Detection of a Landau Band-Coupling-Induced Rearrangement of the Hofstadter Butterfly

M. C. Geisler,¹ J. H. Smet,¹ V. Umansky,² K. von Klitzing,¹ B. Naundorf,³ R. Ketzmerick,⁴ and H. Schweizer⁵

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

²Braun Center for Submicron Research, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

³Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

⁴Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

⁵IV Physikalisches Institut, Universität Stuttgart, D-70550 Stuttgart, Germany

(Received 27 December 2003; published 22 June 2004)

The spectrum of 2D electrons subjected to a weak 2D potential and a perpendicular magnetic field is composed of Landau bands with a fractal internal pattern of subbands and minigaps referred to as Hofstadter's butterfly. The Hall conductance may serve as a spectroscopic tool as each filled subband contributes a specific quantized value. Advances in sample fabrication now finally offer access to the regime away from the limiting case of a very weak potential. Complex behavior of the Hall conductance is observed and assigned to Landau band-coupling-induced rearrangements within the butterfly.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.256801

The intricate energy spectrum of two-dimensional (2D) electrons, simultaneously exposed to a perpendicular magnetic field B and a 2D periodic potential, has been theoretically addressed mainly in two limiting cases. Either the influence of a weak B field on a strong tightbinding lattice potential is investigated [1,2] or the influence of a weak modulation potential on a Landau quantized electron system [2,3] is treated as a small perturbation. The latter is of main interest here. For both cases, the allowed states are governed by a single parameter Φ/Φ_0 , where Φ is the magnetic flux per unit cell of the periodic potential and $\Phi_0 = h/e$ the flux quantum. When plotted with Φ/Φ_0 or Φ_0/Φ as abscissa for the respective limiting cases, these states form the appealing Hofstadter butterfly spectrum in Fig. 1(a). It exhibits fractal properties and emerges in a variety of contexts.

Figure 1(b) displays the modifications to the discrete Landau level spectrum induced by a 2D periodic potential of the form $V(x, y) = V_0/4[\cos(2\pi x/a) + \cos(2\pi y/a)]$, if coupling among Landau levels is neglected. The modulation lifts the macroscopic degeneracy of each level and broadens them into bands with a *B*-dependent bandwidth. Furthermore, it produces an internal structure to each Landau band. When Φ_0/Φ takes on a rational value of the form q/p, each band splits into *p* subbands, which are *q* fold degenerate and separated by minigaps. Here *p* and *q* are mutual primes. The band with orbital index $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ is described by

$$E_n = \hbar \omega_{\rm c} (n + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{8} V_0 \tilde{E} e^{-(1/2)\pi \Phi_0/\Phi} L_n(\pi \Phi_0/\Phi).$$
(1)

Here, $L_n(X)$ is the Laguerre polynomial of order n, $\hbar\omega_c$ is the cyclotron energy, \tilde{E} ranges from -4 to 4, and its allowed values for a certain Φ_0/Φ are precisely what the Hofstadter spectrum in Fig. 1(a) shows. This pattern of subbands and minigaps is identical for all bands and is repeated whenever Φ_0/Φ exceeds the next natural numPACS numbers: 73.43.Qt, 73.21.-b, 73.50.-h, 73.61.-r

ber. At the zeros of $L_n(X)$, all subbands of Landau band n collapse onto each other. At this so-called flatband condition, the macroscopic degeneracy of the original Landau level is restored.

Gathering convincing evidence for this fractal spectrum has turned out to be a challenge. Hitherto only weak precursors for the most prominent of all minigaps in the left and right wings of the butterfly have been reported [4,5]. Significant progress was made by resorting to a long-standing prediction by Středa and Thouless [6,7] for the behavior of the Hall conductance as the Fermi energy $E_{\rm F}$ is swept through the internal structure of a Landau band. In accordance with Laughlin's gauge invariance argument [8], the Hall conductivity is not only quantized in the Landau gaps, but also when $E_{\rm F}$ is located inside a minigap. The quantized contribution σ of such a partially filled band *n* to the total Hall conductance, σ_{xy} , varies from minigap to minigap in a nontrivial manner, but can easily be derived with a formula due to Středa [6]. Selected minigaps have been labeled with their σ values in units of $2e^2/h$ in Fig. 1(a). Whereas the longitudinal resistivity simply drops to zero, the quantized Hall conductance permits one to distinguish between different minigaps and proves to be far more sensitive. The total Hall conductance will then be $\sigma_{xy} = 2(\sigma + n)e^2/h$. The factor 2 accounts for spin degeneracy, as spin splitting is not resolved at the relevant fields. Higher order butterflies emerge for $\Phi_0/\Phi > 1$ and keep the same subband structure, only the subband degeneracy increases with larger Φ_0/Φ . This leads to different σ values for each butterfly, as seen in Fig. 1(a).

