## Atom-Molecule Rabi Oscillations in a Mott Insulator

N. Syassen, D. M. Bauer, M. Lettner, D. Dietze, T. Volz, S. Dürr, and G. Rempe

Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

(Received 17 April 2007; published 19 July 2007)

We observe large-amplitude Rabi oscillations between an atomic and a molecular state near a Feshbach resonance. The experiment uses <sup>87</sup>Rb in an optical lattice and a Feshbach resonance near 414 G. The frequency and amplitude of the oscillations depend on the magnetic field in a way that is well described by a two-level model. The observed density dependence of the oscillation frequency agrees with theoretical expectations. We confirmed that the state produced after a half-cycle contains exactly one molecule at each lattice site. In addition, we show that, for energies in a gap of the lattice band structure, the molecules cannot dissociate.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.033201

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s, 03.75.Nt

In recent years, the field of ultracold molecular gases has undergone rapid progress. These systems have the potential for a variety of interesting applications, e.g., in precision measurements or in quantum simulations. As precision measurements are usually best performed in terms of frequency measurements, atom-molecule Rabi oscillations open up promising opportunities in this direction. Specifically, it was proposed recently that measurements of atomic scattering properties with moderate accuracy might be used to perform sensitive tests for drifts of fundamental constants [1]. Most of the work on molecule association so far has been based on adiabatically ramping a magnetic field across a Feshbach resonance [2-8]. Unlike adiabatic ramps, time-resolved Rabi oscillations allow for full control over the final superposition state produced. The pioneering experiment on molecule association recorded Ramsey oscillations between the atomic and the molecular state, but this experiment observed Rabi oscillations only "over a very limited range" of the magnetic field [9]. In a subsequent experiment, atommolecule Rabi oscillations with 6% amplitude were induced using a radio-frequency field [10]. Atom-molecule Rabi oscillations were also reported in a photoassociation experiment [11]. In addition, oscillations between two bound molecular states were observed [12].

Here we report the experimental observation of timeresolved Rabi oscillations between the atomic and the molecular state with a large amplitude. The oscillations are weakly damped and the data show oscillations up to the 29th cycle. The observation of Rabi oscillations requires a pulse shape that is rectangular, or at least strongly diabatic. In free space, such pulses populate [9] the continuum of above-threshold entrance-channel states, thus leading to oscillations between many levels, typically with a small molecular amplitude. We avoid this by working in a deep three-dimensional optical lattice, where the entrancechannel states are discrete. For weak enough coupling, the coupling of the molecular state to only one entrancechannel state is noticeable. In addition, the lattice isolates the molecules from each other, thus suppressing loss due to inelastic collisions [13]. Our experiment starts from an atomic Mott insulator [14] prepared such that the central region of the cloud contains exactly two atoms at each lattice site. The quantum state reached after a half-cycle of the atom-molecule Rabi oscillation therefore contains exactly one molecule at each lattice site in this central region. We previously prepared the same state using an adiabatic ramp of the magnetic field [15,16]. In addition, we show that confinement-induced molecules exist not only below the lowest band of the lattice [17], but also in band gaps.

We use an optical lattice that is deep enough that tunneling is negligible. Here, each lattice site represents a simple harmonic trap with angular frequency  $\omega_{ho} = k\sqrt{2V_0/m}$ , where  $2\pi/k = 830.44$  nm is the wavelength of the lattice light, *m* the mass of an atom, and  $V_0$  the lattice depth seen by an atom [15]. In contrast to the free-space case, the closed-channel molecular state  $|\psi_m\rangle$  is coupled to only one discrete state, namely, the motional ground state  $|\psi_a\rangle$  of two entrance-channel atoms at one lattice site. The matrix element  $H_{am} = \langle \psi_a | H | \psi_m \rangle$  of the Hamiltonian *H* is [18,19]

