Hot carrier redistribution, electron-phonon interaction, and their role in carrier relaxation in thin film metal-halide perovskites

S. Sourabh, V. R. Whit[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7846-3150)[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2782-0044)ide \bullet , and I. R. Sellers \bullet ^{*}

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA

Y. Zha[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5862-1764) \bullet , K. Wang, an[d](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2711-1355) M. C. Beard \bullet

National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, Colorado 80401, USA

V. Yedd[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2016-8620) \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9104-4142) M. T. Bamidele \bullet , and D. Y. Kim

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Oklahoma State University, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104, USA

(Received 4 March 2021; revised 25 June 2021; accepted 4 August 2021; published 15 September 2021)

Temperature dependent (4–295 K) photoluminescence and transmission spectra are analyzed to study the effect of changing the different components of a perovskite compound, be it *A*, *B*, or *X*. Four different films are compared: FAMAPbSnI₃, FAPbI₃, FAMAPbI₃, and FAPbBr₃. The low temperature results highlight the changes that occur, especially underlying ones that are easily masked at room temperature. The overall Stokes shift is of similar magnitude at room temperature for the three Pb only based samples. This is governed by the interaction strength Γ_{LO} , phonon energy E_{LO} , and exciton binding energy E_{ex} . One exception to this behavior is the Sn based FAMAPbSnI3 film, which shows a lack of Stokes shift between the absorption and photoluminescence. However, the strong absorption (more than 100 meV) below the band gap is indicative of an excitonic feature that has a large density of states. Transient absorption measurements confirm the trends observed in continuous wave (CW) measurements; the three Pb only films all show the convolution of an excitonic feature within 20 meV of the band gap as a contributing factor to the photobleach along with a region of high energy photoinduced absorption (PIA). However, the behavior for the Sn based film is notably different (just as it is in the CW measurements) with an unusual low energy PIA and a lack of high energy PIA. The large unusual low energy PIA is attributed to the large sub-band-gap absorption observed in the CW transmission/absorption measurements. Notably, regardless of interchanging components, the slow cooling of carriers in metal-halide perovskites shows little effect of Γ_{LO} , E_{LO} , and E_{ex} . As such, here it is proposed that while the initial cooling of carriers is attributed to LO phonons, the overall cooling of carriers is dominated by the intrinsic low thermal conductivity of all metal-halide perovskites, which limits the dissipation of acoustic phonons in these systems.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.095402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.095402)

I. INTRODUCTION

Halide perovskites are *ABX*3-structured solutionprocessable semiconductors comprising only earth-abundant elements that can be both fabricated and synthesized at low cost. Here, (compound) *A* refers to a monovalent organic cation such as methylammonium $(MA^+; CH_3NH_3^+)$ or formamidinium (FA⁺), *B* is a divalent metal cation (Pb²⁺, Sn^{2+} , or Ge^{2+}), and *X* is a halide anion, e.g., I^- , Br^- , or Cl^- [\[1\]](#page-9-0). By changing the composition of the constituent materials, the electrical and optical properties of the structure can be tailored and controlled [\[2,3\]](#page-9-0).

Interest in organic-inorganic halide perovskites has resulted in a remarkable increase in solar cell efficiency (15% in 2013 to 25.5% in 2020) for a material system that first made it onto National Renewable Energy Laboratory's best research cell efficiency chart in 2013 [\[4\]](#page-9-0). The interest in perovskites has not been limited to solar cell research but extends over a wide range of optoelectronic devices: lasers [\[5\]](#page-9-0), light emitting diodes [\[6,7\]](#page-9-0), and photodetectors [\[8\]](#page-9-0). Additionally, perovskites have shown rather slowed hot carrier cooling [\[9–14\]](#page-9-0) in transient absorption (TA) measurements spurring considerable interest as possible absorber material for hot carrier solar cells [\[15–17\]](#page-9-0).

While these slowed carrier cooling rates are measured under short pulsed, high power transient absorption measurements, will those long lifetimes be able to be exploited in a suitably designed hot carrier solar cell? Here, a reexamination of several different bulk perovskites that span a wide energy range, with varying degrees of ionicity and exciton binding energies, is considered in order to uncover aspects underlying the unusually slowed hot carrier cooling rates that are observed in TA [\[10–14,18–22\]](#page-9-0) or time resolved photoluminescence (PL) [\[5,9\]](#page-9-0) experiments.

In order to address this question continuous wave (CW) temperature dependent photoluminescence and linear transmission data, as well as CW power dependent PL, are evaluated to determine effective band gaps, exciton binding energies, linewidth broadening, carrier temperature, and Stokes shifts. These trends are subsequently evaluated with respect to phononic properties, ionicity, and therefore possible polaron interactions.

^{*}Corresponding author: sellers@ou.edu

FIG. 1. Temperature dependent PL from 4.2 to 295 K for (a) FAMAPbSnI₃, (b) FAPbI₃, (c) FAMAPbI₃, and (d) FAPbBr₃, respectively.

Standard practices for perovskite precursor storage, solution preparation, and film deposition were conducted in a nitrogen glovebox. The perovskite precursor solutions were prepared by dissolving the necessary components (formamidinium iodide, methylammonium, lead (II) iodide, lead (II) bromide, lead thiocyanate, and tin fluoride, or tin iodide) to produce solutions of four different perovskite thin films: FAMAPbSnI₃, FAPbI₃, FAMAPbI₃, and FAPbBr₃ [\[23\]](#page-9-0). Prior to spin coating stoichiometric amounts of solutions were mixed and stirred for 1 h to produce the perovskite precursor solution.

II. TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT PHOTOLUMINESCENCE

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of the normalized PL spectra for four different perovskite thin films: (a) $FAMAPbSnI₃$, (b) $FAPbI₃$, (c) $FAMAPbI₃$, and (d) $FAPbBr₃$. All four show a shift to higher energy as a function of temperature that is typical for metal-halide perovskites due to the *p*-like conduction band and *s*-like valence band [\[24,25\]](#page-9-0). With the addition of methylammonium to $FAPbI₃$ there is a slight increase in the PL emission energy (effective band gap); however, the thermally mediated shift in emission remains equivalent, \approx 50 meV. In general, most parameters extracted in subsequent analysis show that the addition of methylammonium has little effect when directly compared with FAPbI₃, indicating the inorganic PbI₃ framework dominates the optical properties of these films.

When considering the PL from the systems under investigation in Fig. 1, in all cases, the PL is dominated by a higher energy peak (P1), which is attributed to the exciton emission associated with the band gap. Also, there is an additional lower energy peak (P2) and/or an asymmetric low energy tail that is particularly evident at lower temperatures. While this low energy transition (P2) is evident in all the systems studied here, the energy difference with respect to the main PL feature $(P1)$ changes in each case. Specifically, in the case of $FAPbBr₃$ [Fig. 1(d)] the ΔE_{p1-p2} is ≈ 40 meV (P1 = 2.21 eV; P2 = 2.17 eV). For FAPbI₃, ΔE_{p1-p2} is ≈ 10 meV, much smaller than the equivalent Br based perovskite [Fig. $1(d)$], while in Sn based FAMAPbSnI₃ [Fig. 1(a)] ΔE_{p1-p2} is ≈ 10 meV (P1 $= 1.08$ eV; P2 $= 1.07$ eV).

However, in the case of FAMAPbI₃ the ground state transition P1 (1.49 eV) consists of an additional peak P3 (1.5 eV), 10 meV higher in energy than P1 (1.49 eV). Although the exact origin of P3 is unknown, cation segregation and the effects of strain are both feasible. The effects of strain and a subsequent reduction in the structural symmetry have been observed previously in $FAMAPb(BrI)$ ₃, which results in a relaxation of optical transition selection rules [\[26\]](#page-9-0). Such effects would also be expected to lift the ground state degeneracy in FAMAPbI₃, resulting in additional fine structure in the system. For FAMAPbI₃ [Fig. 1(c)] ΔE_{p1-p2} is ≈ 20–30 meV when considering transition (P3).

