Experimental realization of multipartite entanglement via quantum Fisher information in a uniform antiferromagnetic quantum spin chain

George M[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8429-2079)thew,¹ Saulo L. L. Silva ,² Anil Jain,^{3,4} Ar[y](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6856-3921)a Mohan,¹ D. T. Adroja,^{5,6} V. G. Sakai,⁵ C. V. Tomy ,⁷

Alok Banerjee [®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3742-319X) ⁸ Rajenda[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2066-5646) Goreti ®, ⁹ Aswathi V. N., ¹ Ranjit Singh, ¹ and D. Jaiswal-Nagar ®^{1,*}

¹*School of Physics, IISER Thiruvananthapuram, Vithura, Kerala-695551, India*

²*Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica, CEFET-MG, Nepomuceno-37250000, Brazil*

³*Solid State Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400 085, India*

⁴*Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai 400094, India*

⁵*ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom*

⁶*Highly Correlated Matter Research Group, Physics Department, University of Johannesburg, PO Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa*

⁷*Department of Physics, IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India*

⁸*UGC-DAE CSR, Khandwa Road, Indore-452017, India*

⁹*School of Chemistry, IISER Thiruvananthapuram, Vithura, Kerala-695551, India*

(Received 31 August 2020; accepted 10 November 2020; published 7 December 2020)

Quantum entanglement is a quantum-mechanical phenomenon where the quantum state of a many-body system with many degrees of freedom cannot be described independently of the state of each body with a given degree of freedom, no matter how far apart in space each body is. Entanglement is not only considered a resource in quantum information but is also believed to affect complex condensed-matter systems. Detecting and quantifying multiparticle entanglement in a many-body system is thus of fundamental significance for both quantum information science and condensed-matter physics. Here, we detect and quantify multipartite entanglement in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain in a bulk solid. Multipartite entanglement was detected by using quantum Fisher information which was obtained using dynamic susceptibility measured via inelastic neutron scattering. The scaling behavior of quantum Fisher information was found to identify the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain to belong to a class of strongly entangled quantum phase transitions with divergent multipartite entanglement.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043329](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043329)

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum information processing (QIP), namely, the application of the laws of quantum mechanics for performing computations, is heralding the information revolution, wherein intense theoretical and experimental investigations are underway to build quantum computers that can outsmart classical computers by using algorithms that can do computations much faster than a corresponding classical computer [\[1,2\]](#page-8-0). In particular, entanglement [\[3–11\]](#page-8-0), exemplified as a superposition of two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ states, $(1/\sqrt{2})(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$, is used as a resource [\[1,2\]](#page-8-0) in the QIP task of quantum computation. However, it is also known that, if a given quantum system is not highly entangled, then it can be efficiently simulated on a classical computer itself [\[12,13\]](#page-8-0). So, multipartite entanglement between qubits is of paramount importance for the functioning of a real quantum computer. In fact, the fragile quantum

many quantum bits using quantum entanglement [\[14,15\]](#page-8-0). Engineering a quantum system to perform computations in a large Hilbert space but with low error rates is the ultimate aim of real quantum computing platforms that are being developed by companies like Google, IBM, Righetti, etc. [\[16,17\]](#page-8-0). This requires cooling down the quantum processing system to very low temperatures (a few mK) to protect the delicate quantum state from decoherence. Many measures to quantify bipartite entanglement, namely, entanglement between two subsystems of a given system, exist in the literature [\[3–11,18–](#page-8-0) [23\]](#page-8-0). Using such measures, bipartite entanglement has been reported in low-dimensional spin systems via specific heat and magnetization measurements [\[11,24–26\]](#page-8-0). However, multipartite entanglement, where a pure state of a many-body system is not separable in any bipartite splitting [\[7,8,27–](#page-8-0) [32\]](#page-8-0), is extremely difficult to obtain in a many-body system. Experimentally, many examples of multipartite entanglement generation in few-body systems have been reported, for example, multipartite entanglement in six or eight trapped ions [\[33\]](#page-8-0). The measurement of multipartite entanglement in such systems is done via protocols based on preparing many copies of the quantum system. So, measurement of multipartite entanglement in quantum many-body systems seems

states produced during the task of quantum computation are protected from error by distributing a quantum state among

^{*}deepshikha@iisertvm.ac.in

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

a virtually impossible task with an exponential scaling of resources.

A direct measurement of multipartite entanglement for many-body systems has been proposed by Hauke *et al.* [\[27\]](#page-8-0) in the form of quantum Fisher information (QFI) F_Q , a concept that originated in quantum metrology $[29,34]$, where F_O defines the maximum precision with which a phase could be estimated given a quantum state. By relating QFI to the Kubo response function, Hauke *et al.* proposed an experimental witness of multipartite entanglement in the form of QFI *FQ* [\[27–30\]](#page-8-0)—a witness of multipartite entanglement, via dynamic susceptibility [\[27\]](#page-8-0). To our knowledge, such a direct measurement of multipartite entanglement via QFI, has not yet been done on any many-body condensed-matter system. Multipartite entanglement is now believed to play an essential role in many complicated condensed-matter phenomenon such as high-temperature superconductivity [\[35\]](#page-8-0), the fractional quantum Hall effect [\[6\]](#page-8-0), and quantum phase transitions (QPTs), which are phase transitions that happen at absolute zero of temperature when a nonthermal control parameter like magnetic field is tuned to a critical value called as a quantum critical point (QCP) [\[5,18,19,36\]](#page-8-0).

Antiferromagnetic chains with global SU(2) invariance have rotational symmetry making them ideal candidates to test various features of multipartite entanglement [\[6,37\]](#page-8-0). So, our model spin system that is used to detect multipartite entanglement is a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain (AfHc) which is the seminal and one of the simplest quantum many-body systems [\[38–](#page-8-0)[52\]](#page-9-0) whose exact ground state is a macroscopic singlet entangling all spins in the chain [\[39,40](#page-8-0)[,42,45,47,50\]](#page-9-0). A spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is also inherently quantum critical at $T = 0$ K and zero applied magnetic field, and has a line of critical points with respect to an applied magnetic field [\[38](#page-8-0)[–51\]](#page-9-0). Even though the QPT's happen at absolute zero of temperature, the effect of the QCP is observed at low but finite temperatures. Vojta *et al.* [\[36\]](#page-8-0) argue that the limit of applicability of the $T = 0$ QCP is governed by the microscopic energy scale of the problem. Using a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ uniform AfHc, we detect and quantify multipartite entanglement in the chain through quantum Fisher information obtained using the dynamical spin susceptibility measured via inelastic neutron scattering. This is thus an experiment involving a many-body spin system to unambiguously demonstrate multipartite entanglement. We find that the multipartite entanglement not only exists at a very low temperature of 40 mK but also survives up to a very high temperature of 6.7 K (\approx 2.2*J*/ k_B), thus demonstrating the robustness of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic chain as a very efficient many-body system that can sustain itself against decoherence. Simultaneously, it is also demonstrated that the many-body system belongs to the class of strongly entangled phase transitions with divergent entanglement and is a multipartite entanglement, rather than exchange energy, that governs the temperatures to which the effect of $T = 0$ QCP is felt.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of $\left[\text{Cu}(\mu-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(4\text{-aminopyridine})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\right]_n$ were grown by a slow diffusion technique [\[53\]](#page-9-0). Single-crystal x-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a

