the four sets of calculated values. Similar computed and experimental λ_{mix} values of von Ubisch (1959) at 29°C are listed in Table IV while at 520°C in Table V.

Some of the computed values of these tables have been reported earlier. Thornton (1960, 1961) gave the rigorous computed values of the mixtures of Table II based on the Lennard-Jones (12-6) intermolecular potential. These values do not differ significantly from those of Table II. The average deviation between the two sets of values for a system never exceeds 1.9% and the average deviation for all the seven systems is only 0.13%. Thus, the use of the correct expression for λ_{mix} in conjunction with the exp-six potential does not produce any appreciable change in the values over those obtained from the older less accurate expression [Hirschfelder et al. (1954).] in conjunction with the Lennard–Jones (12-6) potential. The rigorous values of λ_{mix} for mixtures of Table III have also been reported earlier [Saxena (1957), Srivastava and Saxena (1957b)]. Approximate calculations have also been performed by Mason and Saxena (1958), who also presented a graphical comparison with the experimental values. Approximate values reported in Table III were, however, calculated afresh. Rigorous, approximate, and semiempirical calculated values of λ_{mix} of Tables IV and V are due to Mason and von Ubisch (1960). Approximate calculations, however, have been repeated and only these values are recorded. Some measurements of λ_{mix} are also available for the ternary mixtures of inert gasses. In Table VI are reported the experimental data of Srivastava and Saxena (1957a) and Saxena (1956) for He-Ar-Xe and Ne-Ar-Kr mixtures at 38°C. Rigorous calculated values of λ_{mix} are those of Muckenfuss and Curtiss (1958) according to the Lennard-Jones (12-6) potential. Approximate λ_{mix} values for these mixtures have been reported earlier by Mason and Saxena (1958). In Tables VII and VIII are given the experimental [von Ubisch (1959)] and various calculated values for the He-Kr-Xe mixtures at 29 and 520°C, respectively. The rigorous, approximate and semi-empirical calculated values of λ_{mix} are those of Mason and von Ubisch (1960). In Tables VI, VII, and VIII, X_1, X_2 , and X_3 represent, respectively, the mole fractions of the three molecular species in order of their decreasing molecular weights.

In Table IX are listed the various values of G_{ik} and G_{ki} for the three sets of data and according to approximate, empirical, and semi-empirical procedures of calculations. The empirical values of G_{ik} and G_{ki} do not exhibit any systematic trend for the same mixture as a function of temperature or at the same temperature from one mixture to another. The empirical values also differ considerably from the semi-empirical and approximate values. The reason for the absence of any such correlating formula in the values of G_{ik} and G_{ki} lies in the success of the form of Eq. (4) in reproducing the composition dependence of λ_{mix} with considerable flexibility in the values of these constants. In fact the different choices of λ_{mix} for evaluating these two constants yield, in certain cases, widely different coupled values which are equally good in reproducing the composition variation of λ_{mix} . Recently Gray and Wright (1961) have made a detailed study regarding the nature of these constants. Cowling (1962) interprets G_{ik} as the measure of the ratio of the efficiencies with which molecules k and i separately impede the transport of energy by molecules *i*. Grav and Wright (1961, 1962), while interpreting experi-