In the Pb based systems, the origin of this lower energy peak (P2) is often attributed to localized or defect mediated emission. If P2 were an intrinsic exciton, it would—as the lowest energy transition—be expected to dominate the low temperature PL; as well as, to be correlated to the excitonic feature observed in the absorption, neither of which is evident here [see insets to Figs. $3(a) - 3(c)$].

Here, it is suggested that the origin of this emitting complex (P2) lies in subtle variations in the structural configuration of the different metal-halide perovskite thin films; e.g., the formation of slightly different orthorhombic bond lengths of twist angles that occur at lower temperatures prior to the structural phase transition at ≈ 150 K. Such variations in structure configurations can result from locally different strain fields, which may lift the degeneracy of the band structure in both condensed [\[27\]](#page-9-0) and soft matter [\[28\]](#page-9-0) systems or some localized electronegativity differences and the formation of self-trapped excitons [\[29\]](#page-9-0) and/or isoelectronic centers [\[30\]](#page-9-0).

As the temperature increases below the structural phase transition at ≈ 150 K, the PL initially shifts to higher energy and a redistribution of carriers between the various transitions is observed. At $T > 50$ K the PL is dominated by a single feature at the position of transition P1, which is attributed to the intrinsic excitonic contribution at the R point. While the contribution of P2 steadily decreases with increasing temperature, it continues to contribute to the low energy tail of the emission shifting in tandem with P1 until the phase transition at \approx 150 K. This redistribution of carriers from P2 to P1 can be understood in terms of the increased thermal energy and the higher density of states of the band edge excitons (P1)—free carriers—with respect to P2. Above 50 K, the contribution of this thermal redistribution of carriers along with significant phonon mediated broadening becomes evident.

In the case of FAMAPbSnI₃, the appearance of competing features in the PL appears to be strongly related to its stability and purity, in addition to the structural symmetry of the system. In pristine FAMAPbSnI₃ samples the appearance

FWHM o

 Γ_0 + Γ_{LA} $\ddot{\mathbf{c}}$

o $\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{LO}$

o Γ_0 + Γ_{imr}

90

FIG. 2. FWHM from 4.2 to 295 K for (a) FAPbBr₃, (b) FAMAPbI₃, (c) FAPbI₃, and (d) FAMAPbSnI₃, respectively. The purple solid line is the fit to the data with the contributions of the various coupling parameters as described in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-0) shown in red for Γ_0 plus Γ_{LA} , green for Γ_{LO} , and blue for Γ_{imp} . Please note in panel (b) that Γ_{imp} overlays Γ_{LA} .

of two features close to the peak of the PL suggests effects similar to that of the pure Pb systems discussed above, which indicates the structure of pristine mixed Pb-Sn alloys may have improved homogeneity and lower intrinsic strain. However, the significantly enhanced low temperature linewidth of the FAMAPbSnI₃ samples investigated here (\approx 70 meV) with respect to the pure Pb samples (\approx 20–30 meV) likely

FIG. 3. (a) Comparison of the temperature dependence of the peak PL energy (black circles) and the energy from the transmission spectra (red circles) for (a) $FAPbBr₃$, (b) $FAMAPbI₃$, (c) $FAPbI₃$, and (d) FAMAPbSnI₃ from 4.2 to 295 K. Shaded regions correspond to the extent of FWHM. The insets show PL (black) and absorbance (red) spectra for 4 K (upper) and 295 K (lower).

indicates some inhomogeneity related to either compositional variations [\[31\]](#page-9-0) and/or phase segregation.

Perovskite	Γ_0 (meV)	Γ_{LO} (meV)	E_{LO} (meV)
FAMAPbSnI ₃	51 ± 6	204 ± 20	40 ± 4
FAPbI ₃	17.2 ± 2	16 ± 4	8.5 ± 4
FAMAPbI ₃	29.3 ± 9	43 ± 4	15 ± 3
FAPbBr ₃	17.3 ± 8	59 ± 19	20 ± 10

TABLE I. Extracted broadening parameters.

Interestingly, similar broadening was also evident in recent temperature dependent PL for $FASnI_3$ [\[13\]](#page-9-0) indicating that the broad PL could be inherent to Sn based halide perovskites. The origin of these transitions likely includes local and extrinsic variations in structure via degradation induced strain (Sn^{2+}) versus Sn^{4+}) [\[31–33\]](#page-9-0), local variations in structural symmetry, or electric fields, all of which can affect the degeneracy of the band structure [\[24,26](#page-9-0)[,34\]](#page-10-0).

Above the structural phase transition $(T > 150 \text{ K})$, a shift to lower energy is evident in Pb only cases, consistent with the reduced band gap in the tetragonal phase with respect to the low temperature orthorhombic phase [\[35\]](#page-10-0). While the PL is increasingly dominated by the P1 transition, this feature continues to display evidence of a low energy Urbach tail and significant broadening at room temperature, providing further evidence for the presence of several subtle convoluted and competing complexes at the band edge. Rather significantly so in the case of $FAMAPbSnI₃$ [see Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0), further supporting the presence of alloy fluctuations and segregation in this system $[31-33]$.

III. LINEWIDTH BROADENING

To further elucidate the nature of the emission in the metal-halide perovskites investigated here, the temperature dependent PL was evaluated in terms of the thermally activated broadening of the full width at half maximum (FWHM). From the FWHM of the temperature dependent PL (see Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0) several parameters describing electron-phonon (and impurity related) interactions can be extracted via linewidth broadening analysis. The PL linewidth broadening as a function of temperature is given by [\[36,37\]](#page-10-0)

$$
\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(T) = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_{\text{LA}}T + \frac{\Gamma_{\text{LO}}}{[\exp(\frac{E_{\text{LO}}}{k_B T}) - 1]} + \Gamma_{\text{imp}}\exp\left(-\frac{E_x}{k_B T}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where Γ_0 , Γ_{LA} , Γ_{LO} , and Γ_{imp} are the thermal broadening parameters due to the (temperature independent) inhomogeneous broadening $(T = 0 \text{ K})$, acoustic phonons (LA), optical phonons (LO), and ionized impurities scattering, respectively. The energy of LO-phonons and ionized impurities are denoted E_{LO} and E_x , respectively [\[37\]](#page-10-0).

Table I lists the most relevant parameters: Γ_0 , Γ_{LO} , and E_{LO} . Γ_{LA} , Γ_{imp} , and E_x are not listed as they are orders of magnitude smaller than the other parameters and do not significantly contribute. While such analysis must be considered with care in the presence of inhomogeneities and the competition from convoluted transitions, it can be instructive in terms of trends and the qualitative physical behavior. Clearly, complications such as grain boundaries that may hamper phonon propagation are not specifically addressed in this analysis,

therefore several of the parameters could easily have some portion that is associated with grain boundary behavior.

The main panels of Fig. [2](#page-2-0) show the FWHM (solid black circles) with linewidth broadening fitting [purple lines with component contributions Γ_0 plus Γ_{LA} (red), Γ_0 plus Γ_{LO} (green), and Γ_0 plus Γ_{imp} (blue)] as a function of temperature for (a) $FAPbBr₃$, (b) $FAMAPbI₃$, (c) $FAPbI₃$, and (d) FAMAPbSnI3. When assessing the thermal broadening of the PL the dominant peak, P1, is considered only. Moreover, though it is still possible to fit the whole temperature range unlike methylammonium based perovskites [\[38\]](#page-10-0), where the fit is limited to temperatures above the crystal reorientation shift, the effects of the structural phase change at ≈ 150 K must also be considered.

