

Revealing the two-dimensional electronic structure and anisotropic superconductivity in a natural van der Waals superlattice (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂

Haoyuan Zhong^{1,*}, Hongyun Zhang^{1,*}, Haoxiong Zhang^{1,*}, Ting Bao¹, Kenan Zhang¹, Shengnan Xu¹, Laipeng Luo¹, Awabaike Rousuli¹, Wei Yao¹, Jonathan D. Denlinger², Yaobo Huang³, Yang Wu^{4,5}, Yong Xu^{1,6}, Wenhui Duan^{1,6} and Shuyun Zhou^{1,6,†}

¹State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics and Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

²Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

³Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai Advanced Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201204, People's Republic of China

⁴Tsinghua-Foxconn Nanotechnology Research Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

⁵College of Math and Physics, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, People's Republic of China

⁶Frontier Science Center for Quantum Information, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China



(Received 2 January 2023; revised 7 March 2023; accepted 10 April 2023; published 26 April 2023)

Van der Waals superlattices are important for tailoring the electronic structures and properties of layered materials. Here we report the superconducting properties and electronic structure of a natural van der Waals superlattice (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂. Anisotropic superconductivity with a transition temperature $T_c = 5.6 \pm 0.1$ K, which is higher than monolayer NbSe₂, is revealed by transport measurements on high-quality samples. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements reveal the two-dimensional electronic structure and a charge transfer of 0.43 electrons per NbSe₂ unit cell from the blocking PbSe layer. In addition, polarization-dependent ARPES measurements reveal a significant circular dichroism with opposite contrast at K and K' valleys, suggesting a significant spin-orbital coupling and distinct orbital angular momentum. Our work suggests natural van der Waals superlattice as an effective pathway for achieving intriguing properties distinct from both the bulk and monolayer samples.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.L041801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.L041801)

The interlayer coupling plays a critical role in determining the fundamental properties of layered materials [1,2], in particular for transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) which exhibit intriguing layer-dependent properties with potential applications in nanoelectronics, optoelectronics, and valleytronics [1,3]. Reducing the dimensionality to the two-dimensional (2D) limit leads to distinctive properties from the bulk materials, such as indirect-to-direct bandgap transition [4,5], Ising superconductivity with an in-plane upper critical field exceeding the Pauli limit [6–9]. So far, the dimensionality control has been mostly achieved by mechanical exfoliation of the bulk crystal or growing monolayer film. However, atomically thin films, in particular metallic films, such as NbSe₂ [6,10] and WTe₂ [11] are often unstable under ambient conditions, and sometimes this can lead to degraded material properties.

Misfit layer compounds (MLCs), natural van der Waals superlattices formed by alternating rock-salt monochalcogenide blocking layer and the active TMDC layer along the *c*-axis direction [12–14], provide another pathway to tailor the dimensionality and electronic properties of layered materials.

By inserting the blocking layer, the interlayer coupling between neighboring active TMDC monolayers is reduced or even removed, while at the same time, the active monolayers are protected by the blocking layers. In this way, 2D properties can be achieved in a bulk van der Waals superlattice with high sample quality and robust sample stability as has been reported in NbS₂/Ba₃NbS₅ [15]. So far, most studies on MLCs have focused on the growth, characterization, and transport measurements [15–20], while the effect of the blocking layer on the material properties [21,22], as well as the tailored electronic structure of MLC as compared to bulk and monolayer counterparts, are only rarely investigated.

In this work, we report the tailored electronic structure of a natural van der Waals superlattice (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂, which shows anisotropic superconductivity with a transition temperature $T_c = 5.6$ K, which is higher than previous reports on the same compound [23–27] as well as monolayer samples [6,28–31]. The 2D electronic structure is revealed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements, and a charge transfer from PbSe to NbSe₂ by 0.43 electrons per NbSe₂ unit cell is extracted from the size of the Fermi pockets. In addition, polarization-dependent ARPES measurements reveal circular dichroism with opposite contrast for the K and K' valleys, indicating distinct orbital angular momentum (OAM) and selective excitation of K and K' valleys. Our work demonstrates natural van der Waals superlattice as an effective

