

Anomalous Diffusion of Inertial, Weakly Damped Particles

R. Friedrich,¹ F. Jenko,² A. Baule,³ and S. Eule¹

¹*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Münster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Strasse 9, D-48149 Münster, Germany*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Boltzmannstrasse 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom*

(Received 30 March 2006; published 12 June 2006)

The anomalous (i.e., non-Gaussian) dynamics of particles subject to a deterministic acceleration and a series of “random kicks” is studied. Based on an extension of the concept of continuous time random walks to position-velocity space, a new fractional equation of the Kramers-Fokker-Planck type is derived. The associated collision operator necessarily involves a fractional substantial derivative, representing important nonlocal couplings in time and space. For the force-free case, a closed solution is found and discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.230601

PACS numbers: 05.40.Fb, 05.10.Gg, 52.65.Ff

For more than 100 years, the quest to understand the dynamics of tracer particles in random environments has both challenged and inspired countless scientists. Many fundamental questions about the dynamical aspects of statistical physics have been raised in this context, and most of them are still unanswered today. Given the fact that this nonequilibrium phenomenon plays a prominent role in such diverse areas as condensed matter physics, biophysics, physical chemistry, astrophysics, plasma physics, and turbulence research, it is not at all surprising that its theoretical description has continued to attract a lot of attention over all these years.

In the more recent past, a strong emphasis in this area of research has been on the investigation of the origin and the consequences of anomalous, i.e., non-Gaussian, diffusion (see, e.g., [1–3]). While most publications on this topic are based on a random-walk-type description in real space, this Letter employs a phase (position-velocity) space approach, rigorously deriving and analyzing a new fractional equation of the Kramers-Fokker-Planck (KFP) type. For later reference, we mention here that the usual KFP equation is given by [4–6]

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t), \quad (1)$$

where we have introduced the Fokker-Planck collision operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} f = \gamma \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\mathbf{u} f) + \kappa \Delta_{\mathbf{u}} f. \quad (2)$$

As Kramers [7] has shown, Eq. (1) determines the time evolution of the joint position-velocity probability distribution $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$ of a damped particle with inertia in a force field under the influence of an additional fluctuating force with the usual white noise statistics:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{u}(t), \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) - \gamma \mathbf{u}(t) + \Gamma(t), \quad (3)$$

with $\langle \Gamma_i(t) \Gamma_j(t') \rangle = 2\kappa \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$. In 1965, Montroll and Weiss [8] introduced a different class of stochastic processes denoted as continuous time random walks

(CTRWs).—For a recent, comprehensive review, the reader is referred to Ref. [3].—Conventional CTRWs are linked to Eq. (3) in the limit of large damping γ and vanishing acceleration $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$. Here the dynamics is described by the Langevin equation $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \Gamma(t)/\gamma$. In practice, however, many systems in physics, chemistry, and biology will not satisfy this condition. Thus, we are led to consider the CTRW analog of Eq. (3).

The dynamical model we propose contains both deterministic and stochastic elements. The motion of an individual particle is assumed to be governed by the time evolution equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{u}(t), \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (4)$$

However, from time to time, the particle is subject to a “random kick” which changes its velocity abruptly. This kind of dynamics can be described by means of a CTRW-like approach in phase space. Let us consider a particle which at time t' is located in the volume element $d\mathbf{x}'$ about \mathbf{x}' and in the time interval $[t', t' + dt']$ changes its velocity to a new value which lies in the velocity space element $d\mathbf{u}'$ about \mathbf{u}' . The probability for such a process shall be denoted by $\eta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}', t') d\mathbf{x}' d\mathbf{u}' dt'$. After a (random) time period $\tau = t - t'$, this particle will undergo a further transition to a state with the velocity \mathbf{u} at the position \mathbf{x} . The corresponding conditional probability shall be called $\xi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \tau; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{u} d\tau$. We assume that this quantity can be written in the form

