## No drama in two-dimensional black hole evaporation

Jonathan Barenboim,<sup>1,\*</sup> Andrei V. Frolov <sup>(1)</sup>,<sup>†</sup> and Gabor Kunstatter <sup>2,1,‡</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A 1S6 <sup>2</sup>Physics Department, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3B 2E9

(Received 31 May 2024; accepted 8 August 2024; published 5 September 2024)

We numerically calculate the spacetime describing the formation and evaporation of a regular black hole in 2D dilaton gravity. The apparent horizons evaporate smoothly in finite time to form a compact trapped region. We nevertheless see rich dynamics: an antitrapped region forms alongside the black hole and additional compact trapped and antitrapped regions are formed by backreaction effects as the mass radiates away. The spacetime is asymptotically flat at future null infinity and is free of singularities and Cauchy horizons. These results suggest that the evaporation of regular 2D black holes is unitary.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.L032055

Little is known about the end state of black hole evaporation, and even the unitarity of the process is still a subject of debate. A complete rigorous analysis of this issue requires knowledge of the physics at Planck scales, but as yet there is no viable theory of quantum gravity. Hawking's derivation of black hole radiation was based on quantum field theory on a fixed classical background. One can, in principle, go beyond this approximation by studying the backreaction of the Hawking radiation on the spacetime geometry, but this too proves difficult in full four-dimensional general relativity (GR).

Since gaining popularity through the model introduced by Callan et al. [1], two-dimensional (2D) dilaton gravity has provided toy models that offer insight into black hole dynamics. For reviews, see Refs. [2,3] and references therein. These theories provide a more tractable alternative to GR, while sharing many key features such as black holes and Hawking radiation. Of particular interest are nonsingular black hole solutions, since it is anticipated that quantum gravity should resolve the singularities that are pervasive in GR [4] and removing the singularity may play an important role in the black hole information loss problem [5]. However, nonsingular black hole solutions typically contain a Cauchy horizon which introduces other pathologies [6]. In the absence of a full theory of quantum gravity, 2D dilaton gravity therefore serves as a useful playground for studying quantum effects in black hole dynamics such as Hawking radiation and singularity resolution, as well as the causal structure of evaporating regular black hole spacetimes.

\*Contact author: jonathan\_barenboim@sfu.ca

In this Letter, we present a numerical study of the formation and evaporation of a nonsingular 2D black hole based on the metric introduced by Bardeen [7] and summarize the most interesting results about the structure of the resulting spacetime. An upcoming paper will present a more extensive analysis of the model as well as details of the numerical methods.

Our model begins with a generic 2D dilaton gravity action [2]

$$S = \frac{1}{G} \int \sqrt{|g|} [\Phi(r)R + \Phi''(r)(\nabla r)^2 + \Phi''(r)] d^2x, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Phi(r)$  is a function of a dilaton field r, R is the Ricci scalar, G is the two-dimensional gravitational constant, and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to r. This form for the action is chosen so the metric takes an asymptotically flat, Schwarzschild-like form, but can be related to an action with arbitrary kinetic and potential terms through a Weyl transformation and/or field redefinition [2,8].

There is a unique vacuum solution up to a parameter M, the ADM mass [9],

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{J(r)}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{J(r)}\right)^{-1}dr^{2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $J(r) = \Phi'(r)$ .

The structure of the vacuum spacetime is specified by the metric function J(r). To construct a nonsingular black hole, we require an analytic function J(r) with the following properties:

(i) The metric reduces to the Schwarzschild metric in spherically symmetric gravity (SSG) sufficiently far from the center, i.e.,  $J(r) \sim r$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ;

(ii) The curvature is finite everywhere, most easily ensured by requiring that J(r) > 0 and that it diverge at least as fast as  $r^{-2}$  as  $r \to 0$ ;

(iii) The center of the black hole is replaced by a de Sitter core with the curvature approaching a constant finite value near r = 0, which requires  $J(r) \sim r^{-2}$  as  $r \to 0$ ;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Contact author: frolov@sfu.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Contact author: g.kunstatter@uwinnipeg.ca

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

(iv) There are at most two horizons for any mass, which requires that J(r) have a single local minimum.