Bruker Kappa APEX II CCD diffractometer by the ω scan technique using graphite monochromatized Mo K_{α} radiation $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$ at room temperature (293 K). A few single crystals of total mass 1.5 mg were aligned along the length of the needle for magnetization measurements. Magnetization measurements in the temperature range of 1.8 to 300 K were done on a vibrating sample magnetometer attached to Quantum Design's Physical Property Measurement System (Models 14 T PPMS-VSM and Evercool-II). Lower-temperature measurements in the range of 0.49–2 K were done on a Helium 3 insert attached to Quantum Design's SQUID magnetometer (Model iHelium3). The inelastic neutron-scattering data were collected with an indirect geometry IRIS time-of-flight spectrometer using a PG002 analyzer with an elastic resolution of 17.5μ eV at ISIS, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, United Kingdom Data at 40 and 500 mK temperatures were measured by using a dilution refrigerator while that at 3 K and 6.7 K measured using a He-4 Orange cryostat. Since the mosaic spread of the large number of single crystals aligned for INS measurement was greater than 20◦, they were crushed into powder form in order to enhance the signal to noise of the inelastic neutron-scattering experiment. The obtained powder was put within an annular copper can with 20 mm diameter with He-exchange gas and connected to the above-mentioned cryostats for data acquisition. The data at each temperature were collected for about a day. Prior to the measurement, the single crystals of $[Cu(\mu-C_2O_4)(4\text{-aminopyridine})_2(H_2O)]_n$ were deuterated by deuterating each of the reagents 4-aminopyridine and K_2 [Cu(ox)₂]2H₂0 resulting in deuterated 4-aminopyridine (D4-Apy) and K_2 [Cu(ox)₂]2D₂0 and then synthesizing the crystals using the slow diffusion technique described above.

III. RESULTS

A. The model system and substance

The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is modeled by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian describing nearest-neighbor interaction of localized quantum spins:

$$
H = J \sum_{i} S_i \cdot S_{i+1}, \qquad (1)
$$

where J is the exchange coupling constant and S_i is the spin operator of the site *i*.

The behavior of bipartite entanglement in a spin-1 ² AfHc has been investigated in quite a few studies [\[3–6,8,20,26,31,37\]](#page-8-0). For instance, Connor *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-8-0) calculated the pair-wise entanglement within a system of *N* particles arranged in a ring and found that each nearest-neighbor pair tries to maximize the singlet state $(1/\sqrt{2})(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$ simultaneously. However, due to monogamy of entanglement, none of the pair reaches the maximum value of 1 [\[3\]](#page-8-0). On the other hand, Wieśniak et al. [\[11\]](#page-8-0) demonstrated magnetic susceptibility to be a macroscopic witness of spin entanglement. For a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc, the entanglement criterion was found to be

$$
\overline{\chi}^{\text{expt}}(T) = \frac{\chi^x(T) + \chi^y(T) + \chi^z(T)}{3} < \frac{(g\mu_B)^2 NS}{3k_B T}, \quad (2)
$$

FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of $\left[\text{Cu}(\mu-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(4\text{-aminopyridine})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\right]_n$. Chains of Cu^{2+} ions (shown in blue) parallel to *c* axis formed by bridging Cu^{2+} ions with oxalate C_2O_4 molecules that coordinate to the Cu^{2+} ions only through two of the oxygen atoms of the C_2O_4 unit, leaving the other two free. (b) Crystal structure in the *a*-*c* plane showing a distorted square-pyramidal coordination of Cu^{2+} ion (shown by blue lines) formed by two oxygen atoms of the oxalate molecule and two nitrogen atoms of the pyridine ring. Panels (c) and (d) show schematic representations of the crystal structure, wherein spins carried out by the Cu^{2+} ions are shown as red arrows. The magnetic interaction happens in the chain direction (*c* axis) owing to the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin carried by each Cu²⁺ ion governed by the exchange coupling constant, J/k_B . Magnetic exchange in the other two directions, represented by J⊥, is very small due to the presence of water molecules in the *b* direction resulting in weak hydrogen bonds of the type O–H–··· –O formed by the O–H of the H₂O molecule and two free O atoms of the oxalate molecule [see panel (a)], and the presence of pyridine rings in the *a* direction resulting in a large separation of ≈16.6 Å between the Cu-carrying linear chain. (e) Three-dimensional spin representation on the linear Cu chain where the spins are shown to align antiferromagnetically and modulate on a surface according to Hamiltonian [\(1\)](#page-1-0). The entanglement between spins $\frac{1}{2}$ is represented by green colored contours with the nearest-neighbor entanglement shown by the darkest shade of green. Next-nearest and next-to-next-neighbor entanglement is shown by progressively lighter shades of green. Such interactions exist between all atoms and are sketched for a few atoms for brevity.

where $\overline{\chi}^{\text{expt}}(T)$ is the average of magnetic susceptibility measured along the three orthogonal directions, *g* is the Landé *g* factor, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, and *N* is the number of spin-*S* particles. Using Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0), a macroscopic witness of spin entanglement $MW_{SE}(T)$ is defined as [\[26\]](#page-8-0)

$$
MW_{SE}(T) = \frac{3k_B T \overline{\chi}^{\text{expt}}(T)}{(g\mu_B)^2 NS} - 1.
$$
 (3)

So, negative *EW* (*T*) values detect macroscopic spin entanglement in the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc chain.