*These authors contributed equally to this work

†Corresponding author: syzhou@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn




FIG. 1. Schematics for van der Waals superlattice $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ and sample characterization. (a) and (b) Crystal structures of 2H- NbSe_2 single crystal and $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$. The red arrows indicate the charge transfer from PbSe to NbSe_2 layers. (c) XPS spectrum shows characteristic Nb, Se, and Pb peaks measured under $h\nu = 110$ eV. (d) XRD measurements on $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red) and the NbSe_2 single crystal (black). (e) Raman spectra of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red) and NbSe_2 (black).

tive pathway for tailoring the electronic structure of layered materials, and for achieving novel properties with potential applications.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the crystal structures of bulk NbSe_2 and $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$. High-quality $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ single crystals were grown by chemical vapor transport (CVT) methods [23,24], where the chemical stoichiometry is determined by the ratio of the mismatched a -axis periodicities [13]. The as-grown samples were characterized by x-ray diffraction (XRD, sensitive to the out-of-plane crystallinity), Laue diffraction (sensitive to in-plane crystal structure) and Raman spectra (sensitive to the lattice vibrations), and a systematic optimization of the growth conditions including precursors, transport agent, and temperature gradient is performed to obtain high-quality samples with good reproducibility (see Figs. S1 and S2 and Table S1 for more details of sample optimization in Supplemental Material [32]). The optimization of the growth condition can also be applied to other MLCs (see Fig. S3 for more details of growth of other MLCs in Supplemental Material [32]). The high-quality samples pave an important step for transport measurements which reveal a higher superconducting transition temperature, and for electronic structure investigations presented below.

The successful insertion of PbSe blocking layers into NbSe_2 is confirmed by characteristic Nb, Se, and Pb peaks in the x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) spectrum measured on the cleaved surface [Fig. 1(c)]. XRD measurements show that the insertion of PbSe blocking layers results in an increase of the interlayer spacing from 6.27 ± 0.01 Å in the bulk NbSe_2 [black curve in Fig. 1(d)] to $12.31 \pm$

0.01 Å in the $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ [red curve in Fig. 1(d)]. The increasing interlayer spacing leads to a reduced interlayer coupling and a change of the vibrational modes. A comparison of the Raman spectra in Fig. 1(e) shows that from NbSe_2 to $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$, the A_{1g} peak shifts from 226.4 cm^{-1} to 222.4 cm^{-1} while the E_{2g} peak from 236.4 cm^{-1} to 256.2 cm^{-1} . The A_{1g} and E_{2g} modes involve Se atoms moving perpendicular and parallel to the Nb plane, and therefore they are particularly sensitive to the interlayer coupling [45]. The redshift of the A_{1g} peak and blueshift of E_{2g} is similar to what is observed from bulk to monolayer MoS_2 [46,47], indicating weakening of the interlayer coupling in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (note that the blueshift of E_{2g} may also attribute to electron doping from PbSe [48,49]).

The as-grown $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ exhibits anisotropic superconductivity, which is revealed by transport measurements (Fig. 2). The optimized sample shows a higher transition temperature $T_c = 5.6 \pm 0.1$ K [shown in Fig. 2(a)] compared to previously reported T_c values ranging from 1.1 to 2.5 K [23–27], together with a sharper transition, which possibly originates from the improved sample quality (see Fig. S4 for more details of sample with different quality in Supplemental Material [32]). In addition, the superconductivity shows an anisotropic response to applied magnetic fields—the transition temperature is much less sensitive to the application of in-plane magnetic fields [H_{\parallel} , Fig. 2(b)] as compared to out-of-plane magnetic fields [H_{\perp} , Fig. 2(c)]. Figure 2(d) shows the extracted upper critical magnetic fields H_{c2} (normalized by Pauli limit H_P), and a comparison of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red markers) with bulk NbSe_2 (black markers; see Fig. S5 for




