Unconventional pairing in few-fermion systems tuned by external confinement

Jacek Dobrzyniecki ,^{1,2,*} Giuliano Orso ,³ and Tomasz Sowiński ¹

¹Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotnikow 32/46, PL-02668 Warsaw, Poland

²Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, PL-02093 Warsaw, Poland

³Université de Paris, Laboratoire Matériaux et Phénomènes Quantiques, CNRS, F-75013, Paris, France

(Received 28 May 2021; accepted 26 October 2021; published 10 November 2021)

We study the ground-state properties of a two-component one-dimensional system of a few ultra-cold fermions with attractive interactions. We show that, by ramping up an external potential barrier felt by one of the components, it is possible to induce regions of exotic superfluid phases, characterized by a tunable finite net momentum of the Cooper pair, without changing the overall spin populations. We show that these phases, which are the few-body analogs of the celebrated Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov state, can be distinguished by analyzing a specific two-particle correlation encoded in the noise correlation function. Our theoretical results can be addressed in current experiments with cold atoms confined in spin-selective optical traps.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.043105

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultra-cold atoms provide an exceptional experimental platform to simulate condensed matter systems in a controlled way [1,2]. One of the most spectacular collective phenomena in solids is superconductivity, where electrons with opposite spin and momenta bind into Cooper pairs due to an effective attractive interaction mediated by the crystal vibrations. In the presence of a mismatch between the two spin populations, generated, for instance, by an applied Zeeman field, the conventional Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) pairing mechanism becomes unstable, as some electrons will inevitably end up without partners. The spin-imbalanced system can nevertheless remain superconducting, at the price of adopting a new pairing mechanism harnessing the excess fermions. A well-known example of superconductivity coexisting with a partial spin polarization is the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO) state [3,4], where Cooper pairs condense at a finite momentum. This implies that the associated order parameter becomes spatially modulated, with the excess fermions sitting at the nodes of the wave, where they are less detrimental to superconductivity.

The modulated phase is currently investigated in different physical systems, including one- and two-dimensional organic superconductors [5], hybrid structures [6], and quark-gluon plasma [7]. Over the last decade atomic Fermi gases have also emerged as a valid alternative to study this exotic state of matter (for a recent review see [8–10]) for a number of reasons: (i) the two spin states correspond to two hyperfine levels, whose

populations are fixed at the beginning of the experiment via a radio-frequency field, without generating vortices; (ii) there is no disorder; (iii) fermions can be confined in low-dimensional geometries, where the FFLO state is more robust. In particular, the ground state of one-dimensional (1D) systems with attractive contact interactions is known both theoretically [11–13] and numerically [14–17] to be of the FFLO type for any finite value of the spin imbalance. The phase diagram of a spin-imbalanced attractive 1D Fermi gas in a harmonic trap was predicted in [18,19] and experimentally verified in [20]. In particular, the density profiles of the two spin components develop a two-shell structure, with the central part being a FFLO phase, while the wings are either fully paired or fully polarized, depending on the overall spin polarization. These predictions were also confirmed by density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) studies of the Fermi Hubbard model [21]. To date, obtaining direct experimental evidence of the modulated phase with cold atoms is still challenging. Several detection schemes have been discussed, based on the analysis of collective oscillations [22], the sudden expansion of the gas [23–25], interaction quenches [26], noise correlations [27,28], spectroscopy measurements [29-32], and the coupling to a Bose gas [33].

An interesting problem is to investigate the FFLO pairing in 1D Fermi gases in the presence of spin-dependent external potentials, so that the effective Zeeman field, corresponding to the semi-difference between the local chemical potentials of the two spin components, is no longer uniform throughout the atomic cloud. A natural question then arises: Can one tune the external confinement to induce different superconducting phases in the Fermi gas, *without* changing the overall spin populations? To answer this intriguing question, in this paper we investigate theoretically a 1D spin-1/2 system of a few attractively interacting fermions, confined in a box trap with an additional spin-dependent potential barrier at the trap center. Our main objects of interest are the pairing correlations present in this system, which can be analyzed

^{*}Corresponding author: jacek.dobrzyniecki@fuw.edu.pl

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

by means of the noise correlation distribution. Depending on the *local* population imbalance, the system behaves either as a BCS superconductor or a FFLO state, distinguishable by a nonzero center-of-mass momentum of Cooper pairs. We show that by appropriately tuning the height and width of the potential barrier, it is possible to switch the system between different pairing types, even though the atom numbers of both components remain unchanged.

Although superconducting pairing mechanisms are typically studied for bulk many-body systems, here we explicitly focus on few-body systems far from the thermodynamic limit. In this way our work connects to ongoing experiments on few-body samples [34], such as those being currently undertaken in Jochim's group [35–39].

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the model system under study. In Sec. III we examine the pair correlations that arise in the box trap, without the potential barrier, and show how they can be analyzed through noise correlation distributions. In Sec. IV, we describe the effect of the potential barrier, showing how the dominant net pair momentum changes as the barrier parameters (height and width) are modified. In Sec.V, we describe the particular case where the component split by the potential barrier has an odd number of fermions. Section VI contains the conclusions.

II. MODEL

In this work we consider a one-dimensional system of a few fermions of mass *m* in two different internal states $\sigma \in \{A, B\}$, playing the role of effective spins. Motivated by state-of-the-art experiments with ultra-cold atoms in two hyperfine levels, we assume that the particle numbers N_A and N_B of the two spin components are fixed integers. We assume that atoms are strongly confined along two orthogonal directions, using, for instance, a tight two-dimensional optical lattice, so that their motion along these directions reduces to zero-point oscillations. Under this assumption the system behaves kinematically as one dimension and is described by a second-quantized Hamiltonian of the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int dx \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(x) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mathcal{V}_{\sigma}(x) \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x) + g \int dx \, \hat{n}_A(x) \hat{n}_B(x), \qquad (1)$$

