## Index Theorem for the Zero Modes of Majorana Fermion Vortices in Chiral *p*-Wave Superconductors

Sumanta Tewari,<sup>1</sup> S. Das Sarma,<sup>1</sup> and Dung-Hai Lee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

(Received 28 September 2006; published 16 July 2007)

Received 28 September 2000, published 10 July 2007)

We show that the Majorana fermion zero modes in the cores of odd winding number vortices of a 2D  $(p_x + ip_y)$ -paired superconductor is due to an index theorem. This theorem is analogous to that proven by Jackiw and Rebbi for the existence of localized Dirac fermion zero modes on the mass domain walls of a 1D Dirac theory. The important difference is that, in our case, the theorem is proven for a two component fermion field theory where the first and second components are related by parity reversal and Hermitian conjugation.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.037001

PACS numbers: 74.20.Rp, 03.67.Pp, 71.10.Pm, 74.90.+n

The odd winding number vortices in a spinless (spinpolarized)  $(p_x + ip_y)$ -paired superconductor or superfluid trap zero-energy bound states in the cores [1–5]. These excitations are created by Majorana fermion operators and endow the vortices with non-Abelian statistics [5–7]. It has been proposed that braiding of such non-Abelian vortices can be exploited to build a quantum computer that is immune to environmental errors [6,8–10]. The Pfaffian  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  fractional quantum Hall state [5,11] is a spinless  $p_x + ip_y$  superconductor of the "composite fermions" [5]. Currently there is an ongoing effort to demonstrate the non-Abelian statistics of quasiparticles [12] in such a state.

Zero-energy modes were first proposed to exist in the vortex cores of the  $(p_x + ip_y)$ -paired superfluid A phase of <sup>3</sup>He in Ref. [1]. Later, Volovik demonstrated the existence of these modes by analyzing the quasiparticle trajectories at the vortex cores in Refs. [2-4]. At this point, it is important to distinguish between two different approaches to fermion zero modes at the vortex cores: the "approximate" or quasiclassical zero modes and the "exact" zero modes. In the former, the discreteness of the energy spectrum in the vortex core is ignored, and in the corresponding index theorem the vortex winding number is shown to be equal to the number of quasiparticle branches which cross zero energy as a function of angular momentum L (which is treated as a continuous number) [2,4]. Subsequently, this was also extended to configurations with many vortices with tunneling between the vortex quasiparticles [13]. For the "exact" zero modes, where the discreteness of the energy spectrum at the vortex core is properly taken into account, an index theorem for the odd winding number vortices was proven in Ref. [3] (see also Ref. [4] for the formulation in terms of W parity). Recently a number of works also demonstrated the vortex zero modes by explicitly solving the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations [5,10,14,15] under special choice of the order parameter profile. For even winding number vortices, there is no such zero-energy mode [15]. In this Letter, we will focus on the case where the discreteness of the energy spectrum and both the odd and the even winding number vortices are together taken into account. In addition, our work allows us to establish a link between the vortex zero mode and the zero mode associated with the mass soliton in the one dimensional Dirac equation [16,17], or the domain wall in polyacetylene [18,19].

The Jackiw and Rebbi soliton solution is a simple example of an index theorem where fermionic zero modes can be used to count the topological defects (or magnetic flux quanta) of a background order parameter (or magnetic field). Quite satisfactorily, this elegant mathematical result was realized in polyacetylene as this one dimensional polymer was doped with charges [18]. In this Letter, we ask what the analogous index theorem is for the vortex Majorana fermion zero modes of a  $p_x + ip_y$  superconductor. We proceed by mapping the 2D vortex problem on an effective 1D problem by performing angular momentum decomposition with respect to the center of the vortex. In this way, we can show that for odd winding number vortices there exists a *unique angular momentum channel* in which the following Hamiltonian describes the low energy quasiparticle excitations:

$$H_M = \int dx [-iv_F \chi^{\dagger} \sigma_z \partial_x \chi + m(x) \chi^{\dagger} \sigma_x \chi].$$
(1)

