Large spin-driven dielectric response and magnetoelectric coupling in the buckled honeycomb Fe₄Nb₂O₉

Lei Ding⁽⁰⁾,^{1,*} Minseong Lee⁽⁰⁾,² Eun Sang Choi,² Jing Zhang,³ Yan Wu⁽⁰⁾,¹ Ryan Sinclair,⁴ Bryan C. Chakoumakos⁽⁰⁾,¹ Yisheng Chai,³ Haidong Zhou⁽⁰⁾,^{4,2} and Huibo Cao⁽⁰⁾,[†]

¹Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

²National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-4005, USA

³Low Temperature Physics Laboratory, College of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-1200, USA

(Received 1 May 2020; revised 21 June 2020; accepted 7 July 2020; published 6 August 2020)

We present the significant spin-driven dielectric anomaly (~40% drop) and magnetoelectric coupling near the magnetic ordering temperature in single crystal Fe₄Nb₂O₉. By combining neutron and x-ray single crystal diffraction techniques, we unambiguously determined its magnetic symmetry and studied the structural phase transition at $T_S = 70$ K. The temperature-dependent static dielectric constant is strongly anisotropic, rendering two dielectric anomalies along the *a* axis in the hexagonal lattice with the first one coupled to the magnetic ordering around $T_N = 97$ K and the second one accompanying with a first-order structural transition around $T_S = 70$ K. Below T_N , we found that the anomalous dielectric constant is practically proportional to the square of the magnetic moment from neutron diffraction data, indicating that the exchange striction is likely responsible for the strong spin-lattice coupling. Magnetic-field-induced magnetoelectric coupling was observed and is compatible with the determined magnetic structure that is characteristic of antiferromagnetically arranged ferromagnetic chains in the honeycomb plane. We propose that such magnetic symmetry should be immune to external magnetic fields to some extent favored by the freedom of rotation of moments in the honeycomb plane, laying out a promising system to control the magnetoelectric properties by magnetic fields.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.084403

I. INTRODUCTION

The linear magnetoelectric (ME) effect, which defines the control of electric polarization by an external magnetic field or the magnetization by an electric field [1,2], has attracted considerable interest because of its importance in understanding the novel types of ferroic order [3-6] in solids and potential applications in spintronics [4,7-10]. For the presence of the linear ME effect in a specific material, the symmetry requires the breaking of both spatial inversion and time reversal [3]. In this regards, the linear ME effect can be predicted by symmetry considerations given the magnetic symmetry of a system is clearly known [1,11]. A family of materials $A_4Nb_2O_9$ (A = Mn, Co) belonging to the corundum-type structure has been found in their powder forms to show the magnetoelectric effect for several decades [12]. They crystallize with the α -Al₂O₃-type trigonal crystal structure with the space group $P\bar{3}c1$ [13,14] [see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] and can be regarded as a derivative of Cr₂O₃, one of the first predicted and discovered room temperature ME materials [15–19]. The magnetic structures of both Mn and Co cases were first determined by Bertaut et al. in Ref. [14] where both of them can be simply described by an antiferromagnetically coupled collinear ferromagnetic Co^{2+} chains with the moments along

2475-9953/2020/4(8)/084403(9)

the *c*-axis. Originally, this symmetry allows a linear ME effect and seems to be consistent with the observed linear ME response in the powder samples [12,20,21].

Recently, this family has attracted some renewed interest because of the successful growth of Co₄Nb₂O₉ single crystals and the finding of manipulations of its ME effect via external magnetic fields [22]. Single crystal neutron diffraction experiments have revealed a different magnetic structure with all moments in the *ab* plane [23]. This allows different magnetoelectric effect terms as observed in the electric polarization measurement on a single crystal [13,23,24]. Fe₄Nb₂O₉ emerging as a new member in this family was recently reported to show the magnetoelectric coupling accompanied by a longrange antiferromagnetic ordering below 90 K and an anomaly at 77 K in the dielectric permittivity curve on a powder sample [25]. It was later shown in Ref. [26] that the magnetic structure is described by collinearly arranged moments in the *ab* plane which can be assigned in either C2/c' or C2'/c magnetic symmetry through a powder neutron diffraction experiment. To elucidate the exact magnetic symmetry that is vital in explaining the magnetoelectric coupling, single crystal neutron diffraction is required.