In accordance with the more traditional polar semiconductors such as the III-V systems [\[36,37,39\]](#page-10-0), the Fröhlich coupling coefficient (Γ_{LO}) provides the dominant contribution, which is consistent with the strong polar nature of metal-halide perovskites and the behavior reported in the lit-erature [\[38,40–42\]](#page-10-0). The Fröhlich coupling coefficient (Γ_{LO}) and the LO phonon energy (E_{LO}) of the system determine the carrier thermalization properties and fundamental carrier mobility. In all cases assessed, E_{LO} is consistent with literature values [\[38,40–42\]](#page-10-0) and increases as expected with the addition of the lighter element Sn in FAMAPbSnI₃. When considering the respective Fröhlich coupling coefficients (Γ_{LO}) , FAPbI₃ is less than half the strength of the other metal-halide perovskites. This is consistent with the reduced ionicity of PbI with respect to PbBr, as reflected in the extracted values of FAPbI₃ (16 \pm 4 meV) and FAPbBr₃ (59 \pm 19 meV), respectively.

Notably, Γ_0 for the Sn based perovskite is larger than expected $(51 \pm 6 \text{ meV})$, more than three times larger than $FAPbBr₃$ and $FAPbI₃$. This is attributed to increased defects and low energy tail states associated with Sn based perovskites and thus not representative of the electron-phonon coupling strength [\[35,43–45\]](#page-10-0). Although recent work has indicated the organic cation may induce additional broadening due to coupling to the inorganic framework in two-dimensional (2D) perovskites [\[46\]](#page-10-0), more work is required to make such a conclusion here. However, in assessing the increase in FWHM above 150 K the Sn based perovskite has the smallest increase (34 meV), while the Br based perovskite has the largest (55 meV). Remarkably, the FAMA sample room temperature FWHM is slightly less than the FA sample.

While the extracted Γ_0 for FAPbBr₃ and FAPbI₃ are consistent with literature values [\[38,40–42\]](#page-10-0), the PbBr sample is slightly narrower. This is attributed to the increased separation of P2 from the ground state transition $(P1)$ in FAPbBr₃ [see Fig. $1(d)$], which reduces the convolution of these two transitions and the associated broadening of the dominant emission peak. This is therefore also considered the dominant reason for slightly and progressively larger Γ_0 in the FAPbI₃ and FAMAPbI₃, respectively, which also display smaller ΔE_{p1-p2} (see discussion regarding Fig. [1](#page-1-0) above), therefore increasing the convolution of P1 and P2 in these two systems.

IV. TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT TRANSMISSION

To further understand the nature and origin of the transitions observed in the PL for the respective systems, temperature dependent transmission spectroscopy is performed to evaluate the absorption profile of the thin films; typically a dramatic reduction in transmission is correlated to absorption from a bulk semiconductor's fundamental band gap. It is also possible that below-band-gap excitonic absorption is exhibited which would correspond to a resonance in the transmission spectrum, with intrinsic complexes providing considerably more absorption than extrinsic transitions created by defects or impurities [\[47\]](#page-10-0).

The main panels of Fig. [3](#page-2-0) show both the excitonic absorption feature (red dots, from transmission spectroscopy) and optical transition effective band gap from photoluminescence (black dots) for (a) $FAPbBr_3$, (b) $FAMAPbI_3$, (c) $FAPbI_3$, and (d) FAMAPbSnI₃, respectively. The shaded regions correspond to the extent of the FWHM extracted via Gaussian and Voigt fitting of these respective features and reflects the inhomogeneity in the systems with increasing temperature. The upper insets for Fig. [3](#page-2-0) show a comparison of the absorption and PL spectra at 4 K, while the lower insets show the comparison at 295 K.

In all of these metal-halide perovskite thin films an excitonic absorption is clearly visible at low temperatures, the onset of which matches the peak of the dominant P1 transition in the PL. Interestingly, the low energy feature P2 is well below that of the main absorption profile, indicating the density of states of transition P2 is significantly lower than that of the P1, at least in the pure PbI cases.

Notably, the absorption resonance for FAPbBr₃ and FAMAPbSnI₃ is easily distinguished even at room temperature. For $FAPbI₃$ and $FAMAPbI₃$ it is easily distinguished at 150 K, but that is not the case for room temperature. This is mostly consistent with binding energies that are proportional to the band gap as previously shown in magnetoabsorption measurements [\[48,49\]](#page-10-0) and for Wannier-Mott excitons typical of polar semiconductors. Using a fit based on excitonic absorption [\[50\]](#page-10-0) at low temperature where the excitonic feature is more clearly defined, the Br perovskite shows a binding energy comparable to room temperature; whereas, the I based samples are around 160 K or less, these results are similar to those previously reported [\[48,51\]](#page-10-0).

What is unusual is the Sn based perovskite showing such a clear absorption resonance even at room temperature with an expected binding energy of only 10–15 meV. While there has been a fairly wide range of binding energies reported for metal-halide perovskites (see Herz's review) [\[40\]](#page-10-0) in part due to the indirect methods of determining the binding energies, binding energies in the range 10–15 meV are consistent across the literature for FAMAPbSnI₃ [\[52\]](#page-10-0).

When comparing the emission peak (open black symbols) with the absorption peak (open red symbols) for $FAPbBr₃$ and FAPbI3, which are the cleanest samples in which only the halide anion is replaced, the respective Stokes shifts at 4.2 K for these two materials are ≈ 20 and 12 meV, which reflects both the larger exciton binding energy and ionicity in Br based systems with respect to I based materials [\[53\]](#page-10-0) and the dynamic nature of carrier-phonon interactions in halide perovskites $[11,38,40,54–56]$ $[11,38,40,54–56]$, and soft matter $[24,57]$ $[24,57]$, in general.

The Stokes shift of the mixed cation PbI perovskite, FAMAPbI₃ [Fig. $3(b)$], at 4.2 K is somewhat surprisingly \approx 20 meV when considering its similarity to FAPbI₃, the dominance of the inorganic framework in the electronic and phonic properties, and the ionicity of FAMAPbI₃ with respect to the Br based FAPbBr3. However, when considering the broadening and strain effects, which result in fine structure around the band edge $[P1 \text{ and } P3 \text{ in Fig. } 1(a)]$ $[P1 \text{ and } P3 \text{ in Fig. } 1(a)]$, and the contribution to the PL linewidth (Table [I\)](#page-3-0), a value closer to that of FAPbI₃ (\approx 12 meV) is more probable.

As the temperature is increased the Stokes shift of FAPbI₃ steadily increases to \approx 50 meV at 295 K. In the case of both FAMAPbI₃ and FAPbB r_3 the low temperature Stokes shifts remain constant (or slightly reduced) within errors until \approx 150 K, then they increase to \approx 50 meV at 295 K. Here, the Stokes shift of the lower energy FAPbI₃ appears dominated by interactions with the lattice even at low temperature, while the larger binding energies of $FAPbBr₃$ and $FAMAPbI₃$ (enhanced by local strain) initially inhibit carrier-phonon interactions.

While a Stokes shift in conventional III-V systems is indicative of the presence of subgap impurity or defect related localization observed by the difference in the associated emission with respect to the continuum, here this shift is likely mediated by modulation of the perovskite lattice and polaron interactions that lower the PL energy. The increasing Stokes shift also indicates that the Fröhlich interaction is temperature dependent and increases with temperature. Such behavior has been observed previously and related to the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant and therefore Fröhlich coupling in halide perovskites, which can be attributed to the dynamic nature of the lattice and increasing charge contribution at higher temperature in these materials [\[56\]](#page-10-0).

In the case of the Sn based FAMAPbSnI₃ the difference in peak emission and absorption is observed to be negligible at all temperatures [Fig. $3(d)$]. The origin of this behavior is evident when considering the insets to Fig. $3(d)$, which show the emission and absorption spectra at 4.2 and 295 K, respectively. The overlap of absorption and photoluminescence has been observed previously in 2D Ruddlesden-Popper films [\[47\]](#page-10-0) where there is a large below gap density of states available to absorb. Here, even at low temperature a strong low energy tail is observed in the absorption spectrum, which is related to the subgap free carrier absorption of ≈ 100 meV below the band edge in these nominally *p*-type materials [\[31](#page-9-0)[,58\]](#page-10-0). It is consistent with the arguments of Wong *et al.* [\[59\]](#page-10-0) that shifts in the PL and apparent absorption edge can be observed when there is strong sub-band-gap absorption that is on the order of *or larger* than $k_B T$. When the relatively large low temperature FWHM (\approx 70 meV) is also considered [as shown in the shaded area in Fig. $3(d)$] then it is clear the low binding energy and more importantly ionicity of this system screen any effects of a Stokes shift in this material, rather than having a low temperature Stokes shift on the order of 10–15 meV, if the behavior is similar to the Pb only films.

