Disorder and diffuse scattering in single-chirality (TaSe₄)₂I crystals

Jacob A. Christe[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6397-9514)sen ^{(1,2} Simon Bettler,^{3,1} Kejian Qu,^{3,1} Jeffrey Huan[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5055-1666) ^{(1,2} Soyeun Kim,^{3,1} Yinchuan Lu,^{3,1} Chengxi Zhao[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1095-1833)^{1,2} Jin Chen,^{3,1} Matthew J. Krogstad,⁴ Toby J. Woods \bullet ,⁵ Fahad Mahmood,^{3,1} Pinshane Y. Huang \bullet ,^{1,2} Peter Abbamonte, $3,1$ and Daniel P. Shoemaker^{1,2,*}

¹*Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

²*Materials Science and Engineering Department, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

³*Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

⁴*Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois, 60439, USA*

⁵*Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

(Received 28 September 2023; revised 8 January 2024; accepted 20 February 2024; published 20 March 2024)

The quasi-one-dimensional chiral compound $(TaSe₄)₂I$ has been extensively studied as a prime example of a topological Weyl semimetal. Upon crossing its phase transition temperature $T_{CDW} \approx 263 \text{ K}$, (TaSe₄)₂I exhibits incommensurate charge density wave (CDW) modulations described by the well-defined propagation vector $∼(0.05, 0.05, 0.11)$, oblique to the TaSe₄ chains. Although optical and transport properties greatly depend on chirality, there is no systematic report about chiral domain size for $(TaSe₄)₂I$. In this study, our single-crystal scattering refinements reveal a bulk iodine deficiency, and Flack parameter measurements on multiple crystals demonstrate that separate $(TaSe_4)_2$ I crystals have uniform handedness, supported by direct imaging and helicitydependent terahertz emission spectroscopy. Our single-crystal x-ray scattering and calculated diffraction patterns identify multiple diffuse features and create a real-space picture of the temperature-dependent (TaSe₄)₂I crystal structure. The short-range diffuse features are present at room temperature and decrease in intensity as the CDW modulation develops. These transverse displacements, along with electron pinning from the iodine deficiency, help explain why $(TaSe_4)_2$ I behaves as an electronic semiconductor at temperatures above and below T_{CDW} , despite a metallic band structure calculated from density functional theory of the ideal structure.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.8.034202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.8.034202)

I. INTRODUCTION

Materials with nontrivial topological band structures represent an exciting frontier for exotic physics and quantum materials applications [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Topological insulators show strong spin-orbit coupling leading to inverted and gapped electronic bands, resulting in topologically protected metallic surface states and insulated bulk states [\[2–8\]](#page-8-0). Some electronic structures with similar band inversions may still retain isolated band crossings; such materials are known as Weyl or Dirac semimetals [\[5,9–11\]](#page-8-0). Much attention has recently fallen on the quasi-one-dimensional chiral charge density wave (CDW) material (TaSe₄)₂I, a prototype Weyl semimetal. (TaSe₄)₂I belongs to a class of materials characterized by exotic Fermi arc topological surface states and Weyl fermions within the bulk [\[9,12–](#page-8-0)[17\]](#page-9-0). Weyl fermions are massless quasiparticles that manifest themselves as low-energy excitations of the Weyl semimetal; when at zero energy, these quasiparticles correspond to degenerate band crossings found near the Fermi surface where the momentum dispersion is approximately linear in all three dimensions, serving as a three-dimensional analog to two-dimensional materials like graphene [\[18\]](#page-9-0). Known as Weyl nodes, these band crossings occur as pairs with opposite chirality, requiring either time-reversal

The structural properties of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ were first published decades ago [\[21,22\]](#page-9-0). At high temperature, chiral $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystallizes in the tetragonal space group *I*422 with each body-centered unit cell consisting of two parallel TaSe₄ chains, where each Ta ion is eight-coordinated by a pair of Se4 rectangles, while each rectangle contains a pair of Se_2^2 [–] dimers. These equally spaced chains are separated by a row of four iodine ions as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The orientation of Se imparts a chiral handedness. Topological semimetals lacking mirror symmetry in this manner are theorized to display many unusual optical, magnetic, and transport properties [\[16,23–25\]](#page-9-0) absent in centrosymmetric semimetals, such as helicity-dependent currents produced through the circular photogalvanic effect (CPGE) [\[26–29\]](#page-9-0), a phenomenon leveraged in this paper.

 $(TaSe₄)₂I$ enters an incommensurate CDW state at the transition temperature $T_{CDW} \approx 263$ K, commonly detected by an anomaly in electrical resistivity [\[30,31\]](#page-9-0). Weyl semimetals may be gapped out when Weyl nodes are spontaneously removed through pair annihilation between fermions and holes, creating a less explored topological configuration known as an axionic insulator in tandem with a CDW [\[32,33\]](#page-9-0). Although

symmetry or inversion symmetry to be broken [\[19\]](#page-9-0). Weyl node pairs, with their opposite topological charges, act as sources (monopoles) and sinks (antimonopoles) for Berry curvature [\[8\]](#page-8-0), and their separation in momentum space provides a topologically protected phase [\[17,20\]](#page-9-0).

^{*}dpshoema@illinois.edu

FIG. 1. The room temperature $I422$ (TaSe₄)₂I unit cell crystal structure, shown from side and top ([001]) perspectives. Chains of Ta form along the *c* direction, each coordinated by two rectangles of Se with alternating Se-Se bonds shown in black. The orientation of the Se-Se bond down the chain imparts a handedness denoted by the blue arrow. Iodine ions separate each chiral chain.