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \tau; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') = W(\tau) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}')) \times \int d\mathbf{u}'' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}'') \delta(\mathbf{u}'' - \mathbf{U}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}')). \quad (5)$$

Here $W(\tau) d\tau$ describes the probability that a transition occurs in the time interval $[\tau, \tau + d\tau]$, and $F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}'') d\mathbf{u}$ gives the probability that the particle’s velocity will end up in the velocity space element $d\mathbf{u}$ about \mathbf{u} . Moreover,

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}'), \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{U}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') \quad (6)$$

is the solution (at time t) of Eq. (4) with initial values (at

time t' \mathbf{x}' and \mathbf{u}' . Assuming that the distribution function $\xi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \tau; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}')$ is statistically independent from the particle's path and using Eq. (5), we can relate the quantities $\eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$ and $\eta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}', t')$ via the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) - f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})\delta(t) &= \int_0^t dt' \int d\mathbf{u}' \int d\mathbf{x}' \xi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t \\ &\quad - t'; \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}') \eta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}', t') \\ &= \int_0^t dt' W(t-t') \\ &\quad \times \int d\mathbf{u}' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}', t'). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here $f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ characterizes the initial condition, and the deterministic evolution is described by the Perron-Frobenius operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t') &= e^{-(t-t')[\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}]} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t') \\ &= \int d\mathbf{u}' \int d\mathbf{x}' \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}')) \\ &\quad \times \delta(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}_\tau(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}')) \eta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{u}', t'). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that, alternatively, one can write

$$\mathcal{P}^{t,t'} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t') = \frac{\eta(\mathbf{X}_\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{U}_\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), t')}{|J_\tau(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})|}, \quad (9)$$

where $(\mathbf{X}_\tau^{-1}, \mathbf{U}_\tau^{-1})$ denotes the inverse of the mapping (6), and J_τ is the corresponding Jacobian. [For a Hamiltonian dynamical system, J_τ equals unity.]

$$(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda) = [1 - W(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}})] \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda). \quad (15)$$

Equations (12) and (15) then yield

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda) &= f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \int d\mathbf{u}' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') \Phi(\lambda + \mathbf{u}' \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}', \lambda) \\ &\quad - W(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we have introduced the quantity

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda W(\lambda)}{1 - W(\lambda)} = \frac{1 - \lambda w(\lambda)}{w(\lambda)}. \quad (17)$$

Expressing f_0 in terms of f as $f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t=0)$ and using the identity

$$W(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda) = \Phi(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda), \quad (18)$$

which follows from Eqs. (15) and (17), the Laplace inversion of Eq. (16) yields

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \int_0^t dt' \Phi(t-t') \int d\mathbf{u}' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}', t') - \int_0^t dt' \Phi(t-t') \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t'). \quad (19)$$

Thus, the time evolution of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$ is given by this master equation. In the language of kinetic theory, Eq. (19) can be interpreted as follows. The left-hand side describes a system of particles subject to Eq. (4). The right-hand side represents a collision operator which consists of a source and a sink. The phase space density of particles at (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) is increased at time t by particles starting from $\mathbf{X}_\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ at time t' with a velocity $\mathbf{U}_\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ and making a transition to the velocity \mathbf{u} at time t and position \mathbf{x} . $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$ is decreased, on the other hand, by particles making a transition from the velocity \mathbf{u} to some other velocity. In this context, it should be pointed out that

$$\int_0^t dt' \Phi(t-t') \int d\mathbf{u}'' F(\mathbf{u}''; \mathbf{u}) \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t') = \int_0^t dt' \Phi(t-t') \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t'), \quad (20)$$

Having established an integral equation which determines the time evolution of $\eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$, we are now interested in the joint position-velocity distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$, which is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \int_0^t dt' w(t-t') \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t'). \quad (10)$$

