P H Y S I C A L   R E V I E W   L E T T E R S

Detecting Solar Axions Using Earth's Magnetic Field

Hooman Davoudiasl and Patrick Huber

Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA
(Received 20 October 2005; published 4 October 2006)

We show that solar axion conversion to photons in the Earth's magnetosphere can produce an x-ray flux, with average energy $\langle \omega \rangle \simeq 4$ keV, which is measurable on the dark side of the Earth. The smallness of the Earth's magnetic field is compensated by a large magnetized volume. For axion masses $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV, a low-Earth-orbit x-ray detector with an effective area of 10^4 cm^2, pointed at the solar core, can probe the photon-axion coupling down to 10^{-11} GeV^{-1}, in 1 yr. Thus, the sensitivity of this new approach will be an order of magnitude beyond current laboratory limits.

The existence of weakly interacting light pseudoscalars is well motivated in particle physics. For example, experimental evidence requires the size of *CP* violation in strong interactions, as parametrized by the angle θ, to be very tiny; $\theta \lesssim 10^{-10}$. However, there is no symmetry reason within the standard model (SM) for such a small θ angle; this is the strong *CP* problem. An elegant solution to this puzzle was proposed by Peccei and Quinn (PQ) [1], where a new $U(1)$ symmetry, anomalous under strong interactions, was proposed. This $U(1)$ symmetry is assumed to be spontaneously broken at a scale f_a, resulting in a pseudoscalar Goldstone boson a [2], the axion. Nonperturbative QCD interactions at the scale $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim 100$ MeV generate a potential for the axion, endowing it with a mass $m_a^{\text{PQ}} \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 / f_a$. Experimental and observational bounds have pushed f_a to scales of order 10^7 GeV, which sets the inverse coupling of the axion to the SM fields. Thus the current data suggest that the axion is basically "invisible" and very light. Apart from the considerations related to the strong *CP* problem, axion-type particles are also ubiquitous in string theory.

Astrophysical considerations currently place the most stringent bounds on the coupling of light pseudoscalars to SM particles. For example, bounds from overcooling of SN 1987A via emission of axions by nucleons suggest $m_a^{\text{PQ}} \lesssim 10^{-2}$ eV. However, bounds from the age of globular clusters, which rely on the axion-photon coupling, only require that $m_a^{\text{PQ}} \lesssim 0.4$ eV [3].

The coupling of the axion to photons is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{a\gamma} = -\frac{a}{4M} F_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (1)$$

where $M \sim (\pi/\alpha) f_a$, $\alpha \simeq 1/137$ is the fine structure constant, and $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field strength. The interaction in (1) makes it possible for hot plasmas, like the Sun, to emit a flux of axions through the Primakoff process [4]. This same interaction has also led to experimental proposals [5] for detecting the axion through its conversion to photons in external magnetic fields. Various experimental bounds, most recent of which are set by the CAST

experiment [6], suggest that $g_{a\gamma} \equiv M^{-1} \lesssim 10^{-10}$ GeV^{-1}, as shown in Fig. 1. Here we note that some cosmological considerations related to overclosure of the Universe suggest a lower bound $g_{a\gamma} \gtrsim 10^{-15}$ GeV^{-1} [7]. For a review of different bounds on axion couplings, see Ref. [3].

In what follows, we propose a new approach for detecting solar axions, via their conversion to an x-ray flux in the magnetosphere near a planet, using a detector in orbit (with small modifications, our calculations may also be applied to a light *CP* conserving scalar φ, with a coupling to the electromagnetic field of the form $\varphi F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$). The possibility of using planetary magnetic fields as a conversion region for high energy cosmic axions was discussed in Ref. [8]. We take Earth as our reference example and


FIG. 1.   X-ray flux from axion conversion in units of photons cm^{-2} s^{-1} in the range from 1–10 keV for an orbit of 600 km. The uppermost line corresponds to the sensitivity of existing satellites, whereas the lowermost line could be obtained by future missions. The shaded area schematically depicts the CAST 95% C.L. excluded region [6].

consider the upward flux of axions going through the Earth and exiting on the night side (higher sensitivities can be reached for Jupiter, since its larger magnetic field overcompensates for the drop in the solar axion flux at the Jovian orbit). This setup provides an effective way of removing the solar x-ray background. The radius of the Earth is $R_\oplus \approx 6.4 \times 10^3$ km and its magnetic field is well approximated by a dipole for distances less than 1000 km above the surface. The field strength is $B_\oplus \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5}$ T at the equator and it drops as $1/r^3$ [9]. However, over distances $L \ll R_\oplus$, we may assume $B_\oplus = $ const. We will later show that we are interested in $L < 1000$ km, for which this is a valid assumption.