evidence has been proposed for this behavior in $(TaSe₄)₂I$ [\[34\]](#page-9-0), it is not entirely clear whether the CDW phase is a direct result of chiral symmetry breaking in this manner [\[35\]](#page-9-0). In general, the metallic state in one-dimensional systems similar to $(TaSe₄)₂I$ is unstable, prone to breaking symmetry by formation of spin or charge density wave phases [\[36\]](#page-9-0). The $(TaSe₄)₂I$ CDW phase is often described as Peierlslike in nature [\[37\]](#page-9-0). According to this picture, distortions are induced by electronic instabilities originating from Fermi surface nesting found in quasi-one-dimensional systems [\[38,39\]](#page-9-0). Previous $(TaSe₄)₂I$ band structure studies also add to this [\[22,40\]](#page-9-0). But detailed x-ray and neutron scattering analyses have revealed a more complicated modulation than a textbook one-dimensional Peierls instability: broken symmetry is, indeed, found along the chain direction as a Ta tetramerization, but strong acoustic modulations form perpendicular to both the chain direction and the modulation wave vectors [\[41–44\]](#page-9-0). Ascertaining the true structural and electronic behavior of the CDW phase is challenging due to incommensurability, but calculation of the band structure of a model $(TaSe₄)₂I$ cell with Ta displacements by Tournier-Colletta *et al.* [\[39\]](#page-9-0) confirmed the creation of a gap near $q_{CDW}/2$, which they correlate with angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy data. This was only done at 100 K, so the possible absence of a gap at high temperature was not examined. The semiconductorlike transport at high temperatures was conjectured to occur due to polaron hopping from the iodine vacancies [\[39\]](#page-9-0).

In the above studies, substantial work on structural characterization and transport was performed, while the ultimate goal of helicity-dependent transport and optical excitation requires operation on a domain of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ with single chirality (one enantiomer). The challenge of determining enantiopurity in a dense, opaque material can be addressed by multiple methods. Here we obtain both chirality and occupancy information from an extensive set of single-crystal x-ray refinements which reveal a consistent, intrinsic iodine deficiency within bulk $(TaSe₄)₂I$. The handedness of the refinements suggests that each $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal tends to form with uniform handedness, which is affirmed by annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (ADF-STEM) images and measurements of the circular photogalvanic effect. Synchrotron single-crystal x-ray diffraction

FIG. 2. Examples of the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystals retrieved from the CVT reaction. Large crystals are brittle and composed of thin, needlelike slivers which break apart when cut.

(XRD) measurements above and below the CDW transition reveal organized diffuse scattering, often with a strong component in the *ab* plane, confirming the strong effects between chemical disorder and the CDW transition in $(TaSe₄)₂I$. The source and nature of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ diffuse scattering are investigated through scattering calculated from discrete atomic models.

II. METHODS

Single crystals of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ were grown with a recipe similar to what was described by Maki *et al.* [\[30\]](#page-9-0). Stoichiometric amounts of iodine granules (Fisher, 99.99%), selenium powder (Alfa Aesar, 99.999%), and tantalum wire (Fisher, 99.95%) were loaded and sealed in 15 mm diameter evacuated quartz tubes along with an excess of iodine to balance the loss of iodine during sample handling. A thermal gradient of 600 to 500 \degree C was applied for 10 days, producing black, thin crystals up to 12 mm in length and up to 2 mm in width, with typical dimensions being $3 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³. Smaller reaction tubes and tantalum powder were also used, but the crystals were not as large as those grown in the way reported above. Crystal images are shown in Fig. 2. A powder XRD fit is provided in the Supplemental Material (Fig. S3) [\[45\]](#page-9-0).

For our diffuse scattering investigations, single-crystal reciprocal space scattering maps were obtained at Advanced Photon Source beamline 6ID-D using a beam energy of 64.8 keV in a transmission geometry. The $(TaSe₄)₂I$ sample with a diameter of about 0.3 mm was mounted on the tip of a Kapton capillary. A Pilatus 2M CdTe detector placed at a distance of 125.6 cm from the sample was used to collect energy-integrated images with a higher dynamic range than our in-laboratory source described in the following paragraph. Sample temperatures were controlled between 30 and 300 K using an Oxford N-HeliX Cryostream. The raw images were preprocessed with a peak-finding algorithm to determine and refine the orientation matrix and then rebinned with a bin size of $0.02 \times 0.02 \times 0.02$ reciprocal lattice units (rlu) into a reciprocal space volume $\pm 10(H) \times 10(K) \times 12(L)$ rlu using the CCTW (Crystal Coordinate Transformation Workflow) reduction workflow [\[46,47\]](#page-9-0).

To track the chirality trends of the material, additional room temperature data were collected on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer equipped with a Photon-II CPAD detector and Kappa goniometer. An Iµs microfocus Mo source ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å) coupled with a multilayer mirror monochromator provided the incident beam. The sample was mounted on a 0.3 mm nylon loop with a minimal amount of Paratone-N oil. A single $180°$ ϕ scan was collected for each crystal fragment with 1◦ frame angles. The collection, cell refinement, and integration of intensity data were carried out with the APEX3 software and SHELXL [\[48\]](#page-9-0). Multiscan absorption corrections were performed with SADABS2.

Specimens of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ were prepared for ADF-STEM using focused ion beam lift out and milling (micrographs provided in Fig. S4 of the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0)). Imaging was performed using an aberration-corrected STEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific Themis Z) operated at 300 kV, with an 18 mrad convergence semiangle and 30 pA probe current. Individual frames were acquired with a dwell time of 1 μ s and pixel size of 8.7 pm, and 10–50 frames were averaged to produce the final images.

Terahertz (THz) emission spectroscopy was performed using a custom-built time-domain THz spectroscopy setup based on a Yb:KGW amplifier laser (PHAROS, Light Conversion). The fundamental laser pulse wavelength is 1030 nm with a pulse duration of ∼160 fs. The fundamental beam is split into pump and probe paths using a 90:10 beam splitter. The pump had a 1/e² width of ∼1 mm on the sample at a 45° angle of incidence. The THz field $E_{THz}(t)$ radiated by the sample is collected by an off-axis parabolic mirror and focused on a CdTe (110) crystal. The electro-optical sampling probe beam is made to spatially and temporally overlap with $E_{\text{THz}}(t)$ on the CdTe crystal for electro-optic sampling. The path length of the probe is adjusted with a delay stage to control the time delay t_{delay} .

