Direct visualization of the magnetostructural phase transition in nanoscale FeRh thin films using differential phase contrast imaging

Trevor P. Almeida^(D),^{1,*} Damien McGrouther,¹ Rowan Temple,² Jamie Massey^(D),² Yue Li^(D),¹ Thomas Moore,² Christopher H. Marrows,² and Stephen McVitie¹

¹SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, United Kingdom ²School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

(Received 14 January 2020; accepted 6 March 2020; published 24 March 2020)

To advance the use of thermally activated magnetic materials in device applications it is necessary to examine their behavior on the localized scale *operando* conditions. Equiatomic FeRh undergoes a magnetostructural transition from an antiferromagnetic (AF) to a ferromagnetic (FM) phase above room temperature (\sim 350–380 K), and hence is considered a very desirable material for the next generation of nanomagnetic or spintronic devices. For this to be realized, we must fully understand the intricate details of the AF to FM transition and associated FM domain growth on the scale of their operation. Here we combine *in situ* heating with a comprehensive suite of advanced transmission electron microscopy techniques to investigate directly the magnetostructural transition in nanoscale FeRh thin films. Differential phase contrast imaging visualizes the stages of FM domain growth in both cross-sectional and planar FeRh thin films as a function of temperature. Small surface FM signals are also detected due to interfacial strain with the MgO substrate and Fe deficiency after HF etching of the substrate, providing a directional bias for FM domain growth. Our work provides high resolution imaging and quantitative measurements throughout the transition, which were previously inaccessible, and offers fundamental insight into their potential use in magnetic devices.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.034410

I. INTRODUCTION

The ordered α'' alloy of iron-rhodium (Fe₄₈Rh₅₂ to Fe₅₆Rh₄₄) has gained significant interest due to its magnetostructural transition from its antiferromagnetic (AF) to ferromagnetic (FM) phase [1]. This equiatomic, CsCl-structured α'' alloy undergoes a first-order transition from its roomtemperature AF state to FM state between \sim 350 and 380 K, which is accompanied by a 1% lattice volume expansion [2]. Hence, nanoscale thin films of FeRh can present AF/FM phase coexistence and hysteresis, where the transition temperature and associated thermal hysteresis depend on the film thickness [3], lattice strain behavior, and additional chemical doping [4]. At intermediate stages during the transition, the coexisiting AF/FM phase regions dynamically evolve or disintegrate with temperature and are separated by phase boundary (PB) domain walls (DWs). Exploitation of the PB motion and its effective control is considered very desirable for the next generation of spintronic devices. For example, the PBs can be systematically driven by heating FeRh films grown with differential gradients of elemental Ir and Pd doping, as determined by a corresponding change in resistivity [5]. However, the dynamical FM domain nucleation, growth and coalescence stages on heating, and subsequent separation, disintegration, and annihiation stages on cooling, as well as the PB behavior, are not accessible by bulk magnetic measurements.

Imaging techniques sensitive to magnetic structure and with spatial resolution in the 10's of nanometres range, including magnetic force microscopy [6,7], x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) [7,8] and scanning electron microscopy with polarization analysis (SEMPA) [9] have been used to observe the phase coexistence in FeRh thin films, showing the first order transition from the nucleation of domains regime to be distinct from the domain growth regime [8,10]. Further, x-ray photoemission microscopy in XMCD has revealed the effects of lateral confinement of FeRh islands on the transition temperature, resulting in a 20-K variation in transition temperature in small islands, and showed that their ion-beam-damaged edges act as favorable nucleation sites [11]. Nevertheless, these techniques are typically limited to a spatial resolution of \sim 20-30 nm and penetration depth of a few nm [12,13]. Hence, in order to elucidate fully the localized and dynamic domain evolution/dissipation throughout the magnetostructural transition with sufficient detail, it is necessary to investigate the thermally induced domain growth at the highest spatial resolution during in situ heating.

Aberration-corrected transmission electron microscopy (TEM) techniques are well known for enabling the imaging of both physical and chemical structure of sufficiently thin, electron transparent, samples with atomic-scale spatial resolution. High spatial resolution magnetic imaging can also be performed utilising a family of techniques collectively known as Lorentz microscopy. These include Fresnel imaging [14–16],

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: trevor.almeida@glasgow.ac.uk

off-axis electron holography [17-20], and differential phase contrast (DPC) imaging [16,21,22]. Recent advancements of modern aberration-corrected TEMs have also improved the spatial resolution of magnetic imaging to approach ~ 1 nm [23]. Fresnel imaging has revealed the FM domain structure in high quality two-dimensional (2D) planar-view FeRh thin films produced for magnetic imaging within the TEM [24]. Electron holography has also exposed an inhomogeneous spatial distribution of the transition temperature along the growth direction in cross-sectional FeRh thin films, as well as a regular spacing of the nucleated FM domains [25]. However, preparation of cross-sectional thin film TEM lamellae is inherently destructive and shape anistropy dominates magnetically compared to its continuous film state. Accordingly, conventional DPC imaging of planar FeRh thin films has provided quantitative measurements from individual DWs as a function of temperature, including a general overview of the nucleation, growth, and coalescence stages during the transition [26]. Yet this type of conventional DPC imaging is susceptible to unwanted signal variation due to strong diffraction contrast from grain boundaries and crystallinity, as it employs segmented detectors to measure differential signals that relate to in-plane magnetism but which can also arise from crystallographic directional scattering. To overcome this, the recent advent of fast direct electron pixelated detectors has revolutionized the ability to acquire a large amount of images in relatively short time periods. DPC type imaging is performed by capturing images of the transmitted electron disc for every electron beam scan location with advanced data processing, based on disc edge detection, enabling isolation of small Lorentz deflections from directional artefacts introduced by diffraction contrast [27]. This technique belongs to a wider, relatively new, family of techniques termed "4D STEM". Thus, when reporting results from both DPC techniques we refer to these as being from segmented detector DPC or 4D STEM DPC.

