Universality Away from Critical Points in Two-Dimensional Phase Transitions

Cintia M. Lapilli, Peter Pfeifer, and Carlos Wexler

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, 65211, USA

(Received 5 December 2005; published 14 April 2006)

The *p*-state clock model in two dimensions is a system of discrete rotors with a quasiliquid phase in a region $T_1 < T < T_2$ for p > 4. We show that, for p > 4 and above a temperature T_{eu} , all macroscopic thermal averages become identical to those of the continuous rotor $(p = \infty)$. This collapse of thermodynamic observables creates a regime of *extended universality* in the phase diagram and an emergent symmetry, not present in the Hamiltonian. For $p \ge 8$, the collapse starts in the quasiliquid phase and makes the transition at T_2 identical to the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition of the continuous rotor. For $p \le 6$, the transition at T_2 is below T_{eu} and no longer a BKT transition. The results generate a range of experimental predictions, such as the motion of magnetic domain walls, and limits on macroscopic distinguishability of different microscopic interactions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.140603

A cornerstone in the study of phase transitions is the concept of universality, stating that entire families of systems behave identically in the neighborhood of a critical point, such as the liquid-gas critical point in a fluid or the Curie point in a ferromagnet, at which two phases become indistinguishable. Near the critical point, thermodynamic observables do not depend on the details of intermolecular interactions, and the critical exponents, which quantify how observables go to zero or infinity at the transition, depend only on the range of interactions, symmetries of the Hamiltonian, and spatial dimensionality of the system. Universality arises as the system develops fluctuations of all sizes near the critical point, which wash out the details of interaction and render the system scale invariant [1,2].

Here we report a new, stronger form of universality. We find the remarkable result that, in a specific family of systems, different members behave identically both near and away from critical points-we call this extended universality-if the temperature exceeds a certain value $T_{\rm eu}$. In this regime, universality occurs not just at a critical point but over a whole range of temperatures, yields identical values of all (macroscopic) thermodynamic observables (such as energy or magnetization), not just identical critical exponents-we call this collapse of thermodynamic observables-for different systems, runs over Hamiltonians with different symmetries, and is not induced by large fluctuations. As the collapse maps Hamiltonians with different symmetries onto one and the same thermodynamic state, the system exhibits a symmetry not present in the Hamiltonian. The added symmetry at high temperature is the counterpart of broken symmetry at low temperature. To the best of our knowledge, no such collapse of thermodynamic observables has been observed before.

The family under consideration is the *p*-state clock model, also known as the *p*-state vector Potts model or Z_p model [3], in two dimensions, with Hamiltonian

$$H_p = -J_0 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j = -J_0 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j), \quad (1)$$

PACS numbers: 64.60.-i, 05.50.+q, 68.35.Rh, 75.40.Cx

where each spin, \mathbf{s}_i , can make p angles $\theta_i = 2\pi n_i/p$ ($n_i = 1, ..., p$), the sum is over nearest neighbors on a square lattice, and the coupling is ferromagnetic, $J_0 > 0$. The p discrete orientations, imposed by a crystallographic substrate and molecular shapes, make H_p invariant under the transformations $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i + 2\pi n/p$ (cyclic group Z_p). The model interpolates between spin-up or -down of the Ising model [4] (p = 2) and the continuum of directions of the planar rotor, or XY, model [5,6] ($p = \infty$). It has been studied to track how the phase transition in the Ising model, with spontaneously broken symmetry in the ferromagnetic phase, gives way to the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition [6], without broken symmetry, in the rotor model. As the symmetry of H_p changes with p, so may the universality class of the phase transitions.