In Eq. (1), m(-x) = -m(x) is a spatially varying mass term that changes sign at x = 0 (the location of the domain wall), and  $\chi^{\dagger}(x)$  is a two component field given by  $(c^{\dagger}(x), c(-x))$  with c(x) being a spinless fermion field. Note the important difference of Eq. (1) with the Dirac theory,

$$H_D = \int dx [-iv_F \psi^{\dagger} \sigma_z \partial_x \psi + m(x) \psi^{\dagger} \sigma_x \psi], \quad (2)$$

where  $\psi^{\dagger}(x) = (f_1^{\dagger}(x), f_2^{\dagger}(x))$  with  $f_{1,2}(x)$  being two *independent* fermion fields. Because of this difference, the zero-energy quasiparticles localized on the mass domain

walls of Eq. (1) are Majorana fermions, while those localized on the domain walls of Eq. (2) are ordinary fermions. As we will show later, for even winding number vortices, such angular momentum channel does not exist.

We first briefly review the derivation of the Jackiw-Rebbi zero mode for Eq. (2). The quasiparticle operator

$$q^{\dagger} = \int dx [\phi_1(x)f_1^{\dagger}(x) + \phi_2(x)f_2^{\dagger}(x)]$$

satisfies  $[H, q^{\dagger}] = \epsilon q^{\dagger}$ . That implies the following Dirac equation for the two component wave function  $\phi^{T}(x) = (\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x))$ :

$$-iv_F\sigma_z\partial_x\phi(x) + \sigma_xm(x)\phi(x) = \epsilon\phi(x).$$
(3)

First we note that because  $\sigma_y$  anticommutes with  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_z$ , if  $\phi(x)$  is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma_y \phi(x)$  is also an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $-\epsilon$ . As a result, the  $\epsilon = 0$  solutions of Eq. (3) can be made a simultaneous eigenstate of  $\sigma_y$ . Let  $\phi_0(x)$  denote such a solution and  $\sigma_y \phi_0(x) = \lambda \phi_0(x)$ . Set  $\epsilon = 0$  and left-multiplying Eq. (3) by  $i\sigma_z$  we obtain

$$\partial_x \phi_0(x) = \frac{\lambda}{v_F} m(x) \phi_0(x),$$

which implies

$$\phi_0(x) = e^{(\lambda/\nu_F) \int_0^x m(y) dy} \phi_0(0).$$
(4)

For  $m(x) = \pm \text{sgn}(x)|m(x)|$ , Eq. (4) is normalizable for  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . In this way we have proven that for each sign change of m(x) there is a single zero-energy mode.

Now let us consider a uniform (i.e., with no vortices) 2D  $p_x + ip_y$  superconductor. The fermionic mean-field Hamiltonian is given by  $K + H_{0P}$ , where

$$K = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \xi_k c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad H_{0P} = -\Delta_0 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (k_x + ik_y) c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}$$
(5)

Here K is the kinetic energy term,  $H_{0P}$  is the pairing term, and  $\xi_k = k^2/2m - \epsilon_F$  with  $\epsilon_F$  the Fermi energy.

With the purpose of treating the electronic state of a single vortex in mind, we change to a new representation where the fermion operators are expanded in angular momentum channels,

$$c_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{m,k} e^{im\theta_{\mathbf{k}}},$$

with *m* an integer. The commutation relation  $\{c_{\mathbf{k}}, c_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\} = \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$  implies

$$\{c_{mk}, c_{np}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{m,n}\delta(k-p).$$

Inserting them in Eq. (5), doing the  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  integral, and linearizing  $\xi_k$  around  $k_F$ , we get,

$$K = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq(v_F q) c_{m,q}^{\dagger} c_{m,q}, \qquad (6)$$

where  $q = k - k_F$ ,  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity, and  $\Lambda$  is a momentum cutoff. So the kinetic energy term separates into uncoupled angular momentum channels indexed by the integer angular momentum *m*. Using similar manipulations to decompose the pairing term, we find, using  $\theta_{-\mathbf{k}} = \pi + \theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $k_x + ik_y = |k|e^{i\theta_k}$ ,

$$H_{0P} = \frac{\Delta_0 k_F}{2\pi^2} \sum_m \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq \cos(m\pi) c_{m,q}^{\dagger} c_{1-m,q}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}$$
(7)