TABLE II. Various calculated and experimental (Thornton) thermal conductivity values at 18°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.063\\ 0.139\\ 0.201\\ 0.304\\ 0.494\\ 0.594\\ 0.687\\ 0.792\\ 0.898\\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3560\\ 2708\\ 2180\\ 1800\\ 1440\\ 1110\\ 905\\ 680\\ 518\\ 356\\ 232\\ 132 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3606\\ 2961\\ 2378\\ 2008\\ 1534\\ 1196\\ 940\\ 710\\ 546\\ 384\\ 247\\ 135\\ \end{array}$	$^{+1.3}_{+9.4}_{+9.1}_{+11.6}_{+6.5}_{+7.8}_{+3.9}_{+4.4}_{+5.4}_{+7.9}_{+6.5}_{+2.3}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 2890 \\ 2294 \\ 1922 \\ 1455 \\ 1128 \\ 833 \\ 675 \\ 512 \\ 363 \\ 235 \\ \dots \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ +6.7 \\ +5.2 \\ +6.7 \\ +1.0 \\ +1.6 \\ -2.4 \\ -0.7 \\ -1.2 \\ +2.0 \\ +1.3 \\ \dots \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 2869 \\ 2265 \\ 1894 \\ 1429 \\ \dots \\ 865 \\ 659 \\ 502 \\ \dots \\ 232 \\ \dots \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ +5.9 \\ +3.9 \\ +5.2 \\ -0.8 \\ \dots \\ -4.4 \\ -3.1 \\ -3.2 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \\ \dots \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 2911 \\ 2324 \\ 1955 \\ 1486 \\ 1154 \\ \dots \\ 689 \\ 524 \\ 370 \\ 239 \\ \dots \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ +7.5 \\ +6.6 \\ +8.6 \\ +3.2 \\ +4.0 \\ \dots \\ +1.3 \\ +1.2 \\ +3.9 \\ +3.0 \\ \dots \end{array}$
Ne–Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.103\\ 0.199\\ 0.285\\ 0.393\\ 0.504\\ 0.594\\ 0.673\\ 0.794\\ 0.903 \end{array}$	$1160 \\925 \\752 \\624 \\500 \\398 \\327 \\277 \\213 \\166$	$1141\\884\\711\\591\\473\\379\\317\\269\\212\\168$	-1.6 -4.4 -5.5 -5.3 -5.4 -4.8 -3.1 -2.9 -0.5 +1.2	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & 908 \\ & 735 \\ & 614 \\ & 495 \\ & 396 \\ & 331 \\ & 282 \\ & 218 \\ & 169 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1.8 \\ -2.4 \\ -1.6 \\ -1.0 \\ -0.5 \\ +1.2 \\ +2.2 \\ +2.3 \\ +1.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & \ddots & \\ & 754 \\ & 630 \\ & 504 \\ & 400 \\ & 330 \\ & 279 \\ & \ddots \\ & 166 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ +0.3 \\ +1.0 \\ +0.8 \\ +0.5 \\ +0.9 \\ +0.7 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 909 \\ 737 \\ 616 \\ 496 \\ \dots \\ 333 \\ 283 \\ 219 \\ 170 \end{array}$	-1.7 -2.0 -1.3 -0.8 -0.8 +1.8 +2.2 +2.8 +2.4
Ar-Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.109\\ 0.213\\ 0.300\\ 0.405\\ 0.498\\ 0.598\\ 0.701\\ 0.792\\ 0.905 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 416\\ 358\\ 311\\ 280\\ 251\\ 202\\ 180\\ 163\\ 145\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 412\\ 361\\ 319\\ 289\\ 257\\ 239\\ 208\\ 186\\ 169\\ 149 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.0 \\ +0.8 \\ +2.6 \\ +3.2 \\ +2.4 \\ +5.8 \\ +3.0 \\ +3.3 \\ +3.7 \\ +2.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 366\\ 325\\ 294\\ 261\\ 235\\ 210\\ 177\\ 169\\ 148 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +2.2 \\ +4.5 \\ +5.0 \\ +4.0 \\ +4.0 \\ +4.0 \\ -1.7 \\ +3.7 \\ +2.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 358 \\ 312 \\ \dots \\ 247 \\ 223 \\ 199 \\ 179 \\ \dots \\ 145 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 0.0 \\ -0.3 \\ \dots \\ -1.6 \\ -1.3 \\ -1.5 \\ -0.6 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$360 \\ 315 \\ 284 \\ 251 \\ \cdots \\ 202 \\ 181 \\ 164 \\ 146$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ +0.6 \\ +1.3 \\ +1.4 \\ 0.0 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \\ +0.6 \\ +0.6 \\ +0.7 \end{array}$
Kr-Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.115\\ 0.201\\ 0.296\\ 0.397\\ 0.491\\ 0.595\\ 0.693\\ 0.786\\ 0.896\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 220\\ 207\\ 197\\ 187\\ 178\\ 168\\ 160\\ 152\\ 145\\ 138 \end{array}$	$218 \\ 205 \\ 196 \\ 186 \\ 177 \\ 169 \\ 161 \\ 154 \\ 147 \\ 141$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.9 \\ -1.0 \\ -0.5 \\ -0.6 \\ +0.6 \\ +1.3 \\ +1.4 \\ +2.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 205 \\ 195 \\ 185 \\ 175 \\ 166 \\ 158 \\ 151 \\ 145 \\ 138 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ -1.0 \\ -1.1 \\ -1.7 \\ -1.2 \\ -1.3 \\ -0.7 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 207 \\ \dots \\ 187 \\ 177 \\ 168 \\ 159 \\ 152 \\ \dots \\ 138 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ 0.0 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \\ -0.6 \\ 0.0 \\ -0.6 \\ 0.0 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 206 \\ 196 \\ 186 \\ 177 \\ \cdots \\ 160 \\ 152 \\ 146 \\ 138 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \\ -0.5 \\ -0.5 \\ -0.6 \\ \dots \\ 0.0 \\ +0.7 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$
He–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.069\\ 0.151\\ 0.272\\ 0.353\\ 0.439\\ 0.600\\ 0.698\\ 0.797\\ 0.891 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3050\\ 2500\\ 1850\\ 1530\\ 1210\\ 825\\ 642\\ 480\\ 343 \end{array}$	$2971 \\ 2399 \\ 1762 \\ 1474 \\ 1204 \\ 815 \\ 631 \\ 474 \\ 346$	$\begin{array}{r} -2.6 \\ -4.0 \\ -4.8 \\ -3.7 \\ -0.5 \\ -1.2 \\ -1.7 \\ -1.3 \\ +0.9 \end{array}$	$2942 \\ 2414 \\ 1809 \\ 1498 \\ 1228 \\ 833 \\ 645 \\ 484 \\ 342$	$\begin{array}{r} -3.5 \\ -3.4 \\ -2.2 \\ -2.1 \\ +1.5 \\ +1.0 \\ +0.5 \\ +0.8 \\ +0.3 \end{array}$	$2995 \\ 2457 \\ \dots \\ 1532 \\ 1251 \\ 840 \\ 645 \\ \dots \\ 348$	$-1.5 \\ -1.7 \\ +0.1 \\ +3.4 \\ +1.8 \\ +0.5 \\ +1.5$	$2952 \\ 2394 \\ 1788 \\ 1479 \\ \dots \\ 821 \\ 636 \\ 478 \\ 349$	$\begin{array}{r} -3.2 \\ -4.2 \\ -3.4 \\ -3.3 \\ \cdots \\ -0.5 \\ -0.9 \\ -0.4 \\ +1.7 \end{array}$
Ne-Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.065\\ 0.111\\ 0.229\\ 0.339\\ 0.438\\ 0.533\\ 0.647\\ 0.797\\ 0.889\end{array}$	$1030 \\960 \\780 \\650 \\568 \\482 \\400 \\305 \\257$	$1011 \\931 \\758 \\635 \\542 \\467 \\390 \\307 \\264$	$-1.8 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.8 \\ -2.3 \\ -4.6 \\ -3.1 \\ -2.5 \\ +0.7 \\ +2.7$	$1030 \\950 \\778 \\651 \\556 \\479 \\400 \\310 \\269$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0 \\ -1.0 \\ -0.3 \\ -0.2 \\ +2.1 \\ +0.6 \\ +0.0 \\ +1.6 \\ +4.7 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1034 \\ 955 \\ \cdots \\ 648 \\ 559 \\ 470 \\ 390 \\ \cdots \\ 263 \end{array} $	+0.4 -0.5 -1.6 -2.5 -2.5 +2.3	$1032 \\953 \\782 \\655 \\560 \\\cdots \\402 \\315 \\269$	$+0.2 \\ -0.7 \\ +0.3 \\ +0.8 \\ -1.4 \\ \cdots \\ +0.5 \\ +3.3 \\ +4.7$
Ar–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.109\\ 0.228\\ 0.330\\ 0.443\\ 0.546\\ 0.673\\ 0.777\\ 0.865\end{array}$	387 348 326 302 277 256 242 233	381 351 328 305 285 264 248 236	-1.6 + 0.9 + 0.6 + 1.0 + 2.9 + 3.1 + 2.5 + 1.3	385 355 332 308 289 267 251 238	-0.5 + 2.0 + 1.8 + 2.0 + 4.3 + 4.3 + 3.7 + 2.1	387 295 276 243 233	$0.0 \\ -1.5 \\ -2.3 \\ -0.4 \\ \cdots \\ +0.4 \\ 0.0$	379 345 320 296 257 244 233	$\begin{array}{r} -2.1 \\ -0.9 \\ -1.8 \\ -2.0 \\ \cdots \\ +0.4 \\ +0.8 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$

