Diffusion Kinetics in the Pd/Cu(001) Surface Alloy

M. L. Grant,¹ B. S. Swartzentruber,² N. C. Bartelt,³ and J. B. Hannon¹

¹Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213-3890

²Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185

³Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, California 94551

(Received 17 November 2000)

We use atom-tracking scanning tunneling microscopy to study the diffusion of Pd in the Pd/Cu(001) surface alloy as a function of temperature. By following the motion of individual Pd atoms incorporated in the surface, we show that Pd diffuses by a vacancy-exchange mechanism. We measure an activation energy for the diffusion of incorporated Pd atoms of 0.88 eV, which is in good agreement with our *ab initio* calculated energy of 0.94 eV.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.4588

Whereas the stability of many alloy structures is understood quantitatively, the kinetics of alloy formation and ordering are largely unknown. Characterizing these processes is difficult because the local chemical and structural environment is usually inhomogeneous. In addition, determining the motion of individual atoms is challenging experimentally. However, by choosing simple alloy geometries it is possible to characterize particular kinetic processes in detail. In this Letter, we investigate the kinetics of Pd/Cu alloys by following the motion of individual Pd atoms incorporated in the Cu(001) surface. By measuring the time between diffusion events and the distribution of jump lengths, and comparing the results to Monte Carlo simulations and first-principles total-energy calculations, we show that incorporated Pd atoms diffuse via lateral exchange with surface vacancies.

Pd atoms are immediately incorporated into the Cu(001) surface when deposited at room temperature by exchanging with a surface Cu atom. For coverages greater than 0.4 monolayers (ML), an ordered $c(2 \times 2)$ alloy structure is observed [1]. The Pd atoms are confined to the top layer of the substrate in a checkerboard pattern characterized by Cu-Pd nearest neighbors. First-principles electronic structure calculations show that the tendency to form this ordered surface alloy is particularly strong [2]. Annealing the alloy structure above 425 K results in Pd diffusion into the bulk [3]. The mechanism by which randomly incorporated Pd atoms diffuse to form the ordered $c(2 \times 2)$ alloy phase remains unknown. Two possible diffusion mechanisms have been considered in the literature [4-6]. One possibility is that an incorporated Pd atom exchanges with a Cu adatom. The Pd atom, now on the surface, diffuses rapidly and eventually incorporates at a new location. Schmid et al. found that this mechanism is operative for a Cu/Co monolayer on Ru(001) [5]. An alternative mechanism, originally proposed by Flores et al. in a study of Mn/Cu(001), is vacancy-mediated diffusion [4]. In this scenario, an incorporated Pd atom diffuses via lateral exchange with a vacancy at the surface. In contrast to adatom-mediated diffusion, the Pd atom remains incorporated as it diffuses. Recent measurements

PACS numbers: 68.35.Fx, 05.40.Fb, 07.79.Cz, 66.30.Jt

show that In atoms incorporated in Cu(001) diffuse via this mechanism [6].

We use atom-tracking scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [7] to follow the motion of incorporated Pd atoms with a time resolution of 1 ms. In atom tracking STM a lateral feedback mechanism is used to lock the tip above an incorporated Pd atom as it moves. By recording the position of the atom as a function of time, we determine the mechanism of diffusion. Specifically, by comparing the measured distributions of residence times and jump lengths to Monte Carlo simulations, we show that incorporated Pd atoms diffuse via vacancy exchange. From measurements of the average hop rate as a function of substrate temperature, we extract an activation energy for Pd diffusion of 0.88 \pm 0.03 eV. Formally, this activation energy is the sum of the vacancy formation energy and the vacancy-Pd exchange barrier.

To compute configuration energies, we use the VASP (Vienna *ab initio* simulation package) [8] totalenergy code, its ultrasoft pseudopotentials [9], and the Perdew-Wang 1991 version of the generalized gradient approximation [10]. The computational unit cells are $2\sqrt{2} \times 2\sqrt{2} \times 6$ layers and the total energy is determined from 8–10 k points. We find that the large number of k points is critical to determine a properly converged energy. To compute the transition barrier energies, we use Jónsson, Mills, and Jacobsen's nudged elastic band method [11] within the VASP total-energy code.

The experiments are performed in ultrahigh vacuum (base pressure 1×10^{-10} torr) using a variabletemperature STM. A clean Cu(001) surface is obtained by repeated cycles of sputtering for 15 min with 1 kV Ne⁺ ions, followed by annealing at 800 °C for 5 min. Low coverages of Pd (<0.01 ML) are deposited by heating a tungsten filament wrapped with Pd wire. The STM images and tracking data were recorded with a tungsten tip in constant current mode (~50 pA) with a tip-sample bias of -20 mV. Use of tunneling biases in the range -3 to +3 V and currents up to several nanoamps produced no significant effect on the measured kinetics or images.

Upon deposition at room temperature, Pd atoms immediately place exchange with Cu atoms in the surface layer, forming an ultrathin alloy. An image of the surface following deposition is shown in Fig. 1. The Pd atoms are imaged as much larger protrusions than the Cu atoms and are located on lattice sites. A lateral feedback mechanism that continuously moves the tip "uphill" is used to lock the tip above a Pd atom. The corrugation of the surface Cu atoms is too small for the lateral feedback to lock on. The diffusion path of the Pd atom is determined by recording the position of the tip as a function of time. Typically the tip position is recorded at a rate of 1 kHz. A twodimensional map of the sites visited by a Pd atom at 62 °C is shown in Fig. 2. In this particular data set, the diffusing Pd hopped 71 times in 431 s (some sites are visited more than once). Usually the tip will maintain position over the diffusing atom for several hundred hops before losing track and having to be reset.

