## Kohn Anomaly in MgB<sub>2</sub> by Inelastic X-Ray Scattering

A. Q. R. Baron,<sup>1</sup> H. Uchiyama,<sup>2</sup> Y. Tanaka,<sup>3</sup> S. Tsutsui,<sup>1</sup> D. Ishikawa,<sup>3,\*</sup> S. Lee,<sup>2</sup> R. Heid,<sup>4</sup> K.-P. Bohnen,<sup>4</sup>

S. Tajima,<sup>2</sup> and T. Ishikawa<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SPring-8/JASRI, 1-1-1 Kouto, Mikazuki-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo, 679-5198, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Superconductivity Research Laboratory, ISTEC, Tokyo, 135-0062, Japan

<sup>3</sup>SPring-8/RIKEN, 1-1-1 Kouto, Mikazuki-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo, 679-5148, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Festkörperphysik, P.O.B. 3640, D-76021, Germany

(Received 4 September 2003; published 14 May 2004)

We study phonons in MgB<sub>2</sub> using inelastic x-ray scattering (1.6 and 6 meV resolution). We clearly observe the softening and broadening of the crucial  $E_{2g}$  mode through the Kohn anomaly along  $\Gamma M$ , in excellent agreement with *ab initio* calculations. Low temperature measurements (just above and below  $T_c$ ) show negligible changes for the momentum transfers investigated and no change in the  $E_{2g}$  mode at *A* between room temperature and 16 K. We report the presence of a longitudinal mode along  $\Gamma A$  near in energy to the  $E_{2g}$  mode that is not predicted by theory.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.197004

PACS numbers: 74.25.Kc, 63.20.Kr, 74.70.Ad, 78.70.Ck

MgB<sub>2</sub> has generated an immense amount of interest since its high superconducting transition temperature,  $T_c \sim 39$  K, was first demonstrated three years ago [1]. This is the highest  $T_c$  yet achieved for a simple metallic material and rather outside the range for  $T_c$  using standard estimates. Its simple structure, with only three atoms/unit cell, and without magnetism, makes calculations relatively tractable, allowing the careful comparison of theory with experiment. Based on a wealth of experimental and theoretical work, MgB<sub>2</sub> is now understood to be a phonon-mediated Eliashberg superconductor with multiple gaps [2–7]. The presence of a relatively big (~7 meV) isotropic gap on the sigma hole Fermi surfaces dominates the superconducting properties and is largely responsible for the unusually high  $T_c$ .

Investigation of the phonons in MgB<sub>2</sub> is important as they play a crucial role in its superconductivity. Several calculations of phonon dispersion and electron-phonon coupling have appeared [6-10] and give mostly consistent results. The large gap on the sigma Fermi surface is the result of extremely strong electron-phonon coupling (EPC) of this surface to the basal-plane boron  $E_{2g}$  mode. While remaining within the Eliashberg model, the strong coupling to a single mode is very unusual and means the investigation of this phonon mode is of particular interest. Experimentally, the EPC should result in both a large phonon linewidth and, in the neighborhood of  $2k_F$ , in a steep change in phonon mode frequency with phonon momentum—essentially a Kohn anomaly [11]. Even more extreme cases of a Kohn anomaly have been considered in related materials [12]. Earlier experimental work to probe phonon structure in MgB<sub>2</sub> includes neutron density of states measurements on polycrystalline samples [13] and Raman scattering [14]. The first is an integral method which does not easily allow investigation of specific modes, while the second is limited to probing phonons (and in this case only the  $E_{2g}$  mode) at  $\Gamma$ .