where the fermionic field operator $\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x)$ annihilates a σ -fermion at position x and obeys the conventional fermionic anticommutation relations $\{\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x), \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma'}(x')\} = 0$ and $\{\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x), \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(x')\} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta(x - x')$. For convenience, we introduced the single-particle density operators $\hat{n}_{\sigma}(x) = \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(x)\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x)$. In the following we take the external potential $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma}(x)$ as

$$\mathcal{V}_{\sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} V_{\sigma}, & |x| \leq D, \\ 0, & D < |x| \leq L, \\ \infty, & L < |x|, \end{cases}$$
(2)

with $V_A = V$ and $V_B = 0$, respectively. It means that the particles are confined in an infinite square well of length 2*L*, and the component *A* additionally feels a potential barrier of width 2*D* and height *V* in the center of the box. From an

experimental point of view, a spin-dependent external potential can be achieved, e.g., by using a focused laser beam or magnetic-field gradient to induce a spatially localized spinselective energy shift [40–43]. The interparticle interactions are modeled as contact interactions between fermions of opposite species with strength g. The interaction strength g is related to the three-dimensional s-wave scattering length [44,45] and can be tuned by magnetic Feshbach resonances [46,47] or by adjusting the confinement in the transverse directions [44].

For convenience, throughout the rest of this paper we employ dimensionless units, i.e., we express all energies, lengths, and momenta in units of \hbar^2/mL^2 , *L*, and \hbar/L , respectively. In these units the interaction strength is expressed in units of \hbar^2/mL . Without losing the generality of the final conclusions, throughout this paper we set the strength of attractive interactions to g = -5. Importantly, we consider 1D systems of few (up to 12) fermions and assume that the external potential has fixed spatial size *L*. For this reason, our results cannot be straightforwardly extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit, where the size of the system has to be changed together with the number of particles to keep the average density constant.

To numerically obtain the many-body ground state for the given number of particles and external potential configuration, we first solve the corresponding single-particle eigenproblems for each component separately. Then, we use the lowest-energy eigenorbitals to construct the noninteracting many-body Fock basis $\{|F_i\rangle\}$. Specifically, each Fock state $|F_i\rangle$ is a product of two Slater determinants of N_A and N_B orbitals, describing the many-body state of the A and B components, respectively. The resultant many-body basis, in general, includes all the possible combinations of single-particle orbitals of the $N_A + N_B$ fermions. Since the many-body basis grows exponentially with the number of particles, we limit the basis to Fock states which have a noninteracting energy below a properly chosen value E_{max} , according to the recipe given in [48]. This procedure is based upon the assumption that very high-energy Fock states will be only negligibly represented in the ground-state wave function of the system. Then the many-body Hamiltonian (1) is expressed as a matrix in the basis $\{|F_i\rangle\}$ and diagonalized using the implicitly restarted Arnoldi method [49]. In this way, the ground state $|G\rangle$ is found as its decomposition in the basis $\{|F_i\rangle\}$ and used for further calculations. In the end, we confirm that the obtained results do not change quantitatively upon the increase of the cutoff energy E_{max} . Thus, the method gives practically exact results, in the sense that the ground-state many-body wave function is known almost exactly, i.e., further increase of the Fock basis does not change the results significantly.

III. PAIRING IN THE TRAPPED SYSTEM

For the attractive intercomponent interactions (g < 0), fermions of opposite species form strongly correlated pairs. It has been shown that these pairs may display many different features of the Cooper pairs known from the theory of superconductivity [37,50–53]. Identifying the type of pairing that arises (i.e., pairing with zero or nonzero net pair momentum) requires a detailed knowledge of the superconducting correlation function. It has been previously shown



FIG. 1. The momentum noise correlation $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ in the ground state, calculated for systems with g = -5, potential barrier V = 0, and different particle numbers (N_A, N_B) . Each row includes systems with different imbalance $\Delta N = N_B - N_A$. For balanced systems $(\Delta N = 0)$, one sees an enhancement of correlations along the line $p_A = -p_B$ (indicated by the dashed line), signaling BCS-like pairing of fermions with opposite momenta. For imbalanced systems, the enhanced correlations instead form along the lines $p_A = -p_B \pm q_0$ (indicated by dashed lines), signaling the creation of FFLO-like pairs with net momentum $\pm q_0$. Momentum is given in units of \hbar/L , noise correlation in units of L^2/\hbar^2 .

[27,28,54] that such information can also be obtained from the two-point noise correlation \mathcal{G} between the two components, which is directly experimentally accessible from two-body density measurements [55–57]. In the momentum domain, the distribution of the noise correlation is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B) = \langle \hat{\pi}_A(p_A) \hat{\pi}_B(p_B) \rangle - \langle \hat{\pi}_A(p_A) \rangle \langle \hat{\pi}_B(p_B) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where the momentum density operators $\hat{\pi}_{\sigma}(p) =$ $\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(p)\hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(p)$ are expressed straightforwardly in terms of Fourier-transformed field operators $\Psi_{\sigma}(p) =$ $\int dx \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x) \exp(-ipx/\hbar)$. The noise correlation is the difference between the two-particle density distribution, and the product of individual single-particle densities. For a noninteracting system $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ is zero everywhere. It means that $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ expresses the distribution of correlations forced by interparticle interactions that cannot be captured by a single-particle description, excluding the spurious correlations that arise from single-particle densities.

To demonstrate that different types of correlated pairs are well captured by the noise correlations, in Fig. 1 we show the distribution $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ in the absence of the potential barrier (V = 0) and different imbalances $\Delta N = N_B - N_A$. For all balanced systems ($\Delta N = 0$), an enhancement of intercomponent correlations ($\mathcal{G} > 0$) is visible along the antidiagonal $p_A = -p_B$. It means that the probability of finding a pair of fermions with exactly opposite momenta is enhanced, which is a footprint of the standard Cooper-like pairing mechanism with zero pair momentum. For imbalanced systems ($\Delta N >$ 0), the situation is different. The region of enhanced correlations is split into two ridges, located along the two dashed lines, corresponding to net momenta $p_A + p_B = \pm q_0$, with q_0 having a nonzero value, a hallmark of FFLO pairing.

