Origin of Gauge Bosons from Strong Quantum Correlations

Xiao-Gang Wen*
Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
(Received 15 June 2001; published 19 December 2001)

The existence of light [a *massless* U(1) gauge boson] is one of the unresolved mysteries in nature. We propose that light is originated from certain quantum orders in our vacuum. We construct quantum spin models on lattice to demonstrate that some quantum orders can give rise to light without breaking any symmetries and without any fine-tuning. Through our models, we show that the existence of light can simply be a phenomenon of quantum coherence in a system with many degrees of freedom. Massless gauge fluctuations appear commonly and naturally in strongly correlated *quantum* systems which originally contain no gauge fields.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.011602 PACS numbers: 11.15.–q, 11.15.Ha

In an attempt to explain the meaning of "empty space" to a young child, I said "space is something not made of atoms." He replied "Then you were wrong to tell me last time that only light is not made of atoms." Indeed, light and gravity are two singular forms of "matter" which are very different from other forms of matter such as atoms, electrons, etc. (Here I assume space = gravity.) The existences of light and gravity — two massless gauge bosons — are two big mysteries in nature.

Massless particles are very rare in nature. In fact the photon and the graviton are the only two massless particles known to exist. In condensed matter systems, one encounters more kinds of gapless excitations. However, with a few exceptions, all the gapless excitations exist because the ground state of the system has a special property called spontaneous breaking of a continuous symmetry [1,2]. For example, gapless phonons exist in a solid because a solid breaks the continuous translation symmetries. There are precisely three kinds of gapless phonons since the solid breaks three translation symmetries in x, y, and z directions. Thus we can say that the origin of gapless phonons is the translation symmetry breaking in solids.

With the above understanding of the origin of gapless phonon in solids, we ask, "What is the origin of light?" Here we adopt a point of view that all particles, such as photons, electrons, etc. are excitations above a ground state — the vacuum. The properties of those particles reflect the properties of the vacuum. With this point of view, the question on the origin of light becomes a question on the properties of vacuum that allow and protect the existence of light.

If light behaved like phonons in solids, then we could conclude that our vacuum breaks a continuous symmetry and light would be originated from symmetry breaking. However, in reality, light does not behave like the phonons. In fact, there are no phononlike particles (or more precisely, massless Nambu-Goldstone bosons) in nature. From the lack of massless Nambu-Goldstone bosons, we can conclude that there is no continuous symmetry breaking in our vacuum. If the vacuum does not break any continuous symmetry, then what makes light exist?

In a recent work [3,4], a concept — quantum order — was introduced to describe a new kind of order that generally appears in quantum states at zero temperature. Quantum orders that characterize universality classes of quantum states (described by *complex* ground state wave functions) are much richer than classical orders that characterize universality classes of finite temperature classical states (described by *positive* probability distribution functions). In contrast to classical orders, quantum orders cannot be described by broken symmetries and the associated order parameters. A new mathematical object — projective symmetry group (PSG) — was introduced to characterize quantum orders. In a sense, we can view a quantum order as a dancing pattern in which particles waltz around each other in a ground state. The PSG is a mathematical description of the dancing pattern. In contrast, the classical order in a crystal describes just a static positional pattern, which can be characterized by symmetries.

In Ref. [3], various quantum orders are studied. It was found that different quantum orders (characterized by different PSG's) can have distinct low energy properties. In particular, certain quantum orders allow and protect gapless excitations even without breaking any continuous symmetry. This leads us to propose that it is the quantum order in our vacuum that allows and protects the existence of light. In other words, light originates from quantum order.

To support our idea, in the following, we are going to study a concrete SU(N_f) spin model [5,6] in 3D and show that its ground state contains a gapless collective fluctuation given by Eq. (12) which behaves in every way like a U(1) gauge fluctuation. More importantly, we identify the quantum order (or the PSG) in the ground state and argue that the gapless property of the U(1) gauge fluctuations is a robust property protected by the quantum orders. A small change of the Hamiltonian cannot destroy the gapless U(1) gauge fluctuations. We mention that a connection between QCD and a lattice spin model was pointed out in Ref. [7], using the concept of the quantum critical point. In our example, we see that the massless property of light is not due to criticality. It is a generic property of a quantum phase.