Herein, this study employs a comprehensive suite of advanced magnetic TEM imaging and scattering techniques to investigate the magnetostructural transition in cross-sectional and planar FeRh thin films, as a function of temperature. Conventional TEM characterization confirms their chemical and structural properties, while segmented detector DPC imaging reveals the origin of a small FM signal at room temperature and FM domain growth of cross-sectional FeRh films during heating. Localized insight into the AF to FM domain evolution within a planar FeRh film, and subsequent reversal, is provided by three complementary Lorentz microscopy techniques to investigate the nature of the appearance/disappearance of the FM phase. Defocused Fresnel imaging in the TEM mode was utilized to obtain high contrast, real space imaging of the phase transition. Small angle electron scattering (SAES) [28] provided a counterpart quantitative, reciprocal space, analysis of the same regions imaged by Fresnel. Lastly, advanced 4D STEM-based [27] DPC imaging was used to obtain high-spatial-resolution images of the domain structures and states occurring during the transition. Taken together, these reveal fundamental details of the mechanisms associated with the AF to FM phase transition at the highest spatial resolution, which were previously inaccessible.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Fabrication

Ordered α'' -FeRh alloy thin films were grown epitaxially on a clean (001) MgO substrate or (001) NiAl, GaAs, and AlAs multilayered buffer layers on a GaAs substrate by conventional DC magnetron sputter codeposition, as described previously [29]. We studied two TEM specimens. First, a cross-sectional FeRh TEM specimen was prepared from its bulk MgO substrate and transferred onto in situ heating electronic (e^{-}) chip (DENSsolution WildfireTM) by Ga⁺ focused ion beam (FIB) methods [24]. After FIB preparation, the cross-sectional sample was annealed at 873 K under vacuum in the TEM for 1 h to recover any damage to the FeRh structure from Ga⁺ implantation. A second planar FeRh TEM specimen was prepared through a process of hydrofluoric acid (HF) etching of the AlAs, GaAs, and NiAl buffer layers, as well as GaAs substrate [30], and subsequently transferred onto a Cu TEM grid for in situ heating using a Gatan heating TEM holder.

B. Electron microscopy

All the imaging, diffraction and spectroscopy described in this paper were carried out on a JEOL Atomic Resolution Microscope (JEM-ARM200F) TEM, operating at 200 kV [23]. This microscope is equipped with a cold field emission gun and a CEOS (Corrected Electron Optical Systems GmbH) probe corrector for STEM imaging. Conventional and high-resolution (HR) STEM imaging were performed on cross-sectional and planar TEM samples of the FeRh films/substrates, while SAED acquired in the TEM mode provided structural information. Both energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) provided chemical analysis of the samples. The sample thickness was determined by the spectrum imaging technique in STEM mode [31], whereby low-loss EELS spectra acquired from each pixel were used to calculate values of t/λ . These calculations were performed using the DIGITAL micrographTM software package. The mean free path, λ , was determined from the density of equiatomic FeRh and the TEM beam conditions, i.e., accelerating voltage, convergence and divergence angles, etc., and substituted into t/λ to calculate the relative thickness repetition, with a standard deviation of 6%. The magnetic structure of the FeRh films was visualized using segmented and 4D STEM DPC in the Lorentz mode under low-magnetic field conditions. DPC imaging was carried out with the HR objective lens pole piece switched off, with the samples positioned in the low-strength remanent field of the lens (~12 kA/m). An eight-segment silicon photodiode array detector (supplied by DebenUK Ltd) was used for the segmented DPC imaging. The signal from the detectors was converted and amplified using the "Superfast" amplifier (Andrew Armit Designs). The eight detected signals were acquired, mixed, and displayed via four Gatan DigiscanII units. For 4D STEM DPC imaging, the central diffraction disk was recorded at each scan position by a Medipix3 pixelated detector, while using a 50-µm condenser aperture and camera length of 800 cm. The cross-correlation disk deflection registration method was used to reconstruct the



FIG. 1. Magnetization (M) vs temperature (T) plots. (a) FeRh thin film on MgO substrate; and (b) free-standing FeRh thin film after HF etching of its AlAs, GaAs and NiAl buffer layers, as well as the GaAs substrate.

4D STEM DPC images [27]. In addition, combining DPC with *in situ* heating using the DENSsolution *e*-chips (up to 473 K) or Gatan heating holder (up to 413 K) allowed for direct access to the thermomagnetic behavior of the DWs and magnetostructural transition within the FeRh films. SAES patterns were acquired in TEM mode using a 30- μ m diameter condenser aperture which yielded parallel illumination of a circular region of the sample, 15 μ m in diameter. A custom post specimen lens excitation series was used to either obtain Fresnel images or SAES patterns with 460 m camera length at each temperature step. Switching between the imaging/SAES mode was performed by only adjusting the strength of the first lens immediately after the main imaging lens.

III. RESULTS

To provide an overview of the magnetostructural transition in the two FeRh thin films, Fig. 1 presents their superconduction quantum interference device-vibrating sample magnetometer measurements as a function of temperature. The FeRh on MgO substrate [Fig. 1(a)] exhibits a sharp increase in magnetization from ~ 10 kA/m at ~ 330 K to \sim 380 kA/m at \sim 370 K, with a noticeable asymmetrical hysteresis curve, where the reduction of magnetization occurs at \sim 335 K on cooling. Similarly, the HF-etched FeRh thin film [Fig. 1(b)] exhibits an increase in magnetization from \sim 40 kA/m at \sim 320 K and stabilizes to \sim 1060 kA/m at \sim 380 K, with a \sim 20 K difference in the symmetric hysteresis curve on cooling. Both samples present hysteresis as expected with a first-order transition, but it is noteworthy that the HF-etched FeRh sample exhibits a slightly higher moment in the AF state compared to the FeRh on MgO, as well as a significantly higher magnetization in the FM state (~1100 kA/m \gg ~ 380 kA/m). It is also considered that since the latter FeRh thin film is clamped to the MgO substrate, the change in lattice parameter of the FeRh is



FIG. 2. Overview of the TEM cross section of the FeRh thin film grown on a MgO substrate. (a) HAADF-STEM image of the crosssectional TEM lamella showing the FeRh thin film grown on the MgO substrate. (b) EELS chemical maps acquired from the box region in (a) displaying the elemental distribution of iron (red), rhodium (green) and oxygen (blue). (c),(d) High-resolution HAADF-STEM images showing the localized structure of the (c) FeRh thin film with labelled atoms (inset); and (d) its interface with the MgO substrate. (e) Segmented detector DPC image of the FeRh thin film, revealing magnetic contrast at its interface with the MgO substrate (\sim 8 nm green layer, arrowed). The direction of magnetization is depicted in the color wheel (inset).

more reliant on the thermal expansion of the substrate. This produces a larger hysteresis compared to the HF-etched FeRh thin film, which is less restricted to provide a thermomagnetic response.