$$H_{am} = \left[\frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{\rm bg}\Delta\mu\Delta B}{m(\sqrt{2\pi}a_{\rm ho})^3} \left(1 + 0.490\frac{a_{\rm bg}}{a_{\rm ho}}\right)\right]^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_{\rm ho} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{\rm ho}}$  is the harmonic oscillator length,  $a_{\rm bg}$  the background scattering length,  $\Delta B$  the width of the Feshbach resonance, and  $\Delta \mu$  the difference between the magnetic moments of an entrance-channel atom pair and a closed-channel molecule. At resonance, Rabi oscillations between the two states are expected to occur with angular frequency  $\Omega_{\rm res} = 2H_{am}/\hbar$ .

In addition to the coupling in Eq. (1),  $|\psi_m\rangle$  can couple to excited *s*-wave trap states of the relative motion of two entrance-channel atoms [8]. This coupling between many states can lead to quite complex dynamics. In order to avoid this, we need a Feshbach resonance with  $\Omega_{\rm res} \ll \omega_{\rm ho}$ . For very small  $\Omega_{\rm res}$ , the magnetic-field noise  $\delta B_{\rm rms}$  [21] becomes an issue, resulting in the condition  $\delta B_{\rm rms} \Delta \mu/\hbar \ll \Omega_{\rm res} \ll \omega_{\rm ho}$ . In the experiment, we choose

a Feshbach resonance in <sup>87</sup>Rb near 414 G with both incoming atoms in the hyperfine state  $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$  [22]. A coupled-channels calculation [23] predicts  $a_{bg} =$ 100.8 Bohr radii and  $\Delta B = 18$  mG. The Breit-Rabi formula predicts  $\Delta \mu = 2\pi\hbar \times 111$  kHz/G. This is an unusually small value that helps reducing  $\Omega_{res}$  as well as the sensitivity to magnetic-field noise.

Our experiment starts with the preparation of an ultracold gas of <sup>87</sup>Rb atoms in the hyperfine state  $|1, -1\rangle$  in a magnetic trap. When the gas is just above the critical temperature for Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC), it is transferred into an optical dipole trap, where a magnetic field of  $\sim 1$  G is applied to preserve the spin polarization of the atoms. Next, a magnetic field of  $\sim$ 412 G is switched on rapidly. We deliberately create an angle between the two fields, so that the sudden turn-on populates all F = 1 Zeeman states. We then drive evaporative cooling by lowering the dipole trap potential. Because of the presence of a slight magnetic-field gradient, this preferentially removes atoms in states  $|1, -1\rangle$  and 1,1). We obtain an almost pure BEC with more than 90% of the population in the state  $|1, 0\rangle$ . Next, an optical lattice is slowly ramped up. The experimental parameters are chosen in such a way that the central region of the resulting Mott insulator contains exactly two atoms at each lattice site [15]. Unless otherwise noted, the lattice depth seen by an atom is  $V_0 = 15E_r$ , where  $E_r = \hbar^2 k^2/2m$  is the atomic recoil energy.

Subsequently, the magnetic field is jumped to a value very close to the 414-G Feshbach resonance. In response to the step in the externally applied field, eddy currents build up. We therefore use two subsequent steps of the magnetic field. The first step begins 2.4 G below the Feshbach resonance and ends typically 50 mG away from the Feshbach resonance, where mixing between states  $|\psi_a\rangle$  and  $|\psi_m\rangle$  is negligible. 250  $\mu$ s later the eddy currents have fully settled and the second step is applied. The height of the second step is small enough that eddy currents have negligible effect. After this step, we hold the magnetic field for a variable time. Finally, magnetic field, lattice, and dipole trap are abruptly switched off and after 4 ms of free flight an absorption image is taken. The imaging light is resonant with an atomic transition so that molecules remain invisible.

