Ionicities of Boron-Boron Bonds in B₁₂ Icosahedra

Julong He,¹ Erdong Wu,² Huitian Wang,³ Riping Liu,¹ and Yongjun Tian^{1,*}

¹Key Laboratory of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Qinhuangdao 066004, China

²Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Shenyang 110016, China

³National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China (Received 5 May 2004; published 14 January 2005)

First-principles calculations are used to investigate ionicities of boron-boron bonds in B_{12} icosahedra. It is observed that the geometrical symmetry breaking of B_{12} icosahedra results in the spatial asymmetry of charge density on each boron-boron bond, and further in the ionicity of B_{12} icosahedra. The results calculated by a new ionicity scale, a population ionicity scale, indicate that the maximum ionicity among those boron-boron bonds is larger than that of boron-nitrogen bonds in the III-V compound cubic BN. It is of great importance that such an ionicity concept can be extended to boron-rich solids and identical atom clusters.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.015504

PACS numbers: 61.50.Lt, 61.66.Bi, 62.20.Qp, 71.15.Mb

Ionicity is an important, basic concept and exists only in chemical bonds between different atoms in general. In essence, it originates from the asymmetry of the spatial charge density distribution. Some scales have been defined to characterize the ionicity related to charge transfer [1–4], and successfully applied for understanding many basic properties [5–14] of solids and molecules in chemistry and physics. Based on these scales, however, all ionicities in chemical bonds between identical atoms are zero because of no charge transfer. Here we report the first observation of the existence of significant ionicity in chemical bonds between boron atoms in B_{12} icosahedron.

Boron and boron-rich solids [15] are part of the most important group of nonmetallic hard materials. In the past few years, boron-rich solids have received considerable attention [16-25], especially, boron suboxide B₆O, which was found to be a novel superhard material [20-23]. The hardness of boron-rich solids is related to the special structure of B₁₂ icosahedron. By assuming that all the boron-boron bonds in the B_{12} icosahedron were pure covalent bonds in the calculation of hardness using our microscopic model [7], we found that the hardness of the α boron or B₆O would be 50 GPa or 55 GPa, respectively, which is over 19% or $22\% \sim 44\%$ higher than the experimental value of 42 GPa [15] for α boron or the value of 38 GPa [22] and 45 GPa [23] for B₆O. The microscopic model shows that the hardness of a polar covalent solid depends only on three factors of a bond, i.e., length, electronic density, and Phillips ionicity [7]. It should be noted that the accuracy for the microscopic model of hardness is within 10% [26]. Because bond length and electronic density are fixed for each bond, we speculate that ionicities of the boron-boron bonds in icosahedra are responsible for the decrease in hardness. However, the existence of ionicity for identical atom bonds is questionable in the theoretical framework of the common ionicity concept.

Our goal is to prove this speculation and to reveal the origin of the ionicity in the icosahedra of α -boron. Specifically, we would like to quantitatively characterize the ionicity. In order to be able to calculate the ionicity, we shall define a new ionicity scale, and find the relationship between this new scale and the Phillips scale so as to calculate the ionicity of each boron-boron bond in the icosahedra using our hardness model [7]. This scale can be extended to boron-rich solids and identical atom clusters.

We have employed CASTEP [27] code within the local density approximation to perform first-principles calculations that explore the electronic structure of crystals. Norm-conserving pseudopotentials were used. The calculations were made using the plane-wave cutoff energy of 660 eV. The Brillouin zone sampling was performed using special k points generated by the Monkhorst-Pack scheme with parameters $10 \times 10 \times 10$. The technique [28] for the projection of plane-wave states onto a linear combination of atomic orbitals basis set was used to perform Mulliken population calculations.