Figure 2 presents a cross-sectional view of the FeRh thin film grown on the MgO substrate, providing information on its thickness, chemical distribution, localized structure and interfacial magnetism with the MgO substrate. The high angle annular dark-field (HAADF) STEM image of Fig. 2(a) reveals the FeRh film to be grown with a uniform thickness of \sim 53 nm, while the EELS chemical maps [Fig. 2(b)] acquired from the boxed region (red) in Fig. 2(a) display the elemental distribution of iron, rhodium, and oxygen. The HR STEM image of Fig. 2(c) presents the localized CsCl crystal structure of the FeRh thin film along the $\langle 110 \rangle$ zone axis, where the alternating columns of Rh atoms appear brighter compared to the Fe atoms due to their higher atomic number [Fig. 2(c), inset]. Similarly, Fig. 2(d) displays the localized interface between the single crystalline FeRh and MgO substrate, revealing their well-matched orientation and confirming the epitaxial growth of the deposited FeRh. Segmented detector DPC imaging, presented in Fig. 2(e), provides low temperature (303 K) magnetic information on the FeRh thin film. Within the FIB Pt protective layer, multicolored contrast is observed which is purely electrostatic in origin and arises due to it containing many grain boundaries. Within the FeRh layer, there is an absence of strong contrast, commensurate with it being in the AF state at this temperature. Strong contrast is observed between the FeRh layer and its interfaces. At the interface with the FIB Pt protective layer, this contrast relates to the sharp electron wave phase gradient which arises due to the two materials having different mean electrostatic potential (analogous to refractive indices in optics). This gives rise to a single green colored band that indicates the strength and direction of this phase gradient, which is purely electrostatic in origin. The situation at the interface between the FeRh/MgO substrate appears to be more complicated. As for the previously discussed interface, a change in the mean electrostatic potential between the two materials would be expected to contribute a single colored band. However, here, both a strong red colored band and a weaker green colored band (indicated by an arrow) are observed. We ascribe the strong red band to being electrostatic in origin but the weaker green band to being associated with the existence of a finite magnetic moment over a region ~ 8 nm wide. This is explained in more detail in the Supplemental Material [32] (Figs. S1-S3) and the Discussion section. In order to isolate the magnetic contrast induced by thermal effects during the magnetostructural transition, Fig. 2(e) acts as a reference and is subtracted from DPC images in the temperature series presented in Fig. 3. The steps for this subtraction process are also described in the Supplemental Material [32] (Fig. S4).

The segmented detector DPC images of Fig. 3 present the magnetic domain evolution associated with the magnetostructural transition of the FeRh thin film as a function of temperature. Figure 3(a) reveals nucleation of a small, green magnetic domain (\sim 50-nm long, \sim 20-nm wide, labeled D), with magnetization directed from right to left, on the right side of the FeRh/MgO interface at 362 K. As the temperature is increased to 364 K the small domain is observed to act as a



FIG. 3. Segmented detector DPC imaging of magnetic domain evolution in the FeRh thin film as a function of temperature. (a)–(f) DPC imaging of the cross-sectional TEM sample of the FeRh thin film/MgO substrate during *in situ* heating to (a) 362 K; (b) 364 K; (c) 366 K; (d) 376 K; (e) 391 K; and (f) 473 K. The direction of magnetization is depicted in the color wheel (a) (inset) and is illustrated in the head-to-head transverse DW in (f) (blue, inset).

nucleation site for expansion to the left [Fig. 3(b)], and further growth at 366 K [Fig. 3(c)] and 376 K [Fig. 3(d)]. Weak contrast associated with the domain's resulting dipolar magnetic field (yellow to blue) in Figs. 3(a)–3(d) is observed in the MgO substrate as the magnetostructural transition proceeds. At 391 K, a large magnetic domain (red) is observed to form on the left-hand side of the FeRh thin film [Fig. 3(e)], with the magnetization pointing from left to right. As the temperature is increased to 473 K, the two larger domains (red and green) are seen to be separated by a head-to-head transverse DW (blue, inset), like those seen in permalloy nanowires [14]. It is considered that the top region of the FeRh thin film has not fully recovered its α'' structure after annealing, resulting in the domains not progressing towards the very top layer.

Figure 4 presents a planar view of the HF-etched FeRh thin film, providing details of its surface, morphology, chemistry, relative thickness, and magnetism. The DF STEM image of Fig. 4(a) reveals the FeRh thin film to exhibit a nonuniform surface and morphology, with variations in contrast attributed to debris on the surface. The EDX chemical maps [Fig. 4(b)] acquired from the boxed region (red) in Fig. 4(a) display the elemental distribution of iron, rhodium, gallium, and arsenic, revealing a relatively uniform distribution of rhodium and iron, traces amounts of gallium (1 at. % Ga) and small concentrated areas of arsenic (3 at. % As). The arsenicrich areas coincide well with the surface debris in Fig. 4(a) and are expected to be caused by the HF-etching process.



FIG. 4. Overview of the planar FeRh thin film after HF-etching of its AlAs, GaAs and NiAl buffer layers, as well as the GaAs substrate. (a) DF STEM image of the HF-etched planar FeRh thin film. (b) EDX chemical maps acquired from the box region in (a) showing the distribution of iron, rhodium, gallium, and arsenic. (c) HAADF STEM image showing several white spots that are attributed to grains lying on a zone axis which deflect the electron beam away from HAADF detector, with the SAED (inset, bottom right). (d) Thickness map calculated from the low-loss EEL spectrum acquired from the entire area of (c), with small regions of large thickness (red) coinciding with the white spots seen in (c). (e) DPC image of the same region in (c),(d) during *in situ* heating, showing the presence of a magnetic domain structures at 353 K (arrowed), as well as small vortices.