In contrast to the previous works on a powder $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ sample, in this work, the availability of the sizable single crystal allows us to study the magnetoelectric coupling in different crystal directions and elucidate the magnetic ground state using the single crystal neutron diffraction technique. We show the large spin-driven dielectric anomaly in the

^{*}dingl@ornl.gov

[†]caoh@ornl.gov



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic drawing of the crystal structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ at room temperature viewing along the [110] direction. (b) The slightly buckled honeycomb layer is formed by Fe1 atoms. (c) Observed and calculated single crystal x-ray diffraction data at room temperature with the goodness-of-fit $\chi^2 = 0.94$ and *R* factor $R_{F^2} = 3.15\%$.

dielectric constant curves that exhibit the obvious anisotropy, and the observation of electric polarization under the applied magnetic field around the Néel temperature in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. The observed magnetoelectric coupling is in good agreement with the determined magnetic symmetry C2/c' that allows the presence of linear ME effect with both diagonal and off-diagonal components.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Single crystals of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ were grown by the travelingsolvent floating-zone (TSFZ) technique. The feed and seed rods for the crystal growth were prepared by solid state reaction. Appropriate mixtures of Fe₂O₃, Fe, and Nb₂O₅ were ground together and pressed into 6-mm diameter \times 60 mm rods under 400-atm hydrostatic pressure and then calcined in argon at 1100 C for 24 h. The crystal growth was carried out in argon in an IR-heated image furnace (NEC) equipped with two halogen lamps and double ellipsoidal mirrors with feed and seed rods rotating in opposite directions at 25 rpm during crystal growth at a rate of 4 mm/h.

Single-crystal x-ray diffraction data were collected down to 40 K using a Rigaku XtaLAB PRO diffractometer with the graphite monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) equipped with a HyPix-6000HE detector and an Oxford N-HeliX cryocooler. Peak indexing and integration were done using the RIGAKU OXFORD DIFFRACTION CRYSALISPRO software [27]. An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SCALE3 ABSPACK algorithm as implemented in CRYSALISPRO. [28]. Structure refinement was done using FULLPROF Suite [29].

The dc magnetization curves were obtained using the magnetic property measurement system (MPMS) superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer from Quantum Design. For the dielectric constant measurements, single crystals were used whose orientations were determined by Laue diffraction. Two single crystalline samples were polished to achieve two parallel flat surfaces perpendicular to the *a* and *c* axes, respectively. An Andeen-Hagerling AH-2700A commercial capacitance bridge was used to measure the capacitance, which was converted to dielectric constant. This measurement employed electric fields of 21 and 14.6 kV/m for E||a| and E||c| configuration, respectively.

The temperature dependence of electric polarization was measured under various magnetic fields. Prior to the pyroelectric current measurements, the crystal was subjected to a magnetoelectric annealing procedure to obtain a single magnetic domain. This procedure was performed by cooling the sample from 99 to 77 K with the simultaneously applied magnetic field H||a and electric field $E||c|(E = \pm 183 \text{ kV/m})$. For the measurement of reverse magnetic field, a magnetic field sweeping from 9 to -9 T at 77 K was employed. Then, the electric field *E* was turned off at 77 K, and the electrodes were shorted. The pyroelectric current was recorded on warming at a rate of 1 K/min from 77 to 99 K using a Keithley 6517B electrometer. The electric polarization was calculated by integrating the measured pyroelectric current as a function of time.

Single-crystal neutron diffraction was performed at the HB-3A Four-Circle Diffractometer (FCD) equipped with a two-dimensional (2D) detector at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Neutron wavelength of 1.003 Å (neutron energy 81 meV) was used with a bent perfect Si-331 monochromator [30]. The nuclear and magnetic structure refinements were performed using the FULLPROF suite package [29].

III. RESULTS

A. Crystal structure at room temperature

The availability of single crystal Fe₄Nb₂O₉ enabled us to carefully examine its crystal structure using both single crystal neutron and x-ray diffraction techniques, hence providing more precise crystal structural parameters. Room temperature x-ray single crystal diffraction data show that Fe₄Nb₂O₉ crystallizes with the space group $P\bar{3}c1$, in good agreement with the previous reports [25,26]. The refinement result based on the x-ray diffraction data with a comparison between the observed and the calculated squared structure factor is shown in Fig. 1(c). Single crystal neutron diffraction at 120 K confirms the space group $P\bar{3}c1$ and indicates a good quality of the single crystal. The refined structural parameters were summarized in Table I. As shown in Fig. 1, the crystal structure is characterized by an alternate stacking of slightly buckled Fe1O₆ honeycomb and strongly buckled Fe2O₆ honeycomb layers along the c axis. The nearest-neighbor interactions (J1) between Fe cations are along the c axis with the Fe1-Fe2 distance $d_1 = 2.979(1)$ Å through a superexchange path Fe1-O1-Fe2 [91.8(2)°] between the two edge-sharing FeO₆ as shown in Fig. 8(c).

B. Magnetic, dielectric properties, and magnetoelectric coupling

The magnetic susceptibility of a $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ single crystal was measured with both zero field cooling (ZFC) and field

TABLE I. The refined structural parameters of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ based on both single-crystal x-ray diffraction (XRD) at room temperature and neutron diffraction (ND) data at 120 K. [Space group: $P\bar{3}c1$, a = b = 5.2118(3)Å, c = 14.2037(8)Å, $R_{F^2}(x-ray) =$ 3.15%, $R_{F^2}(neutron) = 6.76\%$, B_{iso} in $1/(8\pi^2)$ Å²].