All lattice models and diffuse scattering were simulated using the DISCUS software suite [\[49–51\]](#page-9-0), applying both periodic displacement and density modulations. The scattering was simulated on $10 \times 10 \times 10$ lattices built with the standard $(TaSe₄)₂I$ unit cell displayed in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The extent and resolution of the simulated reciprocal space were adjusted to avoid finite size effects (see Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0) for more details). For most of our results the reciprocal volume was set to $10(H) \times 10(K) \times 10(L)$ rlu with 200 points along each axis. All diffuse scattering simulations were calculated using Mo $K\alpha$ x rays ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and with both atomic displacement parameters and anomalous dispersion turned off.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Single-crystal structural refinement

Our single-crystal XRD confirms the presence of a bulk iodine deficiency in our samples. Refinement parameters from four different full x-ray data sets are given in Table I (with additional detailed parameters for sample 3 provided in the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0), Tables S2 and S3), while

TABLE I. Parameters from four full data set single-crystal x-ray solutions. Samples 1 and 2 are separate crystals at room temperature, while samples 3 and 4 are the same crystal measured at room temperature and $T = 100$ K, respectively. A goodness of fit (GOOF) value of 1 indicates a high-quality refinement. A Flack parameter value near zero indicates a proper configuration with no inversion. Each crystal's iodine deficiency is given in terms of the fraction of full occupation (last column).

Sample	Handedness	GOOF	Flack	Occupancy
	left	1.149	0.017(26)	0.886(22)
	left	1.071	$-0.012(74)$	0.888(50)
	left	1.073	0.015(24)	0.882(24)
	left	1.235	0.021(15)	0.873(53)

Fig. 3 displays examples of the unit cells. Although the exact occupancies varied from crystal to crystal, the overall deficiency remained relatively consistent with a weighted average of approximately 0.88(2) total iodine fractional occupancy. Figure $3(a)$ shows the unit cell refinement from sample 4 in Table I with the associated occupancy information displayed as fractional atomic spheres. Figure $3(b)$ shows four iodine chains from each of the four crystals stacked next to one another, demonstrating the slight variation between refinements for position and fractional occupancy. Refinements 1 and 2 are separate crystals at room temperature, while refinements 3 and 4 are the same crystal examined at room temperature and $T = 100$ K, respectively. For sample 4, the regular iodine I1 position gives the highest occupancy of 0.756(6). The I1A site gives an occupancy of 0.14(6), and the I1B site gives an occupancy of 0.05(3). Details of the crystal refinement process are given in the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0).

This iodine deficiency is likely related to the electrical resistance of $(TaSe₄)₂I$, which was well documented in the vicinity of the CDW temperature [\[30,31,34,52\]](#page-9-0) and later found to contain a semiconductor-semiconductor phase transition [\[39,53\]](#page-9-0). Below the transition temperature, the observed

FIG. 3. Single-crystal XRD refined cells for demonstrating an iodine deficiency. (a) Crystal refinement for sample 4 from Table I for data collected at $T = 100$ K. The composition is refined to $(TaSe₄)₂I_{0.873(53)}$. (b) Four separate refinements for three different crystals, demonstrating how the site occupancies have slight variations, but the overall trend is consistent. Each layer of atoms stacked along the *a* direction belongs to a different crystal refinement (numbered for each sample), with refinement parameters given in Table I. For sample 4, the I1, I1A, and I1B sites give occupancies of 0.756(6), 0.14(6), and 0.05(3), respectively.

temperature dependence is not unexpected due to the opening of band gaps. Above the transition temperature, however, the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ resistance is in stark contrast to the DFT-calculated band structure of the ideal, high-temperature structure, which places the Fermi level above the Weyl nodes, seeming to imply metallic conductivity. Previous studies stressed the importance of the electron-phonon interaction in $(TaSe₄)₂I$ as a basis for this resistivity behavior [\[54,55\]](#page-9-0). Vacancies from the iodine deficiency have also been proposed to underlie this discrepancy, along with transverse displacements of Ta ions [\[39\]](#page-9-0). Both could lead to the observed transport behavior. The iodine vacancies likely contribute to the high-temperature disorder that we explore in Sec. [III E.](#page-5-0)

With an understanding of the intensity distribution of a scattering pattern, we can determine whether our material contains a chiral domain boundary. Friedel's law dictates the existence of indistinguishable points within reciprocal space known as Friedel pairs, points with inverted phase but identical amplitudes. If a crystal has centrosymmetric symmetry, the Friedel pairs will have exactly the same amplitudes. When symmetry is broken, the Bragg reflection positions are not altered. Instead, the intensities of Friedel points differ when dynamical absorption effects are considered in crystals containing species with differing atomic numbers. This distinction is utilized in the calculation of the Flack parameter [\[56–60\]](#page-9-0), a refinement factor used for determining chirality and given in the form

$$
I(hkl) = (1 - x)|F(hkl)|^2 + x|F(\bar{h}\bar{k}\bar{l})|^2, \qquad (1)
$$

where $F(hkl)$ is the calculated structure factor, $F(\bar{h}\bar{k}\bar{l})$ is the structure factor for the inverted phase, *I*(*hkl*) is the observed structure factor, and *x* is the Flack parameter. The Flack parameter quantifies the correctness of a refinement's absolute structure, reported as a fraction between 0 and 1. A value of 1 indicates a structure which is fully inverted from its correct configuration $[I(hkl)] = |F(\bar{h}\bar{k}\bar{l})|^2]$, while a value of 0 indicates the proper configuration with no inversion $[I(hkl)]$ |*F*(*hkl*)| 2]. Intermediate values represent different fractions of inversion twinning. For example, a Flack parameter which converges to around 0.5 would suggest the crystal in question has a mixture of 50% left-handed and 50% right-handed configurations.

A previous study by Yi *et al.* [\[61\]](#page-9-0) reported $(TaSe₄)₂I$ chirality trends through the use of the Flack parameter in their Supplemental Material. Only one Flack parameter was reported with a value of ∼0.3(1), which may suggest inversion twinning. This value was an average value for an undisclosed number of crystals tested by the group. To build upon this work and provide a clearer picture of the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ chirality trends, we present additional, more detailed studies of the size and distribution of chiral domains through structure refinements done on several locations across multiple large (millimeter-scale) crystals. A total of 10 crystals were tested from two synthesis batches. The crystals were too large to be examined in their as-grown state, so the crystals were cut into several pieces. When cut, the crystals delaminated into very thin needles. Three needles from each large crystal were examined for intensity data—one from each end of the crystal and one from the middle section of the crystal—for a total of 30 specimens. The resulting integrated intensities were refined

FIG. 4. The Flack parameter and corresponding handedness returned by single-crystal XRD measurements done on 10 separate $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystals between two different batches. The colors each designate one of the 10 crystals. Each crystal had three pieces extracted from the middle and ends, giving a total of 30 measurements (three data points per color). The trends shown here suggest that chirality remains consistent within each $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal but may vary among crystals.