Elitzur *et al.* [7] showed that the model has a rich phase diagram: for p = 2, 4, it belongs to the Ising universality class, with a low-temperature ferromagnetic phase and a high-temperature paramagnetic phase; for 4 , three phases exist—a low-temperature ordered and a high-temperature disordered phase, as in the Ising model, plus a quasiliquid intermediate phase. Duality transformations [7,8] and renormalization group (RG) treatments [9,10] shed light on the phases in terms of a related model,

$$H_{\{h_p\}} = -J_0 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) + \sum_i \sum_p h_p \cos(p\theta_i), \quad (2)$$

where the θ_i 's are continuous and the h_p 's are symmetrybreaking fields, mimicking the constraint to p spin directions in the clock model. The clock model obtains by letting $h_p \rightarrow \infty$ for a selected p. José *et al.* [10] showed, in a self-dual approximation of (2), that the fields were relevant for p < 4, and irrelevant for p > 4. But (1) is not self-dual for p > 4, and RG approximations examining the effect of the discreteness of the angular variables are delicate near p = 6. As a result, the transition points of (1) in the three-phase region are not precisely known. We establish the phase diagram, collapse of observables, and associated temperature T_{eu} by Monte Carlo (MC) simulations [11,12]. The simulations were performed on a square lattice of size $N = L \times L$, with L = 8-72, and periodic boundary conditions. We sampled 10^5-10^7 configurations, with equilibration runs of $p \times (1000-5000)$ MC steps, a step being one attempt to change *all* spins.

Figure 1 summarizes our results. The Ising model shows the expected phase transition at $T_c^{\text{Ising}} = 2/\ln[1 + \sqrt{2}] \approx$ 2.27. The case p = 4 also shows a single transition, at $T_c = T_c^{\text{Ising}}/2 \approx 1.13$. Most interesting is the case p > 4. It hosts the two transitions predicted by Elitzur *et al.* [7], illustrated in Fig. 2, and the collapse of thermodynamic observables at $T > T_{\text{eu}}$. At T_{eu} the system switches from a *p*-dependent state ($T < T_{\text{eu}}$; discrete symmetry) to a state indistinguishable from $p = \infty$ ($T > T_{\text{eu}}$; continuous symmetry). For $p \le 4$ there is no collapse.

We characterize the transitions using Binder's fourthorder cumulants [11] in magnetization, $U_L \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{3} \times \langle m^4 \rangle / \langle m^2 \rangle^2$, and energy, $V_L \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{3} \langle e^4 \rangle / \langle e^2 \rangle^2$. The hightemperature transition, T_2 , is obtained from the fixed point of U_L . The latent heat, proportional to $\lim_{L\to\infty} [2/3 - \min_T V_L]$, vanishes, signaling a second-order transition. The low-temperature transition, T_1 , is obtained from the temperature derivative of the magnetization, $\partial \langle |\mathbf{m}| \rangle / \partial T$, and $\partial U_L / \partial T$, which diverge as $L \to \infty$. Finite-size scaling (FSS) of the derivatives' minima yields $T_1 = \lim_{L\to\infty} T_{1,L}$. We find $T_1 = 4\pi^2 / (\tilde{T}_2 p^2)$, with $\tilde{T}_2 \simeq 1.67 \pm 0.02$.



FIG. 1 (color online). Phase diagram of the *p*-state clock model. The Ising model, p = 2, exhibits a single second-order phase transition, as does the p = 4 case, which is also in the Ising universality class. For p > 4, a quasiliquid phase appears, and the transitions at T_1 and T_2 are both second order. The line T_{eu} separates the phase diagram into a region where the thermodynamic observables do depend on p, below T_{eu} , and a region where their values are p independent, above T_{eu} . For $p \ge 8$, we observe $T_{eu} < T_2 = T_{BKT} \simeq 0.89$. Throughout, temperatures are in units of $J_0/k_{\rm B}$, where $k_{\rm B}$ is Boltzmann's constant.

Figure 3 shows selected thermodynamic observables: heat capacity, $c_F \equiv (\langle H^2 \rangle - \langle H \rangle^2) / [L^2 T^2]$, and magnetization, $\langle \mathbf{m} \rangle \equiv \langle \mathbf{M} \rangle / L^2 = \langle (|\sum_{i=1}^N \cos \theta_i|, |\sum_{i=1}^N \sin \theta_i|) \rangle / L^2$, per spin. Figure 3 proves the collapse of thermodynamic observables: c_F and $\langle |\mathbf{m}| \rangle$ are manifestly *p* independent for p > 4 and $T > T_{eu}$, where

$$T_{\rm eu} = \frac{4\pi^2}{p^2 T_{\rm BKT}},\tag{3}$$

and $T_{\rm BKT} \simeq 0.89$; and the internal-energy differences abruptly vanish at $T = T_{\rm eu}$.

