# **Magnonic Otto thermal machine**

Nicolas Vidal-Silva<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1,\*</sup> Francisco J. Peña<sup>0</sup>,<sup>2,3</sup> Roberto E. Troncoso<sup>0</sup>,<sup>4</sup> and Patricio Vargas<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad de La Frontera, Casilla 54-D, 4811230 Temuco, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, 2390123 Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>3</sup>Millennium Nucleus in NanoBioPhysics (NNBP), Av. España 1680, 2390123 Valparaíso, Chile

<sup>4</sup>School of Engineering and Sciences, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, 7941169 Santiago, Chile

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Física, CEDENNA, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, 2390123 Valparaíso, Chile

(Received 11 April 2024; revised 23 June 2024; accepted 22 July 2024; published 12 August 2024)

We propose a quasistatic magnon-based Otto thermal machine in two-dimensional (2D) magnetic insulators. The thermodynamical cycles are engineered by exposing a magnon spin system to thermal baths at different temperatures and tuning the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. We find that a thermal gas of magnons converts a fraction of heat into energy in the form of work, where the efficiency is maximized for specific values of DM, reaching the corresponding Carnot efficiency. We witness a positive to negative net work transition during the cycle that marks the onset of a refrigeratorlike behavior. The work produced by the magnonic Otto engine enhances the magnon chemical potential. The last enables a spin accumulation that might result in the pumping of spin currents at the interfaces of metal-magnet heterostructures. Our work opens possibilities for the efficient leverage of conventional two-dimensional magnets.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.033164

## I. INTRODUCTION

Heat engines have been at the core of science and engineering developments since the 19th century [1–3]. At a small scale, thermal machines perform thermodynamic cycles, employing quantum systems as the working medium [4–9]. A remarkable example is the quantum Otto cycle composed of two isochoric and two quantum-adiabatic trajectories [10–12]. At each stage, the system only exchanges one form of energy, heat, or work, making it an ideal platform for theoretical and practical studies [13–21]. The Otto cycle has been examined in a wide range of working mediums such as three-level [11] and graphene-based systems [22–25], harmonic oscillators [10], quantum dots [26,27], and spin systems [12,28], among others [29–32], with a broad theoretical and experimental scope in spin systems [13,33–37].

In spintronics, a discipline that exploits the spin of electrons and magnets, the role of thermal properties has been limited to setting the ground for spin-angular momentum transport [38]. In magnetic insulators, the transport of spin is carried by magnons, the quanta of spin fluctuations of the order parameter, and is coupled to heat flows due to the inherent spin-lattice coupling [39–44]. Thus, when the local thermodynamical equilibrium of a magnon gas, defined by temperature or chemical potential, is subjected to external driving, it provides routes to detect magnonic effects through the thermal and spin conductivity in linear response theory

[40,45–49]. For instance, under temperature gradients, thermal transport measurements yield signatures of topological magnon states [50–52], entanglement [53,54], long-distance transport [40,43], and thermal diffusion in the form of spin Seebeck effect [55–57]. Despite this, fundamental thermodynamical behaviors, such as entropy production, adiabatic processes, caloric phenomena, or thermal cycles based on slowly varying external fields, lack deeper comprehension in magnonic systems compared to their electronic counterparts [58–60]. Specifically, magnon-based thermodynamic cycles are an unexplored issue so far that constitute a promising arena that will boost slow magnonics.

In this paper, we propose a magnonic Otto thermal machine controlled by varying the temperature and the DM parameter adiabatically (see Fig. 1 for a schematic of the proposal). The latter can be achieved by means of the application of electric fields [61–64] or strain [65,66]. This thermal machine operates at a scale where thermal and spin fluctuations are relevant. We show that the efficiency is maximized for specific DM coupling and, interestingly, the heat flow is inverted for a certain combination of temperature differences and DM parameters, allowing the system to become a refrigerator. The work produced by the engine can be interpreted as a spin accumulation that, in turn, might be traduced in the generation of spin currents. Unlike previous works in which magnonic heat and entropy transport have been explored by exerting external drives, here we propose producing useful work by maintaining the system in quasiequilibrium through the demanded adiabaticity when controlling the DM parameter.

### **II. MODEL**

We start by considering a two-dimensional ferromagnetic insulator. The spin system is defined on a hexagonal

<sup>\*</sup>Contact author: nicolas.vidal@ufrontera.cl

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.



FIG. 1. Schematic of the magnonic Otto cycle based on a magnetic honeycomb lattice with fluctuating spins. The cycle operates between hot  $(T_H)$  and cold  $(T_C)$  temperatures. In the horizontal processes, the entropy S(S') holds fixed, while the DM parameter  $D(D_0)$  holds unchanged in the vertical processes.

lattice and described by the spin Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_S =$  $-J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j + \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{s}_i \times \mathbf{s}_j) - \sum_i [K_0(s_i^z)^2 + Bs_i^z],$ with J the coupling of the nearest-neighbor exchange interaction. The Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction couples next-nearest-neighbor spin with strength  $D_{ii} = Dv_{ii}e_z$ , being  $v_{ij} = \pm 1$ ,  $K_0$  the easy-axis magnetic anisotropy [67–69], and the external magnetic field B along the z direction. We focus on small spin deviations about the ground state within a linear spin-wave theory. Using the Holstein-Primakoff mapping [70], spin fluctuations are represented by bosonic excitations via  $\mathbf{s}_{i}^{+} = (2s - a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{i})^{1/2}a_{i}, \ \mathbf{s}_{i}^{-} = a_{i}^{\dagger}(2s - a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{i})^{1/2}$ and  $s_i^z = s - a_i^{\dagger} a_i$ , where  $a_i(a_i^{\dagger})$  is an operator that annihilates (creates) a magnon state at site *i*. In momentum space, the Hamiltonian for noninteracting magnons is  $\mathcal{H}_m =$  $\sum_{k} \Psi_{k}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_{k} \Psi_{k}$ , where the field operator is  $\Psi_{k} = (\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k})^{T}$ , with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  acting in sublattices  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively. In addition,  $\mathcal{H}_k = [\Omega \mathbb{I} + \boldsymbol{h}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}]$  where  $\Omega = 3Js + 2Ks + B$ , au is the vector of Pauli matrices, and the vector field  $\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = sJ \sum_{i} (-\cos[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i}], \sin[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i}], 2D \sin[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i}^{n}]/J)^{T},$ with  $\delta_{\eta}$  and  $\delta_{\eta}^{n}$  the nearest and next-nearest neighbors,