When all of the CW measurements are taken as a whole, it becomes clear that the three Pb only based films follow similar trends with the exchange of the halide, dictating the largest influence on band gap, excitonic binding energy, and linewidth broadening. This is clearly seen in the larger *Eg*, E_{LO} , and Γ_{LO} values for the Br film, as well as the more well-defined excitonic absorption feature at room temperature. While there is more structure in the low temperature PL when the FA is replaced with FAMA, the overall behavior between the two Pb-I films is very similar. All three films clearly show that the excitonic feature in the transmission data is within 20 meV of the band edge (indicative of exciton binding energy). Likewise, the films exhibit increased polaron behavior (increasing Stokes shift) with increasing temperature once a threshold has been reached. Here, a larger threshold temperature corresponds to a larger binding energy.

However, with addition of Sn there is a noticeable difference in behavior which is most obvious in Fig. [3](#page-2-0) where it is apparent there is neither a Stokes shift nor a shift in energy associated with low temperature crystal phase shift. The below-band-gap absorption (clearly evident in the upper 4 K inset, ≈ 100 meV) appears to have the greatest influence on the overall behavior of this PbSn film with regards to CW measurements. With that in mind, an investigation in the dynamics of these films via transient absorption measurements is carried out to compare and contrast these distinct trends across these four films.

V. TRANSIENT ABSORPTION

TA measurements at high carrier densities have demonstrated slower hot carrier cooling rates than GaAs under identical excitation conditions [\[19\]](#page-9-0). A typical TA spectrum for a metal-halide perovskite shows three distinct regions: photoinduced absorption (PIA) for photon energies less than the band gap at short times due to an exciton Stark shift and broadening [\[10\]](#page-9-0); a photobleaching due to a decrease of the exciton absorption with phase space filling and bleaching due to the Moss-Burstein shift (state filling); and a high energy region with photoinduced absorption as the carriers cool and the photobleach diminishes at longer delay times, where the change in material refractive index contribution to the PIA of the high energy tail dominates over free carrier absorption $[10]$.

At first glance one can see considerable differences from the TA spectra in Fig. [4](#page-2-0) between $FAMAPbSnI₃$ (a), $FAPbI₃$ (b), $FAMAPbI₃$ (c), and $FAPbBr₃$ (d). While all the spectra show some low energy photoinduced absorption at very short delay times and exciton/band-gap photobleaching, all but the Sn based film show high energy photoinduced absorption within 250 meV of the photobleach minima. The energy range and strength of the photobleach varies considerably at short delay times. The I containing films all have much more extensive bleach (over a broader energy range) at delay times less than 10 ps as compared to the Br film, which has a larger band gap and thus a smaller excess pump energy.

Moreover, there is a blueshift in energy corresponding to the maximum intensity of the exciton photobleach energy which is more discernible in Figs. $4(e) - 4(h)$, which show individual time delay traces 0.5, 1, 10, and 100 ps [Fig. $4(e)$] shows an additional purple trace for 0.1 ps due to the unusual PIA]. At 0.5 ps there is a low energy PIA due to band-gap renormalization which is limited in the two PbI films due to the proximity of the detector cutoff. All the films show the beginning of Moss-Burstein shifted photobleach. The photobleach fully evolves quickly over time (< 10 ps in all but the PbSn film, which takes tens of ps longer to finally reach a steady energy position), redshifting within a few picoseconds to an energy position that is the convolution of the excitonic absorption and the fundamental band gap for the film.

Additionally, by a few picoseconds (2–5 ps) there is a high energy PIA region [blue region above the broad photobleach region Figs. $4(b)$ – $4(d)$ which starts within 250 meV of the photobleach minima and extends to higher energies, which peaks then gradually diminishes] that is carrier density dependent that can be related to the band-gap renormalization and the diminishing Moss-Burstein shift as delay time increases except in the PbSn film, which shows no high energy PIA [Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(e)$]. While the PbSn film does not show as wide an energy window beyond the photobleach minima due to the detector cutoff, it does extend 250 meV away from the photobleach minima for the 10- and 100-ps transients [orange and blue traces in Fig. $4(e)$]. In all the other films this is a region of high energy PIA; however, the PbSn is still within the photobleach region.

Perhaps what is most striking is that the Sn based sample has the strongest low energy PIA, which is consistent with the onset of absorption observed in the transmission measurements (see Fig. [3](#page-2-0) discussion). Also of note is that the transmission/absorption exciton energy does not match the TA bleach but rather the low energy PIA. Rather, just the continuum/band-gap energy matches well with the TA photobleach energy. In all of the other samples the excitonic absorption feature (see Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0) is a major contributor to the TA photobleach.

Typically, such TA responses are used to extract the carrier temperature relaxation rate that assumes the formation of a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution at energies larger than the band gap:

$$
-\Delta A(E) = A_0(E) \left[\exp\left(\frac{-E}{k_B T_c}\right) \right]
$$
 (2)

where ΔA is the change in the transient absorption, $A_0(E)$ is the absorptivity as a function of energy, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T_c represents the carrier temperature which can be extracted from a linear fit of the high energy tail of the transient absorption spectra.

Since each of the effects discussed above can exhibit different temporal and carrier density dependencies care must be taken when implementing such analysis as TA spectra comprise a complex convolution of different processes as recently discussed by Yang *et al.* [\[19\]](#page-9-0) and Lim *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-9-0). However, these complications are most pronounced near the band edge and thus using the higher energy tail provides the best estimate of the carrier distribution, which enables discussion of the qualitative behavior and temporal dynamics of hot carriers.

FIG. 4. Transient absorption measurement of ΔA as a function of energy at room temperature for delay times ranging from 0 to 3000 ps for (a) FAMAPbSnI₃, (b) FAPbI₃, (c) FAMAPbI₃, and (d) FAPbBr₃. Transient absorption measurement of ΔA as a function of energy at room temperature for specific (ps) delay times (e) 0.1 (purple), 0.5 (red), 1 (green), 10 (orange), and 100 (blue) for FAMAPbSnI₃ and (f–h) 0.5, 1, 10, and 100 for (f) $FAPbI₃$, (g) $FAMAPbI₃$, and (h) $FAPbBr₃$.

Figure 5 shows a comparison of the hot carrier temperature versus time for the FAPbI₃, FAMAPbI₃, and FAPbB r_3 films from the TA spectrum shown in Figs. $4(b)$ – $4(d)$. The dynamics are plotted on a logarithmic scale from 1 ps, which is the time at which the ground state bleach and high energy tail are evident in the TA spectra recorded from these films (see Fig. 4).

When considering the PbI_3 systems with FA (green open circles) and the double cation FAMA (open red circles), the relaxation of hot carriers towards equilibrium (300 K) is similar. This is expected since their band gaps with respect to the excitation energy (400 nm) are similar, resulting in hot carriers of the same energy/temperature at $t = 0$. Moreover, the ground state bleach and thermalization are dominated by the intrinsic inorganic Pb-halide framework [\[19\]](#page-9-0), heat capacity, and ionicity of the metal halide, in addition to the available phonon modes $[22]$ in the system. The FAPbBr₃ displays

FIG. 5. *Tc* as a function of delay time at room temperature for $FAPbBr₃$ (black), $FAMAPbI₃$ (red), and $FAPbI₃$ (green).

095402-7

a lower relative initial carrier temperature since the excess energy created in this system is lower due to its larger band gap with respect to the PbI₃ systems.

