Superconductivity Dependent Friction of Water, Nitrogen, and Superheated He Films Adsorbed on Pb(111)

M. Highland and J. Krim

Physics Department, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA (Received 19 December 2005; published 9 June 2006)

We report a quartz crystal microbalance study of sliding friction levels in N_2 , H_2O , and superheated He films adsorbed on Pb(111) substrates alternating in and out of the superconducting state. Reductions in friction upon entry into the superconducting state are greater for N_2 than He, consistent with a recent theory that linked electronic friction to adsorbate polarizability. Our work also reveals that repetitive cycling of an externally applied magnetic field may impact friction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.226107

PACS numbers: 68.35.Af, 67.70.+n, 74.78.-w

The ability to predict sliding friction in adsorbed film systems underlies a vast range of topics in physics and nanotechnology [1], spanning the origins of static friction [2] to the design of atomic scale automobiles [3]. With the advent of new experimental techniques capable of studying the force of friction that results when a finite number of atoms are made to slide on a crystalline substrate, (nanotribology [4]) direct comparisons between experiment and theory have become increasingly realizable. One still hotly debated topic in this area is the degree to which electronic effects contribute to friction [5].

Sliding friction in adsorbed monolayers can be measured experimentally by means of the quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) technique [6]. For vibrational amplitudes above 0.4 nm [7] (vibrational amplitudes for the films studied here are close to 10 nm) the interaction is well characterized by the viscous friction law, $F = -(m/\tau)\nu =$ $-m\eta\nu$ where *F* is frictional force that resists sliding and *m*, η , τ , and ν are the mass, drag coefficient, slip time, and average sliding velocity of the adsorbate [6–9]. The drag coefficient $\eta = 1/\tau$, (also routinely defined as m/τ) can be written as $\eta = \eta el + \eta ph$, where ηel and ηph represent the electronic and phononic contributions to friction [5].

Phononic friction is a wear-free energy dissipation mechanism that arises from sliding induced excitation of atomic lattice vibrations. QCM and molecular dynamics studies first documented the existence of phononic friction in the mid 1990's, more than six decades after it was first predicted [4,9]. Firm documentation for the existence of electronic contributions to friction has proven difficult, as phononic and electronic mechanisms are both generally present, with phononic mechanisms tending to dominate [1,10]. Phononic excitations diminish in magnitude at low temperatures however, providing a regime wherein electronic mechanisms are more readily discerned. Arguably, the first clear evidence supporting the existence of nonnegligible electronic friction levels was reported in 1998 by Dayo et al. [11]. Dayo et al. employed a QCM to study the sliding of thin N₂ films adsorbed on a lead surface and observed the friction to drop by almost half when the substrate became superconducting. Given that superconducting systems exhibit a significant change in electronic properties over a narrow temperature range where changes in phononic behavior are assumed to be minimal, the changes observed at T_c were attributed to electronic mechanisms.

Dayo *et al.*'s studies drew much attention and also sparked criticism [12–17]. An effort to reproduce the result by Renner *et al.* [12] produced N₂ films that failed to slip at low temperature, and sliding friction measurements can only be performed on films that remain unpinned. A number of distinct theoretical treatments of superconductivitydependent friction were also put forth that appeared to explain the observation, but not necessarily in its entirety [13–17]. While subsequent studies by our group validated Renner *et al.*'s observations insofar as confirming that nitrogen films are quite susceptible to pinning, [18] experimental comparisons with theoretical prediction have heretofore not been reported.

We focus here on the 2000 study [16] by Bruch, in which analytic calculations of the electronic friction arising from ohmic (resistive) damping of N₂ and Xe monolayers sliding on Pb and Ag substrates were reported to be $\eta el =$ $5 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for Xe/Ag, and $5 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} < \eta el < 5 \times$ 10^8 s^{-1} for N₂/Pb. Differences in friction between the two systems were attributed to the presence of a quadrapole moment in the low temperature structure of solid N₂. It was thus inferred that the effect would be much reduced for inert gas adsorbates such as Xe or He and increased for more polarizable and polar absorbates, such as H₂O. Unlike H₂O, N₂ and He do not have permanent dipole moments. However, when adsorbed onto a surface at low temperatures, induced dipoles in these adsorbates are nonzero, the magnitude of which depends on the polarizability of the adsorbate.