with  $\delta_{\eta}$  and  $\delta_{\eta}$  the nearest and next-nearest heighbors, respectively. The two-band bulk magnon spectrum are given by  $\epsilon^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \Omega \pm \sqrt{\mathbf{h}_k \cdot \mathbf{h}_k}$ , shown in Fig. 2(a) along high symmetry points shows. The gap at the Dirac points is topological [52] and proportional to the DM strength, while  $\Omega$  determines the gap at the  $\Gamma$  point. We use parameters for the 2D van der Waals magnet CrI<sub>3</sub> [71,72], J = 0.2 meV, s = 3/2, lattice constant  $a_0 = 6.95$  Å, and we set the external magnetic field B = 0.

The magnon gas is considered a thermodynamical system under the assumption that the equilibration length for interactions between magnons is much shorter than the system size [73,74]. Thus, the system is parametrized by a temperature T and a chemical potential  $\mu$ . In addition, it is assumed that strong inelastic spin-conserving processes fix the temperature of magnons to the temperature of phonons. The rate of equilibration for the temperature of magnons with the phonon system is mainly dominated by magnon-conserving and magnon-nonconserving scattering processes [44] and, therefore, the magnon temperature equilibrates faster with respect to the magnon chemical potential. The internal energy



FIG. 2. (a) Magnon dispersion relation for D = 0.1 J and  $K_0 = 0.5$  J along high symmetry points:  $\Gamma = (0, 0), K = \frac{\pi}{a_0}(\frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}, 0), M = \frac{\pi}{a_0}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{3})$ . (b) Density of states for different values of DM coupling for  $K_0 = 0.5$  J. (c) Constant entropy contours S(T, D) and (d) internal energy as a function of the DM parameter for different temperatures.

for the magnon system is  $U = \int d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) n(\epsilon, T)$ , with  $n(\epsilon, T)$ , the Bose-Einstein distribution, and  $\rho(\epsilon)$  the magnon density of states (DOS), while the entropy is

$$S = -k_B \int d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \left[ \ln \left[ 2 \sinh \left[ \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right] \right] + \left( \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right) \coth \left[ \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right] \right], \tag{1}$$

with  $k_B$  the Boltzmann constant. The magnonic DOS is determined from the band structure and displayed in Fig. 2(b); see Appendices for details. Since anisotropies and magnetic fields displace the whole band structure towards higher energies, one would expect the same shift for the DOS. At finite DM strength, the DOS becomes null along the gapped region existing at Dirac points and reaches larger values as the gap widens. The entropy and internal energy as a function of temperature and DM coupling are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively. At zero DM strength, both quantities are symmetric. However, while the internal energy is minimum, the entropy acquires its maximum (constant) value for higher temperatures and such a value can be observed for distinct DM parameters.

#### **III. MAGNONIC THERMAL CYCLE**

Now we focus on the performance of the magnon-based thermal machine. As proof of concept, we consider the Otto cycle for a working medium of 2D magnons, illustrated in Fig. 1, using an entropy-DM (S - D) diagram. The cycle comprises four stages. Initially  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ , the magnon gas is prepared in a thermal state at  $T = T_C$ ,  $T_C$  being the temperature of the cold reservoir. Later, via an *isentropic expansion*, the system is disconnected from the thermal reservoir and experiences an adiabatic change of the DM coupling from  $D_0$  to D. This stage finalizes with temperature  $T_2$  that satisfies  $S(T_C, D_0) = S(T_2, D)$ , and thus the work

 $Q_{\rm in}$  meV

(a) -0.078

3.0

2.5



FIG. 3. Heat flow  $Q_{in}$  and  $Q_{out}$ , in panels (a) and (b), respectively, as a function of DM and temperature  $T_C$  for a fixed temperature of the hot reservoir  $T_H = 15$  K. In (c), the total work  $W_T$  is calculated for different  $T_H$  and  $T_C$ . The thicker dots indicate the critical DM coupling, at which the transition between the engine and the refrigerator (where W < 0) behavior occurs. (d) Critical DM coupling  $D_{cr}$  as a function of  $\Delta T = T_H - T_C$  for different values of  $T_C$ .

is determined by  $W_{1\rightarrow 2} = U_2(T_2, D) - U_1(T_C, D_0)$ . In the second stage  $(2 \rightarrow 3)$ , an *isochoric heating* takes place. The magnon system is connected to a hot reservoir and thermalizes at temperature  $T_{\rm H}$ . As a result, only the heat flux  $Q_{\rm in} =$  $U_3(T_{\rm H}, D) - U_2(T_2, D)$  is involved. Third  $(3 \rightarrow 4)$ , through an isentropic compression, the system is decoupled from the hot reservoir and the DM strength varies isentropically from D to  $D_0$ . The process ends with a temperature  $T_4$  satisfying  $S'(T_H, D) = S'(T_4, D_0)$  and a work given by  $W_{3\to 4} =$  $U_4(T_4, D_0) - U_3(T_H, D)$ . Finally  $(4 \rightarrow 1)$ , via an isochoric cooling, the magnon gas is again put in contact with a cold thermal reservoir at constant DM  $D_0$ , with the heat flow given by  $Q_{\text{out}} = U_1(T_{\text{C}}, D_0) - U_4(T_4, D_0)$ . In terms of the total work,  $W_T = W_{1\rightarrow 2} + W_{3\rightarrow 4}$  and the heat flows between the reservoirs and working substance. We will next analyze the characteristic of the proposed thermal cycle.

