Rapid Communications

Editors' Suggestion

## Ferroelectricity in [111]-oriented epitaxially strained SrTiO<sub>3</sub> from first principles

Sebastian E. Reyes-Lillo,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Karin M. Rabe,<sup>4</sup> and Jeffrey B. Neaton<sup>2,3,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago 837-0136, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

<sup>5</sup>Kavli Energy NanoSciences Institute at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 8 January 2019; published 6 March 2019)

We use first-principles density-functional theory calculations to investigate the effect of biaxial strain in the low-temperature structural and ferroelectric properties of [111]-oriented  $SrTiO_3$ . We find that [111] biaxial strain, achievable by coherent epitaxial growth along the [111] direction, induces structural distortions in  $SrTiO_3$  that are not present in either bulk or [001]-oriented  $SrTiO_3$ . Under [111] biaxial strain,  $SrTiO_3$  displays ferroelectricity at tensile strain, and paraelectricity at compressive strain. We compute the phonon spectrum and macroscopic polarization of  $SrTiO_3$  as a function of [111] biaxial strain, and relate our results to the predictions of the free-energy phenomenological model of Pertsev, Tagantsev, and Setter [Phys. Rev. B **61**, 825 (2000); Phys. Rev. B **65**, 219901 (2002)].

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.030601

Advances in molecular beam epitaxy have prompted tremendous interest in the effect of coherent epitaxial strain on transition metal oxides [1,2]. Biaxial strain of [001]-oriented  $SrTiO_3$  ultrathin films, associated with epitaxial growth on cubic perovskite substrates, has been extensively studied and predicted to provide routes to significantly tune its structural [3], electronic [4], and dielectric [5] properties. However, the effects of biaxial strain associated with perovskite films grown along the [111] direction on substrates with hexagonal symmetry have received less attention.

The structural effect of [111] biaxial strain on ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskites has been previously investigated by focusing on a dominant polar or antiferrodistortive instability. Firstprinciples calculations predict ferroelectricity for [111]oriented PbTiO<sub>3</sub> [6] and BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [6,7], and the existence of Goldstone-like modes in the structural energy landscape of [111]-oriented LaAlO<sub>3</sub> [8] and SrMnO<sub>3</sub> [9]. Recently, employing an automated computational workflow based on first principles methods, Angsten and co-workers studied the effect of [001], [011], and [111] biaxial strain on the polar instability of a large set of perovskite oxides [10]. In addition, (001) and (111) surfaces of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and other perovskites were recently studied from first principles [11–13]. Here, motivated by recent experimental and theoretical studies on ferroelectric [14,15], electronic [16], magnetic [17–20], transport [21], and topological [9,22-24] phenomena on [111]-oriented perovskites and superlattice interfaces, we examine the case of perovskites with simultaneous polar and antiferrodistortive structural instabilities, such as SrTiO<sub>3</sub>.

 $SrTiO_3$  is a prototypical ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskite that, under certain conditions, displays important technological properties such as photocatalysis [25] and superconductivity [26,27]. In bulk form, SrTiO<sub>3</sub> has a cubic  $Pm\bar{3}m$  structure at temperatures above 105 K and a tetragonal *I4/mcm* structure at low temperatures below 105 K [28], characterized by oxygen octahedron rotations ( $a^0a^0c^-$  in Glazer notation). The frequency of the lowest-frequency polar mode is seen to decrease with temperature with an extrapolated Curie temperature of about ~30 K [29], but this incipient ferroelectricity [30] is suppressed by a combination of the oxygen octahedron rotations in the tetragonal phase [31–33] and quantum fluctuations at low temperature [29,34].

First-principles calculations of the phonon dispersion of cubic SrTiO<sub>3</sub> show multiple lattice instabilities [35]. An unstable antiferrodistortive zone-boundary  $R_5^-$  mode, corresponding to concerted rotation of the oxygen octahedra, gives rise to the low-temperature tetragonal structure, here denoted as  $I4/mcm(R_5^-[001])$ . An unstable zone-center polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode can be associated with the incipient ferroelectricity. Additional phonon instabilities, including finite-wave-vector octahedral rotations along the R - M direction of the Brillouin zone, can lower the energy of the cubic structure in principle, but are not observed in bulk phases.

The coupling of lattice instabilities to epitaxial strain can alter the energy landscape and stabilize novel phases, including a rich variety of ferroelectric phases with or without nonpolar antiferrodistortive distortions [36,37]. Phenomenological models [36–38] and first-principles calculations [39–41] predict the emergence of ferroelectricity in [001]-oriented perovskite films under epitaxial strain. The emergence of ferroelectricity is readily explained by strain-polarization coupling, in which ferroic off-centering of the Ti atoms in each unit cell arises along elongated lattice vector directions. In the free-energy expansion of Pertsev and co-workers [36], this is manifested in the term  $-(S_1P_1^2 + S_2P_2^2 + S_3P_3^2)$ ;  $\{P_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$ and  $\{S_i\}_{i=1-6}$  denote the polarization and strain (Voigt notation) components. At compressive (tensile) [001] biaxial

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: jbneaton@lbl.gov

strain,  $S_1 = S_2 < 0$  and  $S_3 > 0$  ( $S_1 = S_2 > 0$  and  $S_3 < 0$ ), and the system lowers its energy by adopting the  $P_1 = P_2 = 0$  and  $P_3 \neq 0$  ( $P_1 = P_2 \neq 0$  and  $P_3 = 0$ ) configuration.