At $t < 1$ ps (see Fig. 4) the carrier distribution quickly evolves into a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution via strong carrier-carrier interaction reaching a nonequilibrium $(T_c >$ T_L) hot carrier distribution followed by $(t > 1)$ ps) strong Fröhlich coupling and hot LO phonon generation/emission in polar semiconductors. This initial carrier-LO phonon interaction with the lattice results in a fast rate for hot carrier relaxation in the Pb based perovskites between ≈ 1 and ≈ 10 ps. This is followed by a much slower thermalization rate, typically between a few $(2-10)$ ps and $10-1000$ ps, which has been observed in several metal-halide perovskites at higher excitation densities (> 10^{13} cm⁻²) [\[11,12,17,19\]](#page-9-0), including the pure-Pb (Fig. 5) and Sn based (Fig. [6\)](#page-7-0) perovskites investigated here.

When comparing the relaxation rate of the $FAPbBr₃$ to the $PbI₃$ systems in Fig. 5, the relaxation rate of the Br system is greater than that of the I samples. This can be attributed to the increased ionicity of PbBr₃, which results in strong electron-phonon interaction and therefore carrier relaxation as observed previously [\[22\]](#page-9-0). While the initial thermalization of hot carriers in metal-halide perovskites (polar semiconductors, in general) is generally accepted to be the result of carrier-LO phonon interaction, the longer lived regime (*t* > 10 ps) is less well understood. Recently, this was attributed to carrier heating via Auger processes [\[12\]](#page-9-0) due to the high carrier concentrations required to produce this effect.

While this is not discounted here, *since no appreciable effect is seen for different E*ex *or Eg [\[53\]](#page-10-0) which would be expected if Auger processes were dominant, an alternative explanation is provided*. The carrier relaxation pathway of hot carriers in polar semiconductors is dominated initially by Fröhlich coupling and the emission of hot LO phonons

FIG. 6. (a) FAMAPbSnI₃ transient absorption spectra of ΔA as a function of energy at room temperature for delay times ranging from 2 to 50 ps. (b) Carrier density dependence of FAMAPbSnI₃ room temperature transient absorption spectra for a delay time of 2 ps. (c) Room temperature CW carrier density dependence for FAMAPbSnI₃. (d) Cooling rate curves for carrier densities of 2 and 32×10^{14} cm⁻² for FAMAPbSnI₃.

(*t* ∼ 1 ps). The LO phonons then dissipate via the emission of longitudinal acoustic phonons, *t* < 10 ps (LA) via either the Klemens (LO = 2LA) [\[60\]](#page-10-0) or Ridley (LO = TO + LA) [\[61\]](#page-10-0) process creating hot LA phonons the heat of which is dissipated into the cold acoustic phonon bath and transfer away via thermal conductivity.

Slowed carrier thermalization has been attributed to decoupling of the dominant Klemens mechanism in metal-halide perovskites [\[12\]](#page-9-0); Ref. [\[12\]](#page-9-0) also shows strong mixing of the TO and LO modes in band structure calculations, suggesting the Ridley channel remains strong. As such, Ridley processes would be expected to dominate hot LO phonon dissipation and efficiently thermalize hot carriers in halide perovskites. An alternative picture is that, although hot LO phonons effectively couple to acoustic phonons via the Ridley mechanism [\[61\]](#page-10-0) the dissipation of hot LA phonons is perturbed via the low thermal conductivity of halide perovskites [\[62–65\]](#page-10-0). This is similar to the process in which reabsorption of hot acoustic phonons was proposed previously [\[21\]](#page-9-0) to explain the slowed cooling in some metal-halide perovskites.

Here however, it is suggested that inefficient conduction of heat creates a bottleneck to the dissipation of hot LA phonons into the lattice, reducing the carrier relaxation rate. This is not the same as a hot LO phonon bottleneck: the inefficient transfer of hot optical phonons into acoustic relaxation pathways. As such, a hot LO phonon bottleneck could be consistent with the rapid initial relaxation observed $t < 10$ ps) of carriers in TA measurements as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-6-0) However, the slow cooling that persists is more consistent with an acoustic bottleneck that inhibits heat transfer throughout the material which is directly attributed to the low thermal conductivity of metal-halide perovskites [\[62–64\]](#page-10-0).

Such a hot acoustic phonon bottleneck has recently been invoked to describe the slowed carrier thermalization in nanowires $[66,67]$ and InAs/AlAsSb quantum wells $[68,69]$ where low thermal conductivity localizes acoustic phonons at interfaces, a similar mechanism to what might be expected in metal-halide perovskite films at grain boundaries and in regions of crystal phase mismatch.

Figure $6(a)$ shows the excitation dependent TA recorded after 2 ps for the $FAMAPbSnI₃$ film. At the lowest excitation density of $n \sim 10^{14}$ cm⁻², the ground state bleach is centered at ≈ 1.31 eV. As excitation power is increased, the ground bleach shifts to higher energy with the increasing contribution of the high energy tail typically indicative of hot carriers, in addition to an increasing low energy PIA contribution. The PIA is attributed to the increased contribution of subgap impurity related absorption in these nominally *p*-type perovskites [\[31\]](#page-9-0) [which increases at higher excitation densities $(\approx 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2})$]; as photoexcited carriers recombine with the background acceptors, the Fermi level shifts into the valence band, increasing the effective optical band gap and inducing free carrier absorption. A further consequence of the degeneracy of the Fermi level with the valence band continuum is a broadening of the absorption profile as the valley degeneracy increases.

Figure $6(c)$ shows the CW power dependent PL which can be correlated to the high power TA, providing further insight into the role of high intensity photoexcitation in the emission and absorption profiles in FAMAPbSnI₃. At low power, the peak of the PL at 295 K is centered at ≈ 1.2 eV with a long low energy tail, as is also observed in Fig. [1\(a\).](#page-1-0) The origin of the PL peak at low power (and low temperature) is related to the transition P2, which in the case of $FAMAPbSnI₃$ has been attributed to the finite contribution of an extrinsic low energy complex related to the unintentional contribution of Sn^{4+} in the lattice, resulting in local strain and regions of self-trapped excitons or isoelectronic centerlike transitions [\[43,44,70\]](#page-10-0).

As the power [or temperature—see Fig. $1(a)$] is increased these states are saturated, the PL shifts to higher energy and is dominated by the intrinsic ground state exciton P1 at ≈1.33 eV. With increasing power ($n > 10^{15}$ cm⁻²) the PL shifts to higher energy and broadens, consistent with the shift of the quasi-Fermi level into the valence band and the contribution of the *p*-type acceptors.

This behavior is consistent with that of intentionally doped *n*- and *p*-type semiconductors such as GaAs and InGaAs, in addition to systems like InN (*n* type) [\[71,72\]](#page-10-0) and GaInNAs (*p* type) [\[30,](#page-9-0)[73,74\]](#page-10-0) where high levels of unintentional impurities are evident. As such, care must be taken when evaluating such PL for the presence of hot carriers since the bulk of this broadening reflects the background doping distribution in the bands, not the presence of nonequilibrium carriers.

Typically, the carrier temperatures for photoluminescence spectra would be extracted from a linear fit of the photoluminescence as follows:

$$
I_{\rm PL}(E) = \frac{A(E)E^2}{4\pi^2\hbar^3 c^2} \left[\exp\left(\frac{E - \Delta\mu}{k_B T_c}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1},\tag{3}
$$

where I is the PL intensity, $A(E)$ is the absorptivity as a function of energy, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant, c is the speed of light, T_c represents the carrier temperature which can be extracted from the slope of the natural logarithm of the PL spectrum, and $\Delta \mu$ is the chemical potential. This requires that *A*(*E*) is constant over the portion of the spectral region to be fit.

Indeed, such analysis has been the source of intense debate in the III-V hot carrier photovoltaics community [\[39,75–77\]](#page-10-0), since such analysis implicitly requires a constant prefactor prior to the exponential term in Eq. (3) –a fixed band gap [similarly for Eq. (2)]. This has been discussed by several works in the III-V community in terms of hot carrier extraction in PL spectra, particularly as related to quantum wells [\[39,75,78,79\]](#page-10-0), or where impurities or carrier localization are present [\[69,80\]](#page-10-0). Thus, no such evaluation of the hot carriers can be deduced from Fig. $6(c)$. However, these dynamics are qualitatively evaluated from the high energy tails of the ground state bleach in the TA spectrum [see Fig. [6\(b\)\]](#page-7-0), which at short times represents hot carrier relaxation in the Γ_0 valley of the system.