The method of choice for probing phonons in  $MgB_2$  at nonzero wave vectors is inelastic x-ray scattering (IXS). This is primarily because presently available single crystals are too small ( $\sim 0.01 \text{ mm}^3$ ) for inelastic neutron scattering measurements. Over the last few decades, improvements in x-ray sources and optics have been dramatic, and it is now possible to prepare an Å wavelength x-ray beam of size  $\sim 100 \ \mu m$ , bandwidth  $\sim meV$ , and intensity  $\sim 5$  GHz/meV. Thus, recently, IXS measurements on  $MgB_2$  were presented [15] using an established spectrometer operating at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility in France. The work reported here was begun during the commissioning of the newest operating high resolution IXS spectrometer at SPring-8 in Japan. In comparison to [15], we provide significant new information about the phonons in MgB<sub>2</sub>. In general, and similar to [15], our dispersion results show good agreement with calculation. However, in addition, we directly measure changes in the linewidth of the crucial  $E_{2g}$  mode through the Kohn anomaly resulting from the coupling of this mode to the sigma sheets of the Fermi surface. Thus one can see, directly and clearly, the correlation of the phonon softening and an amazing increase in linewidth. We provide temperature dependent data (whereas that in [15] is at room temperature). Finally we report the presence of an anomalous optical mode, similar in energy to that of the  $E_{2g}$  mode, but having a different linewidth and different symmetry.

This work was carried out using the high resolution inelastic x-ray scattering spectrometer at BL35XU of SPring-8 [16]. High resolution data were collected using the Si (11 11 11) reflection at 21.747 keV, providing  $\sim 3 \times$ 10<sup>9</sup> photons/s (100 mA electron beam current) in a 0.8 meV bandwidth onto the sample and an overall resolution of 1.6 to 1.8 meV (depending on the analyzer crystal). A grazing incidence geometry for the backscattering monochromator was crucial to avoid heat-load broadening of the monochromator response function. We also used a high flux setup, Si (888) reflection, 15.816 keV, to investigate the weaker optical modes, especially the  $E_{2o}$ . This setup provided  $\sim 3 \times 10^{10}$ /photons/s in a 4 meV bandwidth onto the sample, with an overall resolution of 6.0 to 6.2 meV. The use of four analyzer crystals, placed with 0.78° spacing on the 10 m two-theta arm (horizontal scattering plane), and four independent detectors (room temperature CdZnTe chips, dark count rates  $<\sim 0.001$  Hz) allowed collection of four momentum transfers simultaneously. This greatly facilitates data collection for longitudinal modes where all four analyzers may be placed along a common symmetry direction. The full 95 mm diameter of each analyzer crystal was used to get a maximum count rate, so the momentum resolution was  $\sim 0.09$  (0.07) Å<sup>-1</sup>, full width, at the Si (111111) [Si(888)]. The beam size at the sample depended on the setup, varying from  $70 \times 80 \ \mu m^2$  (vertical  $\times$  horizontal) in the FWHM (full width at half maximum) at the  $(11\,11\,11)$  to  $130 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$  at the (888). For all work, a slit before the sample insured proper alignment (beam onto the sample and into the center of the spectrometer) and spectra were normalized using the intensity measured downstream of this slit.

Two different MgB<sub>2</sub> samples were investigated, each a thin platelet (~50–70  $\mu$ m thick) in the *c*-axis direction, with transverse dimensions ~0.2 × 0.5 mm<sup>2</sup> (though the shape was not regular), grown according to [17].  $T_c$  for the samples was >38 K with a transition region of  $\leq 0.3$  K. Typical rocking curve widths were 0.03° to 0.07° for lower order reflections. Data collection times varied from about 2 h for quick scans of acoustic modes, to about 8 h as the typical scan time for 6 meV resolution data including the  $E_{2g}$  mode (e.g., Fig. 1), to about 20 h for a couple scans with high resolution and weaker modes.