The magnonic Otto cycle exhibits two facets: an engine and a refrigerator regime, displayed in Fig. 3. The engine is characterized by positive work output  $(W_T > 0)$ , where the heat flows from the hot bath into the working medium  $(Q_{\rm in} > 0)$  and from the working medium into the cold bath  $(Q_{\text{out}} < 0)$ . The refrigerator corresponds to negative work output  $(W_T < 0)$ , along with heat flowing from the cold bath into the working medium ( $Q_{out} > 0$ ) and from the working medium into the hot bath ( $Q_{in} < 0$ ). The heat flows,  $Q_{in}$  and  $Q_{\text{out}}$ , are shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively, while in Fig. 3(c) the total work  $W_T$  is plotted for various reservoirs temperatures. The engine and refrigerator regimes, marked by a sign change of  $Q_{in}$  and  $Q_{out}$ , occur for a delicate combination of temperatures and DM coupling. The onset of the enginerefrigerator transition can be determined by the total work satisfying  $W_T(D = D_{cr}, T_H, T_C) = 0$ , from which a relation





FIG. 4. (a) Efficiency of the magnon-based engine as a function of D parameter and cold temperature of reservoir  $T_{\rm C}$  for a fixed  $T_{\rm H} = 4$  K. The region where the efficiency overcomes the Carnot efficiency is called the *refrigerator region*, where the total work is negative. (b) Coefficient of performance  $\zeta$  for the magnon-based refrigerator machine as a function of D parameter and  $T_{\rm C}$  for a fixed  $T_{\rm H} = 15$  K. The region blanked corresponds to the region where the total work is positive, so it is an engine region.

between the critical values,  $D_{\rm cr}$ , and temperature difference,  $\Delta T = T_H - T_C$ , is displayed at Fig. 3(d).  $D_{cr}$  is the critical DM parameter for which the work is zero, except for the trivial case  $D = \pm 0.1$  meV. At low working temperatures, the transition occurs for a small difference  $\Delta T$ , as evidenced by the green line in Fig. 3(c), where  $T_H = 3$  K and  $T_C = 2.4$  K, with  $D_{\rm cr} = \pm 0.058$  meV. In general, a greater temperature difference is needed for larger temperatures of the cold reservoir to fulfill the transition condition [see the black line in Fig. 3(c)as an example]. Thus, it allows us to identify quantitatively, in terms of the variables  $T_C$  and  $\Delta T$ , the regime where the thermal cycle behaves as an engine or refrigerator.

Different coefficients capture the performance of each magnonic Otto cycle, the efficiency  $\eta = |W_T/Q_{in}|$  for the engine, and the coefficient of performance  $\zeta = |Q_{out}/W_T|$ for the magnonic refrigerator. The efficiency, displayed in Fig. 4(a) as a function of  $T_C$  and DM coupling for a fixed  $T_H = 4$  K, is symmetric and maximum at around D = 0, and reaches values of about 24%. It is worth noting that there is a broad range of parameters with maximum efficiency. However, this is attributed to how the contour plot is generated. In this sense, around D = 0, there are distinct efficiency values whose difference is too small to be captured as another contour line. Importantly, there is a region where the efficiency is no longer well-defined as the system overcomes the corresponding Carnot efficiency. In such a region, the work becomes negative, and the transition to a refrigerator takes place. Note that when  $T_C = 2$  K, the magnonic engine properly works in the full range of the DM parameter [see also red line in Fig. 3(c)]. Similarly, Fig. 4(b) shows the coefficient of performance as a function of  $T_C$  and the DM parameter for a fixed  $T_H = 15$  K. Note that  $\zeta$  can be computed only in the region where the system operates as a refrigerator. In this case,  $\zeta$  is minimum at D = 0 and maximizes for  $D = \pm D_{cr}$ . Note that the same behavior as the efficiency case is observed around D = 0. In addition, if we focus on  $T_C = 14.5$  K, we can see that the refrigerator regime operates at the full range of the allowed DM parameter [for comparison, see the blue line in Fig. 3(c)]. However, analogously for efficiency, there is a marked region where the system becomes an engine.

## **IV. DISCUSSION**

The Otto magnon-based thermal machine exhibits a controlled change from an engine to a refrigerator. As discussed in the Appendices, this transition is dominated by the difference in population of thermal magnons at the stages with constant DM, i.e.,  $2 \rightarrow 3$  (heating) and  $4 \rightarrow 1$  (cooling), where a linear dependence of the magnon energy with the DM has been assumed. Thus, positive work corresponds to a larger population in the thermalization process  $(2 \rightarrow 3)$  toward  $T_H$ . This excess of magnon states represents a spin accumulation, which in turn can be pumped in the form of spin currents at the interfaces of metal-magnet heterostructures. On the other hand, the refrigerator phase is related to a decrease in the thermal population when cooling the system towards  $T_C$  in the stage  $(4 \rightarrow 1)$ . In other words, the system temperature tends to decrease as long as magnons are annihilated. Interestingly, this reversion is parametrized by a critical DM parameter, thus establishing a tunable magnonic thermal machine. For the proposed cycle, variations on the DM parameter correspond to the exerted work over (by) the magnonic system, i.e., we recognize that  $\delta W \propto \delta D$ . As discussed above, the total work depends essentially on the difference in magnon population. Therefore, we parametrize  $\delta W = \mu_n \delta n$ , with  $\mu_n$  being a constant with units of energy, and  $\delta n$  stands for variations of the magnon population. Thus, the first law of thermodynamics is written as  $\delta U = T \delta S + \mu_n \delta n$  (at a constant volume). Note the similarity with an ideal gas,  $\mu_n$  playing the role of a magnon chemical potential. In other words, the notion of work in our system is related to magnon population changes in the heating and cooling processes, corresponding to a manifestation of a finite magnon chemical potential. Therefore, an enhancement of magnon chemical potential is predicted where a positive work is produced in the magnonic Otto cycle. For comparison, we consider a two-dimensional Dirac-type electronic system controlled by external magnetic fields where the extracted work and efficiency are comparable to those presented here [11,22–26]. However, interpreting useful work in electronic systems is still under discussion [4]. Here, we presented an explicit way to functionalize the work extracted from the magnon-based Otto cycle through the possibility of pumping magnon spin currents.