The Earth's atmosphere is mostly composed of nitrogen and oxygen. Solar axions have an average energy $\langle \omega_a \rangle \simeq$ 4 keV [10], which upon conversion in the magnetosphere will turn into x-ray photons of the same energy. The absorption length λ_x for 4-keV x rays in the Earth's atmosphere is about 10 cm at sea level [11]. However, at an altitude of 150 km, atmospheric pressure falls to about 10^{-10} atm. For an ideal gas, density is proportional to pressure. Since the absorption length of a photon is inversely proportional to the density of scatterers, it follows that the x-ray absorption length scales as the inverse of pressure. Thus, above an altitude of 150 km, $\lambda_x \sim 10^6$ km $\sim (10^{-16}$ eV$)^{-1}$ is a lower bound. Since we will mostly consider $L \gg 150$ km, this lower bound holds over distances of interest to us.

The axion to photon conversion probability, in a transverse magnetic field of strength B, is given by [10,12]

$$p_\gamma(L) = \left(\frac{B}{2M}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1 + e^{-\Gamma L} - 2e^{-\Gamma L/2}\cos(qL)}{q^2 + (\Gamma^2/4)}\right], \quad (2)$$

where L is the path length traveled by the axion, $\Gamma = \lambda_x^{-1}$ is set by the absorption length, and $\ell = 2\pi/q$ is the oscillation length. Here, we have

$$q = |m_\gamma^2 - m_a^2|/(2\omega_a), \quad (3)$$

with m_γ the plasma mass of the photon. Since the atmospheric pressure drops to below 10^{-10} atm at altitudes small compared to $L \sim 1000$ km, it is safe to ignore m_γ. To see this, first note that the largest electron binding energies for nitrogen and oxygen are well below 1 keV [11]; therefore, the energy dependence of m_γ^2 is not complicated by resonant scattering for x rays of energy near 4 keV. Thus, m_γ^2 is proportional to the density and hence to the pressure of the gas. At 1 atm, the plasma mass of 4-keV x rays is $m_\gamma \sim$ 1 eV. This suggests that above an altitude of 150 km, where the pressure falls below 10^{-10} atm, m_γ is less than $\sim 10^{-5}$ eV, and hence below the range we consider for m_a, as seen from Fig. 1. Given an oscillation length $L \sim$ 1000 km, we are sensitive to $m_a \sim 10^{-4}$ eV. For this value

of m_a, we get $q \sim 10^{-12}$ eV $\gg \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \lambda_x^{-1} \lesssim 10^{-16}$ eV; we may safely ignore Γ in our treatment.

Hence, we can write Eq. (2) as

$$p_\gamma(L) = 2\left(\frac{B}{2M}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1 - \cos(qL)}{q^2}\right]. \quad (4)$$

For $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV, $M = 10^{10}$ GeV, $B = B_\oplus = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ T, $\omega = 4$ keV, and $L = L_\oplus = \pi/q_{max} \simeq$ 600 km, we then find $p_\gamma(L_\oplus) \approx 10^{-18}$. Here, q_{max} corresponds to $m_a = 10^{-4}$ eV. Given that the flux of solar axions at Earth is [6,10]

$$\Phi_a = 3.67 \times 10^{11}(10^{10} \text{ GeV}/M)^2 \text{ axions cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

the expected flux of x rays at an altitude of about $L_\oplus$ near the equator, for $M = 10^{10}$ GeV, is

$$\Phi_\gamma(L_\oplus) \approx 4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ photons cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Then, for an effective detector area $A \sim 10^4$ cm^2 and running time $\delta t \sim 10^7$ s, the number of x-ray photons observed is $N_\gamma \sim 10^4$. The signal decreases as $g_{a\gamma}^4$, and thus this number of events can constrain $g_{a\gamma}$ to near 10^{-11} GeV^{-1}. Hence, in the regime $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV, the low-Earth orbit observations can be sensitive to couplings roughly 1 order of magnitude smaller than the current laboratory limits. Figure 1 shows the expected x-ray flux at $L_\oplus = 600$ km as a function of m_a and $g_{a\gamma}$. For this plot we integrated the conversion probability as given in Eq. (4) folded with solar axion spectrum [6,10] over axion energies from 1–10 keV.

