## **Integrable Model for Interacting Electrons in Metallic Grains**

Luigi Amico, Antonio Di Lorenzo, and Andreas Osterloh

*Dipartimento di Metodologie Fisiche e Chimiche (DMFCI), Università di Catania, viale Andrea Doria 6, I-95125 Catania, Italy*

*and Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia, Unità di Catania, Italy*

(Received 9 January 2001)

We find an integrable generalization of the BCS model with nonuniform Coulomb and pairing interaction. The Hamiltonian is integrable by construction since it is a functional of commuting operators; these operators, which therefore are constants of motion of the model, contain the anisotropic Gaudin Hamiltonians. The exact solution is obtained diagonalizing them by means of Bethe ansatz. Uniform pairing and Coulomb interaction are obtained as the "isotropic limit" of the Gaudin Hamiltonians. We discuss possible applications of this model to a single grain and to a system of few interacting grains.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5759 PACS numbers: 74.20.Mn, 02.30.Ik

*Introduction and summary of the results.*—Progress in nanotechnology has opened up theoretical investigations on the behavior of disordered interacting systems of small size [1]. Recently, the *I*-*V* characteristic measurements of Ralph, Black, and Tinkham [2] on small *Al* dots stimulated the theoretical debate on how to characterize the physical properties of small metallic grains, such as superconductivity and ferromagnetism [3,4]. Because of the chaoticity of the single particle wave functions [1,3], the Hamiltonian of these systems reads

$$
H_{\text{grain}} = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i\sigma} - g \sum_{i,j} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} + U \left(\sum_{j} n_{j\sigma}\right)^{2} - J \left(\sum_{j} c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} \vec{S}_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{j\sigma'}\right)^{2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta E^{2}/E_{T}). \quad (1)
$$

(Here and in the following, sums over spins  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma'$  are implied.) The quantum numbers  $i$ ,  $\sigma$  label a shell of doubly degenerate single particle energy levels with energy  $\epsilon_i$  and annihilation operator  $c_{i\sigma}$ ;  $n_{i\sigma} := c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$ ;  $S^a$ ,  $a =$ *x*, *y*, *z*, are 2  $\times$  2 spin matrices;  $\delta E$  is the average level spacing, and *ET* the Thouless energy. The *universal* part of the Hamiltonian (1) (namely the first four terms) describes the pairing attraction, the electrostatic interaction and the ferromagnetic instability, respectively.

The superconducting fluctuations [4] can be taken into account by employing the BCS model [5,6] [namely taking the first two terms in Eq. (1)]. Richardson and Sherman (RS) [7] constructed the exact solution of the BCS model by a procedure close in spirit to the coordinate Bethe ansatz (BA). The knowledge of the exact eigenstates and eigenvalues of the BCS model has been crucial to establish physically relevant observables [8]. The integrability of the model has been proved [9,10] to be deeply related to the integrability of the isotropic Gaudin magnet [11]: the BCS model can be expressed as a certain combination [see Eq. (9) below] of its integrals of motion, which contain Gaudin Hamiltonians. Relations with conformal field theory and disordered vertex models were investigated in Refs. [12,13].

Many properties of metallic grains in a normal state (negligible superconducting fluctuations) can be described by the orthodox model [1,14] [i.e., taking the first and the third terms of the Hamiltonian (1)]. This arises by assuming uniform Coulomb interaction. Magnetic phenomena like the mesoscopic Stoner instability [3] can be studied by means of the exchange contribution to the Hamiltonian [the fourth term in Eq.  $(1)$ ]. The terms proportional to  $\delta E^2/E_T$  correspond to nonuniform Coulomb interaction [15]. Although they lose importance with the increasing conductance of the system, these corrections gain physical relevance due to the typically low relaxation rate of the excitations in a small dot. In fact, the corrections to the orthodox model induce "fluctuations" which can explain how nonequilibrium excitations decay in the dot [16,17]. This results in the formation of clusters of resonance peaks in the tunneling spectroscopy experiments [2].