# V. EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

One possible experimental realization of our proposal consists of attaching a single normal metal (NM) electrode to a two-dimensional magnet in a similar way as realized in Refs. [75,76]. Magnon spin currents are pumped from the magnet to the NM and converted into an electrical signal through the inverse spin Hall effect. In our case, every time the cycle is completed, operating as an engine, an unbalanced magnon spin accumulation might be pumped when attached to a NM. The microscopic mechanism behind it corresponds to the thermalization between magnons and electrons in the NM (assumed to have the same phonon temperature since they thermalize much faster than the corresponding process with magnon). Importantly, since the proposed Otto cycle also involves thermalization processes when adiabatically varying the DM parameter, every time one cycle is completed, the NM necessarily needs to be thermally insulated to prevent equal magnon and electron temperatures. Thus, an additional thermal reservoir must be implemented in the NM to disconnect it from the magnetic system once the cycle is completed. Accordingly, the magnetic system must be connected to the NM to restart the cycle and accumulate spin again.

In summary, we have proposed a feasible magnon-based Otto thermal machine whose working principle relies on control over the DM coupling and reservoir temperatures. We found that maximum efficiency, which corresponds to the Carnot efficiency, is obtained for specific values of DM coupling, which in turn is an experimentally tunable parameter. Remarkably, the presented cycle behaves as a magnonic engine or refrigerator according to the temperature difference at which the machine operates. Thermodynamical calculations show that a larger (smaller) magnon population tends to increase (decrease) the system temperature. Under the proper choice of parameters, the magnonic Otto engine allows a magnon accumulation that enhances the magnon chemical potential, which in turn could translate into magnon spin pumping at metal-magnet heterostructures. Notably, we then proposed the generation of magnon spin current from a quasi-equilibrium system. Also, we proposed an experimental setup to conduct potential experiments based on current experimental techniques. We claim that the adiabatic condition of varying the DM parameter without directly affecting the electronic temperature must be considered. Although we have focused on a minimal two-dimensional model for a specific bidimensional magnet, the presented framework is general and might be extended to other spin models accounting for antiferromagnets or spin-textured systems. The increasing interest in theoretical prediction and synthesis of 2D magnetic materials [77] and the experimental realization of various quantum Otto cycles [34,78,79] might establish the experimental feasibility of our work. Finally, we emphasize that estimating the effects of phonons within our operating temperature range is essential to get more accurate results. Nevertheless, we predict a small phonon dependence of the main results at low temperatures.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

N.V-S. thanks funding from Fondecyt Iniciacion No. 11220046. R.E.T thanks funding from Fondecyt Regular 1230747. F.J.P. acknowledges financial support from ANID Fondecyt Grant No. 1240582 and Millennium Nucleus in NanoBioPhysics Project No. NNBP NCN2021\_021. P.V. acknowledges support from ANID Fondecyt Grant No. 1240582.

# APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF MAGNON DENSITY OF STATES

To calculate the DOS of the system, we use a fine mesh of about  $6 \times 10^6$  k-points in the area enclosed by the yellow triangle of Fig. 5(b), and for every k state we evaluate the energy levels coming from each band. Then, we obtain the density of



FIG. 5. (a) Schematic of the honeycomb lattice composed of sublattices A and B with the corresponding nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor vector links. (b) Magnon energy  $\epsilon_k^+$  in the Brillouin zone for  $D = K_0 = 0.5$  J at T = 0 K. The highlighted triangle depicts the 1BZ where the DOS is calculated. The lattice vectors are  $\delta_1 = a_0(\sqrt{3}/2, -1/2)$ ,  $\delta_2 = a_0(0, 1)$ ,  $\delta_3 = -a_0(\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2)$ .

states by making the energy histogram with a resolution of 15 µeV. This will give us a non-normalized density of states that we must normalize for thermodynamic calculations. The criterion we use for this goes hand in hand with the case of phonons, where the integral density of states must be equal to the number of normal modes. Since there are two magnon modes per unit cell, every normalization factor is calculated as  $2/\langle N \rangle$ , where  $\langle N \rangle = \int_{\min \rho(\epsilon)}^{\max \rho(\epsilon)} d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon)$ .

# **APPENDIX B: THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES**

Once the DOS of the system is calculated, we can use the continuum approximation to calculate the entropy of the proposed system. The general expression for the entropy of a bosonic system is given by

$$S = -k_B \int d\epsilon \ \rho(\epsilon)(n(\epsilon)\ln n(\epsilon) - (1+n(\epsilon))\ln (1+n(\epsilon))),$$
(B1)

where

$$n(\epsilon, \mu, T) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{(\epsilon-\mu)}{k_B T}} - 1}$$
(B2)

represents the occupation number for energy  $\epsilon$  at a given temperature T and chemical potential  $\mu$ , and  $\rho(\epsilon)$  is the density of states. For this case, the magnon energy is given by  $\epsilon^{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \Omega \pm \sqrt{\mathbf{h}_k \cdot \mathbf{h}_k}$ , with

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = s \begin{pmatrix} -J \sum_{\eta} \cos[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\eta}] \\ J \sum_{\eta} \sin[\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\eta}] \\ 2D \sum_{\eta} \sin(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\eta}^{\operatorname{nnn}}) \end{pmatrix},$$
(B3)

and  $\Omega = 3Js + 2Ks + B$ . Since its number is nonconserved, we treat the magnonic system as a gas of noninteracting bosons with the chemical potential fixed at the lowest energy value in the band structure. This means we work in a formulation with constant magnon chemical potential. Next, the expression inside the integral accompanying the density of states in Eq. (B1) can be compacted, and the entropy takes

the form

$$S = -k_B \int d\epsilon \rho(\epsilon) \left( \ln \left[ 2 \sinh \left[ \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right] \right] + \left( \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right) \coth \left[ \frac{\epsilon - \mu}{2k_B T} \right] \right).$$
(B4)