Figure 1 shows the data measured along  $\Gamma M$ , while Fig. 2 shows the dispersion determined by fitting the measured spectra. Even at the level of the raw data in Fig. 1, the softening and the broadening of the  $E_{2g}$  mode as one approaches  $\Gamma$  are readily apparent. (Note that while the two  $E_{2g}$  modes are not degenerate along  $\Gamma M$ , see Fig. 2, our scattering geometry was chosen so that only one mode was excited.) Considering this as the Kohn anomaly expected from the coupling of the  $E_{2g}$  mode to the sigma Fermi surfaces, one then sees nearly what one naively expects. In detail, there are two sigma surfaces, each approximately cylindrical with axis parallel to  $\Gamma A$ , one having a slightly larger diameter than the other [4] with average radii of 0.17 and 0.25  $\text{\AA}^{-1}$  (0.14 and 0.21  $\Gamma M$  [18]. Thus, as one goes from smaller phonon momenta ( $q < 2k_F$ ), which can promote electrons from filled states to unfilled states across these surfaces, to larger momenta which bridge the entire surface and so cannot excite electrons, one sees a narrowing of the phonon mode corresponding to an increased lifetime. Changes in the phonon linewidth (essentially the imaginary part



FIG. 1 (color online). Spectra along  $\Gamma M$ . Room temperature (299 K) and 6 meV resolution. Note the shift and increasing linewidth of the  $E_{2g}$  mode (indicated by arrows). Solid lines are fits to the data (see text).

of the phonon self-energy) are accompanied by changes in the mode energy (real part of the self-energy) [19], which generally shows mode softening in the regions where there is more coupling—this can be considered the result of increased screening in the region of larger EPC [11,12]. When one then considers that the Fermi surface has some dispersion, so that  $k_F$  varies along  $\Gamma A$ [4,18], the relatively smooth variation in phonon parameters (as opposed to the sharper Kohn anomalies in other materials) becomes very reasonable.



FIG. 2 (color online). Phonon dispersion. Points show measured values while lines are calculations of [9]. Dashed lines show branches not investigated in this work due to polarization selection rules or choice of scan range. Open symbols are measured using high resolution, while filled symbols are measured using a high flux setup. Circles (green, online) are room temperature measurements, squares (red, online) at 44 or 43 K, and triangles (blue, online) at 16 K. Points approximately at the calculated  $E_{2g}$  and  $B_{1g}$  energies along  $\Gamma A$  are anomalous longitudinal modes. See text for discussion.

Quantitative results were obtained by fitting the spectra with a sum of damped harmonic oscillators (DHOs) for the phonon modes. Mode intensities were scaled by a detailed balance factor and then a Lorentzian (linewidth much less than the resolution) was added to account for the elastic diffuse scattering (resulting from, e.g., crystal imperfections and surface scattering). The resolution function was convolved with the calculation and the parameters (mode energies, widths, and amplitudes) were optimized by a least-squares fit to the data. Initially, only modes expected from the calculations were included. However, this led, in some cases, to poor fits in the lower energy region between the Stokes and anti-Stokes lines of the acoustic modes. Thus a broad low-energy component was included (modeled by a DHO of linewidth >10 meV and center  $\langle 35 \text{ meV} \rangle$  to improve the fit quality. This made negligible changes in the fitted mode energies and a consistent, but small, reduction in linewidth as the fits to the tails of the modes improved. In general, errors in mode energies from fitting were small compared with point size, excepting the  $E_{2g}$  mode along  $\Gamma A$  (not plotted-see the discussion below) and the very weak mode near the  $B_{1g}$  energy along  $\Gamma A$  (as plotted). The variation from point to point over several different measurements is probably the best indication of absolute uncertainty in mode energy. In general, the agreement between our measured dispersion and the calculations of [9] can be seen to be excellent, excepting the anomalous intensity along  $\Gamma A$  (discussed below).

