# Unlocking the origin of compositional fluctuations in InGaN light emitting diodes

Tara P. Mishra<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Govindo J. Syaranamual,<sup>2</sup> Zeyu Deng (邓泽宇)<sup>0</sup>,<sup>1,\*</sup> Jing Yang Chung,<sup>1,2</sup> Li Zhang,<sup>2</sup> Sarah A. Goodman,<sup>3</sup>

Lewys Jones,<sup>4</sup> Michel Bosman,<sup>1</sup> Silvija Gradečak,<sup>1,2,3,†</sup> Stephen J. Pennycook,<sup>1,2,‡</sup> and Pieremanuele Canepa<sup>[1,2,5,§]</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, National University of Singapore, 9 Engineering Drive 1, 117575 Singapore, Singapore

<sup>2</sup>Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, 1 CREATE Way, 10-01 CREATE Tower, Singapore 138602, Singapore

<sup>3</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA <sup>4</sup>School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, D02 PN40, Ireland

and Advanced Microscopy Laboratory, Centre for Research on Adaptive Nanostructures & Nanodevices (CRANN), Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore,

4 Engineering Drive 4, 117585 Singapore, Singapore

(Received 21 September 2020; revised 6 January 2021; accepted 5 February 2021; published 22 February 2021)

The accurate determination of compositional fluctuations is pivotal in understanding their role in the reduction of efficiency in high indium content  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  light emitting diodes (LEDs), the origin of which is still poorly understood. Here we have combined electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) imaging at subnanometer resolution with multiscale computational models to obtain a statistical distribution of the compositional fluctuations in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  quantum wells (QWs). Employing a multiscale computational model, we show the tendency of intrinsic compositional fluctuation in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  QWs at different indium concentrations and in the presence of strain. We have developed a systematic formalism based on the autonomous detection of compositional fluctuationally predicted and experimentally observed compositional fluctuations. We have found that although a random alloy model captures the distribution of compositional fluctuations in relatively low In (~18%) content  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  QWs, there exists a striking deviation from the model in higher In content ( $\geq 24\%$ ) QWs. Our results highlight a distinct behavior in carrier localization driven by compositional fluctuations in the low and high In content InGaN QWs, which would ultimately affect the performance of LEDs. Furthermore, our robust computational and atomic characterization method can be widely applied to study materials in which nanoscale compositional fluctuations play a significant role in the material performance.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.024605

# I. INTRODUCTION

Indium gallium nitride based ( $In_xGa_{1-x}N/GaN$ ) light emitting diodes (LEDs) heralded a revolution in the era of solid-state lighting with unprecedented high efficiencies and low costs [1,2]. Owing to the large tunability of their band gaps,  $In_xGa_{1-x}N/GaN$ -based LEDs have the potential to cover the entire visible spectrum by varying the In concentration [3]. The last decade has seen enormous commercial success of these LEDs, albeit their experimental internal quantum efficiencies (IQEs) remain considerably lower than the theoretical predictions at high In concentrations [4].

Although blue commercial InGaN LEDs emitting at wavelengths of ~450 nm display high IQE of ~90% and approach nearly theoretical values [5–7], the IQE values drop significantly to <60% in the longer wavelength region at >470 nm; this phenomenon is termed the "green-gap effect" [8,9]. Thus, it is imperative to unravel the origins of the green gap to achieve the next generation of phosphor-free, longerwavelength solid-state lighting.

To date, three main hypotheses have been put forward to understand the cause of the green-gap effect in InGaN-based LEDs. The first one refers to the possible degradation of material quality caused by the incorporation of larger concentrations of In, owing to the difference in the InN and GaN bond lengths. However, this is unlikely since in commercial LEDs the quality of these thin films does not vary appreciably in the spectral range of 400-515 nm, as experimentally determined from the Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) recombination constants [2,10]. Further, it has been suggested that increasing the In concentration amplifies the polarization field in the (0001) polar quantum wells (QWs) [11], which could promote separation of electrons and holes, thus lowering the efficiency of radiative recombination. Current experimental evidence remains insufficient to justify the enhanced efficiencies in nonpolar and semipolar QWs [12-15]. However, recent studies on using an (Al,Ga)N capping layer which increases the polarization field has been shown to increase the IQEs at longer wavelengths [16]. Finally, the carrier localization due to compositional fluctuations and structural inhomogeneities in the InGaN QWs have also been suggested as a possible cause for the green-gap effect [12,17,18]. Compositional fluctuations lead to changes in band gap resulting in lateral fields that confine the carriers to regions of smaller band gap, electrons in the conduction band, and holes in the valence band.

<sup>\*</sup>msedz@nus.edu.sg

<sup>†</sup>gradecak@nus.edu.sg

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>stephen.pennycook@cantab.net

<sup>\$</sup>pcanepa@nus.edu.sg

A number of theoretical models have been proposed to explore the atomistic origins of the green-gap effect [17,11,19– 21], largely focusing on random alloy models. In contrast, several optical studies of InGaN QWs, both theoretical [22,23] and experimental, [24,25] have observed properties that deviate from the random alloy model. For example, semiempirical studies of carrier localization by large-scale (tens of nanometers) spatial compositional fluctuations in the InGaN QWs severely overestimate the IQE [12,17]. Moreover, the computationally intensive nature of first-principles techniques has hindered attempts to directly decipher the atomic microstructures of InGaN LEDs [26,27]. Chan and Zunger and co-workers [28,29] investigated the atomic microstructure of low indium concentration InGaN alloys using a multiscale approach parametrized on high-quality first-principles calculations, but did not investigate the higher concentrations in the green-gap region. Consequently, it is important to investigate the accurate length scales of compositional fluctuations in higher-concentration alloys to unravel the origins of the green-gap effect.

Previously, several approaches were applied to investigate the atomistic nature of compositional fluctuations in InGaN LEDs. In-depth studies of atom probe tomography have provided valuable insights on the In distribution in the InGaN QWs [30-35]. Extensive atomically resolved characterization techniques, such as scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), [36-40] have proved the absence of large-scale (on the order of tens of nanometers) compositional fluctuations. Nevertheless, atomistic-scale investigations using high-resolution electron microscopy suffer from a limited field of view, which hinders the detection of accurate size distribution of potential compositional fluctuations [36–40], and possible beam-induced structural modifications [41]. Recently, it was shown that imaging under the knock-on threshold preserves the QW structures [37,42], allowing the quantification of compositional fluctuations at the subnanometer scale. To measure the spatial distribution of compositional fluctuations, a larger area of the sample needs to be investigated with sufficiently high resolution.

Here, we use a combination of atomically resolved electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), and a multiscale theoretical approach to elucidate the intrinsic compositional fluctuations of  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  alloys up to  $x \approx 24\%$  In, the region of the green gap, and demonstrate their nonrandom nature. We obtained a large number of maps of the InGaN OWs and quantify the compositional fluctuations using an autonomous scale-space method based on the convolution of Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) [43], which can detect the compositional fluctuations without any prior assumption. The LoG algorithm has been shown previously to be very reliable and robust in autonomous feature detection [44,45]. Furthermore, we used a multiscale theoretical approach, based on density functional theory (DFT), cluster expansion (CE), and Monte Carlo (MC) to predict, without any initial assumptions, the intrinsic atomic distribution in the  $In_x Ga_{1-x}N$  QWs. We bridge the gap between the experimentally and computationally observed compositional fluctuations by simulating STEM EELS images from MC models through a "multislice" approach. We demonstrate an excellent match between the experimental observations of compositional fluctuations of the InGaN microstructures and the predictions by Monte Carlo. This study develops a systematic framework for understanding the origin and existence of intrinsic compositional fluctuations in InGaN QWs, which is essential for the development of the next generation of longer-wavelength LEDs covering the entire visible spectrum.

#### **II. RESULTS**

### A. Characterization of the InGaN quantum wells

Figure 1(a) shows a cross section of the LED device used in this investigation, which follows the fabrication protocol by Zhang *et al.* [46] and is discussed in the Methods section. The sample contained three sets of quantum wells, each set consisting of three alternating InGaN QWs and GaN barrier layers with thickness 3 and 10 nm, respectively.

The nominal In composition increased sequentially going from the bottom QW set toward the top one, which was confirmed by our measurements. A low-magnification highangle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM image [Fig. 1(b)] micrograph shows three distinct QW regions mapped to the device in Fig. 1(a). Layers of InGaN can be seen in Fig. 1(b), which match the bottom and middle QWs. However, the top three QWs with the highest indium content do not display the same structural integrity as compared to the middle and bottom QWs, and therefore these have not been considered for the analysis of the compositional fluctuations.

