Two-body collisions in the time-of-flight dynamics of lattice Bose superfluids

Antoine Tenart, Céci[l](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8784-3865)e Carcy, Hugo Cayla, Thomas Bourdel^o, Marco Mancini, and David Clémen[t](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1451-0610)^{o*}

Laboratoire Charles Fabry, Institut d'Optique Graduate School, CNRS, Université Paris Saclay, 2 Avenue Augustin Fresnel, 91127 Palaiseau Cedex, France

> \bigcirc (Received 30 September 2019; published 7 January 2020)

We investigate two-body collisions occurring during the time-of-flight expansion of interacting threedimensional lattice Bose superfluids. The number of collisions is extracted from the observed *s*-wave scattering halos located between the diffraction peaks of the superfluids. These faint halos can be monitored owing to the large dynamical range in densities associated with detecting individual metastable helium atoms. We monitor the number of collisions as a function of the total atom number and of the amplitude of the lattice, in a regime where the number of trapped atoms per lattice site is large. In addition, we introduce a classical model of collisions that quantitatively describes the experiment without adjustable parameters. Finally, the present work validates quantitatively the assumption of a ballistic expansion when investigating the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian with a unity occupation of the lattice.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013017)

The coherence properties of an ensemble of particles can be obtained from studying their momentum distribution [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Quantum gases offer the possibility to measure these momentum distributions in time-of-flight (TOF) experiments [\[2\]](#page-4-0). When considering a single particle, the idea behind this approach is simple: After a sufficiently long ballistic expansion the position of the particle reflects its initial momentum. But when considering an ensemble of interacting atoms, the expansion may be affected by interactions and the ballistic relation questioned. As TOF experiments are extensively used to investigate the momentum space, a quantitative study of the fidelity with which the in-trap momentum distribution can be obtained is of primary importance.

The role of interaction in TOF experiments has been widely investigated within mean-field approximations. When the trapping frequency along one axis exceeds the meanfield chemical potential, the in-trap momentum distribution is retrieved after a sufficiently long expansion. This includes highly anisotropic traps used to emulate low-dimensional gases [\[3\]](#page-4-0) as well as optical lattices [\[4,5\]](#page-4-0) but it is not valid for a three-dimensional (3D) harmonically trapped Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [\[6,](#page-4-0)[7\]](#page-5-0). Beyond the mean-field level, two-body collisions must be accounted for, but quantitative investigations have been much more elusive [\[8,9\]](#page-5-0). Here, we directly measure the number of two-body collisions in the TOF expansion of lattice bosons.

In the ultracold regime, *s*-wave elastic collisions between two bosons result in the presence of spherical scattering halos defined by momentum and energy conservation. These scattering halos were observed in the collision of Bose-Einstein condensates [\[10–14\]](#page-5-0) and are now used as a source of correlated momentum pairs [\[15,16\]](#page-5-0). As expected in a classical picture, these experiments show that the number of collisions increases with the atomic density and with the relative velocity of the colliding particles [\[11\]](#page-5-0). The TOF distributions of lattice superfluids contain dense components, the diffraction peaks, moving with large relative velocities set by the lattice recoil and two-body collisions are thus expected to take place [see Fig. $1(a)$]. Scattering halos were indeed observed in the expansion from 1D and 2D optical lattices with elongated geometries enhancing the number of collisions [\[8,17\]](#page-5-0). To our knowledge a similar observation was not reported in 3D optical lattices with a spherical symmetry and two-body collisions were assumed negligible in landmark experiments [\[18–21\]](#page-5-0).

In this article, we observe the *s*-wave scattering halos present in the TOF distribution of interacting bosons released from a 3D optical lattice and we quantify the number of two-body collisions. For the scattering halos to be visible, we perform experiments with a large lattice filling and we take advantage of the large dynamical range associated with the detection of metastable helium-4 atoms [\[22\]](#page-5-0). We monitor the number of collisions as a function of the atom number and as a function of the amplitude of the lattice. In addition, we introduce a classical model of collisions that quantitatively reproduces the measured values without any adjustable parameters, in the regime where the mean-field interaction is negligible in the TOF dynamics. From extrapolating the results of the model to the regime of unity occupation of the lattice, we establish quantitatively the accuracy with which TOF experiments yield the momentum distribution of lattice bosons.

