

## Renormalization-Group Evolution of the $B$ -Meson Light-Cone Distribution Amplitude

Björn O. Lange and Matthias Neubert

*Newman Laboratory for Elementary-Particle Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA*  
(Received 10 March 2003; published 4 September 2003)

An integro-differential equation governing the evolution of the leading-order  $B$ -meson light-cone distribution amplitude is derived. The anomalous dimension in this equation contains a logarithm of the renormalization scale, whose coefficient is identified with the cusp anomalous dimension of Wilson loops. The exact solution of the evolution equation is obtained, from which the asymptotic behavior of the distribution amplitude is derived. These results can be used to resum Sudakov logarithms entering the hard-scattering kernels in QCD factorization theorems for exclusive  $B$  decays.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.102001

PACS numbers: 12.38.Cy, 12.39.Hg, 12.39.St, 13.25.Hw

*1. Introduction.*—It has recently become apparent that the light-cone structure of the  $B$  meson is of great phenomenological interest. At leading power in  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/m_b$  the amplitudes for many exclusive  $B$ -meson decays can be simplified significantly using QCD factorization theorems, expressing them in terms of convolution integrals of perturbative hard-scattering kernels with leading-order light-cone distribution amplitudes (LCDAs) [1]. Using standard perturbative QCD methods for hard exclusive processes,  $B$ -meson LCDAs were first introduced in a study of the asymptotic behavior of heavy-meson form factors at large momentum transfer [2]. Two such amplitudes, called  $\phi_{\pm}^B(\omega, \mu)$ , arise in the parametrization of  $B$ -meson matrix elements of bilocal heavy-light current operators at leading order in heavy-quark effective theory (HQET). In  $B$ -decay processes where light energetic particles are emitted into the final state, such as  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$ , a sensitivity to the  $B$ -meson LCDAs arises from hard interactions of collinear partons with the soft spectator quark inside the  $B$  meson. In almost all applications of QCD factorization theorems only the function  $\phi_{+}^B(\omega, \mu)$  contributes. Generically, the hard-spectator term in a QCD factorization formula is of the form

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} T(\omega, \mu) \phi_{+}^B(\omega, \mu), \quad (1)$$

where the kernel  $T$  is calculable in perturbation theory. The factor  $1/\omega$  ensures convergence of the integral for large  $\omega$ . The characteristic scale for soft-collinear interactions is  $2E\omega \sim m_b\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , where  $E \sim m_b$  is the energy of a collinear particle in the  $B$ -meson rest frame. At fixed order in perturbation theory the kernel depends logarithmically on this scale, and it is independent of  $\omega$  to lowest order in perturbation theory. In addition, the kernel can depend on hard scales of order  $m_b$ . We will assume below that  $T$  is defined such that the convolution integral (1) is renormalization-group (RG) invariant.

A thorough understanding of factorization requires controlling the scale dependence of the LCDA and the kernel under the convolution integral (1) using evolution

equations. This is crucial for a clean separation of physics associated with different mass scales and for the systematic resummation of large (Sudakov) logarithms, which enter the kernel at every order in perturbation theory. In this Letter, we derive the RG equations for the LCDA  $\phi_{+}^B(\omega, \mu)$  and for the kernel  $T(\omega, \mu)$ , present their exact analytic solutions, and extract model-independent results for the asymptotic behavior for  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  and  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ .

*2. Evolution equations.*—The LCDA is given by the Fourier transform

$$\phi_{+}^B(\omega, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \tilde{\phi}_{+}^B(\tau, \mu) \quad (2)$$

of a function  $\tilde{\phi}_{+}^B(\tau, \mu)$  defined in terms of a  $B$ -meson matrix element in HQET. Denoting by  $h$  the effective heavy-quark field and by  $q_s$  the soft spectator quark, and using a mass-independent normalization of meson states, we write [2]