First-principles calculations show increased instability of the polar mode with elongation along a particular direction and predict the stabilization of the ferroelectric phases  $P4mm(\Gamma_4^-[001])$  and  $Amm2(\Gamma_4^-[110])$  at compressive and tensile [001] biaxial strains, respectively [42]. Indeed, thin film SrTiO<sub>3</sub> is observed to be ferroelectric above critical compressive and tensile [001] biaxial strains, and to posses a strain-tunable Curie temperature [43].

Allowing oxygen octahedral rotations increases the energy gain of polar phases and lowers their symmetry. Under [001] biaxial strain, the free-energy term  $-(S_1Q_1^2 + S_2Q_2^2 + S_3Q_3^2)$ , where  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$  denotes out-of-phase octahedral rotations along cubic lattice directions, favors out-of-plane (inplane) octahedral rotations at compressive (tensile) strain and the system lowers its energy by adopting the  $Q_1 = Q_2 = 0$  and  $Q_3 \neq 0$  ( $Q_1 = Q_2 \neq 0$  and  $Q_3 = 0$ ) configuration. Consequently, first-principles calculations predict the stabilization of the polar phases  $I4cm(\Gamma_4^-[001]+R_5^-[001])$  and  $Ima2(\Gamma_4^-[110]+R_5^-[110])$  at compressive and tensile strains, respectively.

The phenomenological approach can also be applied to the case of biaxial strain along the [111] direction induced by epitaxy. In this case, the strain-polarization coupling term takes the form  $-S(P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2)$  due to the symmetry constraint  $S = S_1 = S_2 = S_3$  in the reference structure. In contrast to the [001] biaxial strain case where ferroelectricity is favored at both tensile and compressive strain, the later coupling predicts ferroelectric ( $|\vec{P}| \neq 0$ ) and antiferrodistortive ( $|\vec{P}| = 0$ ) distortions at tensile (S > 0) and compressive (S < 0) [111] biaxial strains, respectively. In addition, the off-diagonal shear strain components  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ , and  $S_6$ , which typically cancel in the case of [001] growth direction, assume nonzero values and can modify the relative energy stability of polar and antiferrodistortive distortions, as shown below.

In this paper, we use symmetry arguments and firstprinciples calculations to investigate the effect of [111] biaxial strain on the structural and ferroelectric properties of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. We find that, similar to the case of [001] biaxial strain, [111]-oriented SrTiO<sub>3</sub> displays several low-symmetry phases with polar ferroelectric and nonpolar antiferrodistortive distortions that are not present in bulk form. However, unlike the [001] case, where SrTiO<sub>3</sub> becomes ferroelectric at both tensile and compressive strain, [111] biaxially strained SrTiO<sub>3</sub> becomes ferroelectric and paraelectric at tensile and compressive strains, respectively. We list all symmetry-allowed ferroelectric phases under [111] biaxial strain and investigate their behavior under strain. Our first-principles results are supported by the predictions of a free-energy phenomenological model and can be generalized to other perovskite oxides.

Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed, within the local density approximation (LDA) [45], using the VIENNA AB-INITIO SIMULATION PACKAGE (VASP) [46,47]. As shown in Fig. 1, epitaxial growth on the (111) surfaces of perovskite substrates is simulated through strained bulk calculations [3,39] in hexagonal cells. Misfit strain is measured with respect to the computed cubic lattice pa-



FIG. 1. (a) Cubic unit cell displaying a (111) plane and cubic lattice vectors ([100], [010], [001]). (b) Relationship between hexagonal  $(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{a}_3)$  and Cartesian (x, y, z) lattice vectors. Sr, Ti, and O atoms are denoted by red, green, and blue spheres, respectively.

rameter  $a_0 = 3.863$  Å. Structural relaxations are performed, keeping the matching plane lattice vectors  $\vec{a}_1$  and  $\vec{a}_2$  fixed  $(\triangleleft_{\vec{a}_1,\vec{a}_2}=120^\circ)$  while the out-of-plane lattice vector  $\vec{a}_3$  and the internal atomic positions are optimized until forces are smaller than 0.1 meV/Å.