In the experiment, we produce Bose-Einstein condensates of metastable helium-4 (4 He^{*}) atoms [\[23\]](#page-5-0) and load them into a 3D optical lattice [\[22\]](#page-5-0). The lattice spacing is $a = \lambda/2$ = 775 nm, where λ is the lattice wavelength and its amplitude is denoted $V = sE_R$, where $E_R = \hbar^2 k_a^2 / 8m$ is the recoil energy and $k_a = 2\pi/a$. We probe the gas with the He^{*} detector after

^{*}Corresponding author: david.clement@institutoptique.fr

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the experiment along one of the spatial axis (the other two spatial directions are not shown). A Bose-Einstein condensate, initially trapped in an optical lattice, expands in free space after switching off the lattice beams. During the time of flight, various copies of the BEC form as a consequence of the diffraction on the in-trap lattice. Elastic two-body collisions between two copies manifest themselves by the presence of spherical halos of scattered atoms. (b) Three-dimensional atom distributions of lattice superfluids measured with the single-atom-resolved He[∗] detector after a 298-ms time of flight. The scattering halos associated with the presence of two-body collisions are clearly visible.

a TOF $t_{\text{TOF}} = 298 \text{ ms}$ from which we reconstruct the 3D position \vec{R} of individual atoms [\[24\]](#page-5-0), in the frame of the center of mass of the gas. Recently, we have shown that our apparatus is ideally suited to probe lattice superfluids in the far-field regime, yielding asymptotic momentum densities $n_{\infty}(k) =$ $(\hbar t_{\text{TOF}}/m)^3 \times n_{\text{TOF}}(\vec{R}, t_{\text{TOF}})$ over several decades [\[22\]](#page-5-0), with $\hbar k = mR/t_{\text{TOF}}$ and $n_{\text{TOF}}(R, t_{\text{TOF}})$ the density after a time of flight t_{TOF} . In the present work, we are looking for deviations to the ballistic approximation, in particular, for the presence of two-body collisions, with the consequence that $n_{\infty}(\vec{k}) \neq n(\vec{k}),$ where $n(k)$ is the in-trap momentum density. To maximize the number of two-body collisions, we load the 3D lattice with a large filling factor, comprised between three and seven atoms per lattice site at the center of the trap. This situation is drastically different from that of our previous works [\[22,25\]](#page-5-0) where we investigated unity-filled lattices. It is obtained by loading BECs with up to $N_{\text{bec}} \sim 6 \times 10^5$ atoms in the 3D lattice. In addition, we use lattice amplitudes larger than $s\gtrsim 4$ to ensure that the short-time expansion is ballistic, i.e., that the zero-point energy of a lattice site largely exceeds the chemical potential of the gas.

An example of a 3D single-atom-resolved distribution is shown in Fig. $1(b)$. We clearly distinguish the presence of spherical scattering halos between the diffraction peaks at $|k| = k_a$ and the central peak $|k| = 0$. These halos are an unambiguous signature of the presence of elastic two-body collisions. The atomic density of the halos is much smaller than that of the diffraction peaks (by a factor $\sim 10^{-7}$) because the fraction of atoms which collide is small and because the volume over which these scattered atoms distribute is much larger than that of a BEC peak, by a factor $(L/a)^2 \gg 1$, where $L \gtrsim 30a$ is the Thomas-Fermi radius of the trapped gas [\[26\]](#page-5-0). This implies that revealing the scattering halos requires a large dynamic range in density, as that provided by the He[∗] detector [\[24,27\]](#page-5-0).

