# Thermodynamics of Error Correction

Pablo Sartori<sup>1</sup> and Simone Pigolotti<sup>2</sup>

 $1$ Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Noethnitzer Strasse 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany <sup>2</sup>  $2$ Departament de Física, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Edifici Gaia, Rambla Sant Nebridi 22, 08222 Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain (Received 23 April 2015; revised manuscript received 25 September 2015; published 10 December 2015)

Information processing at the molecular scale is limited by thermal fluctuations. This can cause undesired consequences in copying information since thermal noise can lead to errors that can compromise the functionality of the copy. For example, a high error rate during DNA duplication can lead to cell death. Given the importance of accurate copying at the molecular scale, it is fundamental to understand its thermodynamic features. In this paper, we derive a universal expression for the copy error as a function of entropy production and work dissipated by the system during wrong incorporations. Its derivation is based on the second law of thermodynamics; hence, its validity is independent of the details of the molecular machinery, be it any polymerase or artificial copying device. Using this expression, we find that information can be copied in three different regimes. In two of them, work is dissipated to either increase or decrease the error. In the third regime, the protocol extracts work while correcting errors, reminiscent of a Maxwell demon. As a case study, we apply our framework to study a copy protocol assisted by kinetic proofreading, and show that it can operate in any of these three regimes. We finally show that, for any effective proofreading scheme, error reduction is limited by the chemical driving of the proofreading reaction.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041039) Subject Areas: Biological Physics, Statistical Physics

## I. INTRODUCTION

Copying information is a fundamental process in the natural world: all living systems, as well as the vast majority of manmade digital devices, need to replicate information to function properly. The quality of a copy relies on it being an accurate reproduction of the original and can be quantified by the fraction  $\eta$  of wrongly copied bits that it contains. Errors can be provoked by several hardware-specific causes, such as imperfections in the copying machinery. At the molecular scale, perfect copying does not exist as thermal fluctuations constitute a fundamental source of error, regardless of the system. Since the reliability of the copying process is ultimately limited by thermal noise, it must be understood in terms of thermodynamics, as recognized by von Neumann [\[1\]](#page-8-0).

Therefore, a critical question is whether one can invoke the second law of thermodynamics to establish a universal connection between the error and physical quantities characterizing the copy process. This issue should be addressed in a general framework, incorporating two basic features of copying machineries. First, copying protocols often involve

several intermediate discriminatory steps used to regulate the accuracy and speed of the process. This is a characteristic property of both natural and artificial error-correcting protocols. For example, accurate copying of DNA occurs via multistep reactions [\[2\]](#page-8-1). Second, due to the statistical nature of the second law, one should consider cyclically repeated copy operations rather than a single one [\[3\].](#page-8-2) This cyclical operation is also consistent with the behavior of polymerases when duplicating long biopolymers.

To understand the thermodynamics of copying, we introduce a general framework where both the copying protocol can be arbitrarily complex (as in models describing biochemical reactions [\[4](#page-8-3)–7]) and copy operations are cyclically repeated (as in models inspired by the physics of polymer growth [8–[15\]\)](#page-8-4). Our framework describes template-assisted growth of a copy polymer (or "tape," see Ref. [\[16\]\)](#page-8-5) aided by a molecular machine; see Fig. [1](#page-1-0). Gray and white circles represent two different monomer types. The molecular machine, represented as a red circle in the figure, is situated at the tip of the copy strand and tries to match freely diffusing monomers with corresponding ones on the template. When a free monomer arrives at the tip, the machine transitions through a network of intermediate states to determine whether to incorporate or to reject it. Incorporation is more likely if the matching is right, i.e., the color of the monomer matches that of the template, than if it is wrong. On average, the copy strand elongates at a speed  $v \geq 0$  and accumulates errors with probability  $\eta$ .

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Template-assisted polymerization. The template strand is a preexisting polymer made up of two different kinds of monomers (gray and white circles). A molecular copying machine (red circle) assists the growth of a copy strand by incorporating freely diffusing monomers of two different types, trying to match them with those of the template strand. Right and wrong matches are noted  $r$  and  $w$ .

Close to thermodynamic equilibrium the process becomes very slow,  $v \to 0$ . The error is then  $\eta_{eq} \approx \exp[-(\Delta E^w \Delta E^r$ )/T, determined by the energy changes  $\Delta E^r$  and  $\Delta E^w$  of right and wrong monomer incorporation and independently right and wrong monomer incorporation and independently of the copying protocol. In this case, the error can be reduced by increasing the gap  $(\Delta E^w - \Delta E^r)$ , in agreement with Bennett's idea that cyclic copying can be performed near equilibrium with arbitrary precision [\[3,13\].](#page-8-2) This mechanism is, however, unpractical, for example, due to the low speed limitation. Instead, typical molecular machines spend chemical energy to copy at a finite speed and out of thermodynamic equilibrium. Nonequilibrium copying protocols can also reduce the error far below its equilibrium value. For example, the equilibrium estimate for the error in DNA duplication is  $\eta_{\text{eq}} \sim 10^{-2}$ , where the actual observed error is  $\eta \sim 10^{-9}$  [\[2\]](#page-8-1). An important nonequilibrium mechanism underlying error correction is kinetic proofreading, which feeds on chemical energy to preferentially undo wrong copies [\[4,5,8\]](#page-8-3). Other nonequilibrium mechanisms, such as induced fit [\[17\]](#page-8-6) and kinetic discrimination [\[10,13\]](#page-8-7), complement kinetic proofreading to underpin the high accuracy of replication in biological systems.

In this work, we demonstrate that, for the broad class of processes depicted in Fig. [1](#page-1-0), a direct relation links copy errors with nonequilibrium thermodynamic observables characterizing incorporation of errors. In particular, at fixed work budget, the error decreases exponentially with the total entropy produced per wrongly copied bit. This relation is completely general, in contrast with conditions setting hardware-specific minimum errors  $\eta_{\text{min}}$  that characterize each particular copying protocol. When studying wrong matches alone, three copying regimes can be identified: error amplification, where energy is invested in increasing the error rate; error correction, where energy is invested in decreasing the error rate; and Maxwell demon, where the information contained in the errors is converted into work. We conclude by studying the specific copying protocol of kinetic proofreading. We show that proofreading can operate in all of these three regimes. Furthermore, for a broad class of proofreading protocols, we show that error reduction is limited by the chemical energy spent in the proofreading reaction.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