S. C. SAXENA AND J. M. GANDHI

TABLE III. Various calculated and experimental (Srivastava and Saxena) thermal conductivity values at 38°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Xe	0.0000	3753	3742	-0.30						
	0.1139	2582	2665	+3.2	2587	+0.2	2582	0.0	2571	-0.4
	0.2603	1694	1797	+6.1	1704	+0.6	•••	• • •	1686	-0.5
	0.3460	1320	1443	+7.5	1354	+2.6	1345	+1.9	1338	+1.4
	0.4963	898	981	+9.2	910	+1.3	902	+0.4	•••	• • •
	0.6333	616	675	+9.6	622	+1.0	• • •	• • •	613	-0.5
	0.8991	248	261	+5.2	241	-2.8	239	-3.6	239	-3.6
	1.0000	135	144	+6.7	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
Ar-Xe	0.0000	438	435	-0.7	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • •
	0.1757	347	338	-2.6	354	+2.0	351	+1.2	346	-0.3
	0.3231	292	293	+0.3	299	+2.4	•••	• • •	289	-1.0
	0.5023	234	240	+2.6	243	+3.8	235	+0.4	• • •	• • •
	0.6727	192	200	+4.2	199	+3.6	192	0.0	193	+0.5
	0.7517	175	184	+5.1	182	+4.0	•••	•••	177	-1.1
	0.8339	163	170	+4.3	165	+1.2	160	-1.8	162	-0.6
Ne-Kr	0.0000	1180	1184	+0.3	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
	0.0712	1035	1044	+1.0	1042	+0.7	1028	-0.7	1039	+0.4
	0.2076	831	831	0.0	830	-0.1	846	+1.8	825	-0.7
	0.3092	696	707	+1.6	707	+1.6	•••	•••	701	+0.7
	0.4277	591	588	-0.8	588	-0.8	582	-1.5	583	-1.3
	0.5070	516	520	+0.8	521	+1.0	515	-0.2	•••	•••
	0.6707	398	403	+1.3	404	+1.5	•••	•••	401	+0.8
	$0.8556 \\ 1.0000$	$\begin{array}{c} 307 \\ 234 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 299 \\ 232 \end{array}$	$-2.6 \\ -0.9$	$\frac{290}{\cdots}$	-5.5	299	0.0	$\frac{299}{\cdots}$	-2.6
Ar–Kr	0.0866	398	404	115	412	195	410	1.2.0	412	195
Ar-Ar	0.0800	398 366	404 376	$^{+1.5}_{+2.7}$	373	$^{+3.5}_{+1.9}$	410	+3.0	412 373	$^{+3.5}_{+1.9}$
	0.2338 0.3795	334	343	$^{+2.7}_{+2.7}$	339	$^{+1.9}_{+1.5}$	335	+0.3	339	+1.9 +1.5
	0.4840	317	322	+2.7 +1.6	318	+1.3 +0.3	335 314	-0.0		+1.0
	0.6683	277	287	+3.6	284	$^{+0.3}_{+2.5}$		-0.9	283	+2.1
	0.8115	256	262	+2.3	261	+2.0 +2.0	259	+1.2	260	+1.6
Ar-He	0.1412	2693	2668	-0.9	2812	+4.4	2737	+1.7	2702	+0.3
	0.2302	2247	2190	-2.3	2363	+5.2	2.01		2236	-0.5
	0.4164	1538	1494	-2.9	1653	+7.5	1524	-0.9		
	0.6084	1037	1017	-1.9	1133	+9.3	• • •	•••	1054	+1.6
	0.8398	657	632	-3.8	679	+3.3	640	-2.6	648	-1.4
Ar–Ne	0.1183	1047	1053	+0.6	1031	-1.5	1042	-0.5	1041	-0.6
	0.1370	1025	1031	+0.6	1010	1.5		• • •	1021	-0.4
	0.3124	839	864	+3.0	839	0.0	857	+2.1	855	+1.1
	0.3472	830	835	+0.6	810	-2.4	828	-0.2	826	-0.5
	0.4215	768	774	+0.8	752	-2.1	770	+0.3	• • •	• • •
	0.6683	607	609	+0.3	593	-2.3	• • •	• • •	604	-0.5
	0.8286	515	519	+0.8	511	-0.8	519	+0.8	518	+0.6
	0.8381	516	514	-0.4	507	-1.7	514	-0.4	513	-0.6
	0.8660	503	499	-0.8	494	-1.8	500	-0.6	499	-0.8

TABLE IV. Various calculated and experimental (von Ubisch) thermal conductivity data at 29°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 0.213\\ 0.283\\ 0.582\\ 0.798\\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$3670 \\ 1882 \\ 1530 \\ 717 \\ 357 \\ 143$	$3702 \\ 2011 \\ 1679 \\ 776 \\ 394 \\ 140$	+0.9 +6.9 +9.7 +8.2 +10.3 -2.1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1958 \\ 1622 \\ 741 \\ 379 \\ \dots \end{array} $	+4.0 +6.0 +3.3 +6.2 +	1855 690 	-1.4 -3.8	 1910 1580 369 	+1.5 +3.3 +3.3 +3.3
Ne–Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000 \\ 0.330 \\ 0.430 \\ 0.704 \end{array}$	$1237 \\ 596 \\ 486 \\ 279$	$1170 \\ 589 \\ 486 \\ 283$	$-5.4 \\ -1.2 \\ 0.0 \\ +1.4$	$ \begin{array}{c} 603 \\ 494 \\ 286 \end{array} $	+1.2 +1.6 +2.5	 486	 0.0	593 281	-0.5 +0.7