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}_s(z) S_n(z, 0) \not{n} \Gamma h(0) | \bar{B}(v) \rangle = -\frac{iF(\mu)}{2} \tilde{\phi}_{+}^B(\tau, \mu) \times \text{tr} \left( \not{n} \Gamma \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} \gamma_5 \right). \quad (3)$$

Here  $z \parallel n$  with  $n^2 = 0$  is a null vector,  $v$  is the  $B$ -meson velocity,  $\Gamma$  represents an arbitrary Dirac matrix, and  $\tau = v \cdot z - i0$ . The gauge string  $S_n(z, 0)$  represents a soft Wilson line connecting the points 0 and  $z$  on a straight lightlike segment. The quantity  $F(\mu)$  is the HQET matrix element corresponding to the asymptotic value of the product  $f_B\sqrt{m_B}$  in the heavy-quark limit. The analytic properties of the function  $\tilde{\phi}_{+}^B(\tau, \mu)$  in the complex  $\tau$  plane imply that  $\phi_{+}^B(\omega, \mu) = 0$  if  $\omega < 0$ .

We denote by  $O_{+}(\omega)$  the Fourier transform of the bilocal HQET operator in (3) and write the relation between bare and renormalized operators in the form

$$O_{+}^{\text{ren}}(\omega, \mu) = \int d\omega' Z_{+}(\omega, \omega', \mu) O_{+}^{\text{bare}}(\omega'), \quad (4)$$

where  $Z_{+}(\omega, \omega', \mu) = \delta(\omega - \omega')$  at lowest order. Operators with different momentum  $\omega'$  can mix under

renormalization since they have the same quantum numbers. In the modified minimal subtraction ( $\overline{\text{MS}}$ ) scheme the function  $Z_+(\omega, \omega', \mu)$  is defined so as to subtract the ultraviolet (UV) poles in the matrix elements of the bare operators.

The  $B$ -meson matrix element of the renormalized operator  $O_+^{\text{ren}}(\omega, \mu)$  is, up to a Dirac trace, given by the product  $F(\mu) \phi_+^B(\omega, \mu)$ . It follows that the LCDA obeys the evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \phi_+^B(\omega, \mu) = - \int_0^\infty d\omega' \gamma_+(\omega, \omega', \mu) \phi_+^B(\omega', \mu) \quad (5)$$

with the anomalous dimension [unless otherwise indicated,  $\alpha_s \equiv \alpha_s(\mu)$ ]

$$\gamma_+(\omega, \omega', \mu) = - \int d\tilde{\omega} \frac{dZ_+(\omega, \tilde{\omega}, \mu)}{d \ln \mu} Z_+^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}, \omega', \mu) - \gamma_F(\alpha_s) \delta(\omega - \omega'). \quad (6)$$

Here  $\gamma_F$  is the universal anomalous dimension of local heavy-light currents in HQET, which determines the scale dependence of  $F(\mu)$ .

At one-loop order the result for  $Z_+(\omega, \omega', \mu)$  is obtained by evaluating the  $1/\epsilon$  poles of the one-loop HQET diagrams shown in Fig. 1, where  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$  in dimensional regularization. The loop integrals contain  $\delta$  functions whose arguments depend on the value of the ‘‘plus component’’  $k_+ = n \cdot k$  of the loop momentum. In units of  $C_F \alpha_s / 4\pi$ , the corresponding contributions to  $Z_+(\omega, \omega', \mu)$  are given by ( $k \equiv -k_+$ )

$$D_1 = - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \left( \frac{k}{\mu} \right)^{-2\epsilon} [\delta(k + \omega' - \omega) - \delta(\omega - \omega')],$$

$$D_2 = - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\omega'} \frac{dk}{k} \frac{\omega' - k}{\omega'} [\delta(k + \omega - \omega') - \delta(\omega - \omega')]. \quad (7)$$