We further used EELS measurements to determine In content in each set of QWs. The procedure to estimate the In content of the QWs is explained in the Supplemental Material (SM) [47]. The nominal In composition from EELS of the bottom and middle sets of QWs are  $18.4 \pm 2.4\%$  and  $24.2 \pm 2\%$ , i.e., composition In<sub>0.18</sub>Ga<sub>0.82</sub>N and In<sub>0.24</sub>Ga<sub>0.76</sub>N. We have performed cathodoluminescence (CL) measurements to confirm the indium content of these QWs. Monochromatic CL images obtained at emission wavelengths centered at 450 nm [Fig. 1(c)] and 490 nm [Fig. 1(d)] can be directly correlated to the bottom and middle sets of QWs, respectively. By correlating these emission wavelengths with predicted band gaps of In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N from accurate hybrid functional DFT calculations by Moses and Van de Walle [48] we deduced In contents of  $\sim 16.5\%$  and  $\sim 22.2\%$  in the bottom and middle QWs [Fig. 1(a)], respectively. The nominal compositions determined from the CL measurements are in good agreement with those obtained from EELS measurements. For the remainder of the paper, we will refer to these two In concentrations as low and high, respectively.

# B. Autonomous detection of compositional fluctuation in In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N

To quantitatively determine the length scale of In compositional fluctuations in the  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  alloys we used a multifaceted approach, which combines experimental EELS maps and predicted maps from independent Monte Carlo simulations, as in Fig. 2.

These two seemingly distinct strategies are independent and applied in parallel to clarify the phenomenon of In compositional fluctuation in InGaN QWs.



FIG. 1. LED device and its characterization. (a) Cross section of the LED device composed of alternating InGaN QWs and GaN barrier layers. (b) Low-magnification STEM HAADF image from the QW region of the LED. (c), (d) The corresponding monochromatic STEM CL images recorded at 450 and 490 nm. Images have been false colored according to the CL wavelength.

The EELS data were collected using a STEM probe rastering across the InGaN layers, thereby forming a hyperspectral data prism as shown in Fig 2(a). The EELS spectra of the QWs were collected using an 80-kV accelerating voltage (Sec. S1 and Fig. S1 in the SM [47]). This accelerating voltage is optimal [42] for imaging InGaN QWs by preventing the knock-off beam damage [37] for both light and heavy atoms, and at the same time it provides sufficient resolution for imaging. Under



FIG. 2. Autonomous detection of compositional fluctuations in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  samples. Panels (a)–(c) show the analysis of the experimental STEM EELS maps of the  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  samples, whereas panels (d,e,c) depict the generation and analysis of similar maps from a theoretical approach. Panel (a) depicts a schematic STEM EELS hyperspectral measurement. Panel (b) shows a representative Ga compositional map which is integrated from the hyperspectral data set. Panel (c) shows the detection of In compositional fluctuation in the micrographs from STEM EELS with the color bar representing the In concentration (top) and theory (bottom), respectively, on which a convolution of the Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) kernels with the input image is performed. The white bar represents the 2 nm scale. Panel (d) shows a representative microstructure model obtained using canonical Monte Carlo (e) and viewed from the [1120] axis. A multislice simulation of the EELS map, using the MULTEM package, from the Monte Carlo microstructure model is shown.



FIG. 3. Formation energies calculated using DFT (blue dots) and the CE Hamiltonian (black cross) for InGaN QWs in (a) the bulk incoherent model and (b) the epitaxially coherent model. The respective error plots of both CEs are reported in Fig. S5 in the SM [47]. Inset in panel (b) highlights the distance from the convex hull (~1.43 meV/f.u.) of the DFT metastable ordering at  $x = \frac{1}{3}(In_{1/3}Ga_{2/3}N)$ .

these imaging conditions, QWs remain structurally pristine compared to the fuzzy and irregular QWs generally seen due to beam damage [41].

The electron energy loss spectra were quantified using the Ga L edge (~1115 eV), as shown in Fig. 2(b). The Methods section describes the procedure and parameters used to process the EELS spectra. We have surveyed ~500 nm<sup>2</sup> of the QW region for each QW at two specific In concentrations (shown in Fig. 1) to undertake a statistical analysis of the compositional fluctuation length scale.

In parallel, we undertook a unique methodology to theoretically assess the compositional fluctuations in InGaN-based QWs. While DFT has been used extensively to study the properties in the InGaN system [48,49], DFT alone cannot handle extremely large models with thousands of atoms, which are much needed to unravel the alloying properties of this material. Here, we propose a multiscale approach to reveal the compositional fluctuations in realistic samples.

To assess the spontaneity of mixing of indium in the InGaN QWs, a large number of configurations (or orderings) describing the distribution of In into the wurtzite structure of GaN ( $P6_3mc$ ) were simulated. Equation (1) defines the formation energy ( $E_f$ ) of each ordering, computed by DFT, with respect to the energy of GaN and InN—the end members.

$$E_f[\operatorname{In}_x \operatorname{Ga}_{1-x} N] = E[\operatorname{In}_x \operatorname{Ga}_{1-x} N] - xE[\operatorname{In} N] - (1-x)E[\operatorname{Ga} N],$$
(1)

where  $E[In_xGa_{1-x}N]$ , E[InN], and E[GaN] are the DFT total energies of the  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  orderings, and those of the reference structures of InN and GaN, respectively. Note, within our approximation, formation energies and mixing energies can be used interchangeably. We probed the incorporation of indium in GaN by considering a number of supercell models ranging in sizes from the primitive cell [comprising only 2 formula units (f.u.)] of the wurtzite-GaN structure to large  $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell models. We have also considered the  $3 \times 2 \times 1$  and the 2 × 1 × 3 supercells; the latter is important to capture the long-range interactions along the growth direction (i.e., [0 0 0 1]) of the QWs. These supercell structures are needed to finely sample the In content in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  (with a step of  $\Delta x = 0.08$ ), as well as to explore the configurational ordering of In.

In particular, to assess the strain characteristics of the In-GaN QWs, we developed two distinct models: (i) the bulk incoherent model where both the volume and the shape of each  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  ordering are fully relaxed. Thus, using DFT the GaN-InN tie line was described by computing the mixing energies of 575 distinct  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  orderings. (ii) The epitaxially coherent model, where the supercell models are constrained to the GaN substrate in the plane normal to the [0001] direction (the *ab* plane) but allowing the cell and volume relaxation along the [0001] direction. Note, the [0001] is the typical growth direction of the polar InGaN LEDs. Thus, the formation energies of 643 distinct epitaxially coherent orderings were computed.

The formation energies of In into GaN across the entire composition provide the phase diagrams InN-GaN at 0 K, which are reported in Fig. 3(a) for the bulk incoherent and Fig. 3(b) for the epitaxially coherent models, respectively.

At a given composition, the ground-state structure always minimizes the Gibbs energy at that composition. Therefore, given a set of InGaN compositions, their structures, and their DFT energies, the equilibrium phase diagram at 0 K is mathematically obtained through a procedure of convex minimization. The convex-hull construction evaluates the stability of a given compound against any linear combination of compounds that have the same composition. For the bulk incoherent model of Fig. 3(a), the mixing energies remain positive at all indium compositions, which indicates that at 0 K In cannot favorably mix with GaN to form  $In_x Ga_{1-x}N$  [28,29].

The picture changes entirely when the QW undergoes epitaxially coherent strain, with a stable ordering located at composition x = 0.50 (In<sub>0.5</sub>Ga<sub>0.5</sub>N). Furthermore, a slightly



FIG. 4. Compositional phase diagram of the  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  alloy as a function of temperature as derived from the GCMC simulations parametrized on the CE model for (a) the bulk incoherent and (b) the epitaxially coherent InGaN QW model. In the bulk incoherent model (a), the red area ( $\alpha + \beta$ ) represents the biphasic region, while the blue area ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) shows the single-phase regions. A miscibility gap is observed until a critical temperature of 1950 K. In the biphasic region the alloy has the tendency to phase separate into Ga ( $\alpha$ ) and In ( $\beta$ ) rich regions. For the epitaxially coherent model of panel (b), three stable phases are observed at ~0 K, namely, the Ga-rich phase ( $\sigma$ ), the (InN)<sub>2</sub>/(GaN)<sub>2</sub> ordered phase ( $\delta$ ), and the In-rich phase ( $\eta$ ). Above ~100 K, at  $x = \frac{1}{3}$  a new single phase ( $\gamma$ ) is observed. The phase  $\gamma$  decomposes into phases  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  through a eutectoid transformation at ~80 K. The single phases are always separated by biphasic regions, while full In solubility is obtained for temperatures above ~1300 K.

metastable ordering at  $x = \frac{1}{3}$  (In<sub>1/3</sub>Ga<sub>2/3</sub>N) is observed, with a very small energy above the convex hull (~1.43 meV/f.u.). The slightly metastable and stable compositions at  $x = \frac{1}{3}$  and x = 0.5 can be mapped to well-defined ordered arrangements, which are (InN)<sub>1</sub>/(GaN)<sub>2</sub> and (InN)<sub>2</sub>/(GaN)<sub>2</sub>, respectively, and are shown in Fig. S4 of the SM [47]. The ordered structure (InN)<sub>2</sub>/(GaN)<sub>2</sub> matches with previous predictions by Liu and Zunger [23]. One important conclusion from Fig. 3(b) is that in the epitaxially coherent model the phase separation will take place at 0 K for indium composition in the range 0 < x < 0.5 and 0.5 < x < 1.

