

## Conformal Fixed Point, Cosmological Constant, and Quintessence

Christof Wetterich

*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Philosophenweg 16, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

(Received 22 October 2002; published 13 June 2003)

We connect a possible solution for the “cosmological constant problem” to the existence of a (postulated) conformal fixed point in a fundamental theory. The resulting cosmology leads to quintessence, where the present acceleration of the expansion of the universe is linked to a crossover in the flow of coupling constants.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.231302

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 95.35.+d

Once upon a time gravity was a strong force, with Newton’s constant  $G_{\text{eff}}^{(i)} = 10^{110} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$  or effective Planck mass  $\bar{M}^{(i)} = 2 \times 10^{-33} \text{ eV}$ , and typical particle masses  $\approx \bar{M}^{(i)}$ . The mysterious homogeneous dark energy in the universe (“cosmological constant”) started out with a similar characteristic magnitude  $V^{(i)} \approx (\bar{M}^{(i)})^4$ . Over the ages of the history of the universe the Planck mass increased and reaches today the value  $\bar{M}^{(0)} = 2.44 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$ . In the later stages of cosmology the mass ratios  $M_W/\bar{M}$  and  $m_p/\bar{M}$  for the Fermi scale and the proton mass have been approximately time independent. The growth rate of the dark energy was slower, however, such that today  $V^{(0)} = (2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4$ , explaining one of the smallest numbers observed in nature,  $V^{(0)}/\bar{M}^4 = 6.5 \times 10^{-121}$ . In the present epoch the pace of change of the fundamental mass scales slows down considerably, resulting in an accelerated expansion of the universe. This tale of the cosmological history may seem somewhat weird at first sight — we will argue here that it could naturally be associated with the properties of a (postulated) conformal fixed point of a (still unknown) theory unifying all interactions.

Our basic assumption states that in a fundamental theory (FT) of all interactions all mass scales of particle physics are determined by a field  $\chi$  rather than by a fundamental constant. This is common in grand unified, higher dimensional or superstring theories. Typically,  $\chi$  is associated with a scale of transition such that for momenta  $p^2 \gg \chi^2$  all the modes of the FT are important — for example, the FT may be formulated in more than four spacetime dimensions — whereas for  $p^2 \ll \chi^2$  an effective description in terms of a four-dimensional quantum field theory becomes valid. From the FT point of view the field  $\chi$  plays the role of an effective infrared scale. Seen from the four-dimensional standard model, the scale  $\chi$  stands for the onset of new physics in the ultraviolet. Within the four-dimensional description that we adopt here,  $\chi$  is a scalar field and may therefore evolve over cosmological time scales. In particular, the effective Planck mass is proportional to  $\chi$ . If  $\chi$  changes with time, one is led to cosmologies with a variable Planck mass [1].

Dilatation or scale transformations correspond to a multiplicative rescaling  $\chi \rightarrow c\chi$ , with constant  $c$  and ap-

propriate scaling of the metric and other fields. A nonvanishing cosmological value  $\chi(t)$  “spontaneously breaks” dilatation and conformal symmetries and induces masses for most particles. If dilatation symmetry were an exact symmetry of the effective action, the value of  $\chi$  would not be an observable quantity. However, in quantum theories it is common that dilatation symmetry is violated [2,3] by the effects of fluctuations, resulting in “running” dimensionless couplings depending on  $\chi$ . We assume that by dimensional transmutation this introduces an intrinsic scale  $m$  in the effective potential for the cosmon field  $\chi$ , in analogy to the characteristic scale of strong interactions,  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ .

As an example, we realize these ideas in an effective model for gravity and the cosmon field  $\chi$ , characterized by an effective action  $S$  after “integrating out” the other fields and all quantum fluctuations,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \chi^2 R + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \delta \left( \frac{\chi}{m} \right) - 6 \right] \partial^\mu \chi \partial_\mu \chi + V(\chi) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Here we consider the case where for  $m \rightarrow 0$  the effective potential has a flat direction, and assume that for large  $\chi$  the leading manifestation of the dilatation anomaly for  $V$  results in a mass term

$$V = m^2 \chi^2. \quad (2)$$

In the region of large  $\chi \gg m$  all interactions are derivative interactions. The dimensionless coupling  $\delta > 0$  governs the cosmon kinetic term. The additive constant is chosen such that the model exhibits an exact local conformal symmetry  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow c^{-2}(x)g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\chi \rightarrow c(x)\chi$  for  $\delta = 0$ ,  $m = 0$ . In our normalization  $\chi$  corresponds to the effective reduced Planck mass,  $\bar{M} = (8\pi G_{\text{eff}})^{-1/2}$ .

It is straightforward to solve the field equations for this model [1,4] for a homogeneous and isotropic metric and cosmon field. One finds that the cosmon field increases for large time. This is due to its coupling to gravity and contradicts the too naive expectation that the cosmon should approach the potential minimum at  $\chi = 0$ . The increase of  $\chi$  has a striking consequence for the fate of

the homogeneous dark energy. Indeed, only mass ratios are physically observable [1]. For the effective cosmological constant  $V/\bar{M}^4$  we find that  $V^{1/4}$  increases less rapidly than  $\bar{M} = \chi$ . Therefore the dark energy vanishes asymptotically if  $\chi$  increases with time, i.e.,  $V/\bar{M}^4 = m^2/\chi^2 \rightarrow 0$ . This is the basic ingredient for our explanation [3] why the cosmological constant vanishes asymptotically and why dark energy has attained an extremely small value today as a consequence of the enormous age of our universe. We will argue below that the ‘‘cosmological exploration of the ultraviolet’’ with increasing  $\chi$  has important consequences for the issue of quantum corrections to the cosmological constant.

Before we can proceed to a quantitative discussion of cosmology we need to specify  $\delta(\chi/m)$ . For large  $\chi \gg m$  simple dimensional arguments tell us that the  $\chi$  dependence can be written in terms of a ‘‘renormalization group equation’’  $\partial\delta/\partial\ln\chi = \beta_\delta(\delta)$ . A computation of  $\beta_\delta$  would need the knowledge of the FT since the dominant contributions arise from modes with  $p^2 \approx \chi^2$ . We know only that  $\beta_\delta$  should have a zero for  $\delta = 0$ , since  $\delta = 0$  corresponds to an enhanced (conformal) symmetry and separates a stable model for  $\delta \geq 0$  from an unacceptable unstable model for  $\delta < 0$ . By continuity, for small enough  $\delta$  the  $\beta$  function is also small and  $\delta$  increases only slowly with  $\chi$  (assuming  $\beta_\delta \geq 0$ ). For  $\chi$  varying over many orders of magnitude during the cosmological evolution we may nevertheless be confronted with a situation where  $\delta$  has grown large at some critical value  $\chi_c$ . At this scale we expect a *crossover* from the vicinity of the conformal fixed point at  $\delta = 0$  to an unknown behavior for large  $\delta$ . The crossover scale  $\chi_c$  can play an important role in cosmology. In particular, we will discuss a scenario where  $\chi$  reaches  $\chi_c$  in the present epoch, triggering an accelerated expansion of the universe [5].

As a simple example we take

$$\frac{\partial\delta}{\partial\ln\chi} = \beta_\delta = E\delta^2, \quad \delta = \frac{1}{E\ln(\chi_c/\chi)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\chi_c$  depends on  $E$  and the ‘‘initial value’’  $\delta_i = \delta(\chi = m)$ . For small  $E\delta_i$  the separation between the crossover scale  $\chi_c$  and the intrinsic scale  $m$  becomes exponentially large

$$\frac{\chi_c}{m} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{E\delta_i}\right), \quad (4)$$

in close analogy to the inverse ratio between the strong interaction scale  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  and the unification scale. In particular, if  $\chi_c$  is associated with the present value  $\bar{M}^{(0)} = \bar{M}_p = 2.44 \times 10^{18}$  GeV and  $E\delta_i \approx 1/138$  we obtain a present value for the potential part of the dark energy