To further elaborate on the subtle differences in the nature and origin of the TA for the $FAMAPbSnI₃$ film the transients are shown for $t < 50$ ps in Fig. $6(b)$ at an excited carrier density of $n = 3.2 \times 10^{15}$ cm⁻². These traces are chosen to describe the nature of the absorption and dynamics of the carrier distribution after a nonequilibrium hot carrier distribution has been formed within a few picoseconds. At 2 ps (black open circles) the TA displays a strong PIA at low energy (1.0–1.25 eV) below the band gap of the system which corresponds to the absorption photobleach minima at \approx 1.3 eV at delay times $\geqslant 50$ ps. With increasing time from 2 to 50 ps, the PIA reduces with a simultaneous reduction in the position (redshift) and strength of the photobleach.

The low energy PIA at $t < 50$ ps is attributed to subgap free carrier absorption and the unintentional *p*-type background doping that exists in Sn based systems [\[31](#page-9-0)[,58\]](#page-10-0). This is also evident through the subgap CW absorption shown in the inset to Fig. [3\(d\)](#page-2-0) and in the temperature dependent absorption. As such, PIA due to free carriers in the valence band can transition to the unoccupied Fermi-level states in the gap, in addition to conventional absorption across the band gap between the occupied and unoccupied valence and conduction bands. Upon photoexcitation at *t* > 50 ps, photogenerated electrons will occupy the levels available in the gap created by Sn vacancies. Since this is considerably shorter than the radiative lifetime of system, the absorption edge will decrease to that of the Fermi-level position, lowering the effective optical band gap.

Figure $6(d)$ shows the carrier temperatures versus time extracted from fits to the high energy tail as shown schematically in Fig. [6\(b\)](#page-7-0) in red. Here, the behavior is presented for low $(n = 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ excitation density (open blue circles) and higher ($n = 3.2 \times 10^{15}$ cm⁻²) excitation density (open red circles). The carrier temperature is "hotter" with increasing excitation fluence. This is typically understood in terms of the presence of a hot LO phonon bottleneck, where a large hot phonon density is inefficiently dispersed by the finite acoustic phonons in the system [\[81\]](#page-10-0). This effect is further exacerbated by the low thermal conductivity of metal-halide perovskites [\[62–64\]](#page-10-0), which results in an acoustic phonon bottleneck at longer times.

Further evidence that an LA rather than LO bottleneck is dominant here is the systematic and rapid decrease in carrier temperature at $t < 2$ ps in Fig. $6(d)$, which is a general property of metal-halide perovskites both here and else-where [\[12,17,19,](#page-9-0)[82\]](#page-11-0). This indicates that the carrier relaxation in metal-halide perovskites does not follow the simple LO phonon decay process dominant in more traditional bulk semiconductors [\[83,84\]](#page-11-0); but is rather related to the contribution of several complementary processes, the dominant of which arise after LO phonon relaxation, which is likely facilitated in these macroscopically disordered systems by several interface and boundary edge phonons. This will also localize acoustic phonons and reduce thermal conductivity, in addition to the more intrinsic Ridley processes.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Temperature dependent (4–295 K) photoluminescence and transmission spectra are analyzed for different components of a metal-halide perovskite thin film, be it *A*, *B*, or *X*. The low temperature results highlight the changes that occur, especially underlying ones that are easily masked at room temperature. For example, the low temperature PL measurements clearly show the additional complexity when FA is replaced with FAMA; both samples that contain FAMA have a more complicated low temperature spectra than either sample that has only FA. While the overall Stokes shift may be of similar magnitude at room temperature for the three Pb only based samples, the pathway to getting there is not. This is governed, on one hand, by the interaction strength Γ_{LO} and phonon energy *E*LO (the greater the interaction the larger the Stokes shift); while, on the other hand, by the exciton binding energy and available free carriers (a greater exciton binding energy corresponds to fewer free carriers: smaller Stokes shift/delayed temperature response).

One exception to this behavior is the Sn based $FAMAPbSnI₃$ film, which shows a lack of Stokes shift between the absorption and photoluminescence that may just be obscured due to the rather large low temperature broadening Γ_0 (due to increased inhomogeneities from Sn⁴⁺). However, the strong absorption (more than 100 meV) below the band gap is indicative of an excitonic feature that has a large density of states.

Transient absorption measurements confirm the trends observed in CW measurements; the three Pb only films all show the convolution of an excitonic feature within 20 meV of the band gap as a contributing factor to the photobleach along with a region of high energy PIA. However, the behavior for the Sn based film is notably different (just as it is in the CW measurements) with an unusual low energy PIA and a lack of high energy PIA. The large unusual low energy PIA is attributed to the large sub-band-gap absorption observed in the CW transmission/absorption measurements. Notably, the lack of a clear dependency of hot carrier relaxation on E_{ex} , E_{LO} , and/or Γ_{LO} suggests the slow cooling observed in these systems is the result of a more generic property of metal-halide perovskites. Here, this is attributed to their low thermal conductivity—which appears to limit the dissipation of the hot acoustic phonon bath. This results in a phonon bottleneck that serves to block dissipation of hot LO phonons and consequently, the hot carriers in the system. This could explain the *ubiquitous long lived carrier relaxation seen across perovskite systems*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded through the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) EPSCoR Program and the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Energy Division under Grant No. DE-SC0019384. M.C.B., Y.Z., and K.W. gratefully

- [1] A. Poglitsch and D. Weber, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.453467) **87**, 6373 (1987).
- [2] D. B. Mitzi, Synthesis, structure, and properties of organicinorganic perovskites and related materials, in *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry* (Wiley, New York, 1999), pp. 1–121.
- [3] S. A. Kulkarni, T. Baikie, P. P. Boix, N. Yantara, N. Mathews, and S. Mhaisalkar, [J. Mater. Chem. A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA00435C) **2**, 9221 (2014).
- [4] See, [https://www.nrel.gov/pv/assets/pdfs/best-research-cell](https://www.nrel.gov/pv/assets/pdfs/best-research-cell-efficiencies.pdf)efficiencies.pdf.
- [5] F. Deschler, M. Price, S. Pathak, L. E. Klintberg, D.-D. Jarausch, R. Higler, S. Hüttner, T. Leijtens, S. D. Stranks, H. J. [Snaith, M. Atatüre, R. T. Phillips, and R. H. Friend,](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz5005285) J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **5**, 1421 (2014).
- [6] Z.-K. Tan, R. S. Moghaddam, M. L. Lai, P. Docampo, R. Higler, F. Deschler, M. Price, A. Sadhanala, L. M. Pazos, D. Credgington, F. Hanusch, T. Bein, H. J. Snaith, and R. H. Friend, [Nat. Nanotechnol.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.149) **9**, 687 (2014).
- [7] [S. D. Stranks and H. J. Snaith,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2015.90) Nat. Nanotechnol. **10**, 391 (2015).
- [8] H. Deng, X. Yang, D. Dong, B. Li, D. Yang, S. Yuan, K. Qiao, Y.-B. Cheng, J. Tang, and H. Song, Nano Lett. **15**[, 7963 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b03061)
- [9] G. Xing, N. Mathews, S. Sun, S. S. Lim, Y. M. Lam, M. Grätzel, S. Mhaisalkar, and T. C. Sum, Science **342**[, 344 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243167)
- [10] M. B. Price, J. Butkus, T. C. Jellicoe, A. Sadhanala, A. Briane, J. E. Halpert, K. Broch, J. M. Hodgkiss, R. H. Friend, and F. Deschler, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9420) **6**, 8420 (2015).
- [11] [J. M. Frost, L. D. Whalley, and A. Walsh,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.7b00862) ACS Energy Lett. **2**, 2647 (2017).
- [12] J. Fu, Q. Xu, G. Han, B. Wu, C. H. A. Huan, M. L. Leek, and T. C. Sum, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01360-3) **8**, 1300 (2017).
- [13] [H.-H. Fang, S. Adjokatse, S. Shao, J. Even, and M. A. Loi,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02684-w) Nat. Commun. **9**, 243 (2018).
- [14] J. Tong, Z. Song, D. H. Kim, X. Chen, C. Chen, A. F. Palmstrom, P. F. Ndione, M. O. Reese, S. P. Dunfield, O. G. Reid, J. Liu, F. Zhang, S. P. Harvey, Z. Li, S. T. Christensen, G. Teeter, D. Zhao, M. M. Al-Jassim, M. F. A. M. van Hest, M. C. [Beard, S. E. Shaheen, J. J. Berry, Y. Yan, and K. Zhu,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav7911) Science **364**, 475 (2019).
- [15] Z. Guo, Y. Wan, M. Yang, J. Snaider, K. Zhu, and L. Huang, Science **356**[, 59 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7744)
- [16] [M. Li, J. Fu, Q. Xu, and T. C. Sum,](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201802486) Adv. Mater. **31**, 1802486 (2019).
- [17] J. W. M. Lim, D. Giovanni, M. Righetto, M. Feng, S. G. [Mhaisalkar, N. Mathews, and T. C. Sum,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c00504) J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **11**, 2743 (2020).
- [18] J. S. Manser and P. V. Kamat, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.171) **8**, 737 (2014).