Figure 4(c) presents a HAADF image of a large square area ($\sim 4 \ \mu m \times \sim 4 \ \mu m$) and the SAED pattern [Fig. 4(c), inset] confirms that the HF-etched FeRh film is single crystalline. Figure 4(d) presents the thickness map acquired from the entire region of Fig. 4(c), where the relative thickness ranges from ~ 85 nm at the red spots, artefacts attributed to grains lying on a crystallographic zone axis which scatter the electron beam away from HAADF detector, to a more uniform thickness of ~ 40 to ~ 45 nm. The DPC image of Fig. 4(e) reveals the presence of several magnetic domain structures (arrowed), including vortices, when heated to 353 K, while most of the sample is in the AF state, represented by the black regions, where no magnetic deflections are detected.

Figure 5 provides direct visualization of the thermomagnetic behavior displayed by the HF-etched planar FeRh thin film using complementary techniques of Fresnel TEM imaging, small-angle electron scattering (both acquired sequentially from the same sample region) and 4D STEM DPC imaging (acquired from the same sample but from a different location). The relative scale of the 4D STEM scan region $(256 \times 256 \text{ pixels})$ to the Fresnel images is denoted in the bottom-right corner of Fig. 5(a). The Fresnel TEM image of Fig. 5(a), acquired at 348 K, shows a large area of the planar film (~15 μ m in diameter), which includes two relatively strong contrast bend contour features (dark, near vertical bands) and Fresnel contrast that indicate the presence of small vortex structures (arrowed). The corresponding SAES pattern [Fig. 5(b)] is acquired from the same area shown in Fig. 5(a) (obtained by alteration of the excitation of only the post-specimen lenses of the TEM) and reveals that the intensity of the electron beam is concentrated in the central spot, recording minimal dispersion from FM domains. This is supported by the associated 4D STEM DPC image acquired at 348 K [Fig. 5(c)], which documents the existence of vortexlike structures (~130 nm, white arrows) in close proximity to a more complex domain [center of Fig. 5(c)]. Nevertheless, the majority of the DPC image is covered by dark regions and provides complementary evidence indicating that the FeRh thin film is mostly in the AF state. After increasing the temperature to 360.5 K, the Fresnel TEM image [Fig. 5(d)] showed a significant increase in vortex state nucleation across the entire illuminated area, as well as formation of larger magnetic domains elongated along the orthogonal iaxis (arrowed) and slight variation in the bend contours.

Figure 5(e) reveals the accompanying development of the SAES pattern through the redistribution of intensity from the central spot to the outer concentric ring. Further insight is provided by the corresponding 4D STEM DPC image [Fig. 5(f)], revealing nucleation of additional FM vortex structures (arrowed, white) and their interconnection via small, uniformly magnetized string domains (arrowed, black). Figure 5(g)demonstrates that increasing the temperature further, to 363 K, further nucleation, and string domains (arrowed, black) are induced, which coalesce into larger magnetic domains. The SAES pattern of Fig. 5(h) shows that most intensity is now located in the outer ring rather than the central spot, indicating that the film is in a mostly FM state with a full range of magnetic orientations present. This description of the state is supported by the 4D STEM DPC image of Fig. 5(i). The Fresnel TEM image of the Fig. 5(i) shows that heating to 373 K promotes agglomeration into large, fully formed FM domains and reveals the bend contours to be straighter and



FIG. 5. Magnetic domain evolution in the planar FeRh thin film as a function of temperature during *in situ* heating to 373 K. (a),(d),(g),(j) Fresnel; (b),(e),(h),(k) SAES; and (c),(f),(i),(l) 4D STEM DPC images of a HF-etched FeRh thin film acquired at (a)–(c) 348 K; (d)–(f) 360.5 K; (g)–(i) 363 K; and (j)–(l) 373 K. The images demonstrate the different stages of the magnetostructural transition, including (a)–(c) nucleation of magnetic vortices (arrowed); (d)–(f) AF/FM phase coexistence; (g)–(i) domain coalescence; and (j)–(l) a fully FM state. The relative scale of the 256 \times 256 4D STEM DPC images to the Fresnel images is denoted in the bottom-right left corner of Fig. 5(a), while the associated direction of magnetization is depicted in the color wheel [Fig. 5(c), inset]. The superimposed crosshairs in (e) are used to show the geometric center of the SAES pattern.

more parallel compared to Fig. 5(a). All the electron intensity in the corresponding SAES pattern [Fig. 5(k)] is segregated to the outer top-left arced ring pattern, which indicates the sample is in the fully FM state at 373 K, supported by the existence of large, fully formed FM domains in the 4D STEM DPC image [Fig. 5(1)]. In a similar fashion as Fig. 5, Fig. 6 charts the magnetostructural transition of the HF-etched planar FeRh thin film during stages of cooling from the FM state. The large FM domains in the Fresnel and 4D STEM DPC images of Figs. 6(a) and 6(c), respectively, and outer arced ring pattern of the corresponding SAES pattern [Fig. 6(b)] suggests the



FIG. 6. Magnetic domain evolution in the planar FeRh thin film as a function of temperature during *in situ* cooling from 353 K. (a),(d),(g),(j) Fresnel; (b),(e),(h),(k) SAES; and (c),(f),(i),(l) 4D STEM DPC images of a HF-etched FeRh thin film acquired at (a)–(c) 353 K; (d)–(f) 343 K; (g)–(i) 338 K; and (j)–(l) 333 K. The images chart the reversal of the magnetostructural transition from (a)–(c) large domains of the FM state; (d)–(f) disintegration of FM domains; (g)–(i) AF/FM phase coexistence with small FM domains in the form of vortices and strings; and (j)–(l) small vortices. The direction of magnetization in the DPC images is depicted in the color wheel [Fig. 6(c), inset].