Atom	methods	x	у	z	B _{iso}
Nb1	XRD	0	0	0.14251(6)	0.56(1)
	ND			0.14266(2)	0.67(8)
Fe2	XRD	1/3	2/3	0.19325(6)	0.77(2)
	ND			0.1933(2)	0.82(8)
Fe1	XRD	1/3	2/3	0.98522(6)	0.72(2)
	ND			0.9860(1)	0.61(7)
01	XRD	0.6625(6)	0.9767(7)	0.0847(2)	0.85(5)
	ND	0.6609(3)	0.9763(5)	0.0852(1)	0.72(7)
O2	XRD	0.2837(9)	0	1/4	0.75(6)
	ND	0.2853(6)		,	0.64(8)

cooling (FC) protocols on heating along the *a* and *c* axes. The evident difference between the magnetic susceptibility curves along the two directions indicates a strong easy-plane anisotropy. This suggests that the magnetic moments are largely constrained in the *ab* plane. Upon cooling, a single anomaly around 93 K featured by a bifurcation between ZFC and FC curves was observed for both directions (Fig. 2), which is indicative of a long-range antiferromagnetic transition around $T_N = 97$ K shown in the neutron diffraction section, in accordance with the results previously reported on powder samples [25,26]. A rather small upturn below 5 K is probably due to the presence of the impurity FeNb₂O₆ [31] that is too small to be detected by the x-ray and neutron diffraction techniques.

To study the dielectric properties of Fe₄Nb₂O₉, the frequency-dependent dielectric constants (ε') were measured on the single crystal with an electric field along the *a* or *c* axis. As shown in Fig. 3, the dielectric constant $\varepsilon'(T)$ along the *a* axis is much larger than that along the *c* axis, reflecting



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ with a magnetic field μ_0 H = 0.2 T parallel to the *a* and *c* axes under both ZFC and FC protocols.



FIG. 3. The temperature-dependent dielectric constant at various frequencies with the electric field E parallel to the a and c axes, respectively.

80

Temperature (K)

100 120 140 160

60

22

20

18

0

20

40

the presence of strong anisotropy of dielectric properties in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. This is in fact consistent with the magnetic easy-plane anisotropy observed in the magnetic susceptibility curves. An anomalous change in the slope of dielectric constant is clearly discerned occurring at the Néel temperature for E || a, but is invisible for E || c. The in-plane dielectric constant signals a much more sensitive response to the spin ordering than the magnetic susceptibility. On further cooling, both $\varepsilon'_{a}(T)$ and $\varepsilon'_{c}(T)$ curves decrease. However, only $\varepsilon'_{a}(T)$ shows an obvious bump around $T_S = 70$ K, which is accompanied by a considerable thermal hysteresis behavior. This suggests the first-order nature of the crystal structural transition also observed in the previous work [26]. Below T_N the dielectric constant reduces greatly and yields a significant drop $(\sim 40\%)$ as the temperature is lowered to 4.2 K. This dielectric response to the spin ordering, as will be discussed later, is substantially larger than that in antiferromagnets reported hitherto, indicating a strong correlation between magnetism and the dielectric properties in Fe₄Nb₂O₉.

TABLE II. The refined structural parameters of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ with single crystal x-ray diffraction data at 40 K. Space group: C2/c, lattice parameters a = 9.0861(6)Å, b = 5.1970(4)Å, c = 14.234(1)Å, $\beta = 91.294(3)^{\circ}$. $\chi^2 = 1.45$. B_{iso} has unit of $1/(8\pi^2)$ Å².

Atom	x	у	Z	B _{iso}
Nb1	0.0010(3)	-0.0004(3)	0.64284(6)	0.87(2)
Fe2	0.8325(4)	0.4994(4)	0.6926(1)	0.85(3)
Fe1	0.8337(4)	0.5001(4)	0.4855(1)	0.86(3)
01	0.845(3)	0.821(2)	0.0863(9)	0.7(2)
O2	0.672(3)	0.355(2)	0.0858(8)	1.5(2)
O3	0.986(3)	0.328(2)	0.0833(8)	0.7(1)
O4	0	0.722(2)	1/4	0.7(2)
05	0.141(3)	0.145(2)	0.250(1)	0.9(2)

Magnetodielectric response was considered to be a definitive and experimentally accessible method to recognize linear magnetoelectric materials [32]. This method can circumvent the experimental difficulties typically in the pyroelectric current measurements. We thus evaluated the magnetoelectric coupling in Fe₄Nb₂O₉ single crystal by measuring the magnetodielectric response. Figure 4 shows the dielectric constant as a function of magnetic field with a fixed frequency f=20 kHz. Three configurations E||H||a, E||H||c, and E||c, $H \| a$ were used to measure the magnetodielectric response. For the configuration $E \| c, H \| a$, a peak in the $\varepsilon'(T)$ curve was observed around T_N , which becomes stronger with increasing magnetic field. A small magnetic-field-induced change at T_N in the $\varepsilon'(T)$ was observed for E ||H|| a configuration. The applied magnetic field up to 7 T does not affect the thermal hysteresis around T_S . No anomaly was observed up to 7 T for E ||H|| c configuration. These observations indicate a considerable magnetoelectric coupling in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. Note that the dielectric constant decreases at high temperatures when applying the magnetic field. This discrepancy seems considerable at high temperatures and can be attributed to the existence of the conductivity from impurity or thermally excited carriers.