These expressions must be understood in the sense of distributions, and only pole terms in  $1/\epsilon$  are kept after integration over  $\omega'$  in (4). Note that the  $k$  integral in the first diagram in Fig. 1 gives rise to a  $1/\epsilon^2$  pole times  $\delta(\omega - \omega')$ . Accounting for wave-function renormalization of the external quark fields we obtain

$$Z_+^{(1)}(\omega, \omega', \mu) = \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{\mu}{\omega} - \frac{5}{2\epsilon} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\omega}{\omega'} \frac{\theta(\omega' - \omega)}{\omega' - \omega} + \frac{\theta(\omega - \omega')}{\omega - \omega'} \right]_+. \quad (8)$$

Here and below a superscript ‘‘(1)’’ is used to indicate one-loop coefficients in units of  $C_F \alpha_s / 4\pi$ . The plus distribution is defined such that, when  $Z_+$  is integrated with a function  $f(\omega')$ , one must replace  $f(\omega') \rightarrow f(\omega') - f(\omega)$  under the integral. The nondiagonal terms in (8) agree with those found in [2]; however, the double pole and logarithm in the first term were missed in that paper. For




FIG. 1. One-loop diagrams contributing to the calculation of the anomalous dimension. The crossed circle denotes an insertion of the operator  $O_+^{\text{bare}}(\omega')$ . The double lines represent heavy-quark fields in HQET.

the anomalous dimension in (6) we now obtain

$$\gamma_+(\omega, \omega', \mu) = \left[ \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{\mu}{\omega} + \gamma(\alpha_s) \right] \delta(\omega - \omega') + \omega \Gamma(\omega, \omega', \alpha_s), \quad (9)$$

where  $\int d\omega' \Gamma(\omega, \omega', \alpha_s) = 0$  by definition. Taking into account that  $\gamma_F^{(1)} = -3$  is the one-loop coefficient of the anomalous dimension of heavy-light currents, it follows from (8) that  $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{(1)} = 4$ ,  $\gamma^{(1)} = -2$ , and

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\omega, \omega') = -\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{(1)} \left[ \frac{\theta(\omega' - \omega)}{\omega'(\omega' - \omega)} + \frac{\theta(\omega - \omega')}{\omega(\omega - \omega')} \right]_+. \quad (10)$$

The anomalous dimension in (9) is of the Sudakov type. It contains a logarithmic dependence on the renormalization scale in addition to the dependence through the running coupling  $\alpha_s(\mu)$ . This feature distinguishes the kernel for the  $B$ -meson LCDA from the familiar Brodsky–Lepage kernel for the LCDA of a light pseudo-scalar meson [3]. The extra logarithm has its origin in the renormalization properties of Wilson lines with lightlike segments [4]. Using the fact that a heavy quark in HQET can be described by a Wilson line  $h(0) = S_v(0, -\infty) h_0$ , where  $h_0$  is a sterile field without QCD interactions, it follows that the interacting fields in the operator in (3) can be written as  $\bar{q}_s(z) S_n(z, 0) S_v(0, -\infty)$ . This corresponds to a gauge string extending from minus infinity to 0 along the  $v$  direction, another string extending from 0 to  $z$  along the lightlike  $n$  direction, and a light-quark field located at the end of the string at position  $z$ . This Wilson line has a cusp singularity at the origin, which gives rise to a local, single-logarithmic term in the anomalous dimension. From (7) it is seen that the logarithm arises indeed from the gluon exchange between the two strings  $S_n$  and  $S_v$  (first diagram in Fig. 1). Such cusp singularities are universal and of a geometric origin. The corresponding anomalous dimension  $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$  is process independent and has been computed at two-loop order in [4]. The important observation following from this discussion is that also in higher orders there is only a single logarithm present in the anomalous dimension  $\gamma_+(\omega, \omega', \mu)$ . With this knowledge, the evolution equation can be solved in RG-improved perturbation theory.