We first analyze the types of boron-boron bonds in an α -boron crystal consisting of only B₁₂ icosahedra located at the vertices of a rhombohedral lattice (R-3m) [16] as shown in Fig. 1(a). There are two inequivalent crystallographic sites, i.e., polar (\mathbf{B}_p) and equatorial (\mathbf{B}_e) sites, for boron atoms in Fig. 1(b) in an icosahedron. The B_p atoms, which form top and bottom triangular faces, are directly linked to the five neighboring atoms in the same icosahedron and to one polar atom in a neighboring icosahedra; the B_e atoms form a puckered hexagon in the plane perpendicular to the [111] axis. As shown in Fig. 1(a), three equatorial atoms are separate from the three neighboring icosahedra and are linked together by peculiar delocalized three-center bonds [19]. Therefore, 39 boron-boron bonds in one α -boron unit cell can be divided into three different types. Type-I bonds are those linking two polar atoms



FIG. 1 (color). Structure of α -rhombohedral boron: (a) the eight B₁₂ icosahedra locate at the vertices of a rhombohedral unit cell with the lattice parameters of a = 4.974 Å and $\alpha = 58.16^{\circ}$. The peculiar delocalized three-center bonds are denoted with the red triangles; (b) the polar atoms are labeled with numbers of from 1 to 6, and the equatorial atoms with numbers of from 7 to 12 in B₁₂ icosahedron.

between neighboring icosahedra, type-II bonds are the peculiar delocalized three-center bonds, and type-III bonds are those in B_{12} icosahedra.

To determine which types of bonds exhibit the ionicity, we explore the charge density of each bond. Figure 2 shows the charge densities in α -boron by four color-contour maps. From the point of view of chemical bonding, type-I and -II bonds exhibiting symmetrical charge density distribution are purely covalent. Ideal icosahedron is a



FIG. 2 (color). Typical color-contour maps of charge densities of chemical bonds in α -rhombohedral boron: (a) on the colorcontour map in (100) plane of α -rhombohedral boron, the bright red B_p-B_p bonds (indicated by arrow u) in type-I are strong twocenter covalent bonds, their charge density centers located at the middle of their bonds; the dark red B_p-B_p bonds (indicated by arrow w) are type-III bonds, their charge density weighted centers in (100) planes moving clearly from the bonding axis towards center C of icosahedron; (b) the charge densities of the peculiar delocalized three-center B_e-B_e bonds in type-II show threefold rotation symmetry because three B_e-B_e bond lengths are the same; (c) the charge densities of the bonds in type-III of B_p-B_p-B_e triangle exhibit the feature of asymmetry; (d) the charge densities of the bonds in type-III of B_p-B_e-B_e triangle also exhibit the feature of asymmetry.

quasicrystal structure with fivefold symmetry. While α -boron maintains R-3m symmetry, B₁₂ icosahedra on each lattice site distort and lose their fivefold rotation axis. Therefore, type-III bonds can be divided again into four subgroups by three slightly different types of 20 triangle faces of the icosahedron. The first subgroup has 12 $B_e - B_p$ bonds $(B_{10} - B_1, B_{10} - B_2, B_{11} - B_1, \cdots)$ in six B_p - B_p - B_e types of triangle faces formed by one equatorial and two neighboring polar atoms. The second and third subgroups have six $B_e - B_e$ ($B_7 - B_{11}, B_7 - B_{12}, B_8 - B_{10}, \cdots$) and six B_p - B_e (B_1 - B_8 , B_2 - B_9 , B_3 - B_7 , \cdots) bonds in 12 B_p - B_e - B_e types of triangle faces formed by one polar and two neighboring equatorial atoms, respectively. The fourth subgroup has six B_p - B_p (B_1 - B_2 , B_2 - B_3 , B_3 - B_1 , \cdots) bonds in two B_p - B_p - B_p types of triangle faces formed between two top (or bottom) polar atoms. The bond lengths of these four subgroups are slightly different (see Table II). The experimental measurement confirmed the difference in bond length in B₁₂ icosahedron [29]. The broken geometrical symmetry of icosahedron due to the differences in bond lengths results in the asymmetrical distribution of charge density in the type-III bonds, such as the bonds in the B_p - B_p - B_e [see Fig. 2(c)] and B_p - B_e - B_e [see Fig. 2(d)] triangles. The asymmetrical distribution of charge density, caused from the broken geometrical symmetry, could also appear in other kinds of identical atom clusters. Garcia and Cohen [30] have attributed the existence of ionicity to the observed asymmetry of the charge density. We shall calculate this kind of ionicity later in this Letter.