To study the ME coupling, we measured the electric polarization of Fe₄Nb₂O₉. Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the pyroelectric current and polarization at different magnetic fields with $E \parallel c$, $H \parallel a$. For the measured current in the absence of the magnetic field, we observed a broad bump across the magnetic transition that we considered as a background signal. No peak in the pyroelectric current curve was observed in the absence of an external magnetic field, suggesting that Fe₄Nb₂O₉ is not polar around the magnetic phase transition. However, when a magnetic field of 4 T is applied, the induced current appears in the vicinity of the magnetic phase transition. The induced current becomes stronger with increasing magnetic field. By reversing the annealing electric field, we were able to change the sign of the induced current. The temperature dependence of electric polarization was then obtained by integrating the pyroelectric current with respect to the time. As shown in the lower panel in Fig. 5, the electric polarization monotonically increases with the increase of the magnetic field. The observed maximum polarization value is about 32 μ C/m² under 9 T, comparable to that



FIG. 4. Magnetic field dependence of the dielectric constant of $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ with magnetic and electric fields applied to different configurations. Inset shows the magnified view of the the magnetoelectric coupling.

in the counterpart magnetoelectric $Co_4Nb_2O_9$ [13,23] in the same magnetic and electric configuration. A magnetic-field-dependent polarization curve apparently reveals the linear feature, reflecting that the linear ME effect is dominant in



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the pyroelectric current (top panel) and the corresponding polarization (bottom panel) under various magnetic fields. The observable magnetoelectric coupling occurs below 96 K, close to the magnetic transition temperature of 97 K. Inset shows the linear behavior of the polarization as a function of magnetic field.

Fe₄Nb₂O₉. We also examined the influence of the reverse magnetic field on the electric polarization. As shown in Fig. 5, a reverse magnetic field of 9 T can make the polarization reverse. Note that the bump feature in the absence of magnetic field is not reversed, indicating the background nature of the μ_0 H = 0 T data.

C. Low temperature crystal and magnetic structures determination

To check the crystal structure at low temperatures, we performed single crystal x-ray (down to 40 K) and neutron diffraction (down to 5 K) experiments at various temperatures. For the neutron diffraction data, rocking curve scans around Bragg peaks (0 1 0), (0 2 0), (0 3 0), and (1 1 3) at 5 K reveal obvious peak splittings, indicating a monoclinic structural transition [26]. Two representative reflections (0 1 0) and (0 2 0) as a function of temperature are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). To solve the crystal structure at low temperatures, we performed the group-subgroup analysis using tools at the Bilbao Crystallographic Server. In a sort of symmetry-related maximal subgroups of $P\bar{3}c1$, only subgroup C2/c with the index of 3 is monoclinic. The structure was then refined in this space group using the single crystal x-ray diffraction data at 40 K (Table II). Figure 6 shows the result of the refinement ($\chi^2 = 1.45$) as well as the schematic drawings of the corresponding crystal structure at 40 K. It appears that the crystal structure at 40 K is just slightly distorted from the high



FIG. 6. (a, b) Crystal structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ projected along the *b* and *c* directions. (c) Observed structure factor squared values of diffraction peaks compared to those calculated by fitting the *C*2/*c* monoclinic structural model at 40 K with the goodness-of-fit $\chi^2 = 1.45$ and *R* factor $R_{F^2} = 5.86\%$. (d) Visualization of the atomic displacements of the primary GM3+ irrep (amplitude: 0.1124 Å) from the symmetry adapted mode analysis projected into the *ab* plane, accounting for the $P\bar{3}c1$ to C2/c transition. Arrows denote the polarization vectors of the symmetry modes of the O atomic displacements with moduli proportional to the amplitude.

temperature phase. Atoms O1 and O2 in the $P\bar{3}c1$ structure were split into five atomic positions in the low temperature phase, demonstrating the largest atomic displacements. To illustrate the O atomic displacements compared to the parent structure ($P\bar{3}c1$) we conducted the symmetry mode analysis



FIG. 7. (a)–(c) Contour plots of the neutron diffraction reflections (0 1 0), (0 2 0), and (0 0 4) as a function of temperature. (d) Temperature dependence of the (0 0 4) peak intensity. The solid lines stand for the power-law fits in different temperature regimes.

by considering the two space groups using AMPLIMODES [33]. The decomposition of the low temperature structure in respect of the symmetrized displacive modes of the parent $P\bar{3}c1$ structure yields a set of symmetry-adapted distorted modes that are associated with two irreducible representation(irreps) GM1+ (order parameter direction: *a*, amplitude: 0.0649 Å) and GM3+ (order parameter direction: (-0.5a, 0.866*a*), amplitude: 0.1124 Å). Through the analysis, we found that GM3+ transforms all the primary modes. We drew the displacements corresponding to each symmetry mode of all O atoms (which form the distorted octahedra FeO₆) in Fig. 6(d) which shows that the largest distortions/displacements occur mainly in the *ab* plane.