 © 2001 The American Physical Society

We start with a SU(N_f)-spin model [5,6] on a 3D cubic lattice. The states on each site form a representation of rank $N_f/2$ antisymmetric tensor of SU(N_f). We note that those states can be viewed as states of $N_f/2$ fermions with fermions ψ_{ai}, $a = 1, \ldots, N_f$ in the fundamental representation of SU(N_f). Thus we can write down the Hamiltonian of our model in terms of the fermion operators:

$$H = J_P \sum_{\langle i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \rangle} (S^{ab}_{i_1} S^{bc}_{i_2} S^{cd}_{i_3} S^{da}_{i_4} + \text{H.c.}), \tag{1}$$

where the sum is over all plaquettes $\langle \boldsymbol{i}_1 \boldsymbol{i}_2 \boldsymbol{i}_3 \boldsymbol{i}_4 \rangle$,

$$S^{ab}_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \psi^\dagger_{ai}\psi_{bi} - N_f^{-1}\delta_{ab}\psi^\dagger_{ci}\psi_{ci}. \tag{2}$$

The Hamiltonian has three translation symmetries and six parity symmetries P_x: $x \to -x$, P_y: $y \to -y$, P_z: $z \to -z$, P_{xy}: $x \leftrightarrow y$, P_{yz}: $y \leftrightarrow z$, P_{zx}: $z \leftrightarrow x$. The Hamiltonian also has a charge conjugation symmetry C: $\psi_{ai} \to \psi^\dagger_{ai}$.

To find the ground state of the above systems, we use projective construction (which is a generalization of the slave-boson approach [5,8,9]) to construct the ground state. We start with a mean-field parton Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{mean}} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\psi^\dagger_{a,i}\chi_{ij}\psi_{a,j} + \text{H.c.}), \tag{3}$$

where $\chi^\dagger_{ij} = \chi_{ji}$. The mean-field Hamiltonian allows us to construct a trial wave function for the ground state of the SU(N_f)-spin system Eq. (1):

$$|\Psi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{trial}}\rangle = \mathcal{P}|\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle, \tag{4}$$

where $|\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle$ is the ground state of the mean-field Hamiltonian H_{mean} and $\mathcal{P}$ is the projection to states with $N_f/2$ fermion per site. Clearly the mean-field ground state is a functional of χ_{ij}. The proper values of χ_{ij} are obtained by minimizing the trial energy $E = \langle\Psi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{trial}}|H|\Psi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{trial}}\rangle$.

The relation between the physical operator S^{ab} and the parton operator ψ_a essentially defines the projective construction [10]. For example, the fact that the operator $S^{ab}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is invariant under local U(1) transformations

$$\psi_{ai} \to e^{i\theta_i}\psi_{ai}, \qquad S^{ab}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \to S^{ab}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \tag{5}$$

determines the high energy U(1) gauge structure in the parton mean-field theory:

$$\psi_{ai} \to e^{i\theta_i}\psi_{ai}, \qquad \chi_{ij} \to e^{i\theta_i}\chi_{ij}e^{-i\theta_i}. \tag{6}$$

The U(1) gauge structure has a very real meaning: two gauge equivalent *Ansätze* give rise to the same physical state after projection

$$|\Psi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{trial}}\rangle = |\Psi^{(e^{i\theta_i}\chi_{ij}e^{-i\theta_j})}_{\text{trial}}\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Usually it is hard to calculate the trial energy $E = \langle\Psi_{\text{trial}}|H|\Psi_{\text{trial}}\rangle$. In the following, we calculate χ_{ij} by minimizing the mean-field energy $E_{\text{mean}} = \langle\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}|H|\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle$ which approaches to the exact ground state energy in the large N_f limit [5,6]. We assume $|\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle$ to respect the SU(N_f) symmetry, which leads to $\langle\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}|\psi_{ai}\psi^\dagger_{bj}|\Phi^{(\chi_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle = \delta_{ab}\tilde{\chi}_{ij}$. We find

$$\frac{E_{\text{mean}}}{J_P N_f^4} = \sum_{\langle i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 \rangle} (\tilde{\chi}_{i_1 i_2}\tilde{\chi}_{i_2 i_3}\tilde{\chi}_{i_3 i_4}\tilde{\chi}_{i_4 i_1} + \text{H.c.}) + O(N_f^{-1}).$$

