Delocalized Quasiparticles in the Vortex State of an Overdoped High- T_c Superconductor Probed by 63 Cu NMR

G.-q. Zheng,¹ H. Ozaki,¹ Y. Kitaoka,¹ P. Kuhns,² A. P. Reyes,² and W. G. Moulton²

¹Department of Physical Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan

²National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida 32310

(Received 2 August 2001; published 4 February 2002)

We report the spin Knight shift (K_s) and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $(1/T_1)$ in the vortex state as a function of magnetic field (H) up to 28 T in the high- T_c superconductor TISr₂CaCu₂O_{6.8} $(T_c = 68 \text{ K})$. At low temperatures well below T_c , both K_s and $1/T_1$ measured around the middle point between the two nearest vortices (saddle point) increase substantially with increasing field, which indicate that the quasiparticle states with an ungapped spectrum are extended outside the vortex cores in a *d*-wave superconductor. The density of states (DOS) around the saddle point is found to be $\sim \kappa N_0 \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$, with $\kappa = 0.5-0.7$ and N_0 being the normal-state DOS.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.077003

The vortex state in unconventional superconductors, such as the high transition-temperature (T_c) superconducting (SC) cuprates which have a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave gap symmetry [1], is of great interest. Among various issues, the quasiparticle (QP) state associated with the vortices still remains far from being well understood. In an s-wave superconductor with isotropic gap, the low-lying QP states are localized within the vortex core whose radius is $\xi = v_F/\pi \Delta_0$, with energies $E = \mu \Delta_0^2/E_F$ $(\mu = 1/2, 3/2...)$ [2], where Δ_0 is the energy gap and v_F and E_F are the velocity and the energy level at the Fermi surface, respectively. This prediction was verified experimentally by the scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [3]. In contrast, the gap for d-wave superconductivity vanishes in the nodes which leads to a divergent ξ along the nodal directions. The corresponding QP state is, therefore, expected to have very different properties. Recent theories suggest that the QP states may be extended far outside the cores along the nodal directions [4-8]. However, this prediction has not yet been unambiguously confirmed by experiments. Heat capacity measurement at a low field (H) regime in the vortex state of $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ (YBCO) has revealed a \sqrt{H} dependence of the specific heat coefficient [9], which was taken as evidence for supporting the theories. As has been pointed out by several authors, however, heat capacity also contains contributions from the vortex cores which may have a \sqrt{H} -like variation in the low H regime as observed in many s-wave superconductors [10]. Our earlier NMR measurement on an underdoped cuprate [11] and thermal transport measurement [12] in the low H/H_{c2} regimes is consistent with the existence of a finite density of QP states outside the cores, but no quantitative estimation of the *H*-induced states has been available. The most serious question about the QP picture in a *d*-wave superconductor is raised recently by STM experiments that find no QP states outside the cores [13,14]. Clearly, more spatially resolved and bulk-sensitive studies, and also studies at higher fields, are needed to resolve this issue.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Jb, 74.60.Ec, 74.72.Fq, 76.60.-k

We report in this Letter measurements of the spin susceptibility and the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate $(1/T_1)$ outside the vortex cores as a function of H up to H = 28 T (or $H/H_{c2} = 0.65$) in a *d*-wave high- T_c cuprate. We find compelling evidence for the QPs in the vortex state being delocalized. Unlike specific heat measurement that picks up contributions from QPs both inside and outside the vortex cores, the NMR technique can measure separately the QP states inside and outside the vortex core. In the mixed state, those nuclei located in between the two nearest vortex cores (saddle point) have the largest distribution probability that gives rise to a singularity in the field distribution function, while those in the cores contribute to a "knee" that is far away from the singularity [15]. In practice, however, both the singularity and the knee are usually smeared out owing to, e.g., lattice disorders, resulting in a more symmetric NMR line shape. Nevertheless, some information about the position selectiveness is retained; the peak of the NMR line that results from smeared singularity corresponds to the nuclei located outside the vortex cores and in the SC state.

The sample chosen for this study is a slightly overdoped cuprate $TlSr_2CaCu_2O_{6.8}$ ($T_c = 68$ K). This compound has several advantages over the most extensively studied YBCO family with regards to our purpose. First, the Knight shift arising from the spin susceptibility when field is applied parallel to the c axis is large [16], which allows an accurate measurement of its change in the SC state in the presence of magnetic fields. This is to be compared to the YBCO family where the corresponding shift is negligibly small because of the accidental cancellation of the hyperfine field, so that measurement along this direction was not possible [11]. Second, the spin susceptibility in the normal state above T_c is almost temperature (T) independent, which helps to evaluate the density of states (DOS) in the normal state. Third, the upper critical field $H_{c2} \sim 43$ T is relatively small [16], so that the fieldinduced DOS at a given H, if any, should be larger. Finally, there is only one crystallographic site of Cu, which greatly simplifies NMR spectroscopy and enhances data accuracy.