We now introduce a model to estimate the number of two-body collisions taking place during the TOF expansion of a lattice superfluid. To this aim, we elaborate a classical model inspired by the one introduced in the context of two colliding BECs [\[28–30\]](#page-5-0). It was shown that such a classical model of binary collisions is in excellent agreement with a quantum model to first order in perturbation theory when the sound velocity of the BECs is smaller than the relative velocity between the two clouds [\[30\]](#page-5-0). We also note that a classical model does not include bosonic stimulation, but this effect is expected to be negligible when the number of scattering particles per mode is much smaller than unity. These two hypotheses are valid in the regime of our experiment, as will be discussed below.

We start by considering the lattice Bose-Einstein condensate in the trap with N_{bec} atoms. In the following, we neglect the role of depleted atoms whose atomic density is too small to contribute substantially to the number of collisions. In the regime of large lattice filling, the average density $n_{\text{bec}}(\vec{r})$ in the trap (or similarly the atom number distribution in the lattice) is well approximated by the parabolic profile associated with the Thomas-Fermi approximation. The in-trap Thomas-Fermi radius L can be obtained from the atom number N_{bec} and the trapping frequency $\omega/2\pi \sim 140\sqrt{s}$ Hz using an interaction strength which accounts for the lattice potential [\[31\]](#page-5-0). The distribution of quasimomenta \vec{q} of the trapped BEC is centered on $\vec{q} = \vec{0}$ with a typical rms width $\Delta q \sim 1.58/L$ [\[32\]](#page-5-0). When the lattice potential is abruptly switched off at time $t = 0$, several copies of the BEC are created along each axis of the 3D lattice whose average momentum is equal to $j \times k_a$ where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the one hand, at the time the lattice is switched off, the densities of the BEC copies are identical, up to a scaling factor α_j setting the number of atoms in the copy labeled *j*, $N_i = \alpha_j N_{\text{bec}}$. The coefficients α_j depend on the amplitude of the lattice *s* and are calculated numerically from the Fourier transform of the Wannier function associated with a lattice site $[33]$. On the other hand, the momentum

width Δk of the different copies is identical to that of the trapped BEC, $\Delta k = \Delta q$. Any two copies have a large relative velocity (of the order of the recoil velocity $v_a = \hbar k_a/m$) and atoms from the two copies have a non-negligible probability to collide. In the following, we concentrate the discussion to two specific copies: the central diffraction peak $j = 0$ and the first-order peak $j = 1$ moving along the *x* axis [see Fig. $1(a)$]. By symmetry the number of collisions between the central peak and any other first-order peak is identical to the one we consider. In addition, the collisions between other copies of the BEC, say, for instance, between two first-order peaks or between the central peak and a secondorder peak, are hardly visible in the experimental data. We will yet discuss their contribution towards the end of the article.

To calculate the number of collisions between the copies $j = 0$ and $j = +1$, we evaluate the collision rate of one atom located at position \vec{r} at time *t* in the BEC copy $j = 0$ with all the atoms of the copy $j = 1$. This rate writes $\Gamma_{\text{coll}} =$ $n_1(\vec{r}, t) \times \sigma \times v_{0,1}$, where n_1 is the density of the copy $j =$ 1, $v_{0,1} = v_a$ is the relative velocity of the two copies, and $\sigma = 8\pi a_s^2$ is the scattering cross section, with a_s the *s*-wave scattering length ($a_s \simeq 142a_0$ for ⁴He^{*} atoms, with a_0 the Bohr radius). The total number of collisions between the two copies results from the integration over all the particles of the copy $j = 0$ and over the time interval during which the copies spatially overlap. It can be multiplied by 6 to get the total number of collisions with all first-order copies,

$$
N_{\text{coll}} = 6 \int dt \int d\vec{r} \,\sigma \, v_a \, n_0(\vec{r}, t) \, n_1(\vec{r}, t). \tag{1}
$$

To proceed with the evaluation of Eq. (1) , the TOF dynamics of the densities $n_j(\vec{r}, t)$ must be known. A full description of the TOF dynamics is beyond the scope of this article. Instead we provide a simpler physical description of the TOF relying on the different energy and timescales of the problem. The shortest timescale is set by the frequency of a lattice site ω_{site} , and the corresponding harmonic oscillator length $a_{h.o.} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{site}}$. On the timescale $t_0 = ma a_{h.o.}/h \sim 1 \mu s$, the wave functions of separated lattice sites overlap. After a few *t*0, the density profile of the copies is smoothened, with a lower density than that in the trap and a total size of the gas that is hardly larger than the in-trap size *L*. The density profiles of the copies are thus well described by the parabolic profile $n_{\text{bec}}(\vec{r})$.