Table I shows the  $\vec{k}$ -point grid and lattice vector lengths for the hexagonal cells considered in this paper. Our VASP calculations use  $\Gamma$  centered  $\vec{k}$ -point grids and a plane-wave energy cutoff of 500 eV. Projector-augmented wave pseudopotentials [48] explicitly include 10 valence electrons for  $Sr(4s^24p^65s^2)$ , 12 for Ti  $(3s^23p^63d^24s^2)$ , and 6 for O  $(2s^22p^4)$ . Phonon dispersions are computed in the primitive cell using finite differences,  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  supercell structures with  $2 \times 2 \times 2 \Gamma$ centered  $\vec{k}$ -point grids, and are interpolated with PHONOPY [49]. Berry phase polarization calculations are performed within the modern theory of polarization, as implemented in VASP [50]. Our DFT convergence parameters provide good agreement with previous results [51,52].

Table II shows the energy and lattice parameters for bulk phases of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. Computed lattice parameters underestimate experimental lattice constants by ~1%, as is typical for the LDA. The tetragonal *14/mcm* structure corresponds to the ground state, in agreement with experiments. The [111] biaxial strain constraint lowers the symmetry of the cubic perovskite  $Pm\bar{3}m$  reference structure to  $R\bar{3}m$ . We start by considering the effects of this symmetry lowering on the phonon dispersion of the reference  $R\bar{3}m$  structure, shown for 0%, -4%, and +4% [111] biaxial strain in Fig. 2. Our calculations for 0% strain are in line with previous results for

TABLE I. DFT calculations are performed in hexagonal supercells. For each supercell, we report the number of formula units (# f.u.), lattice vector lengths, and  $\vec{k}$ -point grids used in total-energy (polarization) calculations.

# f.u.	$ \vec{a}_1  =  \vec{a}_2 $	$ \vec{a}_3 $	$\vec{k}$ -point grid 5 × 5 × 5 (9 × 9 × 9)	
3	$\sqrt{2}a_0$	$\sqrt{3}a_0$		
6	$\sqrt{2}a_0$	$2\sqrt{3}a_0$	$5 \times 5 \times 3 (9 \times 9 \times 7)$	
24	$2\sqrt{2}a_0$	$2\sqrt{3}a_0$	$3 \times 3 \times 3 (7 \times 7 \times 7)$	

TABLE II. For each experimental bulk phase of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, we report the space group, energy (meV/f.u.) with respect to cubic  $Pm\bar{3}m$ , and lattice parameters (Å). Experimental lattice parameters (in parenthesis) are taken from Refs. [53,54].

Space group	Energy (meV/f.u.)	Latt. parameters (Å)		
Pm3m I4/mcm	0 11	$a_0 = 3.863(3.905)$ a = b = 5.442(5.507) c = 7.753(7.796)		

cubic SrTiO<sub>3</sub> using the LDA [51,52]. The polar transverse optical (TO) mode  $\Gamma_4^-$  is stable but soft (62 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and the antiferrodistortive  $M_2^+$  and  $R_5^-$  modes are unstable (28*i* cm<sup>-1</sup> and 91*i* cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) [see Fig. 2(a)].

At -4% (compressive) strain, the phonon dispersion is quite similar to that at 0%: The lowest frequency polar  $\Gamma_4^$ mode is slightly stiffened (142 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the antiferrodistortive instabilities at *M* and *R* are slightly enhanced (65*i* cm<sup>-1</sup> and 135*i* cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) [see Fig. 2(b)]. The symmetry lowering produces a visible splitting of the unstable modes at *R* and of the lowest frequency polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode, as well as the slight dependence of the LO mode frequencies and splittings at  $\Gamma$  on the direction of  $\vec{q}$  in the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$ .

In contrast, +4% (tensile) strain has a dramatic effect on the phonon dispersion. In addition to a suppression of the antiferrodistortive instabilities at *M* and *R* (24*i* cm<sup>-1</sup> and 86*i* cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) [see Fig. 2(c)], we find a strong polar instability at  $\Gamma$  (170*i* cm<sup>-1</sup>), comparable to the instability in [001] biaxial strain that generates the ferroelectric phases. This lowest phonon branch is unstable all the way from  $\Gamma$  to *X*, a characteristic feature also of the phonon dispersion of cubic BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [55].

In the following, we investigate the low-symmetry structures compatible with the reference  $R\bar{3}m$  structure, obtained by freezing in the unstable cubic modes ( $\Gamma_4^-$ ,  $R_5^-$ , and  $M_2^+$ ). Table III shows bulk, strained, and polar phases of SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. For each cubic rotational pattern, we report the nature of the oxygen octahedral tilting (in Glazer notation), symmetry mode decomposition, space group symmetry under [111] biaxial strain, number of formula units, and polar phases obtained by freezing in the polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode along different cubic directions (see Fig. 1). For each polar structure, we include the free parameters of the polarization vector in the cubic setting. We list polar phases that are invariant under at least one symmetry operation and group polarization directions into symmetry equivalent structures (variants).