The experimental realization of such a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is a blue colored Cu^{2+} containing coordination polymer $\left[\text{Cu}(\mu-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(4\text{-aminopyridine})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\right]_n$ (abbreviated to CuP henceforth) [\[53\]](#page-9-0), that crystallizes from an aqueous solution as chains of Cu^{2+} ions carrying spin $\frac{1}{2}$ in the *c* direction and interacting via an exchange coupling constant J/k_B and a much weaker exchange in the *a* and *b* directions [see Figs. $1(a)-1(d)$. At $T=0$, the ground state consists of spins $\frac{1}{2}$ entangling into a macroscopic singlet $S_{total} = \sum_{n} S_n = 0$ $[39,40,42,45,47,50]$ $[39,40,42,45,47,50]$, such that each spin entangles with every other spin in such a way that multipartite entanglement as measured by global entanglement is maximum at 1 [\[31\]](#page-8-0). The spin-spin correlation function decays algebraically, $\langle S_0^{\alpha} S_r^{\alpha} \rangle \propto$ $\frac{(-1)^r}{r}$, $\alpha = x, y, z$, indicating that the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is inherently quantum critical due to long-ranged quantum fluctuations [\[39,40](#page-8-0)[,42,45,47,50\]](#page-9-0) affecting the multipartite entanglement [\[5\]](#page-8-0). So, the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is, in essence, similar to Anderson's resonating valence bonds (RVB), wherein, the spin singlet state is approximated by all possible RVB combinations each with a specified weight [\[7,9\]](#page-8-0), the difference being that each which a specified weight $[\cdot,\cdot]$, the americine sense that each given bond "tries" to be in the singlet state $(1/\sqrt{2})(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow \uparrow \rangle$) but does not achieve it due to monogamy of entangle-ment [\[3,20\]](#page-8-0) unlike the RVB state where each bond is actually a singlet. RVB states have, in fact, been proposed to carry genuine multipartite entanglement over the entire lattice [\[32\]](#page-8-0). So, a RVB-like state in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc is expected to carry genuine multipartite entanglement. A snapshot of such multipartite entanglement is shown in Fig. $1(e)$, where the spin entanglement is schematically represented by green contours such that the strength of entanglement goes down as the distance from a given spin increases [\[39,](#page-8-0)[42\]](#page-9-0).

To check that $C_{12}H_{14}CuN_4O_5$ (CuP) is an excellent representation of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain

FIG. 2. (a) Black solid circles represent magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T) = M(T)/H$, measured at an applied field $\mu_0 H = 1$ T in the temperature range 0.49–300 K. Red solid curve is a fit to the Bonner-Fisher model. (b) Expanded view of the data in panel (a) to zoom on the low temperature peak at $T^{\text{max}} = 1.95 \text{ K} \pm 0.05 \text{ K}$, obtained as the temperature where $d\chi/dT = 0$. (c) Filled blue squares correspond to the temperature variation of entanglement witness $MW_{SE}(T)$. Black solid line is a guide to the eye. T_{SE} marks the temperature above which $MW_{SE}(T)$ becomes positive.

(AfHc), magnetization measurements were done on a few aligned crystals, as shown in Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ that show the clear presence of a low-temperature peak characteristic of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc. The fitting to the experimental data was done by using the expression $\chi(T) = C_0 + C_1 + \chi_{BF}(T)$, where C_0 is a small positive constant to account for a small $(0.4\% - 1\%)$ of uncoupled spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ impurities, and C_1 is the diamagnetic contribution from the closed atomic shells of CuP, estimated as -16.7×10^{-5} emu/mol by using tabulated values for Pas-cal's constants [\[54\]](#page-9-0). $\chi_{BF}(T)$ is the susceptibility given by the Bonner-Fisher model [\[38\]](#page-8-0). The fit yielded a value of the exchange coupling constant J/k_B as 3.1 K and a g value of 2.1. From a rigorous high-temperature series expansion calculation [\[47\]](#page-9-0), T^{\max} was obtained as $0.640851J/k_B$. Using the experimentally obtained value of $T^{\text{max}} = 1.95 \text{ K}$, a J/k_B of 3.05 K was obtained, in excellent agreement with that obtained from the Bonner-Fisher fit (3.1 K).

To witness macroscopic spin entanglement on CuP using the entanglement witness $MW_{SE}(T)$ given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0), it is necessary to ensure that the minimal Hamiltonian [Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)] having isotropic Heisenberg exchange interactions (without any anisotropy) is able to capture the significant physics of the system. Our inelastic neutron-scattering study shows no signature of long-range magnetic ordering down to 40 mK (see the next section). We also find no gap in the spin excitation spectrum, ruling out the possibility of any significant anisotropy. This implies that the value of anisotropy, if any, is less than the resolution of the instrument (\approx 17.5 μ eV), which is much smaller than the value of the nearest-neighbor interaction $J \approx 0.27$ meV). We observed a multispinon continuum in the excitation spectra, which confirms that our system is an excellent representation of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc.

Figure 2(c) shows macroscopic spin entanglement $MW_{SE}(T)$ obtained from the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility [Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$]. It can be seen that $MW_{SE}(T)$ attains negative values until T_{SE} implying that spin entanglement exists up to T_{SE} . However, positive $MW_{SE}(T)$ values above T_{SE} do not necessarily imply separable states and bipartite entanglement between non-neighboring sites or multipartite entanglement may occur between spins even at temperatures above T_{SE} [\[11,26\]](#page-8-0). So, "macroscopic witness of spin entanglement" using magnetic susceptibility has its limitations, in that it may underestimate the temperature up to which spin entanglement may be present in a system and a genuine measure for multiparticle entanglement is multipartite entanglement.

B. Inelastic neutron-scattering data and quantum Fisher information

It is known that multipartite entanglement, where entanglement exists between more than two particles [\[7,8,27–32\]](#page-8-0), offers many advantages in quantum tasks like noise metrology, quantum communication protocols, etc. [\[7,8,29,31,34\]](#page-8-0). However, we seek a direct detection of multipartite entanglement in a many-body condensed-matter system. So, in order to check if multipartite entanglement could contribute to positive $MW_{SE}(T)$ values above T_{SE} in Fig. 2(c), we decided to try to detect multipartite entanglement between the spins in the AfHc. In this regard, Hauke *et al.* [\[27\]](#page-8-0) proposed quantum Fisher information $[28-30]$ $F_Q(T)$ as a witness of multiparticle entanglement in systems with large degrees of freedom, using dynamic susceptibility as follows:

$$
F_Q(T) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \tanh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) \chi''(\omega, T), \tag{4}
$$

where $\chi''(\omega, T)$ is the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility, Q the wave-vector, and ω the frequency. Since quantum fluctuations affect multipartite entanglement, it is natural that a correlation between $F_O(T)$ and dynamical susceptibility—a quantity that measures fluctuations—exists [\[27\]](#page-8-0). To obtain $\chi''(\omega, T)$, we resorted to inelastic neutron scattering (INS)—a powerful technique that can provide direct information about the space and time Fourier transformation of the spin-spin correlation as a function of energy and momentum transfer vector mapping over the entire Brillouin zone [\[41,48,51,55\]](#page-9-0) via the measured cross section that yields the dynamical structure factor $S(Q, \omega)$. INS measurements were carried out at the time-of-flight (ToF) inverted-geometry crystal analyzer spectrometer IRIS at ISIS, UK, with fixed final energy of neutrons 1.845 meV. The instrument was operated with an energy resolution (FWHM) of 17.5 μ eV, which allowed us to determine the possible presence of agap. Figures $3(a)$ – $3(d)$ show INS spectrum of deuterated CuP powdered sample measured at 40 mK, 500 mK, 3 K, and 6.7 K, respectively. At 40 and 500 mK, the spectrum shows no sign of gap opening at the antiferromagnetic (AFM) zone center, $Q = 0.59 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, as expected for an ideal spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg spin chain system. A dispersive magnetic signal originating from AFM zone center at $Q = 0.59 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, is clearly visible. The INS magnetic signal survives up to \approx 1 meV, however, its spectral weight decreases with increasing energy transfer. Efforts to capture the observed feature in the INS spectra by using the powdered average dispersion from linear spin-wave theory by