FIG. 2. Transition network of template-assisted polymerization and examples. (a) State space of the template-assisted polymerization model. Monomer incorporation occurs via a network of intermediate states represented inside the dashed circles. The two colors distinguish networks leading to incorporation of right and wrong monomers. The structure is repeated in a tree-shaped structure as the polymer grows by addition of more and more monomers. (b) Examples of networks of intermediate states. First example: templateassisted polymerization without intermediate states (see, e.g., Refs. [8,11–[13,15\]\)](#page-8-4). Second example: kinetic proofreading, where after an intermediate state a backwards-driven pathway removes errors to improve the overall accuracy of the copy [\[4,13\]](#page-8-3). Third example: messenger RNA translation, where the three copying steps represent initial binding, GTP hydrolysis, and final accommodation; a proofreading reaction is also present [\[18\].](#page-8-8)

## II. RESULTS

## A. Template-assisted polymerization

<span id="page-2-4"></span>We start our discussion by detailing the stochastic dynamics of the template-assisted polymerization process sketched in Fig. [1](#page-1-0). Its transition network is represented in Fig. [2\(a\).](#page-1-1) The rectangles correspond to the states of the system after the copying machine finalizes incorporation of a monomer. We denote them with a string such as …rrwr, which refers to a particular sequence of right and wrong matches (see also Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). Dashed circles enclose subnetworks of  $n$  intermediate states, characteristic of the copying protocol. The intermediate states, represented as blue (green) circles for right (wrong) matches in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1), are used by the machine to process a tentatively matched monomer and decide whether to incorporate it or not. We note intermediate states as ... rrwrr<sub>i</sub>, with  $1 \le i \le n$ , and analogously for wrong monomers. A copying protocol is fully specified by the topology of the subnetworks, assumed to be the same for right and wrong matches, and the kinetic rates  $k_{ij}^r$  for right matches and  $k_{ij}^w$  for wrong ones. Differences in the rates are responsible for discrimination. Possible examples of subnetworks of increasing complexity are represented in Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-1).

Because of thermal fluctuations induced by the environment at temperature  $T$ , all kinetic transitions are stochastic. The states are thus characterized by timedependent probabilities  $P(\ldots r)$ ,  $P(\ldots w)$ ,  $P(\ldots r_i)$ , and  $P(\ldots w_i)$ . Their evolution is governed by a set of master equations that can be solved at steady state; see Sec. [IV.](#page-6-0) Key to the solution is to postulate that errors are uncorrelated along the chain, so that  $P(...) \propto \eta^{N^w} (1-\eta)^{N-N^w}$ , where N is the length of the chain and  $N^w$  is the total where N is the length of the chain and  $N^w$  is the total number of incorporated wrong matches. The error  $\eta$  can then be determined via the condition

$$
\frac{\eta}{1-\eta} = \frac{v^w(\eta)}{v^r(\eta)},\tag{1}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where  $v^r$  and  $v^w$  are the average incorporation speeds of right and wrong monomers, respectively. They represent the average net rates at which right and wrong monomers are incorporated in the copy. The net elongation speed  $v$  is the sum of these two contributions,  $v = v^r + v^w$ . Substituting the solution for  $P(...)$  into the master equations leads to explicit expressions for  $v^w$  and  $v^r$  as a function of the error and all the kinetic rates. In this way, Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0) becomes a closed equation for the only unknown  $\eta$ . Note that Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0) and the definition of v imply  $v^r =$  $(1 - \eta)v$  and  $v^w = \eta v$ .

### <span id="page-2-3"></span>B. Thermodynamics of copying with errors

The kinetic rates  $k_{ij}^r$  and  $k_{ij}^w$  are determined by the energy landscape of the system, the chemical drivings  $\mu_{ij}$  of the reactions, and the temperature  $T$  of the thermal bath, as represented in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-2-1). The chemical drivings represent the difference in chemical potential of reactions, such as Adenosine Triphosphate hydrolysis, fueling the transitions  $j \rightarrow i$ . The energy difference between an intermediate state i and the state before the candidate monomer incorporation is  $\Delta E_i^r = E(...r_i) - E(...)$ , and similarly for wrong incor-<br>poration: the energy change after *finalizing* incorporation of poration; the energy change after finalizing incorporation of a monomer is  $\Delta E^r = E(...r) - E(...)$  and analogously for wrong matches. Note that these energies are in a strict sense free energies as they might depend, for example, on the monomer concentrations in the cell. Energetic discrimination can be exploited when the wrong match is energetically more unstable than the right one,  $\Delta E^w \geq \Delta E^r$ . In addition, wrong matches can also be discriminated kinetically, i.e., by exploiting different activation barriers  $\delta_{ij}$  in the transitions performed by the machine when a right monomer is bound. In general, complex copying protocols can combine both of these mechanisms [\[13,19\]](#page-8-9). Full expressions of the rates are summarized in Fig. [3\(b\).](#page-2-1)

Given a steady-state elongation speed  $v$ , the chemical drivings perform an average work per added monomer,  $\Delta W = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mu_{ij} (J_{ij}^r + J_{ij}^w)/v$ , where  $J_{ij}^r$  and  $J_{ij}^r$  are prob-<br>ability fluxes (see also Sec. IV). Further, the free-energy ability fluxes (see also Sec. [IV\)](#page-6-0). Further, the free-energy change per added monomer at equilibrium would be  $\Delta F_{\text{eq}} = -T \log(e^{-\Delta E'/T} + e^{-\Delta E''/T})$ . In the limit  $v \to 0$ ,<br>the system approaches equilibrium and the population of all the system approaches equilibrium and the population of all states is determined by detailed balance. This implies that the equilibrium error is  $\eta_{\text{eq}} = \exp\left[(-\Delta E^w + \Delta F_{\text{eq}})/T\right]$ .<br>When driving the dynamics out of equilibrium, the error When driving the dynamics out of equilibrium, the error will, in general, depart from its equilibrium value, leading to a positive total entropy production. In Sec. [IV,](#page-6-0) we derive that the total entropy production per copied monomer and the error are linked by the relation

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
T\Delta S_{\text{tot}} = \Delta W - \Delta F_{\text{eq}} - TD(\eta || \eta_{\text{eq}}) \ge 0, \qquad (2)
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Energy landscape and kinetic rates. (a) Energetic diagram of a single transition in the reaction network. (b) Corresponding kinetic rates. The transition  $j \rightarrow i$  can be driven by energy differences and the chemical driving  $\mu_{ij}$ . Transitions involving a right and a wrong monomer can be characterized by different kinetic barriers  $\delta_{ij}$ , as well as different energetic landscapes  $\Delta E_j^w \neq \Delta E_j^r$ . The bare rate  $\omega_{ij}$  is the inverse characteristic time scale of each reaction.