Here $w(\tau)$ denotes the probability that no random kick occurs within the time interval τ . For this quantity, we have the obvious relationship

$$w(\tau) = 1 - \int_0^\tau dt W(t). \quad (11)$$

In order to derive an equation describing the time evolution of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$, we first write down the Laplace transforms of Eqs. (7) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda) &= f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \int d\mathbf{u}' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') \\ &\quad \times W(\lambda + \mathbf{u}' \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}'}) \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}', \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda) = w(\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}) \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \lambda). \quad (13)$$

Since, in Laplace space, Eq. (11) reads

$$w(\lambda) = \frac{1 - W(\lambda)}{\lambda}, \quad (14)$$

Eq. (13) can be rewritten as

due to the constraint

$$\int d\mathbf{u}'' F(\mathbf{u}''; \mathbf{u}) = 1, \quad (21)$$

and that the Laplace inversion of Eq. (17) yields

$$\frac{dw(t)}{dt} = - \int_0^t dt' \Phi(t-t') w(t'), \quad (22)$$

which identifies $\Phi(t)$ as a kernel determining $w(t)$. Also note that $\mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t')$ is simply a solution of the collisionless version of Eq. (19). Obviously, the above collision operator is highly nonlocal in space and time, in stark contrast to virtually all expressions commonly used in the kinetic theory of gases or plasmas. This nonlocality can also be viewed as a retardation effect and is closely linked to the fact that Eq. (19) is invariant with respect to Galilean transformations, provided $F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}')$ depends only on the velocity difference $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'$. [Galilean invariance implies that, together with $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$, every $f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}t, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{c}, t)$ with $\mathbf{c} = \text{const}$ is a solution of Eq. (19).]

For concreteness, we now consider the case where $F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}')$ can be represented by the Gaussian

$$F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{4\pi\kappa} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left[- \frac{(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}' + \gamma \mathbf{u}' / \Lambda)^2}{4\kappa / \Lambda} \right], \quad (23)$$

which satisfies Eq. (21). This expression can be derived in the spirit of the Rayleigh model for Brownian motion [5] by considering a heavy test particle of mass M embedded in a thermal bath of light particles of mass m (for details, see Ref. [9]). For large values of the parameter Λ —which is proportional to the mass ratio M/m —one obtains

$$\int d\mathbf{u}' F(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{u}') g(\mathbf{u}') - g(\mathbf{u}) = \Lambda^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} g(\mathbf{u}) \quad (24)$$

to leading order in Λ^{-1} . Demanding at the same time

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) / \Lambda = \phi(t), \quad (25)$$

Eq. (19) then takes the form

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_u \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} \int_0^t dt' \phi(t-t') \times \mathcal{P}^{t,t'} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t'), \quad (26)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta} H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_u \right] \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{(t-t')^{1-\delta}} e^{-(t-t')[\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_u]} H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t'). \quad (30)$$

We note that, alternatively, one may define this fractional substantial derivative by means of its representation in Laplace space $\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta} \leftrightarrow [\lambda + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_u]^{1-\delta}$. Using this definition, one arrives at

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_u \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}} \mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t), \quad (31)$$

a fractional generalization of the standard KFP equation, Eq. (1). The latter corresponds to the special case $\delta = 1$. It

for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This equation, a rigorous result based on a consideration of CTRWs in phase space, is a nontrivial extension of the usual KFP equation, Eq. (1). The latter is recovered for $\phi(t) = \delta(t)$, corresponding to the waiting time distribution $W(t) = \Lambda \exp(-\Lambda t)$, which satisfies $\int_0^\infty dt W(t) = 1$ and $\bar{t} \equiv \int_0^\infty dt t W(t) = 1/\Lambda$. In this case, Eq. (25) thus implies that the mean time between collisions gets smaller as Λ gets larger, such that $\Lambda \bar{t} = 1$. While generalized KFP equations with memory effects have been considered before by many authors, the retardation effect has never been included. The latter is important, however, in order to ensure Galilean invariance, as pointed out before. Moreover, retardation enters quite naturally in the present CTRW framework and its physical origin is evident, given the mixed nature of the underlying physical process—a particle being subject to a deterministic acceleration and a series of random kicks.