The specific form of $T_{eu}(p)$ can be understood as follows. (i) The large-p, small- $(\theta_i - \theta_i)$ expansion of (1) yields a characteristic temperature, $\sim (2\pi/p)^2$, such that all averages become *p*-independent whenever $T/(2\pi/p)^2 \gg 1$, implying an *asymptotic* collapse of observables. (ii) Elitzur et al. [7] noted that discreteness of the angles θ_i becomes irrelevant for the critical properties of (1), for sufficiently large p, implying the collapse of observables at critical points, T_2 . (iii) A similar irrelevance of the discreteness of angles, imposed by $h_p \rightarrow \infty$, was observed for (2), subject to $T > 4\pi^2/(p^2 T_k)$, where $T_k \simeq$ 1.35 is the BKT point of the self-dual approximation of (2) [10]. (iv) For the *full* collapse of thermodynamic observables in the clock model, these partial results suggest that a necessary condition for collapse is T > $4\pi^2/(p^2T_{\rm BKT})$. The fit of our data for $T_{\rm eu}(p)$, yielding (3), validates this expectation and shows that the condition is necessary and sufficient.

The collapse (noncollapse) above (below) the curve $T_{eu}(p)$ makes far-reaching predictions for the transitions T_1 and T_2 , which we now test. We begin with T_2 . We



FIG. 2 (color online). Three-phase regime for p = 8, in terms of the specific heat, c_F , transition temperatures T_1 , T_2 (the transitions do *not* occur at the peaks of c_F), and typical spin configurations. The correlation function, $\langle \mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j \rangle$, goes to a non-zero constant at large distance |i - j| at $T < T_1$ (long-range order); decays as a power law of distance at $T_1 < T < T_2$ [quasilong-range order [5,6]; typical configurations contain vortices]; and decays exponentially with distance at $T > T_2$ (disorder).



FIG. 3 (color online). Heat capacity (a), magnetization (b), and difference of internal energy per spin relative to the planar-rotor model (c). The data correspond to a system size of L = 72 (N = 5, 184 spins). All curves coalesce above T_{eu} (arrows) for $p \ge 5$ (collapse of thermodynamic observables, extended universality).

observe that $T_2 > T_{eu}$ for $p \ge 8$, which implies that the transition T_2 must be BKT for $p \ge 8$. Previous work advanced only the plausibility of such universality. To test our assertion, beyond the equality $T_2 = T_{BKT}$, we equate BKT behavior to the following planar-rotor properties [6]: (i) discontinuous jump to zero of the helicity modulus, $\Upsilon(T_{BKT}^-) = 2T_{BKT}/\pi$; (ii) exponentially diverging correlation length, $\xi \sim \exp[c/|T - T_{BKT}|^{1/2}]$; (iii) temperature-dependent power-law decay of two-point correlation functions and magnetization, with exponents $\eta(T_{BKT}) = 1/4$, and $\tilde{\beta} = 3\pi^2/128$, respectively [13].

Our simulations fully confirm these properties at T_2 and $p \ge 8$ [12]. We illustrate this for the discontinuity of the helicity modulus. Following the Minnhagen-Kim stability argument [14], we evaluate the change in free energy when a twist Δ is applied to the spins:

$$f = \frac{\Upsilon}{2}\Delta^2 + \frac{\Upsilon_4}{4!}\Delta^4 + \cdots$$
 (4)