Using the DFT mixing energies of the incoherent and epitaxially coherent InGaN orderings at 0 K, we developed a cluster expansion (CE) Hamiltonian based on the approach by Sanchez *et al.* [50], whose details and derivation are described in the Methods section.

Having developed a robust CE model, that directly accounts for the effects of In incorporation, the local environment and strain on the mixing enthalpies, we employ grand canonical Monte Carlo [51] (GCMC) to predict the In composition vs temperature phase diagrams for the InGaN alloys for the bulk incoherent model [Fig. 4(a)] and the epitaxially coherent case [Fig. 4(b)].

In the bulk incoherent model, the InGaN system exhibits phase separation for the complete composition range. Above the critical temperature of 1950 K, indium becomes fully miscible in GaN. This is in excellent agreement with previous reports [28,29], and provides credibility to our theoretical model.

In contrast, the predicted phase diagram of the epitaxially coherent case presents a monophasic phase ( $\delta$ ) at 0 K at a composition of ~50% along with the Ga-rich phase ( $\sigma$ ) and In-rich phase ( $\eta$ ). A new monophasic region ( $\gamma$ ) at  $x \sim 33.33\%$  is observed beyond ~80 K through a eutectoid transformation between the phases  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  [Fig. 4(b)]. Above 80 K, the  $\sigma + \gamma$  biphasic region [red in Fig. 4(b)] covers a large portion of the technologically relevant range of In concentration ( $0 < x < \frac{1}{3}$ ) and the full miscibility of In in GaN is only accessible at ~1100 K and  $x \sim 24\%$ . In Fig. 4(b), two other biphasic regions at higher In concentrations are observed: (i)  $\gamma + \delta$  in the range  $\frac{1}{3} < x < 0.5$ , and (ii)  $\delta + \eta$  in the range 0.5 < x < 1.

To gain further understanding of the microstructure of the InGaN QWs at specific In concentrations and temperatures, we performed canonical Monte Carlo (CMC) simulations based on the CE approach developed for the bulk incoherent and the epitaxially coherent models. A representative snapshot of an  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  supercell model from CMC is shown in Fig. 2(d). To obtain a meaningful comparison of the CMC predictions with the In distribution from the EELS maps, the predicted structures were oriented along the direction of imaging of the STEM EELS, i.e., the [1120] zone axis in Fig. 2(b). A simulation to introduce the incoherent scattering as observed in the EELS compositional maps was performed using the MULTEM package (see details in the Methods section) [52,53]. Figure 2(b) shows a simulated final map obtained from the CMC calculations and the EELS simulation.

To quantitatively determine the spatial extent of In aggregation in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  QWs from the EELS and the theoretically CMC predicted maps, respectively, we have developed an autonomous strategy to detect compositional fluctuations, whereby the maps produced undergo a convolution with kernels of Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG). The LoG algorithm convolutes the input image, either from EELS or CMC



FIG. 5. Representative EELS compositional maps of QW regions overlaid with circles denoting compositional fluctuations found using the LoG algorithm for QWs with In composition (a) 18.4% and (c) 24.2%. The scale bars in (a,c) are 2 nm and shown by gray bars. The probability density functions (y axis) of the size of the compositional fluctuations (x axis) in (b) 18.4% and (d) 24.2% In content QWs, respectively are obtained from EELS experiments (in violet, bottom) and compared with different canonical Monte Carlo simulations (CMC) at conditions namely, random at 4000 K (black), epitaxially coherent at 1000 K (blue) and bulk incoherent at 1575 K (red). The random alloy distribution is obtained by running the CMC simulations at a temperature of ~4000 K, which is significantly higher than the miscibility temperature of In in GaN [see Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. The average size of the compositional fluctuation is marked by dashed lines.

simulations, with a series of LoG kernels of discrete variances  $(\sigma)$  to find local compositional fluctuations in the QWs. The LoG kernels can be extended to detect anisotropy in the compositional fluctuations as shown in Sec. S9 in the Supplemental Material [47]. However, for simplicity we have assumed circular Gaussian kernels to map the compositional fluctuations. The conditions used to perform the LoG convolutions are described in the Methods section. A statistical analysis using the LoG kernels was performed and the average size of In compositional fluctuations was identified. Figure 2(c) shows an example of EELS and CMC maps on which the LoG strategy is applied; compositional fluctuations are identified by yellow circles of different diameter.

# C. Distribution of compositional fluctuations from experiments and theory

We now turn to an analysis to determine if the observed compositional fluctuations can be described using the random alloy model or indicate some additional clustering. The LoG detection method was applied to 17 experimental EELS maps for each of the two In content QWs, as well as the simulated images (15 images, Table S3 in the SM [47]) for each CMC temperature (see the Methods section). Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show representative EELS micrographs from the low and high indium content QWs analyzed using the LoG algorithm. The compositional fluctuations are highlighted as yellow circles. The diameter of the circles was calculated from the obtained

variance ( $\sigma$ ) of the Gaussian kernels used in the LoG algorithm [Eq. (7)].

From the EELS maps, the LoG strategy detects significantly smaller compositional fluctuations in the QW with low In compared to QWs with higher In content. For example, comparing Figures 5(a) and 5(c), one can immediately observe that the compositional fluctuations are larger in the system with higher concentration. We use a similar analysis over a large data set of micrographs and predicted EELS pictures to inform the nature of the In compositional fluctuations.

The compositional fluctuations are treated as connected pixels with higher intensity; these are alternatively referred to as blobs (binary large objects). We now analyze the In compositional fluctuations (blobs) revealed by low and high In content InGaN QWs as shown in Figs. 5(b) and 5(d). These figures show the probability densities (y axis) estimated via the kernel density, as a function of variance of the Gaussian blobs (x axis). The variance of the Gaussian blobs represents the distribution of indium compositional fluctuations in the QWs. The size of In compositional fluctuations was revealed experimentally using EELS (violet, in the bottom panel of Figs. 5(b) and 5(d)]. The probability density functions in the top panels of Figs. 5(b) and 5(d) show the size of the computational fluctuations derived theoretically from CMC models (black, blue, red) at selected temperatures. These are (i) 4000 K mimicking the random arrangement, (ii) the epitaxially coherent at 1000 K, and (iii) the bulk incoherent at 1575 K. The average sizes of the compositional fluctuations obtained from the experimental and theoretical calculations are marked by the dashed vertical lines. The distribution of compositional fluctuations analyzed for all the CMC temperatures are summarized in Figs. S6 and S7 in the SM [47] for bulk incoherent and bulk epitaxially coherent InGaN QWs, respectively.

The LoG analysis of the EELS maps applied to the low In content QWs [Fig. 5(c)] shows that most (~90%) of the compositional fluctuations are less than ~4Å in variance [~9.42Å full width at half maximum (FWHM)], with only a small fraction (~9.5%) of fluctuations greater than ~4Å. In Fig. 5(d) we observe that the high In content QWs show significantly larger probability density (~17%) of blob sizes greater than ~4Å compared to the low In content LEDs. In this paper we have compared fluctuations larger than ~4Å in variance as large-scale compositional fluctuations since they correspond to ~10Å full width at half maximum.

Care is needed in comparing the EELS data and the CMC simulation because the InGaN/GaN LEDs are grown through epitaxy, a situation that is far from thermodynamic equilibrium [54]. In contrast, our CMC simulations predict properties at thermodynamic equilibrium, and thus a one to one comparison with the fabrication temperatures of the LED devices is not appropriate. Therefore, the Monte Carlo calculations were performed over a range of temperatures for both bulk incoherent (1350–4000 K) and epitaxially coherent (500–4000 K) models to determine the In distribution in the InGaN QWs.