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
Ar–Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.271 \\ 0.504 \\ 0.750 \end{array}$	316 239 181	308 237 182	$-2.5 \\ -0.8 \\ +0.5$	320 249 190	$^{+1.3}_{+4.2}_{+5.0}$	 240	+0.4	310 184	-1.9 +1.6
Kr–Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.215 \\ 0.490 \\ 0.724 \\ 0.842 \\ 0.890 \end{array}$	206 186 158 149 145	202 176 158 150 147	$-1.9 \\ -5.4 \\ 0.0 \\ +0.7 \\ +1.4$	$205 \\ 178 \\ 160 \\ 152 \\ 149$	$-0.5 \\ -4.3 \\ +1.3 \\ +2.0 \\ +2.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 216\\ \ldots\\ 162\\ \ldots\\ 145 \end{array}$	+4.9 +2.5 0.0	$211 \\ \dots \\ 166 \\ 156 \\ 152$	+2.4 +5.1 +4.7 +4.8
He-Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120\\ 0.250\\ 0.423\\ 0.510\\ 0.578\\ 0.760\\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$2610 \\1930 \\1280 \\1030 \\888 \\541 \\232$	$2674 \\1946 \\1302 \\1061 \\901 \\555 \\226$	$+2.5 \\ +0.8 \\ +1.7 \\ +3.0 \\ +1.5 \\ +2.6 \\ -2.6$	2699 1980 1329 1084 920 567	$+3.4 +2.6 +3.8 +5.2 +3.6 +4.8 \dots$	1897 1260 1026 871	-1.7 -1.6 -0.4 -1.9 	$2634 \\ 1904 \\ 1265 \\ \cdots \\ 874 \\ 542 \\ \cdots$	$+0.9 \\ -1.3 \\ -1.2 \\ \cdots \\ -1.6 \\ +0.2 \\ \cdots$
Ne–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.308 \\ 0.460 \\ 0.750 \end{array}$	716 568 357	687 540 343	$-4.1 \\ -4.9 \\ -3.9$	726 570 358	$^{+1.4}_{+0.4}_{+0.3}$	724 	+1.1	725 357	+1.3 0.0
Ar–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.298 \\ 0.536 \\ 0.764 \end{array}$	362 308 272	347 298 259	$-4.1 \\ -3.2 \\ -4.8$	355 306 266	$-1.9 \\ -0.6 \\ -2.2$	315 	+2.3	358 268	-1.1 -1.5
He-Ar	$\begin{array}{c} 0.106 \\ 0.276 \\ 0.541 \\ 0.710 \\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$2920 \\ 2020 \\ 1220 \\ 843 \\ 434$	$2897 \\ 2030 \\ 1205 \\ 855 \\ 426$	-0.8 +0.5 -1.2 +1.4 -1.8	$2957 \\ 2126 \\ 1279 \\ 904 \\ \cdots$	$+1.3 +5.2 +4.8 +7.2 \dots$	2889 2031 	-1.1 + 0.5	2899 2046 867 	-0.7 + 1.3 + 2.8
Ne–Ar	$\begin{array}{c} 0.237 \\ 0.423 \\ 0.642 \\ 0.842 \end{array}$	951 798 629 519	917 763 615 502	-3.6 -4.4 -2.2 -3.3	938 766 613 505	$-1.4 \\ -4.0 \\ -2.5 \\ -2.7$	792 633	-0.8 + 0.6	966 637 516	$^{+1.6}_{-0.6}$
He–Ne	$\begin{array}{c} 0.119 \\ 0.130 \\ 0.382 \\ 0.755 \end{array}$	$3220 \\ 3120 \\ 2340 \\ 1570$	$3162 \\ 3117 \\ 2291 \\ 1510$	$-1.8 \\ -0.1 \\ -2.1 \\ -3.8$	$3271 \\ 3235 \\ 2514 \\ 1676$	$^{+1.6}_{+3.7}_{+7.4}_{+6.8}$	3171 3130 	$-1.5 \\ +0.3 \\ \cdots$	3164 3122 1585	-1.7 + 0.1 + 1.0

TABLE IV. (Continued)

 $T_{ABLE} \ V. \ Various \ calculated \ and \ experimental \ (von \ Ubisch) \ thermal \ conductivity \ data \ at \ 520^{\circ}C. \ The \ units \ of \ \lambda \ are \ cal \ cm^{-1} \ sec^{-1} \ deg^{-1}.$

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Xe	0.000	7360	7128	-3.2		• • •	• • •	•••	•••	•••
110 110	0.213	3960	3982	+0.6	4134	+4.4	• • •	• • •	3875	-2.1
	0.283	3270	3348	+2.4	3469	+6.1	3276	+0.2	3218	-1.6
	0.582	1490	1590	+6.7	1635	+9.3	•••			•••
	0.798	770	832	+8.0	854	+10.9	804	• • •	790	+2.5
	1.000	334	322	-3.6	•••	• • •	•••	•••	• • •	• • •
Ne-Xe	0.000	2360	2222	-5.8	•••	• • •	• • •	•••	• • •	• • •
110 110	0.330	1240	1186	-4.4	1255	+1.2	• • •	• • •	1246	+0.5
	0.430	1040	993	-4.5	1048	+0.8	1034	-0.6	•••	•••
	0.704	627	604	-3.8	632	+0.8	• • •	•••	628	+0.2
Ar-Xe	0.000	914	871	-4.7	•••	• • •	•••	• • •	• • •	•••
	0.271	681	647	-5.0	696	+2.2	• • •	• • •	680	-0.2
	0.504	537	511	-4.8	554	+3.2	528	-1.7	• • •	• • •
	0.750	416	404	-2.9	433	+4.1	•••	•••	423	+1.7
Kr–Xe	0.000	534	503	-5.8	• • •	• • •	• • •	•••	•••	• • •
	0.215	479	453	-5.4	474	-1.0	• • •	•••	479	0.0
	0.490	420	400	-4.8	413	-1.7	411	-2.1	• • •	• • •
	0.724	358	361	+0.8	372	+3.9	361	+0.8	377	+5.3
	0.842	341	344	+0.9	355	+4.1	343	+0.6	358	+5.0
	0.890	338	337	-0.3	348	+3.0	• • •	• • •	350	+3.6