QCM friction measurements are performed by monitoring frequency and amplitude changes that occur when films adsorb onto the surface electrodes of the oscillator. Changes in resonant frequency δf are proportional to the fraction of the mass of the condensed film that tracks the oscillatory motion of the substrate. Amplitude shifts are due to frictional shear forces exerted on the surface elec-

0031-9007/06/96(22)/226107(4)

trode. They are converted to quality factor shifts $\delta(Q^{-1})$ via a "ring-down" calibration method [6]. The experimentally observed frequency shift (δf) is related to the frequency shift that would have occurred if the film were not slipping (δf_{film}) according to [6]

$$\delta(Q^{-1}) = 4\pi\tau(\delta f), \qquad \delta f = \frac{\delta f_{\text{film}}}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2},$$

$$\frac{\delta f_{\text{film}}}{f} = -\frac{2m_f c_f}{\rho_q t_q},$$
(1)

where τ is the slip time, m_f and c_f are the atomic mass and coverage of the adsorbed film, ω is the angular frequency of the crystal oscillation, ρ_q (2.65 g/cm³) and t_q (0.021 cm for f = 8 MHz) are the density and thickness of the QCM. Therefore the values of (δf) measured in the superconducting state (where friction is lower and slip times are longer) are smaller in magnitude than corresponding values in the normal state. While some of the mass of the film will always be decoupled from the oscillation, the experimentally measured frequency shift will only be substantially reduced for systems where $\omega \tau \ge 1$ [6,19].

The microbalance crystals for these studies were overtone-polished 8 MHz AT-cut quartz that had quality factors near 10⁵. Thermal evaporation was employed to deposit 200 nm of 99.999% pure Pb atop the blank QCM, producing a mosaic structure with a (111) fiber texture [20]. The base pressure of the vacuum system ranged from 5×10^{-10} to 1×10^{-9} Torr and the deposition rates were on the order of 1 nm/minute. Xe isotherms performed on substrates prepared in this manner exhibit stepwise condensation features [18]. The degree of verticality of the steps present in the isotherm allows the terrace width on the surface to be estimated [21] on the order of 10 nm, typical of (111) films grown by thermal evaporation [22]. Adsorption isotherms revealed no behavior consistent with pore filling or increased surface area [23], ruling out capillary action by the adsorbates.

After preparation of the electrodes, the samples were transferred *in situ* to an adsorption cell, which was attached to an independent and portable ion pump, and then separated from the main ultrahigh vacuum chamber by means of a copper pinch-off device. This constituted a substantial improvement over the sample transfer technique employed by Dayo *et al.*, whereby samples were transferred in ambient to the experimental cell under flowing Ar gas. Within the adsorption cell, the crystal was inserted into metal socket vacuum feedthroughs that connected to external Pierce oscillator circuitry.

At sufficiently high temperatures, adsorbed films have enough thermal energy to remain sliding as they pass over pinning sites on the substrate [7]. N₂ layers are highly susceptible to becoming pinned at low temperature, [12,18] but superconductivity-dependent friction is readily observable whenever they are not. We have never observed pinning for He films in the temperature range 4–10 K, presumably because this temperature range is considered "hot" for helium. We have also encountered no pinning difficulties for H_2O as an adsorbate, but we attribute this to the wetting behavior of H_2O rather than thermal effects. Water is likely to form frozen droplets on the surface that should be relatively difficult to pin. Similar effects have been reported for microspheres attached to a QCM electrode [24]. Droplet formation however renders calibration of our H_2O data meaningless, since slip time only applies to sliding layers.

Care was required in order to determine the specific conditions for gas adsorption and cell cooling rates that would allow the films to remain on the surface and not pin. For helium films, the sample cell was filled with approximately 5 torr of research grade He after being cooled to 4.2 K. As the sample warmed through the superconducting transition an estimated 1-2 monolayers remain on the surface [25]. For N₂ films, approximately 100 torr of research grade gas was introduced to the cell at 85 K. As the cell cooled to 4.2 K, the N2 that initially adsorbs dewets the surface, leaving a residual N₂ film on the order of $1\frac{1}{2}$ monolayers in thickness that did not coexist with a bulk phase. For H₂O experiments, the sample cell was filled with approximately 5 torr of vapor at 300 K. Upon cooling to 4.2 K, it is likely that this system consisted of a thin water layer coexisting with bulk crystallites.