Returning to the Kohn anomaly along  $\Gamma M$ , we compare the  $E_{2e}$  linewidth more precisely with theory. The width (lifetime) is a direct measure of the decay modes, and in this case, where anharmonic contributions are expected to be small [15], should be directly related to the electronphonon coupling as given in [19]. Calculations were performed similarly to [9] using a mixed-basis pseudopotential method, combining plane waves and local functions for the valence states (see [20] for details). Harmonic phonons and screened electron-phonon matrix elements were calculated within the mixed-basis perturbation approach [21]. In order to get accurate results, dynamical matrices were obtained on a hexagonal (18  $\times$  $18 \times 6$ ) *q*-point mesh. The screened EPC matrix element is directly accessible from quantities obtained in the calculation of the dynamical matrix. The Fermi-surface average was approximated by a sum over a dense  $(36^3)$ k-point mesh. The linewidth (after correction for instrumental resolution) measured along  $\Gamma M$  is compared with this calculation in Fig. 3, with good agreement, providing crucial confirmation of the picture of the phonon role in the superconductivity of MgB<sub>2</sub>.

Measurements of the  $E_{2g}$  mode were also made along  $\Gamma A$  in a transverse geometry (similar to [15]) as seen in Fig. 4. However, while the  $E_{2g}$  mode was clearly observed, determining the mode energy and width is difficult: fits could be made with linewidths varying between



FIG. 3 (color online). Measured and calculated  $E_{2g}$  linewidth along  $\Gamma M$  (*M* at 1.17 Å<sup>-1</sup>). See text for details. The horizontal bars on the figure show the diameters of the sigma Fermi surfaces projected into the plane perpendicular to the  $\Gamma A$ axis (from [18]) giving a naive indication of the region where the Kohn anomaly should occur.

25 and 40 meV, depending on the parameters chosen for the other lines, the line shape chosen for the  $E_{2g}$  mode (Lorentzian or DHO) and the  $E_{2g}$  mode energy. Thus, the only statement that we make with confidence is that the linewidth is certainly large, probably > 25 meV.

The temperature dependence of the  $E_{2g}$  mode is of some interest. Raman measurements (at  $\Gamma$ ) suggested some change in width when crossing  $T_c$  [14], while one calculation [6] suggested there should be a shift in frequency. The last was surprising in that while modification of phonon structure near  $T_c$  is well known (e.g., [22]) for modes with energies in the neighborhood of twice the gap energy,  $2\Delta$  (~15 meV in this case), they are unusual for high energy modes. Figure 4 shows measurements at the A point on one sample as a function of temperature. In short, at the level of the data here, there is no evidence for any change on crossing  $T_c$  and indeed no change at all in the  $E_{2g}$  mode between room temperature and 16 K. This is consistent with recent calculations of the anharmonic frequency shift [23].

Finally, we consider the presence of anomalous intensity along  $\Gamma A$  (Fig. 2). It is anomalous because it appears



FIG. 4 (color online). Temperature dependence of the transverse modes at A (210.5). 6 meV resolution, offset for clarity in the main figure, but overlapped in the inset. See text for discussion.

in the purely longitudinal  $(003 + \xi)$  geometry where both the  $E_{2g}$  and  $B_{1g}$  are forbidden: for the  $E_{2g}$ , the motions are purely within the boron plane, so they have no component along  $\Gamma A$ , while the  $B_{1g}$  involves equal and opposite motions of adjacent boron atoms out of the plane, so has no net contribution along  $\Gamma A$ . However, this intensity (especially the stronger mode at 65 meV) appears in two setups, with different samples, different x-ray energy, and different resolution, so it seems a solid result. In addition, the linewidth of the 65 meV mode is consistently 3 to 5 meV along  $\Gamma A$ , in disagreement with the very much larger  $E_{2g}$  linewidth expected and measured (Fig. 4) in a transverse geometry. One possibility, as this is an x-ray scattering measurement, is that this intensity could be due to some electronic excitation. However extensive simulation of the scattering (actually in regard to the possibility of directly seeing scattering from the superconducting gap [24]), using approximate (tight binding) models of the band structure based on [4,5,18], did not provide any likely candidates. This intensity could be due to details of the sample structure that are not included in the model calculations. In particular, there has been some suggestion that there is a Mg deficiency (4%-5%) in samples prepared in the same fashion as those used here [25]: the flat dispersion of the stronger mode observed here would, for example, be compatible with Einstein-type behavior of a localized defect mode.