where  $D(\eta||\eta_{\text{eq}})=\eta\log(\eta/\eta_{\text{eq}})+(1-\eta)\log[(1-\eta)/(1-\eta_{\text{eq}})]$ <br>is the Kullback-Leibler distance between the equilibrium is the Kullback-Leibler distance between the equilibrium and nonequilibrium error distribution, which is always nonnegative and vanishes only for  $\eta = \eta_{\text{eq}}$ . Equation [\(2\)](#page-2-2) states that the average performed work is greater than the equilibrium free-energy increase by a configurational bound,  $\Delta W - \Delta F_{eq} \geq TD(\eta || \eta_{eq}) \geq 0$ . In this view, the Kullback-Leibler term in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-2) can be interpreted as the additional free energy stored in a copy characterized by an error different from its equilibrium value. This additional free energy can be recovered by a spontaneous depolymerization process that will stop once the system reaches its equilibrium error [\[8\].](#page-8-4)

Equation [\(2\)](#page-2-2) relates the information content of the copy with thermodynamics. However, in many relevant cases, the entropy production is dominated by the "excess work"  $\Delta W - \Delta F_{eq}$ , so that in practice Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-2) reduces to the traditional form of the second law. Consider, for example, a case in which error correction is very effective,  $\eta \ll \eta_{\text{eq}}$ . In this limit, the Kullback-Leibler term tends to a constant,  $D(\eta || \eta_{\text{eq}}) \rightarrow -\log(1 - \eta_{\text{eq}}) > 0$ . Since usually the equilibrium error is already small, this constant is also small,  $D(\eta || \eta_{\text{eq}}) \approx \eta_{\text{eq}} \ll 1$ . The reason is that, since errors are typically rare, their overall contribution will be small.

To better understand the link between errors and thermodynamics, we consider the average entropy production associated with an error incorporation,  $\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w = \dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w/v^w$ , where  $\dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w$  is the entrony production rate coming from where  $\dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w$  is the entropy production rate coming from incorporation of wrong monomers only. The quantity  $\Delta S^{\text{w}}_{\text{tot}}$ also obeys a second-law-like inequality,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
T\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w = \Delta W^w - \Delta F_{\text{eq}} - T \log(\eta/\eta_{\text{eq}}) \ge 0,\qquad(3)
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $\Delta W^w = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^w \mu_{ij}/v^w$  is the average work per-<br>formed per wrong match (see Sec IV) Rearranging terms formed per wrong match (see Sec. [IV\)](#page-6-0). Rearranging terms in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-0) yields a general expression for the error in terms of thermodynamic observables:

$$
\eta = \eta_{\text{eq}} \exp\left[-\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^{\text{w}} + (\Delta W^{\text{w}} - \Delta F_{\text{eq}})/T\right].\tag{4}
$$

This result does not depend on microscopic details of the copying protocol, such as the discrimination barriers  $\delta_{ij}$ . Equation [\(4\)](#page-3-1) provides a direct link between thermodynamic irreversibility and accuracy of copying. It states that, given a fixed work budget, reduction of the error beyond its equilibrium value always comes at a cost in terms of entropy production. However, the dependence of the error on the thermodynamic quantities is nontrivial to derive from Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-1), as varying the work also affects the entropy production.

The inequality of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-0) reveals the existence of three possible copying regimes.

(1) Error amplification,  $\Delta W^w - \Delta F_{\text{eq}} > 0$  and  $\eta > \eta_{\text{eq}}$ . In this regime, a positive excess work for wrong matches leads to an error higher than its equilibrium value. While, in this case. dissipating energy is counterproductive in terms of the achieved error, it can be justified by the need of achieving a high copying speed.

(2) Maxwell demon,  $\Delta W^w - \Delta F_{\text{eq}} < 0$  and  $\eta < \eta_{\text{eq}}$ . In this regime, the machine *extracts* work while lowering the information entropy of the chain with respect to its equilibrium value,  $-\eta \log(\eta) < \eta_{\text{eq}} \log(\eta_{\text{eq}})$ . This regime is reminiscent of a Maxwell demon, since an apparent violation of the second-law-like inequality, Eq. [\(3\),](#page-3-0) occurs from neglecting entropy production associated with information manipulation (see, e.g., Ref. [\[20\]\)](#page-8-10). Note, however, that the excess work associated with right matches compensates this term, so that growth of a copolymer cannot result in  $\Delta W - \Delta F_{eq} < 0$ ; see Eq. [\(2\).](#page-2-2)

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 4. Template-assisted polymerization without intermediate states. (a) Excess work  $\Delta W - \Delta F_{eq}$ , entropy production, and Kullback-Leibler term of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-2) as a function of the error. Notice that the excess work dominates over the information term. (b) Same terms as in (a) but for wrong monomers only. In this case, the information term dominates the entropy production. (c) Relation between error and entropy production of wrong monomers, together with thermodynamic (red dashed line) and hardware-specific (black dashed line) bounds. In all panels, the driving  $\mu_{10}$  is varied to vary the error. Parameters are  $\delta_{10} = 10T$ ,  $\Delta E_1^r = 0, \ \Delta E_1^w = 3T.$ 

(3) Error correction,  $\Delta W^w - \Delta F_{eq} > 0$  and  $\eta < \eta_{eq}$ . This is an error-correction scenario in which work is dissipated to achieve an error lower than the equilibrium error. In this case, which is the most common for biological machines, Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-1) implies a simple bound on the error,  $\eta \geq \eta_{eq} \exp(-\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w)$ .<br>Put the conving protocol and the kinetic rates

Given the copying protocol and the kinetic rates, the copying machinery will achieve a certain error  $\eta$  and operate in one of these three regimes. Varying the kinetic rates affects both the error and the thermodynamic observables, possibly shifting the operating regime of the machine. To better scrutinize these aspects, we now move to considering specific protocols.