Putting Eqs. (6) and (7) together we have, for each pair of m and 1 - m, the following massive Dirac theory:

$$H_m = \int dx \left[ -i \upsilon'_F \psi_m^{\dagger} \sigma_z \partial_x \psi_m + m_0 \psi_m^{\dagger} \sigma_x \psi_m \right].$$

In the above,  $\psi_m^{\dagger}(x)$  is the Fourier transform of  $(c_{m,q}^{\dagger}, c_{1-m,q})$ ,  $v'_F = v_F/4\pi^2$  and  $m_0 = k_F\Delta_0 \cos(m\pi)/2\pi^2$ . Consequently, all fermionic quasiparticle excitations are gapped.

Next we consider the fermionic Hamiltonian for a single winding number vortex located at the origin. The kinetic energy part of the Hamiltonian remains the same as in Eq. (6). Let us now consider the pairing term. In order to describe the spatial dependence of the superconducting order parameter, we start with the real space description,

$$H_{1P} = -\Delta_0 \int d^2 R \int d^2 r e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}} h(R) g(\mathbf{r}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{R}+\mathbf{r}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{r}} + \text{H.c.}$$
(8)

Here, **R** and **r** are the center of mass and the relative coordinates of the Cooper pair, respectively. h(R) and  $\theta_{\mathbf{R}}$  are the amplitude and the phase of the superconducting order parameter, and  $g(\mathbf{r})$  is the Fourier transform of  $(p_x + ip_y)$ . In the vortex core,  $h(R) \sim (1 - e^{-(R/\xi)})$  with  $\xi$  the coherence length. Substituting  $c_{\mathbf{R}\pm\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger} = 2\pi \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}\pm\mathbf{r})}$  in Eq. (8), we end up with two spatial integrals,  $g(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) = \int d^2 r g(\mathbf{r}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p})\cdot\mathbf{r}} = (k_x - p_x) + i(k_y - p_y)$  and

$$I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) = \int d^2 R e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}} h(R) e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{R}}.$$
 (9)

In order to evaluate  $I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$ , we first note that  $I(R_{\theta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})) = e^{i\theta}I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$ , where  $R_{\theta}$  is the operator that rotates  $(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$  by an angle  $\theta$  in the momentum space. It follows that  $I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) = e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}}I(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|)$ . This can also be seen by writing out the full integral in Eq. (9) as  $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_{\mathbf{R}} \int R dR e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}} h(R) e^{i(k+p)R\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{R}} - \theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}})}$  and making the substitution  $\theta_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \theta'_{\mathbf{R}} + \theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}$ . To evaluate  $I(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|)$  we choose  $(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$  along the y axis. Performing the  $\theta_{\mathbf{R}}$  integral which produces  $-2\pi i J_{-1}(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|R)$ , where  $J_{-1}$  is the Bessel function of the first kind of order -1 [20], and then performing the R integral which produces  $[(2\pi)^3 i/|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^2] \times \mathcal{O}(1)$ , we find

$$H_{1P} = -(2\pi)^3 i \Delta_0 \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}} \frac{(k_x + ik_y)^2 - (p_x + ip_y)^2}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^3} c_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}$$

Finally, using angular momentum expansion of the fermion

037001-2

operators and noting that a function of  $|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|$  is periodic in  $(\theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\mathbf{p}})$  and hence can be Fourier expanded as

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^3} = \sum_m u_m(k, p) e^{-im(\theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\mathbf{p}})},$$

we rewrite  $H_{1P}$  as

$$H_{1P} = -(2\pi)^{2} i \Delta_{0} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}} \sum_{m,m_{1},m_{2}} \left( \frac{k^{2} e^{i2\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} - p^{2} e^{i2\theta_{\mathbf{p}}}}{\sqrt{kp}} \right) u_{m}(k,p) \\ \times e^{-i(m_{1}+m)\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-i(m_{2}-m)\theta_{\mathbf{p}}} c^{\dagger}_{m_{1}k} c^{\dagger}_{m_{2}p} + \text{H.c.}$$
(10)

