


^{53}Cr solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance: New observations and comprehensive correlations as a probe of valence and magnetic statesTimothy J. Bastow,^{1,*} Anita J. Hill ,^{1,†} Katherine M. Nairn,² and Mark E. Smith ^{3,‡}¹CSIRO Manufacturing, Stewart Bastow Building, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia²Department of Materials Engineering, Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia³Vice-Chancellor and President's Office and Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom (Received 8 April 2023; revised 31 July 2023; accepted 27 September 2023; published 27 November 2023)

New ^{53}Cr solid-state NMR measurements are presented for Cr_2N , CrB_2 , CrO_2 , Cr_2O_3 , $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$, and BaCrO_4 . Measurements of the ^{53}Cr nuclear quadrupole coupling constants, asymmetry parameters, and chemical (Knight) shifts of chromium compounds provide important experimental data for the validation of quantum chemistry calculations. In this work, natural abundance ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance, at 9.4 T, is used to examine the metals Cr_2N and CrB_2 as well as the diamagnetic insulating chromates $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ and BaCrO_4 at room temperature. ^{14}N and ^{11}B NMR spectra are also obtained at room temperature for Cr_2N and CrB_2 , respectively. The shift observed from CrB_2 is believed to be the largest Cr(0) Knight shift (-9982 ppm) for any chromium-containing metallic material. ^{53}Cr measurements in zero applied magnetic field as a function of temperature are reported for ferromagnetic CrO_2 and antiferromagnetic Cr_2O_3 . Within experimental accuracy the magnetization behavior in CrO_2 is modeled using Bloch spin-wave theory, where the magnetization decreases as $T^{3/2}$ over temperatures from 4.2 to 295 K, which also appears to adequately model the sublattice magnetization of Cr_2O_3 . These new experimental results are put into the context of previous magnetic resonance results found in the literature by providing the first comprehensive tabulation of such ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance data from solids for over 50 years. The low-temperature zero applied magnetic field central frequencies are correlated with valence state, and spin stiffness has been calculated for 16 chromium compounds. A knowledge of these parameters will enable further progress in using ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance as a probe of electronic and magnetic structures, thereby contributing to the more systematic development of novel materials.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.114410](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.114410)**I. INTRODUCTION**

^{53}Cr NMR studies of solid chromium-containing compounds were first reported in the 1960s as research teams sought to unravel the nature of the bonding in antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic materials and to test theoretical schemes for approximating the nature of magnetic ordering [1–5]. With the current level of interest in quantum materials, for applications in spintronics and as topological insulators, the challenge of understanding, predicting, and controlling magnetic properties and quantum states in Cr compounds has reinvigorated ^{53}Cr NMR studies [6–8]. The literature of relevance to the compounds studied here for the first time is introduced, followed by a report of new measurements of ^{53}Cr NMR at 9.4 T and in zero applied field with ^{53}Cr in natural abundance. These new results are compared with the literature where the ability to understand the valence and magnetic environment are highlighted. A key aim here is to provide a comprehensive overview of the literature on ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance from 1961 to date. The implications for

the trends in these data and the ability to probe bonding and spin properties for correlation with other materials properties (e.g., magnetization and spin density) are examined. Suggestions for future work are highlighted throughout the results and discussion.

II. STATE OF THE ART OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE OBSERVATION OF CHROMIUM IN SOLIDS

The first report of solid-state ^{53}Cr ($I = 3/2$, natural abundance 9.5%) NMR was in ferromagnetic CrBr_3 by Gossard *et al.* [1]. The NMR line shape could be obtained in zero applied field because of the existence of a strong internal magnetic hyperfine field at the Cr nucleus at temperatures below the ferromagnetic Curie point temperature $T_C = 37$ K. Using the internal field in materials with spontaneous magnetization to observe the magnetic resonance signal is termed zero-field NMR or ferromagnetic nuclear resonance. The temperature dependence of the ^{53}Cr resonance was measured from 1.34 to 4.2 K, and the data were fitted with spin-wave theory [9–11]. These ^{53}Cr NMR results were the first experimental test of spin-wave theory for a ferromagnetic compound using NMR. Three months after the Gossard *et al.* report [1], Narath [2] reported the first zero field ^{53}Cr NMR in an antiferromagnetic compound, CrCl_3 (Néel temperature, $T_N = 16.8$ K) measured

*Deceased.

†anita.hill@csiro.au

‡m.e.smith@soton.ac.uk

from 1.23 to 4.02 K, providing data for a further test of spin-wave theory. The ferromagnet CrI_3 ($T_C = 68$ K) was subsequently characterized using ^{53}Cr zero-field NMR as a low-temperature test of spin-wave theory [3]. Anisotropic Cr orbital states form a current topic of materials research interest for spintronic devices, and as such the chromium trihalides are still under investigation experimentally and theoretically as 2D materials with intrinsic ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic properties [12,13].

Rubinstein *et al.* [14] studied ^{53}Cr in antiferromagnetic Cr_2O_3 in zero applied field using powder samples with ^{53}Cr in natural abundance and enriched. For measurements at 1.6 K, the central line was detected at 70.43 MHz with the satellite transitions lying 262 ± 10 kHz to either side, yielding a quadrupolar frequency ν_Q of 0.525 MHz and a quadrupole coupling constant C_Q of 1.05 MHz for Cr_2O_3 (ν_Q and C_Q defined in Sec. IV A). The central-line resonance frequency was reported as constant within the accuracy of the measurement over the temperature range studied from 1.6 to 16 K. To date, no one has reported ^{53}Cr NMR measurements of Cr_2O_3 at temperatures much above liquid helium temperature. Internal-field magnetic resonance data are reported in the present work, significantly extending the temperature range.

The first report of ^{53}Cr NMR in ferromagnetic CrO_2 was in 1963 by Yasuoka *et al.* [15], who detected a single peak at 36.14 MHz in zero field at 77 K with the weak signal disappearing above 240 K. A later study [16] at 4.2 K and zero applied field reported two ^{53}Cr peaks at 26.3 and 36.7 MHz, even though a single chromium site is expected in the rutile structure of stoichiometric highly crystalline CrO_2 ; the authors tentatively attributed the high-frequency line to the expected single Cr site and the low-frequency line to Cr atoms affected by vacancies. The origin of these two ^{53}Cr sites has continued to be the subject of study. In 2007 Shim *et al.* [17] showed that the sites could not be due to vacancies, nor could they be due to Cr sites in domains and domain walls. Much recent work has been reported on ^{53}Cr in CrO_2 due to renewed interest in anomalous electronic states of half-metallic chromium oxides [18–21], with the most robust recent attribution of the two sites to an electronic state caused by local orbital order [18–20].

^{53}Cr NMR measurements in numerous diamagnetic chromates have been reported with nuclear quadrupolar coupling constants, C_Q , ranging from 1.17 to 5.01 MHz for 11 chromates and 7.25 to 8.28 MHz for 2 dichromates [22–24]. The ^{53}Cr chemical shift range reported in diamagnetic alkali-earth chromate and dichromate compounds is -117 to $+188$ ppm attributed to the small shielding anisotropies expected for d^0 Cr(VI) complexes [22,25]. Nowak and co-workers [26,27] have reported ^{53}Cr NMR in hexagonal and cubic chromium hydrides, establishing these compounds as metals (Pauli paramagnets) and reporting the temperature-independent Knight shift for each phase. Barnes and Graham determined the Knight shift in pure Cr metal in the paramagnetic state above $T_N = 313$ K and at 300 K in $\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{V}_x$ alloys with up to 3 at. % V [4]. Kontani [28] reported ^{53}Cr NMR for the $\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Mo}_x$ system with x varying from 0.25 to 26.3 at. %. Although there are several important Cr-containing stoichiometric compounds that are metals, e.g., the chromium boride refractory metals and the chromium nitrides, to date there has been very

little ^{53}Cr NMR reported in metals and alloys [29–31]. ^{53}Cr has a nuclear gyromagnetic ratio, γ , of -2.406 MHz/T which is low [29], and ^{53}Cr is not the most favored of the low- γ nuclides for solid-state NMR, sometimes being referred to as exotic, miscellaneous, unreceptive, and difficult. However, it has been shown in recent years that a combination of relatively large samples and, for wide lines, techniques such as frequency stepping can aid in the observation of low- γ nuclei [24,29,30,32], helping to overcome the challenges of low sensitivity, low natural abundance, and broad resonance lines. This study encompasses a wide range of samples, some of which will have high internal magnetic fields or large Knight shifts that can produce a large fluctuating spin density/magnetic field at the ^{53}Cr site resulting in short- T_1 relaxation times. In other samples, (e.g., nonmagnetic insulating samples) the relaxation can be significant slower. Typically, the recycle delays were set to produce relaxed or partially relaxed spectra tailored to the sample. In most samples fast relaxation occurs so a repetition time of 20–100 ms was used. The ability to recycle quickly for most samples means spectra can be rapidly collected helping the observation, especially when frequency-stepping strategies are adopted to locate the resonances by looking over a large frequency range. In addition, for ferro- and ferrimagnetic samples, enhancement mechanisms related to the way the electronic magnetization responds to the applied rf field can lead to a very significant additional boost to the magnitude of the signal [33,34].

III. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

CrO_2 and CrB_2 powders (99% purity), Cr_2O_3 and BaCrO_4 powders (purity $\geq 98\%$), and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ powder (98% purity) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Industrial-grade Cr_2N powder (92% purity) was obtained from Japan. Powder x-ray-diffraction (XRD) scans were measured using a Bruker D8 Advance spectrometer using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation to confirm crystallinity and purity. Analysis of the data was performed using the Bruker XRD search match program EVATM4.2. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on 10 mg of the Cr_2O_3 powder using a Mettler Toledo DSC 2 at a heating rate of 10 K/min from 133 to 373 K in flowing nitrogen (40 mL/min). Analysis of the data was performed using the STAR^e software. A Zeiss Merlin field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to acquire images of the CrO_2 powder at an accelerating voltage of 3 kV and 100000 \times magnification.

High-field (9.4 T) and zero applied field NMR spectroscopy were performed using a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer to generate the pulses and detect the signal via a phase-cycled two pulse-echo sequence using a pulse duration of ~ 4 to 10 μs , pulse separation of ~ 50 μs , and pulse repetition time of 20 to 500 ms depending on nuclear relaxation rate. The Larmor resonance frequencies for the investigated nuclei ^{11}B , ^{14}N , and ^{53}Cr at $B_0 = 9.4$ T are 128.40, 28.91, and 22.66 MHz, respectively. The reference ^{11}B NMR zero line shift was set using NaBH_4 in dilute aqueous solution, the reference for ^{14}N was solid NH_4Cl , and the reference for ^{53}Cr was $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ in saturated aqueous (D_2O) solution. For CrO_2 , small low-power 1- μs pulses were used since the signal strength is boosted by the inter- and intradomain

signal-enhancement mechanism in ferromagnets described elsewhere [33,34]. There was not a systematic determination here of the enhancement factor, although for ⁵³Cr, in the core domains (as opposed to the domain walls) where it is reported in the papers summarized here, the enhancement factor is usually in the range 10–100 and typically around 30. The probe bandwidth was approximately 800 kHz [full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the tuning curve]. The ⁵³Cr zero field NMR lines were recorded at 77, 195, and 295 K at an operating frequency adjusted to put the line maximum at the center of the frequency range. Frequency-stepped spectra [32] for ⁵³Cr were obtained at 295 K for CrB₂ and Cr₂N at 9.4 T and at 77 and 195 K in zero field for Cr₂O₃. For the lines defined by frequency stepping, the width of the pulses was varied to achieve the pulse bandwidth for the chosen frequency slice: typically, 5 μs for a 200-kHz slice using 300-W transmitter power. For Cr₂O₃ higher-power 5-μs pulses were necessary since the signal-enhancement mechanism that operates for ferromagnets is not applicable to antiferromagnets. The probe head was an 8-cm-long cylindrical copper pot with an enamelled wire wound coil attached to a home-built matching circuit by a short, low thermal conductivity, transmission line [35].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Hyperfine interactions

Hyperfine interactions experienced by a nucleus act as fingerprints of the different atomic sites in materials. In the case of the compounds examined here, the parameters determined by magnetic resonance measurements provide a sensitive probe of the local and magnetic structure around the ⁵³Cr atomic sites. The interactions experienced by a ⁵³Cr nucleus include quadrupolar via electric-field gradients (efgs), shielding (either chemical shift from conventional localized electronic bonding or Knight shift from delocalized conduction electrons), and for electronic magnetism, the local internal field [29,30,33,34]. An atomic nucleus with spin $I > 1/2$ has an electrical quadrupole moment which interacts with any efg, whose largest component V_{zz} (J/Cm²) is defined as

$$V_{zz} = \partial^2 V / \partial z^2, \quad (1)$$

Simulation of the line-shape features can give the nuclear quadrupole coupling constant, C_Q , in units of frequency and asymmetry parameter η , defined as

$$C_Q = eQV_{zz}/h \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}, \quad (2)$$

where Q is the quadrupole moment of the nucleus in units m², e is the electron charge in units of coulombs (C), h is Planck's constant, and V_{ii} are the respective efg tensor components. In highly crystalline, well-ordered samples the resulting powder line shapes of all transitions will be structured with clear peaks and singularities from which the quadrupolar interaction parameters can be extracted [29,30]. Often such structure cannot be observed, in which case the second-order perturbed central linewidth Δ (FWHM) can be used to estimate C_Q via [29,30]

$$\Delta = [25(\nu_Q^2)/144 \nu_L] [I(I+1) - 3/4],$$

where $\nu_Q = 3C_Q \{ \sqrt{[1 + (\eta^2/3)]} / [2I(2I - 1)] \}$, (3)

and where ν_L is the Larmor frequency. The value of C_Q from experiment can be compared with the V_{zz} calculated from density-functional theory (DFT). This combination of spectroscopic measurement and quantum chemistry calculations of quadrupole parameters provides a powerful means to study the solid state (see for example Bastow *et al.* [36]).

Recently, DFT methods have also been used to calculate the magnetic shielding or hyperfine-induced shift of the nuclear resonance (Knight shift, KS) in elemental metals [37] using the WIEN2K program [38] where both orbital and spin contributions are needed for the transition metals. For ⁵³Cr in pure Cr metal, the theoretical KS of 6818 ppm is in excellent agreement with the experimental KS of 6870 ± 100 ppm [4,37]. Where possible in this study, measured values for C_Q and chemical (Knight) shift are compared to DFT predictions for these values. For Cr compounds with spontaneous magnetization, the Knight shift (in %) is related to the Pauli-spin susceptibility χ_P per Cr atom (in cm³/mol) by

$$KS = [A][\chi_P / (N_A \mu_B)], \quad (4)$$

where A is the hyperfine coupling constant in T/ μ_B , N_A is Avogadro's number 6.022×10^{23} mol⁻¹, and $\mu_B = 9.27 \times 10^{-24}$ J/T.