The polycrystals were *c*-axis aligned under a field of 16 T and fixed with a polymer. For all measurements, the external field is applied along the *c* axis. Figure 1 shows a typical NMR line shape at T = 4.2 K (gray curve). The dotted curve is the corresponding theoretical field distribution for a 75° vortex lattice (VL) obtained as described below. The position-dependent field H(r) in the sample was calculated by using the London model [17],

$$H(r) = \bar{H} \sum_{l,m} \frac{\exp(-G_{lm}^2 \xi^2/2) \exp(-i\tilde{G}_{lm} \cdot \vec{r})}{1 + G_{lm}^2 \lambda^2}, \quad (1)$$

where \bar{H} is the averaged (externally applied) field and λ is the penetration depth. The summation runs over all reciprocal VLs $\vec{G}_{lm} = 2\pi \sqrt{\bar{H}\sin\beta/\phi_0} [m\hat{x} + \frac{1}{\sin\beta}(l - m\hat{x})]$ $(m \cdot \cos\beta)\hat{y}$, where β is the angle between two primitive VL vectors and \hat{x} and \hat{y} are the unit vectors of the reciprocal VL. We adopt $\beta = 75^{\circ} = 5\pi/12$, which is the value found in neutron scattering [18] and STM [13] experiments. The NMR spectrum is the spatial average of a Dirac delta function, $f(H') = \langle \delta [H' - H(r)] \rangle_r$. By use of the relation of $H_{c2} = \phi_0/2\pi\xi^2$, Eq. (1) is left with a *single parameter*, λ , that is to be determined by experiment. The solid thick curve in Fig. 1 is a calculation by convoluting Eq. (1) with a Lorentzian broadening function $p(H') = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + 4\pi^2 H'^2}$, with a fixed value of $\sigma/\pi = 170$ Oe that is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the NMR spectrum at T = 70 K (above T_c). In performing the fitting, both the experimental spectrum and the theoretical field distribution curve are normalized to unity. From the peak position, we determined the



FIG. 1. ⁶³Cu NMR line shape at H = 4 T in the vortex state (gray curve) where the horizontal axis is measured from f = 45.14 MHz. The dotted curve is the calculated field distribution for 75° vortex lattice. M, S, and m correspond to the positions of the vortex core, saddle point, and the middle point between the four nearest vortex cores, respectively. The thick solid curve is a convolution of the dotted one with a Lorentzian broadening function.

Knight shift, K_c , around the saddle point. We also obtained $\lambda = 950 \pm 100$ Å at T = 4.2 K, and hence the diamagnetic field $H_{dia} = H_{saddle} - \bar{H}$. A similar value of λ was obtained from the analysis of the ²⁰³Tl line shape which has a narrower width, with FWHM = 50 Oe at T = 70 K and 140 Oe at T = 4.2 K, respectively.

The value of λ is slightly smaller than that estimated for the YBCO family (1200–1400 Å) [19]. This is consistent with TlSr₂CaCu₂O_{6.8} being overdoped. Also, we have confirmed that the spatially dependent information is indeed retained, even though the singularity and the knee are smeared out. This was done by measuring $1/T_1$ in different positions. $1/T_1$ is larger by a factor of 2 in the higher end of the spectrum around the knee than that at the peak.

Now we turn to the main result, the H dependence of the Knight shift and $1/T_1$ measured at the spectrum peak. Figure 2 shows the typical data sets for the T and H dependencies of K_c . In the normal state K_c shows very weak T dependence and does not depend on H above T = 85 K. In particular, K_c is constant (= 1.42%) at $85 \le T \le$ 150 K. In contrast, at low temperatures below T_c , the shift strongly depends on H. This is clearly shown in Fig. 3 where data at T = 4.2 K are plotted. The solid circles show the data corrected by the diamagnetic shift $H_{\rm dia}/\bar{H}$ obtained as described earlier. It can be seen clearly that $K_c - K_{dia}$ increases with increasing field. This corrected shift is composed of the spin part (K_s) and the part due to orbital susceptibility K_{orb} , the latter being H independent. Note that K_s is related to the spin susceptibility and hence the DOS, N(E) as $K_s \propto \int N(E) \left(-\frac{\partial f(E)}{\partial E}\right) dE$, where f(E) is the Fermi distribution function. In particular, K_s at low T is proportional to the DOS at the Fermi level, N(0). Thus, our result indicates that the magnetic field induces a finite N(0), in the superconducting state.



FIG. 2. Temperature and magnetic field dependencies of the 63 Cu Knight shift. The arrow indicates the superconducting transition temperature at zero field, T_{c0} .