FIG. 3. (a)–(d) False color representation of the inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectra $S(Q, E)$, measured on a powder of crushed deuterated CuP single crystals of $C_{12}D_{14}CuN_4O_5$ at (a) 40 mK, (b) 500 mK, (c) 3 K, and (d) 6.7 K. ToF intensity is shown on the vertical scale bar.

considering $J/k_B = 3.1$ K obtained from magnetic suscepti-bility measurements (see Fig. [2](#page-3-0) above) were not successful. The linear spin-wave theory gives a magnon bandwidth of 0.55 meV, however, we observed magnetic signal up to 1 meV. The observed features in the excitation spectrum indicate the presence of spinon-like quasiparticles, having two-spinon continuum, which could exist up to πJ , which is the upper bound of the two-spinon continuum (see Appendix), as expected for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ one-dimensional Heisenberg AFMs. The spinon spectrum calculated for the INS data collected at 40 mK is described in Appendix. So, our inelastic neutronscattering study shows no signature of long-range magnetic ordering down to 40 mK as well as no gap in the spin excitation spectrum ruling out the possibility of any significant anisotropy. This implies that the value of anisotropy, if any, is less than the resolution of the instrument (\approx 17.5 μ eV), which is much smaller than the value of nearest neighbor interaction $(J \approx 0.27 \text{ meV})$, confirming that our system is an excellent representation of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc.

It was shown [\[10,](#page-8-0)[56\]](#page-9-0) that the dynamics of a single spin chain can sustain the generation of two pairs of Bell states, so it is expected that the dynamics of many such spin chains in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc can together result in the generation of a large number of Bell states. Such a dynamics manifested in the lowlying excitations of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc, and is known as spinons, define the dynamical structure factor. The dynamics of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc recently calculated using conformal field theory and "stochastic series expansion" quantum Monte Carlo technique at low and intermediate temperatures in the form of dynamical structure factor [\[43,44,52\]](#page-9-0) is given as

$$
S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\hbar\omega/k_{\rm B}T}} \frac{A_{\rm Starykh}}{\pi T} 2^{2\Delta - 3/2} \sin(2\pi \Delta) \left(\ln \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\times \Gamma^2 (1 - 2\Delta) \text{Im} \left(\frac{\Gamma^2 (\Delta - i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T})}{\Gamma^2 (1 - \Delta - i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T})} \right), \qquad (5)
$$

FIG. 4. Black filled circles in panels (a)–(d) represent the energy $(E = \hbar \omega)$ variation of imaginary part of dynamic susceptibility $\chi''(\omega)$ obtained from measured INS spectra at temperatures of 40 mK, 500 mK, 3 K, and 6.7 K, respectively, after integration over momentum-transfer *Q* from 0.4 to 1.1 Å−¹ and elastic line subtraction (see Fig. S4 of Supplemental Materials). Error bars in panels (a) and (b) are smaller than the size of the symbols. Red solid line in each panel is a fit to theoretical dynamical susceptibility calculated using Eq. $(A5)$, having A_{Starykh} and T_0 as the fit parameters and employing the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The obtained best-temperature independent fit parameters are $A_{\text{Starykh}} =$ 0.000 65 and $T_0 = \pi J/8$. Blue solid curves in panels (a)–(d) represent tanh($\omega/2T$) $\chi''(\omega, T)$. The cyan shaded area under the blue solid curve is $F_Q(T) = (4/\pi) \int_0^\infty d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \chi''(\omega, T)$.

where $\Delta = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \frac{1}{2ln \frac{T_0}{T}})$ is a temperature-dependent scaling dimension, A_{Starykh} is a nonuniversal constant, T_0 is a highenergy cutoff, Im() is the imaginary part of the function inside the braces, and Γ is the gamma function. The dynamical structure factor is converted, in the usual way, to the imaginary part of dynamic susceptibility using the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [\[41,55\]](#page-9-0) (see Appendix). Since the calculations for the dynamical structure factor in Eq. $(A5)$ was done for low as well as intermediate temperatures, it is expected to work very well both at low temperatures $(T < J/k_B)$ as well as high temperatures $(T > J/k_B)$ [\[43,44,52\]](#page-9-0) as is exemplified by the excellent fits of the theoretical curves (shown as red) to the experimentally obtained dynamical structure factor in Fig. 4. A small bump visible at ≈ 0.45 meV in the 40 and 500 mK data may be arising due to the integration over the wave-vector Q from 0.4 to 1.1 Å⁻¹, involving the contribution from zone-boundary spinon as well as continuum from two spinon [\[41,51\]](#page-9-0) arising in a polycrystalline sample of ours. In contrast, in the crossover region ($T \approx J/k_B$), the fit of the theoretically obtained χ'' to the experimentally obtained data is not that good, as expected. Quantum Fisher information $F_O(T)$ is directly obtained from the area under the curve of $tanh(\omega/2T)\chi''(\omega, T)$, plotted as blue curves in Figs. $4(a)$ –4(d). This constitutes experimental proof of multipartite entanglement in a many-body system shown via quantum Fisher information.