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(0)} &= m^2\chi_c^2 = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{E\delta_i}\right)\bar{M}_p^4 \\ &= 6.56 \times 10^{-121}\bar{M}_p^4 = (20.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We emphasize that the cosmological evolution for this class of models is independent of the initial conditions since the late time behavior is governed by a cosmic attractor solution [3,6,7]. Generically, the ratio  $\Omega_h$  between the homogeneous dark energy density and the critical energy density stays small as long as  $\delta$  is small, adjusting itself to a dominant radiation or matter component,  $\Omega_h \approx \delta$  or  $\Omega_h \approx \frac{3}{4}\delta$ , respectively. This behavior only changes once  $\chi$  reaches the crossover scale  $\chi_c$ , and for  $E\delta_i \approx 1/138$  this happens precisely at the present epoch. Then the universe switches to a regime where dark energy dominates.

To be more quantitative we select  $E = 5$ ,  $\delta_i = 1.444 \times 10^{-3}$  [and divide  $\beta_\delta$  by  $(1 + 0.05\delta)$ ]. We can now compute the characteristic quantities like the amount of dark energy today,  $\Omega_h^{(0)} = 0.7$ , or the equation of state  $w_h = p_h/\rho_h$  at the present time,  $w_h^{(0)} = -0.93$ . They are compatible with the supernovae observations [5] and the age of the universe  $t^{(0)} = 13.7 \times 10^9$  yr. For a discussion [8] of the spectrum of the cosmic microwave background anisotropies we need, in addition, the value of  $\Omega_h^{(ls)} = 0.019$  at the time of last scattering and an averaged equation of state  $\bar{w}$  which determine the position of the third peak in angular momentum space as  $l_3 = 795$  (for  $h = 0.66$ ). Structure formation is slowed down by the early presence of dark energy [9]. It depends on an average of  $\Omega_h$  over the time of structure formation,  $\Omega_h^{(sf)} = 0.037$  [10]. In our case the cold dark matter density fluctuations are reduced by a factor  $\sigma_8/\sigma_8(\Lambda) = 0.7$  as compared to a model with a cosmological constant and the same amount of dark energy today. We conclude that our simple model is compatible with the present observations. We observe interesting differences as compared to models with a cosmological constant. They are subject to future observational tests.

Several comments are in order: (i) Cosmology is most easily discussed after a Weyl scaling  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (\bar{M}_p/\chi)^2 g_{\mu\nu}$  and a redefinition of the cosmon field  $\varphi/\bar{M}_p = \ln[\chi^4/V(\chi)] = 2\ln(\chi/m)$ , such that the coefficient in front of the curvature scalar  $R$  becomes constant and  $\varphi$  is directly related to the value of the potential

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\bar{M}_p^2 R + \frac{1}{2}k^2(\varphi)\partial^\mu\varphi\partial_\mu\varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{M}_p^4 \exp\left(-\frac{\varphi}{\bar{M}_p}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The details of the model are now encoded in the non-trivial kinetic term [11]  $k^2(\varphi) = \delta/4$ . As a general feature, the motion of the cosmon slows down once  $k^2$  becomes large, in our case for  $\varphi$  near  $\varphi_c = 2\bar{M}_p/(\delta_i E)$ . Then the dominance of the potential  $V$  over the kinetic energy  $T$  leads to a negative equation of state  $w_h = (T - V)/(T + V)$  and to an acceleration of the universe [12].

(ii) The qualitative features of our proposal hold for a much more general class of cosmon potentials  $V$ . For example, adding to Eq. (2) a ‘‘bare cosmological constant’’

$\gamma m^4$  becomes completely irrelevant for large  $\chi/m$ . Only the behavior of  $V$  for large  $\chi$  matters. The scenario of an asymptotically vanishing dark energy holds provided that  $V$  increases less rapidly than  $\chi^4$  and  $\delta$  remains finite for  $\chi < \bar{M}_p$ . This applies, in particular, to an asymptotic behavior  $V = \lambda(\chi/m)\chi^4$  with a dimensionless coupling  $\lambda$  obeying the renormalization group equation

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \ln \chi} = -A\lambda, \quad A > 0. \quad (7)$$