In this paper we present an integrable generalization of the BCS Hamiltonian with nonuniform pairing coupling *gij* and solve it exactly. Besides the nonuniform pairing, the Hamiltonian contains a nonuniform Coulomb interaction  $U_{ij}$ ;  $g_{ij}$  and  $U_{ij}$  are fixed according to Eqs. (3). We shall see that the inclusion of certain  $\mathcal{O}(\delta E^2 / E_T)$  terms leads to our integrable model. The integrable Hamiltonian we solve is

$$
H = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} n_{i\sigma} - \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} + \sum_{i,j} U_{ij} n_{i\sigma} n_{j\sigma'} - J \left( \sum_{j} c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} \vec{S}_{\sigma \sigma'} c_{j\sigma'} \right)^{2}, \quad (2)
$$

where the couplings are

$$
\begin{cases}\ng_{ij} = -qK(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)/\sinh q(u_i - u_j), \\
i \neq j \\
4U_{ij} = A + qK(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)\coth q(u_i - u_j), \\
g_{jj} = -\beta_j, \qquad 4U_{jj} = A + \beta_j,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

where  $2\beta_j = -qK \sum_{i \neq j} (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) \coth q(u_i - u_j) + C$ [18]. For generic choices of  $\beta_j$ , the single particle energies  $\varepsilon_j$  must be shifted by  $2\beta_j + 4\sum_{i \neq j} U_{i,j}$  in order

to have integrability. The parameters *A*, *K*, and *C* are arbitrary real constants, while *q* can be real or imaginary. The BCS Hamiltonian, including a tunable capacitive coupling can be obtained from (2) in the *isotropic limit*  $q \rightarrow 0$ . Nonuniform coupling constants are obtained for generic *q*, and *u<sub>j</sub>* being monotonic functions of  $\varepsilon_j$ . For real *q*, the arising *gij* can be made nearly uniform for levels within an energy cutoff *ED*, and exponentially suppressed otherwise; correspondingly,  $U_{ij}$  can be made nearly uniform [as specified in Eqs. (20) below].

The proof of the integrability of the Hamiltonian (2) proceeds along the two following steps. (i) First we note the factorization [19] of the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (2):  $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_N\rangle \otimes |\Phi_M\rangle$  with eigenvalue  $E =$  $E_N + E_M$ ; where  $|\Psi_N\rangle$  is the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian  $H_N$  projected on the subspace with  $N$  time-reversed pairs;  $|\Phi_M\rangle$  is the Fock state projected on the blocked *M* singly occupied levels. The solution of the corresponding Hamiltonian  $H_M$  is easily obtained [20] as  $H_M|\Phi_M\rangle =$  $\sum_i \varepsilon_i + \sum_{ij} U_{ij} - JS(S+1)$   $\left[ \Phi_M \right\rangle$ . (ii) Then Hamiltonian (2) is integrable if and only if  $H_N$  is integrable. The Hamiltonian  $H_N$  is obtained by inverting the procedure presented in Ref. [10]: First, we modify the constants of motion (of the BCS model) to commuting operators containing the anisotropic Gaudin models (the isotropic ones being considered in [10]); then we define the Hamiltonian in terms of these operators  $(H_N)$  is therefore integrable by construction). We discuss some choices of  $\{u_i\}$ , *K*, and *A* leading to physically relevant Hamiltonians. The exact solution of  $H_N$  is found by diagonalizing the integrals of motion through BA [11]. The exact eigenfunctions and eigenvalues  $\Psi_N$ ,  $E_N$  are

$$
\Psi_N = \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^\Omega \frac{qc_{jl}^\dagger c_{jl}^\dagger}{\sinh q(\omega_\alpha - u_j)} |0\rangle, \tag{4}
$$

$$
E_N = qK \sum_{j=1}^{11} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \varepsilon_j \coth q(\omega_\alpha - u_j) + AN^2; \quad (5)
$$

 $|0\rangle$  is the electronic vacuum state and  $\Omega$  is the number of levels. The quantities  $\omega_{\alpha}$  fulfill the equations

$$
\frac{2}{K} - \sum_{\substack{l=1 \ p \leq x}}^{0} q \coth q(\omega_{\alpha} - u_{l}) +
$$
  
 
$$
2 \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \ p \neq \alpha}}^{N} q \coth q(\omega_{\alpha} - \omega_{\beta}) = 0, \qquad \alpha = 1,...,N
$$
 (6)

Our results can be applied to describe a system of  $\mathcal N$ grains, since their Hamiltonian can be written (after a suitable relabeling of the levels) in the form (2). For distinct grains  $g_{jk}$  describe Cooper pair tunneling, and  $U_{jk}$  the intergrain Coulomb interaction. We require *gij* to decay both with intergrain distance and level separation. This can be fulfilled with  $u_i$  fixed by Eqs. (22) and (23).

