Spin Excitations of the Spin-Polarized Electron Gas in Semimagnetic Quantum Wells

B. Jusserand,¹ F. Perez,¹ D. R. Richards,² G. Karczewski,³ T. Wojtowicz,³ C. Testelin,⁴

D. Wolverson,⁵ and J. J. Davies⁵

¹CNRS/LPN, Route de Nozay, 91460 Marcoussis, France

²Department of Physics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

³Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotnikow 32/46, Warszawa, Poland

⁴GPS, Universités Paris 6 et 7, 2 Place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, France

⁵Department of Physics, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom

(Received 14 April 2003; published 22 August 2003)

Collective and single-particle spin-flip excitations of a two-dimensional electron gas in a semimagnetic $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ quantum well are observed by resonant Raman scattering. Application of a magnetic field splits the spin subbands and a spin polarization is induced in the electron gas. Above 1 T the collective modes, which disperse with the in-plane wave vector, dominate the spectra. The local spin-density approximation provides a good description of our results and enables us to confirm that the energy of the low wave vector collective mode is given by the bare Zeeman energy.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.086802

PACS numbers: 72.25.Dc, 73.21.-b, 75.70.-i, 78.30.-j

High-mobility electron gases confined in semiconductor heterojunctions reveal new physics associated with electron-electron interactions in two dimensions. Spinpolarized electron gas systems are of particular interest, both because of the new information they are expected to provide about the nature of the exchange Coulomb interaction and correlations in an electron gas, and also because of their importance for spin-based electronics. Under an external magnetic field, a spin-polarized twodimensional electron gas (2DEG) can be achieved in which strong modifications of the exchange interactions are expected. However, most experimental investigations on 2DEG systems have been reported in the GaAs/ GaAlAs system in which the g factor, which governs the magnitude of the Zeeman spin splitting, is very small. So in this system spin effects are accessible only with large external fields, at which point strong orbital quantization dominates the energy spectrum. Indeed, in Raman scattering measurements of the electronic excitations of spinpolarized electron gases confined in GaAs/GaAlAs heterostructures [1], both magnetoplasmons and spinflip transitions appear simultaneously.

Semimagnetic quantum wells based on $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ materials allow a completely new approach to this problem. A giant Zeeman splitting is induced in these materials by exchange interactions between the conduction electrons and those localized on Mn ions [2]. Under the application of moderate magnetic fields spin effects dominate over orbital quantization and the reverse situation to that of GaAs becomes accessible, with large filling factors and significant spin polarization. Moreover, the effect of exchange-correlation interactions on the energies of the collective excitations is expected to be more significant in $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ because of the smaller Bohr radius in this compound (6 nm in CdTe instead of 10 nm in GaAs). The aim of this Letter is to present a theoretical model corresponding to this new situation and to demonstrate that it provides an excellent description of spin-flip excitations under external magnetic field, which become accessible to Raman scattering measurements thanks to the recent availability of high quality semimagnetic modulation-doped quantum wells. In particular, we show that the main features predicted within this model—coexistence of single-particle and collective excitations, in-plane dispersion of spin-flip excitations, and Larmor's theorem—are successfully demonstrated in our experiments.

Even though the electron mobility in semimagnetic quantum wells remains below that in GaAs systems, modulation-doped quantum wells with a reasonable quality have been achieved in the past few years [3], and we have reported recently [4] the observation by electronic Raman scattering of the single-particle excitations (SPE) and collective charge excitations (plasmons) of 2DEGs in $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ modulation-doped quantum wells, as reported previously in GaAs quantum wells [5]. This novel result demonstrated that degenerate electron gases exist at low temperature in semimagnetic quantum wells, despite the relatively large disorder induced, in particular, by alloy fluctuations. We report in this Letter the observation of both collective and single-particle spin-flip excitations under applied magnetic fields B smaller than 1 T. The collective excitations emerge from the single-particle excitations at low fields and display a significant dispersion as a function of the in-plane wave vector, providing unambiguous evidence that they are associated with mobile electrons in the quantum well. This sets these new results apart from previous reports on spin-flip Raman scattering in undoped and modulation-doped quantum wells with semimagnetic barriers [6] in which localized electrons are involved. The measured variations with the field and the measured in-plane dispersions compare well with theoretical predictions based on the polarizability of a 2D electron gas including exchange-correlation corrections as a perturbation.