Under [111] biaxial strain,  $Pm\bar{3}m$  is reduced to  $R\bar{3}m$ , and the commonly known polar phases of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and PbTiO<sub>3</sub>— R3m, Amm2 and P4mm—evolve smoothly to R3m, C2, or Cm [6]. The [001]-oriented ferroelectric phases with eight (R3m), twelve (Amm2), and six (P4mm) variants rearrange into [111]-oriented ferroelectric phases with two (R3m), six (C2), and three sets of six (Cm) variants [56]. Similarly, the I4/mcm structure lowers its symmetry to monoclinic C2/c( $a^-b^-b^-$  in Glazer notation) and leads to the ferroelectric phases C2 and Cc, combining polar and antiferrodistortive distortions.

Table III includes hypothetical bulk phases. Similar to I4/mcm, the *Imma* and  $P4_2/nmc$  structures lower their sym-



FIG. 2. Phonon dispersion for SrTiO<sub>3</sub> under (a) 0%, (b) -4%, and (c) +4% [111] biaxial strain computed with DFT-LDA. Colors denote the atomic displacement magnitude for Sr (red), Ti (green), O (blue) at a given phonon frequency. We label longitudinal optical (LO) modes and phonon degeneracies. For easier comparison, we use the symmetry point labels  $\Gamma = (0, 0, 0), X = (1/2, 0, 0), M =$ (1/2, 1/2, 0), M' = (1/2, -1/2, 0), R = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2), and R' =(1/2, -1/2, 1/2). (d) Brillouin zone for the reference structure at -4% (left) and +4% (right) strain [44]. Nonzero [111] biaxial strain lowers the symmetry of the Brillouin zone and leads to an additional symmetry line around the cubic *R* point.

metry to monoclinic C2/c. The C2/c structure, characterized by having the same rotational pattern along two different

TABLE III. For each bulk phase, we report the oxygen octahedral tilting (in Glazer notation), symmetry mode decomposition, space group symmetry under [111] biaxial strain, number of formula units (f,.u.), and space group symmetry obtained upon freezing in the polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode along different cubic directions. Symmetry modes are given with respect to cubic  $Pm\bar{3}m$  with atomic positions given by Sr (1a), Ti (1b), and O (3c). The polar structure P1 ( $\pm p_1 \pm p_2 \pm p_3$ ) is allowed in every entry.

Bulk phase	Glazer notation	Symm. modes	Strained phase	f.u.	Polar phases
Pm3m	a <sup>0</sup> a <sup>0</sup> a <sup>0</sup>		R3m	3	<i>R3m</i> ±( <i>ppp</i> ); <i>C2</i> ±( <i>p</i> $\bar{p}$ 0), ±( <i>p</i> 0 $\bar{p}$ ), ±(0 <i>p</i> $\bar{p}$ ); <i>Cm</i> ±( <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> ± <i>p</i> <sub>2</sub> ), ±( <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> ± <i>p</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> ), ±(± <i>p</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> <i>p</i> <sub>1</sub> )
I4/mcm	$a^0a^0c^-$	$R_5^-$	C2/c	6	$C2 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Cc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
Imma	$a^0b^-b^-$	$R_5^{-}$	C2/c	6	$C2 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Cc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
R3c	a <sup>-</sup> a <sup>-</sup> a <sup>-</sup>	$R_5^-$	$R\bar{3}c$	6	<i>R3c</i> $\pm(ppp)$ ; <i>C2</i> $\pm(p\bar{p}0)$ , $\pm(p0\bar{p})$ , $\pm(0p\bar{p})$ ; <i>Cc</i> $\pm(p_1p_1 \pm p_2)$ , $\pm(p_1 \pm p_2p_1)$ , $\pm(\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
P4/mbm	$a^0a^0c^+$	$M_2^+$	$P2_{1}/c$	6	$P2_1 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Pc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
I4/mmm	$a^0b^+b^+$	$\tilde{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{+}}$	C2/m	6	$C2 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Cm \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
Im3	$a^+a^+a^+$	$\tilde{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{+}}$	RĪ	6	$R3 \pm (ppp)$
C2/m	$a^0b^-c^-$	$R_5^{-}$	$P\bar{1}$	6	
Pnma	$a^+b^-b^-$	$R_{5}^{-}, M_{2}^{+}$	$P2_{1}/c$	6	$P2_1 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Pc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
C2/c	$a^-b^-b^-$	$R_5^-$	C2/c	6	$C2 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Cc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
Cmcm	$a^0b^+c^-$	$R_{5}^{-}, M_{2}^{+}$	$P\bar{1}$	6	
$P4_2/nmc$	$a^+a^+c^-$	$R_{5}^{-}, M_{2}^{+}$	C2/c	6	$C2 \pm (p\bar{p}0), \pm (p0\bar{p}), \pm (0p\bar{p}); Cc \pm (p_1p_1 \pm p_2), \pm (p_1 \pm p_2p_1), \pm (\pm p_2p_1p_1)$
Immm	$a^+b^+c^+$	$M_2^+$	$P\bar{1}$	6	
$P2_1/m$	$a^+b^-c^-$	$R_{5}^{-}, M_{2}^{+}$	$P\bar{1}$	6	
P1	a <sup>-</sup> b <sup>-</sup> c <sup>-</sup>	$R_5^-$	ΡĪ	6	