Since a π flux in a plaquette makes $\tilde{\chi}_{i_1 i_2}\tilde{\chi}_{i_2 i_3}\tilde{\chi}_{i_3 i_4}\tilde{\chi}_{i_4 i_1}$ to be a negative number, we expect the *Ansatz* that minimizes E_{mean} to have π flux on every plaquette. Such an *Ansatz* can be constructed and takes the form [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_{i,i+\hat{x}} &= -i\chi, \qquad \bar{\chi}_{i,i+\hat{y}} = -i(-)^{i_x}\chi, \\ \bar{\chi}_{i,i+\hat{z}} &= -i(-)^{i_x+i_y}\chi. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Such an *Ansatz,* after projection, gives rise to a correlated ground state for our SU(N_f)-spin system.

In the momentum space, the mean-field Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{\text{mean}} = -{\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}}}' \Psi^\dagger_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}\Gamma(\boldsymbol{k})\Psi_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^T_{a,\boldsymbol{k}} &= (\psi_{a,\boldsymbol{k}}, \psi_{a,\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}_x}, \psi_{a,\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}_y}, \psi_{a,\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{Q}_x+\boldsymbol{Q}_y}), \\ \boldsymbol{Q}_x &= (\pi,0,0), \qquad \boldsymbol{Q}_y = (0,\pi,0), \\ \Gamma(\boldsymbol{k}) &= 2\chi[\sin(k_x)\Gamma_1 + \sin(k_y)\Gamma_2 + \sin(k_z)\Gamma_3] \end{aligned}$$

and $\Gamma_1 = \tau^3 \otimes \tau^0$, $\Gamma_2 = \tau^1 \otimes \tau^3$, and $\Gamma_3 = \tau^1 \otimes \tau^1$. The momentum summation is over a range $k_x \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $k_y \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, and $k_z \in (-\pi, \pi)$. Since $\{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, we find partons have a dispersion

$$E(\boldsymbol{k}) = \pm 2\chi\sqrt{\sin^2(k_x) + \sin^2(k_y) + \sin^2(k_z)}. \tag{10}$$

The mean-field ground state $|\Phi_{\text{mean}}\rangle$ is obtained by filling the negative energy branch. We see that the dispersion has two nodes at $\boldsymbol{k} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{k} = (0, 0, \pi)$. Thus there are $2N_f$ massless four-component Dirac fermions in the continuum limit. The low energy theory has Lorentz symmetry. Including the collective phase fluctuations of the *Ansatz,* the low energy effective theory has the form

$$L = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}} \psi^\dagger_{a,i} i(\partial_t + ia_0)\psi_{a,j} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{ij}} \psi^\dagger_{a,i}\bar{\chi}_{ij}e^{ia_{ij}}\psi_{a,j}.$$

In the continuum limit, it becomes $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}_{\alpha a}D_\mu\gamma^\mu\psi_{\alpha a}$ with $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ia_\mu$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, and γ_μ are 4×4 Dirac matrices [11]. Integrating out the high energy fermions generates dynamics for the a_μ field [see Eq. (17)]. We see that our correlated ground state, $\mathcal{P}|\Phi^{(\bar{\chi}_{ij})}_{\text{mean}}\rangle$, supports massless U(1) gauge fluctuations and $2N_f$ massless Dirac fermions.

In the following we argue that the appearance of the massless U(1) gauge fluctuations and the massless Dirac fermions is not a special property of the particular state constructed above. It is a universal property of a quantum phase (characterized by a particular quantum order). We first find the PSG of the constructed state. Then we argue that the PSG is a universal property of a quantum phase by showing that radiative corrections cannot change the PSG. Last we show that any state described by the same PSG (i.e., any state in the same quantum phase) has the same massless U(1) gauge fluctuations and the same massless Dirac fermions. We remark that the stability of the massless U(1) gauge fluctuations in 3 + 1D is not new. However, the stability of massless Dirac fermions is new and *the PSG approach puts the stability of the massless* U(1) *gauge fluctuations and the stability of massless Dirac fermions on the same footing*.