In this Letter, we report on significant advances in this field by exploiting the quantum Hall conductance as a diagnostic tool. On account of progress in the growth of high quality shallow heterostructures and in the development of fabrication procedures for creating short period lateral superlattices with minimal repercussions on the mobility, the Hall conductance now reveals higher order





FIG. 1 (color). (a) Repeated Hofstadter butterfly. Hall conductance contributions in units of $2e^2/h$ of partially filled Landau bands are indicated in dominant gaps. The green line tracks the Fermi energy as the Landau band n = 13 is filled with decreasing flux. The resulting Hall sequence 0-1-0-1 can be identified. For other bands the Φ_0/Φ range is shown at the top. (b) Landau fan chart for modulation $V_0 = 2.4$ meV and period a = 100 nm in single band approximation. Weak 2D modulation subdivides blue Landau bands into repeated butterflies.

minigaps. These improvements disclose to experiment the so far unexplored regime in which Landau band coupling further enriches the physics. We present experimental evidence for Landau band-coupling-induced rearrangements of minigaps and substantiate our interpretation with theoretical calculations.

The starting point is a shallow (100)-GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure with the 2D electron gas buried 48 nm below the surface. A Hall bar oriented along the [011] direction is prepared (inset of Fig. 2) and covered with resist, patterned into a 102.7 nm square lattice of holes using *e*-beam lithography. A gate composed of 15 nm Cr and 95 nm Au is deposited on this pattern through a shadow mask with *e*-beam evaporation under a sequence of three angles while the sample is mounted on a liquid nitrogen cooled finger. Optimization of the evaporation process resulted in mobilities beyond 3.4×10^6 cm²/V s after illumination with a red light-emitting diode. A modulation strength of $V_0 \approx 1\% E_F$ is estimated from the positive magnetoresistance near zero field [9]. A volt-

age applied to the periodically displaced gate hardly affects V_0 . Instead, the gate acts as a grid of periodic stressors and induces a modulation via the nonzero piezoelectric effect along the {011} directions of the GaAs crystal. Magnetoresistance measurements were carried out using standard lock-in techniques at approximately 50 mK. We were able to span the range of densities from 2.8–4.3 × 10¹¹/cm² by combining gate voltage tuning with the virtues of the persistent photoconductivity effect upon automated brief illuminations with red light. Even so, our main findings can be illustrated in the single *B*-field sweep trace of ρ_{xx} and ρ_{xy} at the fixed density $n_s = 4.32 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² shown in Fig. 2.

We briefly describe the strong B-field regime, where Landau bands are well separated, in order to establish the reliability of our procedures and illustrate the powerfulness of a Hall measurement to unveil the internal Landau band structure. In the highlighted region of Fig. 2 between 0.6 and 0.7 T, Landau bands n = 13 and 14 are gradually populated as the B field is lowered and $E_{\rm F}$ crosses the minigaps from the bottom to the top in the right wing of the first butterfly (Fig. 1) near $\Phi_0/\Phi = 0.6$. The Fermi energy does not trace a vertical line as Φ_0/Φ changes slightly upon lowering the field (for instance, for band n = 13 from 0.56 to 0.6). It jumps abruptly in gapped regions, but otherwise moves towards larger Φ_0/Φ with a slope determined by the number of available states as seen for Landau band n = 13 in Fig. 1(a) (green line). Since these Landau bands are located far away from the flatband conditions, marked by dashed lines in Fig. 2, their internal minigaps have nonzero width and the primary minigaps that cause a threefold splitting of the bands should be well resolved. When considering these



FIG. 2 (color). Longitudinal and Hall resistivities for $n_s = 4.32 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The modulation period is $102.7 \pm 0.5 \text{ nm}$. Dotted lines mark flatband positions. The inset shows the sample geometry. Landau bands are denoted by their orbital index.