Gas pair	X_1	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120 \\ 0.250 \\ 0.423 \\ 0.510 \end{array}$	5510 3900 2670 2250	5216 3840 2604 2138	-5.3 -1.5 -2.5 -5.0	5567 4171 2858 2352	+1.0 +7.0 +7.0 +4.5	5444 4028 2738	-1.2 + 3.3 + 2.5	5455 4035 2739	$^{+1.0}_{+3.5}_{+2.6}$
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.578 \\ 0.760 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1950\\ 1210 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1826 \\ 1150 \end{array}$	$-6.4 \\ -5.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 2008 \\ 1260 \end{array}$	$^{+3.0}_{+4.1}$	$\frac{1922}{\cdots}$	-1.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1921 \\ 1211 \end{array}$	-1.5 + 0.1
Ne-Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.308 \\ 0.460 \\ 0.750 \end{array}$	$1470 \\ 1190 \\ 780$	$1367 \\ 1097 \\ 727$	$-7.0 \\ -7.8 \\ -6.8$	$1490 \\ 1200 \\ 790$	$^{+1.4}_{+0.8}_{+1.3}$	 1178	-1.0	1479 785	$^{+0.6}_{}$
Ar–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.298 \\ 0.536 \\ 0.764 \end{array}$	774 667 596	$725 \\ 634 \\ 563$	$-6.3 \\ -5.0 \\ -5.5$	768 676 600	-0.8 + 1.3 + 0.7	 673	+0.9	761 595	-1.7 -0.2
He-Ar	$\begin{array}{c} 0.106 \\ 0.276 \\ 0.541 \\ 0.710 \end{array}$	$5900 \\ 4220 \\ 2600 \\ 1790$	$5616 \\ 3969 \\ 2382 \\ 1704$	$-4.8 \\ -5.9 \\ -8.4 \\ -4.8$	$5977 \\ 4339 \\ 2639 \\ 1877$	$^{+1.3}_{+2.8}_{+1.5}_{+4.9}$	4234 1827	+0.3 -2.1	$5941 \\ 4288 \\ \dots \\ 1852$	$^{+0.7}_{+1.6}_{+3.5}$
Ne-Ar	$\begin{array}{c} 0.237 \\ 0.423 \\ 0.642 \\ 0.842 \end{array}$	$1860 \\ 1580 \\ 1270 \\ 1030$	$1767 \\ 1487 \\ 1217 \\ 1012$	$-5.0 \\ -5.9 \\ -4.2 \\ -1.7$	$1837 \\ 1531 \\ 1251 \\ 1048$	$-1.2 \\ -3.1 \\ -1.5 \\ +1.7$	$1539 \\ 1231 \\ \cdots$	-2.6 -3.1	1880 1288 1066	$+1.1 \\ +1.4 \\ +3.5$
He-Ne	$\begin{array}{c} 0.110 \\ 0.130 \\ 0.382 \\ 0.755 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6580 \\ 6580 \\ 4740 \\ 3170 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6104 \\ 6019 \\ 4432 \\ 2903 \end{array}$	$-7.2 \\ -8.5 \\ -6.5 \\ -8.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 6514 \\ 6441 \\ 4941 \\ 3238 \end{array}$	$-1.0 \\ -2.1 \\ +4.2 \\ +2.1$	6658 4927	+1.2 +4.0	6390 6309 3131	$-2.9 \\ -4.1 \\ -1.2$

TABLE V. (Continued)

TABLE VI. Various calculated and experimental data (Srivastava and Saxena) of ternary mixtures at 38°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

Gas system	X_1	X_2	X_3	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
He-Ar-Xe	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0733\\ 0.2424\\ 0.1319\\ 0.6233\\ 0.7367\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6065\\ 0.3675\\ 0.1880\\ 0.1800\\ 0.1495\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3202 \\ 0.3901 \\ 0.6801 \\ 0.1967 \\ 0.1138 \end{array}$	$843 \\ 845 \\ 1662 \\ 400 \\ 284$	$843 \\846 \\1654 \\402 \\285$	$0.0 \\ +0.1 \\ -0.5 \\ +0.5 \\ +0.4$	$907 \\ 895 \\ 1694 \\ 414 \\ 292$	+7.1 +5.6 +1.9 +3.4 +2.7	$836 \\ 836 \\ 1644 \\ 399 \\ 283$	-0.8 -1.1 -1.1 -0.3 -0.4	$\begin{array}{r} 848 \\ 848 \\ 1656 \\ 404 \\ 285 \end{array}$	+0.6 +0.4 -0.4 +1.0 +0.4
Ne–Ar–Kr	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2715\\ 0.3896\\ 0.3133\\ 0.1441\\ 0.6690\\ 0.7152 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1301 \\ 0.1567 \\ 0.3848 \\ 0.7172 \\ 0.1449 \\ 0.1569 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5984 \\ 0.4537 \\ 0.3019 \\ 0.1387 \\ 0.1861 \\ 0.1279 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 658 \\ 545 \\ 480 \\ 450 \\ 347 \\ 324 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 653 \\ 527 \\ 477 \\ 453 \\ 347 \\ 314 \end{array}$	$-0.8 \\ -3.3 \\ -0.6 \\ +0.7 \\ 0.0 \\ -3.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 651 \\ 531 \\ 470 \\ 451 \\ 347 \\ 317 \end{array}$	-1.1 -2.6 -2.1 +0.2 0.0 -2.2	$655 \\ 530 \\ 477 \\ 453 \\ 345 \\ 315$	$-0.5 \\ -2.8 \\ -0.6 \\ +0.7 \\ -0.6 \\ -2.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 656 \\ 531 \\ 479 \\ 455 \\ 346 \\ 317 \end{array}$	$-0.3 \\ -2.6 \\ -0.2 \\ +1.1 \\ -0.3 \\ -2.2$

mental data on a few systems, also comment on the lack of uniqueness in the values of these empirical constants and determine the range of lower and upper limits. They (1962) also show on the basis of the rigorous Chapman–Enskog first approximation expression for binary viscosity that the corresponding constants are only weakly dependent on temperature and composition. Empirical constants of Table IX do not follow this trend. The reason for this lies primarily in the large flexibility in the values of G_{ik}

and G_{ki} of Eq. (4). However, these empirical constants still retain their importance for the purpose of representing the composition dependence of λ_{mix} and in predicting the multicomponent thermal conductivity values. In fact the incentive to the calculation of these two empirical constants (G_{ik} and G_{ki}) was primarily with the idea of evolving the functional dependence of these constants on temperature, molecular weights, and pure thermal conductivity values, etc. If a relation of this type interconnecting