The net FFLO pair momentum q_0 in the box trap is expected to be equal to the difference $\Delta p_F = p_{FB} - p_{FA}$ between the Fermi momenta $p_{F\sigma} = N_{\sigma}\pi/2$ of the two spin components [58]

$$q_0 = \Delta p_F = \Delta N \pi / 2. \tag{4}$$

Note that q_0 depends only on the population imbalance ΔN and not on the values N_A and N_B separately, unlike in nonuniform (e.g., harmonically trapped) systems [28]. The relation (4) is confirmed by Fig. 1: for larger particle numbers, the noise correlation enhancement is concentrated into two clear, narrow maxima located at $p_A \approx \pm N_A \pi/2$, $p_B \approx$ $\mp N_B \pi / 2$ (red spots); in contrast, the probability of Cooper pairing between fermions with momenta pointing along the same direction is strongly suppressed (blue spots). Separately, it is worth noting from Fig. 1 that the intensity of the noise correlations diminishes for higher particle numbers because in 1D systems interactions effects are reduced as the particle density increases [18]. In particular the relevant dimensionless parameter is $\gamma = gm/(\hbar^2 n)$, where $n = (N_A + N_B)/(2L)$ is the total particle density (notice that we reintroduced all physical units for better clarity). The parameter γ can range from the weakly interacting mean-field regime ($\gamma \ll 1$) to the strongly correlated regime ($\gamma \gg 1$). Within our units convention the interaction parameter then reduces to $\gamma = 2g/(N_A + N_B)$. For instance, for $N_A = N_B = 4$ we find $\gamma = 1.25$ for g = -5, implying that the system is in between the weakly and the strongly interacting regimes.

To identify more clearly the most probable net momentum of the pair, q_0 , we use a method previously proposed in [28]. It involves integrating the noise correlation with an appropriate filtering function $\mathcal{F}(k)$:

$$\mathcal{Q}(q) = \int dp_A dp_B \,\mathcal{F}(p_A + p_B - q) \mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B).$$
(5)

For the filtering function, we choose a simple Gaussian function $\mathcal{F}(k) = (\pi w)^{-1/2} \exp(-k^2/2w^2)$. The width parameter w = 0.5 is of the order of the perpendicular width of the enhanced correlation area. We checked that the form of Q(q)is not significantly affected by small adjustments of w. Note that $\mathcal{Q}(q) = \mathcal{Q}(-q)$, due to the symmetry of \mathcal{G} . Therefore, for simplification, throughout the rest of this paper, we show its values only for positive q. In Fig. 2 we plot the function Q(q)for systems with different particle numbers. The momentum q at which the function Q takes its maximum value can be identified with the most likely net momentum q_0 of the Cooper pairs in the system. Figure 2(a) refers to systems with identical population imbalance $\Delta N = 2$, but varying particle numbers N_A and N_B (they correspond to the third row in Fig. 1). In all these cases, the maximum of $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ falls at the same position $q \simeq \pi$, in agreement with the prediction (4). Conversely, in Fig. 2(b) we show Q(q) for $N_A = 2$ and different particle imbalances (corresponding to the second column in Fig. 1). The



FIG. 2. (a) The function Q(q), whose maxima indicate the most probable values of correlated pair momentum q_0 , for few-body systems with fixed particle imbalance $\Delta N = 2$ and different particle numbers (N_A, N_B) . The maximum in each case is present approximately at the value $q = \pi$ (dashed line), equal to the Fermi momentum mismatch Δp_F . (b) The function Q(q) in systems with $N_A = 2$ and different values of $N_B = N_A + \Delta N$. Note that the $\Delta N =$ 0 curve is scaled by 1/5. Dashed lines indicate the theoretically predicted momenta $\Delta N \pi / 2$. (Inset) The location of the maximum q_0 in Q(q) (circles) as a function of the theoretically predicted Fermi momenta mismatch Δp_F . Different points correspond to different particle numbers and imbalances (see the numerical data and explanation in the Appendix for details). The dashed line corresponds to a theoretically predicted exact agreement $q_0 = \Delta p_F$. Momentum is given in units of \hbar/L , Q(q) in units of L/\hbar .

balanced system ($\Delta N = 0$) with BCS pairing is characterized by a clear maximum at $q \simeq 0$. As the particle imbalance increases, the maximum occurs at increasingly higher momenta, in each case very close to the predicted value (4), indicated by the vertical dashed lines. This point is further illustrated in the inset in Fig. 2, showing the most likely pair momentum q_0 , defined through the function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$, versus the Fermi momentum mismatch Δp_F , for various particle numbers and imbalances (see the numerical data and explanation in the Appendix for details). All data points fall very close to the dashed straight line, corresponding to $q_0 = \Delta p_F$ as predicted by Eq. (4), confirming that the the most likely net momentum of the Cooper pairs basically coincides with the mismatch between the Fermi momenta across a wide variety of system sizes. Additionally, we verified that this result does not change qualitatively as the interaction strength is varied, although the intensity of the noise correlation distribution reduces by approaching the weakly interacting regime.

IV. ROLE OF THE INTERNAL BARRIER

So far we assumed that both spin components feel the same external (flat box) potential. Let us now consider the effects

of changing the barrier height V felt solely by the component A. As V increases, the A-fermions are progressively pushed towards the lateral wings until the central region is completely emptied. In the high-barrier limit, the A-fermions effectively experience a symmetrical double-well potential with negligible tunneling between the two wells. Since each separate well has width 1 - D, we see from Eq. (4) that the most likely net momentum q_0 of Cooper pairs is given by

$$q_0 = \Delta N' \pi / (1 - D). \tag{6}$$

Here $\Delta N' = N'_B - N'_A$, where N'_{σ} is the number of fermions of component σ found within a given well. The expected value of N'_{σ} can be determined by integrating the corresponding density profile $n_{\sigma}(x) = \langle \hat{n}_{\sigma}(x) \rangle$ over the the well domain.