(iii) Details of cosmology depend on  $\beta_\delta$ . For  $\beta_\delta = 0$  one recovers ‘‘exponential quintessence’’ [3], whereas for  $\beta_\delta = D\delta$ ,  $D$  constant, one finds ‘‘inverse power law quintessence’’ [6] with power  $\alpha = 2A/D$ . We have studied other models with crossover behavior, e.g.,  $\beta_\delta = D\delta + E\delta^2$ . For  $D > 0$  the required value of  $\delta_i$  decreases and early quintessence (e.g.,  $\Omega_h^{(ls)}$ ,  $\Omega_h^{(sf)}$ ) becomes less important. The precise flow for very large  $\delta$ , i.e.,  $\delta$  remaining finite for all  $\chi$ , plays only a minor role for presently observable cosmology provided  $\delta$  grows sufficiently large in the present epoch.

(iv) We can extend our description to matter fields and radiation. As an example we consider the Higgs doublet  $H$ , a fermion field  $\psi$ , and the gluons characterized by their field strength  $F_{\mu\nu}$ . Within our assumption this adds to Eq. (1) a term

$$S_M = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left\{ (\lambda_H/2)(H^\dagger H - \beta^2 \chi^2)^2 + (h\bar{\psi}_L H \psi_R + \text{H.c.}) + \frac{Z_F}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Our previous description has neglected the possible  $\chi$  dependence of the dimensionless couplings  $\lambda_H, \beta, h, Z_F$  such that after the Weyl scaling  $\varphi$  decouples completely from matter and radiation. In this case the Higgs doublet reaches its  $\chi$ -dependent minimum  $|H|^2 = \beta^2 \chi^2$  early in cosmology (after the electroweak phase transition). Similarly, for a fixed value of the running gauge coupling at some grand unified scale  $M_X$ ,  $\alpha_S(M_X) \approx 1/40$ , we find  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim \chi$  if  $M_X \sim \chi$ . In this approximation all ratios of particle masses become independent of  $\chi$  and do not vary with cosmological time.

We note the appearance of two different types of characteristic masses for the excitations. The excitation along the ‘‘vacuum direction’’ corresponds to a simultaneous change of *all* mass scales (along the direction  $|H| = \beta\chi$ ). Its mass is given by the small intrinsic mass  $m$ . On the other hand, the excitations perpendicular to the vacuum direction correspond to a variation of mass ratios and have a characteristic mass  $\sim \chi$ . In our example, the intrinsic mass  $m$  is many orders of magnitude smaller than the (present) mass of the Higgs boson  $M_H^2 = \lambda_H \beta^2 \chi^2$ . This resembles the spontaneous breaking of some unknown global symmetry where a small mass  $m$  for the pseudo-Goldstone boson  $\chi$  is induced by an anomaly.

(v) We do not expect the dimensionless couplings  $\lambda_H, \beta, h, Z_F$  to be precisely independent of  $\chi/m$ . Then the cosmological variation of  $\chi/m$  will induce a time dependence of the fundamental parameters. Severe bounds [13] restrict [1,14] this dependence for the dimensionless couplings of the known fields. More freedom is left for a coupling of the cosmon  $\varphi$  to dark matter—sizable couplings would influence the cosmology [4,15]. Very close to the big bang, for  $\chi \approx m$ , the dependence of all couplings on  $\chi/m$  may have been strong.

(vi) In a grand unified theory the renormalized strong gauge coupling or the fine structure constant  $\alpha_{em}$  depends on the value of the gauge coupling  $g_X = g(M_X)$  at the unification scale  $M_X$  where  $g_X^2(\chi) \sim Z_F^{-1}(\chi)$ . We neglect here for simplicity the  $\chi$  dependence of  $M_X, B_X = -\partial \ln(M_X/\chi)/\partial \ln \chi \approx 0$ , and concentrate on the case where for  $\chi \rightarrow \infty$  the running of  $g_X$  is governed by a fixed point  $g_*^2/4\pi \approx 1/40$

$$\frac{\partial g_X^2}{\partial \ln \chi} = \beta_{g^2} = b_2 g_X^2 - b_4 g_X^4, \quad b_2 = b_4 g_*^2 > 0. \quad (9)$$