acknowledge support as part of Center for Hybrid Organic Inorganic Semiconductors for Energy (CHOISE), an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science within the U.S. Department of Energy. This work was authored in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government.

- [19] Y. Yang, D. P. Ostrowski, R. M. France, K. Zhu, J. van de [Lagemaat, J. M. Luther, and M. C. Beard,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2015.213) Nat. Photonics **10**, 53 (2015).
- [20] B. Anand, S. Sampat, E. O. Danilov, W. Peng, S. M. Rupich, [Y. J. Chabal, Y. N. Gartstein, and A. V. Malko,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.161205) Phys. Rev. B **93**, 161205(R) (2016).
- [21] J. Yang, X. Wen, H. Xia, R. Sheng, Q. Ma, J. Kim, P. Tapping, T. Harada, T. W. Kee, F. Huang, Y.-B. Cheng, M. Green, A. Ho-Baillie, S. Huang, S. Shrestha, R. Patterson, and G. Conibeer, Nat. Commun. **8**[, 14120 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14120)
- [22] T. R. Hopper, A. Gorodetsky, J. M. Frost, C. Müller, R. [Lovrincic, and A. A. Bakulin,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.8b01227) ACS Energy Lett. **3**, 2199 (2018).
- [23] B. K. Durant, H. Afshari, S. Sourabh, V. Yeddu, M. T. Bamidele, S. Singh, B. Rout, G. E. Eperon, D. Y. Kim, and I. R. Sellers, [Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2021.111232) **230**, 111232 (2021).
- [24] [Y. H. Chang, C. H. Park, and K. Matsuishi,](https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.44.638) J. Korean Phys. Soc. **44**, 889 (2004).
- [25] [M. R. Filip, C. Verdi, and F. Giustino,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b07891) J. Phys. Chem. C **119**, 25209 (2015).
- [26] J. C. Brauer, D. Tsokkou, S. Sanchez, N. Droseros, B. Roose, E. Mosconi, X. Hua, M. Stolterfoht, D. Neher, U. Steiner, F. De [Angelis, A. Abate, and N. Banerji,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5133021) J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 104703 (2020).
- [27] [J. Neugebauer and C. G. Van de Walle,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.10568) Phys. Rev. B **51**, 10568 (1995).
- [28] Y. Jiao, S. Yi, H. Wang, B. Li, W. Hao, L. Pan, Y. Shi, X. Li, P. [Liu, H. Zhang, C. Gao, J. Zhao, and J. Lu,](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202006243) Adv. Funct. Mater. **31**, 2006243 (2021).
- [29] W. P. D. Wong, J. Yin, B. Chaudhary, X. Y. Chin, D. Cortecchia, S.-Z. A. Lo, A. C. Grimsdale, O. F. Mohammed, G. Lanzani, and C. Soci, [ACS Materials Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmaterialslett.9b00276) **2**, 20 (2020).
- [30] C. R. Brown, N. J. Estes, V. R. Whiteside, B. Wang, K. Hossain, T. D. Golding, M. Leroux, M. Al Khalfioui, J. G. Tischler, C. T. Ellis, E. R. Glaser, and I. R. Sellers, RSC Adv. **7**[, 25353 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA02900D)
- [31] M. T. Klug, R. L. Milot, J. B. Patel, T. Green, H. C. Sansom, M. D. Farrar, A. J. Ramadan, S. Martani, Z. Wang, B. Wenger, J. M. Ball, L. Langshaw, A. Petrozza, M. B. Johnston, L. M. Herz, and H. J. Snaith, [Energy Environ. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE00132E) **13**, 1776 (2020).
- [32] L. E. Mundt, J. Tong, A. F. Palmstrom, S. P. Dunfield, K. Zhu, [J. J. Berry, L. T. Schelhas, and E. L. Ratcliff,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c01445) ACS Energy Lett. **5**, 3344 (2020).
- [33] R. Prasanna, T. Leijtens, S. P. Dunfield, J. A. Raiford, E. J. Wolf, S. A. Swifter, J. Werner, G. E. Eperon, C. de Paula, A. F. Palmstrom, C. C. Boyd, M. F. A. M. van Hest, S. F. Bent, G.

[Teeter, J. J. Berry, and M. D. McGehee,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0471-6) Nature Energy **4**, 939 (2019).