From the scale independence of the convolution integral (1) it follows that the hard-scattering kernel obeys

the evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \mu} T(\omega, \mu) = \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\omega}{\omega'} \gamma_+(\omega', \omega, \mu) T(\omega', \mu). \quad (11)$$

The explicit result for the anomalous dimension given above shows that  $\frac{\omega}{\omega'} \gamma_+(\omega', \omega, \mu) = \gamma_+(\omega, \omega', \mu)$  (at least to one-loop order). Hence, apart from an overall sign the LCDA and the kernel obey the same RG equation.

3. *Analytic solutions.*—The general solution of the evolution equations (5) and (11) can be obtained using the fact that on dimensional grounds

$$\int d\omega' \omega \Gamma(\omega, \omega', \alpha_s) (\omega')^a = \omega^a \mathcal{F}(a, \alpha_s), \quad (12)$$

where the function  $\mathcal{F}$  only depends on the exponent  $a$  and the coupling constant, and  $\mathcal{F}(0, \alpha_s) = 0$  by definition. The integral on the left-hand side is convergent as long as  $-1 < \text{Re } a < 1$ . The corresponding integral with  $\Gamma(\omega, \omega', \alpha_s)$  replaced by  $\Gamma(\omega', \omega, \alpha_s)$ , which is relevant to the evolution equation (11) for the kernel, is given by  $\mathcal{F}(-a, \alpha_s)$ . This follows from the fact that  $\Gamma(\omega, \omega', \alpha_s) = \omega^{-2} f(\omega'/\omega)$  on dimensional grounds. At one-loop order we find from (10)

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(a) = \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{(1)} [\psi(1+a) + \psi(1-a) + 2\gamma_E], \quad (13)$$

where  $\psi(z)$  is the logarithmic derivative of the Euler  $\Gamma$  function.

Relation (12) implies that the ansatz

$$f(\omega, \mu, \mu_0, \eta) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\mu_0}\right)^{\eta+g(\mu, \mu_0)} \exp U(\mu, \mu_0, \eta), \quad (14)$$

with

$$g(\mu, \mu_0) = \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} d\alpha \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha)}{\beta(\alpha)},$$

$$U(\mu, \mu_0, \eta) = - \int_{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} [g(\mu, \mu_\alpha) + \gamma(\alpha) + \mathcal{F}(\eta + g(\mu_\alpha, \mu_0), \alpha)], \quad (15)$$

provides a solution to the evolution Eq. (5) with initial condition  $f(\omega, \mu_0, \mu_0, \eta) = (\omega/\mu_0)^\eta$  at some scale  $\mu_0$ . Here  $\mu_\alpha$  is defined such that  $\alpha_s(\mu_\alpha) = \alpha$ , the  $\beta$  function is  $\beta(\alpha_s) = d\alpha_s/d \ln \mu$ , and  $\eta$  can be an arbitrary complex parameter. Note that  $g(\mu, \mu_0) > 0$  if  $\mu > \mu_0$ . Given the exact expressions in (15) one can derive approximate results for the functions  $g$  and  $U$  at a given order in RG-improved perturbation theory. The explicit forms arising at next-to-leading order can be found in [5].

We now assume that the function  $\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu_0)$  is given at some low scale  $\mu_0 \sim \text{few} \times \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  and define its Fourier transform with respect to  $\ln(\omega/\mu_0)$  through

$$\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \varphi_0(t) \left(\frac{\omega}{\mu_0}\right)^{it}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\varphi_0(0) = 1/\lambda_B$  is determined in terms of the first inverse moment of the LCDA at the scale  $\mu_0$  [1]. It follows that the result for the LCDA at a different scale  $\mu$  is given by

$$\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \varphi_0(t) f(\omega, \mu, \mu_0, it). \quad (17)$$