cubic directions, leads to the ferroelectric phases C2 and Cc. Freezing in the polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode in the  $R\bar{3}c$  phase leads to the ferroelectric phases R3c, C2 (denoted as  $C2^*$  in the following to distinguish it from the C2 structure without octahedral tilting) and Cc. The *Pnma* structure, the ground state of several oxide perovskites [57], lowers its symmetry to  $P2_1/c$ and leads to the polar structures  $P2_1$  and Pc. The *P4/mbm*, *I4/mmm*, and *Im* $\bar{3}$  structures lower their symmetry to  $P2_1/c$ , C2/m, and  $R\bar{3}$ , respectively. Finally, several phases, C2/m, *Cmcm*, *Immm*,  $P2_1/m$ , and  $P\bar{1}$ , lead to the low-symmetry  $P\bar{1}$ structure.

Next, we explore the relative energy stability of the structures in Table III under [111] biaxial strain. Figure 3 shows the energy gain with respect to  $R\bar{3}m$ . The strain diagram displays several low-symmetry low-energy structures. At zero-temperature, nonpolar  $P2_1/c$  is favored under compressive strain. Under tensile strain, two phases—nonpolar  $R\bar{3}c$  at small strain, and then polar Cc at higher strain—are predicted. At tensile strain, several polar phases are nearby in energy and display a small energy difference with  $R\bar{3}m$ , suggesting that is quite likely to observe a ferroelectric phase at higher temperatures and large enough strain. We organize our following analysis by looking at the free-energy coupling terms involving polar, antiferrodistortive, and strain degrees of freedom. We refer to Pertsev and co-workers [36] for the sign and relative magnitude of the coefficients in front of the free-energy terms.

Similar to the [001] biaxial strain case, antiferrodistortive oxygen octahedral rotations are stabilized in the entire strain range due to the strong  $R_5^-$  instability. At compressive strain, the paraelectric phases C2/c and  $P2_1/c$  dominate at low energy. At -4% strain, the rotational angles along the cubic directions are given by 11°, 6°, and 6° for C2/c (a<sup>-</sup>b<sup>-</sup>b<sup>-</sup> in Glazer notation) and 0°, 11°, and 11° for P21/c (a<sup>+</sup>b<sup>-</sup>b<sup>-</sup> in Glazer notation). The unstable  $M_2^+$  cubic mode, not included in the phenomenological model, breaks additional symmetries and favors  $P2_1/c$  over C2/c by a small energy difference. At tensile strain, the paraelectric phase  $R\overline{3}c$  (a<sup>-</sup>a<sup>-</sup>a<sup>-</sup>) corresponds to the ground-state energy structure between 0% and +1.2% strain and is later suppressed above  $\sim +1.5\%$  strain.

The splitting of the  $R_5^-$  mode suppresses the antiferrodistortive  $R\bar{3}c$  phase (a<sup>-</sup>a<sup>-</sup>a<sup>-</sup>) at compressive strain (see Fig. 3). For rotational patters away from the [111] axis, the coefficient in front of the free-energy term  $+S(Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 + Q_3^2)$ 



FIG. 3. Energy (meV/f.u.) versus [111] biaxial strain (%) diagram for SrTiO<sub>3</sub>. For each strain value, relative energy stability is computed with respect to the reference  $R\bar{3}m$  structure. Negative (positive) values represent compressive (tensile) [111] biaxial strain. The legend shows the symmetry modes for the low-symmetry structures.  $C2^*$  denotes the structure obtained from C2 by freezing in the  $R_5^-$ [111] mode.

 $(S = S_1 = S_2 = S_3)$  is positive and predicts enhancement and suppression of octahedral tiltings at compressive (S < 0) and tensile (S > 0) strains, respectively. Accordingly, the rotational angles decrease with strain to 8 (0%) and 5 (4%) for  $P2_1/c$ , and to 10°, 4°, and 4° (0%) for C2/c. For  $R\bar{3}c$ , the rotational angles increase with strain, and are given by 2°, 6°, and 7° at -4, 0, and 4% strains, respectively.