A. Barrier with varying height

To demonstrate the effect of changing the barrier, let us consider a system with $N_A = 4$ and $N_B = 6$ fermions. In Fig. 3(a), we examine its ground-state properties for increasing V, assuming that the barrier width is fixed to D = 1/3. We plot the single-particle densities $n_A(x)$ and $n_B(x)$, along with the corresponding noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ and the function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ reflecting the pair momentum distribution. In the homogenous case [V = 0, top row in Fig. 3(a)], both densities (left column) are roughly evenly distributed throughout the box. Due to the population imbalance, the noise correlations in the system support the FFLO-like pairing. The pair momentum calculated from Eq. (4) is $q_0 = \pi$, as seen from the locations of the positive maxima in \mathcal{G} (middle column).

As V increases, the component A is gradually pushed out of the barrier region. Due to the symmetry of the system, the density $n_A(x)$ is evenly split between the two side regions. Meanwhile, the density $n_B(x)$ is essentially unchanged (except for the slight modifications due to the attractive interaction). The particular choice D = 1/3 means that approximately onethird of the B population (two fermions) is located within each lateral region. As a result, for high V, the population within the lateral regions becomes balanced. This is additionally supported by the fact that densities $n_A(x)$ and $n_B(x)$ in these regions become almost identical. Thus, in this regime, the pairs created within the lateral regions are standard BCS Cooper-like pairs with zero net momentum. This phenomenological reasoning is supported by the noise correlations — for the large V case (last row) the maxima are found close to the antidiagonal $p_A = -p_B$. The maxima become gradually more indistinct and stretched along the p_A direction as V increases, which can be explained by the increasing uncertainty of p_A as the A fermions are squeezed into a smaller space.

The transition between FFLO and BCS pairing can be explained in greater detail by inspection of the function Q(q) [right column in Fig. 3(a)]. For V = 0, Q(q) displays a maximum at $q_0 = \pi$, exactly as predicted for this imbalanced system from the difference in Fermi momenta. As V increases, this maximum gradually vanishes, while simultaneously another maximum emerges at $q_0 = 0$. In particular, for V = 30 one can distinguish two separate maxima at the two locations. This indicates that the change between the FFLO and BCS pairings is not a gradual decrease of q_0 , but rather a direct



FIG. 3. The gradual transition of a system with FFLO-like pairing to BCS-like pairing as the potential barrier height V is tuned. The system parameters are $N_A = 4$, $N_B = 6$, g = -5, D = 1/3. (a) Left column: The one-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ in the ground state of the system for increasing V, for component A (thin dashed purple lines), and B (thicker solid green lines). Vertical dashed lines indicate the edges of the potential barrier $-D \leq x \leq D$. As V is increased, the A-component fermions are pushed out to the lateral regions, while the distribution of the B-fermions remains essentially unchanged. Middle column: Noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ of the system for increasing V. At V = 0, clear maxima are visible at $p_A + p_B \approx \pi$. For increasing V these maxima gradually vanish, replaced with more indistinct maxima close to the $p_A = -p_B$ antidiagonal (marked by dashed lines). Right column: The function Q(q)for increasing V. At V = 0 a clear maximum is present near $q = \pi$. For increasing V, the peak near $q = \pi$ gradually vanishes while a maximum emerges at q = 0, indicating the switch from FFLO to BCS pairing. (b) The quantity ξ , defined in Eq. (7) and expressing the dominance of non-BCS pairing, as a function of V. Here the value of V is smoothly changed over the entire range from V = 0to V = 85. Circles indicate the values of V depicted in the above plots. As V is increased and the BCS pairing becomes dominant, ξ decreases to zero. Energy is given in units of \hbar^2/mL^2 ; position in units of L; density n_{σ} in units of 1/L; momenta in units of \hbar/L ; noise correlation in units of L^2/\hbar^2 ; Q(q) in units of L/\hbar .

switch between two distinct pairing mechanisms. A separate effect is that for small barrier heights V, the maximum at $q_0 = \pi$ shifts towards slightly larger momenta, which can

be explained by the fact that the momentum of A-fermions slightly increases due to the higher external potential energy.

From an experimental point of view, it is useful to define an additional measurable quantity that indicates whether the ground state of the system displays BCS or FFLO pairing. For this purpose, we define the dimensionless quantity

$$\xi = \frac{\int [\mathcal{Q}(q) - Q(0)]\theta[\mathcal{Q}(q) - Q(0)]dq}{\int \mathcal{Q}(q)\theta[\mathcal{Q}(q)]dq},\tag{7}$$

where $\theta(z)$ is the Heaviside step function. If the maximum of Q(q) is located at q = 0, ξ is exactly zero, while if the maximum falls at any other position then $\xi > 0$. The value of ξ can therefore be interpreted as an indicator for FFLO pairing. In Fig. 3(b) we show in more details the dependence of ξ on the barrier height for the $N_A = 4$, $N_B = 6$ system. As V increases, ξ gradually diminishes and eventually vanishes around $V \simeq 35$, signaling the transition towards the standard BCS pairing.

B. Tuning the barrier width

The FFLO pair momentum q_0 can also be controlled by tuning the width of the barrier. To demonstrate this, in Fig. 4(a) we show results for a system with $N_A = 4$, $N_B =$ 9 particles obtained by progressively increasing the barrier width *D*, assuming a fixed barrier height V = 150. The later choice ensures that the middle region is nearly emptied of *A*-fermions. The single-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ (left column) give an approximate view of the changing population difference in the lateral regions.

The first row shows the case of a system without a potential barrier. As seen from the noise correlation distribution $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ and the function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ [middle and right columns in Fig. 4(a), respectively], in this case FFLO-like pairs are formed with a nonzero momentum, $q_0 = 5\pi/2$. The subsequent rows show the effect of changing D to different values. At the values D = 1/9, 3/9, 5/9, the expected value of N'_{B} is a clearly defined integer number (four, three, and two, respectively). Meanwhile, N'_{A} remains close to two in all cases. For these values of D, the noise correlation distribution and the function Q(q) show that the most probable pair momentum q_0 changes to the value predicted from Eq. (6) indicated by vertical dashed lines ($q_0 = 9\pi/4$, $3\pi/2$, and 0, respectively). Additionally, we show the cases of intermediate widths (D =2/9, D = 4/9) which lie in between the above values. In such cases the value N'_{B} is noninteger, implying that the ground state is a superposition of different quantum states with different numbers of B-particles in the left and right wells. For instance, for D = 2/9 there could be four *B*-fermions in the left well and three *B*-fermions in the right one or the other way round.