Figure 4 shows Y and Y₄ (fourth-order helicity) as a function of *T* and system size *L* for p = 8. At T_2 , $\lim_{L\to\infty} Y_4 < 0$, so if $\lim_{L\to\infty} Y$ went to zero continuously as $T \to T_2^-$, the free energy would turn negative and the system would become unstable as $T \to T_2$. This contradiction implies that Y goes to zero discontinuously. The same result is obtained for all $p \ge 8$, as predicted by the collapse of observables. Conversely, the noncollapse of observables at T_2 for $p \le 7$ suggests that the transition at $p \le 7$ differs from BKT. This is indeed the case: Y does not vanish, and Y₄ converges to zero as $L \to \infty$. The



FIG. 4 (color online). Helicity modulus, Y, and fourth-order helicity, Y_4 , for p = 8 (a), (c) and p = 6 (b), (c) across the phase transition T_2 . The bottom curve in (a), (b), for reference, is the modulus for the planar rotor, which jumps from $2T_{\rm BKT}/\pi$ (full circle) to zero at $T = T_{\rm BKT}$. For all $p \ge 8$, $\lim_{L\to\infty} Y = 0$. Extrapolation of Y_4 to the thermodynamic limit yields two classes of results (d): Y_4 converges to the universal value -0.126 ± 0.005 for $p \ge 8$, and to zero for $p \le 7$. This implies that Y has a discontinuous jump to zero at T_2 if and only $p \ge 8$.

nonzero helicity modulus and its continuity at T_2 make the transition manifestly non-BKT, according to our criterion. Other critical properties computed at T_2 also differ from the BKT values [12]. Moreover, visibly $T_2 > T_{\text{BKT}}$ (Fig. 1). Thus, contrary to prior conjectures that the transition at T_2 and p = 6, 7 is BKT-like [7,10,15,16], we find that it differs significantly from BKT. Specifically, our analysis shows that a twist at T_2^+ costs much more energy, $f = \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon \Delta^2 + O(\Delta^6)$, than in the BKT case, $f = O(\Delta^6)$.

We turn to the low-temperature transition, T_1 , which also has been argued to be BKT-like for $p \ge 6$ [16,17]. The noncollapse of observables at T_1 for all finite p suggests, and our simulations substantiate [12], that this transition, too, differs from BKT.

Thus the collapse of thermodynamic observables has remarkable consequences on the phase diagram of the clock model and resolves long-standing questions of similarities and differences with the planar-rotor model. When present, $T > T_{eu}$, the collapse causes the spins to lose their identity as discrete-symmetry variables and become indistinguishable from the continuous-symmetry variables of the planar rotor. At critical points, T_2 for $p \ge 8$, it guarantees that all critical properties are identical to those of the BKT transition. Away from critical points, it guarantees that the quasiliquid phase and disordered phase are identical to those of the planar rotor. When the collapse is absent, $T < T_{eu}$, the spins retain their discrete symmetry, and all critical points, T_2 for p < 8 and T_1 for $p < \infty$, are distinctly non-BKT.

Our results raise important questions and implications. Just as universality at a critical point is accompanied by invariance of the system under the scale transformation $\mathbf{r}_i \rightarrow \lambda \mathbf{r}_i$, even though the Hamiltonian has no such symmetry, extended universality is accompanied by invariance under the transformation $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i + \alpha$, α arbitrary, even though (1) is invariant only under discrete rotations. Thus both universalities are generated by an emergent symmetry, not present in the Hamiltonian. What makes extended universality different is that the symmetry is present over a whole range of temperatures, not just at the critical point, giving it the status of a "protected" property [18] over a correspondingly wide range of temperatures. This suggests that thermal averages at $T > T_{eu}$ should be expressible in terms of a coarse-grained Hamiltonian invariant under rotation by α , and that this representation is exact. The construction of such a representation, and accordingly the origin of extended universality, is an open problem. Related questions are: if the thermodynamic observables show *p*-dependent ferromagnetic ordering below T_1 , but all p dependence is lost above T_{eu} , what is the nature of the region $T_1 < T < T_{eu}$? Is the transition from uncollapsed to collapsed at T_{eu} , at fixed p, a phase transition in itself? If so, what is the nature of the nonanalyticity at T_{en} ?