Interestingly, the splitting of the threefold  $R_5^-$  cubic mode induces a first-order transition between  $P2_1/c$  and  $R\overline{3}c$ , as well as a discontinuity in the energy profile of C2/c. The splitting of the  $R_5^-$  mode and the discontinuity of the rotational patterns originates from the free-energy term  $+(S_4Q_2Q_3 + S_5Q_1Q_3 +$  $S_6Q_1Q_2)$ . Under strain, this term takes the form  $+S_v(Q_2Q_3 +$  $Q_1Q_3 + Q_1Q_2)$  ( $S_v = S_4 = S_5 = S_6$ ) and approaches  $-S_vQ^2$ and  $+S_v3Q^2$  for  $C2/c(R_5^-[1\overline{1}0])$  and  $R\overline{3}c(R_5^-[111])$ , respectively, favoring C2/c and  $R\overline{3}c$  at compressive ( $S_v > 0$ ) and tensile ( $S_v < 0$ ) strains, respectively. Above 0% strain, C2/cconverges to the higher-symmetry structure  $R\overline{3}c$  and displays a discontinuity.

In sharp contrast to the [001] biaxial strain case, all ferroelectric phases are stabilized at tensile [111] biaxial strain, in agreement with the results of Fig. 2. The free-energy term  $-S(P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2)$  ( $S = S_1 = S_2 = S_3$ ) has a negative sign and predicts suppression and enhancement of ferroelectricity at compressive (S < 0) and tensile (S > 0) strains, respectively. The ferroelectric phases *Cm*, *C2*, and *R3m* are stabilized at +0.5%, +0.5%, and +1.3% strains, respectively, due to the stabilization of the  $\Gamma_4^-$  phonon mode.

The relative strain stabilization of *Cm*, *C2*, and *R3m* at tensile strain and the splitting of the unstable TO mode at  $\Gamma$  [see Fig. 2(c)] originates by the free-energy term  $-(S_4P_2P_3 + S_5P_1P_3 + S_6P_1P_2)$ . Upon stabilization of the polar  $\Gamma_4^-$  mode at tensile strain, the coupling term takes the form  $-S_v(P_2P_3 + P_1P_3 + P_1P_2)$  ( $S_v = S_4 = S_5 = S_6$ ), and approaches  $+S_vP^2$  for  $Cm(\Gamma_4^-[11\bar{1}])$  and  $C2(\Gamma_4^-[1\bar{1}0])$ , and  $-S_v3P^2$  for  $R3m(\Gamma_4^-[111])$ . The later effectively favors *Cm* and *C2* over *R3m* by a small energy difference. As shown in Fig. 3, in the absence of oxygen octahedral rotations, the lowest energy structure corresponds to *Cm* [10].

Polar and antiferrodistortive coupling terms further increase the energy gain of the ferroelectric phases. The R3c,  $C2^*$ , and Cc structures, combining polar and antiferrodistortive distortions ( $\Gamma_4^-$  and  $R_5^-$  instabilities), are stabilized almost simultaneously at +1.2%, +1.1%, and +1.2% strains, respectively. The strain-independent freeenergy term  $-(P_1P_2Q_1Q_2 + P_1P_3Q_1Q_3 + P_2P_3Q_2Q_3)$  shifts the critical strain stabilization of the ferroelectric phases, and above +1.2% strain, favors the ferroelectric Cc phase as the ground state of the system. Notably, the low-symmetry polar phases  $P2_1$ , Pc, R3, and P1 are not stabilized in SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and converge to the higher-symmetry Cc phase.

Finally, Fig. 4 shows the polarization as a function of [111] biaxial strain for the ferroelectric phases shown in Fig. 3. Polarization components are shown along Cartesian and cubic directions in Fig. 4 and Table III, respectively, and their relation is described in Fig. 1. Similar to the [001] case, polarization becomes nonzero above a critical strain, and its magnitude increases with tensile strain. The maximum polarization value at +4% [111] biaxial strain corresponds to  $42 \,\mu C/\text{cm}^2$  for *Cm* (7 and  $42 \,\mu C/\text{cm}^2$  in-



FIG. 4. Polarization (*P*) versus [111] biaxial strain along the Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z). The relation between Cartesian and cubic directions is given in Fig. 1. Polar structures are separated among those without: (a) *R3m*, (b) *C2*, (c) *Cm*, and those with: (d) *R3c*, (e) *C2*<sup>\*</sup>, (f) *Cc*, oxygen octahedral rotations.

plane and out-of-plane, respectively), smaller than the maximum polarization value computed for an equivalent amount of [001] biaxial strain (53 and  $50 \,\mu C/\text{cm}^2$  for *P4mm* and *Amm2* at compressive and tensile [001] biaxial strains, respectively).

Ferroelectric phases display in-plane and/or out-of-plane polarization components at tensile [111] biaxial strain. Notably, the out-of-plane polarization component of Cm can be induced by an in-plane electric field due to a symmetry allowed third-order term in the free-energy expansion [56], suggesting a coupling mechanism between in-plane and out-of-plane polarization components. Our results are expected to be in qualitative agreement with experiments and, in particular, to be independent of the choice of DFT functional. In this regard, we find that the generalized gradient approximation [58] leads to qualitatively similar results than the LDA, and predicts Cc as the ground state structure at tensile strain.