FeRh is in the fully FM state at 353 K, revealing hysteresis in the transition during cooling compared to heating. It is clear that the FM domains start to disintegrate at 343 K [Figs. 6(d)and 6(f)], as evidenced by electron intensity migrating towards the center of the SAES pattern [Fig. 6(e)]. The FM to AF transition proceeds further when cooled to 338 K, with almost all the large FM domains in Figs. 6(g) and 6(i) transforming into smaller vortex or string states, and increased intensity in the central spot of the SAES pattern [Fig. 6(h)]. The bend contours are observed to increasingly widen and ripple in Figs. 6(g) and 6(j), with very few magnetic domains still present in the latter at 333 K. The majority of electron intensity in the SAES pattern acquired at 333 K [Fig. 6(k)] is concentrated in the central spot, with the associated 4D STEM DPC image of Fig. 6(1) displaying only a few vortex or string domain states.



FIG. 7. Quantitative analysis of selected SAES patterns. (a) SAES pattern acquired at 358 K, showing the highest intensity corresponding to a 6.4 µrad deflection from the central optic axis (denoted by cross hairs), along with a diffuse ring of intensity at a deflection of \sim 35 µrad. (b) SAES pattern acquired at 413 K showing the highest intensity at a deflection of \sim 35 µrad towards the top left of the SAES pattern.

Figure 7 presents quantitative analysis of selected SAES patterns acquired during heating through the AF to FM transition. The SAES pattern of Fig. 7(a), at 358 K, contains two contributions: the central diffuse spot and the outer ring. For the central spot, the peak intensity was located at a deflection angle, β_l , of 6.4 µrad from the geometric pattern center [denoted by crosshairs in Fig. 5(e)]. The outer ring corresponds to fully FM regions possessing the complete range of in-plane orientation directions and its diameter is due to magnetic deflection of the electrons through a maximum angle β_l of \sim 35 µrad. Figure 7(b) displays the SAES pattern acquired at 413 K where the intensity has evolved, becoming concentrated in an arc of restricted angular range on the outer ring (top-left side) possessing the same deflection angle amplitude, β_l of \sim 35 µrad. This deflection angle, β_l , can be related to the saturated magnetic induction for the FeRh thin film using the equation:

$$B_s = \frac{\beta_l h}{e\lambda t},\tag{1}$$

where B_s is the saturation induction, t is the thickness of the magnetic thin film (measured from the EELS low-loss thickness map), h is Planck's constant, e is the magnitude of electronic charge and λ is the electron wavelength. Using Eq. (1), the saturation induction can be calculated from the SAES pattern for the fully FM state, assuming the film is uniformly magnetized throughout its thickness. The β_l of 35 µrad in the SAES pattern of Fig. 7(b) corresponds to a saturation induction of $1.34 \text{ T} \pm 0.15 \text{ T}$, where the uncertainty is attributed to the full width half maximum spread of intensity in the arced outer ring of the SAES pattern. This value is in very good agreement with the bulk saturation magnetization measurements from this HF-etched FeRh thin film in its FM state of 1060 kA/m, or 1.33 T [26]. Considering the AF to FM transition is of first order, without intermediate stages of reduced B_s from the FM phase, it is assumed the β_l of 6.4 µrad in Fig. 7(a) corresponds to FM regions of reduced thickness. Again, using Eq. (1) and the $B_s = 1.33$ T, the β_l of 6.4 µrad is calculated to correspond to FM regions with a thickness of 7.9 ± 0.2 nm, which is commensurate with the ~8-nm-wide FM region observed in Fig. 2(e), and will also be explained in more detail in the Discussion section.

The 4D STEM DPC images of Fig. 8 provides a localized examination of domain evolution from the white-boxed region in Fig. 5(c), as a function of temperature. Figure 8(a)shows that the central complex domain at 343 K comprises a vortex (arrowed, white) attached to a blue string domain flowing from right to left and separated from the rest of the domain by an elongated AF region, before wrapping around on the left-hand side. As the temperature is increased to 348 K [Fig. 8(b)], the elongated AF region disappears and the two vortices (arrowed) on the right-hand side become more prominent. Further heating to 353 K promotes the merging of these two vortices (arrowed) into the larger central domain [Fig. 8(c)]. This domain is extended to the left at 358 K in Fig. 8(d) through growth of a large vortexlike state (arrowed, white) and string domain (arrowed, black). Increasing the temperature to 360.5 K promotes separation of the oppositely magnetized top and bottom of the left-hand side of this magnetic structure (blue and yellow, respectively) by a black DW (arrowed). At 363 K, the dark DW disintegrates into a cross-tie DW (arrowed), and the central domain is observed to integrate with domain structures on all sides.

IV. DISCUSSION

This multimode combined Lorentz microscopy investigation has provided fundamental, localized insight into the magnetostructural transition in FeRh thin films as a function of temperature. The DPC image of Fig. 2(e) revealed magnetic contrast in the vicinity of the interface with the MgO substrate at 303 K. The existence of such an interfacial moment has been previously detected indirectly and is attributed to interfacial strain induced within the surface atomic layers of the FeRh, due to the slight mismatch between the FeRh and MgO unit cells, and its thickness (6-8 nm) is consistent with that reported [33]. This is one area where the magnetic transition in FeRh thin films can vary from bulk FeRh, as their epitaxial clamping on a substrate surface can mimic pressure effects on the FeRh transformation by creating inhomogeneous strain in the regions nearest to the film-substrate interface [33-35]. It is also suggested that interfacial oxidation can form a FeO-like layer that enhances the spin polarization of this small FM surface interfacial barrier [36]. The DPC image series of Fig. 3 recorded the AF to FM transition during heating from 362 to 473 K in the cross-sectional FeRh sample. The first small FM domain (~50-nm wide, ~20-nm high) nucleated at 362 K on the right-hand side of the FeRh/MgO interface, which is consistent with previous reports of inhomogeneous spatial distribution of the transition along the growth direction, where the transition initiates at the substrate interface [25]. This domain grows both laterally and upwards into the cross section with temperature until 391 K, at which point another domain rapidly nucleates and grows on the left-hand side. These domains are separated by an asymmetric transverse DW [37], likely due to the shape anisotropy of the crosssectional FeRh sample, as they are not an energetically favorable configuration for planar FM films. Nevertheless, these domains can be compared to the uniformly magnetized string domains observed in the planar FeRh sample, as the thinner