Raman scattering is a well-established method for the investigation of the elementary excitations of electron gases in semiconductors and their dispersion with the in-plane wave vector q. At a given q, the SPE line at B = 0 extends from 0 up to maximum energy $\hbar \omega_{\text{SP0}}$ which increases with increasing q ($\hbar \omega_{\text{SP0}} = \hbar v_F q$, where v_F is the Fermi velocity of the 2D gas); this energy range is shown in Fig. 1(a). The Raman scattering line shape can then be described by the imaginary part of the 2D polarizability. Assuming noninteracting electrons, the variations of the noninteracting polarizability $P_0(\omega, q)$ with B can be simply understood on the basis of the giant Zeeman splitting in the conduction band of the $Cd_{1-x}Mn_xTe$ quantum well. Under magnetic field, the conduction band splits into two spin subbands with, in the absence of carriers, the splitting described by a modified Brillouin function [7]. The single SPE spectrum observed at 0 T is thus expected to split at nonvanishing field into four new structures associated with spin conserving ($\uparrow\uparrow$ and $\downarrow\downarrow$) and spin-flip ($\uparrow\downarrow$ and $\downarrow\uparrow$) transitions, which were degenerate at 0 T. Spin conserving transitions are expected to vary smoothly due to the increase in Fermi velocity v_F^{\dagger} of the majority spin subband and decrease in v_F^{\downarrow} of the minority spin subband. On the contrary, spin-flip transitions are expected to display large variations with the field. In particular, the SPE spectrum related to excitations from the majority to the



FIG. 1. (a)–(d) In-plane dispersion of the SPE and SF excitations for four different values of the external field. For each field, experimental energies of the peak in the SPE band (triangles) and the SF line (squares) are compared, when available, to the midpoint (dashed line) in the calculated SPE energy range (shaded regions) and the SF energies in their wave vector range of existence (thick lines). (e),(f) Raman response calculated from the interacting polarizability $P(\omega, q)$ for five different Zeeman energies ($\Delta E_Z = 0, 2, 3, 4, 5 \text{ meV}$) and for two different values of the transferred wave vector q.

minority spin subbands is a broad line centered at the Zeeman splitting ΔE_Z and extending between $\Delta E_Z - \hbar v_F^{\dagger} q$ and $\Delta E_Z + \hbar v_F^{\dagger} q$; this energy range is shown in Figs. 1(b)-1(d) for different values of the Zeeman splitting.

However, this behavior is modified significantly when exchange-correlation interactions are taken into account. The interacting polarizability $P(\omega, q)$ associated with spin-flip transitions can be deduced within a perturbative approach, similar to the random phase approximation, applied to spin conserving transitions [8]:

$$P(\omega, q) = \frac{P_0(\omega, q)}{1 - V_{\rm XC}(q)P_0(\omega, q)},\tag{1}$$

where $V_{\rm XC}$ is the exchange-correlation interaction. Within the local spin-density approximation, $V_{\rm XC}$ is independent of q and may be obtained from the derivative, with respect to the spin density $s = n \uparrow -n \downarrow$ of the exchange-correlation potential. This expression for the spin-flip polarizability has been used extensively to describe intersubband collective excitations in GaAs quantum wells [8]. Here we use it in a different context: (i) spin subbands are considered here, instead of "orbital" subbands resulting from confinement along the growth direction; and (ii) the exchange-correlation interactions have to be considered at finite spin polarization instead of s = 0 in GaAs quantum wells. Moreover, we have the unique possibility of controlling the subband spacing with an external parameter: the magnetic field. We have used the Gunnarsson and Lundqvist parametrization [9] of the exchange-correlation potentials μ_{XC}^{\dagger} and μ_{XC}^{\downarrow} in a 3D spin-polarized electron gas. After integration over the local electron density profile $n_{3D}(z)$ along the growth direction, the 2D interaction $V_{\rm XC}$ is given by

$$V_{\rm XC} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\rm XC}^{\dagger}}{\partial s} - \frac{\partial \mu_{\rm XC}^{\dagger}}{\partial s} \right) \\ = -\frac{0.4073}{n} \left[\frac{1}{r_s} - 00.036 - \frac{1.36}{1 + 10r_s} \right] \\ \times R_y^* \frac{1 + (0.297 \frac{s}{n})^2}{[1 - (0.297 \frac{s}{n})^2]^2}, \tag{2}$$

where $n = n \uparrow + n \downarrow$ is the 2D electron density and $(r_s a_B^*)^3 = \frac{3a}{4\pi n} a_B^*, R_y^*$, and *a* are the effective Bohr radius, the effective Rydberg energy, and the average width of the electron distribution along *z*, respectively.