X_1	X_2	X_3	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
0.695	0.086	0.219	405	425	+4.9	412	+1.7	383	-5.4	403	-0.5
0.457	0.057	0.486	916	939	+2.5	904	-1.3	839	-8.4	878	-4.1
0.259	0.032	0.709	1580	1658	+4.9	1606	+1.6	1511	-4.4	1565	-0.9
0.121	0.016	0.864	2470	2488	+0.7	2434	-1.5	2337	-6.1	2394	-3.1
0.633	0.119	0.248	455	474	+4.2	460	+1.1	428	-5.9	450	-1.1
0.438	0.082	0.480	893	930	+4.1	898	+0.6	833	-6.7	871	-2.5
0.217	0.041	0.742	1710	1809	+5.8	1759	+2.9	1662	-2.8	1716	+0.4
0.114	0.021	0.865	2390	2499	+4.6	2449	+2.5	2357	-1.4	2408	+0.7
0.568	0.217	0.215	412	434	+5.3	424	+2.9	400	-2.9	416	+1.0
0.357	0.136	0.507	964	1011	+4.9	983	+1.9	916	-5.0	$\overline{953}$	-1.2
0.213	0.081	0.706	1520	1665	+9.5	1626	+7.0	1532	+0.8	1581	+4.0
0.102	0.039	0.859	2380	2471	+3.8	2429	+2.1	2337	-1.8	2384	+0.2
0.379	0.394	0.227	450	475	+5.6	470	+4.4	452	+0.4	461	+2.4
0.255	0.266	0.479	928	968	+4.3	954	+2.8	898	-3.2	923	-0.5
0.133	0.138	0.729	1740	1794	+3.1	1772	+1.8	1682	-3.3	1720	-1.1
0.071	0.073	0.856	2300	2475	+7.6	2451	+6.6	2362	+2.7	2399	+4.3
0.162	0.593	0.245	533	534	+0.2	538	+0.9	519	-2.6	522	-2.1
0.103	0.378	0.519	1060	1107	+4.4	1118	+5.5	1053	-0.7	1066	+0.6
0.055	0.202	0.743	1840	1892	+2.8	1896	+3.0	1811	-1.6	1832	-0.4
0.029	0.106	0.865	2500	2563	+2.5	2561	+2.4	$\tilde{2480}$	-0.8	2501	0.0

TABLE VII. Various calculated and von Ubisch experimental thermal conductivity data for the He–Kr–Xe system at 29°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

TABLE VIII. Various calculated and von Ubisch experimental thermal conductivity data for the He–Kr–Xe system at 520°C. The units of λ are cal cm⁻¹ sec⁻¹ deg⁻¹.

X_1	X2	X_3	Exptl.	Rigor.	% Dev.	Approx.	% Dev.	Empir.	% Dev.	Semi- empir.	% Dev.
0.695	0.086	0.219	860	894	+3.9	927	+7.8	862	+0.2	862	+0.2
0.457	0.057	0.486	1990	1909	-4.1	1984	-0.3	1843	-7.4	1822	-8.4
0.259	0.032	0.709	3450	3305	-4.2	3437	-0.4	3241	-6.1	3202	-7.2
0.121	0.016	0.864	5080	4883	-3.9	5073	-0.1	4884	-3.9	4850	-4.5
0.633	0.119	0.248	976	991	+1.5	1030	+5.5	956	-2.0	957	-1.9
0.438	0.082	0.480	1880	1890	+0.5	1969	+4.7	1827	-2.8	1812	-3.6
0.217	0.041	0.742	3620	3595	-0.7	3745	+3.5	3545	-2.1	3510	-3.0
0.114	0.021	0.865	4880	4904	+0.5	5101	+4.5	4921	+0.8	4884	+0.1
0.568	0.217	0.215	958	911	-4.9	952	-0.6	886	-7.5	893	-6.8
0.357	0.136	0.507	2030	2048	+0.9	2147	+5.8	1997	-1.1	1988	-2.1
0.213	0.081	0.706	3390	3317	-2.2	3474	+2.5	3330	-1.8	3257	-3.9
0.102	0.039	0.859	4830	4848	+0.4	5060	+4.8	4880	+1.0	4853	+0.5
0.379	0.394	0.227	995	993	-0.2	1050	+5.5	990	-0.5	995	0.0
0.255	0.266	0.479	1950	1962	+0.6	2085	+6.9	1953	+0.2	1952	+0.1
0.133	0.138	0.729	3780	3559	-5.8	3765	-0.4	3577	-5.4	3570	-5.6
0.071	0.073	0.856	5340	4852	-9.1	5100	-4.5	4927	-7.7	4918	-7.9
0.162	0.593	0.245	1140	1131	-0.8	1197	+5.0	1145	+0.4	1144	+0.4
0.103	0.378	0.519	2250	2229	-0.9	2411	+7.2	2289	+1.7	2287	+1.6
0.055	0.202	0.743	3840	3743	-2.5	4009	+4.4	3844	+0.1	3845	+0.1
0.029	0.106	0.865	5120	5012	-2.1	5306	+3.6	5158	+0.7	5161	+0.8

the two constants could be predicted calculations would be much simpler. Of course semi-empirical procedure involves the assumption of one such relation, Eq. (7), which the empirical constants do not confirm. The implication, however, is not that Eq. (7) is wrong. The inconsistency in the experimental λ_{mix} values of the three sets of data also may be partially responsible for this anomaly. More confirmatory decision is possible by considering the data on the viscosities of binary mixtures. Saxena and Singh hope to throw light on this point in a separate publication.

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A few recollections are very essential regarding the relative accuracies of the experimental results of these three groups as the data exhibit inconsistencies. Srivastava and Saxena (1957b) used the "thick-wire" variant of the "hot-wire" method which is capable of yielding accurate absolute values of thermal conductivity. These workers claim an uncertainty of 1% in their results. The accuracy of this method is limited by the accuracy and precision attainable in measuring small increments in the resistance of cell wire. A practical way to improve the accuracy, therefore, would be to increase this increment. The possible choices are to use a thin wire either straight or in spiral form. Either choice gives rise to various other uncertainties of doubtful magnitudes which

TABLE IX. Constants G_{12} and G_{21} according to various formulas for thermal conductivity.