The variation of the average compositional fluctuations (x axis) with the CMC temperatures (y axis) is shown in Fig. 5 and compared to the EELS measurements. In general, it is expected that as the temperature of the system increases, the solubility of In in GaN increases proportionally [see phase diagrams in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. The average sizes of the compositional fluctuations obtained from CMC simulations at different temperatures confirm this behavior. The blob sizes of Fig. 5 display that the tendency for In fluctuations decreases monotonically as the temperature of the simulation is increased. CMC simulations at exceedingly high temperatures, i.e., 4000 K [indicated as random in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) are used as an extreme case to verify the full solubility of In into GaN. Any compositional fluctuation detected in such QWs reflects purely statistical fluctuations; hence, these high-temperature structures serve as a reference to describe a fully random distribution of indium in InGaN QWs. Notably, CMC simulations based on lattice-type models forbid the transition of the  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  system to a liquid, but in contrast they are appropriate to capture the regime of a random alloy. In the fully random limit, using the LoG analysis we show the absence of compositional fluctuations of appreciable size (>4 Å in variance for both 18.4% and 24.2%) in the QWs [Figs. 5(b) and 5(d)].

The appropriate temperature of representative CMC simulations was identified by performing a matching of the average blob size obtained at several temperatures with the EELS data. The temperature ranges inspected are (i) bulk incoherent from 1375 to 4000 K, and (ii) for the epitaxially coherent, 500–4000 K. From Fig 5(c) it is observed that the best match between EELS and CMC images of low In content  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  QWs is achieved at ~4000 K, which is the random alloy model. However, for the high In content  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  QWs, as

seen in Fig. 5(d), the EELS distributions are best matched at ~1575 K for the bulk incoherent model and at ~1000 K for the epitaxially coherent model. Therefore, the independent computational approach confirms the EELS observations by showing an increase in the large-size compositional fluctuations (>4 Å in variance), accounting for ~18% in high In QWs. As expected, the low In content QWs shows a smaller fraction (~10.5%) of large-size compositional fluctuations. Notably, the compositional fluctuations by CMC in the epitaxially coherent QWs are best described by lower-temperature models (~1000 K) compared to the bulk incoherent system found at higher temperatures (~1575 K). This temperature decrease can be linked to the biaxial strain experienced by the InGaN QWs, which are typically grown at ~1000 K [46].

The intrinsic tendency of the InGaN QWs for compositional fluctuations is also reflected by the computational data. For all temperatures the simulations consistently predict a larger length-scale compositional fluctuation in the higher indium content LEDs as shown in Fig. 5, and Figs. S5 and S6 in the SM [47], compared to those with lower indium concentration.

#### **III. DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we investigate the presence of In compositional fluctuations in 450 nm ("low In content") and 490 nm ("high In content") emitting InGaN-based LEDs utilizing a multifaceted methodology combining materials and device fabrications, STEM EELS, and CL together with a multiscale modeling approach. We provide a statistical assessment of the LED QWs, from which we derive the distribution of In fluctuations in the InGaN/GaN QWs. We carried out our experiments at nondamaging conditions to the QWs [37] and have provided evidence for the same.

Using these STEM EELS micrographs we present a quantitative analysis of the size of In compositional fluctuations in InGaN LEDs. We developed a methodology based on LoG that we applied to the STEM EELS experimental and simulated micrographs to detect indium compositional fluctuations in the InGaN QWs. The LoG method developed in the study removes the bias of initial position guesses of suspected In fluctuations and eliminates the need for arduous preprocessing of the EELS micrographs. This technique is of general applicability and can be adapted to any materials science problem study where compositional fluctuations need to be investigated.

Here, we have predicted phase diagrams for the bulk incoherent and epitaxially coherent InGaN QWs. In the bulk incoherent system, we could observe that InN has a strong tendency to phase separate from the GaN lattice. The same observation can be extended for the epitaxially coherent In-GaN QWs for In composition in the range  $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Our predicted phase diagram of Fig. 4(b) shows two dominant monophasic regions stable above ~80 K and at ~33.33% ( $\gamma$ ) and ~50% ( $\delta$ ) which are separated by biphasic regions from 0 < x <  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$  < x < 0.50. Importantly, these findings support the idea that even in the epitaxially coherent models, indium is not miscible in GaN up to 33%. The epitaxial coherent model shows the lowering of the critical temperature [~1200 K,  $x \sim 24\%$  Fig. 4(b)] beyond which In can always mix with GaN compared to the bulk incoherent case [~1950 K,  $x \sim 50\%$ , Fig. 4(a)]. Therefore, for the high In content LEDs ( $x \sim 24.2\%$ ), the growth temperatures (~1000 K) correspond to the biphasic region, which results in phase separation. However, for the low In content ( $x \sim 18.4\%$ ), InGaN LEDs grown at higher temperatures of ~1033 K correspond to the boundary between the biphasic ( $\sigma + \gamma$ ) and the Ga-rich monophasic ( $\sigma$ ) region in the epitaxially coherent phase diagram [Fig. 4(b)]. Hence, in the low In composition LEDs, phase separation is suppressed.

Although the seminal phase diagram proposed by Karpov predicts In solubility in the range 0% < x < 35% and at low temperatures, our findings remain in striking contrast [55]. Furthermore, we showed that the suppression of the phase separation in epitaxially coherent systems (compared to the bulk incoherent system) is driven by a reduction of the critical miscibility temperature for the low In content InGaN QWs. This is accompanied by the stabilization of intermediate ordered phases at  $x \sim 33.33\%$  and  $\sim 50\%$ . Our prediction of the phase segregation behavior in the very high In ( $\sim$ 82%) content InGaN QWs is in great agreement with the previous experimentally reported [56] and first-principles based phase separation study [29,57]. Figure 4(b) shows that at temperature of ~1000 K  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  with In content from 15% to 30% should phase separate and furthermore at 35% to 60% it should not phase separate ( $\gamma$  phase). However, In concentrations above 35% are hard to grow and can show precipitation of In-rich droplets [58]. This is not predicted in our current multiscale thermodynamic model as it does not account for all the kinetic and metastable effects during the growth of the InGaN QWs.

Here, we have developed large-scale CMC models (parametrized on accurate DFT calculations) with varying indium concentrations (18.4% and 24.2%). The microstructure of InGaN QWs is largely controlled by the kinetics imposed by their epitaxial growth, which probably limits the observation of a complete phase separation into InN and GaN, as predicted by our 0 K phase diagram from DFT. To this end, we identified the representative CMC temperature conditions describing the metastable situation imposed by the constrained growth of InGaN LEDs and simulated the microstructures with Monte Carlo.

From our EELS and computational models, we find a nearly twofold increase in nanometer-scale (>1 nm in FWHM) compositional fluctuations for the high In content QWs ( $\sim$ 24.2% In) compared to the low In content QWs ( $\sim$ 18.4% In).

In low In content LEDs In<sub>0.15</sub>Ga<sub>0.85</sub>N, Chichibu *et al.* [26] have observed prominent localization of charge carriers and attributed them primarily to the phenomenon of In compositional fluctuations. Our findings underline that larger-scale compositional fluctuations are present in high InGaN LEDs (In content ~24%) and may play a bigger role in the performance of the LEDs. These independent findings are in excellent agreement with the EELS STEM observations as well as CMC predictions and confirm unequivocally the existence of substantial In fluctuations in InGaN LEDs. Furthermore, as seen in the epitaxially coherent case we present the separation into a GaN-rich region and a (InN)<sub>1</sub>/(GaN)<sub>2</sub> single-phase region. These atomic-scale microstructures would have a significant effect on the optical properties of InGaN QWs at higher In content.

In the fully random limit, we show that Monte Carlo simulations performed on both  $In_{0.18}Ga_{0.82}N$  and  $In_{0.24}Ga_{0.76}N$  [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)] at very high temperature and coupled with the LoG analysis show that compositional fluctuations of appreciable size in the QWs are nearly absent.

The distribution of compositional fluctuation of low In [Fig. 5(c)] content LEDs is similar to the fully miscible solid solution with a small fraction of nanometer-scale fluctuations. This observation is in agreement with previous measurements with atom probe tomography (APT) on InGaN QWs of similar In content [31,33]. On the other hand, in the high indium content QWs [Fig. 5(d), and Figs. S6 and S7 in the SM [47]) there exists a significant difference between a complete solid solution at 4000 K (random fluctuation model) and the best match distribution at  $\sim 1000$  K for the epitaxially coherent model. This CMC temperature is in good agreement with the growth temperature of the high In content LEDs. Consequently, the epitaxially coherent model is able to predict the In distribution in the InGaN QWs across different In concentrations. The minor deviations between the CMC temperatures and the experiment temperature could be attributed to the surface effects during the growth process, which influence the indium incorporation in the InGaN QWs [59,60].