It is instructive to work out the  $t$  and  $\omega$  dependences of the integrand at leading order in RG-improved perturbation theory. Using the one-loop result (13) we obtain

$$f(\omega, \mu, \mu_0, it) \propto \left(\frac{\omega}{\mu_0}\right)^{it+g} \frac{\Gamma(1-it-g)\Gamma(1+it)}{\Gamma(1+it+g)\Gamma(1-it)}, \quad (18)$$

where  $g = (2C_F/\beta_0) \ln[\alpha_s(\mu_0)/\alpha_s(\mu)]$  is the leading-order contribution to the function  $g(\mu, \mu_0)$ , and the formula can be trusted only if  $g < 1$  (which is justified for all reasonable parameter values). The expression above is an analytic function in the complex  $t$  plane with singularities along the imaginary axis. For  $\mu > \mu_0$  the nearest singularities are located at  $t = -i(1-g)$  and  $t = i$ . The locations of the nearest singularities in the product  $\varphi_0(t) f(\omega, \mu, \mu_0, it)$  determine the asymptotic behavior of the LCDA for  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  (lower half-plane) and  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$  (upper half-plane). If we assume that the function  $\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu_0)$  at the low scale vanishes like  $\omega^\delta$  for small  $\omega$  and falls off like  $\omega^{-\xi}$  for large  $\omega$  (exponential fall-off would correspond to  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ ), then the nearest poles in  $\varphi_0(t)$  are located at  $t = -i\delta$  and  $t = i\xi$ , respectively. It follows that after evolution effects

$$\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu) \sim \begin{cases} \omega^{\min(1, \delta+g)}; & \text{for } \omega \rightarrow 0, \\ \omega^{-\min(1, \xi)+g}; & \text{for } \omega \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Irrespective of the initial behavior of the LCDA, evolution effects drive it toward a linear growth at the origin and generate a radiative tail that falls off slower than  $1/\omega$  even if the initial function has an arbitrarily rapid fall-off. This implies that the normalization integral of  $\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu)$  is UV divergent. The reason is that the function  $\tilde{\phi}_+^B(\tau, \mu)$  in (2) has a logarithmic UV singularity as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ , which is not subtracted in the renormalization of the LCDA [2]. However, this fact is not an obstacle in practice. Convolution integrals appearing in QCD factorization theorems are always of the form (1), in which the extra  $1/\omega$  factor suppresses the contributions from large  $\omega$  and renders the integral finite.

The solution of the evolution equation (11) for the hard-scattering kernel proceeds in a similar way, except that in the expression for the function  $f$  in (14) one must replace  $\mu_0 \rightarrow \mu_i$ ,  $g(\mu, \mu_0) \rightarrow -g(\mu, \mu_i)$ , and  $U(\mu, \mu_0, \eta) \rightarrow -U(\mu, \mu_i, -\eta)$ . As mentioned in the introduction, at fixed order in perturbation theory and at an intermediate scale  $\mu_i \sim \sqrt{m_b \Lambda}$  the kernel depends on  $\omega$  only through logarithms of the type  $\ln(2E\omega/\mu_i^2)$ , which are of the order of 1 and so do not need to be resummed. We can therefore write  $T(\omega, \mu_i) \equiv \mathcal{T}[\ln(2E\omega/\mu_i^2), \dots]$ , where the dots represent other arguments independent of  $\omega$ .

(Some of these arguments may contain large logarithms, which must be resummed separately.) It follows that by considering derivatives of the solution with respect to  $\eta$  (evaluated at  $\eta = 0$ ) we can satisfy arbitrary initial conditions at the scale  $\mu_i$ . We can then solve (11) and compute the hard-scattering kernel at a scale  $\mu < \mu_i$ . The exact solution is given by

$$T(\omega, \mu) = \mathcal{T}[\nabla_{\eta}, \dots] \left( \frac{2E\omega}{\mu_i^2} \right)^{\eta} \left( \frac{\omega}{\mu_i} \right)^{g(\mu_i, \mu)} \times \exp[-U(\mu, \mu_i, -\eta)] \Big|_{\eta=0}, \quad (20)$$

where the notation  $\mathcal{T}[\nabla_{\eta}, \dots]$  means that one must replace each logarithm of the ratio  $2E\omega/\mu_i^2$  in the initial condition by a derivative with respect to the auxiliary parameter  $\eta$ . It follows that the kernel scales like  $T \sim \omega^{g(\mu_i, \mu)}$  modulo logarithms.