FIG. 2. Anisotropic superconductivity with an enhanced in-plane upper critical field. (a) Temperature-dependent resistance of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ with transition temperature T_c of 5.6 K, which is defined as the 90% resistance of the normal state. (b) Normalized resistance upon application of in-plane magnetic fields for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$. The in-plane magnetic fields are 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, and 9.0 T from red to blue curves and T_{c0} is transition temperature without magnetic field. (c) Normalized resistance upon application of out-of-plane magnetic fields for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$. The out-of-plane magnetic fields are 0.0, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.5 T from red to blue curves. (d) Extracted upper critical magnetic fields H_{c2} for H_{\parallel} (circles) and H_{\perp} (triangles) as a function of transition temperature. Red markers correspond to $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$, and black markers correspond to NbSe_2 . Red and black dashed lines are the Lawrence-Doniach model fit.

raw data in Supplemental Material [32]) shows that the superconducting anisotropy is enhanced. To quantify the enhanced anisotropy of superconductivity, the anisotropy factor is defined by $\gamma = H_{c2,\parallel}(0)/H_{c2,\perp}(0)$, where $H_{c2,\parallel}(0)$ and $H_{c2,\perp}(0)$ are extracted by the Lawrence-Doniach model fit [50] [shown as dashed lines in Fig. 2(d)]. The anisotropy factor is enhanced from 3.3 for bulk NbSe_2 to 6.2 for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$, which is smaller than the Ising superconductivity in monolayer NbSe_2 [6] with a T_c of 0.9–3.7 K [6,28–31]. The enhanced anisotropy is consistent with the increasing interlayer spacing suggested by XRD and Raman measurements in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) which enhances two-dimensional features. We note that similar anisotropy enhancement is also observed in $(\text{LaSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ [51] and $[(\text{SnSe})_{1+\delta}]_m(\text{NbSe}_2)_1$ [50], which also confirms that the blocking layer effectively decouples the NbSe_2 layer and results in the enhancement of 2D electronic properties.

In order to understand the physics underlying the anisotropic superconductivity in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$, ARPES measurements have been performed to reveal the highly 2D electronic structure. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show a comparison of the experimental electronic structures for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ and bulk NbSe_2 . We note that there are two natural cleaving surfaces, with PbSe and NbSe_2 terminations, respectively, and they show distinctive core levels (see Figs. S6 and S7 and Table S2 for more details of two terminations in Supplemental Material [32]). However, the bands near E_F from the Nb 4d orbital [29,52,53], which are the main focus, are observed for both terminations and they show overall similar dispersion. A comparison between the misfit compound and bulk NbSe_2 shows that the highly dispersive 3D bands contributed by Se p_z orbital [52] in bulk NbSe_2 [pointed by black arrows in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d)] are missing in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ [as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)], leading to a large energy separation between the bottom of the conduction band and the

top of the valence band. The calculated electronic structure of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ [shown in Fig. 3(c)] agrees qualitatively well with the ARPES results in Fig. 3(a), and they both show distinctive layer-decoupled features that resemble the band structure of monolayer NbSe_2 [31,54–56] (see Figs. S8 and S9 for more details of first-principles calculation in Supplemental Material [32]). The 2D electronic structure is further supported by the negligible k_z dispersion of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (see Fig. S10 for more details of k_z dispersion in Supplemental Material [32]). Therefore, our ARPES measurements together with first-principles calculations show that by expanding the interlayer spacing, the electronic structure of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ becomes layer decoupled, as indicated by the disappearance of the highly dispersive Se p_z bands at the Γ point at different k_z values. Such 2D electronic structure is consistent with the enhanced superconducting anisotropy in response to the applied magnetic fields. We note while PbSe bands are absent near E_F , similar to previous reports in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.16}(\text{TiSe}_2)_m$ [57], the PbSe blocking layer affects the electronic structure from two aspects. First, the PbSe blocking layer plays an important role in breaking the D_{3h} symmetry of the misfit layer compound, which results in the splitting of the Nb 4d band along the Γ -M direction (see Fig. S11 for more details of D_{3h} symmetry breaking in Supplemental Material [32]). This is also in contrast to the broken inversion symmetry in monolayer NbSe_2 , where the Nb 4d bands split along the Γ -K direction, while they are still degenerate along the Γ -M direction [55]. Secondly, it contributes charges to the neighboring NbSe_2 as discussed in details below.

