

## Erratum: Interaction-Driven Relaxation of Two-Level Systems in Glasses [Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 165505 (2006)]

Doru Bodea and Alois Würger  
(Received 13 September 2007; published 12 October 2007)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.159903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.159903)

PACS numbers: 61.43.Fs, 64.90.+b, 77.22.Ch, 99.10.Cd

In our Letter [1] we considered the relaxational dynamics induced by elastic interaction of two or three two-level systems. As pointed out by Burin and Polishchuk [2], our criterion for the existence of resonant triples is too weak, and thus overestimates their number.

We correct the number of resonant triple configurations  $W_3$ . Changing the variable  $dV \rightarrow dJ$  in the probability distribution  $P_0 dV dE$  of neighbor two-level systems, one finds the distribution in terms of the energy splitting  $E$  and the elastic coupling  $J = U_0/r^3$ ,

$$P_0 dV dE = \frac{4\pi}{3} P_0 U_0 \frac{dJ}{J^2} dE, \quad (1)$$

and the number of resonant pair configurations [2],

$$W_2 = \frac{4\pi}{3} P_0 U_0 \int \frac{dJ_{ij}}{J_{ij}^2} \int dE_j \mathcal{R}_{ij} \approx \frac{8\pi}{3} P_0 U_0 \ln \frac{k_B T}{\hbar \gamma_{\text{ph}}}, \quad (2)$$

where the resonance condition  $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$  requires  $|E_i - E_j| \leq J_{ij}$  and results in  $\int dE_j \mathcal{R}_{ij} = 2J_{ij}$ . With the notation of [1] one obtains

$$W_3 = \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} P_0 U_0 \right)^2 \int \frac{dJ_k}{J_k^2} \int \frac{dJ_{ij}}{J_{ij}^2} \int dE_k \int dE_j \mathcal{R}_{ijk}. \quad (3)$$

As pointed out in [2], the condition  $\mathcal{R}_{ijk}$  used in [1],  $|E_i \mp E_j \mp E_k| \leq J_k$ , is not sufficient for hybridization of three-particle states; the correct criterion reads  $|E_i \mp E_j \mp E_k| \leq J_{ij} J_k / E_k$  [2]. Proceeding as above for  $W_2$ , one has  $\int dE_j \mathcal{R}_{ijk} = 2J_{ij} J_k / E_k$ . The weak-coupling condition and the restriction to thermal systems are summarized as  $\hbar \gamma_{\text{ph}} \leq J_{ij} \leq J_k \leq E_k \leq k_B T$ . Inserting these boundaries in the above integrals and summing over permutations of  $i, j, k$ , one finds the expression

$$W_3 \approx \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} P_0 U_0 \right)^2 \left( \ln \frac{k_B T}{\hbar \gamma_{\text{ph}}} \right)^3, \quad (4)$$

which corrects Eq. (7) of [1]. With the numbers given in [1] one finds at  $T = 10$  mK the value  $W_3 \sim \frac{1}{10}$ , implying that resonant triples are less frequent.

The modification of  $W_3$  affects the criterion for the onset of triple relaxation, whereas the memory function  $M_4$  and the rate  $\gamma_{\text{tr}}$  are unchanged; i.e., Eqs. (6) and (8) of [1] remain valid where  $W_3$  is of the order of unity. Yet interaction-driven relaxation is expected to be relevant even for systems where  $W_3$  is moderately smaller than unity.

In this range, higher-order terms of the perturbation series may contribute significantly to the memory kernel

$$M = M_2 + M_4 + M_6 + \dots, \quad (5)$$

thus requiring partial resummation of the series. Even if the probability  $W_n$  to find an  $n$  cluster is smaller than unity, the sum of all contributions  $M_{2n-2}$  to the memory function may result in relaxation.

The authors acknowledge A. L. Burin for communicating Ref. [2].

[1] D. Bodea and A. Würger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 165505 (2006).

[2] A. L. Burin and I. Ya. Polishchuk, arXiv:0707.2596.