

## Comment on “Quantum Vacuum Contribution to the Momentum of Dielectric Media”

In Ref. [1] Feigel obtains a material contribution to the momentum density of a homogeneous dielectric medium that is claimed to solve the longstanding Abraham-Minkowski (AM) controversy on the momentum of light. This approach even reveals a nonzero matter momentum induced by vacuum fluctuations in homogeneous magneto-electric (ME) media.

The intention of this Comment is first to argue that the Lagrangian approach to moving *macroscopic* media in [1], although original, gives no new clue on the interpretation of the *macroscopic* Maxwell equations [2], and for which a Lagrangian method including matter has been proposed [3]. Secondly we contest the conclusion of [1] that vacuum fluctuations induce nonzero momentum in ME matter.

We adopt the macroscopic Maxwell equations ( $c_0 = 1$ ) without free charges and currents, supplied by the ME (dispersion-free) relations (15). The approach by Jackson [4] is valid here and gives the conservation law,

$$\partial_t \mathbf{G} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{T} - \mathbf{f}^M, \quad (1)$$

with  $\mathbf{G} = (4\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{f}^M = -\frac{1}{8\pi} E_i E_j \nabla \varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{1}{8\pi} H_i H_j \nabla \mu_{ij} - \frac{1}{4\pi} E_i H_j \nabla \chi_{ij}$  the  $M$ -force density. The (asymmetric)  $M$ -stress tensor is  $T_{ij} = (4\pi)^{-1} \times [H_i B_j + E_i D_j - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}) \delta_{ij}]$ . Newton's second law in the presence of the Lorentz force density  $\rho_q \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_q \times \mathbf{B}$ , with  $\rho_q = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_q = \partial_t \mathbf{P} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$ , gives

$$\partial_t (-\rho_m \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}) = \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{f}^M, \quad (2)$$

with  $U_{ij} = E_i P_j - B_i M_j - \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{M}) \delta_{ij}]$ . In *homogeneous* media  $\mathbf{f}^M = 0$ , and Eq. (2) is equivalent to the conservation of “pseudomomentum”  $\partial L / \partial \mathbf{v}$ , found in (13) of [1] and Eq. (45) of [3]. Both equations combine to  $\partial_t [\rho_m \mathbf{v} + (4\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}] = \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{T}_0 - \rho_m \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}]$ , with  $\mathbf{T}_0$  the symmetric vacuum Maxwell stress tensor. This result, confirmed by more microscopic approaches [3,4], compares to the prediction (11) of Noether's theorem derived in [1] (where, however,  $(4\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$  seems to appear), and could invite us to identify  $(4\pi)^{-1} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  and  $\rho_m \mathbf{v}$  as the momentum densities of radiation field and matter [3]. Neither this radiation momentum nor  $\mathbf{G}$  obey Planck's principle of inertia. The conclusive argument to prefer the  $M$  (Minkowski) set  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{f}^M)$  over, e.g., the  $A$  (Abraham) set [2] remains unrevealed, here and in [1].

In homogeneous media is pseudomomentum  $\int d\mathbf{r} (-\rho_m \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B})$  conserved in time. For homogeneous fields the pseudomomentum density itself is conserved. Reference [1] concludes from this in Eqs. (14, 20) that  $\rho_m \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$ , a relation allowed by parity and time reversal but Lorentz-variant, even when  $v \ll 1$  and apparently appealing to no motion and no field in some distant past. But sources would violate the conservation laws [2] as well as the homogeneity assumption. If one *assumes*, like in [1], a stationary homogeneous vacuum field, then  $\partial_t \langle 0 | \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} | 0 \rangle = 0$ , so  $\partial_t \rho_m \mathbf{v} = 0$ . No special reason exists for the matter to achieve a momentum density  $\rho_m \mathbf{v} = \langle 0 | \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B} | 0 \rangle$ . For a ME medium this is nonzero but constant, so no contradiction exists when the matter is and stays at rest.

This conclusion follows from scattering theory in a different way. Consider a *finite* medium, obeying Eq. (15) of Ref. [1]. Photons scatter with cross-section  $d\sigma(\mathbf{k}_{in}, \mathbf{k}_{out}, \mathbf{E}^{ext}, \mathbf{B}^{ext})/d\Omega$  with  $|\mathbf{k}_{in}| = |\mathbf{k}_{out}| = \omega$  for if the medium is at rest no Doppler effect occurs. The *external* vacuum radiation momentum density at frequency  $\omega$  is stationary and isotropic:  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \sim \mathbf{k} \omega^{-1} E(\mathbf{k})^2 \sim \hbar \omega^2 \mathbf{k} d\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{in} d\omega$ . Their momentum transfer in time  $\Delta t$  is

$$\Delta \mathbf{p} \sim \hbar \omega^2 d\omega \Delta t \int d\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{in} \int d\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{out} \frac{d\sigma(\mathbf{k}_{in}, \mathbf{k}_{out})}{d\Omega} (\mathbf{k}_{in} - \mathbf{k}_{out}).$$

Simultaneous  $PT$  symmetry guarantees that  $d\sigma(\mathbf{k}_{in}, \mathbf{k}_{out}, \mathbf{E}^{ext}, \mathbf{B}^{ext})/d\Omega = d\sigma(\mathbf{k}_{out}, \mathbf{k}_{in}, -\mathbf{E}^{ext}, -\mathbf{B}^{ext})/d\Omega$ . Since the ME effect is bilinear in  $\mathbf{E}^{ext}$  and  $\mathbf{B}^{ext}$ , we have  $\Delta \mathbf{p} = 0$  and the object thus stays at rest.

B. A. van Tiggelen

Laboratoire de Physique et Modélisation des Milieux  
Condensés/CNRS  
Maison des Magistères/UJF  
BP 166  
38042 Grenoble, France

G. L. J. A. Rikken

Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Pulsés  
CNRS/INSA/UPS  
BP 14245  
31432 Toulouse, France

Received 24 May 2004; published 23 December 2004

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.268903

PACS numbers: 03.50.De, 42.50.Nn, 42.50.Vk

[1] A. Feigel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 020404 (2004).

[2] I. Brevik, Phys. Rep. **52**, 133 (1979).

[3] D. F. Nelson, Phys. Rev. A **44**, 3985 (1991).

[4] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1975), Chap. 6.