The PSG [3,4] that characterizes the quantum order in the above correlated state is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_x(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_y+i_z} e^{i\theta_x} & G_y(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_z} e^{i\theta_y}, \\ G_z(\boldsymbol{i}) &= e^{i\theta_z} & G_{px}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_x} e^{i\theta_{px}}, \\ G_{py}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_y} e^{i\theta_{py}} & G_{pz}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_z} e^{i\theta_{pz}}, \\ G_{pxy}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_x i_y} e^{i\theta_{pxy}} & G_{pyz}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{i_y i_z} e^{i\theta_{pyz}}, \\ G_C(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{\boldsymbol{i}} e^{i\theta_t} & G_{pzx}(\boldsymbol{i}) &= (-)^{(i_x+i_y)(i_y+i_z)} e^{i\theta_{pzx}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The invariant gauge group (IGG) of the *Ansatz* is $\mathcal{G} = \{e^{i\theta}\} = U(1)$, which is a (normal) subgroup of the PSG. Here $G_{x,y,z}$ are the gauge transformations associated with the three translations, $G_{px,py,pz}$ are associated with the three parities P_x, P_y, P_z, and $G_{pxy,pyz,pzx}$ are associated with the other three parities P_{xy}, P_{yz}, P_{zx}, and G_C is associated with charge conjugation transformation C: $\chi_{ij} \to -\chi_{ij}$. The *Ansatz* is invariant, say, under the party transformation P_x followed by the gauge transformation G_{px}.

To show that the PSG is a universal property of a quantum phase [3], we start with the mean-field state characterized by $\chi_{ij} = N_f^{-1}\langle\psi_{ai}\psi_{aj}^\dagger\rangle$. If we include perturbative fluctuations around the mean-field state, we expect χ_{ij} to receive radiative corrections $\delta\chi_{ij}$. However, the perturbative fluctuations can change χ_{ij} only in such a way that χ_{ij} and $\chi_{ij} + \delta\chi_{ij}$ have the same projective symmetry group. This is because if χ_{ij} and the Hamiltonian have a symmetry, then $\delta\chi_{ij}$ generated by perturbative fluctuations will have the same symmetry. The transformation generated by an element in PSG just behaves like a symmetry transformation in the perturbative calculation. The mean-field ground state and the mean-field Hamiltonian are invariant under the transformations in the PSG. Therefore, $\delta\chi_{ij}$ generated by perturbative fluctuations will also be invariant under the transformations in the PSG. Thus the perturbative fluctuations cannot change the PSG of an *Ansatz.* Also if we perturb the SU(N_f)-spin Hamiltonian Eq. (1) without breaking any symmetries, the induced $\delta\chi_{ij}$ is still invariant under the transformations in the PSG. Thus the PSG is robust against small perturbations of the Hamiltonian and it is a universal property of a quantum phase. The PSG can change only when the fluctuations have an infrared divergence which will drive a phase transition. From Eq. (18), we see that the coupling between the U(1) gauge field and the massless Dirac fermions is irrelevant at low energies. Thus there is no infrared divergence in our model and the interaction between fermions and gauge field cannot make the gauge field and fermions massive (see below).

To understand how quantum orders and PSG's protect the gapless excitations without breaking any symmetries, we first find out the possible fluctuations at low energies. The first kind of low energy excitations is described by the particle-hole excitations of the fermions across the Fermi points. The SU(N_f)-spin wave functions for such a kind of excitation are given by $|\Psi_{\text{exc}}^{(\bar\chi_{ij})}\rangle = \mathcal{P}\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}_1}^\dagger\psi_{\boldsymbol{k}_2}|\Phi_{\text{mean}}^{(\bar\chi_{ij})}\rangle$. The second kind of low energy excitations is the collective excitations described by the phase fluctuations of the *Ansatz:* $\chi_{ij} = \bar\chi_{ij}e^{ia_{ij}}$. The SU($N_f$)-spin wave functions for such collective excitations are given by

$$|\Psi_{\text{exc}}^{(\bar\chi_{ij}e^{ia_{ij}})}\rangle = \mathcal{P}|\Phi_{\text{mean}}^{(\bar\chi_{ij}e^{ia_{ij}})}\rangle. \tag{12}$$