Let us now consider the important case that the memory kernel ϕ in Eq. (26) exhibits a power law tail, i.e.,

$$\phi(t-t') \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{1}{(t-t')^{1-\delta}} \propto - \frac{1}{(t-t')^{2-\delta}} \quad (27)$$

in the long-time limit, where the prefactor is given in terms of the gamma function Γ . A regularization procedure [10] then leads to the correspondence

$$\int_0^t dt' \phi(t-t') H(t') \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta} H(t), \quad (28)$$

where the so-called Riemann-Liouville operator $\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta}$ is defined via

$$\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta} H(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{(t-t')^{1-\delta}} H(t') \quad (29)$$

for $0 < \delta \leq 1$. A careful investigation of the regularization process actually shows that, in the present case, it is appropriate to introduce a novel type of fractional derivative—which might be called a “fractional substantial derivative”—via

might be worth pointing out that, in Eq. (31), the operators \mathcal{L}_{FP} and $\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta}$ do not commute and that the replacements $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_\delta$, $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma_\delta$, and $\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_\delta$ (with units $[\Lambda_\delta] = [\gamma_\delta] = \text{s}^{-\delta}$ and $[\kappa_\delta] = \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-2-\delta}$) have to be made. For $\delta < 1$ and $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, one then has $\Phi(\lambda) = \Lambda_\delta \lambda^{1-\delta}$ and

$$W(\lambda) = \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{\Phi(\lambda) + \lambda} \approx 1 - \frac{\lambda^\delta}{\Lambda_\delta}. \quad (32)$$

Defining a characteristic time scale τ via the (nonanalytic) low- λ behavior of the waiting time distribution

$W(\lambda) = 1 - (\lambda\tau)^\delta + \dots$, we find that, in the fractional case, Eq. (25) implies that $\Lambda_\delta \tau^\delta = 1$, i.e., $\tau \rightarrow 0$ for $\Lambda_\delta \rightarrow \infty$. In this context, τ is not to be confused with \bar{t} , however. The latter quantity is infinite here, due to $W(t) \propto t^{-1-\delta}$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Equation (31) differs significantly from the fractional KFP equation proposed by Barkai and Silbey [11] in that it includes retardation effects through the fractional substantial derivative $\mathcal{D}_t^{1-\delta}$. These nonlocal couplings in time and space are crucial, as will be shown next. For simplicity, let us focus on the case $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, in which the position-velocity distribution with the initial condition $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t = 0) = \delta(\mathbf{x})\delta(\mathbf{u})$ can be written as a superposition of Gaussians with varying variances:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t) = \int d\alpha \int d\beta \int d\gamma w(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t) [(2\pi)^3 \det A]^{-1/2} \times \exp \left[-\frac{q_{11}}{2} \mathbf{u}^2 - q_{12} \mathbf{u} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}t) - \frac{q_{22}}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}t)^2 \right]. \quad (33)$$

Here A denotes the matrix with the elements $A_{11} = \alpha$, $A_{22} = \gamma$, and $A_{12} = A_{21} = \beta$; moreover, q_{ij} are the elements of the inverse matrix $Q = A^{-1}$. The function $w(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, t)$ can be viewed as a time-dependent variance distribution. It obeys a partial differential equation with temporal memory which can be solved analytically. For details, the reader is referred to Ref. [9]. Equation (33) can be used to calculate the long-time behavior of the second-order moments of the distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, t)$. Here it proves useful to distinguish two distinct situations $\gamma_\delta = 0$ and $\gamma_\delta \neq 0$. For $\gamma_\delta = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle u(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{2\kappa_\delta}{\Gamma(1 + \delta)} t^\delta, \quad (34)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle x(t)u(t) \rangle = \frac{2\kappa_\delta}{\Gamma(2 + \delta)} t^{1+\delta}, \quad (35)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle x(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{4\kappa_\delta}{\Gamma(3 + \delta)} t^{2+\delta} \quad (36)$$