Here, we would like to note that matching m_a and m_γ results in resonant axion-photon conversion, which in principle can enhance the signal for $m_a \neq 0$ [10,12]. In this case, the oscillation probability is $[BL/(2M)]^2$. For the solar axions we consider, there could be a similar effect in the Earth's atmosphere. However, it turns out that the thickness of any such resonant layer is always small compared to the oscillation length of axions and therefore no enhancement results.

It is instructive to have a simple quantitative comparison between our space-based method and that of laboratory experiments like CAST. Here, we will estimate the figure of merit $\mathcal{F}$ for each approach. We note that in the low mass region of interest to us, the conversion probability scales as $(BL)^2$. Therefore, we define $\mathcal{F} \equiv (BL)^2$. For the CAST experiment, the transverse magnetic field $B = 9$ T and the length of the magnetized region $L = 9.3$ m [6]. Thus, $\mathcal{F}(\text{CAST}) \approx 7000$ T^2 m^2. For the technique presented in this Letter, we have $B_\oplus \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$ T and $L_\oplus \approx 600$ km, hence $\mathcal{F}_\oplus \approx 320$ T^2 m^2. We see that our approach has a figure of merit 21 times smaller than that of the CAST experiment. However, the effective magnetized cross-sectional area of the CAST experiment is about $2 \times$ 14 cm^2 [6]. In our case, since the magnetized region has

a size of order 1000 km, the cross-sectional area is only limited by the detector size, which is of order 10^4 cm^2. Hence, given the same length of time, the space-based technique detailed above has higher sensitivity than the CAST experiment, for $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV.

The above exposition assumes that there are no backgrounds to the measurement, which in any realistic experiment is not the case. Since it is difficult to reliably estimate the background from first principles, it is useful to look at actual x-ray experiments.

One example is the "Rossi x-ray timing explorer" (RXTE) launched in the mid 1990s [13]. It has an effective x-ray collection area of ~ 7000 cm^2 and is sensitive over 1–50 keV. Its angular resolution is roughly 0.5°. It orbits the Earth at a height of ~ 600 km. During slews and calibration from 1996–1999 it has acquired at least 25 000 s of viewing the night side of the Earth. The nominal background when watching the blank sky (this includes the contribution from the diffuse cosmic x-ray background; therefore, the sensitivity given here is an upper bound) is about 3 counts per second in the energy range from 2–10 keV over the whole effective area [14]. Thus there are 7.5×10^4 background events in 25 000 s, whose fluctuations are given by $\sqrt{7.5 \times 10^4} \sim 270$; dividing this by the exposure and area gives a sensitivity of 1.5×10^{-6} photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}. Although this experiment was not designed to perform our type of observation, this level of sensitivity would allow to probe axion couplings $g_{a\gamma}$ of the order 10^{-10} GeV^{-1}, which is shown in Fig. 1.

Future satellite missions can have larger collecting areas and a much better imaging capability, which would allow to increase the signal while at the same time to decrease the background. To obtain an x-ray flux sensitivity at the level of 10^{-10} cm^{-2} s^{-1} requires a satellite with a collecting area of 10^4 cm^2 and an angular resolution of $\sim 3'$. For an exposure of 10^7 s a background level of 10^{-5} s^{-1} per pixel is admissible to achieve that sensitivity. In 10^7 s of observation one has 100 background events and the background fluctuation is $\sqrt{100} = 10$ events. Therefore, the resulting sensitivity is $10/10^4/10^7 = 10^{-10}$ photons cm^{-2} s^{-1}, which in turn according to Fig. 1, gives a limit on $g_{a\gamma}$ of order 10^{-11} GeV^{-1}. It turns out that, for example, the LOBSTER [15] experiment planned to fly on the International Space Station, which has an orbit of 350 km, roughly matches the background numbers and the active area.