- [34] [F. Brivio, A. B. Walker, and A. Walsh,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824147) APL Mater. **1**, 042111 (2013).
- [35] [C. C. Stoumpos, C. D. Malliakas, and M. G. Kanatzidis,](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic401215x) Inorg. Chem. **52**, 9019 (2013).
- [36] [J. Lee, E. S. Koteles, and M. O. Vassell,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.33.5512) Phys. Rev. B **33**, 5512 (1986).
- [37] J. V. D. Veliadis, J. B. Khurgin, Y. J. Ding, A. G. Cui, and D. S. Katzer, Phys. Rev. B **50**[, 4463 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.4463)
- [38] A. D. Wright, C. Verdi, R. L. Milot, G. E. Eperon, M. A. Pérez-Osorio, H. J. Snaith, F. Giustino, M. B. Johnston, and L. M. Herz, Nat. Commun. **7**[, 11755 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11755)
- [39] H. Esmaielpour, V. R. Whiteside, L. C. Hirst, J. G. Tischler, C. T. Ellis, M. P. Lumb, D. V. Forbes, R. J. Walters, and I. R. Sellers, [Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications](https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.2890) **25**, 782 (2017).
- [40] L. M. Herz, [Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physchem-040215-112222) **67**, 65 (2016).
- [41] C. M. Iaru, J. J. Geuchies, P. M. Koenraad, D. Vanmaekelbergh, and A. Y. Silov, ACS Nano **11**[, 11024 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b05033)
- [42] [J. Huang, Y. Yuan, Y. Shao, and Y. Yan,](https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2017.42) Nat. Rev. Mater. **2**, 17042 (2017).
- [43] N. K. Noel, S. D. Stranks, A. Abate, C. Wehrenfennig, S. Guarnera, A.-A. Haghighirad, A. Sadhanala, G. E. Eperon, S. K. Pathak, M. B. Johnston, A. Petrozza, L. M. Herz, and H. J. Snaith, [Energy Environ. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4EE01076K) **7**, 3061 (2014).
- [44] F. Hao, C. C. Stoumpos, D. H. Cao, R. P. H. Chang, and M. G. Kanatzidis, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2014.82) **8**, 489 (2014).
- [45] E. S. Parrott, R. L. Milot, T. Stergiopoulos, H. J. Snaith, M. B. Johnston, and L. M. Herz, [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00322) **7**, 1321 (2016).
- [46] R. F. Moral, J. C. Germino, L. G. Bonato, D. B. Almeida, E. M. Therézio, T. D. Z. Atvars, S. D. Stranks, R. A. Nome, and A. F. Nogueira, Adv. Opt. Mater. **8**[, 2001431 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202001431)
- [47] H. Esmaielpour, V. R. Whiteside, S. Sourabh, G. E. Eperon, J. T. Precht, M. C. Beard, H. Lu, B. K. Durant, and I. R. Sellers, [J. Phys. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b12032) **124**, 9496 (2020).
- [48] A. Miyata, A. Mitioglu, P. Plochocka, O. Portugall, J. T.-W. [Wang, S. D. Stranks, H. J. Snaith, and R. J. Nicholas,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3357) Nat. Phys. **11**, 582 (2015).
- [49] K. Galkowski, A. Mitioglu, A. Miyata, P. Plochocka, O. Portugall, G. E. Eperon, J. T.-W. Wang, T. Stergiopoulos, S. D. [Stranks, H. J. Snaith, and R. J. Nicholas,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE03435C) Energy Environ. Sci. **9**, 962 (2016).
- [50] R. J. Elliott, Phys. Rev. **108**[, 1384 \(1957\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.108.1384)
- [51] [J. Even, L. Pedesseau, and C. Katan,](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp503337a) J. Phys. Chem. C **118**, 11566 (2014).
- [52] [M. Konstantakou and T. Stergiopoulos,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7TA00929A) J. Mater. Chem. A **5**, 11518 (2017).
- [53] Y. Yang, M. Yang, Z. Li, R. Crisp, K. Zhu, and M. C. Beard, [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02290) **6**, 4688 (2015).
- [54] [X.-Y. Zhu and V. Podzorov,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02462) J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **6**, 4758 (2015).
- [55] K. Miyata, D. Meggiolaro, M. T. Trinh, P. P. Joshi, E. Mosconi, [S. C. Jones, F. De Angelis, and X.-Y. Zhu,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701217) Sci. Adv. **3**, e1701217 (2017).
- [56] Y. Guo, O. Yaffe, T. Hull, J. Owen, D. Reichman, and L. Brus, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09057-5) **10**, 1175 (2019).
- [57] [L.-y. Huang and W. R. L. Lambrecht,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.195201) Phys. Rev. B **90**, 195201 (2014).
- [58] T. Leijtens, R. Prasanna, A. Gold-Parker, M. F. Toney, and M. D. McGehee, [ACS Energy Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.7b00636) **2**, 2159 (2017).
- [59] [J. Wong, S. T. Omelchenko, and H. A. Atwater,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.0c02362) ACS Energy Lett. **6**, 52 (2021).
- [60] P. G. Klemens, Phys. Rev. **148**[, 845 \(1966\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.148.845)
- [61] B. K. Ridley, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/37/001) **8**, L511 (1996).
- [62] A. Pisoni, J. Jaćimović, O. S. Barišić, M. Spina, R. Gaál, L. Forró, and E. Horváth, [J. Phys. Chem. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jz5012109) **5**, 2488 (2014).
- [63] R. Heiderhoff, T. Haeger, N. Pourdavoud, T. Hu, M. Al-Khafaji, [A. Mayer, Y. Chen, H.-C. Scheer, and T. Riedl,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b11495) J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 28306 (2017).
- [64] T. Ye, X. Wang, X. Li, A. Q. Yan, S. Ramakrishna, and J. Xu, [J. Mater. Chem. C](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6TC04594D) **5**, 1255 (2017).
- [65] [N. Onoda-Yamamuro, T. Matsuo, and H. Suga,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(90)90021-7) J. Phys. Chem. Solids **51**, 1383 (1990).
- [66] C. K. Yong, J. Wong-Leung, H. J. Joyce, J. Lloyd-Hughes, Q. Gao, H. H. Tan, C. Jagadish, M. B. Johnston, and L. M. Herz, Nano Lett. **13**[, 4280 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl402050q)
- [67] I.-J. Chen, S. Limpert, W. Metaferia, C. Thelander, L. [Samuelson, F. Capasso, A. M. Burke, and H. Linke,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b04873) Nano Lett. **20**, 4064 (2020).
- [68] H. Esmaielpour, V. R. Whiteside, J. Tang, S. Vijeyaragunathan, T. D. Mishima, S. Cairns, M. B. Santos, B. Wang, and I. R. Sellers, [Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications](https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.2763) **24**, 591 (2016).
- [69] H. Esmaielpour, V. R. Whiteside, C. Piyathilaka, S. Vijeyaragunathan, T. Mou, B. Wang, T. D. Mishima, N. J. Ekins-Daukes, M. B. Santos, A. D. Bristow, and I. R. Sellers, Sci. Rep. **8**[, 12473 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30894-9)
- [70] F. Hao, C. C. Stoumpos, R. P. H. Chang, and M. G. Kanatzidis, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja5033259) **136**, 8094 (2014).
- [71] I. Mahboob, T. D. Veal, C. F. McConville, H. Lu, and W. J. Schaff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**[, 036804 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.036804)
- [72] D. Zanato, N. Balkan, B. K. Ridley, G. Hill, and W. J. Schaff, [Semicond. Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/19/8/013) **19**, 1024 (2004).
- [73] S. Kurtz, J. F. Geisz, D. J. Friedman, W. K. Metzger, R. R. King, and N. H. Karam, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1643775) **95**, 2505 (2004).
- [74] E.-M. Pavelescu, J. Wagner, H.-P. Komsa, T. T. Rantala, [M. Dumitrescu, and M. Pessa,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2112173) J. Appl. Phys. **98**, 083524 (2005).
- [75] A. Le Bris, L. Lombez, S. Laribi, G. Boissier, P. Christol, and J.-F. Guillemoles, [Energy Environ. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee02843c) **5**, 6225 (2012).
- [76] L. C. Hirst, H. Fujii, Y. Wang, M. Sugiyama, and N. J. Ekins-Daukes, [IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2013.2289321) **4**, 244 (2014).
- [77] [F. Gibelli, L. Lombez, and J.-F. Guillemoles,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/29/6/06LT02) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **29**, 06LT02 (2017).
- [78] L. C. Hirst, M. K. Yakes, C. G. Bailey, J. G. Tischler, M. P. Lumb, M. González, M. F. Fuhrer, N. Ekins-Daukes, and R. J. Walters, [IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics](https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2014.2355412) **4**, 1526 (2014).
- [79] D.-T. Nguyen, L. Lombez, F. Gibelli, S. Boyer-Richard, A. Le [Corre, O. Durand, and J.-F. Guillemoles,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0106-3) Nature Energy **3**, 236 (2018).
- [80] V. R. Whiteside, B. A. Magill, M. P. Lumb, H. Esmaielpour, M. A. Meeker, R. R. H. H. Mudiyanselage, A. Messager, S. Vijeyaragunathan, T. D. Mishima, M. B. Santos, I. Vurgaftman, [G. A. Khodaparast, and I. R. Sellers,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6641/aae4c3) Semicond. Sci. Technol. **34**, 025005 (2019).
- [81] [G. Conibeer, D. König, M. Green, and J. Guillemoles,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2007.12.102) Thin Solid Films **516**, 6948 (2008).
- [82] M. Monti, K. D. G. Imalka Jayawardena, E. Butler-Caddle, R. M. I. Bandara, J. M. Woolley, M. Staniforth, S. R. [Silva, and J. Lloyd-Hughes,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.245204) Phys. Rev. B **102**, 245204 (2020).
- [83] N. Balkan, B. K. Ridley, M. Emeny, and I. Goodridge, [Semicond. Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/4/10/004) **4**, 852 (1989).
- [84] Y. Rosenwaks, M. C. Hanna, D. H. Levi, D. M. Szmyd, R. K. Ahrenkiel, and A. J. Nozik, Phys. Rev. B **48**[, 14675 \(1993\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.14675)