The present paper is laid out as follows. First we discuss the integrability of the model  $H_N$ ; then its exact solution is presented. This will complete the study of the integrability of the Hamiltonian (2). Finally, we will explain how our model can be applied to describe single as well as many interacting grains.

*Integrability.*—The BCS Hamiltonian can be written in terms of the spin- $1/2$  realization of  $su(2)$ :

$$
H_{\rm BCS} = \sum_j 2\varepsilon_j S_j^z - g \sum_{j,k} S_j^+ S_k^-,
$$

where

$$
S_j^- := c_{j1}c_{j1}, \t S_j^+ := (S_j^-)^{\dagger} = c_{j1}^{\dagger}c_{j1}^{\dagger}, S_j^z := \frac{1}{2}(c_{j1}^{\dagger}c_{j1} + c_{j1}^{\dagger}c_{j1} - 1),
$$
 (7)

obeying  $[S_j^z, S_k^{\pm}] = \pm \delta_{jk} S_k^{\pm}, [S_j^+, S_k^-] = 2\delta_{jk} S_k^z$ . Its constants of motion are written in terms of isotropic Gaudin Hamiltonians  $\Xi_i$ ,

$$
\tilde{\tau}_j = S_j^z - g \tilde{\Xi}_j; \qquad \tilde{\Xi}_j = \sum_{k=1 \atop k \neq j}^{\Omega} \frac{\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \mathbf{S}_k}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k}.
$$
 (8)

The  $\tilde{\tau}_j$  mutually commute and we have  $[\tilde{\tau}_j, \tilde{\tau}_k] =$  $[H, \tilde{\tau}_i] = 0$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, ..., \Omega\}$ , because the BCS Hamiltonian can be written in terms of the  $\tilde{\tau}_i$  only:

$$
H_{\rm BCS} = \sum_{j} 2\varepsilon_j \tilde{\tau}_j + g \sum_{j,k} \tilde{\tau}_j \tilde{\tau}_k. \tag{9}
$$

Our approach is now to modify the integrals of motion (8) and then to construct an integrable BCS-like model (which turns out to be characterized by a nonuniform pairing) following formula (9):

$$
H_N := \sum_j 2\varepsilon_j \tau_j + A \sum_{j,k} \tau_j \tau_k + \text{const.} \qquad (10)
$$

The ansatz for the modified integrals  $\tau_j$  is

$$
\tau_j = S_j^z + \Xi_j; \qquad \Xi_j = \sum_{k=1 \atop k \neq j}^{\Omega} w_{jk}^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} S_k^{\alpha}, \qquad (11)
$$

where the operators  $\Xi_j$  are anisotropic Gaudin Hamiltonians (the isotropic case corresponding to  $w_{ij}^x = w_{ij}^y = w_{ij}^z$ ). These operators mutually commute if

$$
w_{ij}^{\alpha}w_{jk}^{\gamma} + w_{ji}^{\beta}w_{ik}^{\gamma} = w_{ik}^{\alpha}w_{jk}^{\beta}, \qquad (12)
$$

$$
w_{ij}^x = -w_{ji}^y, \qquad (13)
$$

where (12) emerges from imposing  $[\Xi_i, \Xi_j] = 0$  [11]. The other condition arises from  $[S_i^z, \overline{H}_j] + [\overline{H}_i, S_j^z] = 0$ . We furthermore postulate particle number conservation, we furthermore postume particle number conservation,<br>which in the spin picture means  $[\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^z, \Xi_j] = 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., \Omega\}$ , leading to another condition

$$
w_{ij}^x = w_{ij}^y \stackrel{\text{Eq.}(13)}{=} -w_{ji}^x =: w_{ij} = -w_{ji}. \tag{14}
$$

The last equation reduces the anisotropy to the XXZ-type and Eqs. (12) finally become

$$
w_{ij}\mathbf{v}_{jk} + w_{ji}\mathbf{v}_{ik} = w_{ik}w_{jk}, \qquad \mathbf{v}_{ij} := w_{ij}^z. \qquad (15)
$$