minigaps only, the contribution to the total Hall conductance is anticipated to vary nonmonotonically as the Landau band is filled according to a 0-1-0-1 sequence [see green line in Fig. 1(a)]. To compare with experiment, this sequence is plotted while taking the minigap sizes of Fig. 1(a) as plateau widths [red trace in Fig. 3(a)]. This curve is subsequently convoluted with a fitted Gaussian of width $0.013\hbar\omega_c$ to simulate broadening [black trace in Fig. 3(a)] [10]. The resulting nonmonotonic behavior is already apparent in the Hall resistance measurement in Fig. 2. Figure 3(c) displays a blown up version of the longitudinal resistivity as well as the Hall conductance, extracted from the inversion of the measured resistivity tensor. The Hall conductance nearly reaches the quantized values in accordance with the curve predicted from the heuristic procedure described above. This quantization is accompanied by deep dips in ρ_{xx} . Both observations attest to the unrivaled device quality.

Inspection of the fractal energy spectrum in Fig. 1 reveals that higher order minigaps of still sizable but substantially reduced width open up at smaller *B* to both sides of $\Phi_0/\Phi = 0.8$. Landau bands n = 17 and 18 are the most suitable candidates to search for evidence of their existence as they are centered between the next pair of flatband conditions (see Fig. 2). The more complex and distinct series of consecutive Hall conductance values when filling these bands are plotted in Fig. 3(d). Applying the same heuristic procedure reproduces all features including the weak shoulders and dents in Fig. 3(e). These fine features cannot be accounted for without invoking the much smaller minigaps with associated Hall conduc-



FIG. 3 (color). (a) Expected Hall conductance for Landau bands n = 13 and 14 in red, obtained when taking the biggest gap sizes of Fig. 1(a) as plateau widths. Black lines are their convolution with a fitted Gaussian of width $0.013\hbar\omega_c$. (b) Schematic representation of the density of states. (c) Measured Hall conductance (black) and longitudinal resistivity (blue) for bands n = 13 and 14. (d),(e) are like (a) and (c) but for bands n = 17 and 18.

tances of $\sigma = 3$ and -2. The ability to resolve such tiny minigaps in the Hofstadter spectrum is considered the crucial prerequisite, which was previously not satisfied, to investigate the intermediate field regime away from the limiting case of a very weak potential where Landau band coupling can no longer be ignored.

Continuing our journey along the horizontal axis of Fig. 2 towards smaller *B* fields, we leave the first butterfly as Φ_0/Φ exceeds unity and more importantly enter this regime where single band calculations, which yield the spectrum in Fig. 1(a), no longer properly describe the experiment. Landau bands in Fig. 1(b) get closer for larger Φ_0/Φ and band-coupling effects come into play. To avoid band crossings, the spectrum is distorted and some subbands rearrange. Hence minigaps with unexpected Hall contributions emerge. Moreover the spectrum is no longer universal and depends on the band index. This has been extensively investigated in theory for the first butterfly in Ref. [11], but experimental evidence remained elusive. The coupling strength can be captured by a single dimensionless parameter $K = 2\pi m^* a^2 V_0 / h^2$, where $m^{\star} = 0.067 m_{e}$ is the effective mass of a conduction electron in GaAs. Here, K is of order 1 for the device at hand.

Figure 4 illustrates how a Landau band calculation with neglected band coupling changes when coupling is switched on for bands n = 37 and K = 2. Calculations along the lines of Ref. [11] were extended to handle the six nearest neighboring bands and to encompass Landau levels with far higher orbital indices as well as the second butterfly for which, as opposed to the first butterfly, small coupling values K already produce significant effects. Not surprisingly, coupling has the strongest impact near the flatband locations of the uncoupled case. The macroscopic degeneracy is lifted and, in comparison with the K = 0 case, minigaps with unexpected Hall conductance values appear. For the relatively small value of K, distortions persist farther away from the original zero bandwidth regions, yet no rearrangements occur. Worth mentioning is only the opening of the minigap between the so-called kissing bands at $\Phi_0/\Phi = 1.5$. However, this phenomenon is not suited for experimental verification of band coupling, due to the rapid variation of the energy spectrum in this region and hence its sensitivity to broadening and small changes in Φ_0/Φ . Putting it all together, at small K values only the flatband regions in higher order butterflies provide appropriate experimental windows to search for signatures of Landau band coupling. This motivates and justifies our choice of the 37th Landau band situated right at a flatband condition in the second butterfly (see Fig. 2). The bottom panel of Fig. 4 displays the Hall conductance for several carrier densities. For the sake of clarity, the Shubnikov-de Haas peaks have been added. They demarcate the field interval across which the 37th level is filled for the respective carrier density. We reiterate that the top panels are valid only for these sections of the Hall conductance curves related to band