The other timescales in the problem are much larger than *t*⁰ and associated with (i) the spatial separation of the two copies, $t_{\rm sep} \sim 2L/v_a \sim 0.1$ ms, (ii) the expansion of a BEC copy driven by its kinetic energy, $t_{\text{kin}} \sim mL/\hbar\Delta k \sim 10$ ms, and (iii) the expansion of a BEC copy under the effect of the mean-field interacting potential t_{MF} . For trapped cloud sizes much larger than the lattice spacing, $L \gg a$, one has $\Delta k \ll k_a$ and, in turn, $t_{\text{sep}} \ll t_{\text{kin}}$. On the contrary, t_{MF} depends on the atom number and it can be comparable to *t*sep, paving the way to two possible scenarios. When the atom number is small enough, the effect of the mean-field potential is negligible and the density profile of the copies does not change while they separate spatially. When it cannot be neglected, the cloud sizes are enlarged before the copies separate as a result of the repulsive mean-field potential.

We first consider the scenario where the effect of meanfield potential is negligible. The density profile of each copy is constant over the duration t_{sep} since $t_{\text{sep}} \ll t_{\text{kin}}$. Evaluating the expression of Eq. (1) with a parabolic profile for the BEC density of radius *L*, we obtain

$$
N_{\text{coll}} = \frac{48\alpha_0\alpha_1}{315} \left(\frac{15N_{\text{bec}}a_s}{L}\right)^2.
$$
 (2)

The scaling $N_{\text{coll}} \propto a_s^2 N_{\text{bec}}^2/L^2$ is identical to that found previously in the description of the collisions between two BECs [\[29,30\]](#page-5-0). On the one hand, the ratio $(N_{\text{bec}}/L)^2$ sets the variation of N_{coll} with the total atom number [see Eq. (2)], yielding in the Thomas-Fermi approximation $N_{\text{coll}} \propto N_{\text{bec}}^{8/5}$. On the other hand, the lattice amplitude enters both in the product $\alpha_j \alpha_{j'}$ and in the size *L*. As *L* only slightly decreases with the lattice amplitude, the main variation of N_{coll} is thus driven by the product $\alpha_0 \alpha_1$. This product increases with *s* at a small lattice amplitude as a larger number of atoms populates the firstorder diffraction peak $j = 1$. At a larger lattice amplitude, $\alpha_0 \alpha_1$ decreases as the total population in the $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ peaks decreases to populate diffraction peaks of higher orders.

When the effect of the mean-field potential is not negligible, the expansion of the copies is faster than in the ballistic case that we have just described. In this scenario the interaction-induced expansion decreases the density of the copies and, in turn, the number of collisions as compared to that predicted by Eq. (2) . The exponent of the scaling of N_{coll} with N_{bec} is then expected to be smaller than $8/5$ derived above when mean-field effects can be neglected during the TOF. Interestingly, the momentum width of the scattering halos δk_s after a long TOF provides a direct means to identify when the mean-field potential plays a non-negligible role. When the expansion is ballistic, δk_s is equal to the in-trap momentum width $\Delta k \propto 1/L$ and decreases with the total atom number N_{bec} as L increases with N_{bec} . On the contrary, when the expansion is affected by mean-field interactions, δ*k*^s reflects the increased kinetic energy associated with the mean-field interaction potential and thus increases with N_{bec} . As a result, the width δk_s is expected to take a minimum value as a function of *N*bec that identifies the crossover between the two scenarios.