When there are unpaired electrons then an internal magnetic field is generated and usually no magnetic field needs be applied. The zero-field (zf)-applied NMR center-line resonance, ν_{zf} , (in units of MHz) is a measure of the hyperfine field at the ⁵³Cr nucleus, B_{hf} (in units of T) through the relationship

$$B_{hf} = \nu_{zf} / ^{53}\gamma, \quad (5)$$

where $^{53}\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the ⁵³Cr nucleus (in units of MHz/T). At 0 K, $\nu_{zf}(0)$ is related to the local magnetic moment at 0 K, $M(0)$. The high-field approximation is made here because the local field is the dominant determinant of the observed frequency. Other interactions can make small perturbations to the zero-field frequency position [39]. The ground-state magnetic moment M can be estimated from the spin-only contribution from the number, n , of unpaired electrons, $M = \sqrt{n(n+2)}$ or estimated as the average magnetic moment through the Landé g factor for the ion ground state with

$$M = g\mu_B S, \quad (6)$$

where $S = n/2$ is the spin, and μ_B is the Bohr magneton per Cr ion (μ_B/Cr), and M can be directly measured using neutron diffraction or magnetometry. The ⁵³Cr Landé g factor for ground-state ions can be estimated from electron spin-resonance measurements within ~4% as 2 [40]. NMR measurements as the temperature approaches 0 K (liquid-helium temperatures) in zero field are necessary to minimize thermal effects on the value of the hyperfine constants derived from the data and for comparison with quantum chemical calculations of hyperfine interactions. The sublattice magnetization and ν_{zf} will decrease as the temperature rises due to thermally excited spin waves. The relationship between the ⁵³Cr ν_{zf} near 0 K and the magnetic moment M near 0 K in μ_B per Cr ion can be described by

$$\nu_{zf}(0) = ^{53}\gamma |A| M(0), \quad (7)$$




FIG. 1. Experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) central (1/2, $-1/2$) transition static ^{53}Cr NMR line shapes for static powder samples of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ (left) and BaCrO_4 (right) at 9.4 T. Intensity values are shifted on y axis for clarity.

where $|A|$ is the absolute value of the hyperfine coupling constant in T/μ_B . The gyromagnetic ratio of the ^{53}Cr nucleus, $^{53}\gamma$ in MHz/T , is a constant. The absolute value of the hyperfine coupling constant, $|A|$, depends on the configuration and valence of the Cr ion in the compound, which is discussed in Sec. IV G 1.

B. $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ and BaCrO_4

Alkali-metal chromates and alkaline-earth metal chromates have four Cr–O bonds of nearly equal length giving slightly distorted tetrahedral coordination of the Cr in the oxochromate (VI) anions, CrO_4^{2-} . This configuration restricts the magnitude of the efg at the Cr site, such that the coupling constant for the electric hyperfine interaction, C_Q , at the Cr site is typically less than 10 MHz [22]. In this circumstance the electric hyperfine interaction can be conveniently measured by conventional high applied field ^{53}Cr NMR via a simulation of a second-order perturbed line shape [39] which yields C_Q and η [Eq. (2)], where η measures the departure from axial symmetry of the efg tensor [29]. Ammonium chromate $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ is monoclinic with space group $C2/m$, [41] while barium chromate BaCrO_4 is orthorhombic with space group $Pnma$ [42]; both compounds have no electronic magnetic moment and no magnetic ordering.

Sharply defined ^{53}Cr NMR spectra are presented for $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ and BaCrO_4 obtained at 9.4 T, along with DMFIT [43] simulations of the central transition based on second-order quadrupole perturbation theory, in Fig. 1. The ^{53}Cr spectrum for $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ has not been previously reported although a preliminary value for C_Q of ~ 2.5 MHz was mentioned in earlier work [44]. The values obtained here for C_Q and η in BaCrO_4 are in excellent agreement with parameters reported at higher fields as shown in Table I. In these crystalline diamagnetic compounds, the central (1/2, $-1/2$) ^{53}Cr NMR transition displays relatively sharp singularities enabling accurate measurement of C_Q , η , and isotropic chemical shift δ_{iso} from the second-order quadrupolar features. The resulting isotropic chemical shift $\delta_{\text{iso}} = 0$ ppm for both chromates, with the reference zero shift obtained from $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ in aqueous solution, is in good agreement with

the previously reported value of $\delta_{\text{iso}} = 1750 \pm 20$ ppm for BaCrO_4 powder determined under magic-angle spinning and referenced to $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ in chloroform solution known to be shifted -1797 ppm relative to the CrO_4^{2-} used here [44,45]. Buhl [45] has calculated the chemical shift for CrO_4^{2-} using two density functionals and has reported values of 21 and 81 ppm as compared to the experimental value of 0 ppm.

C. Cr_2N

Cr_2N is an interstitial compound showing metallic behavior and no magnetic transitions; its principal use is as a tempering agent in steel making. The crystal structure is trigonal [46] with a single Cr site (fully occupied) with no axis of symmetry. The powder XRD pattern [35] indicates a well-crystallized specimen with minor impurity phases of $\text{CrN}_{0.98}$ ($6 \pm 1\%$) and Cr ($1.6 \pm 0.9\%$). It may be noted in passing that monochromium nitride, CrN, is an insulator at room temperature and an antiferromagnetic metal below the Néel temperature of 286 K [47]. *Ab initio* DFT calculations for two-dimensional Cr_2N MXene have predicted an antiferromagnetic metal [48].

The ^{53}Cr and ^{14}N NMR spectra for Cr_2N are reported here for the first time. There exists a relatively substantial electric quadrupole interaction at the Cr site. The ^{53}Cr NMR spectrum [Fig. 2(a)] at a magnetic field of 9.4 T has a width of approximately 300 kHz and a Knight shift of -1900 ppm. It was not possible to capture the spectrum using standard (echo) pulsed NMR at a single operating frequency. The strategy employed here, and subsequently for the Cr_2O_3 , was to record the amplitude using a frequency-stepped echo approach [32]. Simulation of the spectrum using DMFIT [43] gives $C_Q = 6.3 \pm 0.2$ MHz and $\eta = 0.15 \pm 0.10$.

The crystal structure [46] also indicates four N sites—one unoccupied, one fully occupied, and two partially occupied—however, a static pulsed ^{14}N NMR spectrum [Fig. 2(b)] of the specimen indicates a single line with 35-kHz width (FWHM) and a small negative shift $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -200$ ppm. The single narrow ^{14}N NMR line is somewhat surprising considering (i) the site symmetry of all the N sites is no higher than $\bar{3}$, and (ii) ^{14}N ($I = 1$) is one of few quadrupolar nuclei with integer spin,

TABLE I. ⁵³Cr quadrupolar coupling constant C_Q and asymmetry parameter η for $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ and BaCrO_4 measured at 9.4 T compared with values previously reported.

| Compound | C_Q (MHz) | η | Field strength (T) | Reference |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 0.58 ± 0.05 | 9.4 | Present work |
| $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$ | ~ 2.5 | Not reported | 7.05 or 9.4 | [44] |
| BaCrO_4 | 4.9 ± 0.1 | 0.16 ± 0.05 | 9.4 | Present work |
| BaCrO_4 | 5.0 ± 0.05 | 0.14 ± 0.03 | 11.75 and 18.8 | [22] |

and in noncubic environments exhibits a first-order broadened ¹⁴N NMR line shape [39]. The observed line possibly originates from the one fully occupied site. This single line may be due to vacancy-assisted mobility of the nitrogen atoms, meaning that only the permanently filled site will be detected. Low-temperature NMR measurements may shed light on the origin of the ¹⁴N line shape and are suggested as future work. From our room-temperature line shape, an upper-limit value of $C_Q < 0.047$ MHz can be estimated from the FWHM [49]. Bastow *et al.* [50] have previously reported ¹⁴N C_Q values for other metal nitrides including AlN ($C_Q < 0.01$ MHz). For AlN the ¹⁴N static spectrum was an approximately Gaussian line shape with FWHM of 7.5 kHz which gave the upper-limit C_Q value [(4/3)FWHM] of < 0.01 MHz. This work has recently been revisited in a single-crystal AlN specimen by Zeman *et al.* [51] reporting that $C_Q = 8.19$ kHz; hence, this method is found to be robust for estimating an upper limit for C_Q within 20%.

D. CrB₂

CrB₂ has been established as a metal by single crystal measurements of (*inter alia*) electrical resistivity, Hall coefficient, and magnetic susceptibility [52] with weak itinerant antiferromagnetic ordering below the Néel temperature of 88 K [53]. The crystal structure [54] is hexagonal $P6/mmm$ with Cr in 2a at (0,0,0) and B in 4d at (1/3, 2/3, 1/2), $a = 2.969$ Å, $c = 3.006$ Å, both sites having axial symmetry and $\eta = 0$. The powder XRD [35] shows well crystallized CrB₂ with Cr₃B₄ detected as a minor impurity.

The ⁵³Cr NMR line shape for CrB₂ has not been previously reported. In the present work, frequency-stepped ⁵³Cr NMR

was used to delineate the line shape for CrB₂ at 295 K: a single, narrowly peaked, somewhat asymmetric line shape (FWHM = 38 kHz) [Fig. 3(a)] exhibiting a very large negative Knight shift: $K_{\text{iso}} = -9982$ ppm (-226 kHz at 9.4 T). There is no second-order quadrupolar structure with distinct singularities of the central transition, suggesting a relatively small C_Q . However, attributing the observed, relatively narrow ⁵³Cr linewidth to residual second-order quadrupolar broadening [Eq. (3)], with $I = 3/2$ and the Larmor frequency $\nu_L = 22.66$ MHz at 9.4 T, yields an estimate for $\nu_Q \approx 1.285$ MHz giving $C_Q \approx 2.6 \pm 0.5$ MHz. This C_Q value for ⁵³Cr compares reasonably well with the value of 3.05 MHz calculated *ab initio* by Schwarz *et al.* [55]. There is some broader underlying intensity observed which probably comes from the satellite transitions given this small C_Q . The absence of a large quadrupolar interaction for ⁵³Cr is somewhat unexpected given the substantial nuclear quadrupole interaction exhibited at the metal sites by the isostructural neighboring group III and IV transition-metal diborides ScB₂, TiB₂, and ZrB₂ [36], but the small C_Q estimated here for ⁵³CrB₂ is consistent with the *ab initio* calculation [55]. These other diborides have sharp features for the second-order perturbed central transition splitting at the metal site, and the first-order perturbed satellite singularities can also be readily observed allowing precise determination of C_Q values, significantly different from what is observed here for CrB₂.

The ⁵³CrB₂ line shape has presumably gone undetected for decades due to the large negative Knight shift making it difficult to know where to search for the resonance. The Knight shift for pure Cr metal is large and positive, 6870 ppm [4]. Although the transition-metal monoborides and diborides formed the basis of substantial early work, the ⁵³Cr




FIG. 2. (a) Static ⁵³Cr NMR data from Cr₂N showing experimental (top) and simulated (bottom) central (1/2, -1/2) transition line shape. Intensity values are shifted on y axis for clarity. (b) Static ¹⁴N NMR spectrum of Cr₂N.




FIG. 3. Static NMR data from CrB_2 showing (a) central ($1/2, -1/2$) transition for ^{53}Cr and (b) central as well as first-order quadrupole broadened ($\pm 3/2, \pm 1/2$) transition for ^{11}B .

resonance proved difficult to detect [56,57]. The present work may encourage ^{53}Cr NMR study of the bonding and electronic structure in the stable chromium borides, e.g., Cr_2B , Cr_5B_3 , CrB , Cr_3B_4 , CrB_4 , and CrB_2 for comparison with theoretical predictions [58].

The ^{11}B NMR spectrum at 295 K exhibits a first-order quadrupolar line shape [59]. For ^{11}B ($I = 3/2$), the separation of the satellite transitions ($\pm 1/2, \pm 3/2$) defines the quadrupolar frequency ν_Q [Eq. (3)]. For crystallographic sites with axial symmetry this equation simplifies as $\eta = 0$, and for ^{11}B ($I = 3/2$) therefore $2\nu_Q = C_Q$. An ^{11}B NMR spectrum at 295 K yielding a C_Q of 0.58 MHz was measured as shown in Fig. 3(b). Schwarz *et al.* [55] calculated ^{11}B $C_Q = 0.589$ MHz in excellent agreement with this experimental value. The measured values of ^{11}B $C_Q = 0.58$ MHz and Knight shift = -434 ppm are in reasonable agreement with values first reported by Silver and Kushida [60] measured using continuous-wave spectroscopy. The main ^{11}B line shape was reported to vanish below 88 K [61] and a transition to antiferromagnetism deduced. Subsequently Funahashi *et al.* [62], using neutron diffraction, observed a helical magnetic structure in CrB_2 below 88 K. Bauer *et al.* [53] confirmed the incommensurate spin order reported by Funahashi *et al.* [62]. Recent *ab initio* calculations for two-dimensional CrB_2 have predicted a ferromagnetic half metal with $T_C = 175$ K [63].

E. CrO_2

In this ferromagnetic oxide the hyperfine interaction at the Cr site is sufficiently large that the ^{53}Cr spectrum can be conveniently examined in zero applied field. This interaction is progressively thermally averaged to lower values as the temperature rises from 4.2 K to the Curie temperature $T_C = 395$ K [17,64]. CrO_2 has the tetragonal rutile structure and is ferromagnetic below T_C . Until recently this compound was used as the magnetic coating for high-quality magnetic tapes, and having a convenient Curie temperature relative to room temperature makes it attractive for use in flexible magnetic composite devices [64]. An SEM micrograph of the powder [35] displays a characteristic nanorod microstructure [19,20] with typical rod dimensions: length ~ 200 to 300 nm, diameter ~ 30 nm. The powder XRD pattern [35] indicates a well-crystallized material with $\text{CrO}(\text{OH})$ (Guyanaite) detected as a minor impurity.

The rutile structure implies one distinguishable Cr site per unit cell, so that there should be only one central line visible in the ^{53}Cr zero-field NMR spectrum. However, two widely separated narrow lines of approximately equal intensity at frequencies ν_{low} and ν_{high} of 26.5 and 37.2 MHz, respectively, are observed at 4.2 K [16–18,20,21]. A self-doping mechanism has been tentatively suggested for the existence of two distinct Cr sites [17]. A hypothesis that the sites originate from intradomain and domain-wall Cr atoms, respectively, has been considered and experimentally discounted [17]. Recently, it has been hypothesized that the two different Cr sites have different $3d$ orbital occupation numbers [20]. Because CrO_2 is a half metal with a Curie temperature above ambient temperature, it is being investigated for use in spintronic devices [65].

The frequencies ν_{low} and ν_{high} for these two lines measured in this work at 77, 195, and 295 K (Table II) were located by systematically searching frequencies below the reported values at 4.2 K. Increasing spin-wave excitation as the temperature rises is presumably responsible for the steadily decreasing $\nu_{\text{zf}}(T)$ for the two Cr sites as the temperature rises (Fig. 4). There is a correlation with Bloch ferromagnetic spin-wave theory [9,11] that predicts a $T^{3/2}$ decrease in




FIG. 4. ^{53}Cr frequencies ν_{high} (●) and ν_{low} (○) for CrO_2 plotted as function of $T^{3/2}$. With best-fit equation and R^2 value displayed for dotted line fits. Data of Yasuoka *et al.* [15] for $^{53}\text{CrO}_2$ ν_{high} (●) included for information as described in text. ^{53}Cr frequency (Δ) for Cr_2O_3 plotted as a function of $T^{3/2}$ with best fit displayed.