The solution of Eqs. (15) (see Ref. [11]) is

$$
v_{jk} = qK \coth q(u_j - u_k),
$$
  

$$
w_{jk} = \frac{qK}{\sinh q(u_j - u_k)},
$$
 (16)

where  $u_j$  are arbitrary complex parameters such that  $v_{jk}$ ,  $w_{jk}$  are real. The transition from hyperbolic to trigonometric functions in the solution (16) is gained through the choice  $q = i$ , with real *K*,  $u_i$ . The cubic and quartic terms in  $S_j^{\alpha}$  [obtained from formula (10)] vanish for the antisymmetry of  $v_{jk}$ . We finally obtain

$$
H_N = \sum_j 2\varepsilon_j S_j^z - \sum_{j,k} g_{jk} S_j^+ S_k^- + 4 \sum_{j,k} U_{jk} S_j^z S_k^z, (17)
$$

where the couplings are given in Eqs. (3). Up to a constant, the Hamiltonian (2) (projected on doubly occupied states) is recovered writing back the spin operators in terms of creation and annihilation operators.

*Exact solution.*—The exact solution of the anisotropic Gaudin model for  $w_{ij}$  and  $v_{ij}$  fixed by Eqs. (16) was obtained in Ref. [11]. The same procedure can be applied to diagonalize  $\tau_i$ . The eigenfunctions of  $\tau_j$  defined in Eq. (11) are written in the form

$$
|\Psi_j\rangle = \sum_{j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_M} c(j_1, \dots, j_M) S_{j_1}^+ \dots S_{j_M}^+ |0\rangle + \sum_{j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{M-1}}' e(j_1, \dots, j_{M-1}) S_{j_1}^+ \dots S_{j_{M-1}}^+ S_j^+ |0\rangle.
$$
\n(18)

The vacuum  $|0\rangle$  corresponds to  $| \downarrow, \ldots, \downarrow \rangle$ ; the prime on the sums means the indices run in the range  $\{1, \ldots, \Omega\} \setminus \{j\}.$ Imposing that  $|\Psi_i\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\tau_i$  we find a set of equations which  $c({j_i})$  and  $e({j_i})$  must fulfill. For a suitable change of variables these conditions are transformed in Eqs. (6). The quantities  $\tau_i$  have the following eigenvalues:

$$
\tau_j |\Psi_j\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (h_j - 1) |\Psi_j\rangle,
$$
  

$$
\frac{1}{K} h_j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\Omega} q \coth q(u_j - u_l)
$$
  

$$
- \sum_{\alpha=1}^N q \coth q(u_j - \omega_\alpha).
$$
 (19)

The parameters  $\omega_{\alpha}$  are determined by Eq. (6). The eigenvalues of  $H_N$  immediately follow from formula (10). Together with the eigenfunctions they are given in Eqs. (4) and (5).

*Single grain.*—We discuss how our results can be applied to describe the physics of a single grain. The isotropic limit  $q \rightarrow 0$  of Eqs. (3) gives the BCS Hamiltonian plus a tunable capacitive coupling  $A + g$ , with  $K = g/E_D$ ,  $\beta_i = -g$ ,  $u_j = -\varepsilon_j/[E_D\Theta(|\varepsilon_j - \Phi_j|^2)]$  $E_F$  –  $E_D$ ), where  $E_F$  is the Fermi level, and  $\Theta$  is the Heaviside function  $[\Theta(x) = 1$  if  $x < 0$ ,  $\Theta(x) = 0$  if  $x > 0$ ], setting sharp cutoffs at the Debye energy [21]; the diagonal elements  $U_{ij}$  and  $g_{ij}$  can be independently set to arbitrary values (since they would renormalize  $\varepsilon_j$ ). Choosing  $A = -g$  gives the "pure" BCS model. In this limit, the eigenstates and eigenvalues Eqs. (5) and (4) coincide with those of the BCS model and Eq. (6) reduces to the RS equations [7].

We now discuss the case corresponding to  $q = 1$ :

$$
K = g/E_D, \qquad \beta_i = -g,
$$
  

$$
A \gg (g/E_D) \max_{j,k} \{ \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k \}, \qquad u_j = -\varepsilon_j/E_D. \quad (20)
$$

We can identify three regimes depending on the value of  $E_D$ : (i)  $E_D < \delta E$ ,  $g_{ij}$  is nearly zero, while  $U_{ij} \simeq A - g$ ; (ii)  $E_D \sim \delta E$ , the pairing interaction decays on the scale  $E_D \sim \delta E$ , while  $U_{ij}$  is slowly modulated by the energy separation; (iii)  $E_D > \max_{i,j} (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)$  both  $g_{ij}$  and  $U_{ij}$ are nearly uniform.