In the experiment, we measure the number of collisions as follows. For each halo, we restrict the analysis to a small slice that excludes the volumes where the halo intersects with the condensate peaks or with other halos, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. $2(a)$. We then calculate the distance k_r between an atom and the center of the scattering halo, and build a histogram $\mathcal{N}(k_r)$ of the atom number located at a distance k_r (the bin size of the histogram is $0.01k_a$). In doing so, we also take into account the detection efficiency α_D by multiplying the atom number in each bin of the histogram by $1/\alpha_D$. We have carefully calibrated $1/\alpha_D \simeq 15.5(1.0)$ by recording the diluted distributions of Mott insulators, in order to avoid the saturation effects associated with the He[∗] detector [\[24\]](#page-5-0). Examples of histograms are plotted in Fig. $2(a)$ for various total atom numbers and at a fixed lattice amplitude $s = 5$. The scattering halo can be identified as the peak located at $k_r = 0.50(1)k_a$. After removing the contribution from the background density (due to the quantum and the thermal

FIG. 2. (a) Atom number histograms plotted as a function of the distance k_r to the center of the halo. Histograms at a fixed amplitude $s = 5$ and for various atom numbers N_{bec} (from $N_{\text{bec}} = 125 \times 10^3$ to $N_{\text{bec}} = 594 \times 10^3$) are shown. Inset: Two-dimensional cut at $k_x =$ 0 through the 3D distribution. The region where the number of scattered atoms is calculated is delimited by the orange line. Note that to make the scattering halos visible, the diffraction peaks are highly saturated (by a factor about ∼30). (b) The rms width δ*k*^s of the scattering halo as a function of *N*bec. The red dashed line is a guide to the eye. The red shaded area signals the crossover between the two scenarios exposed in the main text.

depletion $[27]$, we fit the peak with a Gaussian function to extract the width δk_s and the number of scattered atoms in the investigated slice. Assuming a spherical symmetry, the total number of scattered atoms in one halo is obtained by integrating the value measured in the slice over the entire scattering sphere. Finally, the measured number of collisions $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{exp}}$ between the copies $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ is obtained from summing the number of scattered atoms measured in the various observed scattering halos [\[34\]](#page-5-0).

The measured rms momentum width δk_s of the scattering halo is plotted in Fig. $2(b)$ as a function of the atom number N_{bec} . We observe that δk_s has a minimum value which signals the crossover between the two scenarios introduced previously. From Fig. $2(b)$, we conclude that for atom numbers larger than $N_0 \simeq 1.7 \times 10^5$, the model associated with Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) should overestimate the number of collisions.

FIG. 3. (a) Number of collisions $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}$ between the copies $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ plotted as a function of the atom number N_{bec} , at a fixed lattice amplitude $s = 5$. The vertical error bars account for the standard error of the mean on $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}$ and the uncertainty on the detection efficiency. The horizontal error bars depict the standard deviation on *N*bec. The dashed line is the prediction of the classical model of collision. The deviation of the measured N_{coll} with the model at large N_{bec} is due to mean-field interaction effects during the TOF (see text). (b) Probability of collision per atom $\eta_1 = N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}/N_{\text{bec}}$ as a function of N_{bec} .

In Fig. $3(a)$ we plot the number of collisions $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}$ as a function of N_{bec} for a fixed amplitude of the lattice $s = 5$ along with the prediction of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0). We observe that $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}$ increases with *N*_{bec} faster than linearly, as expected from Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0). At low atom numbers, we find a good agreement with the prediction of the classical model with no adjustable parameters. The number of collisions becomes lower than the predicted values for atom numbers larger than the value N_0 identified from the measurement of δk_s (see Fig. 2), in agreement with the physical picture previously described. Note that the number of modes in the scattering halos is of order $6 \times (L/a)^2 \sim 10^4$ [\[26\]](#page-5-0), which implies that the population of the modes is smaller than one at low atom numbers. Finally, we plot the probability

FIG. 4. Probability of collision per atom η_1 as a function of *s* at a fixed atom number $N_{\text{bec}} = 3.9(4) \times 10^5$. The blue dashed line is the prediction of the model of collision. The difference between the measured N_{coll} and the predictions of the model is due to mean-field interaction effects during the TOF (see text).

of collision per atom between the BEC copy $j = 0$ and all the copies $j = 1$, $\eta_1 = N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}/N_{\text{bec}}$, in Fig. [3\(b\).](#page-3-0) We observe that η_1 is of the order of a few percent in the regime we investigated of large fillings of the lattice.