TABLE II. CrO₂ ⁵³Cr zero-field NMR line peak frequencies ν_{high} and ν_{low} and linewidths $\Delta\nu$ at various temperatures below T_C .

| T (K) | $T^{3/2}$ ($K^{3/2}$) | ν_{low} (MHz) | $\Delta\nu$ (kHz) | ν_{high} (MHz) | $\Delta\nu$ (kHz) | Reference |
|---------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 4.2 | 8.6 | 26.5 | 450 | 37.2 | 680 | [20] |
| 6.5 | 16.6 | 26.4 | 485 | 37.1 | 450 | [17] |
| 77 | 676 | 25.3 | 530 | 35.9 | 510 | Present work |
| 195 | 2723 | 23.3 | 510 | 33.7 | 460 | Present work |
| 295 | 5067 | 20.2 | 390 | 30.7 | 420 | Present work |

magnetization. Using Bloch theory, the data in Fig. 4 for the two Cr sites predict resonance frequencies at 0 K of 26.407 and 37.068 MHz, indicating hyperfine fields at the nuclei of 12.7 and 17.8 T, respectively. The relationship between the hyperfine parameters, the magnetic moment, and the chromium valence is further discussed in Sec. IV G, as is spin-wave theory.

The ⁵³Cr NMR linewidths diminish along with decreasing signal-to-noise ratio as the temperature rises towards the Curie temperature (Table II and Fig. 5). Within experimental error, the frequency difference ($\nu_{\text{high}} - \nu_{\text{low}}$) remains essentially constant as the temperature rises from 4.2 K with an average value of 10.55 MHz. Shim *et al.* [17] reported the saturation magnetization (measured using a magnetometer) in CrO₂ from 10 to 380 K indicating a $T^{3/2}$ decrease in magnetization by 50% up to approximately 375 K, followed by a rapid continuous decrease of the remaining 50% of the magnetization toward zero in the critical region at the Curie temperature of 395 K. Recently, Piskunov *et al.* [21] have measured ⁵³Cr NMR data from 4.2 to 365 K in high-purity CrO₂, giving values similar to those reported here.

It may be noted that there is no evidence of a quadrupole interaction in the ⁵³CrO₂ NMR spectrum in the published or present data. Compare TiO₂ (also rutile structure) where the ⁴⁹Ti nuclei see a substantial efg ($C_Q = 13.9$ MHz, $\eta = 0.19$) [66] and α -VO₂ (rutile) where the ⁵¹V $C_Q = 2.77$ MHz and $\eta = 0.86$. [67]. It is possible that the broad ⁵³Cr lines have a second-order quadrupole interaction folded in, which, since this quantity generally decreases with increasing temperature, would explain why the observed linewidths decrease with increasing temperature. Takeda *et al.* [20] reported single-




FIG. 5. ⁵³Cr NMR line shapes for CrO₂ at 295, 195, and 77 K. Intensity values are shifted on y axis for clarity.

crystal ⁵³CrO₂ NMR measurements at 4.2 K, in an applied magnetic field of 0.3 T parallel to the c axis, giving FWHM for the low and high resonances as 190 and 280 kHz, respectively. A rough estimate of the upper limit for C_Q can be made from the narrowest linewidth that still obscures the quadrupolar splitting, where assuming $\eta = 0$ and perturbation theory still holds, a second-order perturbed quadrupolar linewidth Δ from Eq. (3) where $I = 3/2$, $\nu_L = 0.723$ MHz at 0.3 T, then using the measured FWHM 190 kHz as Δ yields estimates for $\nu_Q \approx 0.513$ MHz and $C_Q \approx 1.03$ MHz. Our estimate of 0.513 MHz for ν_Q is in good agreement with a recent estimate of $\nu_Q \approx 0.5$ MHz [21]. It can be noted that a (hypothetical) value of $\eta = 0.4$ decreases C_Q by only 2.6%. To the best of our knowledge *ab initio* calculations of the ⁵³Cr efg in CrO₂ have not been published.

The resonance reported by Yasuoka *et al.* [15] in 1963 for CrO₂ was detected using a marginal oscillator and a super-regenerative oscillator. The resonance, which can be attributed to ν_{high} , was reported for 11 temperatures over a range from 77 to 240 K. These data were added to the data shown in Fig. 4 in order to further refine the fit to spin-wave theory $T^{3/2}$ and resulted in a best fit, $y = -0.0012x + 37.104$ ($R^2 = 0.9866$), in excellent agreement with that obtained from frequencies measured using zero-field pulsed NMR. It can be noted that neither a T^2 fit nor a linear T fit to these data was as good as the $T^{3/2}$ fit [11]. Comparison of the ⁵³Cr zero applied field NMR frequency, ν_{high} , from the present work, Yasuoka *et al.* [15] and Piskunov *et al.* [21], with the saturation magnetization data of Shim *et al.* [17] as functions of temperature for CrO₂, show agreement with Bloch spin-wave theory to $T = 340$ K or $T = 0.86 T_C$ [35]. Data from 340 to 365 K (up to $0.9 T_C$) indicate a rapid continuous decrease of ν_{high} and ν_{low} toward zero in the critical region near the Curie temperature of 395 K [21], although the values of ν_{zf} are still at the 40–50% level of decrease from 4.2 K when measured at 365 K [35]. It remains to be demonstrated whether the local ⁵³Cr sublattice magnetization transition at $T_C = 395$ K is first order, i.e., a discontinuous drop to zero of spontaneous magnetization (rather than a smooth transition to the paramagnetic state; i.e., zero magnetization). ⁵³Cr NMR measurements up to and through T_C (395 K) are suggested for future work.

F. Cr₂O₃

This antiferromagnetic compound has the corundum (Al₂O₃) structure with one distinguishable Cr atom per unit cell at a site with axial symmetry so that any detectable second-order line shape should indicate an efg with $\eta = 0$. An XRD pattern [35] indicated good crystallinity with no trace of impurity. The antiferromagnetic to paramagnetic




FIG. 6. ^{53}Cr NMR line shapes for Cr_2O_3 in zero field over range of temperatures. Spectrum at 4.2 K adapted from Takeda *et al.* [19].

transition temperature, Néel temperature, $T_N = 307.9 \pm 0.2$ K, was measured using DSC. The DSC traces were made on two separate samples of the powder, and both measurements [35] indicate a lambda anomaly in specific heat at T_N , characteristic of a second-order phase transition.

Antiferromagnetic ordering was established in Cr_2O_3 by Brockhouse in 1953 [68] and Corliss *et al.* [69,70]. Previous internal NMR, as discussed in Sec. II, has been reported by Rubinstein *et al.* [14] using a super-regenerative oscillator detector, with a ^{53}Cr 95% enriched specimen at temperatures from 1.6 to 16 K. Over this relatively small temperature range the NMR line was detected at a constant frequency of 70.43 MHz, with the signal disappearing into the noise above 16 K. The frequency separation of the satellites (^{53}Cr , $I = 3/2$) gave $\nu_Q = 0.525$ MHz and $C_Q = 1.05$ MHz using Eq. (3) for $\eta = 0$. Takeda *et al.* [19], using a much later, spectroscopically more sophisticated, method, recently reported a similar value of $\nu_Q = 0.54$ MHz. The ^{53}Cr NMR spectrum of Takeda *et al.* [19] at 4.2 K is reproduced here in Fig. 6; its sharp central line centered at 70.43 MHz with clear ($\pm 1/2$, $\pm 3/2$) satellites measuring $\nu_Q = 0.54$ MHz ($C_Q = 1.08$ MHz) can be compared with the ^{53}Cr spectra reported in the present work as described below.

In the present work, the ^{53}Cr zero-field NMR signal was searched for below 70.43 MHz, first at 77 K. This search revealed a surprisingly broad line shape (Fig. 6) centered at 68.5 MHz with width 1.7 MHz, delineated by frequency stepping. A search at 195 K located, again by frequency stepping, a somewhat broader essentially featureless line shape of width 2.0 MHz centered at 56.5 MHz. At 295 K a single line at 45.5 MHz of FWHM = 0.16 MHz was measured. The 295 K measurement was repeated and verified the original result. Spectra taken at increasing positive and negative 50-kHz frequency offsets from this value confirmed a single absorption line at 45.5 MHz. It is unclear what line-broadening mechanism is operating at 77 and 195 K and what is happening to remove this mechanism at 295 K. The specimen was studied over a wide temperature range (10–300 K) using synchrotron radiation powder XRD, and there was no indication of a phase transition. The DSC trace [35] starts upwards from 140 K and there are no transitions other than the Néel temperature at 307.9 K. Karnachev *et al.* [71] studied the rare-earth orthochromite $\text{Dy}_{0.2}\text{Er}_{0.8}\text{CrO}_3$ ($T_N = 141$ K) over

the temperature range 58–91 K using zero applied field NMR and observed line broadening attributed to efg inhomogeneity. We can find no other report of line broadening in Cr_2O_3 and suggest further exploration of the mechanism as potentially fruitful future experimental work and DFT calculation. Figure 4 shows a plot of the central-line frequency for ^{53}Cr in Cr_2O_3 as a function of $T^{3/2}$ consistent with spin-wave theory, predicting a resonance frequency at 0 K of 70.985 MHz, indicating a hyperfine field at the nucleus of 34.1 T. A hyperfine magnetic field of approximately 19 T still exists at approximately 10 K below the Néel temperature (Fig. 4). Corliss *et al.* [69,70] showed that greater than 50% of the macroscopic magnetization decrease in Cr_2O_3 powder (measured using neutron diffraction) occurs in the critical region between 295 K and the Néel temperature [35]. The present work suggests that ^{53}Cr studies of the magnetic sublattice in Cr_2O_3 over a wide temperature range inclusive of T_N will be fruitful.

G. Compilation of ^{53}Cr solid-state NMR in alloys and compounds

Chromium exhibits seven valence states in its alloys and compounds with the most prevalent being Cr(0) for the metal, metallic alloys, and strong field-ligand complexes, and Cr(III) and Cr(VI) for other compounds. The present work has reported NMR spectra for $3d^6$ Cr(0) paramagnetic (Cr_2N) and $3d^6$ Cr(0) antiferromagnetic (CrB_2) metals, a $3d^3$ Cr(III) antiferromagnetic (Cr_2O_3) semiconductor, a $3d^2$ Cr(IV) ferromagnetic half metal (CrO_2), and $3d^0$ Cr(VI) diamagnetic (BaCrO_4 , $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$) insulators. As discussed, ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance data can be used to elucidate the bonding environment of Cr in materials. Table III summarizes the calculated integer valence and theoretical spin-only magnetic moments expected for chromium in its compounds as compared to the range of reported low-temperature measured magnetic moments [72–76]. Table IV comprehensively summarizes ^{53}Cr magnetic resonance data for the compounds reported here within the context of data from the literature on Cr alloys and compounds [77–117]. To the best of our knowledge, past ^{53}Cr solid-state NMR studies have not been tabulated in one place since 1971 [118]. For the literature surveyed here, which covers 1961 to 2022, 73% of the compounds studied are magnetic compounds, with 27% of those having their critical magnetic ordering temperature (T_C or T_N) above 300 K. For these studies of magnetic materials, 89% report NMR data at zero applied magnetic field.

1. Zero applied field NMR at low temperatures

This section will first focus on magnetic ^{53}Cr compounds and their NMR data at zero applied magnetic field. In Table IV, for zero applied field NMR reports, we include the low-temperature resonance position of the central line(s); in reports where domain and wall resonances are distinguished, we have included only the data from domains; in reports where T_C or $T_N > 77$ K and measurements were made at both liquid-helium and liquid-nitrogen temperatures, we have included those data for comparison purposes. For the spin-echo technique, only domain signals are observed due to the short relaxation times of the broad resonance signals

TABLE III. Magnetic moment, integer valence, and ionic radius of chromium in its alloys and compounds.

| Configuration | Valence | Number of unpaired electrons, n Spin $S = n/2$ | Spin-only magnetic moment, M (μ_B) calc $M = \sqrt{n(n+2)}$ | Magnetic moment, M (μ_B) measured [72] Octahedral Tetrahedral | Average magnetic moment, M (μ_B) $M = g\mu_B S$ (using $g = 2$) | Hyperfine coupling constant $ A $ (T/μ_B) | Metallic or ionic radius (pm) [73,74] | Cr valence reference standard compounds [75,76] |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cr (0) [Ar]3d ⁵ 4s ¹ configuration is for a nonbonded isolated Cr | Cr | 6 $S = 3$ | 6.93 | not applicable | 6 | Theory 12.5 | 140 | not applicable |
| Cr (0) [Ar]3d ⁶ 4s ⁰ | Cr | hs ^a 4 $S = 2$ | 4.90 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1s 128 | Cr, Cr(CO) ₆ |
| Cr (I) ^b [Ar]3d ⁵ 4s ⁰ | Cr ⁺ | hs 5 $S = \frac{5}{2}$ | 5.92 | 1.73 | 5 | 1 | 1s 109 | (C ₆ H ₆) ₂ CrI |
| Cr (II) [Ar]3d ⁴ | Cr ²⁺ | hs 4 $S = 2$ | 4.90 | 2.83 | 4 | 2 | hs 80 1s 73 | CrSe CrCl ₂ |
| Cr (III) [Ar]3d ³ | Cr ³⁺ | 3 $S = 1$ | 3.87 | 2.7-4.0 | 3 | 3 | 61.5 | CrCl ₃ , Cr ₂ O ₃ |
| Cr (IV) [Ar]3d ² | Cr ⁴⁺ | 2 $S = \frac{3}{2}$ | 2.83 | 2.6-2.8 | 2 | 2 | 55 | CrO ₂ |
| Cr (V) [Ar]3d ¹ | Cr ⁵⁺ | 1 $S = \frac{1}{2}$ | 1.73 | 1.7-1.8 | 1 | 1 | 49 | CrOCl ₃ , YbCrO ₄ , NdCrO ₄ |
| Cr (VI) [Ar]3d ⁰ | Cr ⁶⁺ | 0 $S = 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | CrO ₃ , CaCrO ₄ , K ₂ CrO ₄ , K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇ |

^ahs = high spin, ls = low spin.

^bThe notation Cr (I, II, ...) for oxidation state should not be confused with some authors' use of this nomenclature for inequivalent Cr atom positions in a unit cell.