In the simplest possible example, incorporation occurs in a single step, as sketched in the top panel of Fig. [2\(b\)](#page-1-1) (see also Refs. [8,11–[13,15\]\)](#page-8-4). It can be shown that this protocol is always dissipative,  $\Delta W^w - \Delta F_{eq} \geq 0$ . In general, wrong monomers can be discriminated by a kinetic barrier  $\delta_{10}$  and an energy difference  $\Delta E^w - \Delta E^r$  [\[13\]](#page-8-9). If the kinetic barrier is larger than the energy difference,  $\delta_{10} > \Delta E^{\nu} - \Delta E^{r}$ , it can be shown that  $\eta < \eta_{eq}$ , corresponding to error correction. If it is lower, then  $\eta > \eta_{\text{eq}}$ , which corresponds to error amplification [\[13\]](#page-8-9). In Fig.  $4(a)$ , we plot the different terms of the total entropy production, Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-2), for the error correction case. As discussed before, the information contribution to the total entropy production is negligible for small errors. Instead, note in Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-3-2) that the information term of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-0) dominates over the work performed per wrong matches. This implies that the universal expression for the error, Eq. [\(4\),](#page-3-1) is very well approximated by the lower bound of error correction, as shown in Fig. [4\(c\).](#page-3-2) The error departs from this bound only when it approaches its hardware-specific minimum  $\eta_{\min} \approx e^{-\delta_{10}/T}$ . Note that increasing  $\delta_{10}$  decreases both  $\eta_{\text{min}}$  and the dissipative cost  $\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w$  of copying at an error rate  $\eta > \eta_{\min}$ .

#### C. Energetic bound to proofreading accuracy

In kinetic proofreading, a copying pathway that incorporates monomers at a speed  $v_c \ge 0$  is assisted by a parallel pathway that preferentially removes wrong matches at a speed  $v_p \le 0$ ; see Fig. [5\(a\).](#page-4-0) Hereafter, the index "p" indicates that quantities are computed only for the proofreading reaction. To maintain a negative speed, the proofreading reaction must be driven backward either by performing a work per added monomer  $\Delta W_p$  or by exploiting a high free-energy difference  $\Delta F_{eq}$  between the final and the initial state. By means of proofreading, one can achieve lower errors than those of the copying pathway alone, at the cost of spending additional chemical driving and reducing the net copying speed,  $v = v_c + v_p$ .

We consider a proofreading protocol consisting of a copying pathway with one intermediate step in addition to the proofreading reaction; see middle panel in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-1-1) By tuning the rates, this model can operate in all three regimes

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 5. Regimes and bounds of kinetic proofreading. (a) Scheme of a generic proofreading scheme. Copying occurs at a net speed  $v_c > 0$  through an arbitrary reaction network of intermediate states. After the copy is finalized, a proofreading reaction removes errors at a speed  $v_p < 0$ . The net average speed is  $v = v_c + v_p \ge 0$ . (b) Thermodynamic regimes of kinetic proofreading. The model combines a copying scheme with one intermediate state with kinetic proofreading, as represented in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-1-1) The shaded regions denote the three thermodynamic regimes discussed in the Sec. [II B](#page-2-3). Parameters are  $\delta_{10} = 5T$ ,  $\delta_{21} = 0$ ,  $\delta_{02} = 5T$ ,  $\Delta E_2^{\nu} = \Delta E_1^{\nu} = 2T$ ,  $\Delta E_2^{\nu} = \Delta E_1^{\nu} = 0$ . We remind<br>the reader that states 0, 1 and 2 represent the state before the reader that states 0, 1, and 2 represent the state before monomer incorporation, the intermediate state, and the final state where monomer has been incorporated, respectively [see also Fig. [3\(b\)\]](#page-2-1). For each value of the error  $\eta$ , the other free parameters  $(\mu_{10}, \mu_{21}, \omega_{21}, \omega_{02})$  are determined by minimizing the entropy production per copied wrong monomer  $\Delta S^{\text{w}}_{\text{tot}}$ . (c) Minimum error as a function of the proofreading work  $\Delta W_p = \mu_{02}$ . For each curve, energies and activation barriers are fixed parameters as in (b) (except for  $\delta_{02}$ , which varies, as in the captions). For each value of  $\mu_{02}$ , the other free parameters  $(\mu_{10}, \mu_{21}, \omega_{21}, \omega_{02})$  are determined by numerically minimizing the error  $\eta$ . Red dashed and black dashed lines represent thermodynamic and hardwarespecific bounds, respectively.

described in the previous section, as shown in Fig. [5\(b\).](#page-4-0) In particular, in the Maxwell demon regime, the error can be reduced up to 1 order of magnitude below its equilibrium value while at the same time extracting work from the wrong copying reaction. Very small errors are achieved in a strongly driven error correction regime, where the error rate satisfies  $\eta \ge \eta_{eq} \exp(-\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w)$ . However, at variance with the example of the previous section here the entropy the example of the previous section, here the entropy

production quickly becomes much larger than this bound. The reason is that effective proofreading requires a cycle in the reaction pathway that fundamentally involves dissipation of work. This dissipation, rather than the information term, dominates the entropy production of wrong matches at low errors. This is at variance with the singlestep model of the previous section, where no cycles are present and the configurational entropy dominates over dissipation.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>To derive a better estimate of the error in proofreading, we now focus on the rate of entropy production during the proofreading of wrong matches,  $T\dot{S}_{p,\text{tot}}^w = -v_p^w \Delta W_p^w -$ <br> $v^w[\Delta F] + T \log{(p/n)}$  | Using that in proofreading  $v^w <$  $v_{p}^{w}[\Delta F_{eq} + T \log (\eta/\eta_{eq})]$ . Using that in proofreading  $v_{p}^{w} < 0$  while  $S^{w} > 0$  we can derive the following bound for 0 while  $S_{p,\text{tot}}^w \ge 0$ , we can derive the following bound for the error:

$$
\eta \ge \eta_{\text{eq}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta W_p + \Delta F_{\text{eq}}}{T}\right),\tag{5}
$$

where we further use that  $\Delta W_p = \Delta W_p^{\text{w}}$  (see Sec. [IV](#page-6-0) for details). This equation is one of the main results of this details). This equation is one of the main results of this paper. It shows that error reduction in proofreading is limited by its energetic cost, either in the form of chemical work in the proofreading pathway [\[19\]](#page-8-11) or free energy of the final state, which involves performing work in the copying pathway [\[4\]](#page-8-3). Similarly to Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-1), this bound does not depend on details of the copying protocol. In Fig. [5\(c\)](#page-4-0), we show the error of the specific proofreading model of Fig. [5\(b\)](#page-4-0) as a function of the proofreading work. One can appreciate that the bound from Eq. [\(5\)](#page-5-0) is tightly met for a wide range of errors. For very small values of  $\Delta W_p$ , when  $v_p > 0$  and no proofreading occurs, the bound is not satisfied. Finally, for very large work values, the error approaches the hardware-specific minimum  $\eta_{\min}$ .