FIG. 3. Magnetic field dependence of the ⁶³Cu Knight shift at the saddle point and T = 4.2 K. The open and solid circles are the raw data and those after correction for the diamagnetic shift, respectively. The error bars arise from the systematic error in estimating λ . The solid curve is a fit of the data above 5 T to $K_c - K_{dia} = K_0 + K_H \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$. The broken curve is expected when impurity scattering dominates (see text).

This conclusion is corroborated by the *H*-induced increase of $1/T_1$ measured at the spectrum peak. Figure 4 shows the typical data sets of $1/T_1$ under various fields from H = 0-28 T. As seen in the figure, $1/T_1$ becomes proportional to *T* below $T \sim 10$ K, and its magnitude increases with increasing *H*. This is seen more clearly in Fig. 5 where $1/T_1T$ at T = 4.2 K is displayed. In terms of DOS, T_1 is expressed as $1/T_1 = \alpha(T) \int N(E)^2 f(E) [1 - f(E)] dE$, where $\alpha(T)$ is the enhancement factor due to antiferromagnetic correlation. For a *d*-wave superconductor [20], $\alpha(T)$ was shown to have a very weak *T* variation below T_c and $\alpha(0) \sim 0.9\alpha(T_c)$. Therefore, $1/T_1T \propto N(0)^2$ at low *T*; the T_1 result also indicates that *H* induces a finite DOS outside the vortex cores.

Next we evaluate more quantitatively the DOS around the saddle point, δN_H . First, one notices from Fig. 5 that at high fields of $H \ge 5$ T, $1/T_1$ is linear in H which suggests that the induced DOS is proportional to \sqrt{H} . In fact, in this field regime, a functional of

$$\delta N_H = \kappa N_0 \sqrt{H/H_{c2}} \tag{2}$$

can fit both the Knight shift and $1/T_1$ quite well, where N_0 is the DOS in the normal state. In Fig. 3, the solid curve is a fit to $K_c - K_{dia} = K_0 + K_H \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$, with resultant fitting parameters $K_0 = 1.00 \pm 0.03\%$ and $K_H = 0.32 \pm 0.05\%$; the solid line in Fig. 4 is $\frac{1}{T_1T} = 0.018 + 3.175 \frac{H}{H_{c2}}$ (sec⁻¹ K⁻¹). On the other hand, $1/T_1T$ remains finite at H = 0 and rises more slowly with increasing H at low fields below 5 T. We ascribe the zero-field residual $1/T_1T$ to that coming from the residual DOS, $\delta N_{\rm imp}$, due



FIG. 4. Temperature and magnetic field dependencies of $1/T_1$. Note that $1/T_1 \propto T$, as indicated by the broken line, below ~ 10 K at all fields.

to scattering by impurity or crystal imperfection, which is estimated as $\frac{\delta N_{\rm imp}}{N_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(T_1T)_{T_c}}{0.9(T_1T)_{4.2 \, \rm K}}} = 0.14$. Then the slower rise of $1/T_1T$ below 5 T can be naturally understood as vortex flux preferentially occupying the impurity sites where a finite DOS has already been produced [21]. In the impurity-dominated regime, a very recent calculation [22] shows that the *H*-induced DOS has a form of $\delta N_H \propto \frac{H}{H_{c2}} \ln(\frac{H_0}{H})$, where H_0 is a constant ($\sim \frac{E_f \Delta_0}{900} \, {\rm K}^{-1}$).



FIG. 5. *H* dependence of $1/T_1T$ at T = 4.2 K taken from Fig. 4. The solid line is a linear fit of the data of $H \ge 5$ T. The broken curve is $\frac{1}{T_1T} = 0.229 + 1.650(\frac{H}{H_{c2}} \ln \frac{270}{H})^2$ (sec⁻¹ K⁻¹).

Indeed, the data of $H \leq 5$ T can be fitted by such a functional as seen in Fig. 5, where the resulting dotted curve is $\frac{1}{T_1T} = 0.229 + 1.650(\frac{H}{H_{c2}}\ln\frac{270}{H})^2$ in units of sec⁻¹ K⁻¹. The same δN_H functional fitting to the shift of $H \leq 5$ T predicts, as shown by the dotted curve in Fig. 3, the shift to remain at 1.03% when H = 0. Since this value is a sum of the impurity-induced spin shift and $K_{\rm orb}$, namely, $1.03\% = \frac{\delta N_{\rm imp}}{N_0}(1.42\% - K_{\rm orb}) + K_{\rm orb}$, we obtain $K_{\rm orb} = 0.96\%$ and hence $K_s = 0.46\%$, with uncertainties of $\pm 0.03\%$ (absolute value) [23]. Then, $\kappa = K_H/K_s$ is estimated to be 0.70 $\pm 0.06\%$. On the other hand, from the T_1 result, κ is estimated to be 0.50 $\pm 0.03\%$. This difference of κ by 30% might arise from the choosing of $\alpha(0) \sim 0.9\alpha(T_c)$ that underestimates δN_H from the T_1 result. The analysis of the Knight shift data could also overestimate κ ; if a triangular model is assumed for the VLs, κ would be reduced by ~ 0.1 .