ARPES measurements not only reveal the layer-decoupled electronic structure, but also allow us to reveal the charge transfer between PbSe and NbSe_2 . The carrier concentration can be extracted from the Fermi pockets using the Luttinger theorem [58] and the charge transfer can be directly extracted by the change of the carrier concentration, as has been




FIG. 3. 2D electronic structure and charge transfer in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$. (a) Dispersion images of MLC $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ measured along the K- Γ -M direction. (b) Dispersion images of bulk NbSe_2 crystal measured along the K- Γ -M direction. The second derivative of the bands near the M point are clearer as shown in the inset. (c) The unfolded electronic structure of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ projected to the K- Γ -M direction of NbSe_2 . The projection weight is represented by the size of the symbols and color. (d) Bulk band structure of NbSe_2 projected on the (001) surface Brillouin zone, which shows highly dispersive Se p_z bands. (e) and (f) Symmetrized Fermi surface maps for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (e) and bulk NbSe_2 (f). Red and black dotted curves indicate the hole pockets at the Fermi energy. (g) Extracted Fermi surface pockets for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red curves) and bulk NbSe_2 (black dotted curves). (h) Extracted energy distribution curves (EDCs) for $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red curve) and bulk NbSe_2 (black curve) at momentum positions indicated by red and black dashed lines in (a) and (b).

recently demonstrated in a different misfit layer compound $(\text{PbSe})_{1.16}(\text{TiSe}_2)_m$ [57]. The hole pockets of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ shown in Fig. 3(e) are clearly smaller than those of bulk NbSe_2 [Fig. 3(f)]. This suggests a lower hole concentration, indicating electron transfer from the blocking PbSe layers to active NbSe_2 layers. Figure 3(g) shows a comparison of the Fermi pockets for bulk NbSe_2 (black dotted curves) and $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ (red curves). From the change in the size of the Fermi pockets, a charge transfer of 0.43 ± 0.02 electrons per NbSe_2 unit cell from the PbSe layer is revealed (see Fig. S12 for more details in Supplemental Material [32]), which is comparable to the value in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.16}(\text{TiSe}_2)_m$ [57] and $(\text{LaSe})_{1.14}(\text{NbSe}_2)_2$ [21]. Such charge transfer also results in a band shift of the Nb $4d$ bands by 250 ± 20 meV, as shown by the EDCs in Fig. 3(h). These results demonstrate that the PbSe blocking layers not only provide effective protections for the NbSe_2 layers and make NbSe_2 layers decoupled, but also inject carriers to the NbSe_2 layer, thereby tuning the carrier concentration of $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$.

The measured electronic structure confirms that the $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$ exhibits 2D electronic properties similar to the monolayer NbSe_2 , yet with a much larger electron doping due to charge transfer from the PbSe blocking layers. The decoupling of NbSe_2 layers suggests that the K and K' valleys are inequivalent due to the inversion symmetry

breaking [6,59] (see Fig. S13 for more details of inversion symmetry breaking in Supplemental Material [32]), which could result in circular dichroism (CD) [60] and orbital angular momentum (OAM) [61]. To search for the OAM and the possible valley pseudospin in $(\text{PbSe})_{1.14}\text{NbSe}_2$, we perform polarization-dependent ARPES measurements as schematically illustrated in Fig. 4(a) (see Fig. S14 for geometry of CD measurement in Supplemental Material [32]), where the magnetic quantum numbers for right-handed circular polarization (RCP) and left-handed circular polarization (LCP) lights are opposite [62] as shown in Fig. 4(d). Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show the Fermi surface maps measured by RCP and LCP lights, with clear intensity contrast for the K and K' valleys. The RCP light enhances the intensity of the K valley as indicated by the red arrow in Fig. 4(b), while the LCP light enhances the K' valley as indicated by the blue arrow in Fig. 4(c). Therefore, the circularly polarized light can selectively couple to these two valleys as schematically summarized in Fig. 4(d), similar to the valleytronics in monolayer MoS_2 [60,63] (see Table S3 for comparison of CD data to bulk and monolayer in Supplemental Material [32]). Such opposite circular dichroism is more clearly revealed in the differential intensity map shown in Fig. 4(e), which is obtained by subtracting Fig. 4(c) from Fig. 4(b). The circular dichroism is further normalized as $(I_{\text{RCP}} - I_{\text{LCP}})/(I_{\text{RCP}} + I_{\text{LCP}})$ [60] and shown in Fig. 4(f)