In this case, the value of  $\eta_{\min}$  can be obtained from the explicit solution of the model (see derivation in Sec. [IV](#page-6-0)). In the strongly driven regime, the error  $\eta$  decreases at increasing proofreading work  $\Delta W_p$ . At the same time,  $v_p$  becomes more negative as more copies are proofread. The minimum error is thus obtained in the limit of vanishing elongation speed, when the proofreading speed is negative enough to arrest copying,  $v_p = -v_c$ . Imposing this condition gives the hardware-specific minimum error

$$
\eta_{\min} \approx e^{(-\delta_{10} + \delta_{02} - \Delta E^{\nu} + \Delta E^r)/T}.\tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-5-1"></span>This expression shows that the error of the first copying step, approximately equal to  $e^{-\delta_{10}/T}$  because of the large kinetic barrier, is reduced by a factor  $e^{(\delta_{02}-\Delta E^{\psi}+\Delta E^{\tau})/T}$  due to the additional discrimination of the proofreading reaction.

## III. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we analyze template-assisted polymerization, where copies are cyclically produced by an arbitrary complex reaction network. This broadly extends Bennett's original copolymerization model [\[8\]](#page-8-4) and further studies [9–[15\]\)](#page-8-12) where monomer incorporation occurs in a single step. In particular, the results we present here allow for analyzing the thermodynamics of realistic biological copying protocols, where a complex reaction network is responsible for error correction.

At variance with models for the copy of a single monomer [\[4](#page-8-3)–7], in template-assisted polymerization the number of possible states of the chain grows exponentially at steady state. This exponential increase causes the appearance of an information term in the formula for the total entropy production, Eq. [\(2\).](#page-2-2) A similar term appears in the context of the Landauer principle out of equilibrium [\[21\]](#page-8-13), and was interpreted as the amount of information necessary to shift from the equilibrium distribution to the nonequilibrium one. Equation [\(2\)](#page-2-2) should not be confused with a formally similar one derived by Andrieux and Gaspard [\[9\],](#page-8-12) which represents a physically different quantity, i.e., the entropy of the copy given the template. This difference is physically important: the information term in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-2) can be thought of as a measure of distance from equilibrium, as it is equal to zero at equilibrium and positive otherwise. In contrast, the information term in the formula given by Gaspard and Andrieux goes to zero only in the limit of vanishing error rate.

The main result of this paper is that, thanks to the explicit dependence on the error, the second law of thermodynamics can be used to obtain general expressions and bounds on the copy error. This allows us to identify three different copying regimes: error amplification, error correction, and Maxwell demon, all of which can be achieved by kinetic proofreading.

Considering cyclic copying is analogous to considering cyclic transformation when studying the efficiency of thermodynamic engines. Besides being the natural choice to properly describe the thermodynamics of the process, template-assisted polymerization allows for out-ofequilibrium copying regimes, which are absent in singlemonomer models. For example, a lower bound to the error analogous to Eq. [\(5\)](#page-5-0) is generally valid in closed networks [\[22,23\]](#page-8-14). In template-assisted polymerization, this limit can be broken when the proofreading reaction reverts its flux, as seen in Fig. [5\(d\)](#page-4-0) for small values of the work.

We briefly discuss the relevance of our results for interpreting experimental data. Many biological copying pathways are driven by the hydrolysis of one single ganosine triphosphate (GTP) molecule. The chemical work spent in this process is  $\Delta \mu = \Delta \mu^0 + k_B T \log[(GTP])/(GDP)[Pi])],$ <br>where  $[GDP]$  is the concentration of guanosine diphosphate where [GDP] is the concentration of guanosine diphosphate and [Pi] the concentration of inorganic phosphate. Taking as reference the bare potential of ATP,  $\Delta \mu^0 = 14.5 k_B T$ , and typical concentrations  $\text{[GTP]} = 1 \text{ mM}$ ,  $\text{[GDP]} = 0.01 \text{ mM}$ ,<br>and  $\text{[Pi]} = 1 \text{ mM}$ , we obtain  $\Delta \mu_{\text{GTP}} \approx 20 k_{\text{B}} T$ . In a protocol and  $[Pi] = 1$  mM, we obtain  $\Delta \mu_{GTP} \approx 20k_B T$ . In a protocol<br>involving proofreading this information and Eq. (5) can be involving proofreading, this information and Eq. [\(5\)](#page-5-0) can be used to set a lower bound for the error. Assuming that the energy of GTP is all spent to increase the free energy of the chain,  $\Delta F \approx \Delta \mu_{\text{GTP}}$ , we obtain that the total error reduction is  $\eta/\eta_{\text{eq}} \geq 10^{-9}$ . The value of this bound is smaller than typically observed errors, which reasonably suggests that not 100% of the energy of hydrolysis is utilized to increase the free energy of the system.

Given the flexibility of our framework, many complex copying mechanisms studied in the literature as noncyclic processes [\[17](#page-8-6)–19] can be directly considered as templateassisted polymerization problems and studied from the point of view of thermodynamic efficiency. One limitation of our treatment is the lack of long-term memory: while processing a monomer, the machine does not keep track of the past errors encountered along the chain. A more general scheme could exploit correlations in the template sequence to reduce the error. An example of this is backtracking [\[24](#page-8-15)–26], where regions of the template containing many errors are entirely reprocessed. Generalization of templateassisted polymerization to these cases will be the subject of a future study.

The thermodynamic relations derived in this paper fundamentally limit the capabilities of stochastic machines to reduce and proofread errors, and are reminiscent of similar bounds derived for adaptation error in sensory systems [\[27\].](#page-8-16) It will be of interest to understand whether our results can be applied to error correction in sensing. For example, it is known that sensory pathways exploit proofreading both in chemosensing by isolated receptors [\[28\]](#page-8-17) or cooperative ones [\[29\]](#page-8-18). Clarifying the links between these problems will constitute an important step towards formulating general thermodynamic principles [\[30\]](#page-8-19) limiting the accuracy of nonequilibrium information processing.