Connoin	Approx	cimate	\mathbf{Emp}	irical	Semiem	pirical
Gas pair	G_{12}	G_{21}	G12	G_{21}	G12	G_{21}
	Exp	erimenta	l data of	von Ubi	sch at 29	°C
He–Xe	0.1410	3.596	0.258	3.913	0.146	3.765
Ne–Xe	0.327	2.831	0.743	2.635	0.336	2.909
A–Xe	0.572	1.735	1.419	1.386	0.613	1.864
Kr–Xe	0.829	1.346	4.150	0.446	0.758	1.232
He–Kr	0.190	3.009	0.264	3.230	0.206	3.258
Ne-Kr	0.434	2.317	0.423	2.350	0.437	2.328
A–Kr	0.756	1.415	0.811	1.241	0.742	1.388
He–A	0.295	2.495	0.449	2.631	0.319	2.698
Ne-A	0.632	1.802	0.680	1.610	0.585	1.667
He-Ne	0.536	1.589	0.924	1.612	0.617	1.832
]	Experime	ental dat	a of von	Ubisch a	t 520°C	
He–Xe	0.148	3.25	0.153	3.609	0.169	3.716
Ne-Xe	0.352	2.489	0.414	2.496	0.357	2.521
A–Xe	0.598	1.637	0.189	2.660	0.633	1.732
Kr–Xe	0.835	1.336	2.146	0.769	0.806	1.290
He-Kr	0.200	2.754	0.332	2.872	0.214	2.952
Ne-Kr	0.468	2.067	0.506	2.114	0.474	2.097
Ă-Kr	0.789	1.350	0.278	2.400	0.806	1.379
He-A	0.301	2.42	0.477	2.421	0.308	2.484
Ne-A	0.659	1.702	1.365	1.275	0.621	1.603
He-Ne	0.523	1.632	0.190	2.198	0.569	1.774
	Experin	nental da	ta of The	ərnton at	t 18°C	
He-Xe	0.134	3.727	0.200	3.800	0.134	3.598
Ne-Xe	0.325	2.860	1.362	2.342	0.324	2.848
Ar–Xe	0.562	1.772	0.677	1.902	0.604	1.903
Kr–Xe	0.819	1.365	1.158	1.068	0.801	1.334
He-Kr	0.189	3.054	1.363	2.609	0.193	3.124
Ne-Kr	0.436	2.301	1.115	1.956	0.432	2.276
Ar-Kr	0.752	1.423	0.178	3.267	0.814	1.540
Exper	imental	data of S	rivastava	and Saz	xena at 38	8°C
He-Xe	0.137	3.798	0.101	3.870	0.139	3.870
Ar-Xe	0.555	1.802	1.116	1.553	0.593	1.924
Ne-Kr	0.444	2.239	0.431	2.303	0.451	2.275
Ar–Kr	0.756	1.415	0.626	1.627	0.760	1.422
He-Ar	0.294	2.515	0.084	3.243	0.332	2.844
Ne–Ar	0.647	1.744	0.597	1.690	0.621	1.674

then impair the accuracy of the final results. Thus, von Ubisch (1959) used a thin straight wire and estimates an uncertainty of 4% in his data, while the precision of his measurements was somewhat better, $\pm 2\%$. Thornton (1960, 1961) used a spiral form of this wire, the objections against this type of geometry of cell wire have already been pointed out [Srivastava and Saxena (1957b)]. The experimental error of these measurements is approximately $\pm 2.2\%$ for low values of λ_{mix} and this rises to $\pm 4.0\%$ for high values of λ_{mix} . However, recent calculations of Saxena and Agrawal (1962) have shown that these λ_{mix} values possess a great degree of consistency with the binary viscosity data [Thornton (1960, 1961)] which have a better precision of $\pm 1.0\%$.

Tables II to V show that the rigorous calculated values of thermal conductivities of binary mixtures are in reasonable agreement with the experimental values with a few exceptions. The most notable case is of the He-Xe system. In all the four sets, the experimental values of λ_{mix} are always smaller than the computed values by an appreciable amount. The average percentage deviations being +7.3, +6.8, +8.8, and +4.4 for the experimental data of Thornton, Srivastava, and Saxena, von Ubisch at 29°C, and von Ubisch at 520°C, respectively. The maximum percentage deviations in the four cases are +11.6, +9.6, +9.7, and +8.0, respectively. We thus find that the disagreement between theory and experiment for this system is real and cannot be attributed to either any systematic or random errors in the measurements. There are, however, some relative discrepancies among the data of these workers. Mixtures containing up to 50% Xe exhibit good agreement with each other in as much as the three sets of data follow the right type of qualitative trend but for mixtures having higher percentage of Xe there is considerable overlapping and, in fact, for pure Xe, von Ubisch' value is about 6% higher than Saxena's value (1957) in spite of the fact that it corresponds to a temperature which is 9°C lower. Unfortunately only a qualitative comparison is possible, as all the three sets refer to different temperatures. Thornton's (1960, 1961) results are in reasonable agreement with Saxena (1957). The measurements of thermal conductivity [Srivastava and Saxena (1957b), Von Ubisch (1959)] become less accurate for systems which have low λ , and become still worse at low temperatures. He-Xe system is preferable from this viewpoint also and measurements should yield fairly accurate values of λ_{mix} . Furthermore, the Chapman-Enskog theory holds very well for these monatomic, spherically symmetric molecules. In view of all this it becomes puzzling to account for such an amount of disagreement between theory and experiment which is more than the estimated errors of the measurements. Von Ubisch' measurements at 520°C also exhibit the same trend. The agreement between theory and experiment becomes still worse if we account for the fact that von Ubisch' measurements are about 4%

higher than that of other workers, though for most of the other systems it will improve.

We feel that the fault probably lies with the chosen intermolecular potential for Xe and He-Xe. It will be useful if a check on this point is obtained by interpreting data of some other transport property which is more sensitive to the nature of intermolecular force field. Thermal diffusion data [Atkins *et al.* (1939), Grew (1947), Heymann and Kistemaker (1959)] are available for this system and we propose to investigate it in a later publication. It seems also desirable to redetermine accurately the thermal conductivity of this system as a function of temperature.

For the Ne-Xe system the experimental data of Thornton (1960) and von Ubisch (1959) at 29°C are consistent with each other though the agreement with rigorous theory is poor. The experimental values are consistently greater than the computed values according to rigorous theory. We, thus, find that the Ne-Xe system exhibits departure from the rigorous theoretical values of the opposite sign compared to the He-Xe system. We feel the origin of this discrepancy also lies in sources similar to those for the He-Xe system. For most of the other systems of Thornton the agreement between theory and experiment is satisfactory, the percentage deviation being of the order of experimental uncertainty except in the case of He-Kr and to some extent for Ne-Kr. This inference is also substantiated by the results of von Ubisch given in Table IV. From this latter work we find that the agreement is also poor for Ar-Kr On the other hand, the and Ne–Ar systems. Srivastava and Saxena values (1957b) are in good agreement with the rigorous values for both these systems. Except for the He–Xe system, von Ubisch' experimental values at 520°C (Table V) are systematically larger than the calculated values according to the rigorous theory. Most of the discrepancies found in the case of von Ubisch' data (except the He-Xe system) can be explained if allowance is made for the fact that von Ubisch' experimental data are about 4% higher.

The agreement of the experimental ternary thermal conductivity data with the rigorous theory calculated values is good in the case of mixtures of Table VI. In the case of He-Kr-Xe mixtures at 29°C (Table VII) and 520°C (Table VIII) the agreement is satisfactory and discrepancies which appear are of the same nature as encountered and discussed in the corresponding binary mixtures. As the discrepancies in the related three binary mixtures occur in opposite directions, the integrated effect in ternary λ_{mix} values is exhibited in somewhat decreased proportions and so the percentage deviation is less in ternary mixtures than some of the related binary mixtures.