Although the magnetic structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ was previously reported by studying a powder sample using neutron diffraction, the exact magnetic symmetry is still unclear as powder neutron diffraction can not distinguish the magnetic space groups C2'/c and C2/c' [26]. We carried out single crystal neutron diffraction on Fe₄Nb₂O₉ to clearly sort out this problem. Even though Fe₄Nb₂O₉ undergoes a crystal structure phase transition at 70 K with splittings of some specific Bragg peaks, no anomaly was observed in the magnetic reflections. indicating that the magnetic symmetry is preserved upon cooling. Therefore, hereafter, we will describe the magnetic structure in the parent structure. As shown in Figs. 7(a)and 7(c), below 97 K, the peak intensities of $(0 \ 1 \ 0)$ and $(0\ 0\ 4)$ increase with decreasing temperature, signaling the onset of the magnetic transition. Since reflection (0 0 4) is not contaminated by the crystal structural transition, it was chosen to track the order parameter of the magnetic transition. The reflection (0 0 4) can be fitted by an empirical power-law equation $I = A(\frac{T_N - T}{T_N})^{2\beta} + B$ [34,35] where T_N is the Néel temperature, A is a proportionality constant, β is the order parameter critical exponent, and B is the background. We fitted the intensity in two temperature regimes. In the temperature range of 10–115 K, the fit yields $T_N = 96.7(5)$ K and a critical exponent 0.216(9), as shown in Fig. 7(d) (power-law fit 1). Fitting the data in the temperature range of 65–115 K [power-law fit 2 in Fig. 7(d)] gives a similar critical exponent 0.23(3). This value is close to the characteristic critical exponent $\beta = 0.231$ for a two-dimensional XY model [34–36], reflecting that the anisotropy is planar, in good agreement with the spin configuration from the neutron diffraction analysis in the following paragraph. At 5 K, all observed magnetic reflections were well indexed by a vector $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$, consistent with that found in the powder sample [26].

A set of magnetic subgroups that are compatible with the given space group and the propagation vector was obtained through the symmetry analysis using BILBAO CRYSTALLO-GRAPHIC SERVER (MAGNETIC SYMMETRY AND APPLICATIONS [33]) software. The magnetic structures containing trigonal symmetry imply a magnetic configuration with the moments along the *c*-axis, inconsistent with our experimentally measured magnetic reflections such as $(0\ 0\ 4)$ and thermodynamic measurements. Thus, we have to lower the symmetry in the magnetic subgroup hierarchy. This gives four monoclinic magnetic subgroups C2'/c', C2/c', C2/c, and C2/c. Without refining our neutron data, one can rule out the subgroups



FIG. 8. (a) Observed intensity of all magnetic peaks at 5 K compared to those calculated by fitting the magnetic structural model. (b,c) The ground-state magnetic structure of $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ in the hexagonal lattice setting. The solid yellow line marks the nearest-neighboring exchange interaction while the solid blue line represents the next-nearest-neighboring exchange interaction J2.

C2'/c' and C2/c by applying Neumann's principle to the magnetoelectric effect. Basically, both of them do not allow the linear magneoelectric effect. We tested the remaining magnetic models using the single crystal neutron diffraction data. The model corresponding to the subgroup C2/c' yields a satisfactory fit to our data ($R_F = 4.43\%$ and $\chi^2 = 5.13$), as shown in Fig. 8(a). In fact, another reason of precluding the other candidate C2'/c is based on the magnetoelectric coupling experimentally observed in $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ since the C2'/csubgroup allows only four off-diagonal terms. Therefore, we can conclude that the magnetic structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ is described by the magnetic space group C2/c'. Figures 8(b) and 8(c) show the magnetic structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉, which is manifested as antiferromagnetically coupled ferromagnetic chains along the c axis with all spins confined into the ab plane. In particular, the nearest-neighboring Fe atoms, i.e., Fe1 and Fe2 order ferromagnetically. This pair forms a small canting angle 5.81° with its adjacent Fe1-Fe2 pair in the chain. This canting angle is roughly two times smaller than that in $Co_4Nb_2O_9$ [23]. The refined magnetic moment at 5 K is 3.52(4) μ_B for both the Fe1 and Fe2 sites. This value is slightly smaller than the theoretical ordered spin-only value 4 μ_B for Fe²⁺ with a high spin state. Due to the existence of the trigonal lattice symmetry, three magnetic domains were considered and their populations were set to be equal during the refinement.