More generally, the symmetry conditions imposed on the material by [111] biaxial strain are summarized by the strain conditions  $S = S_1 = S_2 = S_3$  and  $S_v = S_4 = S_5 = S_6$  in the reference coordinates, with  $S_v \ll S$ . The latter shows that biaxial strain applied perpendicular to the [111] growth direction resembles the application of hydrostatic pressure. Unlike [001] biaxial strain, which favors Ti off-centering along outand in-plane lattice vectors, tensile [111] biaxial strain induces a uniform elongation of the unit cell and favors Ti off centering simultaneously along the out- and in-plane directions.

Therefore, tensile [111] biaxial strain softens the TO mode and favors the emergence of ferroelectricity.

Our first-principles results can be easily generalized to other ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskite oxides with polar and antiferrodistortive instabilities. In particular, the symmetry analysis of Table III is valid for any bulk or layered perovskite heterostructure. However, the relative stability of the symmetry-allowed nonpolar and polar phases will depend on the stoichiometric details of the material or, equivalently, the coefficients in front of the free-energy terms in the phenomenological model.

In summary, DFT calculations show that  $SrTiO_3$  display ferroelectricity at tensile [111] biaxial strain and paraelectricity at compressive [111] biaxial strain. At tensile strain, ferroelectricity emerge with the softening of the lowest TO polar mode and polarization increases with tensile strain. At compressive strain, the absence of ferroelectricity can be explained by a homogeneous suppression of the polar mode.

- M. Dawber, K. M. Rabe, and J. F. Scott, Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1083 (2005).
- [2] K. M. Rabe, C. H. Ahn, and J.-M. Triscone, *Physics of Fer*roelectrics: A Modern Perspective (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007).
- [3] N. A. Pertsev, A. G. Zembilgotov, and A. K. Tagantsev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1988 (1998).
- [4] R. F. Berger, C. J. Fennie, and J. B. Neaton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 146804 (2011).
- [5] A. Antons, J. B. Neaton, K. M. Rabe, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 71, 024102 (2005).
- [6] R. Oja, K. Johnston, J. Frantti, and R. M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 094102 (2008).
- [7] A. Raeliarijaona and H. Fu, J. Appl. Phys. 115, 054105 (2014).
- [8] M. Moreau, A. Marthinsen, S. M. Selbach, and T. Tybell, Phys. Rev. B 95, 064109 (2017).
- [9] A. Marthinsen, S. M. Griffin, M. Moreau, T. Grande, T. Tybell, and S. M. Selbach, Phys. Rev. Mater. 2, 014404 (2018).
- [10] T. Angsten, L. W. Martin, and M. Asta, Phys. Rev. B 95, 174110 (2017).
- [11] R. I. Eglitis and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 77, 195408 (2008).
- [12] R. I. Eglitis, Ferroelectrics **483**, 53 (2015).
- [13] R. I. Eglitis, Phys. Status Solidi B 252, 635 (2015).
- [14] R. Xu, R. Gao, S. E. Reyes-Lillo, S. Saremi, Y. Dong, H. Lu, Z. Chen, X. Lu, Y. Qi, S.-L. Hsu, A. R. Damodaran, H. Zhou, J. B. Neaton, and L. W. Martin, ACS Nano 12, 4736 (2018).
- [15] J. Li, J. Wang, M. Wuttig, R. Ramesh, W. Naigang, B. Ruette, A. P. Pyatakov, A. K. Zvezdin, and D. Viehland, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 5261 (2004).
- [16] D. Doennig, W. E. Pickett, and R. Pentcheva, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 126804 (2013).
- [17] I. Hallsteinsen, M. Moreau, A. Grutter, M. Nord, P.-E. Vullum, D. A. Gilbert, T. Bolstad, J. K. Grepstad, R. Holmestad, S. M. Selbach, A. T. N'Diaye, B. J. Kirby, E. Arenholz, and T. Tybell, Phys. Rev. B 94, 201115 (2016).
- [18] C. Piamonteze, M. Gibert, J. Heidler, J. Dreiser, S. Rusponi, H. Brune, J.-M. Triscone, F. Nolting, and U. Staub, Phys. Rev. B 92, 014426 (2015).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank D. Schlom, B. Montserrat, R. F. Berger, and T. Birol for valuable discussions. This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231: Materials Project program No. KC23MP. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Additional calculations were performed at the Molecular Foundry, supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The work of K.M.R. was supported by Office of Naval Research N00014-17-1-2770. The work of S.E.R. was partly supported by Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT, Chile) through the program Iniciación en Investigación 2018 under Grant No. 11180590.