In the conventional empirical ionicity scales of Pauling [1], Phillips [2], and Coulson [3], ionicity of a chemical bond is considered as zero for identical element solids. First-principles ionicity scales, here called as Garcia scale f_g [30], Zaoui scale f_z [31], and Christensen scale f_c [32], respectively, can be used to describe the spatial asymmetry of the charge distribution local on each bond where there is no electronic charge transfer along the bond axis in the α -boron crystal. In Table I we compare the theoretical ionicity values of f_g , f_z , and f_c to the Phillips ionicity f_i . We can obtain three linear relationships of $f_g = 1.266f_i$ ($R^2 = 0.75$), $f_z = 1.098f_i$ ($R^2 = 0.88$), and $f_c = 1.195f_i$ ($R^2 = 0.84$) as shown in Fig. 3. Zaoui scale tends to be better than Garcia and Christensen scales for the hardness calculations by using our microscopic model; however, the calculation error seems too large to be tolerated. Therefore, it is necessary to find a new ionicity scale for the characterization of ionicity in such crystals as α -boron. We now shall construct this new scale.

Mulliken's bond overlap population [33] for nearest neighboring atoms, derived from first-principles calculations, is a measure of spatial charge density between bonding atoms, and this quantity does not totally depend on the valence charge transfer along the bond axis. Previous work [6,34] has indicated that the bond overlap population can reflect the trend of bond ionicity. For a

TABLE I. Comparison of f_h to f_g , f_z , f_c , and f_i . Calculating Mulliken population P from first-principles calculations using CASTEP code [27] and population ionicity f_h from Eq. (1).

Materials	f_g^{a}	$f_z^{\ b}$	f_c^{c}	f_i^{a}	Р	f_h
Diamond	0	0	0	0	0.75	0
Si	0	0	0	0	0.75	0
BAs	0.116		0.002	0.002	0.74	0.013
BP	0.085	0.12	0.001	0.006	0.75	0
SiC	0.475	0.14	0.394	0.177	0.69	0.083
AlSb	0.230	0.245	0.163	0.250	0.65	0.143
c-BN	0.484	0.462	0.383	0.256	0.65	0.143
GaSb	0.169	0.264	0.108	0.261	0.63	0.174
AlAs	0.375	0.292	0.367	0.274	0.61	0.205
AlP	0.425	0.403	0.421	0.307	0.63	0.173
GaAs	0.316	0.312	0.310	0.310	0.61	0.205
InSb	0.294	0.301	0.303	0.321	0.57	0.271
GaP	0.371	0.377	0.361	0.327	0.63	0.174
InAs	0.450	0.357	0.553	0.357	0.53	0.340
InP	0.506	0.405	0.534	0.421	0.56	0.288
AlN	0.794	0.573	•••	0.449	0.50	0.393
GaN	0.780	0.584	•••	0.500	0.52	0.357
InN	0.853	• • •	•••	0.578	0.45	0.487
ZnS	0.673	0.677	0.764	0.623	0.46	0.468
ZnSe	0.597	0.639	0.740	0.630	0.46	0.468

^aRef. [30]. ^bRef. [31].

^cRef. [32].

Kci. [32].

specific crystal structure or cluster containing the same type of coordinates, we define here an ionicity scale f_h of a bond based on bond overlap population as

$$f_h = 1 - e^{-|P_c - P|/P},\tag{1}$$

where *P* is the overlap population of a bond and P_c is the overlap population of the bond in a pure covalent crystal of that specific structure or cluster. f_h is equal to zero for a purely covalent bond and to unity for a purely ionic bond.