TABLE IV. ^{53}Cr hyperfine interaction parameters of chromium compounds from magnetic resonance measurements. Cr valence expected at 0 K. Local magnetic moment near 0 K, when reported. Note the measurement temperature, because the chemical shift δ_{iso} or Knight shift for metals, the zero applied field NMR center line frequency, the Cr sublattice magnetization, and the Cr ion valence can be functions of temperature. Material character and critical magnetic transition temperatures are given where known.

| Compound | Chromium valence | | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) | C_0 (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character | | Reference |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Local magnetic moment | Local magnetic moment | | | | | | AFM = antiferromagnetic FM = ferromagnetic PM = paramagnetic | Character | |
| Cr | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | 6870 | | | | 314 to 387 | Metallic, AFM below $T_N = 313$ K, PM above | [4] | |
| $\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{V}_x$ ($x = 0.25$ to 3 at. %) | $0.5\mu_B/\text{Cr}$ | Cr(0) | 6850 to 6990 | 16.60 | | | 314 | | [31] | |
| $\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x$ ($x = 0.25$ to 26.3 at. %) | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | | 15.85 to 17 | | | 300 | Metallic, AFM below $T_N = 287$ K (0.25 at. % V) to 65 K (3 at. % V), PM above | [4,5] | |
| $\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{Mo}_x$ ($x = 12.9$ to 22.6 at. %) | $0.46\mu_B/\text{Cr}$ | Cr(0) | 6800 | | | | 1.2 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 1050$ K (0.25 at. % Cr) to 920 K (26.3 at. % Cr), PM above | [77] | |
| Cr_3AsN (2 inequivalent sites) | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | | 13.66, 14.51 | | 0 | 4 | Metallic, AFM below $T_N = 146$ K (12.9 at. % Mo) to 20 K (22.6 at. % Mo), PM above | [28] | |
| $\text{CrH}_{0.93}$ and $\text{CrH}_{0.97}$ (hexagonal) | $0.5\mu_B/\text{Cr}$ | Cr(0) | | | | | | Metallic, itinerant AFM below $T_N = 255$ K, PM above | [78] | |
| $\text{CrH}_{0.93}$ and $\text{CrH}_{0.97}$ (cubic) | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | 5300 | | | | 3 to 300 | PM above | [27] | |
| $\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x$ ($x = 0.1$ and 0.5 at. %) | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | 3000 | | | | 3 to 300 | Metallic, paramagnetic | [27] | |
| $\text{Pt}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x$ ($x = 0.1$ and 0.5 at. %) | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | -3800 | | | | 1 to 4 | Metallic, dilute substitutional site | [79] | |
| CrB_2 | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | -8200 | | | | 1 to 4 | Metallic, dilute substitutional site | [80] | |
| | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | -9982 | | | 2.57 ^a | 0 | Metallic, weak itinerant AFM below $T_N = 88$ K, PM above | Present work | |
| Cr_2N | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | -1900 | | | 6.3 | 0.15 | $T_N = 88$ K, PM above | Present work | |
| $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ | Cr(0) | Cr(0) | -1814 ^{**} | | | 0.348 | 0.48 | Metallic, paramagnetic | Present work | |
| Rb_2CrCl_4 | $\text{Cr}(\text{II})^{\text{oct}}$ | $\text{Cr}(\text{II})^{\text{oct}}$ | | 82.3 | | 3.2 | 4.2 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [44] | |
| SrCr_2As_2 | $4\mu_B/\text{Cr}$ | $\text{Cr}(\text{II})^{\text{oct}}$ | | 67.4 ^b | | ^c | 1.6 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 52$ K, PM above | [81] | |
| | $1.9\mu_B/\text{Cr}$ | $\text{Cr}(\text{II})^{\text{oct}}$ | | 71 | | | | Metallic, AFM below $T_N = 615$ K, PM above | [82] | |

TABLE IV. (Continued.)

| Compound | Chromium valence Local magnetic moment | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) C_0 (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character AFM = antiferromagnetic FM = ferromagnetic PM = paramagnetic | Reference |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Cr_3Te_4 (2 inequivalent sites) | Cr(II), Cr(III) | | 57.5, 45.3 | | 4.2 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 329$ K, PM above | [83] |
| | 1.79 μ_B /Cr or | | 56.0, 44.5 | | 4.2 | | [84] |
| Cr_5Te_6 (2 inequivalent sites) | | | 55.2, 44.8 | | 20 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 327$ K, PM above | [85] |
| | 2.35 μ_B /Cr | | 50.0, 36.9 | | 77 | | [84] |
| | Cr(II), Cr(III) | | 47.0, 36.5 | | 77 | | [84] |
| Cr_7Te_8 (2 inequivalent sites) | 2.5 μ_B /Cr | | 53.0, 41.0 | | 77 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 350$ K, PM above | [86] |
| | Cr(II), Cr(III) | | 58.2, 45.1 | | 4.2 | | [86] |
| CrTe (2 inequivalent sites) | 1.8 μ_B /Cr | | 52.6, 40.8 | | 77 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 340$ K, PM above | [85,87] |
| | Cr(II), Cr(III) | | 54.0, 42.2 | | 77 | | [84] |
| | 2.4 μ_B /Cr | | 55.2, 45.1 | | 77 | | [88] |
| | Cr(III) | | 78.8 | | 4.2 | | Insulator, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 500$ K, PM above |
| $\text{Y}_3\text{Fe}_{4.57}\text{Cr}_{0.43}\text{O}_{12}$ | | | 78.0 | | 77 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 16.8$ K, PM above | [2] |
| | Cr(III) | | 62.42 | 0.882 | 1.23 | | [13] |
| CrCl_3 | 2.9 μ_B /Cr | | 62.32 | 0.9 | 1.4 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 37$ K, PM above | [1] |
| | Cr(III) | | 58.038 | 1.184 | 1.34 | | [1] |
| CrBr_3 | 2.99 μ_B /Cr | | | | | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 68$ K, PM above | [3] |
| | Cr(III) | | 49.392 | 0.744 | 1.65 | | [3] |
| CuCrO_2 | 3.1 μ_B /Cr | | 63.8 to 66.0 | | 4.2 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 24.3$ K, $T_N = 23.6$ K, PM above | [89] |
| | Cr(III) | | | | | | [89] |
| Cr_2O_3 | 2.8 μ_B /Cr | | 70.43 | 1.05 | 1.6 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 308$ K, PM above | [14] |
| | Cr(III) | | 70.43 | 1.08 | 4.2 | | [19] |
| | 2.48 μ_B /Cr | | 68.5 | | 77 | | Present work |

TABLE IV. (Continued.)

| Compound | Chromium valence Local magnetic moment | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) ^a | C_0 (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character | | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | AFM = antiferromagnetic FM = ferromagnetic PM = paramagnetic | | |
| $\text{Dy}_{0.2}\text{Er}_{0.8}\text{CrO}_3$ | Cr(III) | | 63.6 | 4.4 | 0 | 69 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 141$ K, PM above | [71] | |
| GdCrO_3 | Cr(III) | | 68.8 | 4.4 | 0 | 4 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 170$ K, PM above | [90] | |
| (2 magnetic sublattices) | | | 68.3 | 1.88 | | 10 | | | |
| ErCrO_3 (low T , AFM phase; higher T , weakly FM phase) | Cr(III) | | 68.97 | 2.7 | 0 | 4.2 (AFM) | Insulator, weakly FM below $T_C = 133$ K, PM above | [91] | |
| TmCrO_3 | Cr(III) | | 67.6 | 1.8 | 0 | 37 (weakly FM) | | [92] | |
| (2 magnetic sublattices) | | | 68.9 | 1.36 | 0 | 1.8 to 5.6 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 125$ K, PM above | [93] | |
| LuCrO_3 | Cr(III) | | 69.1 | 3.52 | 0 | 1.8 to 65 | | | |
| EuCrO_3 | Cr(III) | | 68.7 | 2.92 | 0 | 4.2 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 120$ K, PM above | [94] | |
| LaCrO_3 | Cr(III) | | 68.63 | 2.76 | 0 | 14.3 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 140$ K, PM above | [94] | |
| | Cr(III) | | | | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 290$ K, PM above | [95] | |
| MnCr_2O_4 | Cr(III) | | 66.5 | 66.5 | | 4.5 | Insulator, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 41$ K, PM above | [96] | |
| | Cr(III) | | 66.2 | 66.2 | | 6.5 | | [97] | |
| CuCr_2O_4 | Cr(III) | | 63 | 63 | | 5 | Insulator, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 135$ K, PM above | [98] | |
| | Cr(III) | | 63.2 | 63.2 | | 0 ^d | | [99] | |
| FeCr_2S_4 | Cr(III) | | 59.4 | 59.4 | | 77 | | | |
| | Cr(III) | | 50.8 | 50.8 | | 0 ^d | Metallic, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 180$ K, semiconductor PM above | [99] | |
| CoCr_2S_4 | Cr(III) | | 46.8 | 46.8 | | 77 | | | |
| | Cr(III) | | 49.3 | 49.3 | | 0 ^d | Semiconductor, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 222$ K, PM above | [87] | |
| $\text{Mn}_x\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_4$ ($x \geq 0.7$) | 2.7 μ_B /Cr Cr(III) | | 47.4 | 47.4 | | 77 | | [100] | |
| | Cr(III) | | 65.5 | 65.5 | | 1.8 | Insulator, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 41$ K, PM above | | |
| $\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{NiCrO}_4$ | Cr(III) | | 75 | | | 4.2 | Insulator, frustrated ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 480$ K, PM above | [101] | |
| NaCrS_2 | Cr(III) | | 53.45 | 0.2 | | 1.5 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 17$ K, PM above | [102] | |

TABLE IV. (Continued.)

| Compound | Chromium valence Local magnetic moment | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) ^a C_Q (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character | Reference |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Cr ₃ As ₂ | Cr(III) | | 56.0 55.8 | | 77 81.4 | Insulator, ferrimagnetic below $T_C = 243$ K, PM above | [103] |
| Cr ₇ Ni | Cr(III) | | | | 1.6 | Semiconductor, AFM ring | [104] |
| Cr ₇ Cd (3 inequivalent sites) | Cr(III) | | (44, 46, 57) ^b | | 1.6 | Semiconductor, AFM ring | [105] |
| Cr ₈ Cd | Cr(III) | | | | 1.6 | Semiconductor, AFM ring | [8] |
| YCrO ₃ | Cr(III) | | 68.73 68.74 | 0 0 | 4.2 4.2 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 140$ K, PM above | [106] [19] |
| NaCr ₂ O ₄ | Cr(III), Cr(IV) Cr ^{3.5+} | | 52 | 0 | 77 | Insulator, AFM below $T_N = 125$ K, PM above | [94] [107] |
| K ₂ Cr ₈ O ₁₆ (4 inequivalent sites: A, B, C, D) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | A 46, 56 B 46, 56 C 43, 53 D 39, 49 | | 4.2 | Half metal, FM below $T_C = 180$ K, PM above, metal-insulator transition $T_{\text{MI}} = 95$ K | [19] |
| SrRu _{1-x} Cr _x O ₃ ($x = 0.05, 0.12$) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 60.8 ($x = 0.05$) 60.3 ($x = 0.12$) | | 1.3 | Insulator, itinerant FM below $T_C = 175$ to 186 K ($x = 0.05, 0.12$), PM above | [108] |
| CuCr ₂ Te ₄ | Cr ^{3.75+} 2.4 μ_B /Cr Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 34.9 | | 77 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 326$ K, PM above | [109] |
| CuCr ₂ Se ₄ | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 38.2 | | 77 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 430$ K, PM above | [109] [110] |
| ZnCr ₂ Se ₄ | Cr(III), Cr(IV) or Cr(III) 1.9 μ_B /Cr | | 46.7 44.75 | 1.48 | 1.4 6 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 20$ K, PM above | [111] [6] |
| CuCr ₂ S ₄ | Cr(III), Cr(IV) 2.67 μ_B /Cr | | 39.8 38.9 | 0 | 4.2 77 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 420$ K, semiconductor PM above | [112] [113] |
| | | | 27 | | 300 | | |

TABLE IV. (Continued.)

| Compound | Chromium valence Local magnetic moment | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) ^a | C_Q (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character | Reference |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| $\text{CuCr}_{2-x}\text{V}_x\text{S}_4$ ($x = 0.1, 0.25, 0.375$) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 30 to 55 | | | 4.2 | AFM = antiferromagnetic FM = ferromagnetic PM = paramagnetic | [112] |
| $\text{CuCr}_{2-x}\text{Sb}_x\text{S}_4$ ($x = 0,$ $0.02, 0.07$) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 39.5 39.3 39.4 44.05 | 1.8 1.8 1.8 3.68 | 0 0.1 0.2 | 77 77 77 4.2 | Metallic, FM below $T_C = 267$ K (for $x = 0.25$), semiconductor PM above Metallic, FM below $T_C = 420$ K, semiconductor PM above | [110] |
| $\text{Cd}_{1-x}\text{Ag}_x\text{Cr}_2\text{Se}_4$ ($x = 0, 0.001, 0.005, 0.015$) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 44.06 | 3.52 | 0 | 4.2 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 130$ K, semiconductor PM above | [114,115] |
| CdCr_2Se_4 | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 34.8 | | | 77 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 129$ K, PM above | [116] |
| CdCr_2S_4 | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 46.02 | | | 1.4 | Insulator, FM below $T_C = 87$ K, PM above | [109] |
| HgCr_2Se_4 | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 45.97 | 3.80 | 0 | 4.2 | | [111] |
| HgCr_2S_4 | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 43.07 | | | 1.4 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 105$ K, PM above | [116] |
| $\text{Cr}_{0.33}\text{NbSe}_2$ (2 inequivalent sites) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 42.97 | 3.92 | 0 | 4.2 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 22$ K, PM above | [111] |
| $\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{NbSe}_2$ | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 45.68 | | | 1.4 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 22$ K, PM above | [110] |
| $\text{Cr}_{0.33}\text{NbS}_2$ (2 inequivalent sites) | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 49.98 | 3.80 | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 82$ K, PM above | [7] |
| | 2.3 μ_B /Cr | | 53.44 | 2.48 | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 82$ K, PM above | |
| | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 54.31 | 7.64 | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 53$ K, PM above | [7] |
| | 1.9 μ_B /Cr | | | 7.64 | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, AFM below $T_N = 53$ K, PM above | |
| | Cr(III), Cr(IV) | | 65.3–66.6, 49.9–50.2 | 2.46 | | 4.2 | Semiconductor, FM below $T_C = 127$ K, PM above | [117] |
| | 2.82 μ_B /Cr | | 55.5–56.8 | 2.16 | | 4.2 | | |
| | 2.1 μ_B /Cr | | 38.3–38.7 | 2.46 | | 77 | | |
| | | | | 2.16 | | 77 | | |

TABLE IV. (Continued.)

| Compound | Chromium valence | | δ_{iso} or Knight shift (ppm) ^a | Center-line frequency (MHz) ^a | C_Q (MHz) | η | Temperature (K) | Character | | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Local magnetic moment | Cr(IV) | | | | | | AFM = antiferromagnetic FM = ferromagnetic PM = paramagnetic | Half metal, FM below $T_C = 395$ K, PM above | |
| CrO ₂ (1 site but 2 frequencies; see text in Sec. IVE) | | Cr(IV) 1.94 μ_B /Cr | | 26.5, 37.2 26.3, 37.1 26.4, 37.1 | 1.03 ^a 1 ^a | 0 | 4.2 4.2 6.5 77 77 | | [19] [21] [17] [21] Present work | |
| Li ₂ CrO ₄ (dihydrate) | | Cr(VI) | -62** | | 4.00 | 0.30 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| Li ₂ CrO ₄ (anhydrous) | | Cr(VI) | -17** | | 1.80 | 0.15 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| K ₂ CrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | -35** | | 1.76 | 0.43 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| | | | -32** | | 1.75 | 0.40 | 295 | | [44] | |
| Rb ₂ CrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | -24** | | 1.28 | 0.80 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| Cs ₂ CrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | -19** | | 1.23 | 0.23 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| | | | -22** | | 1.17 | 0 | | | [44] | |
| Ag ₂ CrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | 188** | | 4.10 | 0.28 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| (NH ₄) ₂ CrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | 0 | | 3.1 | 0.58 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | Present work | |
| MgCrO ₄ (dihydrate) | | Cr(VI) | -67** | | 2.62 | 0.38 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| CaCrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | -117** | | 4.55 | 0 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| SrCrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | -27** | | 5.0 | 0.68 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| BaCrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | 0 | | 4.9 | 0.16 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | Present work | |
| | | | -47** | | 5.00 | 0.14 | 295 | | [22] | |
| PbCrO ₄ | | Cr(VI) | 68** | | 4.4 | 0.85 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| Cs ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇ | | Cr(VI) | -17** | | 7.25 | 0.30 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| α -K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇ | | Cr(VI) | -22** | | 7.48 | 0.30 | 295 | Insulator, diamagnetic | [22] | |
| (2 inequivalent sites) | | | -67** | | 8.28 | 0.21 | | | [22] | |

^aShift with respect to saturated aqueous solution of alkali chromate [Na₂CrO₄ or (NH₄)₂CrO₄].