The approximate method of calculation seems to be fairly successful in predicting the thermal conductivities of binary and ternary mixtures. Of all the systems investigated by Srivastava and Saxena (1957a, 1957b) and Saxena (1956, 1957), only for the Ar-He system is good agreement not achieved between calculated and experimental values. This also seems to be the case with von Ubisch' data at 29°C, though satisfactory agreement is achieved at 520°C. On the whole, calculated values obtained according to the approximate formula are in reasonable agreement with the experimental data and more elaborate data of good absolute accuracy are required to properly assess this procedure of calculation.

Empirical and semiempirical methods of calculation are about equally successful in predicting the multicomponent thermal conductivity. We feel the reason for this lies in the great success of the form of Eq. (4) for representing λ_{mix} , so that even an approximate relation connecting G_{ik} and G_{ki} [e.g., Eqs. (7) and (8)] serves to reproduce λ_{mix} values quite precisely. Both of these methods are about equally good, but probably better than the approximate method for calculating multicomponent thermal conductivity of gas mixtures.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The Chapman-Enskog theory of thermal conduction applies well to monatomic, spherically symmetric gases and quite correct values can be obtained if the force law is correctly known. If the force law is not known with confidence, and also the thermal conductivity at one or two compositions, the approximate method is liable to yield the best reliable values. This approach does require the knowledge of pure thermal conductivities at the same temperature which can be generated from the pure viscosity data also. If both viscosity and thermal conductivity data are not available the theoretical formulas in conjunction with the knowledge of force laws for the pure gases may be used. If, however, the thermal conductivity of the binary mixture is also available at one or two compositions the semiempirical and empirical procedures respectively may be used to predict either the entire range or to extend the scope of calculations for predicting the conductivity values for multicomponent mixtures.

This investigation also brings to light the need for accurate determination of thermal conductivities of pure gases and gaseous mixtures as a function of temperature. The available data are somewhat in disagreement with each other and accurate measurements will clarify the position considerably.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to J. P. Agrawal for help in some of the calculations at the early stages of this work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Atkins, B. E., Bastick, R. E., and Ibbs, T. L., 1939, Proc.

 Roy. Soc. (London) A172, 142.
 Chapman, S., 1917, Phil. Trans. A217, 115.
 Chapman, S., and Cowling, T. G., 1952, The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases, Cambridge University Press, Two Jone Mathematical Structure Press, Technology 10, 100 (1997) England

Cowling, T. G., 1962, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **263A**, 186. Enskog, D., 1911, Physik. Z. **12**, 533. Gray, P., and Wright, P. G., 1961, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)

263A, 161.

Grew, K. E., 1947, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A189, 402.

- Heymann, D., and Kistemaker, J., 1959, Physica 25, 556. Hirschfelder, J. O., Curtiss, C. F., and Bird, R. B., 1954, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids, John Wiley & Sons,
- Inc., New York.
- Lindsay, A. L., and Bromley, L. A., 1950, Ind. Eng. Chem. 42, 1508.

- 42, 1508. Mason, E. A., 1954, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 169. —, 1955, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 49. , 1958, J. Chem. Phys. 28, 1000. , 1960, J. Chem. Phys. 32, 1832. Mason, E. A., and Saxena, S. C., 1959, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 511. , 1958, Phys. Fluids 1, 361. Mason, E. A., and Fuel 1, 1000. Phys. 14, 2 055
- Mason, E. A., and von Ubisch, H., 1960, Phys. Fluids **3**, 355. Muckenfuss, C., and Curtiss, C. F., 1958, J. Chem. Phys. **29**, 1273.
- Saxena, S. C., 1956, J. Chem. Phys. 25, 360. ______, 1957, Indian J. Phys. 31, 597. Saxena, S. C., and Agrawal, J. P., 1962, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 80, 313
- Saxena, S. C., and Singh, R., 1963, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 81. 788.
- Srivastava, B. N., and Saxena, S. C., 1957a, J. Chem. Phys. 27, 583.

27, 583.
—, 1957b, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) B70, 369.
Thornton, E., 1960, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 76, 104.
—, 1961, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 77, 1166.
von Ubisch, H., 1959, Arkiv Fysik 16, 93.
Wassiljewa, A., 1904, Physik. Z. 5, 737.
Wright, P. G., and Gray, P., 1962, Trans. Faraday Soc. 58, 1.

Erratum: The Measuring Process in Quantum Theory

J. M. BURGERS

Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland

[Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 145 (1963)]

In my paper several lines of explanation in connection with Eq. (22) on p. 148 were omitted. The following lines should be inserted immediately after this equation, and must replace the 4 lines now following it:

"if we make use of $\sum_{m} f_{im} f_{lm}^* = \delta_{il}$, which is necessary as the f_{im} determine a unitary transformation from a complete set of orthonormal eigenstates $(\Psi_i)_2$ to another complete set ϑ_m .

That the $(\Psi_i)_2$ indeed form a complete set of

orthonormal eigenstates, as is expressed by Eq. (17), is a consequence of assumption (11). This assumption makes that

$$\Phi_2 = \sum_i a_{ki} (\psi_i)_2 (\Psi_i)_2$$

which implies that the eigenstates of the complex system formed out of the original system plus the apparatus are determined by products of the form $(\psi_i)_2(\Psi_i)_2$. These can be decomposed into a factor $(\psi_i)_2$ for the original system, and a second factor $(\Psi_i)_2$ for the apparatus."

Erratum: Relativistic Invariance and Hamiltonian Theories of Interacting Particles

D. G. CURRIE,* T. F. JORDAN,† AND E. C. G. SUDARSHAN† The University of Rochester, Rochester, New York [Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 350 (1963)]

In Sec. IV of this paper we have used an "angular momentum Helmholtz theorem" due to J. S. Lomont and H. E. Moses [Communs. Pure Appl. Math. 14, 69 (1961)]. Our footnote 25, which refers only to the

second proof of J. B. Keller, should be corrected accordingly. We should also note that our use of this theorem to establish the standard form of classical mechanical angular momentum is similar to the quantum mechanical proof of J. S. Lomont and H. E. Moses [Nuovo Cimento 16, 96 (1960)]. We regret these omissions.

1032

^{*} Present address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland. † Present address: Institut für theoretische Physik, Uni-versität Bern, Bern, Switzerland.