TABLE II. Bond parameters of α -boron, ionicities of bonds in B₁₂ icosahedron. Calculating the chemical bond parameters of the n^{μ} and d^{μ} from first-principles calculations using CASTEP code [27], and N_e^{μ} from the Eq. (10)–(12) in Ref. [7] for α -boron crystal. After deducing P_c value from Eq. (2), we obtain the population and Phillips ionicities for the four types of bonds in B₁₂ icosahedra using Eq. (1) and the relationship of $f_h = f_i^{1.36}$.

Bond Type	Bond	α -boron			B ₁₂ icosahedron		
		n^{μ}	d^{μ} (Å)	N_e^{μ}	P	f_h	f_i
Ι	$B_p - B_p$	3	1.650	0.595		•••	
II	$\mathbf{B}_{e}^{'}-\mathbf{B}_{e}^{'}$	6	1.984	0.323	• • •	• • •	• • •
	$\mathbf{B}_{e} - \mathbf{B}_{p}$	12	1.773	0.480	0.53	0.019	0.054
III	$\mathbf{B}_{e} - \mathbf{B}_{e}$	6	1.752	0.497	0.49	0.097	0.180
	$\mathbf{B}_{p} - \mathbf{B}_{e}$	6	1.764	0.487	0.47	0.138	0.233
	$\mathbf{B}_{p}^{r} - \mathbf{B}_{p}$	6	1.717	0.528	0.42	0.249	0.359

Usually the bonds in different types of structures would have different values of P_c .

To calculate the ionicity values of boron-boron bonds in B_{12} icosahedra using our model [7], we have to find a correlation between population ionicity f_h and Phillips ionicity f_i . Therefore, we compare f_h with f_i for a reference structure. We choose here the zinc blende structure and do a population analysis to determine f_h . The calculated overlap population of pure covalent C-C or Si-Si bonds is 0.75 in the diamond or silicon crystals. Thus we find that $P_c = 0.75$ for the zinc blende structure. In order to further discern the relevance between different scales, we compare values (see Table I) of Phillips ionicity f_i and population ionicity f_h for 20 kinds of materials with zinc blende structure. A good fit to the relationship for f_h as a function of f_i , $f_h = f_i^m$, yields m = 1.36 with $R^2 = 0.94$ (see Fig. 3).

The hardness of a polar covalent crystal exhibits an exponential relation with f_i . Based on the experimental value of hardness of 42 GPa [15] and the chemical bond data from the middle column in Table II for α -boron crystal, we can derive P_c value for bonds in B₁₂ icosahedra by employing our microscopic model of hardness from Eq. (2),

$$H_{\nu} = \left[\prod^{\mu} (H^{\mu}_{\nu})^{n^{\mu}}\right]^{1/\Sigma n^{\mu}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $H_{\nu}^{\mu} = 350(N_e^{\mu})^{2/3}e^{-1.191f_i^{\mu}} / - (d^{\mu})^{2.5}$ is the hardness of a crystal composed of μ -type bonds, n^{μ} is the number of μ -type bonds in the actual crystal, f_i^{μ} is the Phillips ionicity of a crystal composed of μ -type bonds, and N_e^{μ} is the number of valence electrons of μ -type bond per cubic angstroms [7]. When introducing $f_i = f_h^{1/m}$ and data in Table II to Eq. (2), we find that $P_c = 0.54$ for bonds in the icosahedron. The corresponding Phillips ionicities



FIG. 3 (color). Plot of f_g , f_z , f_c , and f_h versus f_i values for zinc blende structure. Note that the $f_h/f_h^{1.36}$ ratio for the chosen 20 materials lies on (or close to) the line of slope 1.

based on our relation between f_h and f_i for the four types of bonds in the B₁₂ icosahedra are 0.054, 0.180, 0.233, and 0.359, and listed in the right column in Table II. The maximum ionicity 0.359 of boron-boron bonds occurs between two neighboring polar atoms, which is 40.2% larger than 0.256 of the boron-nitrogen bond in the III-V compound of cubic BN.