IV. MULTIPARTITE ENTANGLEMENT AND QUANTUM CRITICALITY

Figure $5(a)$ presents the temperature variation of $F_O(T)$ obtained from Eqs. (4) and $(A5)$ and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (see Appendix). At $T = 0.04$ K, the AfHc is in a maximally entangled state. With an increase in the temperature, $F_O(T)$ starts to decrease due to the mixing of other states with the maximally entangled state decohering it [\[4,10\]](#page-8-0). At the highest measured temperature of 6.7 K, multipartite entanglement, F_O , is very small but still finite. It is expected to go to zero at an entanglement temperature T_E above, but close to 7 K, which is significantly higher than that obtained in a spin dimer system $Sr_{14}Cu_{24}O_{41}$ where T_E was found to be 2.1 K [\[25\]](#page-8-0). This is an extraordinary result in view of the expectation that condensed-matter systems having very large degrees of freedom would decohere easily due to interactions with the environment, inducing a quantum-to-classical transition [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Since the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc has highly symmetric states having $SU(2)$ global invariance $[9]$, it was expected that such states would exhibit a high degree of entanglement at high temperatures [\[6\]](#page-8-0). The existence of multipartite entanglement at very high temperatures of 6.7 K, then, confirms this expectation. Additionally, the temperature variation of $F_O(T)$ is seen to follow the scaling hypothesis $[27,30]$ of Eq. (6) very well, as shown by the red solid line in Fig. $5(a)$:

$$
F_Q \sim T^{-\Delta_Q/z},\tag{6}
$$

where Δ ^o is the scaling exponent of QFI and *z* is the dynamic critical exponent. Hauke *et al.* [\[27\]](#page-8-0) proposed that systems exhibiting the scaling behavior of Eq. (6) can identify a class of strongly entangled QPT, namely, those QPTs that have a divergent QFI. These are those transitions that have $\Delta_0 > 0$.

Since Δ ^Q was found to have a value of 0.55, a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc belongs to the class of strongly entangled phase transitions with divergent multipartite entanglement.

In Refs. [\[5,18](#page-8-0)[,57\]](#page-9-0), the authors argued that QPTs are genuinely quantum mechanical, in that, the property responsible for long-range correlations is entanglement, such that the system is strongly entangled at the critical point. It is clear from the above discussions that quantum Fisher information can be used as a tool to identify strongly entangled quantum phase transitions. Such an identification has been done in the schematic representation of Fig. $5(b)$ where multipartite entanglement is shown to govern the quantum critical region that extends to finite temperatures from $T = 0$. At $T = 0$ and $H = 0$, F_O has a very large value that decreases as one moves to finite temperatures [cf. Fig. 5(a)]. It is known that a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc exhibits a line of continuously tuned quantum critical points in the *H*-*T* plane by the application of a magnetic field that ends at the critical endpoint H_c [\[39](#page-8-0)[,42,46,48,49\]](#page-9-0). So, the multipartite entanglement is expected to decrease with an increase in field, due to a decrease in the spin-spin correlations with field application [\[23,39](#page-8-0)[,42,46\]](#page-9-0). Similar to the reduction of F_Q at finite temperatures for the $H = 0$ case, F_Q is also expected to decrease at finite temperatures at finite fields as well. Finally, Vojta *et al.* [\[36\]](#page-8-0) proposed that the temperature at which universal behavior associated with a critical point ceases to happen is governed by the characteristic energy scale of the problem, which is the exchange coupling constant in our case. It should be noted that, in our spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc, multipartite entanglement governing quantum criticality exists at a temperature of 6.7 K which is more than double the exchange coupling constant $(J/k_B = 3.1 \text{ K})$ of our system. So, entanglement temperature T_E , rather than exchange coupling, marks the end of quantum criticality in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc.

FIG. 5. (a) Black filled circles denote the temperature variation of quantum Fisher information $F_O(T)$, calculated as described in the main text. Red solid curve is a fit according to Eq. (6), where Δ_0 , a fit parameter, was obtained as 0.55 and the dynamic critical exponent *z* governing the magnetic field regime away from the critical endpoint H_c was taken as 1 [\[40,](#page-8-0)[45\]](#page-9-0). (b) Schematic phase diagram of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain that has a line of quantum critical points at $T = 0$ (shown as a thick red line), where the horizontal axis denotes an applied magnetic field, *H*, and the vertical axis is the temperature *T*. For a one-dimensional spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet, the quantum critical state at $H = 0$ is that of an antiferromagnet that is continuously tuned with the application of a magnetic field and ends in a fully polarized state at a critical endpoint H_c [\[39,](#page-8-0)[42,46,48,49\]](#page-9-0). Due to the existence of a line of critical points at $T = 0$, a quantum critical region analogous to that of a cone for a single critical point fans out to finite temperatures. Color plots represent variations of quantum Fisher information *FQ* inside the quantum critical region with blue representing minimum values and yellow denoting maximum values. Since the antiferromagnetic correlations decrease with an increase with magnetic field [\[39](#page-8-0)[,42,46\]](#page-9-0), a decrease of entanglement is expected for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc with magnetic field [\[23\]](#page-8-0). J/k_B has also been marked to show that F_Q is small but still finite at J/k_B . T_E marks the entanglement temperature at which temperature quantum Fisher information goes to zero and above which the system behaves classically.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

It has been shown that a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain, the seminal model of a quantum many-body system, can detect multipartite entanglement via quantum Fisher information. Multipartite entanglement was detected using inelastic neutron scattering via dynamic susceptibility, which constitutes an experimental report of quantum Fisher information in a quantum many-body system. The multipartite entanglement was detected not only at the lowest measured temperature of 40 mK but was also found to survive to a very high temperature of 6.7 K, much higher than the exchange energy of this system. The obtained quantum Fisher information was also found to follow the scaling behavior of systems corresponding to strongly entangled quantum phase transition. Since the AfHc has a high entanglement temperature, it is expected that the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain will have a high entanglement teleportation fidelity at high temperatures $[1,10]$, to enable our model spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain to be used as a bus to transmit quantum information at relatively high temperatures enhancing its technological scope manifolds.

This study has revealed the synergy between quantum information science and condensed-matter physics, wherein the results of one area has been used to analyze and understand the details of the other and vice versa. The complex ground-state properties of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ uniform Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain that contains all kinds of correlations were found to be of paramount importance in detecting and quantifying multipartite entanglement in it with possibilities of the antiferromagnet being used as a bus in a quantum computer which is a many-body system. It is hoped that similar studies will lead to deeper understanding of not only complicated condensedmatter systems like high-temperature superconductivity [\[35\]](#page-8-0) but also areas of quantum information like quantum metrology [\[29,34\]](#page-8-0).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank G. Baskaran, Sreedhar Dutta, Naveen Surendran, S. Ramakrishnan, S. M. de Souza, and M. Rojas for critically examining the paper and giving very valuable feedback. The authors thank Winfried Kockelmann for his help in performing neutron-diffraction experiments on the GEM instrument to check if the crystals were deuterated properly and David Voneshen for his help in checking the quality of the crystals on the ALF instrument. G.M. and D.J.-N. acknowledge Newton funding travel support for visiting ISIS Rutherford Appleton Laboratory for the inelastic neutron-scattering experiments.