It is interesting to associate  $m$  with the nonperturbative scale where  $g_X(\chi \rightarrow m) \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$g_X^2 = g_*^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\chi}{m} \right)^{-b_2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

The present relative variations of the gauge couplings are then determined by

$$\eta_F = -\frac{\beta_{g^2}}{g_X^2} \approx b_2 \left( \frac{\chi}{m} \right)^{-b_2} = \exp\left( -\frac{b_2 \varphi}{2\bar{M}_p} \right). \quad (11)$$

For sufficiently large  $b_2$ , say  $b_2 > 0.2$ , the time variation of  $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  or  $\alpha_{em}$  is much too small to be accessible for present observations [14]. More generally, we conclude that a fixed point which is approached sufficiently fast for  $\chi \rightarrow \infty$  could give a very simple explanation why the cosmological time variation of fundamental couplings is small. On the other hand, the substantial variation of  $\delta$  at the present cosmological epoch may have a small influence on the precise location of the fixed point  $g_*(\delta)$ . A small increase of  $g_*(\delta)$  can lead to a time variation of  $\alpha_{em}$  in the range inferred from the observation of quasar absorption lines [16], corresponding to  $\eta_F = -4 \times 10^{-7}$ . Recent investigations indeed show [17] that a small  $\delta$  dependence of  $\beta_{g^2}$  makes the quasistellar object observation compatible with all present bounds from archeo-nuclear physics and tests of the equivalence principle, provided that the crossover is sufficiently rapid (e.g.,  $E = 8$ ).

(vii) The effect of the quantum fluctuations is encoded in the  $\beta$  functions (7) and (9). In our setting this concerns mainly small deviations from a conformal fixed point at  $\delta = 0, \lambda = 0, g^2 = g_*^2$ . The conformal fixed point has  $g^2$  (and  $\lambda$ ) as relevant coupling for  $\chi \rightarrow 0$ , whereas for  $\chi \rightarrow \infty$  the relevant coupling corresponds to  $\delta$ . The flow of the couplings is therefore neither stable towards the infrared nor towards the ultraviolet. The scale  $m$  marks

the first infrared scale where couplings grow large (e.g., the gauge coupling), whereas  $\chi_c$  corresponds to the instability in the ultraviolet. A huge ratio  $\chi_c/m$  occurs whenever the trajectory of the flow passes sufficiently close to the fixed point.

A crucial question concerns the running of  $\lambda$  for large  $\chi$  which can depend on the various dimensionless couplings  $\partial\lambda/\partial\ln\chi = \beta_\lambda(\lambda, \delta, g^2, h, \lambda_H, \dots)$ . Near a fixed point with only one relevant (marginal) coupling  $\delta$  all couplings follow critical trajectories which may be parametrized by  $\delta(\lambda), g^2(\lambda), h(\lambda)$ , etc. Inserting these functions into  $\beta_\lambda$  yields an expansion for small  $\lambda$ ,  $\beta_\lambda = c_\lambda - A\lambda + \dots$ . Only for  $c_\lambda = 0$  the fixed point occurs for  $\lambda_* = 0$ , and only in this case the effective cosmological constant vanishes asymptotically. Our assumption of a flat direction in the effective cosmon potential is equivalent to  $c_\lambda = 0$ . We emphasize that the existence of a fixed point at  $\lambda = 0$  seems plausible since it separates a stable ( $\lambda > 0$ ) from an unstable ( $\lambda < 0$ , unbounded potential) situation. Usually, the flow of couplings does not cross a stability border. Nevertheless, we should consider possible arguments against  $c_\lambda = 0$ .