The expression for the internal energy of the boson system is given by

$$U = \int d\epsilon \ \rho(\epsilon) n(\epsilon, \mu, T).$$
 (B5)

In our thermodynamic analysis, it is important to recall that the total entropy (S) for this model can be written as

$$S = S_m(T, D) + S_l(T), \tag{B6}$$

where  $S_m(T, D)$  is the pure magnonic entropy and  $S_l(T)$  is the entropy of the lattice related to the contribution of phonons in the system. Equation (B6) assumes that the entropy of phonons relies solely on temperature, thus neglecting the influence of phonon coupling with external magnetic fields or the DM interaction. Furthermore, for the comprehensive assessment of entropy, we disregard magnon-phonon interactions and assume that the temperature regime in our system is low enough so the general results are not affected by phononic entropy.

## APPENDIX C: TRANSITION BETWEEN ENGINE AND REFRIGERATOR

The transition between engine and refrigerator is equivalent to exploring the change in the sign of the total work. It can be analyzed through the system's internal energy if we explicitly analyze the work in the adiabatic stages. In the isentropic expansion, we have

$$W_{1\to 2} = U_2(T_2, D) - U_1(T_C, D_0),$$
 (C1)



FIG. 6. Graphical solution of Eq. (C8) for the case  $\frac{\rho_1(\epsilon)}{\rho_2(\epsilon)} = 1$  as a function of the DM parameter for (a)  $\Delta T = 0.6$  with  $T_H = 3$  K and (b)  $\Delta T = 5$  with  $T_H = 15$  K.

that can be written explicitly as

$$W_{1\to 2} = \int_{\epsilon_{\min}}^{\epsilon_{\max}} d\epsilon \ \epsilon[\rho_2(\epsilon) \ n_2(\epsilon, T_2, \mu) - \rho_1(\epsilon) n_1(\epsilon, T_C, \mu)], \tag{C2}$$

where we have used that the range of integration in the internal energy is approximately the same at points 1 and 2. In the same spirit, the work on adiabatic compression will have the expressions

$$W_{3\to4} = U_4(T_4, D_0) - U_3(T_{\rm H}, D)$$
 (C3)

or

$$W_{3\to4} = \int_{\epsilon_{\min}}^{\epsilon_{\max}} d\epsilon \ \epsilon[\rho_4(\epsilon) \ n_4(\epsilon, T_4, \mu) \\ - \rho_3(\epsilon) n_3(\epsilon, T_H, \mu)]. \tag{C4}$$

If we consider the cycle in terms of the density of states, we have, according to the isochoric trajectories, the next condition:

$$\rho_1(\epsilon) = \rho_4(\epsilon); \quad \rho_3(\epsilon) = \rho_2(\epsilon).$$
(C5)

Equation (C5) can be used in combination with Eqs. (C2) and (C4) to write the total work,

$$W_{\rm T} = -\left[\int_{\epsilon_{\rm min}}^{\epsilon_{\rm max}} d\epsilon \ \epsilon \ \{\rho_2(\epsilon)[n_2(\epsilon, T_2, \mu) - n_3(\epsilon, T_H, \mu)] + \rho_1(\epsilon)[n_4(\epsilon, T_4, \mu) - n_1(\epsilon, T_C, \mu)]\}\right],\tag{C6}$$

where  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  are obtained from the isentropic trajectory conditions:

$$S(T_C, D_0) = S(T_2, D), \quad S(T_H, D) = S(T_4, D_0).$$
 (C7)

From Eq. (C6), we can find the critical relationship when a point of zero work occurs, that is, a point where a reversal in the behavior of the proposed engine will emerge. Therefore, the expression of this critical point is given by

$$n_{2}(\epsilon, T_{2}, \mu) - n_{3}(\epsilon, T_{H}, \mu)$$
  
=  $-\frac{\rho_{1}(\epsilon)}{\rho_{2}(\epsilon)} [n_{4}(\epsilon, T_{4}, \mu) - n_{1}(\epsilon, T_{C}, \mu)].$  (C8)

Once  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  of the isentropic trajectories are correctly parameterized via Eq. (C7), and under the assumption that  $\frac{\rho_1(\epsilon)}{\rho_2(\epsilon)}$  ~ const, we can numerically explore the population differences  $n_2 - n_3$  and  $n_1 - n_4$  as a function of the parameter D by considering that in real space the magnon energy follows  $\epsilon \sim CD$  (with C an arbitrary constant). In Fig. 6(a), we graphically solve Eq. (C8) as a function of the DM parameter for the case  $\frac{\rho_1(\epsilon)}{\rho_2(\epsilon)} = 1$ . Valid solutions correspond to a crossing between  $n_2 - n_3$  and  $n_1 - n_4$ , excepting the trivial case at  $D = \pm 0.1$  meV, where the cycle starts (finishes). Such a crossing occurs exactly at  $D = \pm D_{cr}$ , where a transition from the engine to the refrigerator behavior takes place for the parameters  $T_H = 3$  K,  $T_C = 2.4$  K, i.e.,  $\Delta T = 0.6$ , which effectively gives rise to a change in the behavior of the thermal machine, as mentioned in the main text. In contrast, from Fig. 6(b), we do not observe any cross on the difference of thermal populations [so there is not a valid solution of Eq. (C8)] for temperatures  $T_H = 15$  K and  $T_C = 10$  K, i.e.,  $\Delta T = 5$ . In this case, since the enclosed area by  $n_2 - n_3$  is always bigger than the corresponding one by  $n_1 - n_4$  (in absolute value), we have that  $W_{\rm T} > 0$  and the machine operates as an engine.