As a specific example, we focus on the Bardeen metric given by

$$J = \frac{(r^2 + l^2)^{3/2}}{r^2},$$
(3)

where *l* is a length parameter that determines the scale at which the spacetime transitions from Schwarzschild to de Sitter, typically taken to be around the Planck scale. Without loss of generality, we set l = 1. In analogy to SSG, where the dilaton field is directly related to the areal radius, we interpret *r* as a radial coordinate and accordingly limit the solution to the region  $r \ge 0$ .

To model a black hole formed by the collapse of an infinitely thin shell, two different vacuum metrics, an interior solution with M = 0 and an exterior solution with M > 0, are joined along the null shell trajectory  $v = v_0$ , where we use conformal null coordinates

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\rho} \, du \, dv, \tag{4}$$

and, in particular, we use coordinates which match the flat interior solution in Minkowski coordinates along the shell:

$$e^{2\rho} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{J(r(u,v))}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2M}{J(r(u,v_0))}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (5)

The resulting stress-energy tensor is a shock wave,

$$T_{uu} = 0, \quad T_{vv} = M\delta(v - v_0),$$
 (6)

with  $T_{uv}$  identically 0 in the classical theory.

Hawking radiation is modeled by adding the stressenergy tensor corresponding to the one-loop conformal (trace) anomaly in 2D [10],

$$T \equiv g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} = \mu R,\tag{7}$$

where  $\mu = N\hbar/24$  is a parameter characterizing the strength of the quantum effects with N scalar fields.<sup>1</sup> Henceforth, we work in units where  $G = \hbar = 1$ .

The dynamic equations of motion for the metric and dilaton can be written as

$$JQ\,\nabla^2 r - 2P\mathcal{M} = 0,\tag{8a}$$

$$JQR + 2\Pi \mathcal{M} = 0, \tag{8b}$$

where

$$Q = J - 2\mu \frac{J'}{J}, \quad P = J' - \mu \frac{J''}{J}, \quad \Pi = J'' - 2\frac{(J')^2}{J}$$
 (9)

and

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{J}{2} [1 - (\nabla r)^2] \tag{10}$$

is a generalized Misner-Sharp mass function.



FIG. 1. Conformal diagram of the classical Bardeen black hole with mass M = 1.33. Only the solution exterior to the shell, lying along the bottom left axis, is shown. The thick green lines mark the apparent horizons and the thin grey lines are curves of constant r. The hatched light pink region at the upper left edge of the plot is r < 0 and is not part of the spacetime. The rippled line at the top shows where the spacetime connects to the next region through the Cauchy horizon at  $v = \infty$ .

In double null coordinates (4), the semiclassical dynamic equations of motion become

$$J\partial_u\partial_v r + J'\partial_u r\,\partial_v r + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\rho}J' = -2\mu\partial_u\partial_v\rho,\qquad(11a)$$

$$2J\partial_u\partial_v\rho + J''\partial_ur\,\partial_vr + 2J'\partial_u\partial_vr + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\rho}J'' = 0, \quad (11b)$$

which are solved after transforming to compactified coordinates. The computational grid cannot extend all the way to past or future null infinity ( $\mathcal{I}^{\pm}$ ), but is chosen to cover all of the interesting dynamics. The remaining two equations,

$$2J\partial_{u}\rho\partial_{u}r - J\partial_{u}\partial_{u}r = 2\mu(\partial_{u}\partial_{u}\rho - \partial_{u}\rho\partial_{u}\rho + t_{u}(u)), \quad (12a)$$

$$2J\partial_{v}\rho\partial_{v}r - J\partial_{v}\partial_{v}r = 2\mu(\partial_{v}\partial_{v}\rho - \partial_{v}\rho\partial_{v}\rho + t_{v}(v)), \quad (12b)$$

are constraints that determine the boundary conditions, which are taken as the classical solution (5) along the shell and on the initial u slice, chosen sufficiently far from the black hole so the classical solution does not give rise to any radiation at past null infinity. In the coordinates used, these conditions fix the functions of integration,  $t_u(u)$  and  $t_v(v)$ , to be 0.

The classical (nonradiating) spacetime is similar to the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime, in that it features two null horizons bounding a trapped region that ends on a Cauchy horizon, as shown in Fig. 1, beyond which lies an additional untrapped region. Thus, our coordinates do not cover the complete classical spacetime but can be analytically continued past the Cauchy horizon.