in the one-dimensional case [9]. The extension to multiple dimensions is straightforward and only modifies the prefactors in the above equations. For $\delta > 0$, the system exhibits superballistic diffusion; for $\delta = 1$, it reduces to the so-called Obukhov model [12]. We would like to point out that, for $\gamma_\delta = 0$, the second-order moments are not affected by retardation effects, unlike the higher-order moments. For $\gamma_\delta \neq 0$, on the other hand, one gets [9]

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle u(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{\kappa_\delta}{\gamma_\delta}, \quad (37)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle x(t)u(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)} \frac{\kappa_\delta}{\gamma_\delta^2} t^{1-\delta} + (1 - \delta) \frac{\kappa_\delta}{\gamma_\delta} t, \quad (38)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle x(t)^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\Gamma(3 - \delta)} \frac{\kappa_\delta}{\gamma_\delta^2} t^{2-\delta} + (1 - \delta) \frac{\kappa_\delta}{\gamma_\delta} t^2. \quad (39)$$

These results are in line with those obtained in the framework of a one-dimensional collision model in Ref. [13]. For $\delta < 1$, the terms proportional to $(1 - \delta)$ will dominate for $t \rightarrow \infty$, leading to ballistic motion like in a Lévy walk model (see, e.g., Ref. [14])—independent of the values of γ_δ and κ_δ , as long as both are nonzero. These terms have their origin in the collision term's inherent memory. Consequently, retardation effects are observed to alter the diffusion properties of the system even qualitatively.

In summary, using a CTRW-like approach, we were able to rigorously derive a new fractional equation of the Kramers-Fokker-Planck type for particles subject to a deterministic acceleration and a series of random kicks. In this context, we found that the generalized Fokker-Planck collision operator necessarily involves a fractional *substantial* derivative, representing important nonlocal couplings in time and space. For the force-free case, a closed solution was given in terms of a superposition of Gaussian distributions with varying variances. This result was then used to calculate the long-time behavior of the second-order moments, revealing the ballistic nature of the associated diffusion processes for any $0 < \delta < 1$ as long as γ_δ and κ_δ are both nonzero. This universality could be identified as a direct consequence of retardation effects which are expressed mathematically by means of the fractional substantial derivative. In conclusion, the present work may be considered as a useful starting point for further investigations of anomalous diffusion of inertial, weakly damped particles.

-
- [1] M. F. Shlesinger, G. M. Zaslavsky, and J. Klafter, *Nature* (London) **363**, 31 (1993).
 - [2] G. M. Zaslavsky, *Phys. Today* **52**, No. 8, 39 (1999).
 - [3] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1 (2000); *J. Phys. A* **37**, R161 (2004).
 - [4] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer, Berlin, 1989).
 - [5] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981).
 - [6] W. T. Coffey, Y. P. Kalmykov, and J. T. Waldron, *The Langevin Equation* (World Scientific, London, 2004).
 - [7] H. A. Kramers, *Physica* (Amsterdam) **7**, 284 (1940).
 - [8] E. W. Montroll and G. H. Weiss, *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **6**, 167 (1965).
 - [9] R. Friedrich, F. Jenko, A. Baule, and S. Eule (to be published).
 - [10] R. Balescu, *Aspects of Anomalous Transport in Plasmas* (Institute of Physics, Bristol, 2005).
 - [11] E. Barkai and R. Silbey, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **104**, 3866 (2000).
 - [12] A. M. Obukhov, *Adv. Geophys.* **6**, 113 (1959).
 - [13] E. Barkai and V. N. Fleurov, *Chem. Phys.* **212**, 69 (1996).
 - [14] M. F. Shlesinger, B. J. West, and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1100 (1987).