#### IV. METHODS

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>A. Steady-state solution of template-assisted polymerization

In this section, we briefly outline how to solve the template-assisted polymerization model. We start by writing the master equations governing the evolution of probabilities of all main states  $P(...)$  and those of the intermediate states  $P(\ldots r_i)$  and  $P(\ldots w_i)$ . The probability flux between two arbitrary intermediate states  $\dots r_i$  and ...  $r_i$  is  $\mathcal{J}_{ij}^r(...) = k_{ij}^r P(...r_j) - k_{ji}^r P(...r_i)$ , and analogous for wrong matches [see Fig. 2(a)]. The master equations for for wrong matches [see Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-1-1)]. The master equations for the intermediate states can be expressed in a compact form in terms of these fluxes,

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\dot{P}(...r_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^r(...), \quad \dot{P}(...w_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^w(...), \quad (7)
$$

where the upper dot denotes time derivative. Note that the sum extends to  $j = 0$  and  $j = n + 1$ , which with an abuse of notation correspond to the main states neighboring the network of intermediate states:  $...r_0 \equiv ...w_0 \equiv ...$  $\ldots r_{n+1} \equiv \ldots r$ , and  $\ldots w_{n+1} \equiv \ldots w$ . Master equations for main states are easily written by distinguishing states ending with a wrong match from those ending with a right match,

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\dot{P}(...w) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} [\mathcal{J}_{n+1j}^w(...) - \mathcal{J}_{j0}^r(...w) - \mathcal{J}_{j0}^w(...w)],
$$
  

$$
\dot{P}(...r) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} [\mathcal{J}_{n+1j}^r(...) - \mathcal{J}_{j0}^r(...r) - \mathcal{J}_{j0}^w(...r)],
$$
 (8)

where the three sets of fluxes in each equation correspond to finalized incorporation of the last monomer in the main state and attempted incorporation of a right and wrong monomer. Equation [\(7\)](#page-6-1) is similar to that written for biochemical models, while Eq. [\(8\)](#page-6-2) is similar to that used for polymer growth.

The system of equations [\(7\)](#page-6-1) and [\(8\)](#page-6-2) can be solved at steady state,  $\dot{P} = 0$ , by means of the ansatz that errors are uncorrelated. Given an error  $\eta$ , to be determined a posteriori, we impose that the steady-state probability of a string of length  $\tilde{N}$  with  $N^w$  errors is  $P(...) \propto \eta^{N^w} (1-\eta)^{N-N^w}$ .<br>This implies This implies

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
P(...r) = P(...)(1-\eta)
$$
 and  $P(...w) = P(...)\eta$ . (9)

<span id="page-6-4"></span>For the intermediate states, we make the additional ansatz

$$
P(...r_i) = P(...)p_i^r
$$
 and  $P(...w_i) = P(...)p_i^w$ , (10)

where  $p_i^r$  and  $p_i^w$  are the occupancies of the intermediate states  $1 \le i \le n$ , assumed to be independent of  $P(...)$ .

<span id="page-6-5"></span>Substituting Eqs. [\(9\)](#page-6-3) and [\(10\)](#page-6-4) in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-6-1) yields a system of  $2n$  linear equations, from which the occupancies can be expressed as functions of the kinetic rates and the error  $\eta$ , still to be determined. It is now convenient to define the occupation fluxes  $J_{ij}^r$  as

$$
J_{ij}^r = \mathcal{N}(k_{ij}^r p_j - k_{ji}^r p_i), \qquad (11)
$$

where  $\mathcal{N} = [1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^r + p_i^w)]^{-1}$  is a normalization<br>constant such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) + p(x_i, y_i) - 1$  and thus constant such that  $\sum_{i} P(\ldots r_i) + P(\ldots w_i) = 1$ , and thus<br> $\sum_{i} P(\ldots \uparrow) = \mathcal{N}$  Occupation fluxes are related to the  $\sum_{n} P(...) = \mathcal{N}$ . Occupation fluxes are related to the probability fluxes via  $T_{n}$ .  $P(-) = P(-)T_{n}/N$  and analoprobability fluxes via  $\mathcal{J}_{ij}^r(\ldots) = P(\ldots)J_{ij}^r/\mathcal{N}$  and analo-<br>gously for wrong matches. The speed of right and wrong gously for wrong matches. The speed of right and wrong monomer incorporations can now be expressed as  $v' =$ monomer incorporations can now be expressed as  $v^r = \sum_i J_{n+1i}^r = \sum_i J_{i0}^r$  and  $v^w = \sum_i J_{n+1i}^w = \sum_i J_{i0}^w$ . Replacing the ansatz in Eq. (8) and using these definitions results in the ansatz in Eq. [\(8\)](#page-6-2) and using these definitions results in Eq. [\(1\),](#page-2-0) which can be finally used to determine the error.

### B. Entropy production rate

To calculate the steady-state entropy production rate, we start with the general expression [\[31\]](#page-8-20)

$$
\dot{S}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\dots,i,j} \left[ \mathcal{J}_{ij}^r(\dots) \log \left( \frac{k_{ij}^r P(\dots r_j)}{k_{ji}^r P(\dots r_i)} \right) + \mathcal{J}_{ij}^w(\dots) \log \left( \frac{k_{ij}^w P(\dots w_j)}{k_{ji}^w P(\dots w_i)} \right) \right].
$$
\n(12)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>We now factorize the sum into one over strings (noted  $\Sigma$ ) and one over intermediate states (where  $\langle ij \rangle$  denotes links). Using the definition of the occupation fluxes, Eq. [\(11\)](#page-6-5), we obtain

$$
\dot{S}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{\sum_{\cdot\cdot\cdot} P(\cdot \cdot \cdot)}{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[ J_{ij}^r \log \left( \frac{k_{ij}^r p_j^r}{k_{ji}^r p_i^r} \right) + J_{ij}^w \log \left( \frac{k_{ij}^w p_j^w}{k_{ji}^w p_i^w} \right) \right]. \tag{13}
$$

Since the sum over all states is normalized to 1, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(\ldots) = [1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^r + p_i^w)]^{-1}$ . Using the def-<br>inition of N in the previous section the term outside the inition of N in the previous section, the term outside the brackets is equal to 1. Substituting the definition of the rates of Fig. [3](#page-2-1) into Eq. [\(13\)](#page-7-0) yields

$$
\dot{S}_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (J_{ij}^r + J_{ij}^w) \mu_{ij} / T + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^r \log \left( \frac{p_j^r}{p_i^r} \right) \n+ \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^w \log \left( \frac{p_j^w}{p_i^w} \right) + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^r (\Delta E_j^r - \Delta E_i^r) / T \n+ \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}^w (\Delta E_j^w - \Delta E_i^r) / T.
$$
\n(14)

For an isolated network at steady state, all terms but the first one vanish by flux conservation [\[31\]](#page-8-20). However, in cyclic copying the states  $i = 0$  and  $i = n + 1$  receive a finite flux  $\sum_{i} J_{ij}^r = 0$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ , the definitions of  $v^r$  and  $v^w$ , and  $F_0$  (1) we obtain from the rest of the transition network; see Fig. [2\(a\).](#page-1-1) Using Eq.  $(1)$ , we obtain

$$
\dot{S}_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (J_{ij}^r + J_{ij}^w) \mu_{ij} / T - \eta v [\log(\eta) + \Delta E^w / T]
$$

$$
- (1 - \eta) v [\log(1 - \eta) + \Delta E^r / T]. \tag{15}
$$

Using the definition of equilibrium error and free-energy difference per step given in Sec. [II,](#page-2-4) we arrive at

$$
T\dot{S}_{\text{tot}} = v[\Delta W - \Delta F_{\text{eq}} - TD(\eta || \eta_{\text{eq}})]. \tag{16}
$$