Performing the  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\theta_{\mathbf{p}}$  integrals in Eq. (9) for the two different terms, we get the conditions  $m_1 = 2 - m$ ,  $m_2 = m$  and  $m_1 = -m$ ,  $m_2 = 2 + m$ , respectively. Since  $1/|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^3$  depends on  $\cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\mathbf{p}})$ , and hence is even in  $(\theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \theta_{\mathbf{p}})$ ,  $u_m(k, p) = u_{-m}(k, p)$ . In addition, since  $1/|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^3$  is symmetric in the  $\mathbf{k} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$  exchange,  $u_m(k, p) = u_m(p, k)$ . Using these, we perform the transformation  $m \rightarrow -m$  and interchange k and p in the second term of Eq. (9) to arrive at the simple form for the pairing term:

$$H_{1P} = -2i\Delta_0 \sum_m \int dk dp k^2 \sqrt{kp} u_m(k, p) c^{\dagger}_{2-m,k} c^{\dagger}_{m,p} + \text{H.c.}$$
(11)

In the above pairing Hamiltonian, each angular momentum channel *m* is coupled to channel (2 - m). The only exception is the channel m = 1 which is decoupled from the rest. In this channel, writing  $k = k_F + q$  and  $p = k_F + q'$  for small *q* and *q'*, and noting that the coefficient of  $c_{1,q}^{\dagger}c_{1,q'}^{\dagger}$  must be odd in (q - q') from the fermion anticommutation relation (otherwise, the integrals over *q* and q' in the pairing term below will give zero since the fermion bilinear changes sign under  $q \leftrightarrow q'$ ), the Hamiltonian for the m = 1 channel takes the form

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq \upsilon_{F} q c_{1,q}^{\dagger} c_{1,q} + i \Delta_{0} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq dq' A(q-q') c_{1,q}^{\dagger} c_{1,q'}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (12)$$

where A(q - q') is an odd function of (q - q'), which, in leading order, we find to be  $\sim k_F^2 u_1(k_F, k_F)(q - q')$ . Defining a two component fermion operator  $\chi_q^{\dagger} = (c_{1,q}^{\dagger}, c_{1,q})$ ,  $H_1$  becomes Eq. (1) where  $\chi^{\dagger}(x) = (c^{\dagger}(x), c(-x))$  is the Fourier transform of  $\chi_q$  and m(x) is the Fourier transform of  $i\Delta_0 A(q)$ . Because of the odd nature of A(q), the mass term satisfies m(-x) = -m(x).

Now we prove the index theorem for Eq. (1). By writing the quasiparticle operator as

$$\gamma^{\dagger} = \int dx [\eta_1(x)\chi_1^{\dagger}(x) + \eta_2(x)\chi_2^{\dagger}(x)],$$

where  $\chi_1^{\dagger}(x) = c^{\dagger}(x)$  and  $\chi_2^{\dagger}(x) = c(-x)$  and demanding that  $[H, \gamma^{\dagger}] = \epsilon \gamma^{\dagger}$ , we obtain the following equation for  $\eta^T(x) = (\eta_1(x), \eta_2(x))$ :

$$-iv_F\sigma_z\partial_x\eta(x) + m(x)\sigma_x\eta(x) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}\eta(x).$$
(13)

The rest of the proof for the zero mode is identical to that in the Dirac fermion case. To see that the zero-energy quasiparticle is a Majorana fermion we recall that the zeroenergy solution of Eq. (11) is an eigenstate of  $\sigma_y$ . Explicitly it takes the form

$$\eta(x) = e^{(\lambda/\nu_F) \int_0^x m(y) dy} \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we have chosen a particular global phase for the  $\sigma_y$  eigenvector. Like the Dirac fermion zero modes, the value of  $\lambda$  here is  $\pm 1$  when the mass profile satisfies  $m(x) = \mp \text{sgn}(x)|m(x)|$ . The corresponding quasiparticle operator takes the form

$$\gamma^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int dx e^{(\lambda/\nu_F) \int_0^x m(y) dy} \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}} [c_1^{\dagger}(x) - ic_1(-x)],$$
(14)