From the explicit solutions given above it can be seen that the convolution integral in (1) is indeed independent of the renormalization scale. For this, it is necessary to move the integration contour in (17) into the upper complex  $t$  plane by an amount  $\eta + g(\mu_i, \mu_0)$ . Note, however, that the product  $T(\omega, \mu)\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu)$  for fixed  $\omega$  is not scale independent. We also stress that evolution effects mix different (fractional) moments of the LCDA. For instance, the first inverse moment at a scale  $\mu$ , which is sometimes called  $1/\lambda_B(\mu)$ , is related to a fractional inverse moment of order  $1 - g(\mu, \mu')$  at a different scale  $\mu'$ . As a result, the scale dependence of the parameter  $\lambda_B(\mu)$  is not calculable in perturbation theory. Controlling it would require knowledge of the functional form of the LCDA.

The technique developed here can be used for other applications in  $B$  physics. For example, the evolution equation studied in [6] for the hard-scattering kernel relevant to the analysis of the photon energy spectrum in inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decays has a solution analogous to (20), and the corresponding evolution equation for the shape function [7] can be solved as in (17).

To illustrate our results we consider a scenario where the hard-scattering kernel at a high scale  $\mu_i$  with  $\alpha_s(\mu_i) = 0.3$  takes the simple form  $T(\omega, \mu_i) = 1$ , and where the LCDA at a low hadronic scale  $\mu_0$  with  $\alpha_s(\mu_0) = 1$  assumes the form  $\phi_+^B(\omega, \mu_0) = (\omega/\lambda_B^2)e^{-\omega/\lambda_B}$  inspired by QCD sum rules [2], for which

$$\varphi_0(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_B} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{\lambda_B} \right)^{it} \Gamma(1 - it). \quad (21)$$

Figure 2 shows the results for the LCDA and the kernel at three different values of the renormalization scale. For simplicity the anomalous dimensions and  $\beta$  function are evaluated at one-loop order. (A more detailed study of resummation effects can be found in [5].) Whereas the kernel exhibits a smooth powerlike behavior, the most characteristic feature of the evolution of the LCDA is the




FIG. 2. Results for the LCDA and the kernel (inset) for different values of the renormalization scale such that  $\alpha_s = 1$  (solid), 0.5 (dashed), 0.3 (dash-dotted). We use  $\lambda_B = 0.3$  GeV at  $\mu = \mu_0$ .

development of a radiative tail for large values of  $\omega$ . In this example the value of the convolution integral (1) is about 25% smaller than the value  $1/\lambda_B$  which one would obtain without evolution effects.

**4. Conclusions.**—We have derived evolution equations for the leading-order  $B$ -meson LCDA and for the hard-scattering kernels entering the spectator term in QCD factorization theorems for exclusive  $B$  decays into light particles. Simple scaling relations are obtained for the asymptotic behavior of these quantities, which are useful for power counting of convolution integrals appearing in the QCD factorization approach. Exact analytic solutions to the evolution equations are given in terms of integrals over anomalous dimension functions. This accomplishes the resummation of large Sudakov double logarithms to all orders in perturbation theory.

We are grateful to Richard Hill for useful discussions. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0098631.

- 
- [1] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C.T. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1914 (1999); Nucl. Phys. **B591**, 313 (2000); **B606**, 245 (2001).
  - [2] A.G. Grozin and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 272 (1997).
  - [3] G.P. Lepage and S.J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980).
  - [4] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, Nucl. Phys. B **283**, 342 (1987); I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B **287**, 169 (1992).
  - [5] S.W. Bosch, R.J. Hill, B.O. Lange, and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 094014 (2003).
  - [6] C.W. Bauer, S. Fleming and M.E. Luke, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 014006 (2001).
  - [7] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4623 (1994).