In the high In content LEDs a partial phase separation is observed where random compositional fluctuations are concurrent with pockets of In-rich regions. Our observations are in good agreement with the previously observed compositional fluctuations using APT on InGaN QWs of high In content ( $\sim 25\%$  In) [30,34,35]. Based on these findings, we can assume that the currently widely accepted model of a perfect random structure does not fully capture the complete compositional fluctuations of the InGaN QWs. To date, the random alloy model of InGaN QWs is often assumed in the literature to explain the green-gap effect in high In content LEDs [11,20,30,33,61]. Furthermore, the approach provided here establishes a systematic pathway to capture the compositional fluctuations for a range of In concentrations in the InGaN LEDs including the green-gap region.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, using a multifaceted methodology, we have investigated the complete distribution of the compositional fluctuations at subnanometer length scales in  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  LED devices. Our results suggest compositional fluctuations predominantly in the range of random fluctuations in the lower indium content. In striking contrast, we identify the occurrence of nonrandom indium fluctuations for In-rich QWs. These quantitative measurements pave the way for more investigations to elucidate the role of compositional fluctuations in the new generation of InGaN LEDs and toward practical design strategies to curb the degradation of these devices. While we have developed and applied a unique methodology, based on the convolution of Laplacian of Gaussian to rationalize the microstructure of InGaN LEDs, this strategy can be extended to other problems in materials science where understanding the compositional fluctuations is crucial.

#### V. METHODS

#### A. First-principles calculations, cluster expansion, and Monte Carlo simulations

The incorporation of In into GaN was modeled using the cluster expansion (CE) [50] method where the mixing energies [see Eq. (1)] are obtained from DFT. The CE model whose details are given in Sec. S5 in the SM [47] was fitted using the compressive sensing algorithm [62] as implemented in the cluster assisted statistical mechanics (CASM) code [63–66].

DFT [67,68] employing the sufficiently constrained and appropriately normed (SCAN) meta-GGA exchange correlation functional [69] was performed using a combination of plane waves and projector augmented-wave (PAW) potentials to describe the InGaN wave functions, as implemented in VASP [70,71]. In the PAWs the following electrons were treated explicitly: In  $4d^{10}5s^25p^1$  (06Sep2000), Ga  $3d^{10}4s^24p^1$ (06Jul2010), and N  $2s^2 2p^3$  (08Apr2002). A plane-wave basis with an energy cutoff of 520 eV and a  $8 \times 8 \times 5$   $\Gamma$ -centered Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh [72,73] were used for the primitive cell of GaN (with 2 f.u. and P63mc space group). As for the larger supercells, the k-point meshes were adjusted to obtain the same sampling of the first Brillouin zone. The total energy was converged within  $10^{-5}$  eV/cell and the interatomic forces (and stresses) were converged to less than  $10^{-2} \text{ eV/Å}$ (0.29 GPa).

We used the formation energies evaluated with DFT to construct the cluster expansion (CE). The CE is written as a truncated summation of the effective cluster interactions (ECIs) of pair, triplet, and quadruplet terms according to Eq. (2),

$$E_f(\sigma) = \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(\sigma), \qquad (2)$$

where  $E_f$  is the DFT formation energy and  $V_{\alpha}$  is the effective cluster interactions (ECIs) of a cluster  $\alpha$  including its multiplicity (symmetry).  $\phi_{\alpha}(\sigma)$  is the cluster function of cluster  $\alpha$ for the configuration of possible orderings  $\sigma$ , which assumes the values of 1 and 0 for In and Ga respectively. Thus, the nonzero ECIs represent only geometrical clusters fully constituted by In.

The  $\phi_{\alpha}(\sigma)$  were generated within a maximum radius extending to 12, 7, and 6 Å for the pairs, triplets, and quadruplets, respectively. The identification of only the descriptive ECIs leveraged the compressive sensing algorithm to penalize the *L*1 norm of the ECIs and thus minimize overfitting, which employed  $\alpha$  of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$  for the bulk incoherent [Fig. 3(a)] and epitaxially coherent models [Fig. 3(b)].

Following this approach for the bulk incoherent QW, a CE of a model based on 36 ECIs with a root mean square error (RMSE) of ~2.53 meV/f.u. and a leave one out cross-validation (LOOCV) score ~4.57 meV/f.u was developed. For the epitaxially coherent QW, we developed a CE model using 41 ECIs with an RMSE ~3.04 meV/f.u. and a LOOCV score ~8.04 meV/f.u.

The CE is then used to express the free energy of largemodel supercells in two types of Monte Carlo simulations, i.e., the grand canonical (GCMC) [51] ensemble to study the phase diagram and the canonical Monte Carlo (CMC) to assess the microstructural properties of the wurtzite InGaN. The Gibbs free energy was coarse grained as a function of parametric chemical potential ( $\mu$ ) and temperature (T) of the wurtzite  $\ln_x \operatorname{Ga}_{1-x} N$ , which was calculated by performing the grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) [74] simulations using the Metropolis algorithm of CASM, and using a very large  $16 \times 16 \times 16$  supercell with 16 384 atoms for the bulk incoherent model and  $24 \times 24 \times 7$  with 16 128 atoms for the epitaxially coherent model. The simulation used the automatic convergence scheme where the formation energies ( $E_f$ ) are converged within  $10^{-3} \, \mathrm{eV/f.u.}$  The hysteresis of the *x* vs  $\mu$  was removed using the thermodynamic integration, and phase boundaries were identified by analyzing the discontinuity in *x* vs  $\mu$  curves as we did in our previous work [51].

The In compositional fluctuations and phase segregations at different *T* and *x* were investigated using the canonical Monte Carlo performed on the  $24 \times 24 \times 7$  supercells with 16128 atoms. Note that the shortest length of the supercell corresponds to the typical width (~3 nm) of the In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N QWs.

#### **B.** Sample fabrication

The LED devices were prepared based on the recipe by Zhang et al. [46]. The epitaxial fabrication was carried out using a metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) on an 8 in Si (111) substrate in an Aixtron Crius closecoupled showered (CCS) reactor. Prior to the growth native oxide on the Si substrates was removed by insitu annealing. A buffer layer of step graded Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N was used to account for the lattice mismatch between GaN and the Si substrate. Over this AlGaN layer, a Si-doped n-GaN region of 2.4  $\mu$ m is grown followed by the active LED region. The active region consisting of three sets of QWs is discussed in Fig. 1(a). Each set of layers consists of three InGaN QW regions and GaN barrier layers with thickness 2.5 and 10 nm, respectively. The In content in the QW regions was controlled by varying the deposition temperature. The low indium content (18.4%), intermediate indium content (24.2%), and high indium content (27%) QWs were grown at different temperatures, 760 °C, 725 °C, and 685 °C, respectively. Finally, a p-AlGaN electron blocking layer (EBL) is deposited to prevent the electron leakage to the Mg-doped p-GaN region being deposited. TMAl, TMGa, TMIn, and NH<sub>3</sub> were used as the precursors for Al, Ga, In, and N respectively. Furthermore, the growth process was carried out in H<sub>2</sub> ambient condition.

#### C. Preparation of the TEM samples

The electron transparent samples were prepared in the  $[11\overline{2}0]$  zone axis by an *in situ* lift-off method in an FEI Versa focused ion beam/scanning electron microscopy (FIB/SEM) dual beam machine [75]. Initially, a TEM lamella was prepared from InGaN LED bulk by creating two trenches on both sides of the lamella followed by undercutting. The lamella was then transferred to a Cu grid using a nanoprobe built in the FIB/SEM machine. Thus, the sample was thinned to ~100 nm using a 30 kV Ga<sup>+</sup> beam and a subsequent final cleaning step was carried out at 2 kV. Before the microscope analysis, the TEM lamella was cleaned with a plasma at ~300 V and for ~60 min to remove any residual contamination. The final

samples were  $\sim 40$  nm thick as measured from the log ratio EELS method. The thickness determined by this method is an upper bound to the actual sample thickness as the EELS log ratio method overestimates the sample thickness owing to the surface plasmon scattering [36,76].

## D. Aberration-corrected EELS acquisition and processing

The EELS results were acquired using a JEOL JEM-ARM200F equipped with a cold field emission gun (CFEG) and a fifth-order ASCOR aberration corrector operated at 80 kV. A convergence and collection semiangle of  $\sim$ 31 and  $\sim$ 77 mrad were used, respectively, for the acquisition of spectra. A Gatan GIF quantum energy filter [77] is used to record the spectra using an energy dispersion of 0.4 eV/channel. The quantification of Ga was carried out using the Ga *L* edge ( $\sim$ 1115 eV). The Ga signal was extracted using the elemental quantification toolkit of the GMS digital micrograph package [78,79].