against the atomic positions of a reference $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal (sample 3 in Table [I\)](#page-2-0), giving us 30 different Flack parameters. A full scattering data set was previously collected on the reference crystal, showing excellent refinement statistics (see Tables S2 and S3 in the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0)) along with a Flack parameter which converges to near zero, indicating single chirality. Because the reference crystal has a known handedness, refining against this crystal will determine each crystal fragment's handedness with respect to the handedness of the reference crystal. A similar method was used by di Gregorio *et al.* [\[62\]](#page-9-0). In this circumstance, a Flack parameter of 0 indicates a crystal fragment with the same handedness (left) as the reference crystal, and a value of 1 indicates a crystal with opposite handedness (right). The resulting data can be viewed in Fig. 4; additional refinement parameters can be found in Table S4 of the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0). We found that handedness remained consistent within each crystal, save a few higher uncertainties in measurement; that is, all three pieces from a single crystal shared the same uniform handedness. However, handedness was observed to vary among separate crystals.

B. Electron microscopy

Single-crystal XRD enantiopurity is supported by ADF-STEM atomic resolution imaging of a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-4-0) Because STEM images are two-dimensional projections, determining the chirality of a sample via STEM requires imaging from multiple zone axes [\[63\]](#page-9-0). Therefore, ADF-STEM images were acquired of the same grain of

FIG. 5. ADF-STEM imaging shows no change in chirality of a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal over 10 µm among several different locations. $[(a)–(c)]$ Sets of ADF-STEM images from the [111], [121], and [221] orientations, respectively. The location corresponding to each atomic-resolution image is marked by a number on the larger image. The Ta atoms appear brighter than the I atoms, while the I atoms appear brighter than the Se atoms.

 $(TaSe_4)_2$ I from the four zone axes, [111], [121], [112], and [121]. Then, for each orientation the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal was imaged at six different locations which covered a range of roughly 10 µm. Orientations were observed to vary by no more than 4◦ from one another. We attribute this variation to twisting caused by the sample preparation process. Otherwise, all images from their respective zone axes show resolvable, well-stacked, and nonrotated $(TaSe₄)₂I$ chains. This indicates single chirality over depth (tens of nanometers) and breadth within the crystal tested.

C. Optical chiral response

A third confirmation for the consistency of structural chirality in $(TaSe₄)₂I$ is provided through measuring CPGEs using THz emission spectroscopy with a circularly polarized pump $[64]$. Since $(TaSe₄)₂I$ is structurally chiral but nonmagnetic (preserving time-reversal symmetry), it naturally contains Kramers-Weyl fermions, as illustrated in Fig. 6(a) [\[65\]](#page-9-0). In such systems, a photogalvanic current can be generated using circularly polarized light. Crucially, opposite helicities of light (right vs left) will produce currents in opposite directions provided the sample has a constant chirality over the spot size of the light [\[29\]](#page-9-0). A contact-free method to measure CPGE is THz emission spectroscopy, whereby a short-duration IR pulse generates a transient current which emits THz radiation in the far field $[Fig. 6(b)]$. The emitted THz can be measured in the time domain using standard electro-optic sampling (EOS) methods. The change in the

FIG. 6. Helicity-dependent THz emission spectroscopy of $(TaSe₄)₂I.$ (a) Schematic representation of a pair of Kramers-Weyl (KW) fermions, each positioned above and below the Fermi energy E_F . While the $\chi = +1$ Weyl cone can contribute to the CPGE, the effect is suppressed for $\chi = -1$ due to the Pauli blocking of interband transitions. In Weyl semimetals with mirror symmetry [unlike $(TaSe_4)$, I], Weyl cones are degenerate in energy, and both may contribute to the CPGE, which exactly cancels out the effect. (b) THz emission spectroscopy setup and the measurement geometry. The circularly polarized pump beam (1.2 eV) is guided onto the sample at a $\theta_i = 45^\circ$ incident angle. (c) The transient photocurrent E_{THz} spectra of $(\text{TaSe}_4)_2$ I are plotted by the pump-probe time delay t_{Delay} . Inset: Fourier transform amplitude spectra of $E_{\text{THz}}(t)$.

phase of the emitted THz upon a change in light helicity indicates nonzero CPGEs. Figure $6(c)$ shows the THz emission signal as a function of EOS delay on $(TaSe₄)₂I$ for right and left circularly polarized light. The 1.2 eV light was incident upon the sample at an incidence angle of 45◦ with a spot size of approximately 1 mm. As can be seen, opposite helicities of light result in opposite phases of the EOS signal, indicating a circular photogalvanic effect, and confirm the constant structural chirality of $(TaSe₄)₂I$ over the 1 mm laser spot size.

D. Modeling the CDW phase

The single-crystal synchrotron XRD with a high dynamic range and better *q* resolution shows an interesting assortment of diffuse scattering. First-order satellite peaks caused by the CDW phase are readily apparent below the transition temperature. These well-known peaks appear near the Brillouin zone centers in a tetragonal assembly defined by the eight locations ($\pm \alpha$, $\pm \alpha$, $\pm \beta$) rlu, with the main Bragg reflection set as the reference point [\[66,67\]](#page-10-0). Previous studies have found values ranging from 0.027 to 0.05 for α and 0.012 to 0.151 for β [\[12](#page-8-0)[,41,43,44,61,](#page-9-0)[68\]](#page-10-0). For our crystal, we report numbers of $\alpha \approx 0.05$ and $\beta \approx 0.11$. Lee *et al.* speculated that the discrepancy in the measured wave vector among studies is correlated with variations in the iodine deficiency of each $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal [\[41\]](#page-9-0). This remains an open question, but our measurements of large deficiencies among many crystals supports the viability of a systematic path to confirming whether the values vary based on iodine deficiency. Weaker second-order satellites are also found further from the main reflections in our experimental scattering at positions given by $(\pm 2\alpha, \pm 2\alpha, \pm 2\beta)$ [\[41](#page-9-0)[,69\]](#page-10-0). The satellites exhibit a large asymmetry in intensities, creating a striking pattern which was observed and well discussed by Fujishita *et al.* and others [\[66,67,70\]](#page-10-0).