Defining the entropy production per step as  $\Delta S_{\text{tot}} = \dot{S}_{\text{tot}}/v$ <br>leads to Eq. (2) leads to Eq. [\(2\).](#page-2-2)

Equation [\(4\)](#page-3-1) can be derived following the same procedure, but considering the contribution to the entropy production coming from incorporation of wrong matches,  $\dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{...,i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^w(...)\log\{k_{ij}^w P(...w_j)/[k_{ji}^w P(...w_i)]\},\text{ from}$ 

which we also define  $\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^w = \dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w/v^w$ . Note that  $\dot{S}_{\text{tot}}^w \ge 0$ , since all terms of the sum in its definition are non-negative since all terms of the sum in its definition are non-negative.

#### C. Thermodynamic bound for proofreading

In copying schemes assisted by kinetic proofreading, the proofreading reaction removes incorporated monomers at an average speed  $v_p = J_{n+10}^w + J_{n+10}^r$ , where the index "p" denotes quantities that correspond to the proofreading reaction. The average proofreading speed can be written as a sum of contributions coming from right and wrong monomers,  $v_p = v_p^r + v_p^w < 0$ . Proofreading is fueled by a chemical driving  $u_p \rightarrow$  which is the same for right and chemical driving  $\mu_{0n+1}$ , which is the same for right and wrong matches (we remind the reader that the proofreading reaction is driven backward). By direct substitution, one can show that the average work per proofread monomer is  $\Delta W_p = \Delta W_p^v = \Delta W_p^r = \mu_{0n+1}$ . According to our convention monomer removal corresponds to  $v \leq 0$  In an tion, monomer removal corresponds to  $v_p < 0$ . In an effective proofreading scheme, errors are removed on average,  $v_p^w = J_{n+10}^w < 0$ . Consider now the entropy pro-<br>duction rate of proofreading wrong monomers duction rate of proofreading wrong monomers,  $S_{\nu, tot}^w = J_{n+10}^w \log[(p_0^w k_{n+10}^w) / (p_{n+1}^w k_{0n+1})].$  As every term of S this quantity satisfies a second-law-like inequality of  $S_{\text{tot}}$ , this quantity satisfies a second-law-like inequality  $\dot{S}_{p,\text{tot}}^w \ge 0$ . By means of this inequality, and using  $v_p^w < 0$ ,  $p_0^w = 1$ , and  $p_{n+1}^w = \eta$ , we obtain the general proofreading<br>hound of Eq. (5) bound of Eq.  $(5)$ .

### D. Solution of the proofreading model

To solve the proofreading protocol in Fig. [5\(a\),](#page-4-0) we start from Eq. [\(7\),](#page-6-1) which at steady state implies  $J_{10}^r - J_{21}^r = 0$ <br>and  $J_{\infty}^v - J_{\infty}^v = 0$ . Solving for the probabilities of the and  $J_{10}^w - J_{21}^w = 0$ . Solving for the probabilities of the intermediate states yields  $p' = [k'_{+} + (1 - n)k'_{+}] / (k'_{+} + k'_{+})$ intermediate states yields  $p_1^r = [k_{10}^r + (1-\eta)k_{12}^r]/(k_{01}^r + k_2^r)$ <br>and  $p_1^w - (k_1^w + k_2^w) / (k_2^w + k_1^w)$ . The speed of incorp merine<br>
and  $p_1^w = \frac{k_{10}^w + k_{12}^w n}{k_{10}^w + k_{21}^w n}$  ( $\frac{k_{01}^w + k_{21}^w}{k_{01}^w + k_{21}^w}$ ). The speed of incorpo-<br>
ration of right and wrong monomers is  $v^w - \mathcal{N}[k^w + \mathcal{N}[k_{01}^w + \mathcal{N}[k_{01}^w + \mathcal{N}[k_{01}^w + \mathcal{N}[$ ration of right and wrong monomers is  $v^w = \mathcal{N}[k_{20}^w +$ Fraction of Fight and wrong monometers is  $v = -\nu \left[\kappa_{20} + \kappa_{21}^w p_1^w - \eta(k_{12}^w + k_{02}^w)\right]$  and  $v' = \mathcal{N}\left[k_{20}^v + k_{21}^v p_1^v - (1 - \eta)(k_{12}^v + k_{12}^v)\right]$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the previously defined normalization  $(k_{11}^{10}P_1^{-1}(\lambda_{12}+\lambda_{02}))$  and  $v \to v \lambda_{20}+\lambda_{21}^{10}P_1^{-(1-\gamma)}(\lambda_{12}+\lambda_{02}^{10}))$ , where N is the previously defined normalization  $\kappa_{02}$ ), where *N* is the previously defined normalization<br>constant. Substituting these expressions in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0) yields

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\eta}{1-\eta} = \frac{k_{20}^w + k_{21}^w p_1^w - \eta(k_{12}^w + k_{02}^w)}{k_{20}^r + k_{21}^r p_1^r - (1-\eta)(k_{12}^r + k_{02}^r)},\tag{17}
$$

which can be easily solved for the error  $\eta$ .

<span id="page-7-2"></span>To scrutinize the effectiveness of proofreading, we parametrize the rates as in Fig. [3\(b\).](#page-2-1) Considering the strongly driven regime  $\mu_{21}$ ,  $\mu_{02} \gg 1$ , Eq. [\(17\)](#page-7-1) becomes

$$
\frac{\eta}{1-\eta} = \frac{\omega_{21}p_1^w - \eta \omega_{02}e^{(\mu_{02} - \mu_{21} + \Delta E^w)/T}}{\omega_{21}p_1^r - (1-\eta)\omega_{02}e^{(\mu_{02} - \mu_{21} + \Delta E^r + \delta_{02})/T}}.
$$
 (18)

From Eq. [\(18\),](#page-7-2) one can deduce that the error  $\eta$  is a decreasing function of the combination of parameters  $K = (\omega_{02}/\omega_{21})e^{(\mu_{02}-\mu_{21})/T}$ , which tunes the intensity of proofreading. However, increasing  $K$  also increases the absolute value of the proofreading speed,  $v_p =$  $\mathcal{N}[k_{20}^r + k_{20}^w - k_{02}^r(1-\eta) + k_{02}^w \eta]$ , so that K can be

increased only up to a point where the net elongation speed vanishes. Finding the maximum value of  $K$  by the condition  $v = 0$  and substituting in Eq. [\(18\)](#page-7-2) leads to Eq. [\(6\)](#page-5-1). In this case,  $\eta_1$  is determined by the large kinetic barrier  $\eta_1 \approx e^{-\delta_{10}/T}$ ; see, e.g., Refs. [\[10,13\]](#page-8-7).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank L. Granger, R. Ma, L. Peliti, A. Puglisi, and three anonymous referees for useful comments on a preliminary version of the manuscript. S. P. acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and FEDER (Project No. FIS2012- 37655-C02-01).