A necessary condition for the applicability of above estimate of sensitivity using just $S/\sqrt{B}$ is that there are no systematical variations of the background. That is, all the variance of the background count number is purely statistical. Since the Earth atmosphere and the processes leading to the background are highly dynamic and mostly not well understood, it will be necessary to measure the background *in situ* to make the above assumptions valid. Fortunately, given the very special character of the axion

signal this should be straightforward. The axion signal from the Sun is confined to an angular diameter approximately $3'$. Thus for an imaging detector the solution is to use the pixels which are not within $3'$ of the direction to the Sun to count the background coming from the dark Earth. For a detector like the proportional counter array (PCA) on RXTE, the appropriate way would be to periodically point the detector at the Sun and then slightly away, such that the signal emitting region is no longer in the field of view. For RXTE, this corresponds to a change in attitude by roughly 1.5°, which at a slew speed of 6° min^{-1} [13] allows for a background measurement at least once every minute.

Given the above considerations, existing or planned orbital x-ray telescopes can be used for solar axion detection, using the method proposed here. Therefore, a specialized and dedicated mission is not required to implement our approach. In fact, some of the existing x-ray data may already contain the information required for competitive or even better sensitivities than those obtained from current laboratory experiments. This depends on how much of the present x-ray calibration data have been obtained while the telescope was pointed at the core of the Sun, on the dark side of the Earth.

To summarize, in this Letter, we have proposed a new technique for detecting solar axions, using Earth's magnetosphere. We have shown that given the large magnetized volume around the Earth, conversion of axions, with mass $m_a \lesssim 10^{-4}$ eV, into x rays of average energy $\langle \omega \rangle \simeq 4$ keV, will be measurable by an x-ray telescope, in a low-Earth orbit. A key ingredient of our proposal is to use the Earth as an x-ray shield and look for axions coming through the Earth on the night side. This effectively removes the solar x-ray background. Thus, observation of x rays, with a thermal energy distribution peaked at approximately 4 keV, on the night side of the Earth, is a distinct signature of solar axions in our proposal. Moreover, these x rays would only come from the center of the Sun, which subtends approximately $3'$ and there would be an orbital variation with magnetic field strength and an annual modulation by the Sun-Earth distance. Considering the well-established framework of the solar model, it would be extremely difficult to come up with an alternative explanation of all these signatures. Therefore, our method can achieve an unambiguous detection of solar axions. We estimate that, in a one-year run, this technique will gain sensitivity to axion-photon couplings of order $g_{a\gamma} \sim 10^{-11}$ GeV^{-1}, about an order of magnitude beyond current laboratory limits. We conclude that, for solar axions in the regime considered here, our approach will probe axion-photon couplings beyond the reach of foreseeable laboratory experiments.

[1] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977); Phys. Rev. D **16**, 1791 (1977).

[2] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 223 (1978); F. Wilczek, *ibid.* **40**, 279 (1978).

[3] S. Eidelman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).

[4] H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **81**, 899 (1951).

[5] P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1415 (1983); [**52**, 695(E) (1984)].

[6] K. Zioutas *et al.* (CAST Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 121301 (2005).

[7] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **120B**, 127 (1983); L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. **120B**, 133 (1983); M. Dine and W. Fischler, Phys. Lett. **120B**, 137 (1983); M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 889 (1986).

[8] K. Zioutas, D. J. Thompson, and E. A. Paschos, Phys. Lett. B **443**, 201 (1998).

[9] D. L. Anderson *et al.*, *Geophysics of the Solid Earth, the Moon and the Planets*, Landolt-Börnstein New Series Vol. 2b (Springer, New York, 1985) pp. 31–99.

[10] K. van Bibber, P. M. McIntyre, D. E. Morris, and G. G. Raffelt, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2089 (1989).

[11] *Handbook of X Rays*, edited by Emmett F. Kaelble (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967).

[12] G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1237 (1988).

[13] K. Jahoda *et al.*, *EUV, X-Ray, and Gamma-Ray Instrumentation for Astronomy VII*, Proceedings of the SPIE Vol. 2808, edited by Oswald H. Siegmund and Mark A. Gummin, (International Society for Optical Engineering, Denver, 1996), pp. 59–70.

[14] M. Revnivtsev, M. Gilfanov, R. Sunyaev, K. Jahoda, and C. Markwardt, Astron. Astrophys. **411**, 329 (2003).

[15] W. C. Priedhorsky, A. G. Peele, and K. A. Nugent, Mon. Not. R. Astronom. Soc. **279**, 733 (1996).