APPENDIX: DEUTERATION OF SINGLE CRYSTALS, SPINON SPECTRUM EXTRACTION, AND FLUCTUATION-DISSIPATION THEOREM

1. Deuteration of single crystals

It is a very-well-known fact that hydrogen has a very high incoherent-scattering cross section with neutrons [\[58,59\]](#page-9-0), leading to high background and bad signal-to-noise ratios in inelastic neutron-scattering experiments. To avoid this, crystals of $\left[\text{Cu}(\mu-\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(4\text{-aminopyridine})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})\right]_n$, molecular formula $C_{12}H_{14}CuN_4O_5$ and molecular weight 357.8118 mol/g, were deuterated to $[Cu(\mu-C_2O_4)(D4- \text{aminopyridine})_2]$ (D_2O)]_n, molecular formula $C_{12}D_{14}CuN_4O_5$ and molecular weight 371.9238 mol/g, by first deuterating the starting reagents, namely, aminopyridine, to deuterated 4 aminopyridine and K_2 [Cu(ox)₂]2H₂O to K_2 [Cu(ox)₂]2D₂O. Details will be published elsewhere [\[60\]](#page-9-0). To confirm that the deuteration took place, we did liquid chromatography highresolution mass spectroscopy (LC-HRMS) on a single crystal of $C_{12}D_{14}CuN_4O_5$ as shown in Fig. 6(a). The main peak at 371.3155 corresponding to a fragment with $m/z = 371.3155$ can be clearly seen in the LC-HRMS spectra, indicating that H/D exchange at the H site of $C_{12}H_{14}CuN_4O_5$ has taken place.

FIG. 6. (a) High-resolution mass spectra obtained on a single crystal of $C_{12}D_{14}CuN_4O_5$, taken in the range of 150 to 2000 m/z using Thermo Fischer Scientific's Q Exactive TM Bench top LC-HRMS spectrometer. (b) Red curves correspond to neutron-diffraction data measured on many co-aligned crystals totaling a mass of 0.5 g on the GEM time-of-flight neutron diffractometer at ISIS, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, United Kingdom The spectra shown are representative spectra measured on bank 3. Similar spectra were obtained from banks 1 and 2.

FIG. 7. Single-crystal-like data, $S_{\rm cr}^{(1D)}(Q_{1D}, E)$, showing the spinon spectrum of our spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc, obtained by applying the conversion method using Eqs. $(A1)$ and $(A2)$ to the INS data at 40 mK. Red and black solid lines represent the lower and upper bounds of the spinon continuum. Colors indicate the neutron-scattering cross section, $S(Q, E)$.

To further confirm that the crystals were deuterated, they were subjected to neutron diffraction. The obtained neutrondiffraction spectra are shown in Fig. $6(b)$ where the peaks are indexed according to the crystal structure obtained for $C_{12}H_{14}CuN_4O_5$ since single-crystal x-ray diffraction cannot differentiate between H and D atoms. However, the flat background obtained in the neutron-diffraction data of Fig. [6\(b\)](#page-6-0) is a clear indication that H/D exchange has taken place since H atoms scatter neutrons incoherently, resulting in background scattering and, consequently, an extremely noisy background [\[58,59\]](#page-9-0).

2. Spinon spectrum

To get information about the spin dispersion of our system, the polycrystalline INS data presented in Fig. $3(a)$ were converted to a single-crystal-like data, as shown in Fig. 7, by using the conversion method suggested by Tomiyasu *et al.* [\[61,62\]](#page-9-0), applicable for one-dimensional systems. According to this method, the powder average scattering function $S_{\text{pwd}}(Q, E)$ (where $Q = |Q|, E$) is related to the single crystal scattering function $S_{sx}(\mathbf{Q}, E)$ as

$$
S_{\text{pwd}}(Q, E) = \int_{\mathbf{Q} = Q} d\Omega S_{\text{xx}}(\mathbf{Q}, E) / (4\pi), \quad (A1)
$$

where Ω is the solid angle over which the integral is done. For one dimension, $S_{sx}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{E})$ reduces to $S_{sx}^{(1D)}(\mathbf{Q}_{1D}, \mathbf{E})$, where

$$
S_{sx}^{(1D)}(Q_{1D}, E) = \left[\partial Q S_{\text{pwd}}(Q, E) / \partial Q\right]_{Q = Q_{1D}}.\tag{A2}
$$

Using the extraction method, the obtained excitation spectrum *Q*-*E* for deuterated CuP is displayed in Fig. 7. It is to be noted that, in an inelastic neutron-scattering experiment, only a pair of spinons can be observed because of the selection rule associated with a change in neutron spin by 1 in magnetic INS. Our experimental data clearly show a continuum between

FIG. 8. Raw inelastic neutron-scattering data measured at ISIS, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, United Kingdom. Blue, wine, orange and olive colored symbols denote the data points measured at temperatures of 40 mK, 500 mK, 3 K, and 6.7 K, respectively. Red solid curve is the resolution and background contribution obtained using the FWHM of a standard vanadium sample.

the lower and upper spinon two-spinon boundaries given by equations $(A3)$ and $(A4)$, respectively:

$$
E_l(Q_{1D}) = \frac{\pi J}{2} |\sin(Q_{1D}c)|,
$$
 (A3)

$$
E_u(Q_{1D}) = \pi J \left| \sin \left(\frac{Q_{1D}c}{2} \right) \right|, \tag{A4}
$$

where *c* is the lattice parameter along the chain direction. The value of the exchange coupling constant J/k_B was obtained from magnetic susceptibility measurements (see Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). So, it is very satisfying to observe that the spinon boundary obtained using the exchange coupling constant from magnetization measurements fit our INS data very well.

To capture the essential feature of the spinon spectrum, especially intensity modulation and gap, the INS data (40 mK, 500 mK, 3 K, and 6.7 K) have been integrated over momentum transfer Q from 0.4 to 1.1 Å⁻¹ and plotted in Fig. 8 as a function of energy. The elastic line background, shown as solid red line in Fig. 8, was subtracted to obtain $\chi''(\omega, T)$.