^{**}Originally reported with respect to Cr(CO)₆ in chloroform solution (the difference is -1797 ppm, i.e., aq Cr(CO)₆ is 0 ppm with respect to itself or -1797 ppm with respect to aq Na₂CrO₄).

^aNo singularities, upper-limit estimate of C_Q using FWHM of Gaussian peak as Δ .

^bExtrapolation from field-dependent data using $\gamma = -2.406$ MHz/T.

^cDing *et al.* [82] estimated a value for ν_Q but it appeared to be inconsistent with the spectrum.

^dExtrapolation to 0 K.

The reader should consult the references to ascertain XRD structure, phase purity, annealing state, and other experimental factors.

from walls. Three low-temperature zero-field NMR reports attribute separate resonances to domain and domain-wall sites [3,94,119] for CrI_3 , EuCrO_3 , and CrBr_3 , respectively, with the domain-wall resonances located from 0.89 to 1.42 MHz below the domain resonances. Where possible, low-temperature Cr valence is given and it should be noted that in some compounds (mixed valence or those with a phase transition), valence can change with temperature.

The background to the hyperfine interactions at zero applied magnetic field, where the center-line resonance, ν_{zf} (in units of MHz), is a measure of the hyperfine field at the ^{53}Cr nucleus, has been introduced in Sec. IV A [Eqs. (4) to (7)] under the approximations noted above. NMR measurements as the temperature approaches 0 K (liquid-helium temperatures) in zero field are necessary to minimize thermal effects on the value of the hyperfine constants derived from the data and for comparison with quantum-chemical calculations of hyperfine interactions. The sublattice magnetization, and the resonance frequency, will decrease as the temperature rises due to thermally excited spin waves. ^{53}Cr zero applied field and magnetization measurements for CrO_2 and Cr_2O_3 [35] illustrate the frequency-magnetization relationship, i.e., proportionality of $\nu_{zf}(T)$ and $M(T)$, along with the $T^{3/2}$ relationship for temperatures up to ~ 0.8 (T_C or T_N) (cf. Fig. 4).

The absolute value of the hyperfine coupling constant $|A|$ depends on the configuration and valence of the Cr ion in the compound, indicates the extent of delocalization of the unpaired electron, and can be calculated *ab initio* for the $3d^n 4s^0$ ions [120] or calculated from the measured values of $\nu_{zf}(0)$ and $M(0)$ for a given compound [1]. Several authors [120–122] calculated A for the chromium $3d^n 4s^0$ ions, giving the core polarization hyperfine field per unpaired valence electron, within the Hartree-Fock scheme, as $-12.5 \text{ T}/\mu_B$. This value results from the approximation of (i) the magnetic hyperfine $3d$ exchange interaction transferred at the chromium nucleus (through covalency and overlap) as the Fermi contact interaction and (ii) the magnetic moment as the spin density at the nucleus. Values of A derived from low-temperature experiment are compared with theory to ascertain the extent of delocalization or magnitude of the transferred field. Alternatively, theoretical values of A are used with measured values of ν_{zf} at low temperature to predict magnetization and valence. The ground-state magnetization can also be calculated using *ab initio* DFT methods, where the approximation of the exchange and correlation potential is the subject of much research in chromium compounds [123–126].

Other methods for the calculation of the hyperfine coupling constant have been reported for ^{53}Cr with values of $|A|$ ranging from 8.3 to $12.2 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ [126–130]. The value $A = -10 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ is often used, as this is the average value for the core polarization hyperfine field per unpaired valence electron for the $3d$ transition metals reported by Blugel *et al.* [129]. The value of $|A| = 8.33 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ results from a first-principles calculation of the contact field for Cr^{3+} [126]. Rubinstein *et al.* [14] first reported the measured value of $A = -9.76 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ based on zero applied field measurements of $^{53}\text{Cr}^{3+}$ in an octahedral environment of oxygen atoms in Cr_2O_3 with $\nu_{zf} = 70.43 \text{ MHz}$ at 1.6 K and with $M(0)$ assumed to be $3\mu_B$ per Cr atom. The theoretical value of $|A|$ for an isolated Cr^{3+} ion ($12.5 \text{ T}/\mu_B$) has been shown to be very close to the measured values (11.3




FIG. 7. (a) Magnetic moment as function of valence for Cr compounds, and (b) ^{53}Cr zero applied field magnetic resonance frequency near 0 K as function of Cr valence.

to $12.4 \text{ T}/\mu_B$) in Cr molecular rings and chains [10,104,105]. The theoretical values compare favorably with the range of measured (calculated from measurements on Cr compounds) values of $|A|$ shown in Table III.

Table III is also useful for estimating the range of observed frequencies near 0 K for the ^{53}Cr nucleus in various compounds. Using the range of $|A|$ values and the spin-only magnetic moment values, the anticipated range of $^{53}\text{Cr} \nu_{zf}(0)$ is 38–110 MHz; using the measured local magnetic moment values, the anticipated range is 10–114 MHz, as compared to the reported measured range of ν_{zf} in Table IV which is 13–82 MHz. This measured range of $\nu_{zf}(0)$ reported for each configuration in Table IV can be compared to the range calculated from Table III in a form of Slater-Pauling-like curve [see Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b)]. The Slater-Pauling curve (magnetic moment M as a function of valence electron concentration) for $3d$ transition-metal compounds has been calculated *ab initio* by Dederlichs *et al.* [130] for Fe-, Ni-, and Co-based alloys. Such an *ab initio* calculation for Cr-based alloys has not been reported and is recommended as fruitful future work.

The theoretical value $|A| = 8.33 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ [126], along with the spin-only magnetic moment values from Table III for each valence, are used to calculate the theoretical internal-field frequencies for each valence in Fig. 7(b). Figure 7(b) shows that the value of the hyperfine interaction constant $|A|$ has a great bearing on the goodness of fit between theory and experiment. It can be noted that a variation in $|A|$ from 8.3 to 12.5 T/μ_B results in a variation of predicted resonance frequency [e.g., for Cr(III), using spin-only $M = 3 \mu_B$] from 60 to 90 MHz. In addition, it should be noted that in Fig. 7(b) the mixed-valence compounds are shown together at the boundaries of the integer valence values, which may also affect goodness of fit.

Future work on *ab initio* calculations for magnetic Cr alloys and compounds would be useful and could help predict the mixed-valence values for $\nu_{zf}(0)$. Mixed valence is thought to enable local double-exchange mediated magnetic order [131] which, in topological insulators, is postulated as a pathway to room-temperature quantum transport in zero magnetic field [132,133]. Also, *ab initio* calculations of $\nu_{zf}(0)$ would be helpful for locating Cr(I) and Cr(V) internal-field resonances that, to the best of our knowledge, have not yet been reported by zero-field NMR studies. In Fig. 7(b) we have indicated, with dashed-line ellipses, where one might expect to find the low-temperature ⁵³Cr zero applied field NMR resonances for $3d^5$ low spin and $3d^1$ compounds in future experiments or calculations.

Some further comments on Fig. 7(b) are warranted as it is the first time the literature data for ⁵³Cr have been compiled in such a format. As reviewed in this section, previous ⁵³Cr reports have mainly focused on a single material with a single Cr valence (predominantly Cr³⁺), with authors choosing either to fix the hyperfine coupling constant A or to fix the magnetic moment. The data in Fig. 7(b) now provide a summary of ⁵³Cr $\nu_{zf}(0)$ values for a wide range of Cr compounds across the valences Cr(0) to Cr(IV) including mixed valence, high spin, low spin, octahedral and tetrahedral configurations, metals, half metals, semiconductors, insulators, and varying crystal and magnetic structures. These data allow us to test our understanding of magnetism in Cr alloys and compounds. Cottenier [134] noted the difficulty of DFT functionals in capturing the physics of the ground state for Cr alloys and compounds, making these alloys ideal testing grounds for improved DFT functionals. The dataset may also prove helpful as a training set for a machine-learning approach to property prediction as well as testing hypotheses and models.

(1) It can be found in the literature that the covalency of the Cr – x bond increases as $x = \text{O}$ is successively replaced by $x = \text{halides}$, $x = \text{S}$, and $x = \text{Se}$ [121]. The “covalency school model” [121] expects the magnetic moment of the electron spins (and hence ν_{zf}) to decrease with decreasing electronegativity, i.e., with increasing covalency, which it does as shown in Fig. 7(b) for d^3 Cr(III)^{oct} oxides, halides, sulfides, and selenides.

(2) The reported noninteger valence values for mixed-valence compounds from Table IV have been plotted as an expanded section [35] and show the expected decrease in low-temperature ⁵³Cr internal magnetic field resonance frequency with valence from Cr³⁺ to Cr⁴⁺ for mixed-valence chromium oxides.

(3) The two Cr²⁺ high-spin d^4 Cr(II)^{oct} compounds may appear as outliers; however, they are high spin and sit approximately where they would be predicted using the spin-only magnetic moment $M = 4$ and $|A| = 8.33 \text{ T}/\mu_B$.

Hence, the internal-field data near 0 K can be used to gain knowledge of the local internal field at the ⁵³Cr nucleus in the ground state and can be used to understand the valence state. As discussed in the next section, the temperature dependence of the magnetic resonance central frequency for systems adequately modeled by spin-wave theory can also prove useful for understanding magnetic stability.

2. Zero applied field NMR as a function of temperature

As discussed in Sec. IV E, the internal-field data as a function of temperature well below the critical temperature region ($< 0.8 T_{\text{crit}}$) may be modeled using the Bloch $T^{3/2}$ relation which is valid for any crystal structure [135]. Table V provides data for Cr compounds (in addition to CrO₂ and Cr₂O₃) where the magnetization (antiferromagnetic, ferromagnetic, and ferrimagnetic) can be modeled to a first approximation by Bloch spin-wave theory. The magnetic resonance data for these 16 compounds are summarized in Fig. 8.

Bastow *et al.* [137,138] showed that experimental Debye-Waller factors for a range of crystalline solids also have a $T^{3/2}$ dependency attributed to the variation of the mean-square amplitude of vibration of atoms in crystals over a temperature range from the Debye temperature to close to the melting point. As the data in Fig. 8 are for crystalline compounds far below their Debye temperature, the $T^{3/2}$ dependency can be attributed to the fundamental magnetization behavior described by spin-wave theory [11]. The goodness-of-fit values for these data (Table V [35]) support further investigation of parameters that can be extracted from the Bloch spin-wave relationship for the temperature dependence of the magnetization M at $T < T_{\text{crit}}$ as follows [11,135]:

$$M(T)/M(0) = 1 - a_{3/2}(T)^{3/2}, \quad (8)$$

where $a_{3/2}$ has units of $K^{-3/2}$ and is related to the spin stiffness in zero field, D , using the relationship [135]

$$a_{3/2} = [2.612(g\mu_B)/(\rho M(0))][k_B/(4\pi D)]^{3/2}, \quad (9)$$

where g is the dimensionless Landé g factor, ρ is the density in kg/m^3 , $\mu_B = 9.27 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J}/\text{T}$, $k_B = 8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}/\text{K}$, and $M(0)$ is the saturation magnetization at 0 K in $\text{J}/(\text{T kg})$. Rewriting Eq. (8) using the relationship between ν_{zf} and M in Eq. (7) and as presented in Table V gives

$$\nu_{zf}(T) = \nu_{zf}(0) - c(T/T_{\text{crit}})^{3/2} \quad (10)$$

where $\nu_{zf}(0)$ is related to the electronic and magnetic properties, and the slope, $c = a_{3/2} \nu_{zf}(0)(T_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ with the parameter $a_{3/2}$ related to the lattice geometry and magnetic exchange interaction. Since the data are well fitted to the Bloch $T^{3/2}$ relationship, the spin-wave excitation gap can be considered negligible [139]. For the 16 compounds in Table V, values of $a_{3/2}$, density, and low-temperature saturation magnetization are given in Ref. [35] and used to calculate spin stiffness D . Figure 9(a) shows that the low-temperature saturation magnetization values reported in the literature for these Cr compounds as compared to values calculated from $\nu_{zf}(0)$

TABLE V. ^{53}Cr center-line zero applied field magnetic resonance frequency data $\nu_{zf}(\text{T})$ modeled using Bloch spin-wave theory.

| Compound | Bloch spin-wave formulation $\nu_{zf} = \nu_{zf}(0) - c(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | R^2 goodness of fit | Valence | Critical transition (Néel or Curie) temperature T_{crit} (K) | Spin-wave stiffness D (meV \AA^2) | Reference |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| $\text{Dy}_{0.2}\text{Er}_{0.8}\text{CrO}_3$ | $\nu_{zf} = 74.401 - 32.371(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.995 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 141 | 34.8 | [71] |
| Cr_2O_3 | $\nu_{zf} = 70.985 - 27.436(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.996 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 308 | 76.3 | Present work |
| MnCr_2O_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 66.657 - 5.198(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.990 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 41 | 39.7 | [96] |
| CuCr_2O_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 63.254 - 8.343(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.985 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 135 | 88.7 | [98] |
| CrCl_3 | $\nu_{zf} = 63.111 - 39.731(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.999 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 16.8 | 4.7 | [2,136] |
| Cr_3As_2 | $\nu_{zf} = 58.621 - 14.110(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.904 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 243 | 164 | [103] |
| CrBr_3 | $\nu_{zf} = 58.229 - 20.265(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.998 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 37 | 18.4 | [1] |
| NaCrS_2 | $\nu_{zf} = 54.072 - 12.092(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.988 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 17 | 8.0 | [102] |
| FeCr_2S_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 51.381 - 14.145(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.988 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 180 | 107 | [99] |
| CrI_3 | $\nu_{zf} = 49.596 - 15.368(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.987 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 68 | 32.5 | [3] |
| ZnCr_2Se_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 46.657 - 11.115(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.988 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ | 20 | 14.1 | [6] |
| $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_8\text{O}_{16}$ | | | Mixed valence | 180 | | [19] |
| Sites A and B | $\nu_{zf} = 46.484 - 23.074(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.998 | $d^2 \text{Cr(IV)}$ and $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}$ | | 142 | |
| Site C | $\nu_{zf} = 42.963 - 21.332(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.998 | $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}$ | | 151 | |
| CdCr_2Se_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 44.179 - 20.103(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | ^a | Mixed valence | 129 | 65 | [111,116] |
| CuCr_2S_4 | $\nu_{zf} = 40.188 - 21.756(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.997 | $d^2 \text{Cr(IV)}$ and $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}$ | | 137 | [112,113] |
| CrO_2 ν_{high} | $\nu_{zf} = 37.038 - 8.681(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.993 | Mixed valence | 420 | 127 | [15] |
| CrO_2 ν_{high} | $\nu_{zf} = 37.068 - 9.882(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.996 | $d^2 \text{Cr(IV)}$ and $d^3 \text{Cr(III)}$ | 395 | 113 | Present work |
| ν_{low} | $\nu_{zf} = 26.407 - 10.151(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.982 | $d^2 \text{Cr(IV)}^{\text{est}}$ | | 90.4 | Present work |
| Cr_3AsN | $\nu_{zf} = 14.504 - 2.397(\text{T}/\text{T}_{\text{crit}})^{3/2}$ | 0.992 | $d^6 \text{Cr(0)}$ low spin | 255 | 410 | [78] |

^aThis fit is for two data points only.