The quantification of the compositional fluctuations was carried out using the Ga map using Eq. (3). The composition axis was determined using the pristine Ga region,

$$\frac{n_{\rm Ga}^{\rm QW}}{n_{\rm Ga}^{\rm pristine}} = \left[\frac{I_{\rm Ga}^{\rm QW}(\beta,\Delta)}{I_{\rm Ga}^{\rm pristine}(\beta,\Delta)}\right] \left[\frac{\sigma^{\rm Ga}(\beta,\Delta)}{\sigma^{\rm Ga}(\beta,\Delta)}\right],\tag{3}$$

where *I* represents the integrated spectrum,  $\beta$  is the collection angle,  $\Delta$  is the integration width, and  $\sigma$  the partial scattering cross section. Since both regions of the Ga spectrum were used with the same integration width, the scattering coefficient of the cross section in the numerator and the denominator of Eq. (3) cancel out. The pristine region was chosen at a spacing of ~1 nm from the QW region to avoid the residual In gradient outside the QW during the growth of the InGaN LEDs.

The nominal In content is given by Eq. (4),

$$\mathbf{n}_{\mathrm{In}}^{\mathrm{QW}} = 1 - \left[\frac{n_{\mathrm{Ga}}^{\mathrm{QW}}}{n_{\mathrm{Ga}}^{\mathrm{pristine}}}\right]. \tag{4}$$

The extraction of the QW region from the Ga map was carried out using an in-house developed MATLAB script.

### E. Estimating the size of compositional fluctuations with the Laplacian of Gaussian

The sizes of the compositional fluctuations in the QW regions were determined using the autonomous scale-space method based on Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) [43,80] implemented in the SCIKIT-IMAGE [81] package. The smallest Gaussians used have a variance of ~2.3 Å-the typical length scale of In-N and Ga-N bonds—whereas the largest Gaussians have a variance of ~10.6 Å-the typical thickness of the QWs (~3 nm in diameter). A resolution of nine Gaussians between 2.3 and 10.6 Å was used. A threshold of 0.10 times the average intensity value of the maps is given to eliminate the background noise, which can result in the erroneous determination of local maxima. The LoG kernel is parametrized with respect to the variance ( $\sigma$ ) as given in Eq. (5).

$$\nabla^2 h(\mathbf{r}) = -\left[\frac{r^2 - \sigma^2}{\sigma^4}\right] e^{-r^2/2\sigma},\tag{5}$$

where *h* is a Gaussian with variance  $\sigma$  and *r* stands for real space coordinates of the image. The  $\sigma$  can be converted into the FWHM and diameter of the compositional fluctuation using Eqs. (6) and (7),

$$FWHM = 2\sqrt{2\ln 2} \sigma, \tag{6}$$

$$2r = 2\sqrt{2}\sigma.$$
 (7)

The Laplacian of Gaussian can be modified to detect anisotropic clusters as shown in Sec. S9 of the Supplemental Material [47]. This has been implemented in the GLOG function in the SCIKIT-IMAGE package which can be obtained from github [82].

### F. Scanning transmission electron microscopecathodoluminescence measurements

Cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging on the TEM foils was conducted on a polished sample using a 2010F field-emission TEM equipped with a Gatan MonoCL3 CL+ system operating at 80 kV at the temperature of liquid nitrogen. CL spectra were captured at 450 and 490 nm in the region of the QWs. The detailed setup is found in the study by Lim *et al.* [83].

# G. Incoherent scattering analysis of the Monte Carlo simulated structures

The Monte Carlo hexagonal structures were wrapped in the orthogonal cell with  $(1\overline{1}00)$  and  $(11\overline{2}0)$  bounding planes and rotated to the  $[11\overline{2}0]$  zone axis using the ATOMSK software [84]. The final supercell compromised 16128 atoms. The incoherent scattering approximation simulations were carried in the multislice formalism with the isotropic frozen phonon approximation (ten phonon configurations) using the GPUbased MULTEM simulation package [52,53]. The simulation parameters were fixed to the experimental parameters, using an accelerating voltage of 80 kV and a convergence angle of 31 mrad, which gives a depth of field of  $\sim$ 4.2 nm [85]. To approximate the incoherent scattering an ultralarge detector at 100 mrad inner angle and 270 mrad outer angle were used. The real space sampling of 0.09 nm was used in the simulations to match the experimental resolution. To account for the source broadening a Gaussian convolution of 0.2 nm was made in the final micrograph. At each temperature four Monte Carlo configurations were simulated, each with a defocus value of 4.5 nm. Therefore, to obtain the proper statistics at each temperature and concentration a total of 15 Monte Carlo configurations have been analyzed.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) Singapore through Singapore MIT Alliance for Research and Technology (SMART)'s Low Energy Electronic Systems (LEES) IRG. P.C. acknowledges funding from the National Research Foundation under his NRF Fellowship No. NRFF12-2020-0012. Z.D. and P.C. are grateful to the Green Energy program under Project Code No. R284-000-185- 731. The computational work was performed on resources of the National Supercomputing Centre, Singapore [86] and NUS HPC [87]. P.C. and T.P.M. acknowledge fruitful discussion with Professor S. G. Gopalakrishnan at the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. T.P.M. acknowledges insightful discussions with Dr. Changjian Li, National University of Singapore.

T.P.M. developed different aspects of the project under the supervision of S.G., S.J.P., and P.C. T.P.M. and Z.D. performed the computational modeling under the supervision of P.C. T.P.M., Z.D., and P.C. performed the modeling data analysis. G.J.S. and J.Y.C. prepared samples for electron

- S. Nakamura, The roles of structural imperfections in InGaNbased blue light-emitting diodes and laser diodes, Science 281, 956 (1998).
- [2] S. Y. Karpov, Light-emitting diodes for solid-state lighting: Searching room for improvements, Proc. SPIE 9768, 97680C (2016).
- [3] M. Yamada, Y. Narukawa, and T. Mukai, Phosphor free highluminous-efficiency white light-emitting diodes composed of InGaN multi-quantum well, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 41, L246 (2002).
- [4] J. M. Phillips, M. E. Coltrin, M. H. Crawford, A. J. Fischer, M. R. Krames, R. Mueller-Mach, G. O. Mueller, Y. Ohno, L. E. S. Rohwer, J. A. Simmons, and J. Y. Tsao, Research challenges to ultra-efficient inorganic solid-state lighting, Laser Photonics Rev. 1, 307 (2007).
- [5] F. A. Ponce and D. P. Bour, Nitride-based semiconductors for blue and green light-emitting devices, Nature 386, 351 (1997).
- [6] I. Akasaki and H. Amano, Breakthroughs in improving crystal quality of GaN and invention of the *p*–*n* junction blue-lightemitting diode, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 45, 9001 (2006).
- [7] S. Nakamura, M. Senoh, S.-I. Nagahama, N. Iwasa, T. Yamada, T. Matsushita, H. Kiyoku, and Y. Sugimoto, Characteristics of InGaN multi-quantum-well-structure laser diodes, Appl. Phys. Lett 68, 3269 (1996).
- [8] C. J. Humphreys, Solid-state lighting, MRS Bull. 33, 459 (2008).
- [9] M. R. Krames, O. B. Shchekin, R. Mueller-Mach, G. O. Mueller, L. Zhou, G. Harbers, and M. G. Craford, Status and future of high-power light-emitting diodes for solid-state lighting, J. Disp. Technol. 3, 160 (2007).
- [10] D. Schiavon, M. Binder, M. Peter, B. Galler, P. Drechsel, and F. Scholz, Wavelength-dependent determination of the recombination rate coefficients in single-quantum-well GaInN/GaN light emitting diodes, Phys. Status Solidi B 250, 283 (2013).
- [11] M. Auf der Maur, A. Pecchia, G. Penazzi, W. Rodrigues, and A. Di Carlo, Efficiency Drop in Green InGaN/GaN Light Emitting Diodes: The Role of Random Alloy Fluctuations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 027401 (2016).
- [12] S. Y. Karpov, Carrier localization in InGaN by composition fluctuations: Implication to the "green gap", Photonics Res. 5, A7 (2017).
- [13] M. Pristovsek, C. J. Humphreys, S. Bauer, M. Knab, K. Thonke, G. Kozlowski, D. O'Mahony, P. Maaskant, and B. Corbett, Comparative study of (0001) and (11-22) InGaN based light emitting diodes, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 55, 05FJ10 (2016).
- [14] M. J. Davies, P. Dawson, S. Hammersley, T. Zhu, M. J. Kappers, C. J. Humphreys, and R. A. Oliver, Comparative studies of

microscopy studies. G.J.S. and T.P.M. obtained the EELS spectrum. S.A.G. obtained the CL spectrums. L.Z. provided the InGaN QW sample. T.P.M. developed the image processing algorithm. T.P.M. conducted the EELS analysis and multislice simulation. T.P.M. and P.C. put together the different aspects of the project and prepared the figures. T.P.M., S.J.P., and P.C. drafted the first version of the paper. All authors contributed to the scientific discussion and the final version of the paper.