A range of experimental systems, from thin magnetic films to monolayers of adsorbed molecules [19], have been modeled by dipoles restricted to p orientations. Our results imply that *p*-state characteristics can be observed only at low temperatures, $T < T_{eu}(p)$. On the high side, T > $T_{\rm eu}(p)$, we expect the results to be relevant for the design of dense layers of supercritically adsorbed gases for fuel storage [20,21], and vortex dynamics to move magnetic domain walls in "magnetic race-track memory" [22]. E.g., the low energy cost of a twist for $p \ge 8$ suggests an easy motion of domain walls. The collapse of observables may be studied directly in a monolayer of rotaxane, a molecular wheel threaded by a molecular axle, on a single-crystal surface [23]. If the wheels have *p*-fold symmetry, modulo a polar group mediating the interaction between neighboring wheels, their dynamics should be governed by (1). For p =8, the hallmark of the collapse will be that the heat capacity peaks at $T \simeq 0.37$ and coalesces with the $p = \infty$ curve at $T = T_{eu} \simeq 0.69$. The collapse may also induce a change in the NMR signal of the polar group, as the group switches from a discrete rotor at $T < T_{eu}$ to a continuous rotor at $T > T_{eu}$. Other experimental platforms may be rotors with a magnetic ion at the center, driven by light [24].

It is a tenet in statistical mechanics that there is a one-toone correspondence between microscopic dynamics and macroscopic observations. Our results present a significant counterexample: systems with different Hamiltonians may produce identical thermodynamics, over a wide range of temperatures. How ubiquitous is this phenomenon? This many-to-one map of Hamiltonians onto thermodynamic states demonstrates previously unknown limits on the macroscopic distinguishability of different microscopic interactions and raises the question of how such interactions can be distinguished experimentally.

We thank H. Fertig, G. Vignale, H. Taub, and K. Knorr for useful discussions. We acknowledge support from the University of Missouri Research Board and Council, the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, and the National Science Foundation (Grant No. EEC-0438469).

- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1966).
- [2] L. P. Kadanoff *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **39**, 395 (1967);
 A. A. Migdal, Sov. Phys. JETP **42**, 743 (1976); E. Wigner,
 Phys. Today **17**, No. 3, 34 (1964).
- [3] R. Potts, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 48, 106 (1952).
- [4] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. B 65, 117 (1944).
- [5] N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).
- [6] V. L. Berezinsky, Sov. Phys. JETP **32**, 493 (1971); J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181 (1973); J. M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C **7**, 1046 (1974); D. R. Nelson and J. M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 1201 (1977).
- [7] S. Elitzur, R. B. Pearson, and J. Shigemitsu, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3698 (1979).
- [8] R. Savit, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 453 (1980).
- [9] J. Villain, J. Phys. (France) 36, 581 (1975).
- [10] J. V. José, L. P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick, and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 16, 1217 (1977).
- [11] K. Binder and D. W. Heermann, *Monte Carlo Simulation in Statistical Physics* (Springer, New York, 2002), 4th ed.
- [12] Details will be published elsewhere.
- [13] S. T. Bramwell and P. C. W. Holdsworth, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 5, L53 (1993).
- [14] P. Minnhagen and B. J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 67, 172509 (2003).
- [15] M.S.S. Challa and D.P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B 33, 437 (1986).
- [16] Y. Tomita and Y. Okabe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 572 (2001).
- [17] E. Rastelli, S. Regina, and A. Tassi, Phys. Rev. B 69, 174407 (2004).
- [18] R. B. Laughlin *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **97**, 32 (2000).
- [19] E.g., S. Faßbender, M. Enderle, K. Knorr, J. D. Noh, and H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165411 (2002).
- [20] P. Pfeifer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 115502 (2002).
- [21] S. Patchkovskii *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **102**, 10 439 (2005).
- [22] S.S.P. Parkin, U.S. Patent No. 6,834,005 2004; U.S. Patent No. 6,898,132 2005; U.S. Patent No. 6,920,062 2005.
- [23] E.g., Y. H. Jang, S. S. Jang, and W. A. Goddard III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 4959 (2005).
- [24] M.F. Hawthorne et al., Science 303, 1849 (2004).