## Simple Approach for Charge Renormalization in Highly Charged Macroions

Emmanuel Trizac,<sup>1</sup> Lydéric Bocquet,<sup>2</sup> and Miguel Aubouy<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, UMR CNRS 8627, Bâtiment 210, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique de l'E.N.S. de Lyon, UMR CNRS 5672, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex, France
<sup>3</sup>S.I.3M., D.R.F.M.C., UMR CNRS 5819, CEA-DSM Grenoble, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

(Received 28 January 2002; published 20 November 2002)

We revisit the notion of renormalized charge, which is a concept of central importance in the field of highly charged colloidal or polyelectrolyte solutions. Working at the level of a linear Debye–Hückellike theory only, we propose a versatile method to predict the saturated amount of charge renormalization, which is, however, a nonlinear effect arising at strong electrostatic coupling. The results are successfully tested against nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann theory for polyions of various shapes (planar, cylindrical, and spherical), both in the infinite dilution limit or in confined geometry, with or without added electrolyte. Our approach, accurate for monovalent microions in solvents such as water, is finally confronted against experimental results on charged colloids and *B*-DNA solutions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.248301

Our present understanding of charged macroion suspensions is essentially based on the DLVO theory, named after Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey, and Overbeek [1]. This approach relies on a Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) mean-field description of the microion clouds. An important prediction of the theory is the effective interaction pair potential between two macroions (e.g., colloids) in the solvent which, within a linearization approximation, takes the well-known Yukawa or Debye-Hückel (DH) form:  $v(r) \sim$  $Z^2 \exp(-\kappa r)/r$ , where Z is the charge of the object and  $\kappa$ denotes the inverse Debye screening length. However, this approach becomes inadequate to describe highly charged objects for which the electrostatic energy of a microion near the macroion surface largely exceeds  $k_BT$ , the thermal energy, and the linearization of PB equations is a priori not justified. In this case, however, the electrostatic potential in exact [2] or mean-field [3-5] theories still takes a Debye-Hückel form far from the charged bodies, provided that the source of the potential is renormalized  $(Z \rightarrow Z_{eff})$ . The essential idea is that the microions which suffer a high electrostatic coupling with the macroion accumulate in its immediate vicinity so that the decorated object (macroion *plus* captive counterions) may be considered as a single entity which carries an effective charge Z<sub>eff</sub>, much lower (in absolute value) than the structural one. Within PB theory, Z and  $Z_{eff}$  coincide for low values of the structural charge, but  $Z_{eff}$  eventually reaches a saturation value  $Z_{sat}$  independent of Z when the bare charge increases [5,6].

Of course, the difficulty remains to predict  $Z_{sat}$  for a given suspension of macroions [4–7]. In the absence of any general analytical framework for the computation of the effective charge, this quantity is often considered as an adjustable parameter to fit experimental data [8,9]. In this Letter, we show that a simple physical argument, at the level of the DH linearized description only, yields explicit (and in some favorable cases analytical) expressions for the effective charges at saturation, which com-

PACS numbers: 82.70.Dd, 61.20.Gy, 64.70.-p

pare well with both numerical solutions of nonlinear PB theory and available experimental data with monovalent microions.

For simplicity, we start by considering a unique highly (positively) charged sphere immersed in a symmetric 1:1 electrolyte of bulk ionic strength  $I_0 = \kappa^2/8\pi\ell_B$ , where  $\ell_B = e^2/4\pi\epsilon k_B T$  is the Bjerrum length ( $\epsilon$  being the dielectric constant of the solvent):  $\ell_B = 7$  Å for water at room temperature. Within PB theory, the dimensionless electrostatic potential  $\Phi = eV/k_B T$  obeys the relation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \kappa^2 \sinh \Phi. \tag{1}$$