FIG. 4. Circular dichroism at different K and K' valleys revealed by polarization-dependent ARPES. (a) Schematic drawing of polarization-dependent ARPES measurements with left-handed circular polarization (LCP) and right-handed circular polarization (RCP) light. (b) and (c) Fermi surface maps measured under RCP (b) and LCP (c) light, respectively. The images are symmetrized with respect to $k_y = 0$. (d) Schematic drawing of the opposite circular dichroism at K and K' valleys. (e) Differential intensity map by subtracting (c) from (b). (f) Circular dichroism map normalized as $(I_{RCP} - I_{LCP}) / (I_{RCP} + I_{LCP})$.

with an extracted maximum circular dichroism value of 30%. The observation of opposite circular dichroism suggests that there is a significant OAM, since the orbital part of the wave function directly couples to the electric field of the incident light [61,62]. Considering that there is decoupled interlayer coupling in the MLC, the valley contrasted OAM and the strong spin-orbital coupling (SOC) in NbSe₂ implies that there are likely different spin textures in different valleys, similar to the spin texture in monolayer NbSe₂ [6]. We note that the correspondence of circular dichroism and spin texture has been revealed on topological insulators [64,65] and Rashba systems [66–68], which also exhibit strong SOC. Here, our results provide the observation of valley-dependent OAM in a MLC system (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂, which further demonstrates the tailored 2D behavior in the bulk van der Waals superlattice with potential valleytronics applications.

In summary, we reveal the 2D electronic structure and anisotropic superconductivity in a natural van der Waals superlattice (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂. Anisotropic superconductivity with a transition temperature of $T_c = 5.6 \pm 0.1$ K is observed, and opposite circular dichroism at K and K' valleys shows that circularly polarized light can selectively couple with K and K' valleys, thereby suggesting (PbSe)_{1.14}NbSe₂ as a promising candidate for valleytronics with strong SOC and OAM. The enhanced superconducting anisotropy compared to bulk NbSe₂ is attributed to the reduced dimensionality, which

is supported by the increasing interlayer spacing supported by XRD and Raman measurements and the 2D electronic structure revealed by ARPES measurements.

Finally, we would like to discuss the physics implied from the misfit layer compound. The enhanced H_{c2} (more Ising-like superconductivity) is related to the breaking of the inversion symmetry in the misfit compound, similar to the case of monolayer NbSe₂ [6]. The PbSe blocking layer not only induces charges to the neighboring NbSe₂ with a charge transfer of 0.43 electrons, but also it plays a role of protecting the NbSe₂. Such protection is a possible reason for why the T_c is higher than monolayer NbSe₂, similar to the case of ionic liquid cation intercalated NbSe₂ [48]. Moreover, the incommensurate PbSe blocking layer also leads to breaking of the D_{3h} symmetry, resulting in a splitting of the bands along the Γ -M direction, which is distinguished from monolayer NbSe₂. Our work directly reveals the 2D electronic structure underlying the anisotropy superconductivity in MLC, and provides another pathway to tailor the dimensionality and properties of NbSe₂ and other layered materials in addition to ionic liquid cation intercalation [48], with properties that are distinctive from the bulk samples and monolayer samples.

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grants No. 2020YFA0308800 and No. 2021YFA1400100) the National Natural Science

Foundation of China (Grants No. 12234011, No. 92250305, No. 11725418, No. 12025405, No. 11874035, No. 51788104, No. 51991343 and No. 21975140), the National Key R&D Program of China (Grants No. 2018YFA0307100 and No. 2018YFA0305603), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Buctrc202212). H.Z. acknowledges support from the Shuimu Tsinghua Scholar

project; China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2022M721887). This research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, and the Beamline BL09U of the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