When radiation is added, the outer horizon shrinks while the inner horizon grows, as shown in Fig. 2. The trapped region between them contracts, closing off smoothly in finite time. The radius and mass function at the point the horizons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the large N limit, the expression (7) for the conformal anomaly is exact.



FIG. 2. Evaporating Bardeen black hole with M = 1.33,  $\mu = 0.5$ . The antitrapping horizon  $\partial_u r = 0$  is shown by the dashed purple curve.

meet is independent of the initial mass of the collapsing shell, and depends only on the parameter  $\mu$ . This can be seen from Eqs. (8)–(10) by noting that  $\nabla^2 r = 0 = (\nabla r)^2$  when the horizons meet. The former condition requires P(r) = 0, which implicitly determines  $r(\mu)$ .

A singularity occurs whenever Q(r) = 0, where the order of the PDEs changes, and generally corresponds to a curvature singularity. There is always a positive solution to Q(r) = 0 for singular models, but remarkably this singularity is avoided for regularized models when  $\mu$  is below a critical value



FIG. 3. Bardeen black hole, M = 0.77,  $\mu = 5.5$ . A series of trapped and antitrapped regions form.



FIG. 4. Horizon function  $\partial_v r$  on selected slices of v. When a trapped region is present,  $\partial_v r$  crosses 0 twice, indicating the presence of two apparent horizons. The black hole disappears when the apparent horizons meet at a single point (v slice marked by an asterisk). At later v, the horizon function remains positive, signifying that there is no apparent horizon present.

(which is always positive when J(r) satisfies the conditions outlined above) because Q(r) = 0 has no real, positive solutions. However, if the radiation strength  $\mu$  is large compared to the regularization scale l ( $\mu_{crit} \approx 6.55 l^2$  for the Bardeen model), curvature singularities appear in the radiating solutions even when there is no singularity classically. We thus consider the singularity as a breakdown of the semiclassical approximation.

In addition to the trapped region, an antitrapped region, where both null expansions are positive, also forms when the shell carries sufficient mass. The critical mass for white hole formation is similar to that of the black hole, approaching the classical value  $M_{\rm crit, \ classical} = \sqrt{27/16}$  as  $\mu \to 0$  and decreasing with larger radiation strength, becoming 0 at the critical value for  $\mu$ . When the strength of the radiation is increased, the backreaction effects cause a series of trapped and antitrapped regions to form after the initial black hole evaporates, as seen in Fig. 3.

The antitrapped region appears to be generic in nonsingular models. We have seen a similar structure in simulations of other nonsingular metrics, and evidence of an antitrapped region was seen in previous work on other regular dilaton models, though not identified as such.<sup>2</sup>

In the quasistatic approximation for Hawking radiation, an extremal black hole does not emit any radiation. Thus, it may be expected that when the inner and outer horizons meet, a static extremal horizon may form. Our simulations suggest that this is not the case. To confirm this, we calculate the outgoing null expansion  $\partial_v r$  on a few slices  $v > v_{meet}$ (see Fig. 4). A minimum of 0 would indicate the presence of a single apparent horizon and an extremal black hole. We find that while  $\partial_v r$  has a small, positive minimum for all v, convergence tests show that this minimum does not decrease

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See, for example, Fig. 2 in Ref. [11] where the additional contour for  $\tilde{\phi}_0$  being spacelike implies the presence of an additional horizon.

with improved resolution or numerical accuracy, confirming  $\partial_v r$  does not have any zeros and there is no extremal horizon remaining once the two horizons merge.

Additionally, in contrast to the classical solution, the conformal factor  $e^{2\rho}$  remains finite as  $v \to \infty$ , indicating that the semiclassical spacetime is complete in the sense that  $v = \infty$ corresponds to a point on  $\mathcal{I}^+$  for all *u*. Our results therefore imply the absence of a Cauchy horizon, in contrast to earlier work which suggested that the endpoint of evaporation for regular black holes is an extremal configuration [11–13].