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a normalization factor. Since  $e^{(\lambda/v_F)} \int_0^x m(y) dy$  is an even function of *x* [since m(x) is an odd function], one can easily verify that  $\gamma^{\dagger} = \gamma$ , i.e., the quasiparticle is a Majorana fermion.

In angular momentum channels other than m = 1, channel *m* is coupled to channel (2 - m). For these channels, the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$H_{m} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq v_{F} q(c_{mq}^{\dagger}c_{mq} + c_{2-mq}^{\dagger}c_{2-mq}) + i\Delta_{0} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq dq' B(q, q') c_{mq}^{\dagger} c_{2-mq'}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}$$

In this case there is no requirement that B(q, q') has to change sign upon  $q \leftrightarrow q'$  since the fermion operators in the pairing term are from two different angular momentum channels. Thus, generically, B(0, 0) is nonzero, and the spectrum is gapped. In this case the real space Hamiltonian resembles Eq. (2) with  $\psi^{\dagger}(x)$  the Fourier transform of  $(c_{mq}, c_{2-mq}^{\dagger})$ , and the mass term does not change sign. Hence, there is exactly one zero mode in the spectrum.

For the system with a winding number two vortex, the factor  $e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}}$  in Eq. (8) is replaced by  $e^{2i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}}$ . This simple modification, as we show below, gets rid of the uncoupled angular momentum channel. Consequently, like the  $m \neq 1$  angular momentum channels in the winding number one vortex discussed above, the real space Hamiltonian is of the form in Eq. (2) where the mass term does not change sign. Therefore the Jackiw-Rebbi theorem does not apply and there is no zero-energy mode in the spectrum.

With the modification  $e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}} \rightarrow e^{2i\theta_{\mathbf{R}}}$ , the function  $I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$  in Eq. (9) now satisfies  $I(R_{\theta}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})) = e^{2i\theta}I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})$ . It follows that  $I(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) = e^{2i\theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}}}I(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|)$ . Doing similar calculation as earlier we obtain

$$\begin{split} H_{2P} &= -(2\pi)^2 \Delta_0 \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}} \frac{r(k,\,p,\,\theta_{\mathbf{k}},\,\theta_{\mathbf{p}})}{\sqrt{kp}} \\ &\times \sum_{m,m_1,m_2} \boldsymbol{v}_m(k,\,p) e^{-i(m_1+m)\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} \\ &\times e^{-i(m_2-m)\theta_{\mathbf{p}}} c^{\dagger}_{m_1k} c^{\dagger}_{m_2p} + \text{H.c.}, \end{split}$$

where  $r(k, p, \theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \theta_{\mathbf{p}}) = (k^3 e^{3i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} + k^2 p e^{2i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{p}}} - p^2 k e^{2i\theta_{\mathbf{p}}} e^{i\theta_{\mathbf{k}}} - p^3 e^{3i\theta_{\mathbf{p}}})$ , and  $v_m(k, p)$  is the Fourier component of  $1/|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}|^4$ . Finally, performing the  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\theta_{\mathbf{p}}$  integrals, and transforming  $m \to -m$  along with interchanging k and p in the third and fourth terms of  $H_{2P}$ , we arrive at the equation analogous to Eq. (11):

$$H_{2P} = 2\Delta_0 \sum_m \int dk dp (k^3 + k^2 p) \sqrt{kp} \upsilon_m(k, p) c_{3-mk}^{\dagger} c_{mp}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}$$

It is clear from this equation that, in the case of the vortex with winding number two, no angular momentum channel in the pairing term decouples from the rest.