- [1] Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J. N. Coleman, and M. S. Strano, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **7**, 699 (2012).
- [2] S. Manzeli, D. Ovchinnikov, D. Pasquier, O. V. Yazyev, and A. Kis, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2**, 17033 (2017).
- [3] X. Xu, W. Yao, D. Xiao, and T. F. Heinz, *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 343 (2014).
- [4] K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan, and T. F. Heinz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 136805 (2010).
- [5] A. Splendiani, L. Sun, Y. Zhang, T. Li, J. Kim, C.-Y. Chim, G. Galli, and F. Wang, *Nano Lett.* **10**, 1271 (2010).
- [6] X. Xi, Z. Wang, W. Zhao, J.-H. Park, K. T. Law, H. Berger, L. Forró, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 139 (2016).
- [7] J. Lu, O. Zheliuk, I. Leermakers, N. Yuan, U. Heitler, K. Law, and J. Ye, *Science* **350**, 1353 (2015).
- [8] C. Wang, B. Lian, X. Guo, J. Mao, Z. Zhang, D. Zhang, B.-L. Gu, Y. Xu, and W. Duan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 126402 (2019).
- [9] J. Falson, Y. Xu, M. Liao, Y. Zang, K. Zhu, C. Wang, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, W. Duan, K. He *et al.*, *Science* **367**, 1454 (2020).
- [10] H. Wang, X. Huang, J. Lin, J. Cui, Y. Chen, C. Zhu, F. Liu, Q. Zeng, J. Zhou, P. Yu *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 394 (2017).
- [11] I. Cucchi, I. Gutiérrez-Lezama, E. Cappelli, S. McKeown Walker, F. Y. Bruno, G. Tenasini, L. Wang, N. Ubrig, C. Barreateau, E. Giannini *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **19**, 554 (2019).
- [12] G. Wieggers and A. Meerschaut, *J. Alloys Compd.* **178**, 351 (1992).
- [13] G. Wieggers, *Prog. Solid State Chem.* **24**, 1 (1996).
- [14] O. Dolotko, I. Z. Hlova, A. K. Pathak, Y. Mudryk, V. K. Pecharsky, P. Singh, D. D. Johnson, B. W. Boote, J. Li, E. A. Smith *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3005 (2020).
- [15] A. Devarakonda, H. Inoue, S. Fang, C. Ozsoy-Keskinbora, T. Suzuki, M. Kriener, L. Fu, E. Kaxiras, D. C. Bell, and J. G. Checkelsky, *Science* **370**, 231 (2020).
- [16] X. Yang, J. Ma, B. Lv, H. Hu, T. Sun, M. Li, L. Qiao, S. Wu, Q. Tao, G.-H. Cao *et al.*, *Europhys. Lett.* **128**, 17004 (2019).
- [17] H. Bai, X. Yang, Y. Liu, M. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Li, J. Ma, Q. Tao, Y. Xie, G.-H. Cao *et al.*, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **30**, 355701 (2018).
- [18] H. Bai, L. Qiao, M. Li, J. Ma, X. Yang, Y. Li, Q. Tao, and Z.-A. Xu, *Mater. Res. Express* **7**, 016002 (2020).
- [19] W. Bai, P. Li, S. Ju, C. Xiao, H. Shi, S. Wang, S. Qin, Z. Sun, and Y. Xie, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **9**, 6421 (2018).
- [20] N. Wang, S. Yuan, R. Cong, X. Lu, F. Meng, C. Shang, and X. Chen, *Europhys. Lett.* **112**, 67007 (2015).
- [21] R. T. Leriche, A. Palacio-Morales, M. Campetella, C. Tresca, S. Sasaki, C. Brun, F. Debontridder, P. David, I. Arfaoui, O. Šofranko *et al.*, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **31**, 2007706 (2021).