FIG. 8. Localized magnetic domain evolution during *in situ* heating. (a)–(f) 4D STEM DPC images of the HF-etched FeRh thin film acquired at (a) 343 K; (b) 348 K; (c) 353 K; (d) 358 K; (e) 360.5 K, and (f) 363 K. The images reveal the subtle localized growth dynamics of FM domains during the AF to FM phase transition. The direction of magnetization in the DPC images is depicted in the color wheel [Fig. 8(a), inset].

cross-sectional FeRh TEM lamella would not accommodate the small vortex states (\sim 130 nm). Hence, this study goes beyond previous work on FeRh thin film cross sections [25] by showing evolution of multiple domains separated by DWs, without the need to magnetically saturate the sample, e.g., for electron holography, which can provide a directional bias or memory, even in the AF state. Further, the domain evolution and formation of DWs in the FeRh cross section is consistent with that observed in the planar FeRh film (Figs. 4 and 5).

In addition to the small FM signal at room temperature in the cross-sectional TEM sample [Fig. 2(e)], the SAES patterns also reveal a small FM signal detected from the planar FeRh film. It is considered that preferential HF etching of the more reactive Fe atoms can result in a Fe-deficient and Rh-terminated surface, where symmetry breaking stabilizes a surface FM layer at room temperature [38]. This FM layer is believed to be responsible for the dispersed central spot in the SAES pattern acquired at 348 K [Fig. 5(b)] and provides a directional bias in terms of domain evolution. This is demonstrated by the 6.4- μ rad offset from the optic axis [Fig. 7(a)] and arced outer ring favored to the top left of Fig. 7(b), as well as elongation of the magnetic domains in the Fresnel images. The 6.4- μ rad offset corresponds to a ~8-nm- thick surface FM layer, which is consistent with the \sim 6–8 nm interfacial FM region in the cross-sectional TEM sample. Hence, this study provides both visual and quantitative evidence of surface FM layers, as well as insight into initial stages of the domain evolution during the AF to FM transition in the planar FeRh film, the details of which will now be discussed in detail.

The 4D STEM DPC images revealed that the thermally induced nucleation of vortex structures (\sim 130 nm in diameter) act as initial or seed FM domain states in an AF matrix, where the reduction of magnetostatic energy at the AF/FM boundary

during the formation of the vortex state is consistent with the edges of a FM nanostructure patterned in a nonmagnetic film [23,39]. This is also consistent with the behavior of a nanoscale magnetic cylinder, which will form a vortex if it has a large enough diameter and is thick enough [40]. These vortex seeds clearly exhibit continuously flowing magnetization and no DWs, providing an unambiguous interpretation compared to previous XMCD results where a four-domain pattern separated by DWs and a vortex-state would appear identical [8]. The localized expansion of the crystal lattice associated with the FM domain formation is likely to induce strain in adjacent AF regions and make them more susceptible to transition to the FM state. This is due to the film not being constrained to a substrate and hence freer to expand, as demonstrated by the variation of bend contours observed in the Fresnel images. For this reason, isolated single domains are rarely observed at this high spatial resolution due to the ease of their transition to the more energetically favored vortex state, as compared to substrate constrained FeRh films analyzed by surface magnetic imaging techniques like XMCD [8] and SEMPA [9]. Hence, the initial stages progress through nucleation of vortex states in the close vicinity of FM vortices or string domains spreading out from them [Figs. 5(f) and (8)], where they are then seen to agglomerate and be consumed by the nearby domains with increasing temperature. In the case of the directional growth of string domains, crystal expansion is expected at the string-front prompting further directionally favored growth, while it is considered regions parallel to the string domain may undergo momentary compression which hinders their transition and preserves dark AF regions between adjacent parallel string domains, as observed at the bottom of the central domain in Fig. 8(a). At this stage, the inherently multidirectional nature of vortices and string domains deflects the transmitted electron beam in all directions and is in good agreement with the concentric ring of intensity in the SAES pattern of Fig. 5(e). As more FM vortices nucleate, the AF regions decrease in size and FM domains expand through agglomeration [Figs. 5(g) and 5(i)]. This stage is considered to comprise small AF regions and all three main types of FM domain: vortices; strings; and larger elongated domains that exhibit a preferred directionality due to their biased interaction with the FM surface layer. Once the AF regions fully transition to the FM state with increasing temperature, the remaining FM vortices and strings lower their energy configurations through coalescence with the larger domains. The fully FM state will also undergo long-range energy minimization by merging of large grains through DW annihilation, as well as the associated formation of cross-tie DWs, seen in Fig. 8(f).

It is clear from both the Fresnel and 4D STEM DPC imaging that the FM domain disintegration during the FM to AF transition behaves differently from the FM domain evolution described above. As FM regions become AF, there is no net localized magnetic moment and hence no need to minimize their energy into vortex structures like the FM states. However, in a similar fashion to the FM domains, the AF regions act as nucleation sites for AF region growth which disrupts the long-range ordering of the large FM domains. The high prevalence of the string domains observed in Figs. 6(f) and 6(i) is considered to be due to the retention of directionality during the short-range disintegration of the larger FM domains. This is supported by the associated SAES patterns [Figs. 6(e) and 6(h)] exhibiting fewer concentric outer rings, favoring the top-left side, compared to heating [Figs. 5(e) and 5(h)]. As the AF regions grow and strings are the dominant FM domains, they are less likely to relax into vortices since their domain width is already <100 nm. Further, the prominent, complex domain in the center of Fig. 6(1) suggests that the first FM domains formed are also likely to be the last to disintegrate during cooling, confirming that local structural defects or thickness variations act as both favorable domain nucleation and final annihilation sites. This may prove beneficial for introducing controlled nucleation sites through FIB techniques [39].