T.P.M. and G.J.S. contributed equally to this work.

efficiency droop in polar and non-polar InGaN quantum wells, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 252101 (2016).

- [15] M. Monavarian, A. Rashidi, and D. Feezell, A decade of nonpolar and semipolar III-Nitrides: A review of successes and challenges, Phys. Status Solidi 216, 1800628 (2018).
- [16] S. Vichi, Y. Robin, S. Sanguinetti, M. Pristovsek, and H. Amano, Increasing the Luminescence Efficiency of Long-Wavelength (In,Ga)N Quantum Well Structures by Electric Field Engineering Using an (Al,Ga)N Capping Layer, Phys. Rev. Appl. 14, 24018 (2020).
- [17] C. M. Jones, C. H. Teng, Q. Yan, P. C. Ku, and E. Kioupakis, Impact of carrier localization on recombination in InGaN quantum wells and the efficiency of nitride light-emitting diodes: Insights from theory and numerical simulations, Appl. Phys. Lett. 111, 113501 (2017).
- [18] D. S. P. Tanner, P. Dawson, M. J. Kappers, R. A. Oliver, and S. Schulz, Polar (In,Ga)N/GaN Quantum Wells: Revisiting the Impact of Carrier Localization on the "Green Gap" Problem, Phys. Rev. Appl. 13, 44068 (2020).
- [19] T.-J. Yang, R. Shivaraman, J. S. Speck, and Y.-R. Wu, The influence of random indium alloy fluctuations in indium gallium nitride quantum wells on the device behavior, J. Appl. Phys 116, 113104 (2014).
- [20] M. López, A. Pecchia, M. Auf der Maur, F. Sacconi, G. Penazzi, and A. Di Carlo, Atomistic simulations of InGaN/GaN random alloy quantum well LEDs, Phys. Status Solidi C 11, 632 (2014).
- [21] D. S. P. Tanner, J. M. Mcmahon, and S. Schulz, Interface Roughness, Carrier Localization, and Wave Function Overlap in *c*-Plane (In,Ga)N/GaN Quantum Wells: Interplay of Well Width, Alloy Microstructure, Structural Inhomogeneities, and Coulomb Effects, Phys. Rev. Appl. **10**, 34027 (2018).
- [22] A. Di Vito, A. Pecchia, A. Di Carlo, and M. Auf der Maur, Impact of Compositional Nonuniformity in InGaN Based LED, Phys. Rev. Appl. 12, 014055 (2019).
- [23] J. Z. Liu and A. Zunger, Thermodynamic states and phase diagrams for bulk-incoherent, bulk-coherent, and epitaxiallycoherent semiconductor alloys: Application to cubic (Ga,In)N, Phys. Rev. B 77, 205201 (2008).
- [24] Y. Xing, D. Zhao, D. Jiang, Z. Liu, J. Zhu, P. Chen, J. Yang, F. Liang, S. Liu, and L. Zhang, Carrier redistribution between two kinds of localized states in the InGaN/GaN quantum wells studied by photoluminescence, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 14, 88 (2019).
- [25] S. F. Chichibu, A. Uedono, T. Onuma, B. A. Haskell, A. Chakraborty, T. Koyama, P. T. Fini, S. Keller, S. P. DenBaars, J. S. Speck, U. K. Mishra, S. Nakamura, S. Yamaguchi, S. Kamiyama, H. Amano, I. Akasaki, J. Han, and T. Sota, Ori-

gin of defect-insensitive emission probability in In-containing (Al,In,Ga)N alloy semiconductors, Nat. Mater. **5**, 810 (2006).

- [26] X. Wu, E. J. Walter, A. M. Rappe, R. Car, and A. Selloni, Hybrid density functional calculations of the band gap of Ga<sub>x</sub>In<sub>1-x</sub>N, Phys. Rev. B 80, 115201 (2009).
- [27] I. Gorczyca, S. P. Łepkowski, T. Suski, N. E. Christensen, and A. Svane, Influence of indium clustering on the band structure of semiconducting ternary and quaternary nitride alloys, Phys. Rev. B 80, 075202 (2009).
- [28] J. Z. Liu and A. Zunger, Thermodynamic theory of epitaxial alloys: First-principles mixed-basis cluster expansion of (In, Ga)N alloy film, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 295402 (2009).
- [29] J. A. Chan, J. Z. Liu, and A. Zunger, Bridging the gap between atomic microstructure and electronic properties of alloys: The case of (In,Ga)N, Phys. Rev. B 82, 045112 (2010).
- [30] M. J. Galtrey, R. A. Oliver, M. J. Kappers, C. J. Humphreys, P. H. Clifton, D. Larson, D. W. Saxey, and A. Cerezo, Threedimensional atom probe analysis of green-and blue-emitting multiple quantum well structures, J. Appl. Phys. **104**, 013524 (2008).
- [31] F. Tang, T. Zhu, F. Oehler, W. Y. Fu, J. T. Griffiths, F. C. P. Massabuau, M. J. Kappers, T. L. Martin, P. A. J. Bagot, M. P. Moody, and R. A. Oliver, Indium clustering in *a*-plane InGaN quantum wells as evidenced by atom probe tomography, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 072104 (2015).
- [32] G. H. Gu, C. G. Park, and K. B. Nam, Inhomogeneity of a highly efficient InGaN based blue LED studied by three-dimensional atom probe tomography, Phys. Status Solidi RRL 3, 100 (2009).
- [33] C. J. Humphreys, J. T. Griffiths, F. Tang, F. Oehler, S. D. Findlay, C. Zheng, J. Etheridge, T. L. Martin, P. A. J. Bagot, M. P. Moody, D. Sutherland, P. Dawson, S. Schulz, S. Zhang, W. Y. Fu, T. Zhu, M. J. Kappers, and R. A. Oliver, The atomic structure of polar and non-polar InGaN quantum wells and the green gap problem, Ultramicroscopy **176**, 93 (2017).
- [34] P. Li, B. Bonef, M. Khoury, G. Lheureux, H. Li, J. Kang, S. Nakamura, and S. P. DenBaars, Carrier dynamics of two distinct localized centers in 530 nm InGaN green light-emitting diodes, Superlattices Microstruct. 113, 684 (2018).
- [35] B. Bonef, M. Catalano, C. Lund, S. P. Denbaars, S. Nakamura, U. K. Mishra, M. J. Kim, and S. Keller, Indium segregation in N-Polar InGaN quantum wells evidenced by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy and atom probe tomography, Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 143101 (2017).
- [36] A. B. Yankovich, A. V. Kvit, X. Li, F. Zhang, V. Avrutin, H. Liu, N. Izyumskaya, Ü. Özgür, B. Van Leer, H. Morkoç, and P. M. Voyles, Thickness variations and absence of lateral compositional fluctuations in aberration-corrected STEM images of InGaN LED active regions at low dose, Microsc, Microanal. 20, 864 (2014).
- [37] K. H. Baloch, A. C. Johnston-Peck, K. kisslinger, E. A. Stach, and S. Gradečak, Revisiting the "In-Clustering" question in InGaN through the use of aberration-corrected electron microscopy below the knock-on threshold, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 191910 (2013).
- [38] T. Schulz, T. Remmele, T. Markurt, M. Korytov, and M. Albrecht, Analysis of statistical compositional alloy fluctuations in InGaN from aberration corrected transmission electron microscopy image series, J. Appl. Phys. **112**, 033106 (2012).
- [39] P. P. Michałowski, E. Grzanka, S. Grzanka, A. Lachowski, G. Staszczak, J. Plesiewicz, M. Leszczyński, and A. Turos, In-

dium concentration fluctuations in InGaN/GaN quantum wells, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. **34**, 1718 (2019).