In Fig. 4 we plot η_1 as a function of the lattice amplitude *s* for a fixed atom number $N = 3.9(4) \times 10^5$. The number of collisions is found to increase with *s* at small amplitudes while it decreases with *s* at *s* > 5. This nonmonotonic behavior is well reproduced by the classical model of collisions and it can be understood by considering the populations of the different BEC copies, as explained previously. As expected, η_1 is found to be lower than predicted by the classical model since the atom number is larger than N_0 where the model overestimates the number of collisions.

So far we have considered only the atoms scattered in the collision of the copies $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ because the corresponding scattering halos are clearly visible in the experiment. One also expects that collisions between two copies $j = 1$, as well as collisions involving copies corresponding to a higher order of diffraction $j > 2$, take place but the associated scattering halos are more diluted (because of their larger volume and of a lower number of collisions) and we do not

observe them in the experiment. At increasing amplitude *s* of the lattice, the collisions that we have not considered so far may contribute substantially to the total number of collisions. To evaluate these contributions, one can use Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) with the appropriate α_i 's, accounting for the number of pairs of copies. An upper bound for the total number of collisions is provided by considering an extreme situation where the number of copies would be as large as the number of atoms. This upper bound is only a factor 3 larger than η_1 and as a result the measured probability of collision η_1 also provides a good estimate for the total probability of collisions. Importantly, one can use Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) to estimate the number of collisions occurring in an experiment with a unity filling of the lattice sites, a situation where we are not able to observe any scattering halos [\[22\]](#page-5-0). We find that the probability for an atom to collide during the TOF is extremely low, $\eta_1 \sim 10^{-4}$.

In conclusion, we have quantitatively studied the two-body collisions occurring during the time-of-flight expansion of lattice superfluids with a large lattice filling. We have introduced a classical model of collisions which is found in agreement with the measured number of collisions without adjustable parameters, in the regime where mean-field interactions do not affect the TOF dynamics. From extrapolating the results of the model to the regime of unity lattice filling, we find that the probability for an atom to collide during the TOF is extremely low. In other regions of the finite-temperature phase diagram of the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian with a unity filling—at higher temperature or when approaching the Mott insulating regime—the probability of collision would be further reduced. From these considerations, the present work confirms the accuracy of the mapping $n_{\infty}(k) \simeq n(k)$ for unity-filled lattices beyond the mean-field level [\[22\]](#page-5-0). It also validates the possibility to investigate the momentum-space correlations between individual atoms in TOF experiments [\[25\]](#page-5-0).

We acknowledge fruitful discussions with F. Gerbier and the members of the Quantum Gases group at Institut d'Optique. We thank D. Boiron for a careful reading of the manuscript. This work benefited from financial support by the LabEx PALM (Grant No. ANR-10-LABX-0039), the Région Ile-de-France in the framework of the DIM SIRTEQ, the "Fondation d'entreprise iXcore pour la Recherche," and the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (Grant No. ANR-17- CE30-0020-01). D.C. acknowledges support from the Institut Universitaire de France.