We seek to build a lattice model modified by static modulations which recreates these satellites through theoretical scattering calculations, requiring knowledge about the number of domains and modulation wave vectors present. A previous comprehensive study done by van Smaalen *et al.* concluded that the $(TaSe_4)_2I$ CDW phase is a single-q, four-domain state, described by a monoclinic supercell with space group*C*2 [\[43\]](#page-9-0). Two parts of the modulation wave vector are identified: a stronger transverse acoustic part perpendicular to the chain direction and a weaker tetramerization of Ta atoms along the chain direction identified as the CDW modulation itself [\[40,44\]](#page-9-0). We attempt a recreation of the transverse modulation by applying four separate but equivalent displacement or density waves to all atoms in a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ unit cell lattice built in DISCUS. Examples of displacement and density waves are shown in Figs. $7(a)$ and $7(b)$. To avoid interference between modulation waves leading to mixed higher-order satellites, four equal-sized domains [see Fig. $7(c)$] are created, and each is assigned a single modulation wave vector $[43]$. Due to peak broadening in the simulation, wave vector directions of $(\pm 1,$ ± 1 , 1) were chosen to allow more space between satellite peaks so they may remain visually resolvable from one another. We first used density wave modulations, which were applied with a removal probability varying between 0% and 100%. These simulations failed to capture the second-order

FIG. 7. (a) Example of a displacement wave applied to all atoms in a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ lattice with the propagation vector along the *c* direction and the oscillations along the *b* direction. Displacements are exaggerated for illustration. (b) Example of a density wave applied to all atoms in a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ lattice with the *k* vector along the *c* direction. (c) Domain split used in our diffuse scattering simulations. Domains are all the same size. (d) Model used to simulate the scattering in Fig. [10.](#page-7-0) Entire *ab* planes of iodine ions are replaced by voids (black atoms) by random chance, and the nearest iodine ions are shifted towards these voids.

satellites found at $(\pm 2\alpha, \pm 2\alpha, \pm 2\beta)$ since density waves create only a single pair of peaks around each main reflection per modulation wave. In contrast, displacement waves create infinitely many, equally spaced satellites which fall off in intensity with distance from the main reflections. Therefore, we switched to displacement waves with amplitudes of 0.13 Å [\[43\]](#page-9-0).

Figure [8](#page-6-0) shows the results of this simulation, demonstrating a model that successfully mimics the asymmetric intensity distribution of the satellites. This was best achieved by confining the displacement wave oscillations to the basal plane and orthogonal to the *k*-vector direction [\[41–44\]](#page-9-0). Otherwise, the satellite peak positions were still correctly simulated, but with incorrect intensity distributions. Although this is not an exact representation of the CDW displacements, we provide a minimal model that reproduces all of the essential features observed in the data, providing legitimacy for our strategy and additional support for the CDW phase modulations proposed by studies before ours.

E. Diffuse scattering

In Sec. [III A,](#page-2-0) we claimed the possibility of local disorder above T_{CDW} contributing to the elusive $(TaSe₄)₂I$ transport behavior. Here we report the observation of diffuse satellites far weaker than the CDW satellites which persist both above and below T_{CDW} , revealed by our high signal-to-noise synchrotron

FIG. 8. Views of the CDW satellite peaks for both the experimental and modeled scattering. (a) Experimental scattering measured at $T = 30$ K in the *HK* plane at $L = 0.1$. Four CDW satellite peaks surrounding each Bragg position are visible. The inset displays a magnified view of the marked area. (b) The modeled scattering calculated from a modulated $(TaSe₄)₂I$ unit cell lattice. Asymmetric intensity patterns are mimicked by confining displacement wave oscillations to the *ab* plane, orthogonal to the wave vectors. (c) The same experimental scattering as in (a), but from the *HL* plane at $K = 0.05$. The dotted line marks where the signal cuts off from the geometry of the experimental setup. (d) The simulated scattering in the same plane as (c).

data. Figure 9 shows eight diffuse peaks surrounding each Bragg reflection in an octagonlike formation that are confined within *HK* planes every 4 rlu along the *L* direction. We will refer to these as octagon satellites due to their positioning. Collectively, the octagon satellites form bright, concentric rings which alternate in pattern between each *HK* plane. The peaks are absent at the *HK*0 plane but increase with intensity as *L* increases.

The methodology we used for simulating the CDW peaks can help elucidate the potential real-space source of the octagon peaks. Considering there are eight peaks around each Bragg reflection, we start again by applying four separate modulation waves to a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ unit cell lattice, with each modulation assigned to its own equally sized domain. The wave vectors are set in one of four directions from $(\pm (\sqrt{2} -$ 1), 1, 0) and $(\pm 1, \sqrt{2} - 1, 0)$, which describe the positions of the vertices of an octagon centered on the origin.

Transverse displacement waves with amplitudes of 0.13 Å were used in our successful simulations. All atoms were set to oscillate along the *c* direction, and the wavelength was calculated and chosen to align and overlap neighboring Bragg position satellites. Figure 9 shows the results of this simulation. Our model captures the rings of intensity collectively created by the intensity distribution of the octagon satellites. The rings alternate in position along the *c* direction. Cross sections of the rings are visible as intense, segmented lines ori-

FIG. 9. The experimental and simulated octagon diffuse intensities. Three different planes are shown with the experimental scattering at $T = 30$ K in the left column and the calculated models in the right column. (a) and (b) show the *HK*0 plane, (c) and (d) show the *HK*4 plane, and (e) and (f) show the *HK*8 plane. Simulated scattering is calculated from a $(TaSe₄)₂I$ unit cell lattice modulated by displacement wave vectors with directions $(\pm (\sqrt{2} - 1), 1, 0)$ and $(\pm 1, \sqrt{2} - 1, 0)$. The lack of diffuse intensity at $L = 0$ means oscillations are along the *c* direction. Scaling is held constant among all images.

ented along the *H* or *K* direction like those marked by the blue arrows in Fig. $10(a)$. Additionally, the model correctly avoids simulating satellites at the *HK*0 plane. The intensity scaling among all the plots in Fig. 9 remains consistent, showing that the intensity of the octagon satellites increases as we move farther from the *HK*0 plane along the *L* direction. Remarkably, modulation of the iodine positions is not necessary to obtain the experimental patterns. Any combination of modulations on the three atom types gave the correct satellite positions, but we found that modulating only the Se and Ta atoms together was needed to mimic the intensity distributions. Including the iodine ion gave redundant results, and any other modulation combination failed to simulate the distinct bright rings collectively created by the experimental satellites (see Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0), Fig. S5).