Far from macroion (where it is understood that  $\Phi$  vanishes), the solution  $\Phi_{PB}$  of Eq. (1) also obeys the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann (LPB) equation  $\nabla^2 \Phi = \kappa^2 \Phi$ , and therefore takes the Yukawa form  $\Phi_{LPB} =$  $Z_{\rm eff}\ell_B \exp[\kappa(a-r)]/[r(1+\kappa a)]$ , with a the radius of the sphere.  $Z_{eff}$  (in *e* units) is consequently defined here without ambiguity from the far field behavior of  $\Phi_{PB}$  (see [4,10,11] for alternative definitions of effective charges). Accordingly, a "nonlinear" region may be defined ( $r \in$  $[a, r^*]$ ), corresponding to  $\Phi_{PB}$  larger than unity, where by definition of the cutoff  $r^*$ ,  $\Phi_{LPB}(r^*)$  is of order 1. In the limit of large  $\kappa a$ , this nonlinear region is, however, confined to the immediate vicinity of the macroion:  $r^* \simeq a$ . We consequently have the effective boundary condition  $\Phi_{\text{LPB}}(a) \simeq \Phi_{\text{PB}}(r^*) = C$ , where C is a constant of order 1, which yields immediately  $Z_{eff} = Ca(1 + Ca)$  $\kappa a)/\ell_B$ . This argument assumes that the bare charge Z is high enough to have  $\Phi_{PB}$  larger than unity close to the macroion and, therefore, provides the saturation value of  $Z_{\rm eff}$ , denoted hereafter as  $Z_{\rm sat}$  [12]. We therefore easily obtain the nontrivial dependence of this quantity upon physicochemical parameters.

This picture of a decorated macroion—where the "bound" counterions renormalizing the charge appear to have an electrostatic energy  $eV_0$  balancing the thermal

energy  $k_BT$ —may be rationalized as follows. In the limit of large  $\kappa a$ , we perform an asymptotic matching of the nonlinear PB planar solution (see [1]) to the linear solution  $\Phi_{\text{LPB}}$  in curved geometry. We obtain for high bare charges the same value of the contact potential  $\Phi_{\text{LPB}}(a) = 4$  (of order 1 as expected) so that  $Z_{\text{sat}} =$  $4a(1 + \kappa a)/\ell_B$  [13]. Such a procedure provides by construction the correct large  $\kappa a$  (low curvature) behavior of  $Z_{\text{sat}}$ , but we show below that it remains fairly accurate down to  $\kappa a$  of order 1.

Generalizing this approach, we consequently obtain the leading curvature saturated effective charge from the following analysis. For an isolated macroion of arbitrary shape in an electrolyte: (a) find the electrostatic potential,  $\Phi_{LPB}$ , solution of the *linearized* PB equations, supplemented by a *fixed potential boundary condition*:  $\Phi_{LPB}(surface) = C$ , where C = 4 at leading order in curvature; (b) deduce  $Z_{sat}$  from Gauss theorem at the surface of the object. In the case of an infinite cylinder (radius *a*, bare line charge  $\lambda$ ), we obtain  $\lambda_{sat} = 2(\kappa a/\ell_B)K_1(\kappa a)/K_0(\kappa a)$ , where  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are the modified Bessel functions of orders 0 and 1.

In order to test the validity of our results, we have numerically solved the nonlinear PB equation (1) for high Z values corresponding to the saturation regime and computed the effective charge from the electrostatic potential at large distances (i.e., the value required to match  $\Phi_{LPB}$  to the far field  $\Phi_{PB}$  obtained numerically). Figure 1 compares the resulting PB effective charge to our expressions, for spherical and cylindrical macroions. The agreement becomes excellent at large  $\kappa a$  as it should, and in the case of cylinders, even holds down to very small  $\kappa a$ (0.01), a point which is not *a priori* expected. Finally, in the planar geometry our approach provides by construction the correct effective charge (compared to PB).



FIG. 1. Effective charge at saturation of an isolated spherical macroion (radius *a*) as a function of  $\kappa a$ . The continuous line is the analytical expression given in the text, while the dots are the results extracted from the far-field behavior of the nonlinear PB potential. In the inset, the same results for the cylinder geometry are shown on a log-linear scale.