# APPENDIX D: CALCULATION OF CRITICAL $T_C$ FOR THE TRANSITION BETWEEN ENGINE AND REFRIGERATOR AT D = 0

Here we show the existence of a critical  $T_L$  so, given a certain  $T_H$ , the transition between an engine and refrigerator takes place. We first find the minimum DM parameter at which the



FIG. 7. High temperature of the reservoir as a function of the minimum cold temperature of the reservoir so the transition between engine and refrigerator occurs.

total work becomes zero. As shown in Fig. 3 in the main text, the total work curves that admit the transition between an engine and refrigerator always have a global minimum at D = 0. Therefore, at D = 0, one can ensure that a transition can occur for some set of parameters (see also Fig. 4 of the main text). Next, we explore the temperatures needed to achieve such a

- A. Bejan, Evolution in thermodynamics, Appl. Phys. Rev. 4, 011305 (2017).
- [2] B. Andresen, P. Salamon, and R. S. Berry, Thermodynamics in finite time, Phys. Today 37(9), 62 (1984).
- [3] J. A. Caton, The thermodynamic characteristics of high efficiency, internal-combustion engines, Energy Convers. Manage. 58, 84 (2012).
- [4] N. M. Myers, O. Abah, and S. Deffner, Quantum thermodynamic devices: From theoretical proposals to experimental reality, AVS Quantum Sci. 4, 027101 (2022).
- [5] R. Kosloff, Quantum thermodynamics: A dynamical viewpoint, Entropy 15, 2100 (2013).
- [6] S. Vinjanampathy and J. Anders, Quantum thermodynamics, Contemp. Phys. 57, 545 (2016).
- [7] R. Alicki and R. Kosloff, *Introduction to Quantum Thermodynamics History and Prospects*, Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime, Fundamental Theories of Physics, Vol. 195, edited by F. Binder, L. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders, and G. Adesso (Springer, Cham. 2018).
- [8] H. P. Goswami and U. Harbola, Thermodynamics of quantum heat engines, Phys. Rev. A 88, 013842 (2013).
- [9] W. Niedenzu, V. Mukherjee, A. Ghosh, A. G. Kofman, and G. Kurizki, Quantum engine efficiency bound beyond the second law of thermodynamics, Nat. Commun. 9, 165 (2018).
- [10] R. Kosloff and Y. Rezek, The quantum harmonic Otto cycle, Entropy 19, 136 (2017).
- [11] F. J. Peña, O. Negrete, N. Cortés, and P. Vargas, Otto engine: Classical and quantum approach, Entropy 22, 755 (2020).
- [12] G. Thomas and R. S. Johal, Coupled quantum Otto cycle, Phys. Rev. E 83, 031135 (2011).
- [13] J. Roßnagel, S. T. Dawkins, K. N. Tolazzi, O. Abah, E. Lutz, F. Schmidt-Kaler, and K. Singer, A single-atom heat engine, Science 352, 325 (2016).
- [14] G. L. Zanin, T. Häffner, M. A. A. Talarico, E. I. Duzzioni, P. H. S. Ribeiro, G. T. Landi, and L. C. Céleri, Experimental quantum thermodynamics with linear optics, Braz. J. Phys. 49, 783 (2019).
- [15] J. P. Pekola, Towards quantum thermodynamics in electronic circuits, Nat. Phys. 11, 118 (2015).
- [16] F. Binder, L. A. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders, and G. Adesso, Thermodynamics in the quantum regime, Fundam. Theor. Phys. 195, 1 (2018).
- [17] M. Horodecki and J. Oppenheim, Fundamental limitations for quantum and nanoscale thermodynamics, Nat. Commun. 4, 2059 (2013).
- [18] V. Narasimhachar and G. Gour, Low-temperature thermodynamics with quantum coherence, Nat. Commun. 6, 7689 (2015).
- [19] P. Faist, M. Berta, and F. Brandão, Thermodynamic capacity of quantum processes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 200601 (2019).

transition. To do that, we fix a given  $T_H$  and calculate what is the minimum  $T_C$  to accomplish the condition  $W_{\text{total}} = 0$ . In Fig. 7, we show the relationship between different values of  $T_H$  and its corresponding  $T_C^{\text{cr}}$ , defined as the minimum value of  $T_C$  so the transition occurs. As can be seen, the behavior is almost linear.

- [20] G. Manzano, F. Plastina, and R. Zambrini, Optimal work extraction and thermodynamics of quantum measurements and correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 120602 (2018).
- [21] M. O. Scully, M. S. Zubairy, G. S. Agarwal, and H. Walther, Extracting work from a single heat bath via vanishing quantum coherence, Science 299, 862 (2003).
- [22] F. J. Peña, D. Zambrano, O. Negrete, G. De Chiara, P. A. Orellana, and P. Vargas, Quasistatic and quantum-adiabatic Otto engine for a two-dimensional material: The case of a graphene quantum dot, Phys. Rev. E 101, 012116 (2020).
- [23] A. Singh and C. Benjamin, Magic angle twisted bilayer graphene as a highly efficient quantum Otto engine, Phys. Rev. B 104, 125445 (2021).
- [24] F. J. Peña and E. Muñoz, Magnetostrain-driven quantum engine on a graphene flake, Phys. Rev. E 91, 052152 (2015).
- [25] N. M. Myers, F. J. Peña, N. Cortés, and P. Vargas, Multilayer graphene as an endoreversible Otto engine, Nanomaterials 13, 1548 (2023).
- [26] F. J. Peña, O. Negrete, G. Alvarado Barrios, D. Zambrano, A. González, A. S. Nunez, P. A. Orellana, and P. Vargas, Magnetic Otto engine for an electron in a quantum dot: Classical and quantum approach, Entropy 21, 512 (2019).
- [27] J. L. D. de Oliveira, M. Rojas, and C. Filgueiras, Two coupled double quantum-dot systems as a working substance for heat machines, Phys. Rev. E 104, 014149 (2021).
- [28] F. Altintas and Ö. E. Müstecaplıoğlu, General formalism of local thermodynamics with an example: Quantum Otto engine with a spin-1/2 coupled to an arbitrary spin, Phys. Rev. E 92, 022142 (2015).
- [29] G. A. Barrios, F. Albarrán-Arriagada, F. A. Cárdenas-López, G. Romero, and J. C. Retamal, Role of quantum correlations in light-matter quantum heat engines, Phys. Rev. A 96, 052119 (2017).
- [30] F. Altintas, A. Ü. C. Hardal, and Ö. E. Müstecaplıoğlu, Rabi model as a quantum coherent heat engine: From quantum biology to superconducting circuits, Phys. Rev. A 91, 023816 (2015).
- [31] M. Azimi, L. Chotorlishvili, S. K. Mishra, T. Vekua, W. Hübner, and J. Berakdar, Quantum Otto heat engine based on a multiferroic chain working substance, New J. Phys. 16, 063018 (2014).
- [32] L. Chotorlishvili, M. Azimi, S. Stagraczyński, Z. Toklikishvili, M. Schüler, and J. Berakdar, Superadiabatic quantum heat engine with a multiferroic working medium, Phys. Rev. E 94, 032116 (2016).
- [33] O. Abah, J. Rossnagel, G. Jacob, S. Deffner, F. Schmidt-Kaler, K. Singer, and E. Lutz, Single-ion heat engine at maximum power, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 203006 (2012).
- [34] J.-W. Zhang, J.-Q. Zhang, G.-Y. Ding, J.-C. Li, J.-T. Bu, B. Wang, L.-L. Yan, S.-L. Su, L. Chen, F. Nori et al., Dynamical