These results clearly show that adjusting the barrier widths allows tuning the FFLO momentum q_0 , as well as switching from FFLO pairing to BCS pairing. This point is further illustrated in Fig. 4(b), showing the behavior of ξ as a function of D when D is smoothly varied. In particular ξ decreases monotonically as D increases, and ultimately vanishes around $D \simeq 0.4$, marking the dominance of BCS pairing.



FIG. 4. The gradual change of the FFLO momentum as the barrier width parameter D is tuned. The system parameters are $N_A =$ 4, $N_B = 9$, g = -5, V = 150. (a) Left column: The one-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ in the ground state of the system for increasing D. Vertical dashed lines indicate the edges of the potential barrier $-D \leq$ $x \leq D$. Middle column: Noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ of the system for increasing D. As D increases, the maxima become broader and more indistinct, owing to the increased uncertainty of momentum p_A . Dashed lines indicate the predicted locations of maxima $p_A + p_B = q_0$ for given D. Right column: The function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ for increasing V. For the values of D where population N'_B is well defined, dashed lines indicate the predicted location of the preferred net momentum q_0 , calculated from the difference of Fermi momenta. Particularly, at D = 1/9 and D = 3/9, where the population of A and B in the lateral regions is integer but different, the system exhibits a FFLO pairing with a changing net momentum. Meanwhile, for D = 5/9, the populations become not only integer but also equal, thus the BCS pairing with $q_0 = 0$ dominates. On the other hand, for widths not supporting integer N'_B , particle number in the lateral region has significant variance and the pairing correlation visible in the noise cannot be easily associated with a single pairing mechanism (see the main text for details). (b) Value of the quantity ξ as a function of D, for D smoothly varied between D = 0 and D = 0.6. Circles indicate the values of D depicted in the above plots. It is seen that the BCS pairing becomes dominant for $D \ge 0.4$. Position and barrier width is given in units of L; density n_{σ} in units of 1/L; momenta in units of \hbar/L ; noise correlation in units of L^2/\hbar^2 ; $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ in units of L/\hbar .



FIG. 5. The gradual change of a balanced system with odd N_A as the potential barrier height V is tuned. The system parameters are $N_A = 5$, $N_B = 5$, g = -5, D = 1/5. (a) Left column: The one-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ in the ground state of the system for increasing V. Vertical dashed lines indicate the edges of the potential barrier $-D \leq x \leq D$. For V = 0 the two densities are exactly identical. For a high potential barrier V, the A fermions are pushed out to the lateral regions. As the number of A fermions is odd, the density $n_A(x)$ within each well represents the contributions from density profiles corresponding to two or three fermions. Middle column: Noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ of the system for increasing V. For a high barrier, two distinct maxima can be distinguished at $p_1 + p_2 = 0$ and $p_1 + p_2 = \pm 5\pi/4$ (marked by dashed lines). Right column: The function Q(q) for increasing V. For a very high barrier, two maxima can be distinguished at $q_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 5\pi/4$. Energy is given in units of \hbar^2/mL^2 ; position in units of L; density n_{σ} in units of 1/L; momenta in units of \hbar/L ; noise correlation in units of L^2/\hbar^2 ; $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ in units of L/\hbar .

V. ODD PARTICLE NUMBER NA

So far we considered systems with an even particle number N_A . In those cases, the introduction of the potential barrier leads (on average) to an equal distribution of A-fermions in the two lateral regions. A more complicated situation occurs for systems with odd N_A , as the presence of the barrier leads to unequal numbers of A-fermions in the two wells. As a consequence, the pair momentum q_0 can also take distinct values in the two lateral regions. To demonstrate this, we focus on the balanced case with $N_A = N_B = 5$ particles. The single-particle densities, noise correlation, and the function Q(q) for increasing values of V and fixed D = 1/5 are shown in Fig. 5. For V = 0, the population of both components is exactly balanced and the system is characterized by BCS-like pairing with net pair momentum $q_0 = 0$. For very high V the most probable distribution is that of two A-fermions in



FIG. 6. The gradual change of an imbalanced system with odd N_A as the potential barrier height V is tuned. The system parameters are $N_A = 5$, $N_B = 7$, g = -5, D = 1/7. (a) Left column: The one-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ in the ground state of the system for increasing V. Vertical dashed lines indicate the edges of the potential barrier $-D \leq x \leq D$. Middle column: Noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ of the system for increasing V. At V = 0, clear maxima are visible at $p_1 + p_2 = \pi$ (marked by dashed lines). For a high barrier, two distinct maxima can be distinguished at $p_1 + p_2 = 0$ and $p_1 + p_2 = \pm 7\pi/6$. Right column: The function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ for increasing V. At V = 0, a single peak is present at $q_0 = \pi$ while for a very high barrier two peaks can be distinguished at $q_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 7\pi/6$. Energy is given in units of \hbar^2/mL^2 ; position in units of L^2/\hbar^2 ; $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ in units of L/\hbar .

one well, and three A-fermions in the other. In contrast, the expected number of B-fermions in either of the two wells is two due to the chosen barrier width. Thus the imbalance $\Delta N'$ is different in both wells, resulting in a dominance of two different values of net pair momentum, $q_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 5\pi/4$, corresponding to a BCS and a FFLO state, respectively. This effect is indeed visible in the noise correlation $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ and the function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$, where distinct separate maxima are visible at the predicted values of q (last row in Fig. 5).