For spherical colloids, expressions reminiscent of that reported above can be found in the literature [4,14,15]. It seems, however, that the generality of the underlying method has been overlooked. In particular, our procedure may be extended to the finite concentration case, using the concept of Wigner Seitz (WS) cell [5]: the influence of the other macroions is accounted for by confining the macroion into a cell, with global electroneutrality. The size of the cell,  $R_{\rm WS}$ , is computed from the density of macroions, while its geometry is chosen as to mimic the spatial structure of the macroions in the solution. Suppose that the system is in equilibrium with a reservoir of salt defined in terms of its Debye length  $\kappa^{-1}$ . We first linearize Eq. (1) around the value of potential at the cell boundary,  $\Phi_R = \Phi(R_{WS})$ , unknown at this point, which vields

$$\nabla^2 \delta \Phi = \kappa_\star^2 (\gamma_0 + \delta \Phi), \tag{2}$$

where  $\delta \Phi = \Phi - \Phi_R$ ,  $\kappa_{\star}^2 = \kappa^2 \cosh(\Phi_R)$ , and  $\gamma_0 = \sqrt{1 - (\kappa/\kappa_{\star})^4}$ . Note that the relevant screening length  $\kappa_{\star}^{-1}$  (always smaller than  $\kappa^{-1}$ , a general feature for finite concentration) is not a parameter and should be determined at the end of the calculation. Equation (2) is supplemented by two boundary conditions: the consistency constraint  $[\delta \Phi(R_{\rm WS}) = 0]$  and the global electroneutrality (which imposes a vanishing normal electric field at the WS boundary). To generalize the approach discussed in the limit of infinite dilution, we propose the following prescription (providing a third boundary condition): the *difference of potential* between the macroion and the WS surface is  $\delta \Phi(a) = 4$ . Here again, the effective charge is obtained from Gauss theorem at the macroion's surface.

This generalized procedure is now applied to a solution of spherical macroions with concentration  $\rho$ . The radius of the WS spherical cell is given as  $R_{\rm WS} = (4\pi\rho/3)^{-1/3}$ . In this geometry, the (LPB) solution of Eq. (2) reads

$$\delta\Phi(r) = \gamma_0 \left[ -1 + f_+ \frac{e^{\kappa_\star r}}{r} + f_- \frac{e^{-\kappa_\star r}}{r} \right], \qquad (3)$$

where  $f_{\pm} = [(\kappa_* R_{WS} \pm 1)/2\kappa_*] \exp(\mp \kappa_* R_{WS})$ . Our prescription allows one to compute  $\kappa_*$ , such that  $\delta \Phi(a) = 4$ . This equation is solved numerically for  $\kappa_*$  using a simple numerical Newton procedure. The effective charge follows from the gradient of  $\delta \Phi(r)$  in Eq. (3) taken at r = a. The corresponding  $Z_{sat}$  as a function of volume fraction  $\eta = 4\pi\rho a^3/3$  is displayed in Fig. 2, with a comparison to its counterpart deduced from the numerical solution of PB theory supplemented with the popular procedure proposed by Alexander *et al.* [5]. In this figure, we have also plotted the results obtained without added salt [where the term sinh $\Phi$  on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) is replaced by  $(\exp \Phi)/2$ , due to the absence of coions]. Our results are fully compatible with those obtained from Alexander's method, with a similar agreement for



FIG. 2. Effective saturated charge of spherical macroions (radius *a*) as a function of volume fraction  $\eta$  for  $\kappa a = 2.6$ . The continuous line shows the effective charge computed using the prescription, while the dots are the results of the nonlinear PB theory, following Ref. [5]. Inset: no-salt situation.

cylindrical macroions (not shown). It is eventually instructive to note that, for a charged plane confined without added salt in a WS slab of width 2*h*, Alexander's saturation surface charge may be computed analytically with the result [13]  $\sigma_{\text{sat}} = 2^{-3/2} \sinh(\pi/\sqrt{2})/\ell_B h$ , whereas we obtain  $\sigma_{\text{sat}} = \sqrt{6} \operatorname{argcosh}(5)/\pi \ell_B h$  following our prescription. Both expressions agree within 10% and remarkably exhibit the same functional dependence on  $\ell_B$ and density (through *h*).