FIG. 8. Bloch spin-wave theory applied to internal-field NMR center-line frequency, ν_{zf} , data for 16 compounds using data adapted from literature cited in Table V.

are in very good agreement, in support of the validity of Eq. (7) for these compounds. As shown in Table V, the compound with the highest slope c , CrCl_3 , has the lowest spin stiffness of 4.7 meV \AA^2 . The compound with the lowest slope c , Cr_3AsN , has the highest spin stiffness of 410 meV \AA^2 . Only 2 of the 16 compounds have published values for D from magnetic measurements or theory, and these are CrI_3 , $D = 27 \pm 6 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ [139], where the error bars of $\sim 20\%$ reflect the population standard deviation for different samples, and CrO_2 , $D = 91 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ [140]. Both published D values for these ferromagnetic compounds compare well (within $\sim 15\%$) with the values calculated from the internal-field data as shown in Table V. Calculation of D from magnetization data for the antiferromagnetic semiconductor ZnCr_2Se_4 [141] gives $D = 13.7 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ within 3%

of the value $D = 14.1 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ calculated from the internal-field data. Calculation of D from magnetization data for the antiferromagnetic semiconductor Cr_2O_3 [69] gives $D = 61.2 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ within 20% of the value $D = 76.3 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ calculated from the internal-field data. The D value calculated for Cr_3AsN can be compared with D values for other metals. Lowde [142] published D values for the metallic CrFe system in the range $100 - 300 \text{ meV \AA}^2$, and Lewis [143] reported $D = 284 \pm 40 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ for metallic ferrimagnetic Pt_3Cr ; all values are the same order of magnitude as the value calculated for metallic ferrimagnetic Cr_3AsN in Table V, noting that an analysis of published magnetic measurements of Cr_3AsN [78] suggests $D = 229 \text{ meV \AA}^2$ which is $\sim 40\%$ lower than the value from internal-field magnetic resonance data. Thus, the values of D in Table V appear reasonable for ferro-, ferri-, and




FIG. 9. (a) Comparison of spontaneous magnetization at low temperature as reported from magnetic measurements and as calculated from internal-field magnetic resonance data. (b) Spin stiffness and critical temperature for the $d^3 \text{ Cr(III)}^{\text{oct}}$ compounds in Table V, including ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic, and ferrimagnetic compounds.




FIG. 10. Knight shift or chemical shift for ^{53}Cr compounds from Table IV. Shift is with respect to saturated aqueous solution of alkali chromate $[\text{Na}_2\text{CrO}_4$ or $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4]$.

antiferromagnetic chromium compounds, and this tabulation can be useful for comparison with magnetic measurements or density-functional approaches to the calculation of spin stiffness in Cr alloys and compounds. Figure 9(b) shows the relationship between spin stiffness and critical transition temperature for the Cr(III) $d^{3\text{oct}}$ subset of compounds from Table V. This relationship $D = (0.45 \text{ meV } \text{\AA}^2/\text{K})T_{\text{crit}}$ resembles that reported for a family of magnetic cobalt Heusler alloys of $D = (0.36 \text{ meV } \text{\AA}^2/\text{K})T_{\text{crit}}$ [144]. The relationship between spin stiffness D and T_{crit} , for all Cr alloys and compounds from Table V, is $D = (0.37 \text{ meV } \text{\AA}^2/\text{K})T_{\text{crit}}$ [35].

3. External-field NMR

The second focus of Sec. IV G is inclusive of nonmagnetic Cr compounds. Two recent solid-state NMR reviews commented on the challenges of recording ^{53}Cr solid-state NMR spectra, citing only three reports on ^{53}Cr NMR of the diamagnetic octahedral Cr(0) d^6 compound $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ and diamagnetic tetrahedral Cr(VI) d^0 chromates and dichromates [23,24]. The comprehensive up-to-date summary of magnetic and nonmagnetic compounds presented in Table IV permits an expanded discussion of the ^{53}Cr solid-state NMR characteristics of interest to experimentalists and theorists. The range of C_Q observed for these compounds [Cr(0), Cr(II), Cr(III), Cr(IV), mixed valence, and Cr(VI)] is $0.2 \text{ MHz} \leq C_Q \leq 8.28 \text{ MHz}$. The range reported in diamagnetic alkali-earth chromate and dichromate compounds alone is $1.28 \text{ MHz} \leq C_Q \leq 8.28 \text{ MHz}$ [22,44], all with Cr(VI) electron configuration. Some generalizations can be made based on the data in Table IV—the Cr compounds with $C_Q \leq \sim 1 \text{ MHz}$ tend to be semiconductors. Insulating compounds have C_Q values ranging from 1.3 to 4.4 MHz. The compounds with $C_Q > 4 \text{ MHz}$ tend to be semiconductors and metals. These observations provide some general guidelines for the likely magnitude of C_Q to be found in such materials. These are some of the parameters that could feature in an NMR crystallography approach to detailed local structural characterization.

As discussed in Sec. IV A, DFT calculations of Knight shifts and chemical shifts in transition-metal compounds require orbital and spin contributions to accurately reproduce the experimental values. Knight shifts for the ^{53}Cr compounds in Table IV range from -9982 to 6990 ppm and can be temperature dependent. As shifts are reported relative to the

Cr ion in solution, ionic compounds typically have shifts near 0 ppm , i.e., $-100 \text{ ppm} < \delta < 100 \text{ ppm}$ (see Fig. 10). As $(\text{CO})_6$ is a strong field ligand, the Cr(0) complex $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ is more shielded (-1814 ppm) than the chromate salts. As bonding covalency increases, leading finally to metallic conduction, the shielding also increases and the Fermi contact term dominates the contribution to the shift. As shown in Table IV, the present work, to the best of our knowledge, has measured the largest Cr(0) Knight shift (-9982 ppm for CrB_2) for any chromium-containing metallic material reported to date. Figure 10 illustrates the range of chemical and Knight shifts for ^{53}Cr alloys and compounds.

The hyperfine coupling constant A , or the core polarization hyperfine field per unpaired valence electron for the $3d$ transition-metal ^{53}Cr , plays a role in the shielding of the nucleus or the magnetic shift away from the Larmor frequency that can be measured by NMR. The exchange polarization between d electrons on the Fermi surface and s electrons in the core orbitals dominates the Knight shift and leads to the large observed Knight shifts in metallic Cr compounds shown in Fig. 10 [31]. In Sec. IV G 1 we have shown that the value of $|A|$ of $8.33 \text{ T}/\mu_B$ reasonably describes Cr alloys across the range of crystal structures. Assuming A is independent of temperature, the Knight shift should be proportional to the magnetic susceptibility, such that a large Knight shift is indicative of a large Pauli spin susceptibility χ_P per Cr atom [Eq. (4)]. The experimental magnetic susceptibility of CrB_2 at room temperature in the paramagnetic state has been reported in a range from 0.485 to $0.795 (10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol})$ [145–148]. Using this range for susceptibility and the measured ^{53}Cr Knight-shift value of -0.9982% reported here, the Clogston-Jaccarino [149] analysis can be applied [35], assuming negligible orbital contribution to the Knight shift and negligible transferred hyperfine field, resulting in a range of values for $|A|$ from 7.0 to $11.5 \text{ T}/\mu_B$, in agreement with the treatment presented in Sec. IV G 1. *Ab initio* calculation of the magnetic susceptibility for CrB_2 metal in the paramagnetic state suggests that the magnetic susceptibility is dominated by the spin contribution, $\chi_{\text{spin}} = 0.703 (10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol})$, in agreement with experimental results [146]. It can be noted that Laskowski and Blaha [37] have calculated the Knight shift and magnetic susceptibility for Cr metal including orbital and spin contributions, with their theoretical values coming within 10% of the measured values. Our results suggest that theoretical

calculation of the Knight shift for CrB₂ should be the subject of fruitful future work.

4. Hyperfine interactions as a guide to the production of novel materials

A theme of the discussion thus far has been the ability of magnetic resonance to determine parameters that can be useful to check theoretical models and their predictions in magnetic and nonmagnetic Cr alloys and compounds. In addition, it is suggested that the hyperfine interactions probed by magnetic resonance are useful guides for the selection and production of novel materials for industrial applications. The multivalent nature of chromium makes for a variety of stable compounds with diverse magnetic properties. The ability to tune and control room-temperature accessible magnetic states makes chromium alloys and compounds prime candidates for quantum engineering devices, and NMR adds to the ways these states can be probed. The results presented here have shown a correlation between the critical transition temperature (T_C or T_N) and the spin stiffness in zero field, D , calculated from magnetic resonance data for Cr alloys and compounds. The local, site-specific nature of magnetic resonance as a probe technique means that this approach can be used across a range of valences, including mixed valence, various crystal structures, and ferro-, ferri-, and antiferromagnetic order. D is a measure of the resistance of the spin lattice to thermal disturbance; hence, the magnetic resonance parameters enable an indirect test of the robustness of spin polarization in Cr alloys and compounds. The data presented here can also guide the discovery of technologically important ferro-, ferri-, and antiferromagnetic materials with high critical temperatures and stable properties given the correlations that have been developed here. A number of approximations are involved in the treatment of these compounds using Bloch spin-wave theory including (i) dominance of spin rather than spin-orbital contributions, (ii) same treatment for all crystal and magnetic structures, (iii) temperature-independent and valence-independent hyperfine coupling constant, (iv) an isotropic Fermi contact interaction, and (v) dominant core polarization contribution to the hyperfine field, such that the treatment points to some key features as drivers of behavior in these systems. With the accumulation of experimental data for training sets, it is hoped that these key features will be able to be investigated using machine learning. Extending this approach, one might speculate what local site properties at chromium could be related directly to the macroscopic properties needed, such as the size of the efg as a characteristic of the local site distortion and the impact on quantum switching. In low-dimensional spin systems, hyperfine fields contribute to decoherence in the system, leading to limitation in the performance of potential quantum devices [126].

V. SUMMARY

This study encompassing new experimental observations and a comprehensive survey of magnetic resonance parameters collected on ⁵³Cr shows it can be quite a receptive nucleus for NMR with an external field or zero field. Therefore ⁵³Cr NMR can be used to characterize a range of important materials including metals, half metals, insulators, semiconductors,

ferromagnetic, antiferromagnetic, and diamagnetic materials. The ⁵³Cr solid-state NMR work to date of relevance to the new observations here has been summarized and put into context. The work presented here has established quadrupolar coupling constants and chemical/Knight shifts for the first time for several important compounds. The $T^{3/2}$ behavior of the sublattice magnetization of CrO₂ and Cr₂O₃ measured via ⁵³Cr zero applied field NMR are reported here over a wide temperature range from liquid He temperature to room temperature. The large negative Knight shift of ⁵³Cr in the transition-metal intermetallic CrB₂ has been measured. Unexplained line shapes have been reported here for high-purity Cr₂O₃, and these should be the subject of future verification and elucidation. Also, as in the case of AlN, it may take careful single-crystal work or enriched specimens or both to pinpoint singularities and C_Q values in some of the Cr compounds discussed here, where only an upper limit on C_Q could be estimated.

The first comprehensive summary of ⁵³Cr NMR interaction parameters for chromium compounds reported from 1961 to 2022 has been tabulated. Here is shown how low-temperature internal-field central frequencies have been correlated with valence state, and spin stiffness has been calculated for 16 chromium compounds. The local information provided from ⁵³Cr NMR complements bulk measurements. Therefore, such NMR data can help determine whether new materials show promising characteristics, and, if one could go further and relate these characteristics directly to site parameters (e.g., shift, anisotropy, efg), then one could have a direct measure of desirable properties. Additional theoretical and computational studies have been suggested based on current gaps in the data for ⁵³Cr NMR parameters. Knowledge of these parameters will enable further progress in understanding and capturing the benefits from electronic and magnetic structure-property-function relationships in Cr compounds.

Note added. While this manuscript was in preparation, one of the authors, Timothy J. Bastow, passed away.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge CSIRO: Aaron Seeber for the XRD scans, Mark Greaves for the SEM, Yesim Gozukara for the DSC, and ANSTO: Justin Kimpton for the research undertaken on the powder-diffraction beamline at the Australian Synchrotron, part of ANSTO. M.E.S. thanks both the University of Southampton and EPSRC for supporting his research. Professor Ekaterina Izgorodina is thanked for helpful discussions. Adrian Trinchi and Lyndsey Benson are thanked for their support of the work as the leaders of the Functional Powders team at CSIRO. A.J.H., K.M.N., and M.E.S. are grateful to Timothy J. Bastow, now deceased, for sharing his fascination with chromium and passion for magnetic resonance with them.

T.J.B. devised the experimental plan, contributed the overall supervision of this work, performed NMR measurements, data analysis, and cowrote the manuscript. A.J.H. assisted in data collection and analysis and cowrote the manuscript. K.M.N. and M.E.S. assisted in data analysis and editing of the manuscript.

The authors declare no competing interests.