For completeness, we also investigate the imbalanced case $N_A = 5$, $N_B = 7$, where the system exhibits FFLO pairing already at V = 0. In Fig. 6 we show the single-particle densities, noise correlations, and the function Q(q) in this imbalanced system for increasing values of V and a fixed barrier width D = 1/7. At V = 0, the system exhibits a FFLO pairing with a preferred net momentum $q_0 = \pi$. For very high V the most probable distribution is again that of two A-fermions in one well, and three A-fermions in the other, while the expected number of B fermions in each well is three. In this regime

Q(q) exhibits two separate peaks, $q_0 = 0$ and $q_0 = 7\pi/6$. Therefore in the imbalanced odd- N_A case, where the system already exhibits FFLO pairing in the absence of a barrier, tuning the barrier height V from zero leads to two distinct effects: (i) it can introduce an additional BCS phase in one of the two wells, and (ii) it can modify the value of the FFLO momentum q_0 .

At this point it is valuable to clarify a general structure of the many-body ground state in the odd N_A case. Due to the spatial left-right symmetry, the many-body ground state of the system can be expressed as a general superposition $|G\rangle = (|L\rangle + |R\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, where $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$ represent manybody states describing configurations with the extra A-fermion placed in the left and right well, respectively. This means that it is not possible to distinguish whether it is the left or the right well that contributes to a particular pairing mechanism. In the limit of very large V, the ground state becomes nearly degenerate with the first excited many-body state of the system $|G'\rangle = (|L\rangle - |R\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, having an essentially different singleparticle momentum distribution. As a result, in experimental practice, a system prepared in this regime may end up in either one or any superposition of states $|L\rangle$, $|R\rangle$.

Let us finally investigate the behavior of the system with $N_A = 5, N_B = 7$ as the barrier width D is progressively increased from zero, for a fixed barrier height V = 120. The obtained results are displayed in Fig. 7. The distributions Q(q) for no barrier (D=0) and for D=1/7 are as shown previously in Fig. 6, with the preferred FFLO momentum being $q_0 = \pi$ and $q_0 = 7\pi/6$, respectively (as marked by vertical dashed lines in the third column). For D = 3/7, the expected value of N'_{B} is a clearly defined integer number $(N'_{B} = 2)$ and the two momenta are expected to fall at $q_{0} = 0$ and $q_0 = 7\pi/4$. However, it can be seen that the position of the maximum in Q(q) visibly deviates from the predicted q_0 in this case, although it is reasonably close to the predicted value. We additionally show the results for two intermediate values of D (D = 1.5/7, D = 2/7). Since, in these cases the expected value N'_{R} is not a clearly defined integer, the distributions Q(q)in this case are not straightforward to describe.

VI. CONCLUSION

Few-body cold-atom systems represent an intriguing platform to study pairing phenomena. Here we investigated a one-dimensional system of few attractively interacting spin-1/2 fermions confined in a flat box trap, through the numerical study of the ground-state density profiles and noise correlations. We show that by ramping up a central potential barrier felt by one of the two components, and thus restricting pair formation to regions outside the barrier, the system can undergo different pairing mechanisms without changing the overall spin populations. Specifically, solely by adjusting the barrier height and width, the particles in the two wells can form either BCS-like pairs with zero center-of-mass momentum, or FFLO-like pairs with a tunable finite momentum. Moreover, we found that for odd particle numbers both BCS and FFLO type correlations can coexist in different spatial regions of the system, even in the absence of an overall spin imbalance, provided the barrier parameters are tailored appropriately.



FIG. 7. The gradual change of a system with odd N_A as the potential barrier width parameter D is tuned. The system parameters are $N_A = 5$, $N_B = 7$, g = -5, V = 120. (a) Left column: The one-particle densities $n_{\sigma}(x)$ in the ground state of the system for increasing V. Vertical dashed lines indicate the edges of the potential barrier $-D \leq x \leq D$. Middle column: Noise correlation distributions $\mathcal{G}(p_A, p_B)$ of the system for increasing V. For D = 0, as well as D = 1/7, D = 3/7 for which the population N'_B is well defined, dashed lines indicate the predicted values of FFLO pair momenta $p_A + p_B = q_0$. Right column: The function $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ for increasing V. Dashed vertical lines indicate the predicted locations of q_0 . Energy is given in units of \hbar^2/mL^2 ; position in units of L; density n_{σ} in units of 1/L; momenta in units of \hbar/L ; noise correlation in units of L^2/\hbar^2 ; $\mathcal{Q}(q)$ in units of L/\hbar .

Our theoretical results are relevant for current experiments using quasi-1D atomic samples with few particles per tube. In these systems the noise correlations were measured with great accuracy, while the spin-dependent external potential can be tailored with state-of-the-art optical techniques. Our work therefore provides a promising route to investigate the FFLO pairing mechanism starting from experiments with small-size cold-atoms systems acting as superconducting grains. The present study can also be generalized to higher dimensions, assuming that the transverse confinement is reduced so that some of the excited single-particle states in the transverse directions become populated. For instance, one can study how the intensity of the noise correlation function depends on the numbers of particles in the system, for a fixed system size; we expect that, contrary to the 1D case discussed here, the intensity increases as the atom density increases because interaction effects become stronger. It would also be interesting to understand whether the signatures of FFLO pairing in the noise correlation function remain visible in higher dimensions, where the exotic superfluid is known to be less robust.

Another promising direction, which directly connects with π -phases [59] and hybrid Josephson junctions [6] is to consider that both left and right side of the barrier represent bulk 1D systems with uniform densities (far from the barrier region). This corresponds to taking the thermodynamic limit $N_{\sigma} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $L \rightarrow +\infty$, with N_{σ}/L and the barrier width *D* being finite. It is worth stressing that such a limit is out of reach for the method used in this work because the computational effort required to obtain convergent results grows too fast with system size and particle numbers. Other numerical approaches are more suitable to extract the ground state properties for bulk systems, including quantum Monte Carlo or the DMRG. We leave this program for future studies.

TABLE I. The most probable net pair momentum q_0 for systems with different particle numbers N_A , N_B and imbalances $\Delta N = N_B - N_A$. The system parameters are g = -5 and V = 0. The pair momentum q_0 is found as the location of the maximum of function Q(q), defined in Eq. (5). It is compared to the theoretically predicted Fermi momentum difference $\Delta p_F = \Delta N \pi / 2$. It is seen that $q_0 \approx \Delta p_F$ in all cases, as predicted. Not shown are the entries for $N_A = N_B$, for which in all tested cases $q_0 = \Delta p_F = 0$.