We also considered density waves applied to all atoms with removal probabilities ranging between 0% and 100%. The results of this simulation are shown in Fig. S6 of the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0). Calculations from density waves create

FIG. 10. (a) The $(TaSe₄)₂I$ experimental scattering exhibits diffuse rods running along the *L* direction (green arrows), as shown in the $H0L$ plane at $T = 140$ K. The intense segmented rods seen along the *H* direction (blue arrows) mark the locations where the bright rings found in the *HK* planes (Fig. [9\)](#page-6-0) intersect this *H*0*L* plane. (b) Simulated scattering in the *H*0*L* plane calculated using a real-space structure similar to Fig. $7(d)$. Only planes of the iodine ions are selected and moved. (c) Same as (b), but with modification of only the Ta atoms. Shifting the iodine ions is necessary to simulate diffuse rods every integer in reciprocal space like that seen in (a).

the correct first-order satellites, but they incorrectly simulate satellite peaks in the *HK*0 plane which do not increase in intensity along the *L* direction as seen in the experimental scattering. Transverse displacement waves capture experimental trends more closely because the satellites feature an increase with intensity along the direction of the oscillations, starting from zero intensity and proceeding to infinity as distance from the wave's axis of propagation increases.

A comparison of intensities with respect to temperature between the octagon and CDW peaks can be found in Fig. 11. Make note that the octagon and CDW integrated intensities are of similar scale, but the maximum intensities differ by a couple orders of magnitude. We notice that the octagon peaks increase in intensity with temperature and persist above the transition temperature, whereas the CDW peaks do not. Additionally, the octagon peaks tend to subtly shift position within the *HK* plane and become more diffuse as temperature increases (see Fig. S7 in the Supplemental Material [\[45\]](#page-9-0)). These features alone may suggest that the octagon peaks originate from dynamical (phonon) modulations or electronic coupling to them (polarons) [\[35,39,55\]](#page-9-0). We also notice, however, that the intensities of the peaks level off above the CDW transition temperature. Perhaps the short-range, disordered modulations of the octagon peaks compete with the CDW phase modulations, an explanation for the comparable strengths and inverse relationship between the integrated intensities. Further spectroscopic and high-temperature investigation is required.

A separate feature from the displacement-driven octagon modulation is diffuse rods found running along the *L* direction, repeating every integer in reciprocal lattice units, as demonstrated in Fig. $10(a)$. These diffuse features are present at all temperatures measured, from 30 to 300 K. We can recreate similar behavior by removing or shifting entire planes of atoms along the *c* direction [Fig. $7(d)$]. The entire plane must be shifted in order to preserve the ordering in directions perpendicular to the shift. This ensures we simulate

FIG. 11. (a) The integrated intensity variation of a selected CDW and octagon satellite peak with temperature, extracted from the experimental synchrotron x-ray scattering. The CDW and octagon peak coordinates are about (1.05,2.95,0.11) and (1.3,1.74,4) rlu, respectively. Blue circles correspond to CDW peak data, and red triangles correspond to octagon peak data. (b) Each peak's max intensity variation with respect to temperature. Both plots are background subtracted. The CDW peaks decrease in intensity as temperature increases, tending towards zero as the phase transition is crossed. The octagon peaks, in contrast, steadily increase in intensity as temperature increases.

only diffuse scattering along a single direction. When only iodine is removed and adjusted, diffuse rods appear in the simulated pattern every integer along H , as seen in Fig. 10(b). The simulated diffraction patterns show a stronger intensity of diffuse rods along *L* than the experimental data, but these simulated rods are still qualitatively similar to the rods marked by the green arrows in the experimental scattering. On the other hand, when tantalum or selenium planes are removed and shifted, diffuse rods are created at every other integer value, as seen in Fig. $10(c)$, so only half of the rods marked with green arrows are accounted for. For this simulation approach, iodine deficiency, not Ta or Se, leads to the formation of rods at every integer *H*. The iodine ions are shifted into the highest occupancy positions, the I1A sites found halfway between the regular positions, as seen in our refined unit cell [Fig. $3(b)$]. We modeled our simulation in this manner so that it resembles behavior suggested by the iodine occupancies of our crystal refinements. Missing iodine ions cause a relaxation of neighboring atoms towards the gaps, akin to a charge density wave. Unlike the regular, long-range ordering of the CDW phase modulations, the diffuse rods point to a highly disordered shift with short-range ordering. Planes to remove and shift are chosen randomly in our simulation, but with a probability that ensures an iodine deficiency of about 10%, matching the single-crystal XRD refined values.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated the chirality trends of the onedimensional Weyl semimetal $(TaSe₄)₂I$ through singlecrystal XRD refinements, ADF-STEM imaging, and helicitydependent photocurrents. Based on XRD refinement parameters, each separately formed $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystal is likely to be enantiopure, while handedness may vary from crystal to crystal within the same synthesis batch conditions. The formation of chiral domain boundaries in $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystals grown by vapor transport is likely rare, and chirality-dependent transport and optical measurements of these materials can likely assume enantiopurity if a preliminary screening is performed via measurement of Flack parameters.

The single-crystal XRD refinements also revealed a bulk iodine deficiency in our $(TaSe₄)₂I$ crystals. An average iodine fractional occupancy of 0.88(2) was observed. In general, a bulk iodine deficiency will profoundly impact the band structure of $(TaSe₄)₂I$. Defect formation and the subsequent relaxation of the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ structure can lead to localized electrons, contributing to the semiconductor/insulator resistivity behavior observed in $(TaSe₄)₂I$ in its high-temperature phase, above the formation of a long-range CDW. As a possible footprint of this effect, diffuse scattering streaks can be modeled with concerted motion and disorder of iodine ions along the *c* direction.