V. CONCLUSIONS

This comprehensive TEM study has revealed directly the AF/FM phase transition in nanoscale FeRh thin films as a function of temperature. We have provided both visual and quantitative evidence of surface FM layers in the crosssectional and planar FeRh samples, respectively, which was previously inaccessible. Complementary segmented detector and 4D STEM DPC imaging displayed the intricate details of the evolution and dissipation of the FM domains at an unambiguous level of detail. The imaging was suitably complemented by SAES, providing quantitative measurements of integrated induction matching the bulk magnetic measurements. As a significant step forward in understanding the FeRh magnetic transition, this high-spatial-resolution magnetic imaging provides an explicit route to analysis of the more localized and complex thermally activated PB motion in gradient-doped FeRh thin films, as well as current-induced spin injection [41].

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions of this study are available [44].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the EPSRC (Grants No. EP/M019020/1 and No. EP/M018504/1) and Diamond Light Source for funding.

T.P.A. and D.M designed and carried out the experiments; C.H.M., D.M., S.M. and T. M. conceived the experiment; J.M. and R.T. synthesized and provided the samples; Y.L assisted with the experimental work; T.P.A, D.M., and S.M. analyzed the results. T.P.A. led the writing of the paper and all authors reviewed the manuscript.

- L. H. Lewis, C. H. Marrows, and S. Langridge, Coupled magnetic, structural, and electronic phase transitions in FeRh, J. Phys. D 49, 323002 (2016).
- [2] J. S. Kouvel and C. C. Hartelius, Anomalous magnetic moments and transformations in the ordered alloy FeRh, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 1343 (1962).
- [3] C. Q. Yu, H. Li, Y. M. Luo, L. Y. Zhu, Z. H. Qian, and T. J. Zhou, Thickness-dependent magnetic order and phase transition dynamics in epitaxial Fe-rich FeRh thin films, Phys. Lett. A 383, 2424 (2019).
- [4] R. Barua, F. Jimenez-Villacorta, and L. H. Lewis, Predicting magnetostructural trends in FeRh-based ternary systems, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 102407 (2013).
- [5] C. Le Graët, T. R. Charlton, M. McLaren, M. Loving, S. A. Morley, C. J. Kinane, R. M. D. Brydson, L. H. Lewis, S. Langridge, and C. H. Marrows, Temperature controlled motion of an antiferromagnet- ferromagnet interface within a dopant-graded FeRh epilayer, APL Mater. 3, 041802 (2015).

- [6] Y. Lee *et al.*, Large resistivity modulation in mixed-phase metallic systems, Nat. Commun. 6, 5959 (2015).
- [7] C. J. Kinane *et al.*, Observation of a temperature dependent asymmetry in the domain structure of a Pd-doped FeRh epilayer, New J. Phys. **16**, 113073 (2016).
- [8] C. Baldasseroni, C. Bordel, A. X. Gray, A. M. Kaiser, F. Kronast, J. Herrero-Albillos, C. M. Schneider, C. S. Fadley, and F. Hellman, Temperature-driven nucleation of ferromagnetic domains in FeRh thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 262401 (2012).
- [9] X. Zhou, F. Matthes, D. E. Bürgler, and C. M. Schneider, Magnetic surface domain imaging of uncapped epitaxial FeRh(001) thin films across the temperature-induced metamagnetic transition, AIP Adv. 6, 015211 (2016).
- [10] S. O. Mariager, L. Le Guyader, M. Buzzi, G. Ingold, and C. Quitmann, Imaging the antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic first order phase transition of FeRh, arXiv:1301.4164v1.
- [11] R. C. Temple, T. P. Almeida, J. R. Massey, K. Fallon, R. Lamb, S. A. Morley, F. Maccherozzi, S. S. Dhesi, D. McGrouther,

S. McVitie *et al.*, Antiferromagnetic-ferromagnetic phase domain development in nanopatterned FeRh islands, Phys. Rev. Materials **2**, 104406 (2018).

- [12] H. Matsuyama and K. Koike, Twenty-nm resolution spinpolarized scanning electron microscope, J. Electron Microsc. 43, 157 (1994).
- [13] K. Koike, Spin-polarized scanning electron microscopy, Microscopy 62, 177 (2013).
- [14] K. J. O'Shea, S. McVitie, J. N. Chapman, and J. M. R. Weaver, Direct observation of changes to domain wall structures in magnetic nanowires of varying width, Appl. Phys. Lett. 93, 202505 (2008).
- [15] M. J. Benitez, A. Hrabec, A. P. Mihai, T. A. Moore, G. Burnell, D. McGrouther, C. H. Marrows, and S. McVitie, Magnetic microscopy and topological stability of homochiral Néel domain walls in a Pt/Co/AlO_x trilayer, Nat. Commun. 6, 8957 (2015).
- [16] S. McVitie, S. Hughes, K. Fallon, S. McFadzean, D. McGrouther, M. Krajnak, W. Legrand, D. Maccariello, S. Collin, K. Garcia *et al.*, A transmission electron microscope study of Néel skyrmion magnetic textures in multilayer thin film systems with large interfacial chiral interaction, Sci. Rep. 8, 5703 (2018).
- [17] T. P. Almeida, T. Kasama, A. R. Muxworthy, W. Williams, L. Nagy, T. W. Hansen, P. D. Brown, and R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, Visualised effect of oxidation on magnetic recording fidelity in pseudo-single-domain magnetite particles, Nat. Commun. 5, 5154 (2014).
- [18] T. P. Almeida, T. Kasama, A. R. Muxworthy, W. Williams, and R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, Observing thermomagnetic stability of non-ideal magnetite particles: Good paleomagnetic recorders? Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 7041 (2014).
- [19] T. P. Almeida, A. R. Muxworthy, A. Kovács, W. Williams, P. D. Brown, and R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, Direct visualization of the thermomagnetic behavior of pseudo-single-domain magnetite particles, Sci. Adv. 2, e1501801 (2016).
- [20] T. P. Almeida, A. R. Muxworthy, A. Kovács, W. Williams, L. Nagy, P. Ó Conbhuí, C. Frandsen, R. Supakulopas, and R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, Direct observation of the thermal demagnetization of a vortex structure held by a non-ideal magnetite recorder, Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 8426 (2016).
- [21] D. McGrouther, R. J. Lamb, M. Krajnak, S. McFadzean, S. McVitie, R. L. Stamps, A. O. Leonov, A. N. Bogdanov, and Y. Togawa, Internal structure of hexagonal skyrmion lattices in cubic helimagnets, New J. Phys. 18, 095004 (2016).
- [22] K. J. O'Shea, D. A. MacLaren, D. McGrouther, D. Schwarzbach, M. Jungbauer, S. Hühn, V. Moshnyaga, and R. L. Stamps, Nanoscale mapping of the magnetic properties of (111)-oriented La_{0.67}Sr_{0.33}MnO₃, Nano Lett. **15**, 5868 (2015).
- [23] S. McVitie, D. McGrouther, S. McFadzean, D. A. MacLaren, K. J. O'Shea, and M. J. BenitezAberration corrected Lorentz scanning transmission electron microscopy, Ultramicroscopy 152, 57 (2015).
- [24] T. P. Almeida, D. McGrouther, Y. Pivak, H. H. Perez Garza, R. Temple, J. Massey, C. H. Marrows, and S. McVitie, Preparation of high-quality planar FeRh thin films for *in situ* TEM investigations, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 903, 012022 (2017).
- [25] C. Gatel, B. Warot-Fonrose, N. Biziere, L. A. Rodri´guez, D. Reyes, R. Cours, M. Castiella, and M. J. Casanove, Inhomo-