From a simple inspection of loop diagrams the individual contribution of a particle with mass  $M_j = \gamma_j\chi$  is  $c_\lambda \sim \gamma_j^4$ . Even though  $\gamma_j$  is a tiny coupling for standard model particles the resulting cosmological constant would come out much too large ( $\sim M_j^4$ ), reflecting the “naturalness” or “fine tuning” problem. It is obvious, however, that  $c_\lambda$  will be dominated by the unknown particles with mass around  $\chi$ . From the point of view of the low energy theory the value of  $c_\lambda$  is an *ultraviolet* problem. We see no way to make statements about the location of  $\lambda_*$  from our knowledge of the low energy theory. (The situation is very different if the location of a fixed point is dominated by the infrared modes. Actually, an attempt to characterize the location of an ultraviolet fixed point by the couplings of the infrared modes would be similarly misleading as the characterization of an infrared fixed point by properties of the ultraviolet modes.) This argument is strengthened if  $\gamma_j$  depends on  $\chi$  as in our setting. In this case even the contribution of the low mass particles to  $c_\lambda$  is not uniquely dominated by momenta  $q^2 \sim M_j^2$  — it also involves “ultraviolet momenta”  $q^2 \approx \chi^2$ . We think that in view of this situation our conjecture that  $V(\chi)$  rises for large  $\chi$  less rapidly than  $\chi^4$  ( $\lambda_* = 0, A > 0$ ) seems to be a reasonable possibility. (Our setting circumvents an argument [18] that time varying fundamental constants require the tuning of a whole function. Only  $c_\lambda = 0, A > 0$  is required.) In this respect it is crucial that the late time behavior explores the ultraviolet rather than the infrared.

We conclude that in a fundamental theory the presence of a conformal fixed point with a flat direction, together with the flow of small deviations from the fixed point proposed in this note ( $A > 0$ ), would lead to a natural explanation why the cosmological constant vanishes asymptotically. After Weyl scaling, no additive constant

hinders the asymptotic approach of the cosmon potential to zero,  $V(\varphi \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$ . This would solve the cosmological constant problem [19]. In our crossover scenario the past evolution of the universe is characterized by a small and slowly varying fraction of dark energy which adapts to a dominant radiation (matter) component  $\Omega_h \approx \delta(\frac{3}{4}\delta)$ . The future of the universe depends crucially on the unknown properties of the flow of  $\delta(\chi)$  in the region of the large  $\delta$ . The present epoch witnesses a crossover from small to large  $\delta$ , resulting in an accelerated expansion. In a FT the crossover scale  $\chi_c/m$  should be computable, just as the values of mass ratios or dimensionless couplings in particle physics. It is therefore not excluded that some of the “cosmic coincidences” (relations between the present value of the Hubble parameter  $H_0$  and particle properties) could find an explanation in this direction. For the potential (2) the present value of  $H$  is given by the mass  $m$  characterizing the dilatation anomaly

$$H_0^2 = \frac{2\Omega_h^{(0)}}{3(1 - w_h^{(0)})} m^2. \quad (12)$$

The time variation of the dark energy could be detected by cosmological observations in the near future, and an establishment of a time variation of fundamental couplings would be a striking argument in favor of our proposal.

- 
- [1] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B302**, 645 (1988).
  - [2] R. Peccei, J. Sola, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **195**, 183 (1987).
  - [3] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B302**, 668 (1988).
  - [4] C. Wetterich, Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 321 (1995).
  - [5] S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1998); A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
  - [6] B. Ratra and J. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988).
  - [7] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 4686 (1998).
  - [8] M. Doran, M. Lilley, J. Schwindt, and C. Wetterich, Astrophys. J. **559**, 501 (2001).
  - [9] P. Ferreira and M. Joyce, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4740 (1997).
  - [10] M. Doran, M. Schwindt, and C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123520 (2001).
  - [11] A. Hebecker and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **497**, 281 (2001).
  - [12] R. Caldwell, R. Dave, and P. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998).
  - [13] J. P. Uzan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 403 (2003), and references therein.
  - [14] C. Wetterich, hep-ph/0203266.
  - [15] L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043511 (2000).
  - [16] J. K. Webb *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 091301 (2001).
  - [17] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B **561**, 10 (2003); hep-ph/0302116.
  - [18] T. Banks, M. Dine, and M. Douglas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 131301 (2002).
  - [19] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989).