Our real-space pictures of diffuse scattering give credence to previously hypothesized models for the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ low-temperature phase. The stronger modulation of the CDW phase was simulated using four separate, equal-sized domains, each with their own equivalent transverse displacement wave vector as described by van Smaalen *et al.* [\[43\]](#page-9-0). Lower-intensity satellites confined to planes orthogonal to the *c* direction were also observed with high signal-to-noise synchrotron data. We modeled these satellites with additional transverse displacement wave vectors, only requiring modulation of the tantalum and selenium atomic positions. Whether these satellites are the result of dynamical or static modulations is unknown at this point. The positive correlation of intensity with temperature and persistence beyond the CDW phase transition suggests these lower-intensity satellites are the result of dynamical modulations. On the other hand, the intensity trend appears to level off beyond the CDW temperature, and an inverse relationship with the CDW satellite integrated intensities would instead suggest static modulations which compete with the CDW modulations. The results of this study paint a clearer picture of the $(TaSe₄)₂I$ structure at both low and high temperatures and open the way for additional real-space studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Sample synthesis, x-ray and THz analysis, and modeling were supported by the Center for Quantum Sensing and Quantum Materials, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences (BES), under Award No. DE-SC002123. Synchrotron studies were performed at the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. DOE Office of Science user facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Diffuse scattering modeling was performed on the High Throughput Computing Cluster of the Illinois Campus Cluster, a computing resource that is operated by the Illinois Campus Cluster Program (ICCP) in conjunction with the National Center for Supercomputing Applications (NCSA) and which is supported by funds from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Laboratory x-ray and STEM characterization was carried out in part in the Materials Research Laboratory Central Research Facilities, University of Illinois. The STEM experiments were supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Award No. FA9550-20- 1-0302 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1922758. We acknowledge additional support from the EPiQS program of the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (Grant No. GBMF11069 for Y.L. and F.M. and Grant No. GBMF4305 for P.A.). S.B. acknowledges support through the Early Postdoc.Mobility program from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. P2EZP2 191885).