IV. DISCUSSION

We established that $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ undergoes an antiferromagnetic phase transition around 97 K, followed by a structural transition at 70 K. By combining x-ray and neutron diffraction, we solved the crystal structure and magnetic symmetry at low temperatures. The magnetic structure is featured by the ferromagnetic chain with a small canting between each adjacent Fe1-Fe2 pair. This indicates that the dominant exchange interaction J1 is ferromagnetic. Owing to the presence of the antiferromagnetic exchange interactions (J2) between Fe1 atoms in the slightly buckled honeycomb, the ferromagnetic chains are coupled antiferromagnetically. The strong competition between the two sorts of exchange interactions is likely responsible for the small canting angle between each ferromagnetic Fe1-Fe2 pair. The magnetoelectric coupling of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ can be appreciated by symmetry analysis in light of the determined magnetic structure. Imposed by the magnetic symmetry C2/c', the magnetoelectric coupling tensor has in principle five nonzero terms: α_{xx} , α_{xz} , α_{yy} , α_{zx} , α_{zz} . Our magnetodielectric and electric polarization measurements along the different directions of the crystal have shown that the strongest term is α_{zx} and the term α_{xx} is small while the term α_{zz} is null. The off-diagonal component α_{zx} with a large value in principle allows the occurrence of the ferrotoroidal order in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. The experimental observation of the relevant ferrotoroidal domains through an essential magnetoelectric annealing process is greatly desired.

Let us discuss the dielectric anomalies in $\varepsilon'(T)$ curves without the magnetic field. In the vicinity of the magnetic phase transition, the dielectric anomaly was observed in $\varepsilon_a'(T)$, indicating a strong correlation between the spin ordering and the dielectric properties in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. Its absence in $\varepsilon'_{c}(T)$ further reflects that it is governed by exchange interactions in the *ab* plane. This is associated with the fact that all magnetic moments are confined in the *ab* plane. A dielectric anomaly near the magnetic phase transition has also been previously found in the isostructural $Co_4Nb_2O_9$ [37] in which the dielectric constant monotonically increases from the magnetic ordering temperature to 10 K (the lowest measured temperature) with about 0.2% increment. Different from Fe₄Nb₂O₉, this small dielectric response is field-dependent, suggesting different origins. Similar dielectric anomalies to Fe₄Nb₂O₉ were found in a diversity of antiferromagnets including classical antiferromagnetic MnO [38] and MnF₂ [39], linear magnetoelectric BaMnF₄ [40] and NdCrTiO₅ [41], multiferroic TbFe₃(BO₃)₄ [42], YMnO₃ and LuMnO₃ [43,44], magnetoelectric Pb₂MnO₄ [45], and quantum paraelectric EuTiO₃ [46]. As shown in Fig. 9, ferroelectric $TbFe_3(BO_3)_4$ [42], YMnO₃ and LuMnO₃[43,44] show a dielectric drop in the range of 3–5% near their spin ordering temperatures. This was believed to arise from the coupling between the polar modes and the magnetic ordering. A comparable dielectric drop $\sim 3.75\%$ near the magnetic ordering temperature has been reported in the incipient ferroelectric EuTiO₃ [46]. The low-temperature dielectric constant of EuTiO₃ significantly increases with the decrease of temperature and saturates with a large dielectric constant > 400 below approximately 30 K due to a soft mode type incipient ferroelectric behavior [46]. Its dielectric drop below 5.5 K was attributed to the coupling between the soft polar phonon mode and the localized Eu spins which allows a third-order magnetoelectric effect as confirmed experimentally [47]. In contrast to these ferroelectric materials, the dielectric constant ε_a' and ε_c' of Fe₄Nb₂O₉ monotonically decrease with decreasing temperature and show a rather smaller dielectric constant ($\varepsilon'_a \sim$ 40) around T_N . Fe₄Nb₂O₉ has a significantly larger dielectric response ($\sim 40\%$ drop) and stands out as a unique case. This makes Fe₄Nb₂O₉ evidently different from the incipient



FIG. 9. The dielectric change below T_N due to spin ordering in representative antiferromagnetic materials. Note that the dielectric change was evaluated using $(\varepsilon'_{T_N} - \varepsilon'_L) / \varepsilon'_{T_N}$ where ε'_L is the static dielectric constant at the lowest measured temperature for each case.

ferroelectric EuTiO₃. Note that due to the lack of a coupled soft phonon mode, the third-order ME effect is unlikely to occur in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. However, Fe₄Nb₂O₉ shares considerable similarities in dielectric properties with MnO which shows a decrease of the dielectric permittivity with $\sim 12\%$ below T_N and was explained by the presence of exchange striction due to the structural distortions driven by nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic interactions [38]. We found that the slope change in the dielectric constant curve in Fe₄Nb₂O₉ is analogous to that observed in MnO where the anomalous dielectric contribution below the Néel temperature is proportional to M^2 (M is the sublattice magnetization) [38]. We evaluated this proportionality. In Fe₄Nb₂O₉, the anomalous dielectric contribution can not be quantitatively evaluated since modeling the high temperature dielectric constant needs a comprehensive knowledge of the lattice polarizability [38]. However, because the lattice contribution at low temperatures is practically temperature-independent, it is not unreasonable to directly compare the dielectric constant and the magnetization below T_N . Figure 10 shows the comparison between the dielectric constant and the intensity of the magnetic reflection $(0 \ 0 \ 4)$. It is obvious that both curves are approximately proportional. This reflects that the occurrence of the dielectric anomaly exclusively in the ε'_a below T_N is likely due to the exchange striction. Another anomaly in the dielectric constant curve around $T_S = 70$ K featured by the thermal hysteresis is associated with the first-order crystal structure transition. As shown in Fig. 6(d), the symmetry mode analysis of the lower temperature phase with respect to the high-temperature phase gives significant O atomic displacements in the *ab* plane. Such a structural distortion unsurprisingly varies the dielectric constants. However, as we shown in Fig. 10, it is the magnetic ordering that dominates the formation of the giant dielectric response.