N _A	N_B	ΔN	$\Delta p_F/\pi$	q_0/π
1	2	1	0.5	0.50
2	3			0.47
3	4			0.44
4	5			0.42
5	6			0.40
1	3	2	1	1.09
2	4			1.03
3	5			1.02
4	6			1.01
5	7			1.01
1	4	3	1.5	1.57
2	5			1.56
3	6			1.53
4	7			1.52
1	5	4	2	2.07
2	6			2.05
3	7			2.04
4	8			2.03
1	6	5	2.5	2.57
2	7			2.55
3	8			2.53
1	7	6	3	3.06
2	8			3.04
3	9			3.03
1	8	7	3.5	3.56
2	9			3.54
1	9	8	4	4.06
2	10			4.04

All numerical data presented in this paper is freely available online [60].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.D. and T.S. acknowledge financial support from the (Polish) National Science Center (Grant No.

- S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Theory of ultracold atomic fermi gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1215 (2008).
- [2] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Many-body physics with ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 885 (2008).
- [3] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Superconductivity in a strong spinexchange field, Phys. Rev. 135, A550 (1964).
- [4] A. I. Larkin and Y. N. Ovchinnikov, Nonuniform state of superconductors, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 1136 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 762 (1965)].
- [5] G. Koutroulakis, H. Kühne, J. A. Schlueter, J. Wosnitza, and S. E. Brown, Microscopic Study of the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov State in an All-Organic Superconductor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 067003 (2016).
- [6] A. I. Buzdin, Proximity effects in superconductor-ferromagnet heterostructures, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 935 (2005).
- [7] R. Casalbuoni and G. Nardulli, Inhomogeneous superconductivity in condensed matter and QCD, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 263 (2004).
- [8] F. Chevy and C. Mora, Ultra-cold polarized fermi gases, Rep. Prog. Phys. 73, 112401 (2010).
- [9] A. E. Feiguin, F. Heidrich-Meisner, G. Orso, and W. Zwerger, Bcs–bec crossover and unconventional superfluid order in one dimension, in *The BCS-BEC Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas* (Springer, New York, 2012), pp. 503–532.
- [10] J. J. Kinnunen, J. E. Baarsma, J.-P. Martikainen, and P. Törmä, The fulde–ferrell–larkin–ovchinnikov state for ultracold fermions in lattice and harmonic potentials: A review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 81, 046401 (2018).
- [11] K. Yang, Inhomogeneous superconducting state in quasione-dimensional systems, Phys. Rev. B 63, 140511(R) (2001).
- [12] X.-W. Guan, M. T. Batchelor, and C. Lee, Fermi gases in one dimension: From bethe ansatz to experiments, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1633 (2013).
- [13] S. Cheng, Y.-C. Yu, M. T. Batchelor, and X.-W. Guan, Fuldeferrell-larkin-ovchinnikov correlation and free fluids in the one-dimensional attractive hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 97, 121111(R) (2018).
- [14] A. E. Feiguin and F. Heidrich-Meisner, Pairing states of a polarized fermi gas trapped in a one-dimensional optical lattice, Phys. Rev. B 76, 220508(R) (2007).
- [15] G. G. Batrouni, M. H. Huntley, V. G. Rousseau, and R. T. Scalettar, Exact Numerical Study of Pair Formation with Imbalanced Fermion Populations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 116405 (2008).
- [16] M. Tezuka and M. Ueda, Density-Matrix Renormalization Group Study of Trapped Imbalanced Fermi Condensates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 110403 (2008).

APPENDIX: NET PAIR MOMENTA FOR DIFFERENT PARTICLE IMBALANCES

In Table I we show the data used in the inset of Fig. 2.

- [17] M. Casula, D. M. Ceperley, and E. J. Mueller, Quantum monte carlo study of one-dimensional trapped fermions with attractive contact interactions, Phys. Rev. A 78, 033607 (2008).
- [18] G. Orso, Attractive Fermi Gases with Unequal Spin Populations in Highly Elongated Traps, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 070402 (2007).
- [19] H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, and P. D. Drummond, Phase Diagram of a Strongly Interacting Polarized Fermi Gas in One Dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 070403 (2007).
- [20] Y.-A. Liao, A. S. C. Rittner, T. Paprotta, W. Li, G. B. Partridge, R. G. Hulet, S. K. Baur, and E. J. Mueller, Spin-imbalance in a one-dimensional Fermi gas, Nature (London) 467, 567 (2010).
- [21] F. Heidrich-Meisner, G. Orso, and A. E. Feiguin, Phase separation of trapped spin-imbalanced fermi gases in one-dimensional optical lattices, Phys. Rev. A 81, 053602 (2010).
- [22] J. M. Edge and N. R. Cooper, Signature of the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov Phase in the Collective Modes of a Trapped Ultracold Fermi Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 065301 (2009).
- [23] J. Kajala, F. Massel, and P. Törma, Expansion dynamics of the fulde-ferrell-larkin-ovchinnikov state, Phys. Rev. A 84, 041601(R) (2011).
- [24] H. Lu, L. O. Baksmaty, C. J. Bolech, and H. Pu, Expansion of 1d Polarized Superfluids: The Fflo State Reveals Itself, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 225302 (2012).
- [25] C. J. Bolech, F. Heidrich-Meisner, S. Langer, I. P. McCulloch, G. Orso, and M. Rigol, Long-Time Behavior of the Momentum Distribution During the Sudden Expansion of a Spin-Imbalanced Fermi Gas in One Dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 110602 (2012).
- [26] L. Riegger, G. Orso, and F. Heidrich-Meisner, Interaction quantum quenches in the one-dimensional fermi-hubbard model with spin imbalance, Phys. Rev. A 91, 043623 (2015).
- [27] A. Lüscher, R. M. Noack, and A. M. Läuchli, Fulde-ferrelllarkin-ovchinnikov state in the one-dimensional attractive hubbard model and its fingerprint in spatial noise correlations, Phys. Rev. A 78, 013637 (2008).
- [28] D. Pęcak and T. Sowiński, Signatures of unconventional pairing in spin-imbalanced one-dimensional few-fermion systems, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 012077(R) (2020).
- [29] A. Korolyuk, F. Massel, and P. Törmä, Probing the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov Phase by Double Occupancy Modulation Spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 236402 (2010).
- [30] M. R. Bakhtiari, M. J. Leskinen, and P. Törmä, Spectral Signatures of the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov Order Parameter in One-Dimensional Optical Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 120404 (2008).
- [31] T. Roscilde, M. Rodríguez, K. Eckert, O. Romero-Isart, M. Lewenstein, E. Polzik, and A. Sanpera, Quantum polarization

spectroscopy of correlations in attractive fermionic gases, New J. Phys. **11**, 055041 (2009).