- [19] I. Hallsteinsen, J. E. Boschker, M. Nord, S. Lee, M. Rzchoswki, P. E. Vullum, J. K. Grepstad, R. Holmestad, C. B. Eom, and T. Tybell, J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 183512 (2013).
- [20] M. Gibert, P. Zubko, R. Scherwitzl, J. Íñiguez, and J.-M. Triscone, Nat. Mater. 11, 195 (2012).
- [21] G. Herranz, F. Sanchez, N. Dix, M. Scigaj, and J. Fontcuberta, Sci. Rep. 2, 758 (2012).
- [22] T. H. Kim, D. Puggioni, Y. Yuan, L. Xie, H. Zhou, N. Campbell, P. J. Ryan, Y. Choi, J.-W. Kim, J. R. Patzner, S. Ryu, J. P. Podkaminer, J. Irwin, Y. Ma, C. J. Fennie, M. S. Rzchowski, X. Q. Pan, V. Gopalan, J. M. Rondinelli, and C. B. Eom, Nature 533, 68 (2016).
- [23] Y. Weng, X. Huang, Y. Yao, and S. Dong, Phys. Rev. B 92, 195114 (2015).
- [24] D. Xiao, W. Zhu, Y. Ran, N. Nagaosa, and S. Okamoto, Nat. Commun. 2, 596 (2011).
- [25] M. S. Wrighton, A. B. Ellis, P. T. Wolczanski, D. L. Morse, H. B. Abrahamson, and D. S. Ginley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 2774 (1976).
- [26] J. F. Schooley, W. R. Hosler, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 474 (1964).
- [27] Y. Kozuka, M. Kim, C. Bell, B. G. Kim, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, Nature 462, 487 (2009).
- [28] G. Shirane and Y. Yamada, Phys. Rev. 177, 858 (1969).
- [29] K. A. Müller and H. Burkard, Phys. Rev. B 19, 3593 (1979).
- [30] H. Uwe and T. Sakudo, Phys. Rev. B 13, 271 (1976).
- [31] W. Zhong and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2587 (1995).
- [32] A. Yamanaka, M. Kataoka, Y. Inaba, K. Inoue, B. Hehlen, and E. Courtens, Europhys. Lett. 50, 688 (2000).
- [33] N. Sai and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 62, 13942 (2000).
- [34] W. Zhong and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5047 (1996).
- [35] P. Ghosez, D. Desquesnes, X. Gonze, and K. M. Rabe, AIP Conf. Proc. 535, 102 (2000).
- [36] N. A. Pertsev, A. K. Tagantsev, and N. Setter, Phys. Rev. B 61, 825 (2000).
- [37] N. A. Pertsev, A. K. Tagantsev, and N. Setter, Phys. Rev. B 65, 219901(E) (2002).

- [38] H. Thomas and K. A. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 1256 (1968).
- [39] O. Diéguez, K. M. Rabe, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 72, 144101 (2005).
  [40] T. Hashimoto, T. Nishlmatsu, H. Mizuseki, Y. Kawazoe,
- A. Sasaki, and Y. Ikeda, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 44, 7134 (2005).
- [41] C.-H. Lin, C.-M. Huang, and G. Y. Guo, J. Appl. Phys. 100, 084104 (2006).
- [42] L. Ni, Y. Liu, C. Song, W. Wang, G. Han, and Y. Ge, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 406, 4145 (2011).
- [43] J. H. Haeni, P. Irvin, W. Chang, R. Uecker, P. Reiche, Y. L. Li, S. Choudhury, W. Tian, M. E. Hawley, B. Craigo, A. K. Tagantsev, X. Q. Pan, S. K. Streiffer, L. Q. Chen, S. W. Kirchoefer, J. Levy, and D. G. Schlom, Nature 430, 758 (2004).
- [44] Y. Hinuma, G. Pizzi, Y. Kumagai, F. Oba, and I. Tanaka, Comput. Mater. Sci. 128, 140 (2017).
- [45] J. P. Perdew and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5048 (1981).
- [46] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [47] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996).

- [48] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- [49] A. Togo and I. Tanaka, Scr. Mater. **108**, 1 (2015).
- [50] R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1651 (1993).
- [51] T. Birol, N. A. Benedek, and C. J. Fennie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 257602 (2011).
- [52] B. Himmetoglu, A. Janotti, H. Peelaers, A. Alkauskas, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B 90, 241204(R) (2014).
- [53] M. Schmidbauer, A. Kwasniewski, and J. Schwarzkopf, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 68, 8 (2012).
- [54] W. Jauch and A. Palmer, Phys. Rev. B 60, 2961 (1999).
- [55] P. Ghosez, E. Cockayne, U. V. Waghmare, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B 60, 836 (1999).
- [56] A. K. Tagantsev, N. A. Pertsev, P. Muralt, and N. Setter, Phys. Rev. B 65, 012104 (2001).
- [57] C. J. Eklund, C. J. Fennie, and K. M. Rabe, Phys. Rev. B 79, 220101(R) (2009).
- [58] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).