The number of atoms as a function of hold time right at the Feshbach resonance  $B_{res}$  is shown in Fig. 1. The experimental data clearly show atom-molecule Rabi oscillations up to the 29th cycle. The data show damping in a way that the minimum atom number is essentially unchanged. This suggests that the decay is due to loss of population, as opposed to dephasing which would lead to damping towards the mean atom number. We therefore fit [24]

$$N(t) = N_1 + N_2 e^{-t/\tau} \frac{1 - \cos(\Omega_{\text{Rabi}}t)}{2}$$
(2)

to the data. Both  $|\psi_a\rangle$  and  $|\psi_m\rangle$  can decay into lower-lying open two-atom channels. We measured the decay of population in state  $|\psi_a\rangle$  2.4 G below the Feshbach resonance and obtained a decay rate of less than 1 Hz. We therefore attribute the decay observed in Fig. 1 fully to the state  $|\psi_m\rangle$ . During a Rabi cycle, half of the time on average is spent in this state. Hence, the decay rate  $\Gamma$  of population in  $|\psi_m\rangle$  can be extracted from the fit of Eq. (2) yielding  $\Gamma = 2/\tau = 0.34(2)$  kHz.

The fraction of the population that participates in the oscillation at short time is  $N_2/(N_1 + N_2) = 0.46(1)$ . This value reflects the fraction of lattice sites that are initially occupied by two atoms [15]. The conversion efficiency at these sites is nearly 100%. We repeated the measurements of Ref. [15] in order to verify that the state produced here really is a quantum state in which the central region of the cloud contains exactly one molecule at each lattice site.

The frequency and amplitude of the Rabi oscillations depend on the magnetic-field value during the hold time after the second step. This dependence is shown in Fig. 2. As in any two-level system, the Rabi frequency is expected to follow a hyperbola

$$\Omega_{\text{Rabi}}(B) = \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{res}}^2 + [(B - B_{\text{res}})\Delta\mu/\hbar]^2}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $B_{\rm res}$  is the resonance position which depends on the lattice depth  $V_0$ , as discussed further below. A fit to the data is shown in Fig. 2(a). The best-fit values are  $\Omega_{\rm res} = 2\pi \times 3.2(1)$  kHz and  $\Delta \mu = 2\pi \hbar \times 112(2)$  kHz/G, in good agreement with the result of Fig. 1 and the theoretical prediction, respectively.

The amplitude of the Rabi oscillation is shown in Fig. 2(b) as a function of magnetic field. This amplitude



FIG. 1. Time-resolved Rabi oscillations between the atomic and the molecular state. The experimental data ( $\bullet$ ) show the number of entrance-channel atoms. The line shows a fit of Eq. (2) that yields  $\Omega_{\text{Rabi}} = 2\pi \times 3.221(2)$  kHz and  $\tau = 5.9(4)$  ms.



FIG. 2. Magnetic-field dependence of (a) the frequency and (b) the amplitude of the Rabi oscillations. The lines show fits to the experimental data  $(\bullet)$ .

follows a Lorentzian  $N_2(B) = N_{\text{res}} \Omega_{\text{res}}^2 / \Omega_{\text{Rabi}}^2(B)$  which is shown in Fig. 2(b). We use only  $N_{\text{res}}$  as a free fit parameter and copy the values of the other parameters from the fit to Fig. 2(a).

 $\Omega_{\rm res}$  depends on the atomic density in the entrancechannel state. In Eq. (1) the corresponding effective volume is  $(\sqrt{2\pi}a_{\rm ho})^3/(1+0.49a_{\rm hg}/a_{\rm ho})$ . We varied the lattice depth  $V_0$  in order to verify this density dependence. Results are shown in Fig. 3(a). The line shows a fit of Eq. (1) to the data, where the only free fit parameter is the overall amplitude. As  $\Delta \mu$  and  $a_{bg}$  can typically be predicted much more accurately than  $\Delta B$ , we use this fit to determine  $\Delta B = 15(1)$  mG, which agrees fairly well with theory. The dominant contribution to the error in  $\Delta B$  comes from the calibration of  $V_0$ , which we perform in terms of a frequency measurement [16]. We estimate the relative error in  $V_0$  to be 10%. This determination of  $\Delta B$  is independent of the atom-number calibration, because only lattice sites with exactly two atoms contribute to the oscillation.