- [40] A. Rosenauer, T. Mehrtens, K. Müller, K. Gries, M. Schowalter, P. Venkata Satyam, S. Bley, C. Tessarek, D. Hommel, K. Sebald, M. Seyfried, J. Gutowski, A. Avramescu, K. Engl, and S. Lutgen, Composition mapping in InGaN by scanning transmission electron microscopy, Ultramicroscopy 111, 1316 (2011).
- [41] C. J. Humphreys, Does In form In-rich clusters in InGaN quantum wells? Philos. Mag. 87, 1971 (2007).
- [42] P. Specht and C. Kisielowski, On the chemical homogeneity of  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  Alloys—electron microscopy at the edge of technical limits, Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process. **65**, 24 (2017).
- [43] T. Lindeberg, Scale selection properties of generalized scalespace interest point detectors, J. Math. Imaging Vis. 46, 177 (2013).
- [44] N. Chenouard *et al.*, Objective Comparison of Particle Tracking Methods, Nat. Methods 11, 281 (2014).
- [45] C. N. Boccara, M. Nardin, F. Stella, J. O'Neill, and J. Csicsvari, The entorhinal cognitive map is attracted to goals, Science 363, 1443 (2019).
- [46] L. Zhang, K. Hong Lee, I. M. Riko, C.-C. Huang, A. Kadir, S. J. Chua, and E. Fitzgerald, MOCVD growth of GaN on SEMI-Spec 200 mm Si related content prospects of III-nitride optoelectronics grown on curvature evolution of 200 mm diameter GaN-on-insulator wafer fabricated through metalorganic chemical vapor deposition and bonding, Semicond. Sci. Technol. **32**, 065001 (2017).
- [47] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.024605 for more details on EELS compositional quantification, multiscale modelling of InGaN QWs and detection of compositional fluctuations using LoG.
- [48] P. G. Moses and C. G. Van De Walle, Band bowing and band alignment in InGaN alloys, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 021908 (2010).
- [49] S. Zhang, J. J. Shi, M. Zhang, M. Yang, and J. Li, Firstprinciples investigation on optical properties of GaN and InGaN alloys, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 44, 495304 (2011).
- [50] J. M. Sanchez, F. Ducastelle, and D. Gratias, Generalized Cluster Description of Multicomponent Systems, Phys. A (Amsterdam, Neth.) 128, 334 (1984).
- [51] Z. Deng, G. Sai Gautam, S. Krishna Kolli, J.-N. Chotard, A. K. Cheetham, C. Masquelier, and P. Canepa, Phase behavior in rhombohedral NaSiCON electrolytes and electrodes, Chem. Mater. 32, 7908 (2020).
- [52] I. Lobato and D. Van Dyck, MULTEM: A new multislice program to perform accurate and fast electron diffraction and imaging simulations using graphics processing units with CUDA, Ultramicroscopy 156, 9 (2015).
- [53] I. Lobato, S. van Aert, and J. Verbeeck, Progress and new advances in simulating electron microscopy datasets using MULTEM, Ultramicroscopy 168, 17 (2016).
- [54] Y. Huang, A. Melton, B. Jampana, M. Jamil, J. H. Ryou, R. D. Dupuis, and I. T. Ferguson, Compositional instability in strained InGaN epitaxial layers induced by kinetic effects, J. Appl. Phys. 110, 064908 (2011).
- [55] S. Yu. Karpov, Suppression of phase separation in InGaN due to elastic strain, MRS Internet J. Nitride Semicond. Res. 3, e16 (1998).

- [56] C. Tessarek, S. Figge, T. Aschenbrenner, S. Bley, A. Rosenauer, M. Seyfried, J. Kalden, K. Sebald, J. Gutowski, and D. Hommel, Strong phase separation of strained  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  layers due to spinodal and binodal decomposition: Formation of stable quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 115316 (2011).
- [57] L. Teles, J. Furthmüller, L. Scolfaro, J. Leite, and F. Bechstedt, First-principles calculations of the thermodynamic and structural properties of strained In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N and Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>N alloys, Phys. Rev. B 62, 2475 (2000).
- [58] J. Yang, D. G. Zhao, D. S. Jiang, P. Chen, J. J. Zhu, Z. S. Liu, L. C. Le, X. J. Li, X. G. He, J. P. Liu, H. Yang, Y. T. Zhang, and G. T. Du, Optical and structural characteristics of high indium content InGaN/GaN multi-quantum wells with varying GaN cap layer thickness, J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 055709 (2015).
- [59] T. Schulz, L. Lymperakis, M. Anikeeva, M. Siekacz, P. Wolny, T. Markurt, and M. Albrecht, Influence of strain on the indium incorporation in (0001) GaN, Phys. Rev. Mater. 4, 073404 (2020).
- [60] A. I. Duff, L. Lymperakis, and J. Neugebauer, Understanding and controlling indium incorporation and surface segregation on  $In_xGa_{1-x}N$  surfaces: An *ab initio* approach, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 085307 (2014).
- [61] S. Schulz, M. A. Caro, C. O. Coughlan, and E. P. Reilly, Atomistic analysis of the impact of alloy and well-width fluctuations on the electronic and optical properties of InGaN/GaN quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 91, 035439 (2015).
- [62] L. J. Nelson, V. Ozoliņš, O. Ozoliņš, S. Reese, F. Zhou, and G. L. W. Hart, Cluster expansion made easy with Bayesian compressive sensing, Phys. Rev. B 88, 155105 (2013).
- [63] A. Van Der Ven, J. C. Thomas, Q. Xu, and J. Bhattacharya, Linking the electronic structure of solids to their thermodynamic and kinetic properties, Math. Comput. Simul. 80, 1393 (2010).
- [64] B. Puchala and A. Van Der Ven, Thermodynamics of the Zr-O System from first-principles calculations, Phys. Rev. B 88, 094108 (2013).
- [65] J. C. Thomas and A. Van Der Ven, Finite-Temperature Properties of Strongly Anharmonic and Mechanically Unstable Crystal Phases from First Principles, Phys. Rev. B 88, 214111 (2013).
- [66] CASM, v0.2.1 (2017). Available from https://Github.Com/ Prisms-Center/CASMcode, doi: 10.5281/Zenodo.546148.
- [67] R. Nityananda, P. Hohenberg, and W. Kohn, Inhomogeneous electron gas, Resonance 22, 809 (2017).
- [68] W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- [69] J. Sun, A. Ruzsinszky, and J. Perdew, Strongly Constrained and Appropriately Normed Semilocal Density Functional, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 036402 (2015).

- [70] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for AB INITIO total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [71] G. Kresse, *Abinitio* molecular dynamics for liquid metals, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **192–193**, 222 (1995).
- [72] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [73] P. E. Blochl, Projector augmented wave method, Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [74] A. Van De Walle and M. Asta, Self-driven lattice-model Monte Carlo simulations of alloy thermodynamic properties and phase diagrams, Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 10, 521 (2002).
- [75] L. A. Giannuzzi, B. W. Kempshall, S. M. Schwarz, J. K. Lomness, B. I. Prenitzer, and F. A Stevie, FIB lift-out specimen preparation techniques, in *Introduction to Focused Ion Beams: Instrumentation, Theory, Techniques and Practice*, edited by L. A. Giannuzzi and F. A. Stevie (Springer US, Boston, 2005), pp. 201–228.
- [76] R. F. Egerton and R. F. Egerton, An introduction to EELS, in *Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy in the Electron Microscope* (Springer US, Boston, 2011), pp. 1–28.
- [77] A. Gubbens, M. Barfels, C. Trevor, R. Twesten, P. Mooney, P. Thomas, N. Menon, B. Kraus, C. Mao, and B. Mcginn, The GIF Quantum, a next generation post-column imaging energy filter, Ultramicroscopy 110, 962 (2010).
- [78] C. C. Ahn, O. L. Krivanek, R. P. Burger, M. M. Disko, and P. R. Swann, EELS Atlas: A Reference Collection of Electron Energy Loss Spectra Covering All Stable Elements (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, 1983).
- [79] R. D. Leapman and C. R. Swyt, Separation of overlapping core edges in electron energy loss spectra by multiple-least-squares fitting, Ultramicroscopy 26, 393 (1988).
- [80] J. Dan, X. Zhao, and S. J. Pennycook, A Machine perspective of atomic defects in scanning transmission electron microscopy, InfoMat 1, 359 (2019).
- [81] S. der Walt, J. L. Schönberger, J. Nunez-Iglesias, F. Boulogne, J. D. Warner, N. Yager, E. Gouillart, and T. Yu, SCIKIT-IMAGE: Image processing in PYTHON, PeerJ 2, e453 (2014).
- [82] https://github.com/caneparesearch/scikit-image/tree/glog.
- [83] S. K. Lim, M. Brewster, F. Qian, Y. Li, C. M. Lieber, and S. Gradečak, Direct correlation between structural and optical properties of III-V nitride nanowire heterostructures with nanoscale resolution, Nano Lett. 9, 3940 (2009).
- [84] P. Hirel, ATOMSK: A tool for manipulating and converting atomic data files, Comput. Phys. Commun. 197, 212 (2015).
- [85] S. J. Pennycook and P. D. Nellist, *Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy: Imaging and Analysis* (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin, 2011).
- [86] https://www.nscc.sg.
- [87] https://nusit.nus.edu.sg/hpc/.