geneous spatial distribution of the magnetic transition in an iron-rhodium thin film, Nat. Commun. **8**, 15703 (2017).

- [26] T. P. Almeida, R. Temple, J. Massey, K. Fallon, D. McGrouther, T. A. Moore, C. H. Marrows, and S. McVitie, Quantitative TEM imaging of the magnetostructural and phase transitions in FeRh thin film systems, Sci. Rep. 7, 17835 (2017).
- [27] M. Krajnak, D. McGrouther, D. Maneuski, V. O' Shea, and S. McVitie, Pixelated detectors and improved efficiency for magnetic imaging in STEM differential phase contrast, Ultramicroscopy 165, 42 (2016).
- [28] K. Takayanagi, T. Koyama, S. Mori, K. Harada, and Y. Togawa, Small-angle electron scattering from magnetic artificial lattice, J. Electron Microsc. 61, 4012012.
- [29] C. Le Graët, M. A. de Vries, M. McLaren, R. M. D. Brydson, M. Loving, D. Heiman, L. H. Lewis, and C. H. Marrows, Sputter growth and characterization of metamagnetic B2-ordered FeRh epilayers, J. Vis. Exp. 80, e50603 (2013).
- [30] C. Russell, C. D. Wood, A. D. Burnett, L. Li, E. H. Linfield, A. G. Davies, and J. E. Cunningham, Spectroscopy of polycrystalline materials using thinned-substrate planar Goubau line at cryogenic temperatures, Lab chip 13, 4065 (2013).
- [31] C. Jeanguillaume and C. Colliex, Spectrum-image: The next step in EELS digital acquisition and processing, Ultramicroscopy 28, 252 (1989).
- [32] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.034410 for S1, calculation of phase profiles across the FeRh/MgO interface at 303 K, as well as the appropriate Refs. [42,43] and S2, isolation of magnetic contrast in FeRh thin film cross-section temperature series.
- [33] R. Fan, C. J. Kinane, T. R. Charlton, R. Dorner, M. Ali, M. A. de Vries, R. M. D. Brydson, C. H. Marrows, B. J. Hickey, D. A. Arena *et al.*, Ferromagnetism at the interfaces of antiferromagnetic FeRh epilayers, Phys. Rev. B 82, 184418 (2010).
- [34] I. Suzuki, T. Koike, M. Itoh, T. Taniyama, and T. Sato, Stability of ferromagnetic state of epitaxially grown ordered FeRh thin films, J. Appl. Phys. **105**, 07E501 (2009).
- [35] Y. Ding, D. A. Arena, J. Dvorak, M. Ali, C. J. Kinane, C. H. Marrows, B. J. Hickey, and L. H. Lewis, Bulk and near-surface magnetic properties of FeRh thin films, J. Appl. Phys. 103, 07B515 (2008).
- [36] T. Sakhraoui, M. Said, and M. Alouani, First-principles investigation of the effect of oxidation on the electronic structure and magnetic properties at the FeRh/MgO (001) interface, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 432, 106 (2017).
- [37] Y. Nakatani, A. Thiavilleb, and J. Miltat, Head-to-head domain walls in soft nano-strips: A refined phase diagram, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 290–291, 750 (2005).
- [38] F. Pressacco, V. Uhlíř, M. Gatti, A. Bendounan, E. E. Fullerton, and F. Sirott, Stable room-temperature ferromagnetic phase at the FeRh(100) surface, Sci. Rep. 6, 22383 (2017).
- [39] M. Nord, A. Semisalova, A. Kkay, G. Hlawacek, I. MacLaren, V. Liersch, O. M. Volkov, D. Makarov, G. W. Paterson, K. Potzger *et al.*, Strain anisotropy and magnetic domains in embedded nanomagnets, Small **15**, 1904738 (2019).
- [40] R. P. Cowburn, D. K. Koltsov, A. O. Adeyeye, and M. E. Welland, Single-Domain Circular Nanomagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1042 (1999).
- [41] R. C. Temple, M. C. Rosamond, J. R. Massey, T. P. Almeida, E. H. Linfield, D. McGrouther, S. McVitie, T. A. Moore, and

C. H. Marrows, Phase domain boundary motion and memristance in gradient-doped FeRh nanopillars induced by spin injection, arXiv:1905.03573.

[42] M. Gajdardziska-Josifovska, M. R. McCartney, W. J. de Ruijter, D. J. Smith, J. K. Weiss, and J. M. Zuo, Accurate measurements of mean inner potential of crystal wedges using digital electron holograms, Ultramicroscopy, **50**, 285 (1993).

- [43] R. I. Anishchenko, Calculation of the mean inner potential of a crystal in the statistical theory, Phys. Stat. Sol. 18, 923 (1966).
- [44] https://dx.doi.org/10.5525/gla.researchdata.987.