The measurements of  $\Omega_{\rm res}$  in Fig. 3(a) rely on a measurement of  $B_{\rm res}$  as a function of lattice depth  $V_0$ . Results of this measurement are shown in Fig. 3(b). Based on the zero-point energy of the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator for the relative motion of the two atoms, one expects [17]  $B_{\rm res} = B_0 + 3\hbar\omega_{\rm ho}/2\Delta\mu$ , where  $B_0$  is the value at  $V_0 = 0$ . The background scattering length  $a_{\rm bg}$  causes a correction [26] yielding

$$B_{\rm res} = B_0 + \frac{\hbar\omega_{\rm ho}}{\Delta\mu} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{a_{\rm bg}}{a_{\rm ho}}\right). \tag{4}$$



FIG. 3. Dependence of (a) the on-resonance Rabi frequency and (b) the Feshbach resonance position on lattice depth. The lines show fits to the data  $(\bullet)$ .

For magnetic fields between  $B_0$  and  $B_{res}$ , the confinement thus stabilizes the molecules against dissociation that would occur in free space [17].

We measure  $B_0 = 413.90$  G [27] and fit Eq. (4) to the data in Fig. 3(b) where we use  $V_0$  as the only free fit parameter, entering the equation in  $\omega_{ho}(V_0)$  and  $a_{ho}(V_0)$ . The fit yields a value of  $V_0$  that is a factor of 1.20(2) larger than our independent calibration. This might mean that our independent calibration of  $V_0$  is worse than we think it is, or else it means that other mechanisms have a significant effect. For example, the harmonic approximation used to derive Eq. (4) might be too inaccurate or the observed deviation might be explained as a differential ac-Stark shift between states  $|\psi_a\rangle$  and  $|\psi_m\rangle$  induced by the lattice light. The size of the differential ac-Stark shift would have to equal 2% of the total ac-Stark shift.

In a final measurement, we study the dissociation of  $|\psi_m\rangle$  into excited trap states of the entrance channel. To this end, we induce Rabi oscillations as in Fig. 1 and then stop the oscillations at a point where the molecule fraction is large, by jumping the magnetic field to a different value, typically far above the lowest oscillator state. We hold the field there for 250  $\mu$ s and then switch it off.

The observed number of entrance-channel atoms is shown in Fig. 4. The peak near 414.5 G corresponds to the lowest oscillator state. The signal at this peak is fairly small because the dissociation pulse duration of 250  $\mu$ s happens to be close to a minimum of the Rabi oscillations, where only few molecules are dissociated. The peaks are approximately equidistant with a separation of ~0.57 G, corresponding to an energy difference of ~2 $\pi\hbar$  × 63 kHz



FIG. 4. Mapping the band structure using molecule dissociation. The separation of the peaks corresponds to  $\sim 2\hbar\omega_{\rm ho}$ ; i.e., the molecules dissociate into even bands. Dissociation is suppressed in the band gaps, showing the existence of confinementinduced band-gap molecules. The data were obtained at  $V_0 = 25E_r$ . The line is a guide to the eye.

which is close to  $2\hbar\omega_{\rm ho} = 2\pi\hbar \times 66$  kHz. Figure 4 shows a suppression of dissociation into odd bands of the lattice as well as into band gaps. The suppression in the gaps demonstrates that confinement-induced molecules can be created not only below the lowest band [17] but also in three-dimensional band gaps. We attribute the suppression of dissociation into odd bands to the fact that these bands have odd parity at quasi momentum zero. Hence, the *s*-wave state  $|\psi_m\rangle$  cannot dissociate at quasimomentum zero. This measurement also profits from the reduced sensitivity to magnetic-field noise due to the small value of  $\Delta\mu$ .