Because of exchange correlations, a new pole appears in the interacting polarizability $P(\omega, q)$ when the denominator vanishes. This is the collective spin-flip (SF) excitation which, because $V_{\rm XC}$ is negative, is expected to lie below the single-particle energies by an "excitonic correction." The variation of the imaginary part of $P(\omega, q)$, which represents the Raman scattering intensity, is shown in Figs. 1(e) and 1(f) as a function of the Zeeman splitting. In the absence of any Zeeman splitting the SPE extends down to vanishing energy [see Fig. 1(a)] and the SF excitation is heavily damped, with exchange correlation only resulting in a modification of the line shape of spin-flip SPE. For finite Zeeman splittings, an energy window opens below the spin-flip (inter-spin-subband) SPE, as shown in Fig. 1, and the collective SF excitation becomes a well-defined excitation with a long lifetime. The SPE becomes strongly screened and the Raman spectra are dominated by the collective mode. Moreover, the spin-flip collective line displays a downward energy dispersion when the in-plane wave vector is increased. At some finite value of q it enters the energy range for SPE and becomes strongly damped, at which point one then recovers a broad Raman response similar to the SPE line, but with a line shape modified by exchange-correlation interactions. As illustrated in Fig. 1, this situation takes place at higher wave vectors when the Zeeman splitting is increased.

One must emphasize that exchange-correlation interactions have to be taken into account from the beginning in the description of the spin-flip excitations. They induce (i) a shift of the spin-down and spin-up levels due to exchange self-energies and (ii) the excitonic correction to the collective transition between these two levels, described above. The first contribution leads to an increase of the level splitting, compared with that in an undoped quantum well, giving rise to an effective Zeeman splitting. The existence of such a correction has already been deduced [2] from the observation of a significant reduction of the critical field required for full spin polarization, with respect to the value predicted from the Brillouin function. As a consequence, the noninteracting polarizability in Eq. (1) has to include the increase of the effective level splitting though an effective density-dependent Zeeman splitting $\Delta E'_Z$. The second contribution then leads to the reduction of the collective SF energy with respect to the effective level splitting. According to Larmor's theorem, one should expect that the SF energy coincides with the bare Zeeman splitting ΔE_Z at q = 0 [10].

To demonstrate the validity of the above model, we have studied a 10-nm-thick $Cd_{1-r}Mn_rTe$ quantum well with a nominal Mn concentration x = 1.8%. The barriers are made of $Cd_{1-x}Mn_x$ Te with 15% of Mg and modulation doping is achieved by introducing iodine within the top barrier only. The spacer thickness is 40 nm. From our previous Raman scattering experiments [4], we have deduced that the electron density amounts to $2.4 \times 10 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ whereas magnetotransmission results [2] are well reproduced assuming $n = 2.25 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and x = 1.6%. Raman spectra have been measured from a sample immersed in superfluid helium using a Ti:sapphire laser with a typical power density of 10 W/cm²; this was found to be sufficiently low to avoid heating the Mn^{2+} system. With a laser energy close to 1.65 eV a strong resonance has been achieved with the E_1H_2 absorption edge [5]; the Fermi edge does not move significantly over the magnetic field range employed. The sample was mounted in the bore of a small superconducting solenoid providing up to 4.5 T. Complementary experiments have been performed up to 6 T in a split-coil configuration. To measure the dispersion of the excitations, we have performed measurements at three different angles of incidence on the sample surface. The light propagation has been kept parallel to the field and the normal to the sample surface has been turned with respect to this common axis. As spin related effects are sensitive to the total field, while Landau quantization remains negligible at very small fields, we do not expect any specific consequence of this unusual configuration required for our Raman scattering experiments. We present in this Letter Raman spectra measured with crossed linear polarizations of the incident and scattered light, in which spin-flip excitations are known to be Raman active.

We show in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) Raman spectra obtained at a few different applied magnetic fields and for two different angles of incidence (20°, $q = 5.72 \ \mu m^{-1}$ and 70°, $q = 15.72 \ \mu m^{-1}$). At zero field, the spectra display a



FIG. 2. Raman spectra as a function of the external magnetic field for $q = 5.72 \ \mu m^{-1}$ (a) and $15.72 \ \mu m^{-1}$ (b). The narrow line at the vanishing Raman shift is the remnant of the laser line after the scattered light has passed through the subtractive premonochromator. (c) Variation with the applied magnetic field of the measured excitation energies: SPE (full squares), SF excitation at 20° (open squares), 45° (open circles), and 70° (open triangles). The diamonds indicate the energy of the low-energy line measured at 20°. The thick full line represents a Brillouin function fit of the spin-flip line measured at 20°. The same fit is illustrated in the inset on a larger field range. The other lines show the field variations of the SPE energy (dashed line) and the SF energies at 45° and 70° (thin narrow lines) as deduced from the model assuming the Brillouin dependence of the SF energy at 20°.