That our prescription compares favorably with Alexander's procedure for the planar, cylindrical, and spherical geometries, calls for the more stringent test of confronting our predictions against experimental data. We consider two specific situations corresponding to two different geometries: crystallization of charged colloidal suspensions and osmotic pressure in *B*-DNA solutions.

Crystallization of charged spheres.—Investigation into the phase diagram of charged polystyrene colloids has been conducted experimentally by Monovoukas and Gast [8] and compared to the phase diagram of a system where particles interact through a Yukawa potential (deduced from extensive molecular dynamics simulations [16]). However, this comparison requires an ad hoc choice for  $Z_{\rm eff}$ . We use here the results we found for the effective charge as a function of ionic strength, which we insert into the numerical generic phase diagram of Yukawa systems [16]. We emphasize that there is no adjustable parameter in our equations since the radius of the polystyrene beads, the only parameter entering our description, was independently measured to be a = 667 Å. We only make the (reasonable) assumption that the bare charge Z of the colloids is large enough to have  $Z_{\rm eff} \simeq$  $Z_{\text{sat}}$ . The results for the melting line using our prescription for the effective charge are confronted to the experimental data in Fig. 3. We also plot the result for the melting line for an *ad hoc* constant effective charge,  $Z_{eff} = 880$ , as was proposed in Ref. [8] (while in our case the latter varies between 500 and 2000 on the melting curve). The observed agreement of our results illustrates both the pertinence of our prescription for  $Z_{sat}$  and the relevance of the PB saturation picture for macroions of large bare charge, for monovalent microions in water.

Osmotic coefficient of B-DNA.—A similar test of our method may be performed for the cylindrical geometry using the experimental results for rigid cylindrical polyelectrolytes such as B-DNA [17]. We specifically consider the measurements of the osmotic coefficient  $\phi =$  $\Pi_{\rm osm}/\Pi_c$ , defined as the ratio between the osmotic pressure  $\Pi_{osm}$  to the pressure  $\Pi_c$  of releasable counterions having bare density  $c_c$  ( $\Pi_c = k_B T c_c$ ). Within the WS model, B-DNA macroions are confined into cylindrical cells, whose radius  $R_{\rm WS}$  is related to the bare concentration of DNA counterions as  $c_c = (\ell_{\text{DNA}} \pi R_{\text{WS}}^2)^{-1}$ , with  $\ell_{\text{DNA}} = 1.7$  Å the distance between charges along DNA. The osmotic pressure is related to the densities of microions at the cell boundary:  $\Pi_{osm} = k_B T(\rho_+ + \rho_- - 2I_0)$ [18], which can be recast in the form  $\Pi_{osm} = k_B T(\kappa_*^2 - I_0)$  $\kappa^2)/4\pi\ell_B$  introducing the screening factor  $\kappa_\star$  defined previously. This latter quantity is computed from our prescription, following the same lines as for the spherical case [see Eq. (3)].

In Fig. 4, the corresponding results for the osmotic coefficient are confronted against the experimental data of Ref. [17], showing again a good quantitative agreement. As in Ref. [18], we report the prediction of classical Oosawa-Manning condensation theory, for which the osmotic coefficient is constant [ $\phi = \ell_{\text{DNA}}/(2\ell_B)$ ] at complete variance with the experiments. Again we emphasize that the only quantity introduced in our description is the



FIG. 3. Liquid-solid transition of charged polystyrene colloids: volume fraction for melting  $\eta_m$  as a function of salt ionic strength  $I_0$ . Dots are experimental points for the melting line extracted from Ref. [8]. The solid line is the theoretical prediction for the melting transition using our prescription for effective charges. The dashed line corresponds to  $Z_{\rm eff} = 880$ (see text).



FIG. 4. Osmotic coefficient of *B*-DNA vs density of DNA phosphate ions  $c_c$ , for  $I_0 = 10$ , 2, and 0 mM (from bottom to top). Dots: experiments of Ref. [17]; solid lines: present prescription; dashed line: prediction of Oosawa-Manning condensation theory.

diameter (a = 10 Å) of DNA, known from independent measurements.