3. Fluctuation-dissipation theorem

The dynamical structure factor, $S(Q, \omega)$, for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ AfHc was obtained as [\[43,44,52\]](#page-9-0)

$$
S(Q,\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\hbar\omega/k_BT}} \frac{A_{\text{Starykh}}}{\pi T} 2^{2\Delta - 3/2} \sin(2\pi \Delta) \left(\ln \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\times \Gamma^2 (1 - 2\Delta) \text{Im} \left(\frac{\Gamma^2 (\Delta - i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T})}{\Gamma^2 (1 - \Delta - i \frac{\omega}{4\pi T})} \right). \quad (A5)
$$

To convert $S(Q, \omega)$ to imaginary part of dynamic susceptibility, $\chi''(Q, \omega)$, we used the fluctuation dissipation theorem [\[41,55\]](#page-9-0):

$$
S(Q, \omega) = (1 - e^{-\frac{\hbar \omega}{k_{\rm B}T}})^{-1} \chi''(Q, \omega).
$$
 (A6)

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [2] S. Bose, Quantum Communication Through an Unmodulated Spin Chain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 207901 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.207901)
- [3] [K. M. O'Connor and W. K. Wootters, Entangled rings,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.63.052302) *Phys.* Rev. A **63**, 052302 (2001).
- [4] M. C. Arnesen, S. Bose, and V. Vedral, Natural Thermal and [Magnetic Entanglement in the 1D Heisenberg Model,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.017901) Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 017901 (2001).
- [5] S.-J. Gu, H. Q. Lin, and Y.-Q. Li, Entanglement, quantum phase [transition, and scaling in the](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.042330) *XXZ* chain, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042330 (2003).
- [6] [V. Vedral, High-temperature macroscopic entanglement,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/6/1/102) New J. Phys. **6**, 102 (2004).
- [7] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Entanglement in many-body systems, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.517) **80**, 517 (2008).
- [8] [O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Entanglement detection,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2009.02.004) Phys. Rep. **474**, 1 (2009).
- [9] L. C. Venuti, C. D. E. Boschi, and M. Roncaglia, Long-Distance [Entanglement in Spin Systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.247206) Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 247206 (2006).
- [10] X. Wang and P. Zanardi, Quantum entanglement and Bell in[equalities in Heisenberg spin chains,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9601(02)00885-X) Phys. Lett. A **301**, 1 (2002).
- [11] M. Wieśniak, V. Vedral, and C. Brukner, Magnetic susceptibil[ity as a macroscopic entanglement witness,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/258) New J. Phys. **7**, 258 (2005).
- [12] G. Vidal, Efficient Classical Simulation of Slightly Entangled Quantum Computations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 147902 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.147902)
- [13] F. Verstraete, V. Murg, and J. I. Cirac, Matrix product states, projected entangled pair states, and variational renormalization [group methods for quantum spin systems,](https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940801912366) Adv. Phys. **57**, 143 (2008).
- [14] R. Barends, J. Kelly, A. Megrant, A. Veitia, D. Sank, E. Jeffrey, T. C. White, J. Mutus, A. G. Fowler, B. Campbell, Y. Chen, Z. Chen, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, C. Neill, P. O'Malley, P. Roushan, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, A. N. Korotkov *et al.*, Superconducting quantum circuits at the surface code threshold for fault tolerance, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13171) **508**, 500 (2014).
- [15] J. Kelly, R. Barends, A. G. Fowler, A. Megrant, E. Jeffrey, T. C. White, D. Sank, J. Y. Mutus, B. Campbell, Y. Chen, Z. Chen, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, I.-C. Hoi, C. Neill, P. J. J. O'Malley, C. Quintana, P. Roushan, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner *et al.*, State preservation by repetitive error detection in a superconducting quantum circuit, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14270) **519**, 66 (2015).
- [16] J. M. Gambetta, J. M. Chow, and M. Steffen, Building logical [qubits in a superconducting quantum computing system,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-016-0004-0) npj Quantum Inf. **3**, 2 (2017).
- [17] F. Arute, K. Arya, R. Babbush *et al.*, Quantum supremacy using [a programmable superconducting processor,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5) Nature (London) **574**, 505 (2019).
- [18] T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Entanglement in a simple quantum phase transition, Phys. Rev. A **66**[, 032110 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.032110)
- [19] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Entanglement [in Quantum Critical Phenomena,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.227902) Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 227902 (2003).
- [20] W. K. Wootters, Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.2245) **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [21] V. Vedral, M. B. Plenio, M. A. Rippin, and P. L. Knight, Quantifying Entanglement, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2275) **78**, 2275 (1997).
- [22] V. Vedral, The role of relative entropy in quantum information theory, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.74.197) **74**, 197 (2002).
- [23] T. Roscilde, P. Verrucchi, A. Fubini, S. Haas, and V. Tognetti, Studying Quantum Spin Systems through Entanglement Estimators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**[, 167203 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.167203)
- [24] C. Brukner, V. Vedral, and A. Zeilinger, Crucial role of quantum [entanglement in bulk properties of solids,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.012110) Phys. Rev. A **73**, 012110 (2006).
- [25] S. Sahling, G. Remenyi, C. Paulsen, P. Monceau, V. Saligrama, C. Marin, A. Revcolevschi, L. P. Regnault, S. Raymond, and J. E. Lorenzo, Experimental realization of long-distance entanglement between spins in antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains, Nat. Phys. **11**[, 255 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3186)
- [26] A. M. Souza, M. S. Reis, D. O. Soares-Pinto, I. S. Oliveira, and R. S. Sarthour, Experimental determination of thermal entanglement in spin clusters using magnetic susceptibility measurements, Phys. Rev. B **77**[, 104402 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.104402)
- [27] P. Hauke, M. Heyl, L. Tagliacozzo, and P. Zoller, Measuring multipartite entanglement through dynamic susceptibilities, Nat. Phys. **12**[, 778 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3700)
- [28] P. Hyllus, W. Laskowski, R. Krischek, C. Schwemmer, W. Wieczorek, H. Weinfurter, L. Pezzé, and A. Smerzi, Fisher [information and multiparticle entanglement,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.022321) Phys. Rev. A **85**, 022321 (2012).
- [29] G. Tóth, Multipartite entanglement and high precision metrology, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 022322 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.022322)
- [30] M. Gabbrielli, A. Smerzi, and L. Pezzè, Multipartite entanglement at finite temperature, Sci. Rep. **8**[, 15663 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31761-3)
- [31] D. A. Meyer and N. R. Wallach, Global entanglement in multiparticle systems, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1497700) **43**, 4273 (2002).
- [32] A. Chandran, D. Kaszlikowski, A. Sen(De), U. Sen, and V. Vedral, Regional Versus Global Entanglement in Resonating-Valence-Bond States, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 170502 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.170502)
- [33] H. Häffner, W. Hänsel, C. F. Roos, J. Benhelm, D. Chek-al-kar, M. Chwalla, T. Körber, U. D. Rapol, M. Riebe, P. O. Schmidt, C. Becher, O. Gühne, W. Dür, and R. Blatt, Scalable multi[particle entanglement of trapped ions,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04279) Nature (London) **438**, 643 (2005).
- [34] B. M. Escher, R. L. de Matos Filho, and L. Davidovich, General framework for estimating the ultimate precision limit in noisy quantum-enhanced metrology, Nat. Phys. **7**[, 406 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1958)
- [35] D. van der Marel, H. J. A. Molegraaf, J. Zaanen, Z. Nussinov, F. Carbone, A. Damascelli, H. Eisaki, M. Greven, P. H. Kes, and M. Li, Quantum critical behavior in a high- T_c superconductor, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01978) **425**, 271 (2003).
- [36] [M. Vojta, Quantum phase transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/66/12/R01) Rep. Prog. Phys. **66**, 2069 (2003).
- [37] L. C. Venuti, C. D. E. Boschi, and M. Roncaglia, Oubit Teleportation and Transfer across Antiferromagnetic Spin Chains, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 060401 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.060401)
- [38] J. C. Bonner and M. E. Fisher, Linear magnetic chains with anisotropic coupling, Phys. Rev. **135**[, A640 \(1964\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.135.A640)
- [39] N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, and V. E. Korepin, Critical exponents for integrable models, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90579-1) **275**, 687 (1986).
- [40] S. Eggert, I. Affleck, and M. Takahashi, Susceptibility of the Spin 1/[2 Heisenberg Antiferromagnetic Chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.332) Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 332 (1994).
- [41] D. A. Tennant, R. A. Cowley, S. E. Nagler, and A. M. Tsvelik, Measurement of the spin-excitation continuum in onedimensional KCuF3 [using neutron scattering,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.13368) Phys. Rev. B **52**, 13368 (1995).
- [42] A. Fledderjohann, C. Gerhardt, K. H. Mütter, A. Schmitt, and M. Karbach, Critical properties of the one-dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model in the presence of a uniform field, Phys. Rev. B **54**[, 7168 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.7168)
- [43] O. A. Starykh, A. W. Sandvik, and R. R. P. Singh, Dynamics of the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain at intermediate temperatures, Phys. Rev. B **55**[, 14953 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.14953)
- [44] O. A. Starykh, R. R. P. Singh, and A. W. Sandvik, Quantum Critical Scaling and Temperature-Dependent Logarithmic Cor[rections in the Spin-Half Heisenberg Chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.539) Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 539 (1997).
- [45] A. Klümper, The spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain: Thermodynamics, [quantum criticality and spin-Peierls exponents,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100510050491) Eur. Phys. J. B **5**, 677 (1998).
- [46] P. R. Hammar, M. B. Stone, D. H. Reich, C. Broholm, P. J. Gibson, M. M. Turnbull, C. P. Landee, and M. Oshikawa, Characterization of a quasi-one-dimensional spin-1/2 magnet which is gapless and paramagnetic for $g\mu_B H \leq J$ and $k_B T \ll J$, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1008 (1999).
- [47] D. C. Johnston, R. K. Kremer, M. Troyer, X. Wang, A. Klümper, S. L. Bud'ko, A. F. Panchula, and P. C. Canfield, Thermodynamics of spin $S = 1/2$ antiferromagnetic uniform [and alternating-exchange Heisenberg chains,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.9558) Phys. Rev. B **61**, 9558 (2000).
- [48] B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, C. D. Frost, and S. E. Nagler, Quantum criticality and universal scaling of a quantum antiferromagnet, Nat. Mater. **4**[, 329 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1327)
- [49] B. Wolf, Y. Tsui, D. Jaiswal-Nagar, U. Tutsch, A. Honecker, K. Removic-Langer, G. Hofmann, A. Prokofiev, W. Assmus, ´ G. Donath, and M. Lang, Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic [cooling near a field-induced quantum-critical point,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017047108) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **108**, 6862 (2011).
- [50] M. Mourigal, M. Enderle, A. Klöpperpieper, J.-S. Caux, A. Stunault, and H. M. Rønnow, Fractional spinon excitations in [the quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2652) Nat. Phys. **9**, 435 (2013).
- [51] B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, J.-S. Caux, T. Barthel, U. Schollwöck, S. E. Nagler, and C. D. Frost, Multispinon Continua at Zero