We can generalize the above calculations to the cases of arbitrary odd and even winding number vortices. For a vortex with an odd winding number, 2n - 1, because of the factor  $e^{i(2n-1)\theta_{\mathbf{R}}}$  in the pairing term, angular momentum channel m is coupled to channel (2n - m). In this case, there is always a channel, m = n, which is decoupled from the rest. In this channel, quite generally, the Hamiltonian maps on Eq. (1) with a mass term which is an odd function simply because of the fermion anticommutation relation. The index theorem we proved in this Letter then implies the existence of a zero-energy Majorana fermion quasiparticle. In contrast, for a vortex with an even winding number 2n, angular momentum channel *m* is coupled to channel (2n + 1 - m) by the pairing term. Consequently, like in the case of the vortex with winding number two, there is no decoupled angular momentum channel and there is no zero mode. Note that in the physics discussed above the breaking of time reversal symmetry is crucial, since, for 2D nonchiral p-wave superconductors, the bulk itself is gapless.

For *s*-wave superconductors, pairing occurs between the fermions with opposite spins. In this case, for a vortex with winding number one, one can show that the pairing term couples angular momenta *m* and 1 - m, like in the uniform case discussed above. So there is no isolated channel. For a vortex with winding number two, the channel m = 1 decouples, and the Hamiltonian in this channel takes the form

$$H_{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq \upsilon_{F} q c_{1q\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1q\sigma}$$
$$+ \Delta_{0} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq dq' C(q, q') c_{1q\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1,q'\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.} \quad (15)$$

However, in Eq. (13), because of the opposite spins of the

fermions in the pairing term, the anticommutation relations fail to produce an odd mass term. Thus, there is no zero mode at the vortices of an *s*-wave superconductor [21]. Similar arguments apply in the case of other singlet pairings and there is no zero mode at the vortex cores.

To conclude, we have proven an index theorem for the existence of zero modes in the vortex cores of chiral p-wave superconductors. The formalism also correctly captures the low energy quasiparticle physics at the vortex cores of s-wave superconductors. The index theorem provides the physics of the zero modes with robustness making it insensitive to small perturbations. This robustness is also shown in Ref. [22] by a different method.

We thank A. Seidel, Y.B. Kim, and A. Vishwanath for interesting discussions. S.T. thanks the Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, for hospitality. This research is supported by the DOE Grant No. DE-AC03-76SF00098, ARO-DTO, ARO-LPS, and NSF.

- N. B. Kopnin and M. M. Salomaa, Phys. Rev. B 44, 9667 (1991).
- [2] G.E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 57, 244 (1993).
- [3] G.E. Volovik, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 70, 601 (1999)
   [JETP Lett. 70, 609 (1999)].
- [4] G.E. Volovik, *The Universe in a Helium Droplet* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2003).
- [5] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [6] D.A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 268 (2001).
- [7] A. Stern, F. von Oppen, and E. Mariani, Phys. Rev. B 70, 205338 (2004).
- [8] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 303, 2 (2003).
- [9] S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari, Phys. Rev. B 73, 220502(R) (2006).
- [10] S. Tewari, S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, C. Zhang, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 010506 (2007).
- [11] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B360, 362 (1991).
- [12] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B479, 529 (1996).
- [13] A.S. Mel'nikov and M.A. Silaev, JETP Lett. 83, 578 (2006).
- [14] M. Stone and R. Roy, Phys. Rev. B 69, 184511 (2004).
- [15] M. Stone and S.-B. Chung, Phys. Rev. B 73, 014505 (2006).
- [16] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3398 (1976).
- [17] R. Jackiw and J.R. Schrieffer, Nucl. Phys. B190, 253 (1981).
- [18] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett.
   42, 1698 (1979); Phys. Rev. B 22, 2099 (1980).
- [19] H. Takayama, Y.R. Lin-Liu, and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. B 21, 2388 (1980).
- [20] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic, New York, 1980).
- [21] C. Caroli, P.G. de Gennes, and J. Matricon, Phys. Lett. 9, 307 (1964).
- [22] V. Gurarie and L. Radzihovsky, arXiv:cond-mat/0610094.