- [1] A. C. Gossard, V. Jaccarino, and J. P. Remeika, Experimental test of the spin-wave theory of a ferromagnet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 122 (1961).
- [2] A. Narath, Nuclear magnetic resonance of Cr^{53} in antiferromagnetic CrCl_3 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **7**, 410 (1961).
- [3] A. Narath, Zero-field ^{53}Cr nuclear magnetic resonance in ferromagnetic CrI_3 : Renormalized spin-wave and Green's-function analysis, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A854 (1965).
- [4] R. G. Barnes and T. P. Graham, Nuclear magnetic resonance in chromium metal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **8**, 248 (1962).
- [5] R. G. Barnes and T. P. Graham, Néel temperatures of chromium vanadium alloys from NMR measurements, *J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 938 (1965).
- [6] S. Park, S. Kwon, S. Lee, S. Khim, D. Bhoi, C. B. Park, and K. H. Kim, The zero field ^{53}Cr nuclear magnetic resonance interactions in the bond-frustrated helimagnet ZnCr_2Se_4 investigated by NMR, *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 16627 (2019).
- [7] N. M. Toporova, E. M. Sherokalova, N. V. Selezneva, V. V. Ogloblichev, and N. V. Baranov, Crystal structure, properties and Griffiths-like phase in niobium diselenide intercalated with chromium, *J. Alloys Compd.* **848**, 156534 (2020).
- [8] E. Garlatti, G. Allodi, S. Bordignon, L. Bordonali, G. A. Timco, R. E. P. Winpenny, A. Lascialfari, R. De Renzi, and S. Carretta, Breaking the ring: ^{53}Cr -NMR on the $\{\text{Cr}_8\text{Cd}\}$ molecular nanomagnet, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **32**, 244003 (2020).
- [9] F. Bloch, On the theory of ferromagnetism, *Z. Phys.* **61**, 206 (1930).
- [10] F. J. Dyson, Thermodynamic behaviour of an ideal ferromagnet, *Phys. Rev.* **102**, 1230 (1956).
- [11] C. Kittel, *Introduction to Solid State Physics*, 2nd ed. (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1959), Chap. 15.
- [12] B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein, R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, W. Yao, D. Xiao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit, *Nature (London)* **546**, 270 (2017).
- [13] M. Grönke, Synthesis and characterization of layered transition metal trihalides $M\text{Cl}_3$ ($M = \text{Ru}, \text{Mo}, \text{Ti}, \text{Cr}$) and CrX_3 ($X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$). Doctoral thesis BTU Cottbus-Senftenberg, 2020, doi:10.26127/BTUOpen-5282.
- [14] M. Rubinstein, G. H. Stauss, and J. J. Krebs, Nuclear magnetic resonance of Cr^{53} in antiferromagnetic Cr_2O_3 , *Phys. Lett.* **12**, 302 (1964).
- [15] H. Yasuoka, H. Abe, A. Hirai, and T. Hashi, Nuclear magnetic resonance of Cr^{53} in ferromagnetic CrO_2 , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **18**, 593 (1963).
- [16] H. Nishihari, T. Tsuda, A. Hirai, and T. Shinjo, Nuclear magnetic resonance of Cr^{53} in ferromagnetic CrO_2 , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **32**, 85 (1972).
- [17] J. H. Shim, S. Lee, J. Dho, and D. H. Kim, Coexistence of two different Cr ions by self-doping in half-metallic CrO_2 nanorods, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 057209 (2007).
- [18] H. Takeda, Y. Shimizu, M. Itoh, M. Isobe, and Y. Ueda, Electronic states of half-metallic chromium oxides probed by ^{53}Cr NMR, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **400**, 032098 (2012).
- [19] H. Takeda, Y. Shimizu, M. Itoh, M. Isobe, and Y. Ueda, Local electronic state in the high-valence hollandite-type chromium oxide $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_8\text{O}_{16}$ investigated by ^{53}Cr NMR, *Phys. Rev. B.* **88**, 165107 (2013).
- [20] H. Takeda, Y. Shimizu, Y. Kobayashi, M. Itoh, T. Jin-no, M. Isobe, Y. Ueda, S. Yoshida, Y. Muraoka, and T. Yokoya, Local electronic state in the half-metallic ferromagnet CrO_2 investigated by site-selective ^{53}Cr NMR measurements, *Phys. Rev. B.* **93**, 235129 (2016).
- [21] Y. V. Piskunov, A. F. Sadykov, V. V. Ogloblichev, A. G. Smolnikov, A. P. Gerashenko, and P. Z. Si, Valence state of chromium ions in the half-metallic ferromagnet CrO_2 probed by ^{53}Cr NMR, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 094428 (2022).
- [22] M. A. M. Forgeron and R. E. Wasylshen, A solid-state ^{53}Cr NMR study of chromate and dichromate salts, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **46**, 206 (2008).
- [23] C. Leroy and D. L. Bryce, Recent advances in solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of exotic nuclei, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **109**, 160 (2018).
- [24] M. E. Smith, Recent progress in solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance of half-integer spin low- γ quadrupolar nuclei applied to inorganic materials, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **59**, 864 (2021).
- [25] B. W. Epperlein, H. Krüger, O. Lutz, A. Nolle, and W. Mayr, ^{53}Cr Fourier transform nuclear magnetic resonance studies, *Z. Naturforsch.* **30a**, 1237 (1975).
- [26] B. Nowak, O. J. Żogal, K. Niedźwiedź, M. Tkacz, and Z. Żolnierek, ^{53}Cr Knight shift and magnetic susceptibility of hexagonal $\text{CrH}_{0.93}$, *Physica B* **193**, 102 (1994).
- [27] J. Poźniak-Fabrowska, B. Nowak, and M. Tkacz, Magnetic properties of cubic and hexagonal chromium hydrides: A comparison of the magnetic susceptibility with the ^{53}Cr NMR Knight shift, *J. Alloys Compd.* **322**, 82 (2001).
- [28] M. Kontani, T. Hioki, and Y. Masuda, Hyperfine fields in an incommensurate antiferromagnetic Cr-Mo alloy system, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **39**, 672 (1975).
- [29] M. E. Smith and K. J. D. MacKenzie, *Multinuclear Solid-State NMR of Inorganic Materials*, Pergamon Materials Series Vol. 6 (Pergamon, An Imprint of Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2002).
- [30] M. E. Smith and E. R. H. van Eck, Recent advances in experimental solid state NMR methodology for half-integer spin quadrupolar nuclei, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **34**, 159 (1999).
- [31] G. C. Carter, L. H. Bennett, and D. J. Kahan, Metallic shifts in NMR: A review of the theory and comprehensive critical data compilation of metallic materials, *Progress in Materials Science* Vol. 20 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1977).
- [32] T. J. Bastow, Materials characterisation by nuclear quadrupole interaction, *Z. Naturforsch.* **49a**, 320 (1994).
- [33] M. P. Petrov and E. A. Turov, Nuclear magnetic resonance in ferromagnets and antiferromagnets, *Appl. Spectroscopy Rev.* **5**, 265 (2007).
- [34] C. Meny and P. Panissod, Nuclear magnetic resonance in ferromagnets: Ferromagnetic nuclear resonance; A very broadband approach, *Ann. Rep. NMR Spectrosc.* **103**, 47 (2021).
- [35] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.7.114410> for NMR probe, XRD patterns, SEM image, DSC scans, internal-field NMR data, mixed-valence data, spin-stiffness data, and Clogston-Jaccarino analysis.

- [36] T. J. Bastow, M. I. Burgar, and C. Maunders, Electric field gradients in metals: Correlation of experimental results with ab initio calculation, *Solid State Commun.* **122**, 629 (2002).
- [37] R. Laskowski and P. Blaha, NMR shielding in metals using the augmented plane wave method, *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **119**, 19390 (2015).
- [38] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, *WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave Plus Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties* (Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria, 2001).
- [39] C. P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance*, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
- [40] J. E. Wertz and J. R. Bolton, *Electron Spin Resonance* (Chapman and Hall, New York, 1986), Chap. 11.
- [41] J. S. Stephens and D. W. J. Cruikshank, A re-investigation of the crystal structure of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CrO}_4$, *Acta Crystallogr.* **B26**, 437 (1970).
- [42] A. Lentz, W. Büchele, and H. Schöllhorn, Crystal growth from silica gels and single crystal structure of barium chromate, *Cryst. Res. Technol.* **21**, 827 (1986).
- [43] D. Massiot, F. Fayon, M. Capron, I. King, S. Le Calvé, B. Alonso, J-O. Durand, B. Bujoli, Z. Gan, and G. Hoatson, Modelling one- and two-dimensional solid-state NMR spectra, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **40**, 70 (2002).
- [44] D. L. Bryce and R. E. Wasylishen, The first chromium-53 solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of diamagnetic chromium(0) and chromium(VI) compounds, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 5154 (2001).
- [45] M. Bühl, Density-functional computation of ^{53}Cr NMR chemical shifts, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **44**, 661 (2006).
- [46] T. H. Lee, S. J. Kim, E. Shin, and S. Takaki, On the crystal structure of Cr_2N precipitates in high-nitrogen austenitic stainless steel. III. Neutron diffraction study on the ordered Cr_2N superstructure, *Acta Crystallogr. B* **62**, 979 (2006).
- [47] L. M. Corliss, N. Elliot, and J. M. Hastings, Antiferromagnetic structure of CrN , *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 929 (1960).
- [48] G. Wang, Theoretical prediction of the intrinsic half-metallicity in surface-oxygen-passivated Cr_2N MXene, *J Phys. Chem. C.* **120**, 18850 (2016).
- [49] L. A. O'Dell, Direct detection of nitrogen-14 in solid-state NMR spectroscopy, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* **59**, 295 (2011).
- [50] T. J. Bastow, D. Massiot, and J. P. Coutures, ^{14}N NMR in AlN and BN , *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **19**, 241 (1998).
- [51] O. E. O. Zeman, I. L. Moudrakovski, C. Hartmann, S. Indris, and T. Bräuniger, Local electronic structure in AlN studied by single-crystal ^{27}Al and ^{14}N NMR and DFT calculations, *Molecules* **25**, 469 (2020).
- [52] T. Tanaka, H. Nozaki, E. Bannai, Y. Ishizawa, S. Kawai, and T. Yamane, Preparation and properties of CrB_2 single crystals, *J. Less-Common Met.* **50**, 15 (1976).
- [53] A. Bauer, A. Regnat, C. G. F. Blum, S. Gottlieb-Schönmeyer, B. Pedersen, M. Meven, S. Wurmehl, J. Kuneš, and C. Pfleiderer, Low-temperature properties of single-crystal CrB_2 , *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 064414 (2014).
- [54] C. N. Guy and A. A. Uraz, The chromium-boron system, *J. Less-Common Met.* **48**, 199 (1976).
- [55] K. Schwarz, H. Ripplinger, and P. Blaha, Electric field gradient calculations on various borides, *Z. Naturforsch.* **51a**, 527 (1996).
- [56] R. J. Schoenberger and R. G. Barnes, NMR study of the antiferromagnetic transition in $\text{V}_x\text{Cr}_{1-x}\text{B}_2$, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **5**, 392 (1972).
- [57] C. N. Guy, The electronic properties of chromium borides, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **37**, 1005 (1976).
- [58] X. Y. Chong, Y. H. Jiang, R. Zhou, and J. Feng, Elastic properties and electronic structures of Cr_xB_y as superhard compounds, *J. Alloys Compd.* **610**, 684 (2014).
- [59] R. G. Barnes, Nuclear magnetic resonance in the non-cubic metals, in *Magnetic Resonance*, edited by C. K. Coogan *et al.* (Plenum Press, New York, 1970), pp. 63–90.
- [60] A. H. Silver and T. Kushida, Nuclear magnetic resonance in transition-metal diborides, *J. Chem. Phys.* **38**, 865 (1963).
- [61] R. G. Barnes and R. B. Creel, Chromium-like antiferromagnetic behavior of CrB_2 , *Phys. Lett. A.* **29**, 203 (1969).
- [62] S. Funahashi, Y. Hamaguchi, T. Tanaka, and E. Bannai, Helical magnetic structure in CrB_2 , *Solid State Commun.* **23**, 859 (1977).
- [63] Y. Wang, X. Jiang, Y. Wang, and J. Zhao, Ferromagnetic Dirac half-metallicity in transition metal embedded honeycomb borophene, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 17150 (2021).
- [64] M. Li, Y. Wang, A. Chen, A. Naidu, B. S. Napier, W. Lia, C. L. Rodriguez, S. A. Crooker, and F. G. Omenetto, Flexible magnetic composites for light-controlled actuation and interfaces, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **115**, 8119 (2018).
- [65] S. He, P. Zhou, Y. Yang, W. Wang, and L. Z. Sun, 1T-CrO_2 monolayer: A high-temperature Dirac half-metal for high-speed spintronics, *Nanoscale Adv.* **3**, 3093 (2021).
- [66] T. J. Bastow, M. A. Gibson, and C. T. Forwood, $^{47,49}\text{Ti}$ NMR: Hyperfine interactions in oxides and metals, *Solid State Nucl. Magn. Reson.* **12**, 201 (1998).
- [67] G. F. Lynch, S. L. Segel, and M. Sayer, Nuclear magnetic resonance of polycrystalline VO_2 , *J. Magn. Res.* **15**, 8 (1974).
- [68] B. N. Brockhouse, Antiferromagnetic structure in Cr_2O_3 , *J. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 961 (1953).
- [69] L. M. Corliss and J. M. Hastings, Magnetic structure studies at Brookhaven National Laboratory, *J. Phys. (Paris)*. **25**, 557 (1964).
- [70] L. M. Corliss, J. M. Hastings, R. Nathans, and G. Shirane, Magnetic structure of Cr_2O_3 , *J. Appl. Phys.* **36**, 1099 (1965).
- [71] A. S. Karnachev, M. M. Lukina, and E. E. Solov'ev, Magnetic phases and quadrupolar effects in rare-earth orthochromites, *Fiz. Nizk. Temp.* **21**, 617 (1995).
- [72] *Johnson Matthey Magnetic Susceptibility Balance Instruction Manual*, Issue 3, ECN 306, Appendix C, 10th March (Johnson Matthey, Wayne, PA, USA, 2004).
- [73] J. C. Slater, Atomic radii in crystals, *J. Chem. Phys.* **41**, 3199 (1964).
- [74] R. D. Shannon, Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides, *Acta Crystallogr.* **A32**, 751 (1976).
- [75] T. L. Daulton and B. J. Little, Determination of chromium valence over the range $\text{Cr}(0)$ - $\text{Cr}(\text{VI})$ by electron energy loss spectroscopy, *Ultramicroscopy*. **106**, 561 (2006).
- [76] F. Farges, Chromium speciation in oxide-type compounds: Application to minerals, gems, aqueous solutions and silicate glasses, *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **36**, 463 (2009).
- [77] S. M. Dubiel and H. Lütgemeier, ^{53}Cr site hyperfine fields in iron-rich Fe-Cr alloys, *Phys. Lett. A.* **84A**, 396 (1981).