To test the validity of the population ionicity scale, we calculate the hardness of typical boron-rich solids B_6O and $B_{13}C_2$. The insertions of additional elements O and C between B_{12} icosahedra have obvious effects on the overlap populations of boron-boron bonds in the B_{12} icosahedra, but assessing the effects is beyond the scope of this work. Our calculated hardness of 42 GPa and 44 GPa agrees with the experimental values of 38 GPa [22] or 45 GPa [23] for B_6O and 45 GPa [15] for $B_{13}C_2$, respectively.

The investigations of ionicities of boron-boron bonds in B_{12} icosahedra that we report here reveal a fact that there can exist ionicity for identical atoms chemically bonded in a specific structure. The population ionicity scale is a very useful and universal tool for understanding the chemical bonding of boron-rich solids and identical atom clusters in chemistry and physics. More generally, the present results explode the common concept that ionicity only exists in chemical bonds formed between different elements, and offer a deeper understanding of the nature of a chemical bond.

This work was supported by NSFC (Grants No. 50225207, No. 50342033, and No. 50372055). We would like to thank Mr. J. Clumpner for his critical reading of our manuscript.

*E-mail address: fhcl@ysu.edu.cn

- [1] L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1960).
- [2] J.C. Phillips, Rev. Mod. Phys. 42, 317 (1970).
- [3] C. A. Coulson, L. B. Redei, and D. Stocker, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **270**, 357 (1962).
- [4] B.F. Levine, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 1463 (1973).
- [5] G.J. Kramer, B.W.H. Beest, and R.A. Santen, Nature (London) 351, 636 (1991).

- [6] J.R. Chelikowsky and J.K. Burdett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 961 (1986).
- [7] F.M. Gao et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 015502 (2003).
- [8] J. A. V. Vechten, Phys. Rev. 187, 1007 (1969); J. C. Phillips and J. A. V. Vechten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 705 (1969).
- [9] R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 1, 4005 (1970).
- [10] J. C. Phillips and J. A. V. Vechten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1115 (1969).
- [11] P. Y. Yu, M. Cardona, and F. H. Pollak, Phys. Rev. B 3, 340 (1971).
- [12] Chr. Flytzanis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1336 (1969).
- [13] A. Baldereschi and J. J. Hopfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 171 (1972).
- [14] B.F. Levine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 787 (1969).
- [15] E. Amberger and W. Stumpf, in *Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry*, edited by K.-C. Buschbeak (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981), pp. 112–238.
- [16] R. Lazzari et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3230 (1999).
- [17] R. Riedel, Adv. Mater. 6, 549 (1994).
- [18] R.J. Nelmes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2268 (1995).
- [19] D. R. Tallant et al., Phys. Rev. B 40, 5649 (1989).
- [20] H. Hubert et al., Nature (London) 391, 376 (1998).
- [21] A.R. Badzian, Appl. Phys. Lett. 53, 2495 (1988).
- [22] H. Hubert et al., Chem. Mater. 10, 1530 (1998).
- [23] D.W. He et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 643 (2002).
- [24] R. M. Wentzcovitch, K. J. Chang, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 34, 1071 (1986).
- [25] F. El. Haj. Hassan, H. Akbarzadeh, and M. Zoaeter, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 16, 293 (2004).
- [26] "Model Accurately Predicts Hardness for a Number of Materials," MRS E-News 3, No. 7 (2003), http://www. mrs.org/geninfo/enews.
- [27] Computer code CASTEP, Materials Studio, Version 2.2 (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, 2003).
- [28] D. Sanchez-Portal, E. Artacho, and J. M. Soler, Solid State Commun. 95, 685 (1995); J. Phys. Condens. Matter 8, 3859 (1996).
- [29] B.F. Decker and J.S. Kasper, Acta Crystallogr. 12, 503 (1959).
- [30] A. Garcia and M.L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 4215 (1993).
- [31] A. Zaoui et al., Phys. Status Solidi B 185, 163 (1994).
- [32] N.E. Christensen, S. Satpathy, and Z. Pawlowska, Phys. Rev. B 36, 1032 (1987).
- [33] R. S. Mulliken, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1833 (1955).
- [34] M. D. Segall et al., Phys. Rev. B 54, 16317 (2000).