[and Finite Temperature in a Near-Ideal Heisenberg Chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.137205) Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 137205 (2013).

- [52] O. A. Starykh, A. W. Sandvik, and R. R. P. Singh, Finite temperature dynamics of the spin-half Heisenberg chain, [Phys. B \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4526(97)00645-5) **241-243**, 563 (1998).
- [53] O. Castillo, A. Luque, J. Sertucha, P. Roman, and F. Lloret, Synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic properties of a one-dimensional polymeric copper(II) complex containing an [unusual 1, 1'-bicoordinated oxalato bridge,](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic000543) Inorg. Chem. (Washington, DC, U. S.) **39**, 6142 (2000).
- [54] A. Abraham, B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970).
- [55] G. L. Squires, *Introduction to the Theory of Thermal Neutron Scattering* (Dover, New York, 1996).
- [56] T. J. G. Apollaro, G. M. A. Almeida, S. Lorenzo, A. Ferraro, [and S. Paganelli, Spin chains for two-qubit teleportation,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.052308) Phys. Rev. A **100**, 052308 (2019).
- [57] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, Scaling of entan[glement close to a quantum phase transition,](https://doi.org/10.1038/416608a) Nature (London) **416**, 608 (2002).
- [58] A. J. Ramirez-Cuesta, M. O. Jones, and W. I. F. David, Neutron [scattering and hydrogen storage,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(09)70299-8) Mater. Today (Oxford, U. K.) **12**, 54 (2009).
- [59] F. Shu, V. Ramakrishnan, and B. P. Schoenborn, Enhanced visibility of hydrogen atoms by neutron crystallography on fully deuterated myoglobin, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.060024697) **97**, 3872 (2000).
- [60] G. Mathew, V. N. Aswathi, R. Goreti, and D. Jaiswal-Nagar, Protium-Deuterium exchange of $[Cu(C_2O_4)(4-aminopyridine)₂(H_2O)]_n$ using neutral D₂O with Pd/C and its spectroscopic and magnetic properties (unpublished).
- [61] K. Tomiyasu, M. Fujita, A. I. Kolesnikov, R. I. Bewley, M. J. Bull, and S. M. Bennington, Conversion method of powder in[elastic scattering data for one-dimensional systems,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3089566) Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 092502 (2009).
- [62] J. C. Leiner, J. Oh, A. I. Kolesnikov, M. B. Stone, M. D. Le, E. P. Kenny, B. J. Powell, M. Mourigal, E. E. Gordon, M.-H. Whangbo, J.-W. Kim, S.-W. Cheong, and J.-G. Park, Magnetic excitations of the Cu²⁺ quantum spin chain in $Sr₃CuPtO₆$, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 104426 (2018).