The nature of the exchange striction in $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ is unclear. Usually, it is accompanied by structural distortions



FIG. 10. Comparison between the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_a'(T)$ and the magnetic reflection (0 0 4) as a function of temperature. Note the peak intensity is proportional to the square of the magnetic moment in Fe₄Nb₂O₉.

or changes of the lattice dimension. However, such distortions may be small and local and cannot be observed by conventional diffraction methods. The total neutron scattering technique as a complementary tool has been used to successfully resolve the distorted crystal structure associated with the magnetic ordering in MnO using the reverse Monte Carlo method [48]. In this sense, high-resolution total neutron scattering experiments are needed to understand the dielectric anomaly in Fe₄Nb₂O₉. In addition, the origin of the structural phase transition appears to be associated with the magnetic ordering. The magnetic space group of $Fe_4Nb_2O_9$ is C2/c'which is identical, irrespective of the time reversal operator, to the lower temperature crystal structure C2/c. This poses a speculation that an "incipient" structural phase transition occurs at T_N , that may be related to the change of the frequency of some specific modes or phonon splittings due to the magnetic ordering [49]. Further inelastic neutron scattering by mapping out the phonon dispersion across the magnetic and structural phase transitions will be helpful to address this point.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we studied the dielectric properties, the magnetoelectric coupling, and the magnetic structure of Fe₄Nb₂O₉. The magnetic susceptibility and single crystal neutron diffraction showed an antiferromagnetic transition around 97 K described by the C2/c' magnetic space group with two antiparallel ferromagnetic chains totally confined in the ab plane. Along the c axis, a small but pivotal canting angle between each adjoining Fe1-Fe2 atoms was found. This magnetic symmetry probably triggers the occurrence of exchange striction that explains the large anomaly (~ 40% drop) in the dielectric constant curve in the a direction, and allows the linear ME effect as observed experimentally by the magnetodielectric response and electric polarization measurements. A hexagonal to monoclinic structural transition at $T_S = 70$ K has been revealed by combining neutron and x-ray single crystal diffraction and symmetryadapted mode analysis. Our work suggests that manipulation of the magnetoelectric coupling through an external magnetic field is practicable since the magnetic configuration in honeycomb Fe₄Nb₂O₉ makes the continuous rotation of the moments via a magnetic field robust.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Early Career Research Program Award KC0402010, under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. This research used resources at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by ORNL. The work at the University of Tennessee was supported by DOE under award DE-SC-0020254. A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779 and the State of Florida. The work at Chongqing University was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2020CDJQY-A056, 2018CDJDWL0011) and Projects of President Foundation of Chongqing University (2019CDXZWL002).

- [1] H. Schmid, Ferroelectrics 162, 317 (1994).
- [2] J.-P. Rivera, Eur. Phys. J. B 71, 299 (2009).
- [3] M. Fiebig, J. Phys. D 38, R123 (2005).
- [4] Y. Tokura, S. Seki, and N. Nagaosa, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 076501 (2014).
- [5] N. A. Spaldin, M. Fiebig, and M. Mostovoy, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 434203 (2008).
- [6] H. Schmid, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 434201 (2008).
- [7] J. Wang, J. B. Neaton, H. Zheng1, V. Nagarajan, S. B. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland, V. Vaithyanathan, D. G. Schlom, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, K. M. Rabe, M. Wuttig, and R. Ramesh, Science 299, 1719 (2003).
- [8] N. A. Spaldin, M. Fiebig, Science 309, 391 (2005).

- [9] W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Nature (London) 442, 759 (2006).
- [10] S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nat. Mater. 6, 13 (2007).
- [11] L. Ding, C. V. Colin, C. Darie, J. Robert, F. Gay, and P. Bordet, Phys. Rev. B 93, 064423 (2016).
- [12] E. Fischer, G. Gorodetsky, and R. M. Hornreich, Solid State Commu. 10, 1127 (1972).
- [13] N. D. Khanh, N. Abe, H. Sagayama, A. Nakao, T. Hanashima, R. Kiyanagi, Y. Tokunaga, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. B 93, 075117 (2016).
- [14] E. F. Bertaut, L. Corliss, F. Forrat, R. Aleonard, and R. Pauthenet, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 21, 234 (1961).
- [15] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. 37, 881 (1959) [Sov. Phys. JETP 10, 628 (1960)].