Once all samples were cooled to 4.2 K, they were allowed to warm as the frequency and amplitude of vibration (proportional to the quality factor) were monitored. To observe multiple superconducting transitions, a periodic external magnetic field of 30 G was applied to the system. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the apparatus and the frequency response of samples with adsorbed N₂, He, and H₂O in the presence of a magnetic field that repetitively served to alter or destroy the superconducting at temperatures slightly below T_c . The resonant frequencies are higher in the superconducting state (magnet current off), indicative of lower friction, and thus a more decoupled film. Data were also recorded in the absence of any magnetic field (Fig. 2). Figure 2(b), showing N₂, displays the distinctive peak in dissipation that occurs as the system slip time passes through the condition $\omega \tau = 1$ while warming through T_c [19]. Helium films do not pass through this condition while warming through T_c . Since the critical point temperature of He is 5.19 K [26], the helium films studied here are well above their critical point temperature, and are thus referred to as superheated fluids.

Figure 3 shows frequency and dissipation of a QCM with adsorbed N₂ 3(a) and 3(b) and He 3(c) and 3(d) for samples warming through T_c in the presence of an intermittent magnetic field. Also shown are the values of the drag coefficient in the superconducting and normal states. Frequency shift and dissipation level differences between the superconducting and normal states are readily observable (Figs. 1–3) and straightforward to measure in a highly accurate fashion. We have thus elected to utilize these quantities for our determination of experimental slip times



FIG. 1 (color online). Schematic of apparatus and frequency response of H_2O , He, and N_2 to the presence of a magnetic field at temperatures slightly below T_c .

and drag coefficients, employing Eq. (1) to express these quantities as:

$$(\delta f)_N - (\delta f)_{\rm SC} = \Delta(\delta f);$$

$$4\pi\tau_N(\delta f)_N - 4\pi\tau_{\rm SC}(\delta f)_{\rm SC} = \Delta[\delta(1/Q)]$$
(2)

The subscript SC denotes quantities measured in the superconducting state, while N denotes quantities measured in the normal state.

Drag coefficients were obtained numerically by employing the constraint condition that the amount of material on the surface does not change at the superconducting transition, i.e., there is no plausible mechanism for material loss or gain arising from the superconducting state of the substrate. Via Eq. (1), this condition is written numerically as $(\delta f_{\text{film}}) = (\delta f)_{\text{SC}} [1 + (2\pi f \tau_{\text{SC}})^2] = (\delta f)_N \times [1 + (2\pi f \tau_N)^2]$. The helium and nitrogen coverage values utilized to determine our reported drag coefficients were 28.6 (ng/cm²) and 41.4 (ng/cm²), as discussed earlier. We note that slip times and drag coefficients are highly insensitive to the exact coverage assumed: Doubling these values results in a variation of η by only about 20%. As a



FIG. 2. Response of the QCM with adsorbed (a) N_2 and (b) He layers in the absence of a cycled magnetic field.

cross-check, the present data analysis approach was also applied to the Dayo *et al.* data, where empty cell values were well established. The obtained values were in good agreement with those reported by Dayo *et al.*.

Our overall results are summarized in Table I. Unexpectedly, measurements that were recorded on samples in the presence of the time changing magnetic field (em-



FIG. 3. Frequency and inverse quality factor response of the QCM with adsorbed (a) N_2 and (b) He layers as it warms through T_c in the presence of a magnetic field that is repetitively cycled on and off.

	No Magnet		Cycled Magnet		Theory	
	$N_2/Pb \ (10^7 \ s^{-1})$	He/Pb (10^7 s^{-1})	$N_2/Pb (10^7 s^{-1})$	$He/Pb (10^7 s^{-1})$	$N_2/Pb \ (10^7 \ s^{-1})$	$Xe/Ag (10^7 s^{-1})$
$\eta_{\rm SC}$	2.5	0.51	0.084	0.065		
η_n	5.1	1.3	0.714	1.14		
$\eta_n - \eta_{\rm SC}$	2.6	0.79	0.63	1.08	5-50	0.5

TABLE I. The drag coefficients for sliding layers on a Pb substrate in the superconducting and normal states are shown with and without a time changing magnetic field. Also shown are the drag coefficients calculated from Bruch's theory.

ployed here simply with the intention of inducing multiple transitions) consistently yielded friction levels that were reduced in magnitude from those recorded in the absence of a repetitively cycled field. The effect was observed for both N_2 and He sliding films, and must be mentioned for purposes of completeness in reporting. The origin of this effect remains however entirely beyond our present understanding, and far beyond the scope of our present investigation.