To see that the massless fermion excitations are protected by the quantum order, we need to consider the most generic *Ansätze* χ_{ij} that have the same PSG [Eq. (11)] and check if the fermions are still massless for those generic *Ansätze*. The most general translation symmetric *Ansatz* has the form

$$\chi_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{m}} = \chi_{\boldsymbol{m}}(-)^{i_y m_z}(-)^{i_x(m_y+m_z)}. \tag{13}$$

To have the parity symmetry $\boldsymbol{i} \to -\boldsymbol{i}$, the *Ansatz* should be invariant under transformation $\boldsymbol{i} \to -\boldsymbol{i}$ followed by a gauge transformation $(-)^{\boldsymbol{i}}$. This requires that $\chi_{\boldsymbol{m}} = (-)^{\boldsymbol{m}}\chi_{-\boldsymbol{m}} = (-)^{\boldsymbol{m}}\chi_{\boldsymbol{m}}^\dagger$. To have charge conjugation symmetry χ_{ij} must change sign under gauge transformation $W_{\boldsymbol{i}} = (-)^{\boldsymbol{i}}$. This requires that $\chi_{\boldsymbol{m}} = 0$, if $\boldsymbol{m} = even$. Thus the most general *Ansatz* has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{m}} &= \chi_{\boldsymbol{m}}(-)^{i_y m_x}(-)^{i_x(m_x+m_y)}, \\ \chi_{\boldsymbol{m}} &= 0, \qquad \text{if } \boldsymbol{m} = \text{even}, \\ \chi_{\boldsymbol{m}} &= -\chi_{\boldsymbol{m}}^\dagger = -\chi_{-\boldsymbol{m}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In the momentum space, χ vanishes at $\boldsymbol{k} = 0$ and $(0, 0, \pi)$. Thus the PSG protect the massless Dirac fermions.

To see that the massless collective fluctuations described by a_{ij} are protected by the quantum order, we need to show the collective fluctuations are massless for the most general *Ansatz* that have the same PSG [Eq. (11)]. For any *Ansatz* that is invariant under the PSG, it is also invariant under the IGG $\mathcal{G} = \{e^{i\theta}\} = \text{U}(1)$ which is a subgroup of the PSG. In this case a_{ij} and $\tilde a_{ij} = a_{ij} + \theta_i - \theta_j$ label the same quantum state (and are said to be gauge equivalent). [See Eq. (7).] We see that a_{ij} describes a U(1) gauge fluctuation. Since the energy of the fluctuation $E(a_{ij})$ satisfies $E(a_{ij}) = E(\tilde a_{ij})$, the mass term $(a_{ij})^2$ is not allowed and there is no Anderson-Higgs mechanism to give the U(1) gauge field a mass. Thus the U(1) gauge fluctuations are gapless for any *Ansatz* that has the PSG in Eq. (11).

In the standard analysis of the stability of the massless excitations, one needs to include all the counterterms that have the right symmetries into the Lagrangian, since those terms can be generated by perturbative fluctuations. Then we examine if those allowed counterterms can destroy the massless excitations or not. In our problem, we need to consider all the possible corrections to the mean-field *Ansatz*. However, the new feature here is that it is incorrect to use the symmetry group to determine the allowed corrections. We should use PSG to determine the allowed corrections in our analysis of the stability of the massless excitations.

Next we consider a model that contains both massless and massive fermions. The mean-field Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{mean}} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\psi_{a,i}^\dagger \chi_{ij} \psi_{a,j} + \text{H.c.}) - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\lambda_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \chi_{ij} \tau^3 \lambda_{\alpha,j} + \text{H.c.}) - \sum_i \lambda_{\alpha,i}^\dagger m \tau^1 \lambda_{\alpha,i}\,, \tag{15}$$

where $a = 1,\ldots,N_f$, $\alpha = 1,\ldots,N_f'$, λ_α is a doublet: $\lambda_\alpha^T = (\lambda_\alpha^{(1)}, \lambda_\alpha^{(2)})$, and χ_{ij} is given in Eq. (8). The model has a U(1) gauge structure defined by the gauge transformation $\psi_{a,i} \to e^{i\theta_i}\psi_{a,i}$, $\lambda_{a,i} \to e^{i\theta_i}\lambda_{a,i}$, and $\chi_{ij} \to e^{i(\theta_i - \theta_j)}\chi_{ij}$. Clearly, the model has a $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f')$ global symmetry. The gauge invariant physical operators are given by $\psi_{a,i}^\dagger \psi_{b,i}$, $\lambda_{a',i}^\dagger \lambda_{b',i}$, and $\psi_{a,i}^\dagger \lambda_{a',i}$.