Even when avoiding eddy currents as above, we see no Rabi oscillations for dissociation into the second band near 415.0 G. We attribute this to single-atom tunneling, which has an amplitude of  $J = 2\pi\hbar \times 3.8$  kHz in the second band and leads to decoherence.

In conclusion, we observed large-amplitude atommolecule Rabi oscillations in an optical lattice using a Feshbach resonance. The dependence of the oscillations on magnetic-field and lattice depth agrees well with a theoretical model. In addition, we observed confinementinduced band-gap molecules.

The oscillations observed here can be used for precision measurements of atomic scattering properties that could be employed in sensitive tests for drifts of fundamental constants. In addition, confinement-induced molecules offer more general perspectives to manipulate the stability of molecules by structured environments. Finally, the production of a coherent atom-molecule superposition state with controllable amplitude and phase opens up new possibilities for quantum simulations.

We thank S. Kokkelmans for providing predictions for the parameters of the Feshbach resonance. We acknowledge financial support of the German Excellence Initiative via the program Nanosystems Initiative Munich and of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via SFB 631.

- C. Chin and V. V. Flambaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 230801 (2006).
- [2] C. A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J. L. Bohn, and D. S. Jin, Nature (London) 424, 47 (2003).
- [3] J. Herbig et al., Science **301**, 1510 (2003).
- [4] S. Dürr, T. Volz, A. Marte, and G. Rempe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 020406 (2004).
- [5] K. E. Strecker, G. B. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 080406 (2003).
- [6] J. Cubizolles et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 240401 (2003).
- [7] K. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 210402 (2003).
- [8] T. Köhler, K. Góral, and P.S. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 1311 (2006).
- [9] E. A. Donley, N. R. Claussen, S. T. Thompson, and C. E. Wieman, Nature (London) 417, 529 (2002).
- [10] S. T. Thompson, E. Hodby, and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 190404 (2005).
- [11] C. Ryu et al., arXiv:cond-mat/0508201.
- [12] M. Mark et al., arXiv:0704.0653.
- [13] G. Thalhammer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 050402 (2006).
- [14] M. Greiner et al., Nature (London) 415, 39 (2002).
- [15] T. Volz et al., Nature Phys. 2, 692 (2006).
- [16] S. Dürr et al., in Atomic Physics 20: XXth International Conference on Atomic Physics, edited by Christian Roos, Hartmut Häffner, and Rainer Blatt, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 869 (AIP, New York, 2006), pp. 278–283.
- [17] H. Moritz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 210401 (2005).
- [18] See EPAPS Document No. E-PRLTAO-99-011730 for a derivation of Eq. (1). For more information on EPAPS, see http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html.
- [19] Equation (22) in Ref. [20] is a factor of  $(3/\pi)^{1/4} \sim 0.99$  smaller and neglects the term  $a_{\rm bg}/a_{\rm ho}$ .
- [20] P. S. Julienne, E. Tiesinga, and T. Köhler, J. Mod. Opt. 51, 1787 (2004).
- [21] S. Dürr, T. Volz, and G. Rempe, Phys. Rev. A 70, 031601(R) (2004).
- [22] A. Marte et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 283202 (2002).
- [23] Servaas Kokkelmans (private communication).
- [24] The signal in Fig. 1 has a minimum at t = 0 because for this data set, the first magnetic-field step ends 50 mG above the Feshbach resonance. Hence, the eddy currents effectively lead to an adiabatic ramp across the Feshbach resonance and associate molecules, as in Ref. [25].
- [25] M. Mark et al., Europhys. Lett. 69, 706 (2005).
- [26] T. Busch, B.-G. Englert, K. Rzążewski, and M. Wilkens, Found. Phys. 28, 549 (1998).
- [27] This value is 0.44 G smaller than in Ref. [22]. We attribute this to the fact that the loss feature observed in Ref. [22] at this particular resonance was extraordinarily broad, making an accurate determination of the resonance position in Ref. [22] very difficult.