The present observations are consistent with a recent study reported by Coffey and Krim for Xe monolayers at 77.4 K sliding on metal substrates with varying degrees of surface corrugation [1]. In this study, it was found that phononic models of friction matched experimentally observed values so well that the electronic contribution to friction could be neglected altogether. The phononic friction levels reported in Ref. [1] are much larger than what we observe here for He sliding on Pb, presumably on account of the fact that phononic friction should be significantly reduced at 7.2 K as compared to 77.4 K. Although the fraction of electronic friction for both He and N_2 sliding on Pb is roughly the same (~0.40), the difference in η for He between superconducting and normal states, 7.9×10^6 s⁻¹, which is attributed to the electronic component ηel , is substantially lower than that observed for N₂/Pb. The latter change, 2.6×10^7 s⁻¹, falls near the range of values obtained by Bruch's predictions $5 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} < \eta el < 5 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for N₂/Pb.

In conclusion our results firmly support Bruch's overall observations. Further theoretical work will be needed to determine whether the existence of a quadrapole moment in an adsorbed film determines electronic friction levels in these specific systems.

We acknowledge NSF No. DMR 0320743 and AFOSR No. F4962001-1-0132 for supporting this research. We thank Alex Mayer for early construction of this system and L. Bruch for useful discussions.

- T. Coffey and J. Krim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 076101 (2005);
 J. Krim, Am. J. Phys. 70, 890 (2002).
- M. H. Muser, L. Wenning, and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1295 (2001); G. He, M. H. Muser, and M. O. Robbins, Science 284, 1650 (1999).

- [3] M. Porto, M. Urbakh, and J. Klafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 6058 (2000).
- [4] J. Krim, D. Solina, and R. Chiarello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 181 (1991).
- [5] B. N. J. Persson, *Sliding Friction: Physical Principles and Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000), 2nd ed.
- [6] J. Krim and A. Widom, Phys. Rev. B 38, 12184 (1988);
 E. T. Watts, J. Krim, and A. Widom, Phys. Rev. B 41, 3466 (1990);
 M. Rodahl *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66, 3924 (1995).
- [7] L. Bruschi, A. Carlin, and G. Mistura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 046105 (2002); C. Mak and J. Krim, Phys. Rev. B 58, 5157 (1998).
- [8] C. M. Mate and B. Marchon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3902 (2000).
- [9] M. Cieplak, E. D. Smith, and M. O. Robbins, Science 265, 1209 (1994).
- [10] M.S. Tomassone et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4798 (1997).
- [11] A. Dayo, W. Alnasrallah, and J. Krim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1690 (1998).
- [12] R. L. Renner, J. E. Rutledge, and P. Taborek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1261 (1999); J. Krim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1262 (1999); R. L. Renner, P. Taborek, and J. E. Rutledge, Phys. Rev. B 63, 233405 (2001).
- [13] T. Novotny and B. Velicky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4112 (1999).
- [14] B.N.J. Persson and E. Tosatti, Surf. Sci. 411, L855 (1998).
- [15] J. B. Sokoloff, M. S. Tomassone, and A. Widom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 515 (2000).
- [16] L. W. Bruch, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 16201 (2000).
- [17] S. Sh. Rekhviashvili, Tech. Phys. Lett. **30**, 4 (2004).
- [18] B.L. Mason, S.M. Winder, and J. Krim, Tribol. Lett. 10, 59 (2001).
- [19] J. B. Sokoloff, J. Krim, and A. Widom, Phys. Rev. B 48, 9134 (1993).
- [20] K.L. Chopra, *Thin Film Phenomena* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969).
- [21] Y. Larher, Mol. Phys. 38, 789 (1979).
- [22] J. Krim, J. G. Dash, and J. Suzanne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 640 (1984).
- [23] C. L. Wang, J. Krim, and M. F. Toney, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 7, 2481 (1989).
- [24] F. N. Dultsev, V. P. Ostanin, and D. Klenerman, Langmuir 16, 5036 (2000).
- [25] M. Cole (private communication).
- [26] V. V. Syhev et al., Thermodynamic Properties of Helium (Hemisphere, Washington, 1987), Vol. 1, p. 131.