- [16] D. N. Astrov, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. 38, 984 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 708 (1960)].
- [17] A. Iyama and T. Kimura, Phys. Rev. B 87, 180408(R) (2013).
- [18] M. Fiebig, D. Frohlich, B. B. Krichevtsov, and R. V. Pisarev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2127 (1994).
- [19] T. R. McGuire, E. J. Scott, and F. H. Grannis, Phys. Rev. 102, 1000 (1956).
- [20] Y. Fang, W. P. Zhou, S. M. Yan, R. Bai, Z. H. Qian, Q. Y. Xu, D. H. Wang, and Y. W. Du, J. Appl. Phys. **117**, 17B712 (2015).
- [21] Y. Fang et al., Sci. Rep. 4, 3860 (2014).
- [22] N. D. Khanh, N. Abe, S. Kimura, Y. Tokunaga, and T. Arima, Phys. Rev. B 96, 094434 (2017).
- [23] L. Ding et al., arXiv:2002.10599.
- [24] G. Deng, Y. Cao, W. Ren, S. Cao, A. J. Studer, N. Gauthier, M. Kenzelmann, G. Davidson, K. C. Rule, J. S. Gardner, P. Imperia, C. Ulrich, and G. J. McIntyre, Phys. Rev. B 97, 085154 (2018).
- [25] A. Maignan and C. Martin, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 161106(R) (2018)
- [26] R. Jana, D. Sheptyakov, X. Ma, J. A. Alonso, M. Pi, A. Muñoz, Z. Liu, L. Zhao, N. Su, S. Jin, X. Ma, K. Sun, D. Chen, S. Dong, Y. Chai, S. Li, and J. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 094109 (2019).
- [27] Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- [28] T. Higashi, ABSCOR (2000). Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- [29] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Physica B 192, 55 (1993).
- [30] B. C. Chakoumakos, H. Cao, F. Ye, A. D. Stoica, M. Popovici, M. Sundaram, W. Zhou, J. S. Hicks, G. W. Lynn, and R. A. Riedel, J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 655 (2011).
- [31] C. Heid, H. Weitzel, F. Bourdarot, R. Calemczuk, T. Vogt, and H. Fuess, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, 10609 (1996).

- [32] N. Mufti, G. R. Blake, M. Mostovoy, S. Riyadi, A. A. Nugroho, and T. T. M. Palstra, Phys. Rev. B 83, 104416 (2011).
- [33] J. M. Perez-Mato, S. V. Gallego, E. S. Tasci, L. Elcoro, G. de la Flor, and M. I. Aroyo, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 45, 217 (2015).
- [34] L. J. de Jongh and A. R. Miedema, Adv. Phys. 23, 1 (1974).
- [35] S. T. Bramwell and P. C. W. Holdsworth, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 5, L53 (1993).
- [36] A. Taroni, S. T. Bramwell, and P. C. W. Holdsworth, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 275233 (2008).
- [37] Y. M. Xie, C. S. Lin, H. Zhang, and W. D. Cheng, AIP Adv. 6, 045006 (2016).
- [38] M. S. Seehra and R. E. Helmick, Phys. Rev. B 24, 5098 (1981).
- [39] M. S. Seehra and R. E. Helmick, J. Appl. Phys. 55, 2330 (1984).
- [40] J. F. Scott, Phys. Rev. B 16, 2329 (1977).
- [41] J. Hwang, E. S. Choi, H. D. Zhou, J. Lu, and P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. B 85, 024415 (2012).
- [42] U. Adem, L. Wang, D. Fausti, W. Schottenhamel, P. H. M. van Loosdrecht, A. Vasiliev, L. N. Bezmaternykh, B. Buchner, C. Hess, and R. Klingeler, Phys. Rev. B 82, 064406 (2010).
- [43] Z. J. Huang, Y. Cao, Y. Y. Sun, Y. Y. Xue, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B 56, 2623 (1997).
- [44] T. Katsufuji, S. Mori, M. Masaki, Y. Moritomo, N. Yamamoto, and H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. B 64, 104419 (2001).
- [45] D. C. Kakarla, H. C. Wu, D. J. Hsieh, P. J. Sun, G. J. Dai, J. -Y. Lin, J. L. Her, Y. H. Matsuda, L. Z. Deng, M. Gooch, C. W. Chu, and H. D. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 99, 195129 (2019).
- [46] T. Katsufuji and H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054415 (2001).
- [47] V. V. Shvartsman, P. Borisov, W. Kleemann, S. Kamba, and T. Katsufuji, Phys. Rev. B 81, 064426 (2010).
- [48] A. L. Goodwin, M. G. Tucker, M. T. Dove, and D. A. Keen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 047209 (2006).
- [49] Ch. Kant, M. Schmidt, Z. Wang, F. Mayr, V. Tsurkan, J. Deisenhofer, and A. Loidl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 177203 (2012).