FIG. 4 (color). Consequences of Landau band coupling. Top panel: calculation of bands n = 37 and K = 2 ignoring coupling with other bands. Hall conductances for major gaps are marked. Middle panel: deformations due to coupling. As in the top panel, $E_{\rm F}$ traces are plotted for three densities. Jumps of $E_{\rm F}$ across gaps produce Hall plateaus. The expected Hall conductance behavior is shown in the red and blue insets. Bottom panel: measured Hall conductance for $n_{\rm s}$ from 4.32×10^{11} cm⁻² for (a) to 4.17×10^{11} cm⁻² for (c). Here, ρ_{xx} shows the boundary of the 37th band for each Hall trace.

n = 37. For selected values of n_s , the calculated behavior of the Fermi energy has been included in the top two panels. First focus on the red curve for the highest carrier density (corresponding to the resistivity measurements plotted in Fig. 2) as the magnetic field is lowered. In the single band approximation (top panel in Fig. 4) the Fermi energy crosses a minigap with $\sigma = 2$ during the *initial* stage of filling this band. This contradicts the experimental data, which show an overshoot during the second half of populating the band. Instead, it fits to the numerical data with Landau band coupling (middle panel), where the Fermi energy passes a $\sigma = 4$ minigap when the band has been filled more than half. The small size of the minigap unfortunately prevents the Hall conductance from reaching its quantized value. Note that the Shubnikov-de Haas peak is featureless. This emphasizes once more that the Hall conductance is a superior tool to study the fractal energy spectrum and excels in providing detailed information. The data at lower carrier densities further corroborate beyond doubt that Landau band coupling plays a dominant role. As an outcome of band interaction, the large minigap with $\sigma = 2$ has closed and a minigap with $\sigma = -3$ has taken its place. The consequences of this rearrangement for the Hall conductivity are depicted schematically in the blue insets of Fig. 4. For both curves (b) and (c), the Hall conductance drops "negative" instantly as the band is being filled. Curve (b) even develops its absolute minimum as the Fermi energy reaches the first significant minigap in the spectrum. Neither of these observations can be reconciled with the positive contribution to the Hall conductance of $\sigma = 2$ predicted by the single band approximation.

In conclusion, due to significant advances in sample quality and fabrication, it is now possible to resolve higher order minigaps in Hofstadter's energy spectrum with recourse to the quantum Hall effect as a diagnostic tool. This progress has offered experimental access to the hitherto-unexplored regime where Landau band coupling further enriches the physics of this model problem with fractal nature.

The authors thank R. Gerhardts and C. Albrecht for fruitful discussions, as well as H. Gräbeldinger, T. Reindl, and M. Riek for technical assistance. This work has been supported by the GIF and the BMBF.

- [1] D. Hofstadter, Phys. Rev. B 14, 2239 (1976).
- [2] D. Langbein, Phys. Rev. 180, 633 (1969).
- [3] D. Pfannkuche and R. Gerhardts, Phys. Rev. B 46, 12606 (1992).
- [4] T. Schlösser, K. Ensslin, J. P. Kotthaus, and M. Holland, Europhys. Lett. 33, 683 (1996).
- [5] C. Albrecht et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 147 (2001).
- [6] P. Středa, J. Phys. C 15, L1299 (1982).
- [7] D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [8] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. B 23, 5632 (1981).
- [9] P. H. Beton *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 9229 (1990). The analysis is strictly valid only for 1D modulation.
- [10] A theoretical treatment of the dirty Hofstadter butterfly is only available for the tight-binding limit which is not applicable here: H. Aoki, Surf. Sci. 263, 137 (1992).
- [11] D. Springsguth, R. Ketzmerick, and T. Geisel, Phys. Rev. B 56, 2036 (1997).