*Application to many interacting grains.*—We now discuss applications of the model (2) to interacting dots. The Hamiltonian (2) can be reinterpreted as follows: the set  $I = \{1, ..., \Omega\}$  can be split into the (disjoint) sets  $I_a$ ,  $a = 1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}$  containing the levels of the *a*th grain:  $I = \bigcup_a I_a; \ \Omega = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \Omega_a$ , where  $\Omega_a = |I_a|$ . Thus the Hamiltonian  $H_N$  is equivalent to the following one:

$$
H_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i_a} \varepsilon_{i_a}^{(a)} c_{a,i_a\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{a,i_a\sigma} - \sum_{a,b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i_a,j_b} \varepsilon_{i_a j_b}^{(a,b)} c_{a,i_a \dagger}^{\dagger} c_{a,i_a \dagger}^{\dagger} c_{b,j_b \dagger} c_{b,j_b \dagger} + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i_a,j_b} U_{i_a j_b}^{(a,b)} n_{a,i_a\sigma} n_{b,j_b\sigma'},
$$
 (21)

where  $i_a = 1, \ldots, \Omega_a$  label the elements of  $I_a$  and  $c_{a,i_a\sigma}$ annihilates an electron with spin  $\sigma$  in the *i<sub>a</sub>*th level of the *a*th grain. For  $a \neq b$ ,  $g^{(a,b)}$  describe tunneling of Cooper pairs; in terms of (2),  $g_{i_a j_b}^{(a,b)} = g_{ij}$ , where *i* is the *i<sub>a</sub>*th element of  $I_a$ , and *j* the *j<sub>b</sub>*th element of  $I_b$ ;  $U^{(a,b)}$  describe a Coulomb-like coupling between grains *a* and *b*, and is written in terms of  $U_{ij}$  analogously to  $g^{(a,b)}$ . Couplings  $g^{(a,a)}$  and  $U^{(a,a)}$  describe pairing and Coulomb intragrain interactions, respectively. We fix the couplings as in (20) with the exception that

$$
u_j = \Phi_a - \varepsilon_j / E_D, \qquad \text{when } j \in I_a. \tag{22}
$$

Now we impose

$$
\Phi_{a+1} - \Phi_a \gg \max_{j,k \in I_a} \{ (\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k) / E_D \} \tag{23}
$$

to make the tunneling amplitude exponentially suppressed with the *spatial* distance between the grains. The pairing interaction is nearly uniform for levels within  $E_D$  in the same grain. The intragrain Coulomb interaction is also nearly uniform  $U_{jk} \approx A$ , while the intergrain Coulomb interaction is modulated by the corresponding energy separation.

*Conclusions.*—We found a class of integrable Hamiltonians, which are a generalization of the BCS Hamiltonian characterized by nonuniform coupling constants. To our knowledge, this is the first exact solution for nonuniform pairing interaction. The strategy we have adopted consists in generalizing the procedure of Ref. [10], namely constructing the Hamiltonian of the system in terms of anisotropic Gaudin Hamiltonians. By means of the integrability and the exact solvability of the latter we obtain the integrability and the exact solution of the model Eqs. (2) and (3). In this sense, our procedure is close in spirit to the quantum inverse scattering method [22]. The isotropic limit  $q \rightarrow 0$  of the Gaudin Hamiltonians corresponds to uniform couplings. For arbitrary *A*, the Hamiltonian is the sum of the BCS and the orthodox model. For  $A = g$ the BCS Hamiltonian is obtained; the same isotropic limit of the exact solution Eqs.  $(4)$ – $(6)$  coincides with the RS solution.

This class of models might be useful for applications to the physics of metallic grains. The nonuniformity [23] of the coupling constants (3) corresponds to include certain  $\mathcal{O}(\delta E^2 / E_T)$  terms [15] in the Hamiltonian (1). In fact, we recover the fluctuations of the Coulomb interaction of the Ref. [15] identifying  $\delta U_H = U_{ij} - U_{ij}$ . The integrable model presented here might be applied as a starting point for suitable perturbation schemes leading to the explanation of the tunneling phenomena.

The present model can be applied to systems of few interacting dots, since our capacitivelike intergrain interaction does not decay with spatial distance.