Despite resolving the curvature singularity, the consistency of two horizon regular black hole models is potentially threatened by the prospect of mass inflation associated with Cauchy horizons [14]. In a simplified version of the problem, when perturbations are modelled as crossing ingoing and outgoing thin shells [15,16], the final mass function behaves as

$$\Delta \mathcal{M} \propto \frac{-\mathcal{M}_{\rm in}}{(\nabla r)^2},$$
 (13)

evaluated at the point the shells cross, where  $\mathcal{M}_{in}$  is the mass of the ingoing shell, typically taken to follow an inverse power law. In the classical solution  $(\nabla r)^2$  vanishes exponentially near the Cauchy horizon at  $v = \infty$ , causing the mass to diverge and nullifying the self-consistency of the model. When radiation is added, there is no horizon present and  $(\nabla r)^2$  remains finite at large v, so  $\Delta \mathcal{M}$  vanishes rather than diverges. However, as pointed out in Ref. [17], mass inflation may pose a problem even if no Cauchy horizon is present if the evolution proceeds adiabatically, as the energy density will build up before a macroscopic black hole has time to evaporate. We

- C. G. Callan, S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, Evanescent black holes, Phys. Rev. D 45, R1005 (1992).
- [2] D. Grumiller, W. Kummer, and D. Vassilevich, Dilaton gravity in two dimensions, Phys. Rep. 369, 327 (2002).
- [3] T. G. Mertens and G. J. Turiaci, Solvable models of quantum black holes: A review on Jackiw–Teitelboim gravity, Living Rev. Relativ. 26, 4 (2023).
- [4] V. P. Frolov and G. A. Vilkovisky, Spherically symmetric collapse in quantum gravity, Phys. Lett. B 106, 307 (1981).
- [5] V. P. Frolov, Information loss problem and a "black hole" model with a closed apparent horizon, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2014) 049.
- [6] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Internal structure of black holes, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1796 (1990).
- [7] J. M. Bardeen, Non-singular general-relativistic gravitational collapse, in *Proceedings of International Conference GR5* (Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi, USSR, 1968), p. 174.
- [8] D. Louis-Martinez, J. Gegenberg, and G. Kunstatter, Exact Dirac quantization of all 2D dilaton gravity theories, Phys. Lett. B 321, 193 (1994).
- [9] D. Louis-Martinez and G. Kunstatter, Birkhoff's theorem in two-dimensional dilaton gravity, Phys. Rev. D 49, 5227 (1994).

are unable to address this issue because numerical limitations do not allow us to study large black holes.

In summary, our numerical simulations show richer dynamics for an evaporating black hole than previously seen, with additional trapped and antitrapped regions formed by radiation reaction. While we have focused on a specific model, the work in this paper can easily be generalized thanks to the choice of parametrization of the action. Preliminary investigations of other models show a similar structure to the Bardeen model, suggesting that these features are generic in nonsingular solutions.

We see no evidence of drama in the form of singularities or Cauchy horizons, potentially ameliorating the mass inflation problem generally associated with two-horizon black holes. As we expect all apparent horizons to vanish in finite time and there is no mechanism to halt the radiation, all energy and information should eventually escape to infinity. These results support the expectation that the evaporation of regular black holes is a unitary process. However, whether the information can escape from the black hole within the Page time [18] remains an open question. Additionally, with this model we were only able to simulate a small range of microscopic black holes. At larger masses, the simulation breaks down and we are not able to resolve the trapped region closing off.

G.K. is very grateful to J. Ziprick and T. Taves for helpful conversations. Authors gratefully acknowledge that this research was supported in part by Discovery Grants No. 2020-05346 (A.F.) and No. 2018-04090 (G.K.) from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

- [10] S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Trace anomalies and the Hawking effect, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2088 (1977).
- [11] K. Diba and D. A. Lowe, Near-extremal black hole evaporation in asymptotically flat spacetime, Phys. Rev. D 66, 024039 (2002).
- [12] D. A. Lowe and M. O'Loughlin, Nonsingular black hole evaporation and "stable" remnants, Phys. Rev. D 48, 3735 (1993).
- [13] M. Cadoni, M. Oi, and A. P. Sanna, Evaporation and information puzzle for 2D nonsingular asymptotically flat black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2023) 211.
- [14] E. Poisson and W. Israel, Inner-horizon instability and mass inflation in black holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1663 (1989).
- [15] C. Barrabes, W. Israel, and E. Poisson, Collision of light-like shells and mass inflation in rotating black holes, Classical Quantum Gravity 7, L273 (1990).
- [16] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, C. Pacilio, and M. Visser, On the viability of regular black holes, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2018) 023.
- [17] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, Mass inflation without Cauchy horizons, arXiv:2402.14913.
- [18] D. N. Page, Information in black hole radiation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3743 (1993).