To summarize the above discussions, it is important to stress that both the *H*-enhanced Knight shift and $1/T_1$ observed around the saddle point can be consistently accounted for by a DOS of $\delta N_H = \kappa N_0 \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$, with a conservative estimate of $\kappa = 0.5-0.7$. We therefore conclude that the OP states are extended outside the vortex cores and that they have a continuous excitation spectrum without a gap. This feature is in sharp contrast with that in an s-wave superconductor. Volovik first pointed out that the spatially averaged DOS associated with a single vortex in a *d*-wave superconductor is $\delta N_H = \kappa N_0 \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$, where κ is on the order of unity [4]. Although no calculation of the DOS outside the vortex cores is available to allow a direct comparison to our results, the substantially smaller κ than unity found in our experiment could be due to the effects of vortex lattice, its disorder [22], or due to the induction of a second component of the order parameter [25].

In conclusion, from $1/T_1$ and the spin Knight shift measured around the saddle point in a slightly overdoped high- T_c cuprate, we find that the quasiparticle states are extended outside the vortex cores with ungapped spectrum in a *d*-wave superconductor, which is in contrast to the localized states within the vortex cores in *s*-wave superconductors. The density of such quasiparticle states near the saddle point follows $\kappa N_0 \sqrt{H/H_{c2}}$, where N_0 is the normalstate DOS. The prefactor κ is estimated to be in the range of 0.5–0.7. Although such states have not been found in the STM experiments, one may be able to reconcile the STM and NMR results by considering the momentumdependent tunneling matrix in the STM experiments which likely vanishes in the nodal directions [26].

We thank W.G. Clark, Y. Shimakawa, and Y. Kubo for collaborations in the early stage of this work. G.-q.Z.

thanks in particular A. V. Balatsky, Y. Matsuda, M. Ogata, and S. H. Pan for several useful conversations, a Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research No. 11640350 from MEXT of Japan, as well as the Visiting Scientist Program of National High Magnetic Field Laboratory which is supported by NSF (DMR-9527035) and by the State of Florida. Additional support from MEXT COE Research Grant No. 10CE2004 is acknowledged.

- [1] For a recent review, see C. C. Tsuei and J. Kirtley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 895 (2000).
- [2] C. Caroli, P. G. de Gennes, and J. Matricon, Phys. Lett. 75, 2754 (1964).
- [3] H. F. Hess et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 214 (1989).
- [4] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 58, 469 (1993).
- [5] Y. Wang and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 52, R3876 (1995).
- [6] H. Won and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5927 (1996); S. H. Simon and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1548 (1997).
- [7] M. Franz and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4763 (1998).
- [8] M. Ichioka, A. Hasegawa, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 59, 184 (1999).
- [9] K. A. Moler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2744 (1994);
 B. Revaz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3364 (1998).
- [10] J.E. Sonier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4914 (1999);
 M. Hedo *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 272 (1998);
 D. Sanchez *et al.*, Physica (Amsterdam) **204B**, 167 (1995);
 A.P. Ramirez *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **211**, 59 (1996).
- [11] G.-q. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, R9947 (1999).
- [12] M. Chiao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2943 (1999); H. Aubin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 624 (1999).
- [13] I. Maggio-Aprile et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2754 (1995).
- [14] S. H. Pan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1536 (2000).
- [15] D.E. Maclaughlin, *Solid State Physics* (Academic Press, New York, 1976), Vol. 31, p. 1.
- [16] G.-q. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 405 (2000).
- [17] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. B 37, 2349 (1988).
- [18] B. Keimer et al., J. Appl. Phys. 76, 6778 (1994).
- [19] D.N. Basov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 598 (1995).
- [20] N. Bulut and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2898 (1991).
- [21] Y. Onishi *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 675 (1996);
 H. Tsuchiura *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **68**, 2510 (1999).
- [22] I. Vekhter, P. J. Hirschfeld, and E. Nicol, Phys. Rev. B 64, 064513 (2001).
- [23] The K_{orb} is smaller than that in YBCO of 1.3%. This is consistent with the fact that the hole number in the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbit of this compound is smaller [24].
- [24] G.-q. Zheng et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 2524 (1995).
- [25] W. Mao and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. B 59, 6024 (1999).
- [26] C. Wu, T. Xiang, and Z.-B. Su, Phys. Rev. B 62, 14427 (2000).