- [78] T. Waki, K. Takao, Y. Tabata, H. Ohta, T. Yajima, Z. Hiroi, and H. Nakamura, Possible itinerant-electron canted antiferromagnetism in tetragonal antiperovskite Cr_3AsN , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **86**, 104706 (2017).
- [79] A. Narath and H. T. Weaver, Impurity nuclear magnetic resonance shifts and spin-lattice relaxation rates in Al:V, Al:Cr, and Al:Mn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23**, 233 (1969).
- [80] A. Narath, Magnetic hyperfine interaction studies of magnetic impurities in metals, *Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **3**, 1 (1972).
- [81] K. Le Dang, P. Veilleux, and P. J. Walker, Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of ^{53}Cr in a single crystal of Rb_2CrCl_4 , *J. Phys. C* **10**, 4593 (1977).
- [82] Q.-P. Ding, S. Pakhira, N. S. Sangeetha, E. H. Krenkel, E. I. Timmons, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, D. C. Johnston, and Y. Furukawa, Itinerant G-type antiferromagnet SrCr_2As_2 studied by magnetization, heat capacity, electrical resistivity, and NMR measurements, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 134408 (2022).
- [83] M. Yamaguchi and T. Hashimoto, Magnetic properties of Cr_3Te_4 in ferromagnetic region, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **32**, 635 (1972).
- [84] E. Jedryka, M. Wojcik, and A. Pajczkowska, NMR investigation in some compounds of Cr-Te system, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **52**, 773 (1977).
- [85] L. Dang Khoi and P. Veilleux, Résonance magnétique nucléaire dans CrTe et Cr_3Te_4 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. B* **264**, 1154 (1967).
- [86] T. Hashimoto and M. Yamaguchi, Magnetic properties of Cr_7Te_8 , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **27**, 1121 (1969).
- [87] K. Le Dang, Interaction hyperfine des ions du groupe fer dans les composés ferro et ferrimagnétiques. in *Magnetic Resonance and Radiofrequency Spectroscopy, Proceedings of the 15th Colloque A.M.P.E.R.E., Grenoble, September 1968*, edited by P. Averbuch (North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1969), 505.
- [88] K. Le Dang, M. Rotter, and R. Krishnan, Hyperfine interaction of Cr^{3+} and Cu^{2+} ions in Cr- and Cu-doped YIG, *Phys. Status Solidi A* **12**, 569 (1972).
- [89] A. G. Smol'nikov, V. V. Ogloblichev, S. V. Verkhovskii, K. N. Mikhalev, A. Y. Yakubovskii, K. Kumagai, Y. Furukawa, A. F. Sadykov, Y. V. Piskunov, A. P. Gerashchenko, S. N. Barilo, and A. V. Shiryayev, ^{53}Cr NMR study of CuCrO_2 multiferroic, *JETP Lett.* **102**, 674 (2015).
- [90] A. S. Karnachev, Y. I. Klechin, N. M. Kovtun, A. S. Moskvina, E. E. Solov'ev, and A. A. Tkachenko, Spin-flip and nuclear quadrupole interactions in the rare-earth orthochromites GdCrO_3 , *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **85**, 670 (1983) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **58**, 390 (1983)].
- [91] A. S. Karnachev, T. K. Soboleva, E. E. Solov'ev, and E. P. Stefanovskii, Temperature dependences of magnetization and frequencies of nuclear magnetic resonance in Cr-53 in yttrium and erbium orthochromites, *Sov. Phys. – Solid State* **21**, 1451 (1979).
- [92] A. S. Karnachev, N. M. Kovtun, M. I. Kurkin, E. E. Solov'ev, and A. A. Tkachenko, Quadrupole splitting and nuclear magnetic relaxation in ErCrO_3 near a Morin-type phase transition, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **85**, 224 (1983) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **58**, 130 (1983)].
- [93] A. S. Karnachev, Y. I. Klechin, N. M. Kovtun, A. S. Moskvina, E. E. Solov'ev, and A. A. Tkachenko, NMR investigation of spin flip in TmCrO_3 , *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **92**, 279 (1987) [*Sov. Phys. JETP* **65**, 157 (1987)].
- [94] M. A. Butler, M. Eibschütz, and L. G. van Uitert, ^{53}Cr nuclear magnetic resonance in the rare-earth orthochromites, *Phys. Rev. B* **6**, 51 (1972).
- [95] D. T. Edmonds and D. R. Taylor, An estimate of the zero-point spin deviation in a spin 3/2 antiferromagnet including the transferred hyperfine interaction, *Proc. Phys. Soc. London* **91**, 356 (1967).
- [96] H. Nagasawa and T. Tsushima, Cr^{53} nuclear magnetic resonance in the non-collinear ferrimagnet MnCr_2O_4 , *Phys. Lett.* **15**, 205 (1965).
- [97] D. Y. Yoon, S. Lee, Y. S. Oh, and K. H. Kim, NMR study on the stability of the magnetic ground state in MnCr_2O_4 , *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 094448 (2010).
- [98] E. Jo, B. Kang, C. Kim, S. Kwon, and S. Lee, Spin state and orbital ordering in CuCr_2O_4 investigated by NMR, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 094417 (2013).
- [99] L. D. Khoi, Résonance magnétique nucléaire dans CuCr_2O_4 et FeCr_2S_4 , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. B* **262**, 1555 (1966).
- [100] K. Le Dang, M. C. Mery, and P. Veillet, Spin-glass-like behaviour of the mixed spinel systems $\text{Mn}_x\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_4$ and $\text{Mn}_{0.75}\text{Mg}_{0.25}(\text{Cr}_{1-y}\text{V}_y)_2\text{O}_4$, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **43**, 161 (1984).
- [101] J. K. Srivasta, K. Le Dang, and P. Veillet, NMR and magnetization studies of the mixed spinel ferrites $\text{Ga}_x\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{NiCr}_{1-y}\text{Al}_y\text{O}_4$, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **54-57**, 1341 (1986).
- [102] S. L. Carr, P. Erdos, W. G. Moulton, and J. Robinson, Nuclear magnetic resonance experiments and theory of the magnetic properties of NaCrS_2 , *Solid State Commun.* **7**, 1673 (1969).
- [103] T. Shinohara and H. Watanabe, Nuclear magnetic resonance in Cr_3As_2 , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **21**, 2076 (1966).
- [104] C. M. Casadei, L. Bordonali, Y. Furukawa, F. Borsa, E. Garlatti, A. Lascialfari, S. Carretta, S. Sanna, G. A. Timco, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Local spin density in the Cr_7Ni antiferromagnetic molecular ring and ^{53}Cr -NMR, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **24**, 406002 (2012).
- [105] E. Micotti, Y. Furukawa, K. Kumagai, S. Carretta, A. Lascialfari, F. Borsa, G. A. Timco, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Local spin moment distribution in antiferromagnetic molecular rings probed by NMR, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 267204 (2006).
- [106] M. W. Pieper, H. Lütgemeier, and W. Zinn, Double nuclear magnetic resonance in the weak ferromagnet YCrO_3 , *Z. Phys.* **B 63**, 369 (1986).
- [107] H. Takeda, Y. Shimizu, M. Itoh, F. Chikusa-ku, H. Sakurai, and E. Takayama-Muromachi, Magnetic frustration effects in the new colossal magnetoresistance oxide NaCr_2O_4 , *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **62**, 1914 (2013).
- [108] Z. H. Han, J. I. Budnick, W. A. Hines, B. Dabrowski, S. Kolesnik, and T. Maxwell, Nuclear magnetic resonance study of the enhanced ferromagnetic ordering in polycrystalline $\text{SrRu}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.12$), *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **17**, 1193 (2005).
- [109] H. Yokoyama, R. Watanabe, and S. Chiba, Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of CuCr_2Se_4 and CuCr_2Te_4 , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **23**, 450 (1967).
- [110] V. N. Berzhanskii, I. A. I. Gorbovanov, and S. N. Polulyakh, Nuclear spin resonance of ^{53}Cr in ferromagnetic CuCr_2S_4 , *Phys. Solid State* **47**, 502 (2005).

- [111] S. B. Berger, J. I. Budnick, and T. J. Burch, Systematics of the hyperfine and exchange interactions in the chromium chalcogenide spinels, *Phys. Rev.* **179**, 272 (1969).
- [112] S. B. Berger, T. J. Burch, J. I. Budnick, and L. Darcy, NMR of $\text{CuCr}_{2-x}\text{V}_x\text{S}_4$ spinels, *J. Appl. Phys.* **42**, 1309 (1971).
- [113] L. D. Khoi, Resonance magnetique nucleaire dans CoCr_2S_4 et CuCr_2S_4 , *Solid State Commun.* **6**, 203 (1968).
- [114] G. N. Abelyashev, V. N. Berzhansky, N. A. Sergeev, and Y. V. Fedotov, Multiquantum effects and NMR in magnetically ordered substances, *Phys. Lett. A* **133**, 263 (1988).
- [115] G. N. Abelyashev, V. N. Berzhansky, S. N. Polulyakh, and N. A. Sergeev, Relaxation of spin echo signals of ^{53}Cr nuclei in Ag-doped CdCr_2Se_4 , *Physica B* **292**, 323 (2000).
- [116] S. B. Berger, J. I. Budnick, and T. J. Burch, NMR of ^{53}Cr and ^{77}Se in ferromagnetic chalcogenide spinels, *J. Appl. Phys.* **39**, 658 (1968).
- [117] V. V. Oglobichev, Y. V. Piskunov, and F. B. Mushenok, Magnetic order in the structurally disordered helicoidal magnet $\text{Cr}_{1/3}\text{NbS}_2$: NMR at ^{53}Cr nuclei, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **125**, 317 (2017).
- [118] D. Feldman, H. R. Kirchmayr, A. Schmolz, and M. Velicescu, Magnetic materials analyses by nuclear spectrometry: A joint approach to Mössbauer effect and nuclear magnetic resonance, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **7**, 61 (1971).
- [119] A. C. Gossard, V. Jaccarino, and J. P. Remeika, NMR in domains and walls in ferromagnetic CrBr_3 , *J. Appl. Phys.* **31**, 1187 (1962).
- [120] V. Heine, Hyperfine structure of paramagnetic ions, *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1002 (1957).
- [121] P. W. Anderson, Theory of magnetic exchange interactions: Exchange in insulators and semiconductors, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **14**, 99 (1963).
- [122] A. J. Freeman and R. E. Watson, Hyperfine interactions in magnetic materials, in *Magnetism*, edited by G. T. Rado and H. Suhl (Academic Press, New York, London, 1965), Vol. IIA, 167.
- [123] K. Schwarz, CrO_2 predicted as a half-metallic ferromagnet, *J. Phys. F* **16**, L211 (1986).
- [124] F. Tran, J. Doumont, L. Kalantari, A. W. Huran, M. A. L. Marques, and P. Blaha, Semilocal exchange-correlation potentials for solid-state calculations: Current status and future directions, *J. Appl. Phys.* **126**, 110902 (2019).
- [125] V. Bellini, A. Olivieri, and F. Manghi, Density-functional study of the Cr8 antiferromagnetic ring, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 184431 (2006).
- [126] F. Troiani, V. Bellini, and M. Affronte, Decoherence induced by hyperfine interactions with nuclear spins in antiferromagnetic molecular rings, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 054428 (2008).
- [127] B. Budick, R. J. Goshen, S. Jacobs, and S. Marcus, Core polarization in Cr^{53} , *Phys. Rev.* **144**, 103 (1966).
- [128] J. M. Friedt and J. P. Sanchez, Origin of the magnetic hyperfine field transferred at iodine in ferromagnetic CrI_3 , *J. Phys. C* **11**, 3731 (1978).
- [129] S. Blugel, H. Akai, R. Zeller, and P. H. Dederichs, Hyperfine fields of 3d and 4d impurities in nickel, *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 3271 (1987).
- [130] P. H. Dederichs, R. Zeller, H. Akai, and H. Ebert, Ab-initio calculations of the electronic structure of impurities and alloys of ferromagnetic transition metals, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **100**, 241 (1991).
- [131] C. Zener, Interaction between the d shells in the transition metals, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 440 (1951).
- [132] C-Y. Yang, L. Pan, A. J. Grutter, H. Wang, X. Che, Q. L. He, Y. Wu, D. A. Gilbert, P. Shafer, E. Arenholz, H. Wu, G. Yin, P. Deng, J. A. Borchers, W. Ratcliff II, and K. L. Wang, Termination switching of antiferromagnetic proximity effect in topological insulator, *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaaz8463 (2020).
- [133] Y. Tokura, K. Yasuda, and A. Tsukazaki, Magnetic topological insulators, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 126 (2019).
- [134] S. Cottinier, B. De Vries, J. Meersschant, and M. Rots, What density-functional theory can tell us about the spin-density wave in Cr, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14**, 3275 (2002).
- [135] F. Keffer, Spin waves, in *Ferromagnetism/ Ferromagnetismus*, Vol. 4, edited by H. P. J. Wijn (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966).
- [136] A. Narath, Low-temperature sublattice magnetization of antiferromagnetic CrCl_3 , *Phys. Rev.* **131**, 1929 (1963).
- [137] T. J. Bastow, S. L. Mair, and S. W. Wilkins, Empirical $T^{3/2}$ law for atomic thermal vibration parameters, *J. Appl. Phys.* **48**, 494 (1977).
- [138] T. J. Bastow, $T^{3/2}$ dependence of the high temperature electrical resistivity of metals, *Phys. Lett.* **60A**, 487 (1977).
- [139] Z. Shu and T. Kong, Spin stiffness of chromium-based van der Waals ferromagnets, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **33**, 195803 (2021).
- [140] H. Liu, R. K. Zheng, Y. Wang, H. L. Bai, and X. X. Zhang, Transport and magnetotransport properties of cold-pressed CrO_2 powder, *Phys. Status Solidi A* **202**, 144 (2005).
- [141] F. Yokaichiya, A. Krimmel, V. Tsurkan, I. Margiolaki, P. Thompson, H. N. Bordallo, A. Buchsteiner, N. Stüßler, D. N. Argyriou, and A. Loidl, Spin-driven phase transitions in ZnCr_2Se_4 and ZnCr_2S_4 probed by high-resolution synchrotron x-ray and neutron powder diffraction, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 064423 (2009).
- [142] R. D. Lowde, M. Shimizu, M. W. Stringfellow, and B. H. Torrie, Density of states effects in the magnetic stiffness of 3d-3d transition metal alloys, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 698 (1965).
- [143] B. G. Lewis, The magnetic properties of some pseudo-binary platinum–chromium–manganese alloys. Ph.D. thesis, Loughborough University. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/13148> (1974).
- [144] R. Y. Umetsu, A. Okubo, A. Fujita, T. Kanomata, K. Ishida, and R. Kainuma, Spin wave stiffness constants of half-metallic ferromagnets Co_2YZ (Y = Cr, Mn, and Fe, Z = Ga, Al, and Si) Heusler alloys, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **47**, 2451 (2011).
- [145] J. Castaing, P. Costa, M. Hertier, and P. Lederer, Spin fluctuation effects in nearly antiferromagnetic vanadium and chromium diborides, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **33**, 533 (1972).
- [146] G. E. Grechnev, A. V. Fedorchenko, A. V. Logosha, A. S. Panfilov, I. V. Svechkarev, V. B. Filippov, A. B. Lyashchenko, and A. V. Evdokimova, Electronic structure and magnetic properties of transition metal diborides, *J. Alloys Compd.* **481**, 75 (2009).
- [147] G. Balakrishnan, S. Majumdar, M. R. Lees, and D. McK. Paul, Single crystal growth of CrB_2 using a high-temperature image furnace, *J. Cryst. Growth* **274**, 294 (2005).
- [148] L. Leyarovska and E. Leyvarovski, A search for superconductivity below 1 K in transition metal borides, *J. Less-Common Met.* **67**, 249 (1979).
- [149] A. M. Clogston and V. Jaccarino, Susceptibilities and negative Knight shifts of intermetallic compounds, *Phys. Rev.* **121**, 1357 (1961).