control of quantum heat engines using exceptional points, Nat. Commun. **13**, 6225 (2022).

- [35] Q. Bouton, J. Nettersheim, S. Burgardt, D. Adam, E. Lutz, and A. Widera, A quantum heat engine driven by atomic collisions, Nat. Commun. 12, 2063 (2021).
- [36] J. P. S. Peterson, T. B. Batalhão, M. Herrera, A. M. Souza, R. S. Sarthour, I. S. Oliveira, and R. M. Serra, Experimental characterization of a spin quantum heat engine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 240601 (2019).
- [37] K. Ono, S. N. Shevchenko, T. Mori, S. Moriyama, and F. Nori, Analog of a quantum heat engine using a single-spin qubit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 166802 (2020).
- [38] I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323 (2004).
- [39] M. B. Jungfleisch, Two-dimensional magnon spin transport, Nat. Mater. 21, 1348 (2022).
- [40] L. J. Cornelissen, K. J. H. Peters, G. E. W. Bauer, R. A. Duine, and B. J. van Wees, Magnon spin transport driven by the magnon chemical potential in a magnetic insulator, Phys. Rev. B 94, 014412 (2016).
- [41] A. V. Chumak, A. A. Serga, M. B. Jungfleisch, R. Neb, D. A. Bozhko, V. S. Tiberkevich, and B. Hillebrands, Direct detection of magnon spin transport by the inverse spin Hall effect, Appl. Phys. Lett. **100**, 082405 (2012).
- [42] R. E. Troncoso, S. A. Bender, A. Brataas, and R. A. Duine, Spin transport in thick insulating antiferromagnetic films, Phys. Rev. B 101, 054404 (2020).
- [43] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Liu, R. A. Duine, J. B. Youssef, and B. J. Van Wees, Long-distance transport of magnon spin information in a magnetic insulator at room temperature, Nat. Phys. 11, 1022 (2015).
- [44] S. Streib, N. Vidal-Silva, K. Shen, and G. E. W. Bauer, Magnonphonon interactions in magnetic insulators, Phys. Rev. B 99, 184442 (2019).
- [45] K. Vandaele, S. J. Watzman, B. Flebus, A. Prakash, Y. Zheng, S. R. Boona, and J. P. Heremans, Thermal spin transport and energy conversion, Mater. Today Phys. 1, 39 (2017).
- [46] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Shan, and B. J. van Wees, Temperature dependence of the magnon spin diffusion length and magnon spin conductivity in the magnetic insulator yttrium iron garnet, Phys. Rev. B 94, 180402(R) (2016).
- [47] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Liu, B. J. van Wees, and R. A. Duine, Spincurrent-controlled modulation of the magnon spin conductance in a three-terminal magnon transistor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 097702 (2018).
- [48] K. S. Olsson, K. An, G. A. Fiete, J. Zhou, L. Shi, and X. Li, Pure spin current and magnon chemical potential in a nonequilibrium magnetic insulator, Phys. Rev. X 10, 021029 (2020).
- [49] X.-Y. Wei, O. A. Santos, C. H. S. Lusero, G. E. W. Bauer, J. Ben Youssef, and B. J. van Wees, Giant magnon spin conductivity in ultrathin yttrium iron garnet films, Nat. Mater. 21, 1352 (2022).
- [50] X. S. Wang and X. R. Wang, Topological magnonics, J. Appl. Phys. **129**, 151101 (2021).
- [51] X. S. Wang, H. W. Zhang, and X. R. Wang, Topological magnonics: A paradigm for spin-wave manipulation and device design, Phys. Rev. Appl. 9, 024029 (2018).
- [52] P. A. McClarty, Topological magnons: A review, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 13, 171 (2022).