- [1] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2016).
- [2] W. Ketterle, D. S. Durfee, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Making, probing and understanding Bose-Einstein condensates, in *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Atomic Gases*, *Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi," Varenna, 1998*, edited by M. Inguscio, S. Stringari, and C. E. Wieman, Vol. 140 (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 1999), p. 67.
- [3] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Many-body physics with ultracold gases, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885)**, [885](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.885).
- [4] F. Gerbier, S. Trotzky, S. Folling, U. Schnorrberger, J. D. Thompson, A. Widera, I. Bloch, L. Pollet, M. Troyer, B. Capogrosso-Sansone, N. V. Prokofev, and B. V. Svistunov, Expansion of a Quantum Gas Released from an Optical Lattice, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.155303) **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.155303)**, [155303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.155303) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.155303).
- [5] J. N. Kupferschmidt and E. J. Mueller, Role of interactions in time-of-flight expansion of atomic clouds from optical lattices, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023618) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023618)**, [023618](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023618) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.023618).
- [6] Y. Castin and R. Dum, Bose-Einstein Condensates in time Dependent Traps, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5315) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5315)**, [5315](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5315) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5315).
- [7] Y. Kagan, E. L. Surkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Evolution of a Bose-condensed gas under variations of the confining potential, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753) **[54](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753)**, [1753\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.R1753).
- [8] M. Greiner, I. Bloch, O. Mandel, T. W. Hansch, and T. Esslinger, Exploring Phase Coherence in a 2D Lattice of Bose-Einstein Condensates, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.160405) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.160405)**, [160405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.160405) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.160405).
- [9] P. A. Murthy, D. Kedar, T. Lompe, M. Neidig, M. G. Ries, A. N. Wenz, G. Zurn, and S. Jochim, Matter wave Fourier optics with a strongly interacting two-dimensional Fermi gas, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043611) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043611)**, [043611](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043611) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043611).
- [10] M. Kozuma, L. Deng, E. W. Hagley, J. Wen, R. Lutwak, K. Helmerson, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, Coherent Splitting of Bose-Einstein Condensed Atoms with Optically Induced Bragg Diffraction, [Phys. Rev. Lett](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.871) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.871)**, [871](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.871) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.871).
- [11] A. P. Chikkatur, A. Gorlitz, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, S. Inouye, S. Gupta, and W. Ketterle, Suppression and Enhancement of [Impurity Scattering in a Bose-Einstein Condensate,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.483) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.483)**, [483](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.483) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.483).
- [12] N. R. Thomas, N. Kjaergaard, P. S. Julienne, and A. C. Wilson, Imaging of *s* and *d* Partial-Wave Interference in Quantum Scattering of Identical Bosonic Atoms, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173201) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173201)**, [173201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173201) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.173201).
- [13] N. Katz, E. Rowen, R. Ozeri, and N. Davidson, Collisional Decay of a Strongly Driven Bose-Einstein Condensate, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.220403) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.220403)**, [220403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.220403) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.220403).
- [14] N. Q. Burdick, A. G. Sykes, Y. Tang, and B. L. Lev, Anisotropic collisions of dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates in the universal regime, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/11/113004) **[18](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/11/113004)**, [113004](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/11/113004) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/18/11/113004).
- [15] A. Perrin, H. Chang, V. Krachmalnicoff, M. Schellekens, D. Boiron, A. Aspect, and C. I. Westbrook, Observation of Atom Pairs in Spontaneous Four-Wave Mixing of Two Colliding Bose-Einstein Condensates, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.150405) **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.150405)**, [150405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.150405) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.150405).
- [16] S. S. Hodgman, R. I. Khakimov, R. J. Lewis-Swan, A. G. Truscott, and K. V. Kheruntsyan, Solving the Quantum Many-Body Problem via Correlations Measured with a Momentum Microscope, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.240402) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.240402)**, [240402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.240402) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.240402).
- [17] R. I. Khakimov, B. M. Henson, D. K. Shin, S. S. Hodgman, R. G. Dall, K. G. H. Baldwin, and A. G. Truscott, Ghost imaging with atoms, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20154) **[540](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20154)**, [100](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20154) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20154).