- [1] B. J. Wieder, B. Bradlyn, J. Cano, Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, A. A. Soluyanov, C. Felser, T. Neupert, N. [Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00380-2) Nat. Rev. Mater. **7**, 196 (2022).
- [2] [L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.106803) Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007).
- [3] [J. E. Moore and L. Balents,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.121306) Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121306(R) (2007).
- [4] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1057) **83**, 1057 (2011).
- [5] N. Kumar, S. N. Guin, K. Manna, C. Shekhar, and C. Felser, Chem. Rev. **121**[, 2780 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00732)
- [6] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) **82**, 3045 (2010).
- [7] J. E. Moore, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08916) **464**, 194 (2010).
- [8] B. A. Bernevig and T. L. Hughes, *Topological Insulators and Topological Superconductors* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013).
- [9] [X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.205101) Phys. Rev. B **83**, 205101 (2011).
- [10] B. Yan and C. Felser, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025458) **8**, 337 (2017).
- [11] [P. Narang, C. A. C. Garcia, and C. Felser,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-00820-4) Nat. Mater. **20**, 293 (2021).
- [12] W. Shi, B. J. Wieder, H. L. Meyerheim, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Shen, Y. Qi, L. Yang, J. Jena, P. Werner, K. Koepernik, S. [Parkin, Y. Chen, C. Felser, B. A. Bernevig, and Z. Wang,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-01104-z) Nat. Phys. **17**, 381 (2021).
- [13] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **56**[, 330 \(1929\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01339504)
- [14] [A. C. Potter, I. Kimchi, and A. Vishwanath,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6161) Nat. Commun. **5**, 5161 (2014).
- [15] S.-Y. Xu *et al.*, Science **349**[, 613 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa9297)
- [16] S. Jia, S.-Y. Xu, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Mater. **15**[, 1140 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4787)
- [17] [M. Z. Hasan, S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, and S.-M. Huang,](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025225) Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **8**, 289 (2017).
- [18] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.109) **81**, 109 (2009).
- [19] [H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.011029) Phys. Rev. X **5**, 011029 (2015).
- [20] J. Liu and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **90**[, 155316 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.155316)
- [21] [P. Gressier, L. Guemas, and A. Meerschaut,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740882010176) Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B **38**, 2877 (1982).
- [22] P. Gressier, M. H. Whangbo, A. Meerschaut, and J. Rouxel, Inorg. Chem. **23**[, 1221 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic00177a011)
- [23] [S. Zhong, J. E. Moore, and I. Souza,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.077201) Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 077201 (2016).
- [24] D. S. Sanchez *et al.*, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1037-2) **567**, 500 (2019).
- [25] N. B. M. Schröter, D. Pei, M. G. Vergniory, Y. Sun, K. Manna, F. de Juan, J. A. Krieger, V. Süss, M. Schmidt, P. Dudin, B. Bradlyn, T. K. Kim, T. Schmitt, C. Cacho, C. Felser, V. N. Strocov, and Y. Chen, Nat. Phys. **15**[, 759 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0511-y)
- [26] V. M. Asnin, A. A. Bakun, A. M. Danishevskii, E. L. Ivchenko, [G. E. Pikus, and A. A. Rogachev,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(79)91137-2) Solid State Commun. **30**, 565 (1979).
- [27] [V. I. Belinicher and B. I. Sturman,](https://doi.org/10.1070/PU1980v023n03ABEH004703) Sov. Phys. Usp. **23**, 199 (1980).
- [28] [F. de Juan, A. G. Grushin, T. Morimoto, and J. E. Moore,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15995) Nat. Commun. **8**, 15995 (2017).
- [29] D. Rees, K. Manna, B. Lu, T. Morimoto, H. Borrmann, C. [Felser, J. E. Moore, D. H. Torchinsky, and J. Orenstein,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba0509) Sci. Adv. **6**, eaba0509 (2020).
- [30] [M. Maki, M. Kaiser, A. Zettl, and G. Grüner,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(83)90676-2) Solid State Commun. **46**, 497 (1983).
- [31] Z. Z. Wang, M. C. Saint-Lager, P. Monceau, M. Renard, P. [Gressier, A. Meerschaut, L. Guemas, and J. Rouxel,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(83)90662-2) Solid State Commun. **46**, 325 (1983).
- [32] Z. Wang and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **87**[, 161107\(R\) \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.161107)
- [33] A. Sekine and K. Nomura, J. Appl. Phys. **129**[, 141101 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0038804)
- [34] J. Gooth, B. Bradlyn, S. Honnali, C. Schindler, N. Kumar, J. Noky, Y. Qi, C. Shekhar, Y. Sun, Z. Wang, B. A. Bernevig, and C. Felser, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1630-4) **575**, 315 (2019).
- [35] A. A. Sinchenko, R. Ballou, J. E. Lorenzo, T. Grenet, and P. Monceau, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0080380) **120**, 063102 (2022).
- [36] G. Grüner, *Density Waves in Solids* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2019).
- [37] J. Voit, L. Perfetti, F. Zwick, H. Berger, G. Margaritondo, [G. Grüner, H. Höchst, and M. Grioni,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5491.501) Science **290**, 501 (2000).
- [38] P. Monceau, Adv. Phys. **61**[, 325 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2012.719674)
- [39] C. Tournier-Colletta, L. Moreschini, G. Autès, S. Moser, A. Crepaldi, H. Berger, A. L. Walter, K. S. Kim, A. Bostwick, [P. Monceau, E. Rotenberg, O. V. Yazyev, and M. Grioni,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.236401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 236401 (2013).
- [40] [Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, S. Dong, and E. Dagotto,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.174106) Phys. Rev. B **101**, 174106 (2020).
- [41] [K. B. Lee, D. Davidov, and A. J. Heeger,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(85)90104-8) Solid State Commun. **54**, 673 (1985).
- [42] J. E. Lorenzo, R. Currat, P. Monceau, B. Hennion, H. Berger, and F. Levy, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/23/010) **10**, 5039 (1998).
- [43] [S. van Smaalen, E. J. Lam, and J. Lüdecke,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/13/44/308) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 9923 (2001).
- [44] V. Favre-Nicolin, S. Bos, J. E. Lorenzo, J.-L. Hodeau, J.-F. [Berar, P. Monceau, R. Currat, F. Levy, and H. Berger,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.015502) Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 015502 (2001).
- [45] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.8.034202](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.8.034202) for lattice modeling details, powder XRD refinements, single-crystal XRD refinement parameters, mircographs for TEM, satellite peak profiles, and additional diffuse scattering simulations.
- [46] M. J. Krogstad, S. Rosenkranz, J. M. Wozniak, G. Jennings, [J. P. C. Ruff, J. T. Vaughey, and R. Osborn,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0500-7) Nat. Mater. **19**, 63 (2020).
- [47] G. Jennings, CCTW crystal coordinate transformation workflow, <https://sourceforge.net/projects/cctw/> (2016).
- [48] G. M. Sheldrick, [Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218) **71**, 3 (2015).
- [49] [T. Proffen and R. B. Neder,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S002188989600934X) J. Appl. Crystallogr. **30**, 171 (1997).
- [50] [T. Proffen and R. B. Neder,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889899004860) J. Appl. Crystallogr. **32**, 838 (1999).
- [51] R. B. Neder and T. Proffen, *Diffuse Scattering and Defect Structure Simulations: A Cook Book Using the Program Discus*, International Union of Crystallography Texts on Crystallography (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2008).
- [52] [L. Forró, J. R. Cooper, A. Jánossy, and M. Maki,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(87)90415-7) Solid State Commun. **62**, 715 (1987).
- [53] S. Kim, R. C. McKay, N. Bielinski, C. Zhao, M.-K. Lin, J. A. Hlevyack, X. Guo, S.-K. Mo, P. Abbamonte, T.-C. Chiang, A. Schleife, D. P. Shoemaker, B. Bradlyn, and F. Mahmood, [arXiv:2108.10874.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.10874)
- [54] A. Crepaldi, M. Puppin, D. Gosálbez-Martínez, L. Moreschini, F. Cilento, H. Berger, O. V. Yazyev, M. Chergui, and M. Grioni, JPhys Mater. **5**[, 044006 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7639/ac9647)
- [55] L. Perfetti, H. Berger, A. Reginelli, L. Degiorgi, H. Höchst, [J. Voit, G. Margaritondo, and M. Grioni,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.216404) Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 216404 (2001).
- [56] H. D. Flack, [Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767383001762) **39**, 876 (1983).
- [57] H. D. Flack and G. Bernardinelli, Chirality **20**[, 681 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/chir.20473)
- [58] [S. Parsons, H. D. Flack, and T. Wagner,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2052519213010014) Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B **69**, 249 (2013).
- [59] D. J. Watkin and R. I. Cooper, Chemistry **2**[, 796 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.3390/chemistry2040052)
- [60] Á. Valentín-Pérez, P. Rosa, E. A. Hillard, and M. Giorgi, Chirality **34**[, 163 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/chir.23377)
- [61] H. Yi, Z. Huang, W. Shi, L. Min, R. Wu, C. M. Polley, R. Zhang, Y.-F. Zhao, L.-J. Zhou, J. Adell, X. Gui, W. Xie, M. H. W. Chan, [Z. Mao, Z. Wang, W. Wu, and C.-Z. Chang,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.013271) Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, 013271 (2021).
- [62] M. C. di Gregorio, L. J. W. Shimon, V. Brumfeld, L. Houben, [M. Lahav, and M. E. van der Boom,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13925-5) Nat. Commun. **11**, 380 (2020).
- [63] Z. Dong and Y. Ma, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15388-5) **11**, 1588 (2020).
- [64] B. I. Sturman and V. M. Fridkin, *The Photovoltaic and Photorefractive Effects in Noncentrosymmetric Materials* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1992).
- [65] G. Chang, B. J. Wieder, F. Schindler, D. S. Sanchez, I. Belopolski, S.-M. Huang, B. Singh, D. Wu, T.-R. Chang,

[T. Neupert, S.-Y. Xu, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-018-0169-3) Nat. Mater. **17**, 978 (2018).

- [66] [H. Fujishita, M. Sato, and S. Hoshino,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/18/6/007) J. Phys. C **18**, 1105 (1985).
- [67] [H. Fujishita, M. Sato, and S. Hoshino,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(84)90576-3) Solid State Commun. **49**, 313 (1984).
- [68] [H. Fujishita, S. M. Shapiro, M. Sato, and S. Hoshino,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/19/17/004) J. Phys. C **19**, 3049 (1986).
- [69] Q. L. Nguyen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**[, 076901 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.076901)
- [70] P. Monceau, L. Bernard, R. Currat, F. Levy, and J. Rouxel, [Physica B+C \(Amsterdam\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4363(86)80090-0) **136**, 352 (1986).