

# Errata

## Errata : Comparative Study of Potential Energy Functions for Diatomic Molecules

[Revs. Modern Phys. 29, 664 (1957)]

YATENDRA PAL VARSHNI

Department of Physics, Allahabad University, Allahabad, India

ON page 670, Eq. (40) should read

$$\alpha_e = 0.$$

In line 4, read "0" in place of "2."

On page 671, Linnett function, Eq. (55) should read

$$\alpha_e = \left[ \frac{(m+1)(m-1) - l^2 + 3l}{3(m+1-l)} \right] \frac{6B_e^2}{\omega_e}.$$

Consequently,  $F$  values in Table II are changed as follows:

$l$	$F$
0	0.666
1	1.111
1.5	1.366
2	1.666
2.25	1.845
2.5	2.055
2.6	2.152
2.7	2.258
2.75	2.316
2.8	2.37
3.0	2.66

On page 672, Lippincott function, Eq. (61) should read

$$\alpha_e = 0.$$

In line 24, delete "As (61) shows this appears to be in error."

On page 677, Table IX, correct  $F$  values are

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Linnett} & (8+3l-l^2)/3(4-l) \\ \text{Lippincott} & 0. \end{array}$$

On page 679, Linnett function, change the discussion for  $\alpha_e$  as follows:

"Too high at low  $\Delta$ . Suitable for CO, N<sub>2</sub>, NO, O<sub>2</sub>."

## Errata : Experimental Results on Charge-Changing Collisions of Hydrogen and Helium Atoms and Ions at Kinetic Energies above 0.2 kev

[Revs. Modern Phys. 30, 1137 (1958)]

SAMUEL K. ALLISON

Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

Page

1138 In text, above Eq. (II-1), for " $d\sigma_{if}/d\theta$  would be a function of angle" read " $d\sigma_{if}/d\Omega$  would be a function of angle."

1139 In text, above Eq. (II-5) for "and exists into high vacuum" read "and exits into high vacuum."

1140 Equation (II-12) should read

$$F_i = F_{i\infty} + [P(z, i) \exp(\pi q) + N(z, i) \exp(-\pi q)] \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\pi \sum \sigma_{if}).$$

1141 Equation (II-28) should read

$$P(1, \bar{1}) = \frac{s+q}{2qb} [F_{0\infty}(s-q) - bF_{1\infty}].$$

Equation (II-29) should read

$$N(1, \bar{1}) = \frac{s-q}{2qb} [F_{0\infty}(s+q) - bF_{1\infty}].$$

Equation (II-31) should read:  $dF_0/d\pi = -(dF_1/d\pi)$

$$= -F_{0\sigma 01} + F_{1\sigma 10}.$$

1150 for "antilog 4.030-5" read "antilog (4.030-5)."

1155 Bottom line, left. Actually, Table V-6 shows that the largest observed  $F_{1\infty}$  for hydrogen is at 4 kev in argon gas.

1162 Table VI-6; for " $\sigma_{11}$ " read " $\sigma_{1\bar{1}}$ ."

1164 Center, right, for "from Eqs. (V-1) and (V-2)" read "from equations in Sec. VA-3, page 1156."

## Errata : Spin Waves

[Revs. Modern Phys. 30, 1 (1958)]

J. VAN KRANENDONK AND J. H. VAN VLECK

Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

IN Eq. (28), 0.1187 should be replaced by  $\frac{1}{2}(0.1173)$ .

In the third member of Eq. (32), 15/8 should be replaced by 15/32.

On the top of page 8, 0.96  $Nk/2S$  should be replaced by 0.96 ( $2NkS$ ).

In Eq. (97), a term  $-NzJS$  should be added to the right-hand side.

In Eq. (120), 0.1187 should be replaced by  $0.1173/(S_1 - S_2)$  and  $2JS_1S_2$  should be replaced by  $4JS_1S_2$ .

Below Eq. (121),  $S_1S_2/S(S_1 - S_2)$  should appear as twice this quantity, and  $(g_1S_1 - g_2S_2)\beta$  should appear multiplied by a factor ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ).

## Erratum : Dynamics of a Lattice Universe by the Schwarzschild-Cell Method

[Revs. Modern Phys. 29, 432 (1957)]

RICHARD W. LINDQUIST AND JOHN A. WHEELER

Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey

WE are indebted to Professor G. C. McVittie for pointing out to us that the printed version of Eq. (3) omits a factor.<sup>1</sup> It should read

$$ds^2 = [a(T)/a_0]^2 \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1-r^2/a_0^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \right] - dT^2 \quad (3a)$$

$$= a^2(T)[du_1^2 + du_2^2 + du_3^2 + du_4^2] - dT^2, \quad (3b)$$

with

$$u_1 = \sin\chi \sin\theta \sin\varphi,$$

$$u_2 = \sin\chi \sin\theta \cos\varphi,$$

$$u_3 = \sin\chi \cos\theta,$$

$$u_4 = \cos\chi,$$

and

$$r = a_0 \sin\chi. \quad (6)$$

The subsequent calculations and the conclusions drawn from them about the dynamics of a lattice universe remain unchanged.

<sup>1</sup> R. C. Tolman, *Relativity, Cosmology and Thermodynamics* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, England, 1934), Eqs. (149.5) and (149.10).