In the momentum space, the above H_{mean} becomes $H_{\text{mean}} = -\sum_k' \Psi_{a,k}^\dagger \Gamma(k) \Psi_{a,k} + \Lambda_{a,k}^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}(k) \Lambda_{a,k}$, where $\Lambda_{a,k}^T = (\lambda_{a,k}, \lambda_{a,k+Q_x}, \lambda_{a,k+Q_y}, \lambda_{a,k+Q_x+Q_y})$, $Q_x = (\pi,0,0)$, $Q_y = (0,\pi,0)$, $\tilde{\Gamma}(k) = 2\chi[\sin(k_x)\tilde{\Gamma}_1 + \sin(k_y)\tilde{\Gamma}_2 + \sin(k_z)\tilde{\Gamma}_3] + m\tilde{\Gamma}_m$, and $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \tau^3 \otimes \tau^0 \otimes \tau^3$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \tau^1 \otimes \tau^3 \otimes \tau^3$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_3 = \tau^1 \otimes \tau^1 \otimes \tau^3$, and $\tilde{\Gamma}_m = \tau^0 \otimes \tau^0 \otimes \tau^1$. We see that there are $2N_f$ massless Dirac fermions and $4N_f'$ massive Dirac fermions in the continuum limit. Those fermions carry crystal momenta near $k = 0$ and $k = (0,0,\pi)$. The PSG that characterizes the above mean-field state is still given by Eq. (11), which acts on both ψ and λ. Since IGG = U(1), the fluctuations around the mean-field state contain a U(1) gauge field at low energies. After including the U(1) gauge field and in the continuum limit, the low energy effective theory takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{I=1}^{2N_f} \bar{\psi}_I D_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi_I + \sum_{J=1}^{4N_f'} \bar{\lambda}_J D_\mu \gamma^\mu \lambda_J + m\bar{\lambda}_J \lambda_J\,, \tag{16}$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ia_\mu$ and γ^μ are the γ matrices. After integrating out high energy fermions, we get

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{I=1}^{2N_f} \bar{\psi}_I D_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi_I + \frac{\alpha^{-1}}{8\pi}(\boldsymbol{E}^2 - \boldsymbol{B}^2)\,, \tag{17}$$

where the fine structure constant at energy scale E is

$$\alpha^{-1}(E) = \frac{2}{3\pi}[2N_f \ln(E_0/E) + 4N_f' \ln(E_0/m)]\,, \tag{18}$$

where E_0 is the lattice energy scale. We have assumed $m \ll E_0$.

In this Letter we propose that light (and other non-Abelian gauge bosons) is originated from the quantum order in our vacuum. To demonstrate this idea, we construct a lattice model with $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f')$ spins. We show that in the large N_f and N_f' limit, our lattice model has a ground state characterized by the quantum order Eq. (11). We find that the PSG (or the quantum order) protects the gapless U(1) gauge fluctuations and the massless nonchiral Dirac fermions (when $N_f > 0$). We note that the low energy fermion excitations in our model have the Lorentz invariance, which is also protected by the quantum order. It would be interesting to find a lattice model that gives rise to a U(1) × SU(2) × SU(3) gauge structure together with *chiral* leptons and quarks.

This research is supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-97-14198.

---

*Electronic address: URL: http://dao.mit.edu/~wen

[1] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 380 (1960).
[2] J. Golstone, Nuovo Cimento **19**, 154 (1961).
[3] X.-G. Wen, cond-mat/0107071.
[4] X.-G. Wen, cond-mat/0110397.
[5] I. Affleck and J. B. Marston, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 3774 (1988).
[6] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 4568 (1990).
[7] R. B. Laughlin, in *Proceedings of the Inauguration Conference of the Asia-Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul, Korea, 1996,* edited by Y. M. Cho, J. B. Hong, and C. N. Yang (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
[8] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and P. W. Anderson, Solid State Commun. **63**, 973 (1987).
[9] G. Baskaran and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 580 (1988).
[10] X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 8827 (1999).
[11] A. Zee, in *M. A. B. Bég Memorial Volume* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).