- [53] V. Azimi Mousolou, Y. Liu, A. Bergman, A. Delin, O. Eriksson, M. Pereiro, D. Thonig, and E. Sjöqvist, Magnon-magnon entanglement and its quantification via a microwave cavity, Phys. Rev. B 104, 224302 (2021).
- [54] H. Y. Yuan, S. Zheng, Z. Ficek, Q. Y. He, and M.-H. Yung, Enhancement of magnon-magnon entanglement inside a cavity, Phys. Rev. B 101, 014419 (2020).
- [55] J. Xiao, G. E. W. Bauer, K.-chi Uchida, E. Saitoh, and S. Maekawa, Theory of magnon-driven spin Seebeck effect, Phys. Rev. B 81, 214418 (2010).
- [56] S. M. Rezende, R. L. Rodríguez-Suárez, R. O. Cunha, A. R. Rodrigues, F. L. A. Machado, G. A. Fonseca Guerra, J. C. Lopez Ortiz, and A. Azevedo, Magnon spin-current theory for the longitudinal spin-Seebeck effect, Phys. Rev. B 89, 014416 (2014).
- [57] H. Adachi, K.-ichi Uchida, E. Saitoh, and S. Maekawa, Theory of the spin Seebeck effect, Rep. Prog. Phys. 76, 036501 (2013).
- [58] E. Muñoz and F. J. Peña, Quantum heat engine in the relativistic limit: The case of a Dirac particle, Phys. Rev. E 86, 061108 (2012).
- [59] J. P. Ramos-Andrade, F. J. Peña, A. González, O. Ávalos-Ovando, and P. A. Orellana, Spin-Seebeck effect and spin polarization in a multiple quantum dot molecule, Phys. Rev. B 96, 165413 (2017).
- [60] G. E. W. Bauer, E. Saitoh, and B. J. Van Wees, Spin caloritronics, Nat. Mater. 11, 391 (2012).
- [61] B. Dai, D. Wu, S. A. Razavi, S. Xu, H. He, Q. Shu, M. Jackson, F. Mahfouzi, H. Huang, Q. Pan, Y. Cheng, T. Qu, T. Wang, L. Tai, K. Wong, N. Kioussis, and K. L. Wang, Electric field manipulation of spin chirality and skyrmion dynamic, Sci. Adv. 9 (2023).
- [62] T. Srivastava, M. Schott, R. Juge, V. Krizakova, M. Belmeguenai, Y. Roussigné, A. Bernand-Mantel, L. Ranno, S. Pizzini, S.-M. Chérif *et al.*, Large-voltage tuning of Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interactions: A route toward dynamic control of skyrmion chirality, Nano Lett. **18**, 4871 (2018).
- [63] T. Koyama, Y. Nakatani, J. Ieda, and D. Chiba, Electric field control of magnetic domain wall motion via modulation of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, Sci. Adv. 4, eaav0265 (2018).
- [64] J. Liu, M. Shi, J. Lu, and M. P. Anantram, Analysis of electrical-field-dependent Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction and magnetocrystalline anisotropy in a two-dimensional ferromagnetic monolayer, Phys. Rev. B 97, 054416 (2018).
- [65] N. S. Gusev, A. V. Sadovnikov, S. A. Nikitov, M. V. Sapozhnikov, and O. G. Udalov, Manipulation of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in Co/Pt multilayers with strain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 157202 (2020).
- [66] O. G. Udalov and I. S. Beloborodov, Strain-dependent Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in a ferromagnet/heavymetal bilayer, Phys. Rev. B 102, 134422 (2020).
- [67] R. Hidalgo-Sacoto, R. I. Gonzalez, E. E. Vogel, S. Allende, J. D. Mella, C. Cardenas, R. E. Troncoso, and F. Munoz, Magnon valley Hall effect in CrI<sub>3</sub>-based van der Waals heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B 101, 205425 (2020).
- [68] X. S. Wang and X. R. Wang, Anomalous magnon Nernst effect of topological magnonic materials, J. Phys. D 51, 194001 (2018).
- [69] H. Kim and S. K. Kim, Topological phase transition in magnon bands in a honeycomb ferromagnet driven by

sublattice symmetry breaking, Phys. Rev. B **106**, 104430 (2022).

- [70] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Field dependence of the intrinsic domain magnetization of a ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940).
- [71] I. Lee, F. G. Utermohlen, D. Weber, K. Hwang, C. Zhang, J. van Tol, J. E. Goldberger, N. Trivedi, and P. C. Hammel, Fundamental spin interactions underlying the magnetic anisotropy in the Kitaev ferromagnet CrI<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 017201 (2020).
- [72] D. Soriano, M. I. Katsnelson, and J. Fernández-Rossier, Magnetic two-dimensional chromium trihalides: A theoretical perspective, Nano Lett. 20, 6225 (2020).
- [73] O. Dzyapko, I. Lisenkov, P. Nowik-Boltyk, V. E. Demidov, S. O. Demokritov, B. Koene, A. Kirilyuk, T. Rasing, V. Tiberkevich, and A. Slavin, Magnon-magnon interactions in a room-temperature magnonic Bose-Einstein condensate, Phys. Rev. B 96, 064438 (2017).
- [74] V. E. Demidov, O. Dzyapko, S. O. Demokritov, G. A. Melkov, and A. N. Slavin, Thermalization of a parametrically driven

magnon gas leading to Bose-Einstein condensation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 037205 (2007).

- [75] W. Xing, L. Qiu, X. Wang, Y. Yao, Y. Ma, R. Cai, S. Jia, X. C. Xie, and W. Han, Magnon transport in quasi-two-dimensional van der Waals antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. X 9, 011026 (2019).
- [76] S. Qi, D. Chen, K. Chen, J. Liu, G. Chen, B. Luo, H. Cui, L. Jia, J. Li, M. Huang *et al.*, Giant electrically tunable magnon transport anisotropy in a van der Waals antiferromagnetic insulator, Nat. Commun. 14, 2526 (2023).
- [77] D. Torelli, H. Moustafa, K. W. Jacobsen, and T. Olsen, High-throughput computational screening for two-dimensional magnetic materials based on experimental databases of threedimensional compounds, npj Comput. Mater. 6, 158 (2020).
- [78] J. Roßnagel, O. Abah, F. Schmidt-Kaler, K. Singer, and E. Lutz, Nanoscale heat engine beyond the Carnot limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 030602 (2014).
- [79] J. Nettersheim, S. Burgardt, Q. Bouton, D. Adam, E. Lutz, and A. Widera, Power of a quasispin quantum Otto engine at negative effective spin temperature, PRX Quantum 3, 040334 (2022).