- [18] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a Mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/415039a) **[415](https://doi.org/10.1038/415039a)**, [39](https://doi.org/10.1038/415039a) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/415039a).
- [19] K. Xu, Y. Liu, D. E. Miller, J. K. Chin, W. Setiawan, and W. Ketterle, Observation of Strong Quantum Depletion in a Gaseous Bose-Einstein Condensate, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.180405) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.180405)**, [180405](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.180405) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.180405).
- [20] J. Struck, C. Olschlager, R. Le Targat, P. Soltan-Panahi, A. Eckardt, M. Lewenstein, P. Windpassinger, and K. Sengstock, Quantum simulation of frustrated classical magnetism in triangular optical lattices, [Science](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207239) **[333](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207239)**, [996](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207239) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207239).
- [21] S. Trotzky, L. Pollet, F. Gerbier, U. Schnorrberger, I. Bloch, N. V. Prokof'ev, B. Svistunov, and M. Troyer, Suppression of the critical temperature for superfluidity near the Mott transition, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1799) **[6](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1799)**, [998](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1799) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1799).
- [22] H. Cayla, C. Carcy, Q. Bouton, R. Chang, G. Carleo, M. Mancini, and D. Clément, Single-atom-resolved probing of lattice gases in momentum space, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.061609) **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.061609)**, [061609\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.061609) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.061609).
- [23] Q. Bouton, R. Chang, A. L. Hoendervanger, F. Nogrette, A. Aspect, C. I. Westbrook, and D. Clément, Fast production of Bose-Einstein condensates of metastable helium, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.061402) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.061402)**, [061402\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.061402) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.061402).
- [24] F. Nogrette, D. Heurteau, R. Chang, Q. Bouton, C. I. Westbrook, R. Sellem, and D. Clément, Characterization of a detector chain using a FPGA-based time-to-digital converter to reconstruct the three-dimensional coordinates of single particles at high flux, [Rev. Sci. Instrum.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4935474) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4935474)**, [113105](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4935474) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4935474).
- [25] C. Carcy, H. Cayla, A. Tenart, A. Aspect, M. Mancini, and D. Clément, Momentum-Space Atom Correlations in A Mott Insulator, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041028) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041028)**, [041028](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041028) [\(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041028).
- [26] The volume occupied by the scattering sphere is $V_{\text{scatt}} \simeq$ $4\pi (k_a/2)^2 \times \delta k_s$ (a sphere of radius $k_a/2$ and width δk_s), while the volume occupied by a peak of the condensate is $V_{\text{peak}} \simeq$ $4\pi \Delta k^3/3$ (a sphere of radius Δk). In the regime where the mean-field interactions are negligible, one expects $\delta k_s = \Delta k$. The ratio $V_{\text{scatt}}/V_{\text{peak}}$ is then $\sim (k_a/\Delta k)^2 = (L/a)^2 \gg 1$, where *L*/*a* is the number of lattice sites occupied by the trapped BEC.
- [27] R. Chang, Q. Bouton, H. Cayla, C. Qu, A. Aspect, C. I. Westbrook, and D. Clément, Momentum-Resolved Observation of Thermal and Quantum Depletion in an Interacting Bose Gas, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.235303) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.235303)**, [235303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.235303) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.235303).
- [28] Y. B. Band, M. Trippenbach, J. P. Burke, Jr., and P. S. Julienne, Elastic Scattering Loss of Atoms from Colliding Bose-Einstein Condensate Wave Packets, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5462) **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5462)**, [5462](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5462) [\(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5462).
- [29] P. Zin, J. Chwedenczuk, A. Veitia, K. Rzazewski, and M. Trippenbach, Quantum Multimode Model of Elastic Scattering from Bose-Einstein Condensates, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.200401) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.200401)**, [200401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.200401) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.200401).
- [30] P. Zin, J. Chwedenczuk, and M. Trippenbach, Elastic scattering losses from colliding Bose-Einstein condensates, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.033602) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.033602)**, [033602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.033602) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.033602).
- [31] M. Kramer, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Macroscopic Dynamics of a Trapped Bose-Einstein Condensate in the Presence of 1D and 2D Optical Lattices, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.180404) **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.180404)**, [180404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.180404) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.180404).
- [32] J. Stenger, S. Inouye, A. P. Chikkatur, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, Bragg Spectroscopy of a Bose-Einstein Condensate, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4569) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4569)**, [4569](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4569) [\(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4569).
- [33] W. N. Ashcroft and N. Mermin, *Solid-State Physics* (Brooks/Cole Publishing, Pacific Grove, CA, 1976).
- [34] To calculate the number of collisions $N_{\text{coll}}^{\text{expt}}$, we use only five of the six scattering halos as one of them is partially falling outside the physical surface of the He[∗] detector.