- [32] R. M. Lutchyn, M. Dzero, and V. M. Yakovenko, Spectroscopy of the soliton lattice formation in quasi-one-dimensional fermionic superfluids with population imbalance, Phys. Rev. A 84, 033609 (2011).
- [33] M. Singh and G. Orso, Enhanced visibility of the fuldeferrell-larkin-ovchinnikov state in one-dimensional bose-fermi mixtures near the immiscibility point, Phys. Rev. Research 2, 023148 (2020).
- [34] T. Sowiński, Few-body perspective on fermionic pairing in one spatial dimension, Europhys. Lett. 134, 33001 (2021).
- [35] F. Serwane, G. Zürn, T. Lompe, T. B. Ottenstein, A. N. Wenz, and S. Jochim, Deterministic preparation of a tunable fewfermion system, Science 332, 336 (2011).
- [36] G. Zürn, F. Serwane, T. Lompe, A. N. Wenz, M. G. Ries, J. E. Bohn, and S. Jochim, Fermionization of Two Distinguishable Fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 075303 (2012).
- [37] G. Zürn, A. N. Wenz, S. Murmann, A. Bergschneider, T. Lompe, and S. Jochim, Pairing in Few-Fermion Systems with Attractive Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 175302 (2013).
- [38] S. Murmann, F. Deuretzbacher, G. Zürn, J. Bjerlin, S. M. Reimann, L. Santos, T. Lompe, and S. Jochim, Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Spin Chain of a Few Cold Atoms in a One-Dimensional Trap, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 215301 (2015).
- [39] L. Bayha, M. Holten, R. Klemt, K. Subramanian, J. Bjerlin, S. M. Reimann, G. M. Bruun, P. M. Preiss, and S. Jochim, Observing the emergence of a quantum phase transition shell by shell, Nature (London) 587, 583 (2020).
- [40] D. Schrader, I. Dotsenko, M. Khudaverdyan, Y. Miroshnychenko, A. Rauschenbeutel, and D. Meschede, Neutral Atom Quantum Register, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 150501 (2004).
- [41] C. Zhang, S. L. Rolston, and S. Das Sarma, Manipulation of single neutral atoms in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. A 74, 042316 (2006).
- [42] P. J. Lee, M. Anderlini, B. L. Brown, J. Sebby-Strabley, W. D. Phillips, and J. V. Porto, Sublattice Addressing and Spin-Dependent Motion of Atoms in a Double-Well Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 020402 (2007).
- [43] C. Weitenberg, M. Endres, J. F. Sherson, M. Cheneau, P. Schauß, T. Fukuhara, I. Bloch, and S. Kuhr, Single-spin addressing in an atomic mott insulator, Nature (London) 471, 319 (2011).
- [44] M. Olshanii, Atomic Scattering in the Presence of an External Confinement and a Gas of Impenetrable Bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 938 (1998).

- [45] E. Haller, M. Gustavsson, M. J. Mark, J. G. Danzl, R. Hart, G. Pupillo, and H.-C. Nägerl, Realization of an excited, strongly correlated quantum gas phase, Science 325, 1224 (2009).
- [46] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2008).
- [47] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, Feshbach resonances in ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1225 (2010).
- [48] A. Chrostowski and T. Sowiński, Efficient construction of many-body fock states having the lowest energies, Acta Phys. Polon. A 136, 566 (2019).
- [49] R. B. Lehoucq, D. C. Sorensen, and C. Yang, Arpack User's Guide: Solution of Large-Scale Eigenvalue Problems with Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi Methods (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 1998).
- [50] P. D'Amico and M. Rontani, Pairing of a few fermi atoms in one dimension, Phys. Rev. A 91, 043610 (2015).
- [51] T. Sowiński, M. Gajda, and K. Rzażewski, Pairing in a system of a few attractive fermions in a harmonic trap, Europhys. Lett. 109, 26005 (2015).
- [52] J. Hofmann, A. M. Lobos, and V. Galitski, Parity effect in a mesoscopic fermi gas, Phys. Rev. A 93, 061602(R) (2016).
- [53] P. Łydżba and T. Sowiński, Unconventional pairing in onedimensional systems of a few mass-imbalanced ultracold fermions, Phys. Rev. A 101, 033603 (2020).
- [54] L. Rammelmüller, J. E. Drut, and J. Braun, Pairing patterns in one-dimensional spin- and mass-imbalanced Fermi gases, Sci. Post. Phys. 9, 14 (2020).
- [55] E. Altman, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Probing many-body states of ultracold atoms via noise correlations, Phys. Rev. A 70, 013603 (2004).
- [56] L. Mathey, E. Altman, and A. Vishwanath, Noise Correlations in One-Dimensional Systems of Ultracold Fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 240401 (2008).
- [57] L. Mathey, A. Vishwanath, and E. Altman, Noise correlations in low-dimensional systems of ultracold atoms, Phys. Rev. A 79, 013609 (2009).
- [58] We recall that in our units the system size is equal to 2.
- [59] I. Zapata, B. Wunsch, N. T. Zinner, and E. Demler, π Phases in Balanced Fermionic Superfluids on Spin-Dependent Optical Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 095301 (2010).
- [60] J. Dobrzyniecki, G. Orso, and T. Sowiński, Data for "Unconventional pairing in few-fermion systems tuned by external confinement" [Data set], Zenodo (2021).