- [22] A. Chikina, G. Bhattacharyya, D. Curcio, C. E. Sanders, M. Bianchi, N. Lanatà, M. Watson, C. Cacho, M. Bremholm, and P. Hofmann, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **6**, L092001 (2022).
- [23] Y. Oosawa, Y. Gotoh, J. Akimoto, T. Tsunoda, M. Sohma, and M. Onoda, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **31**, L1096 (1992).
- [24] C. Auriel, R. Roesky, A. Meerschaut, and J. Rouxel, *Mater. Res. Bull.* **28**, 247 (1993).
- [25] P. Monceau, J. Chen, O. Laborde, A. Briggs, C. Auriel, R. Roesky, A. Meerschaut, and J. Rouxel, *Phys. B: Condens. Matter* **194-196**, 2361 (1994).
- [26] C. Auriel, A. Meerschaut, C. Deudon, G. Wieggers, J. Baas, J. Chen, and P. Monceau, *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.* **32**, 947 (1995).
- [27] C. Grosse, M. B. Alemayehu, M. Falmbigl, A. Mogilatenko, O. Chiatti, D. C. Johnson, and S. F. Fischer, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 33457 (2016).
- [28] Y. Cao, A. Mishchenko, G. L. Yu, E. Khestanova, A. P. Rooney, E. Prestat, A. V. Kretinin, P. Blake, M. B. Shalom, C. Woods *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 4914 (2015).
- [29] M. M. Ugeda, A. J. Bradley, Y. Zhang, S. Onishi, Y. Chen, W. Ruan, C. Ojeda-Aristizabal, H. Ryu, M. T. Edmonds, H.-Z. Tsai *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 92 (2016).
- [30] Y. Xing, K. Zhao, P. Shan, F. Zheng, Y. Zhang, H. Fu, Y. Liu, M. Tian, C. Xi, H. Liu *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **17**, 6802 (2017).
- [31] K. Zhao, H. Lin, X. Xiao, W. Huang, W. Yao, M. Yan, Y. Xing, Q. Zhang, Z.-X. Li, S. Hoshino *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 904 (2019).
- [32] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.L041801> for additional information about the methods, optimization of sample growth, growth of other MLCs, properties of sample with different quality, superconductivity of pristine bulk NbSe₂, observation of different terminations, first-principles calculations of (PbSe)_{1,14}NbSe₂, k_z dispersion, D_{3h} symmetry breaking, charge transfer from PbSe to NbSe₂, inversion symmetry breaking, and more information of circular dichroism data. The Supplemental Material also contains Refs. [33–44].
- [33] P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953 (1994).
- [34] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [35] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 154104 (2010).
- [36] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15 (1996).
- [37] V. Popescu and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 236403 (2010).
- [38] V. Popescu and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 085201 (2012).
- [39] V. Wang, N. Xu, J.-C. Liu, G. Tang, and W.-T. Geng, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **267**, 108033 (2021).
- [40] A. Lafond, A. Nader, Y. Moëlo, A. Meerschaut, A. Briggs, S. Perrin, P. Monceau, and J. Rouxel, *J. Alloys Compd.* **261**, 114 (1997).
- [41] F. Göhler, G. Mitchson, M. B. Alemayehu, F. Speck, M. Wanke, D. C. Johnson, and T. Seyller, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **30**, 055001 (2018).