In conclusion, we have put forward a simple method of asymptotic matching to compute the effective charge at saturation for isolated macroions. In the situation of finite density, this method has been translated into a prescription, the validity of which has been assessed. This approach (mostly suited to describe the colloidal limit  $\kappa a \gg 1$ ) amounts to considering the highly charged macroions as objects with constant electrostatic potential  $\sim 4kT/e$ , independently of shape and physicochemical parameters (size, added 1:1 electrolyte...). As a general result, we find that the effective charge is an *increasing* function of  $\kappa$ , which stems from the reduction of the attraction between the counterions and the macroion. Addition of salt consequently brings two antagonist effects on the effective Coulombic interaction between macroions: the range of the interaction decreases due to screening, while the amplitude increases due to the effective charge. The competition between these two effects might be a key point in the understanding of these systems.

An important question concerning our approach is that of the validity of PB theory for highly charged macroions. Within PB, microion correlations are neglected, but the approach may still allow one to describe high macro/ microion couplings: in particular, for monovalent microions in water at room temperature, microion correlations are negligible for all known macroions. This may no longer be the case in presence of multivalent microions. More generally, PB is a reasonable approximation when the macroion size a is much larger than  $\ell_B$  [19], and the saturation plateau of  $Z_{eff}$  as a function of the bare charge Z is an important physical phenomenon that our approach allows one to capture. When a becomes of the same order as  $\ell_B$ , the amount of counterion "condensation" found in molecular dynamics or Monte Carlo simulations is larger than predicted by PB [19,20]; our method for  $Z_{sat}$  therefore provides an upper bound for the effective charge. It is, moreover, noteworthy that for *B*-DNA where  $a/\ell_B \approx$  1.4, our approach still gives a valuable first approximation and that omission of charge renormalization leads to spurious results (such as negative osmotic coefficients corresponding to an unphysical phase transition at physiological salt concentrations).

- E. J. W. Verwey and J. T. G. Overbeek, *Theory of the Stability of Lyophobic Colloids* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1948).
- [2] R. Kjellander, in *Electrostatic Effects in Soft Matter and Biology*, edited by C. Holm *et al.* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001).
- [3] G.S. Manning, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 924 (1969).
- [4] L. Belloni, Colloids Surf. A 140, 227 (1998).
- [5] S. Alexander, P. M. Chaikin, P. Grant, G. J. Morales, and P. Pincus, J. Chem. Phys. 80, 5776 (1984).
- [6] J.-P. Hansen and H. Löwen, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 51, 209 (2000).
- [7] A. Diehl, M.C. Barbosa, and Y. Levin, Europhys. Lett. 53, 86 (2001).
- [8] Y. Monovoukas and A. P. Gast, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 128, 533 (1989).
- [9] M. J. Stevens, M. L. Falk, and M. O. Robbins, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 5209 (1996).
- [10] M. Deserno, C. Holm, and S. May, Macromolecules 33, 199 (2000).
- [11] D. B. Lukatsky and S. A. Safran, Phys. Rev. E 63, 011405 (2001).
- [12] If, on the other hand, Z is low, we have  $Z_{eff} = Z$ .
- [13] L. Bocquet, E. Trizac, and M. Aubouy, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 8138 (2002).
- [14] J. C. Crocker and D. G. Grier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1897 (1996).
- [15] S. H. Behrens and D. G. Grier, J. Chem. Phys. 115, 6716 (2001).
- [16] M. O. Robbins, K. Kremer, and G. S. Grest, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 3286 (1988).
- [17] H.E. Auer and Z. Alexandrowicz, Biopolymers 8, 1 (1969); E. Raspaud, M. da Conceicao, and F. Livolant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2533 (2000).
- [18] P. L. Hansen, R. Podgornik, and V. A. Parsegian, Phys. Rev. E 64, 021907 (2001).
- [19] R. D. Groot, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 9191 (1991).
- [20] M. Deserno and C. Holm, cond-mat/0203599.