single line very close to the laser energy, assigned to the SPE. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the energy $\hbar \omega_{\text{SP0}}$ of this line increases with increasing q, although its dispersion shows a significant departure from the expected linear dispersion $\hbar \omega_{\text{SP0}} = \hbar v_F q$, previously attributed [4] to alloy disorder in the quantum well. In the presence of a magnetic field, the SPE Raman peak shifts towards higher energies and becomes well separated from the laser line. As can be seen clearly for $q = 15.72 \ \mu \text{m}^{-1}$, the SPE line shape does not change significantly but the overall intensity decreases strongly while a narrow peak, labeled SF in Fig. 2, emerges from the low energy side of the structure around 0.5 T. For larger fields the narrow peak completely dominates the spectra and above 5 T its dispersion saturates around 7 meV, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(c). At 20° , the same field variation is observed, the transition between the low field SPE and the large field SF taking place around 0.2 T. Another peak is observed at about 0.4 meV for 20°; the energy of this peak changes little with magnetic field. It is likely to correspond to spin conserving processes (SPE or, possibly, the Landau damped acoustic plasmon of the partially spin-polarized system), but its observation should not be allowed with crossed polarization.

In Fig. 2(c), we show the field dependence of the measured SPE and SF energies at the three different considered wave vectors. In the inset we show the SF energy variation at the smallest q over a large range of fields. In agreement with Larmor's theorem, this variation is well reproduced with a Brillouin function assuming x = 1.5%, in excellent agreement with independent estimations, and a quite reasonable spin temperature, T =3.3 K. Based on this fit, we have calculated, using the spin-flip interacting polarizability, the effective Zeeman energy $\Delta E'_{Z}$ as well as the SF energies at different q as a function of B. As can be seen in Fig. 2(c), the measured SPE energies are very well reproduced, providing a strong validation of the simple exchange-correlation model considered in this work and further support for the validity of Larmor's theorem in this system. Extrapolating the SPE energy to larger fields, we predict a full polarization around 2.2 T (when the SPE energy matches the Fermi energy), in good agreement with independent estimates. On the other hand, the SF dispersion is overestimated. We also show in Figs. 1(a)-1(d) the measured energies of both SPE and SF lines as a function of q, for B = 0.0, 0.3, 0.6, and 0.8 T, respectively, which we compare with the calculated dispersions of both the SPE and the SF energies. This figure further illustrates the good description of the Raman data provided by the spin-flip interacting polarizability. In particular, the critical wave vector at which the SF enters the SPE band and becomes overdamped compares well with the experimental observations [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]—at low fields the SF line is present only at low wave vector whereas at higher fields the critical wave vector is much higher and the SF line is observed for both low and high wave vectors. On the other hand, we observe again that the measured SF dispersions are systematically weaker than predicted by theory. There are a number of possible explanations for this discrepancy, such as a disorder induced increase of the SF damping. However, because of the simplicity of the exchange-correlation model, we have not attempted any further test of such explanations.

In conclusion, our Raman scattering investigation of 2D electron gases in semimagnetic quantum wells provided a detailed picture of the emergence of collective spin-flip excitations from the continuum of singleparticle transitions with increasing magnetic field. We deduce an exchange-correlation correction to the bare Zeeman splitting of typically 30% for this electron density $(2.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2})$, and we demonstrate that a local spin-density approximation gives a reasonable account for this value. We have clearly demonstrated the collective nature of the narrow Raman line which dominates the Raman response above 0.5 T and have been able to describe well its in-plane dispersion. Though restricted to a paramagnetic quantum well, our study provides a powerful indication that collective spin-flip excitations should be the dominant excitation mechanism in both paramagnetic and ferromagnetic low-dimensional semiconductors.

We thank C. Gourdon (Groupe de Physique des Solides, Paris) for the loan of a superconducting magnet and A. Lemaitre, M. Potemski, and J. Cibert for valuable discussions.

- [1] A. Pinczuk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3623 (1992);
 H. D. M. Davies *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4095 (1997).
- [2] A. Lemaitre *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 5059 (2000), and references therein.
- [3] G. Karczewski *et al.*, J. Cryst. Growth **184/185**, 814 (1998).
- [4] B. Jusserand et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 161302(R) (2001).
- [5] B. Jusserand *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5400 (2000), and references therein.
- [6] M. P. Halsall et al., Phys. Rev. B 50, 11755 (1994).
- [7] J. A. Gaj, R. Planel, and G. Fishman, Solid State Commun. 29, 435 (1979).
- [8] D. Gammon et al., Phys. Rev. B 41, 12311 (1990).
- [9] O. Gunnarsson and B. I. Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4274 (1976); 15, 6006(E) (1977).
- [10] I.V. Kukushkin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3688 (2000).