In summary, we have measured the softening and broadening of the  $E_{2g}$  mode of MgB<sub>2</sub> in the region of the Kohn anomaly. This agrees with naive expectations for the correlation between softening and broadening, while agreement with detailed calculations provides crucial confirmation of the understanding of the superconductivity in MgB<sub>2</sub>. The small temperature dependence of the  $E_{2g}$  mode and other modes near  $T_c$ , while not surprising based on previous work with superconductors, is important in the context of the discussion of anharmonicity in MgB<sub>2</sub>, setting some limits for calculations. Anomalous intensity along  $\Gamma A$  remains unexplained in detail, but might be a localized defect mode. Similar considerations (sample disorder) might also explain the low-energy background needed to get good fits to some of the data.

A. Q. R. B. is grateful to P. Johansson for discussion and help with the electronic scattering calculations. We thank Y. Endoh for his interest in this work. This work was carried out at SPring-8 under proposals No. 2002A0559 and No. 2002B0594. H. U. and S. Tsutsui acknowledge financial support by the JSPS. This work was partially supported (through ISTEC-SRL) by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Department Organization (NEDO) as Collaborative Research and Development of Fundamental Technologies for Superconductivity Applications.

- \*Also at Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.
- [1] J. Nagamatsu et al., Nature (London) 410, 63 (2001).
- [2] S. L. Bud'ko et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1877 (2001).
- [3] Y. Wang, T. Plackowski, and A. Junod, Physica (Amsterdam) 355C, 179 (2001); F. Bouquet *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 047001 (2001).
- [4] J. M. An and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4366 (2001).
- [5] J. Kortus et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4656 (2001).
- [6] A.Y. Liu, I.I. Mazin, and J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 087005 (2001).
- [7] H. J. Choi et al., Nature (London) 418, 758 (2002).
- [8] T. Yildirim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 037001 (2001).
- [9] K. P. Bohnen, R. Heid, and B. Renker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5771 (2001).
- [10] Y. Kong et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 020501 (2001).
- [11] W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 393 (1959). A nice experimental discussion can be found in R. Stedman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. 163, 567 (1967).
- [12] J. M. An et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 220502(R) (2002).
- [13] R. Osborn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 017005 (2001).
- [14] Work includes [9], J. Hlinka *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 64, 140503R (2001); J.W. Quilty *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 087001 (2002). Reviews may be found in J.W. Quilty, Physica (Amsterdam) 385C, 264 (2003); A.F. Goncharov and V.V. Struzhkin, *ibid.* 385C, 117 (2003).
- [15] A. Shukla *et al.*, cond-mat/0209064; Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 095506 (2003).
- [16] A.Q.R. Baron *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **61**, 461 (2000).
- [17] S. Lee et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 2255 (2001).
- [18] E. A. Yelland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 217002 (2002);
  A. Carrington *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 037003 (2003).
- [19] A general review is P.B. Allen and B. Mitrovic, Solid State Phys. 37, 1 (1982), while specific issues are discussed in a series of papers by Allen and co-workers in the 1970s. These include, among others, P.B. Allen, Phys. Rev. B 6, 2577 (1972); P.B. Allen and R. Silberglitt, Phys. Rev. B 9, 4733 (1974); P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B 11, 1895 (1975).
- [20] R. Heid, K.-P. Bohnen, and B. Renker, Adv. Solid State Phys. 42, 293 (2002).
- [21] R. Heid and K.-P. Bohnen, Phys. Rev. B 60, R3709 (1999); R. Heid et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 12059 (2000).
- [22] J. D. Axe and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 8, 1965 (1973).
- [23] M. Lazzeri, M. Calandra, and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 68, 220509 (2003).
- [24] P. Johansson and M. Alterelli, Phys. Rev. B 53, 8726 (1996); P. Johansson (unpublished).
- [25] H. Mori et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 092507 (2002).