In a recent paper (Ref. [24]) a nonuniform coupling for bosonic systems was studied. The Hamiltonian was constructed from the bosonic analog of formulas (10) and (11), where the  $S^a$  are generators of  $su(1, 1)$  [instead of  $su(2)$ ]. This algebraic difference does not affect the equations which  $w_{ij}$ ,  $v_{ij}$  have to fulfill to ensure the commutativity of the (bosonic)  $\tau_i$ . The coupling constants of this bosonic model can be obtained in the isotropic limit of our Eqs. (3) with  $u_j \propto \varepsilon_j^d$  and  $A = 0$ . This shows that the bosonic Hamiltonian in Ref. [24] can be obtained by the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$  of anisotropic  $su(1, 1)$  Gaudin models. Work is in progress along this direction.

This line of research was suggested by G. Falci whose invaluable help is a pleasure to acknowledge. We thank R. Fazio for constant support, fruitful discussions, and critical reading of the manuscript. We acknowledge R. W. Richardson for critical discussions on our work. We also thank A. Mastellone for useful discussions.

[1] *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electron Transport in Mesoscopic Systems, ETMS'99* [J. Low Temp. Phys. **118** (2000)].

- [2] D. C. Ralph, C. T. Black, and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3241 (1995); C. T. Black, D. C. Ralph, and M. Tinkham, *ibid.* **76**, 688 (1996); D. C. Ralph, C. T. Black, and M. Tinkham, *ibid.* **78**, 4087 (1997).
- [3] I.L. Kurland, I.L. Aleiner, and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 14 886 (2000).
- [4] K. A. Matveev and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3749 (1997); A. Mastellone, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4542 (1998); S. D. Berger and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 5213 (1998); J. Dukelsky and G. Sierra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 172 (1999); J. von Delft and D. C. Ralph, Phys. Rep. (to be published).
- [5] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996).
- [6] For other applications of the BCS model see F. Iachello, Nucl. Phys. **A570**, 145c (1994); D. H. Rischke and R. D. Pisarski, nucl-th/0004016.
- [7] R. W. Richardson and N. Sherman, Nucl. Phys. **52**, 221 (1964); **52**, 253 (1964).
- [8] A. Di Lorenzo, R. Fazio, F. Hekking, G. Falci, A. Mastellone, and G. Giaquinta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 550 (2000); M. Schechter, Y. Imry, Y. Levinson, and J. von Delft, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 214518 (2001).
- [9] E. K. Sklyanin, J. Sov. Math. **47**, 2473 (1989).
- [10] M.C. Cambiaggio, A.M.F. Rivas, and M. Saraceno, Nucl. Phys. **A624**, 157 (1997).
- [11] M. Gaudin, J. Phys. **37**, 1087 (1976).
- [12] G. Sierra, Nucl. Phys. **B572**, 517 (2000).
- [13] L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio (to be published).
- [14] D. Averin and K. K. Likharev in *Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids,* edited by B. L. Altshuler, P. A. Lee, and R. A. Webb (Elsevier, New York, 1991).
- [15] O. Agam, cond-mat/9812315.
- [16] O. Agam, N.S. Wingreen, B.L. Altshuler, D.C. Ralph, and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1956 (1997); B. L. Altshuler, Y. Gefen, A. Kamenev, and L. S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2803 (1997); Ya. M. Blanter, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 12 807 (1996).
- [17] U. Sivan, F. P. Milliken, K. Milkove, S. Rishton, Y. Lee, J. M. Hong, V. Boegli, D. Kern, and M. deFranza, Europhys. Lett. **25**, 605 (1994).
- [18] R. W. Richardson (private communication).
- [19] This factorization holds since (as in the BCS case) the pairing interaction involves only doubly occupied levels, the magnetic term only singly occupied ones.
- [20] This is due to the fact that the magnetic term commutes with the remaining ones in the Hamiltonian Eq. (2) as a consequence of the general property that the charge realization of  $su(2)$  is orthogonal to the spin one.
- [21] The choice of  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\Omega}$  as cutoffs would imply dependency on the particular configuration of blocked levels.
- [22] V. E. Korepin, N. M. Bogoliubov, and A. G. Itzergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [23] Nonuniform  $J_{ij}$  can be studied within the present class of models. L. Amico, A. Di Lorenzo, and A. Osterloh (to be published).
- [24] J. Dukelsky and P. Schuck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4207 (2001).