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[1] J. von Neumann, in Automata Studies, edited by C. Shannon and J. McCarthy (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1956), Vol. 34, pp. 43–98.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>[2] K. A. Johnson, *Conformational Coupling in DNA Polymer*ase Fidelity, [Annu. Rev. Biochem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.62.070193.003345) 62, 685 (1993).
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[3] C. H. Bennett, *The Thermodynamics of Computation—A* Review, [Int. J. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02084158) 21, 905 (1982).
- [4] J. J. Hopfield, Kinetic Proofreading: A New Mechanism for Reducing Errors in Biosynthetic Processes Requiring High Specificity, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.71.10.4135) 71, 4135 [\(1974\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.71.10.4135)
- [5] J. Ninio, Kinetic Amplification of Enzyme Discrimination, Biochimie 57[, 587 \(1975\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9084(75)80139-8).
- [6] A. Murugan, D. A. Huse, and S. Leibler, Speed, Dissipation, and Error in Kinetic Proofreading, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1119911109) U.S.A. 109[, 12034 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1119911109).
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[7] A. Murugan, D. A. Huse, and S. Leibler, *Discriminatory* Proofreading Regimes in Nonequilibrium Systems, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.021016) Rev. X 4[, 021016 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.021016)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[8] C. H. Bennett, Dissipation-Error Tradeoff in Proofreading, [BioSystems](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0303-2647(79)90003-0) 11, 85 (1979).
- [9] D. Andrieux and P. Gaspard, Nonequilibrium Generation of Information in Copolymerization Processes, [Proc. Natl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802049105) [Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802049105) 105, 9516 (2008).
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[10] F. Cady and H. Qian, Open-System Thermodynamic Analysis of DNA Polymerase Fidelity, Phys. Biol. 6[, 036011 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/6/3/036011).
- [11] D. Andrieux and P. Gaspard, Molecular Information Processing in Nonequilibrium Copolymerizations, [J. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3050099) Phys. 130[, 014901 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3050099)
- [12] M. Esposito, K. Lindenberg, and C. Van den Broeck, Extracting Chemical Energy by Growing Disorder: Efficiency at Maximum Power, J. Stat. Mech. (2010) P01008.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[13] P. Sartori and S. Pigolotti, Kinetic versus Energetic Discrimination in Biological Copying, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.188101) 110[, 188101 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.188101)
- [14] D. Andrieux and P. Gaspard, Information Erasure in Copolymers, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/103/30004) 103, 30004 (2013).
- [15] P. Gaspard and D. Andrieux, Kinetics and Thermodynamics of First-Order Markov Chain Copolymerization, [J. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4890821) Phys. 141[, 044908 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4890821)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[16] A. K. Sharma and D. Chowdhury, Template-Directed Biopolymerization: Tape-Copying Turing Machines, [Biophys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S1793048012300083) 07, 135 (2012).
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[17] T. Pape, W. Wintermeyer, and M. Rodnina, Induced Fit in Initial Selection and Proofreading of Aminoacyl-tRNA on the Ribosome, EMBO J. 18[, 3800 \(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.13.3800).
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>[18] M. Johansson, M. Lovmar, and M. Ehrenberg, Rate and Accuracy of Bacterial Protein Synthesis Revisited, [Curr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.02.015) [Opin. Microbiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.02.015) 11, 141 (2008).
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[19] H. S. Zaher and R. Green, Fidelity at the Molecular Level: Lessons from Protein Synthesis, Cell 136[, 746 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.036).
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[20] D. Mandal and C. Jarzynski, Work and Information Processing in a Solvable Model of Maxwell's Demon, [Proc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204263109) [Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204263109) 109, 11641 (2012).
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[21] M. Esposito and C. Van den Broeck, Second Law and Landauer Principle Far from Equilibrium, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/95/40004) 95[, 40004 \(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/95/40004).
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[22] M. Ehrenberg and C. Blomberg, Thermodynamic Constraints on Kinetic Proofreading in Biosynthetic Pathways, Biophys. J. 31[, 333 \(1980\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(80)85063-6)
- [23] H. Qian, Reducing Intrinsic Biochemical Noise in Cells and Its Thermodynamic Limit, [J. Mol. Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.07.068) 362, 387 (2006).
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[24] E. A. Galburt, S. W. Grill, A. Wiedmann, L. Lubkowska, J. Choy, E. Nogales, M. Kashlev, and C. Bustamante, Backtracking Determines the Force Sensitivity of RNAP II in a Factor-Dependent Manner, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05701) 446, 820 [\(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05701)
- [25] M. Depken, J. M. R. Parrondo, and S. W. Grill, Intermittent Transcription Dynamics for the Rapid Production of Long Transcripts of High Fidelity, Cell Rep. 5[, 521 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.007)
- [26] H. Mellenius and M. Ehrenberg, DNA Template Dependent Accuracy Variation of Nucleotide Selection in Transcription," PLoS One 10[, e0119588 \(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119588).
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>[27] G. Lan, P. Sartori, S. Neumann, V. Sourjik, and Y. Tu, The Energy-Speed-Accuracy Trade-Off in Sensory Adaptation, Nat. Phys. 8[, 422 \(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2276).
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[28] T. Mora, Physical Limit to Concentration Sensing amid Spurious Ligands, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.038102) 115, 038102 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.038102)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[29] J.-B. Lalanne and P. François, Chemodetection in Fluctuating Environments: Receptor Coupling, Buffering, and Antagonism, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420903112) 112, 1898 [\(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420903112)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[30] J. M. R. Parrondo, J. M. Horowitz, and T. Sagawa, Thermodynamics of Information, Nat. Phys. 11[, 131 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys3230)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>[31] J Schnakenberg, Network Theory of Microscopic and Macroscopic Behavior of Master Equation Systems, [Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.571) Mod. Phys. 48[, 571 \(1976\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.571).