- [42] C. Bigi, F. Mazzola, J. Fujii, I. Vobornik, G. Panaccione, and G. Rossi, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 245142 (2021).
- [43] M. R. Scholz, J. Sánchez-Barriga, J. Braun, D. Marchenko, A. Varykhalov, M. Lindroos, Y. J. Wang, H. Lin, A. Bansil, J. Minár *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 216801 (2013).
- [44] A. Crepaldi, F. Cilento, M. Zacchigna, M. Zonno, J. C. Johannsen, C. Tournier-Colletta, L. Moreschini, I. Vobornik, F. Bondino, E. Magnano *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 125408 (2014).
- [45] X. Xi, L. Zhao, Z. Wang, H. Berger, L. Forró, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 765 (2015).
- [46] C. Lee, H. Yan, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, J. Hone, and S. Ryu, *ACS Nano* **4**, 2695 (2010).
- [47] H. Li, Q. Zhang, C. C. R. Yap, B. K. Tay, T. H. T. Edwin, A. Olivier, and D. Baillargeat, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **22**, 1385 (2012).
- [48] H. Zhang, A. Rousuli, K. Zhang, L. Luo, C. Guo, X. Cong, Z. Lin, C. Bao, H. Zhang, S. Xu *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.* **18**, 1425 (2022).
- [49] A. Das, S. Pisana, B. Chakraborty, S. Piscanec, S. K. Saha, U. V. Waghmare, K. S. Novoselov, H. R. Krishnamurthy, A. K. Geim, A. C. Ferrari *et al.*, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **3**, 210 (2008).
- [50] M. Trahms, C. Grosse, M. B. Alemanyeh, O. K. Hite, O. Chiatti, A. Mogilatenko, D. C. Johnson, and S. F. Fischer, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **31**, 065006 (2018).
- [51] P. Samuely, P. Szabó, J. Kačmarčík, A. Jansen, A. Lafond, A. Meerschaut, and A. Briggs, *Physica C* **369**, 61 (2002).
- [52] M. D. Johannes, I. I. Mazin, and C. A. Howells, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 205102 (2006).
- [53] M. Calandra, I. I. Mazin, and F. Mauri, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 241108(R) (2009).
- [54] C.-S. Lian, C. Si, and W. Duan, *Nano Lett.* **18**, 2924 (2018).
- [55] Y. Nakata, K. Sugawara, S. Ichinokura, Y. Okada, T. Hitosugi, T. Koretsune, K. Ueno, S. Hasegawa, T. Takahashi, and T. Sato, *NPJ 2D Mater. Appl.* **2**, 12 (2018).
- [56] P. Dreher, W. Wan, A. Chikina, M. Bianchi, H. Guo, R. Harsh, S. Mañas-Valero, E. Coronado, A. J. Martínez-Galera, P. Hofmann *et al.*, *ACS Nano* **15**, 19430 (2021).
- [57] Q. Yao, D. W. Shen, C. H. P. Wen, C. Q. Hua, L. Q. Zhang, N. Z. Wang, X. H. Niu, Q. Y. Chen, P. Dudin, Y. H. Lu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 106401 (2018).
- [58] J. Luttinger, *Phys. Rev.* **119**, 1153 (1960).
- [59] L. Bawden, S. P. Cooil, F. Mazzola, J. Riley, L. Collins-McIntyre, V. Sunko, K. Hunvik, M. Leandersson, C. Polley, T. Balasubramanian *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11711 (2016).
- [60] T. Cao, G. Wang, W. Han, H. Ye, C. Zhu, J. Shi, Q. Niu, P. Tan, E. Wang, B. Liu *et al.*, *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 887 (2012).
- [61] J. Jiang, F. Tang, X. C. Pan, H. M. Liu, X. H. Niu, Y. X. Wang, D. F. Xu, H. F. Yang, B. P. Xie, F. Q. Song *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 166601 (2015).
- [62] S. R. Park, J. Han, C. Kim, Y. Y. Koh, C. Kim, H. Lee, H. J. Choi, J. H. Han, K. D. Lee, N. J. Hur *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 046805 (2012).
- [63] R. Suzuki, M. Sakano, Y. Zhang, R. Akashi, D. Morikawa, A. Harasawa, K. Yaji, K. Kuroda, K. Miyamoto, T. Okuda *et al.*, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 611 (2014).
- [64] Y. H. Wang, D. Hsieh, D. Pilon, L. Fu, D. R. Gardner, Y. S. Lee, and N. Gedik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 207602 (2011).
- [65] J. Sánchez-Barriga, A. Varykhalov, J. Braun, S.-Y. Xu, N. Alidoust, O. Kornilov, J. Minár, K. Hummer, G. Springholz, G. Bauer *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011046 (2014).
- [66] G. Bian, L. Zhang, Y. Liu, T. Miller, and T.-C. Chiang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 186403 (2012).
- [67] L. Bawden, J. M. Riley, C. H. Kim, R. Sankar, E. J. Monkman, D. E. Shai, H. I. Wei, E. B. Lochocki, J. W. Wells, W. Meevasana *et al.*, *Sci. Adv.* **1**, e1500495 (2015).
- [68] K. Ishizaka, M. Bahramy, H. Murakawa, M. Sakano, T. Shimojima, T. Sonobe, K. Koizumi, S. Shin, H. Miyahara, A. Kimura *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 521 (2011).