By analyzing the Pd coordinates as a function of time we determine the residence time—the time between hops. The distribution of residence times for a Pd atom diffusing at 62 °C is shown in Fig. 3. In this data set, the atom hopped 853 times in a time interval of 5557 s. If the time between Pd hops is uncorrelated, the distribution of residence times should decay exponentially. The solid line in Fig. 3 is an exponential decay with decay constant equal to the average residence time. The agreement between the solid line and the data points indicates that Pd diffusion is an activated process, and there is no time correlation between individual Pd diffusion events.

The measurement of the temperature dependence of Pd diffusion shows that from 31 to 69 °C, the average residence time decreases from 145.3 to 5.0 s. Because Pd diffusion is an activated process, the hopping rates

measured for this temperature range follow an Arrhenius form with an activation energy of 0.88 \pm 0.03 eV (Fig. 4).

From the experimental data taken at 62 °C, we compile the distribution of jump lengths, as seen in Fig. 3 (dark bars). The distribution shows that many of the jumps are longer than the Cu(001) nearest-neighbor distance (a = 2.55 Å). There is a 75.2% chance that the Pd atom appears to take a single hop, 17.0% for a diagonal $(\sqrt{2}a)$ hop, and 4.2% probability of a longer jump (2a). Within the statistical error, the distribution of jump lengths is independent of temperature over the range 31 to 69 °C. From the analysis described below, we deduce that jumps greater than unit length are actually comprised of a "burst" of single hops in rapid succession. Because the motion of the Pd atom during the burst is too fast for the atom tracker to resolve, we cannot measure the details of the path during the effective long jump. Rather, we measure the time between the short bursts of jumps.

In the context of the two potential diffusion mechanisms, a burst has distinct meanings. For the adatom-exchange mechanism, the burst corresponds to the Pd adatom diffusion *on* the surface before reincorporation back *into* the surface. We would measure only the initial and final incorporated states. In this picture, the rate at which bursts occur is governed by the rate at which an incorporated Pd atom exchanges with the Cu adatoms. For vacancy-mediated diffusion, a burst corresponds to the repeated visitation of the Pd atom by a (rapidly) diffusing surface vacancy. The rate of Pd diffusion is determined by the visitation rate



FIG. 1. STM image $(95 \times 85 \text{ Å}^2)$ of the Cu(001) surface after deposition of 0.01 ML of Pd at room temperature. The large protrusions are incorporated Pd atoms.



FIG. 2. Site visitation map of a Pd atom at $62 \degree C$, with the Cu(001) unit mesh superimposed.



FIG. 3. (a) Residence time distribution (time between measured Pd hops) at 62 °C. The solid line is the expected exponential decay if the time between hops is uncorrelated. (b) Distribution of jump lengths at 62 °C. Dark bars represent experiment whereas the shaded bars are simulation.

of surface vacancies. These two rates are governed by very different energetics. First-principles calculations indicate the activation energy for Pd-Cu adatom exchange is 0.95 eV, while the activation energy for vacancy diffusion is only 0.466 eV. Based on these energetics we expect vacancy-mediated diffusion to predominate.

The distributions of jump lengths for the two mechanisms are also quite different. To see this, we consider the fraction of jumps of length $\sqrt{2}a$. Since Pd atoms incorporate randomly at room temperature, rather than at steps, the probability of incorporation must be comparable to that of surface diffusion. In this limit, a Pd atom that exchanges with a Cu adatom will not diffuse far before reexchanging. The jump length distribution can be computed trivially if we assume the Pd atoms immediately reexchange into the surface. The number of $\sqrt{2}a$ hops will be half that of single hops. For vacancy-mediated diffusion, the fraction of $\sqrt{2}a$ hops is much lower. The reason for this is that the vacancy must execute a minimum of four coordinated hops in order to move the Pd atom diagonally. In our experiments, we measure a ratio of $\sqrt{2}a$ to single hops of about 0.23, significantly lower than the estimate for the adatom-exchange mechanism (0.5).



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the average hop rate of an incorporated Pd atom. The data follow an Arrhenius form with an activation energy of 0.88 \pm 0.03 eV and measured prefactor of $10^{12.4\pm0.4}$ Hz.

These simple arguments favoring vacancy-mediated diffusion over the adatom-exchange mechanism can be made precise by simulating the two diffusion processes using Monte Carlo techniques. In the simulation for exchange diffusion there is one free parameter: the average number of hops completed on the surface before reincorporation. This parameter is governed by the ratio of the surface hop rate to the incorporation rate. The best agreement with experiment is achieved when the incorporation rate is comparable to the hop rate. However, even in this limit the computed jump length distribution does not describe experiment: there are far too few single hops, too many diagonal hops (greater than 30%), and a long tail of hops greater than 2a. Consequently, the measured distribution of jump lengths can never be attained for the adatomexchange diffusion model, due to the consistently high percentage of predicted diagonal hops.

On the other hand, Monte Carlo simulations for vacancy-mediated diffusion accurately describe the data. In the simulation a vacancy executes a random walk on a grid with periodic boundary conditions. We initially assume no interaction between the vacancy and Pd. Since there is infinite time resolution in the simulation, only single hops of the Pd are observed. We account for the finite sampling time of the atom tracker ($\Delta t = 1$ ms) by "binning" the simulation data. The free parameter in this model is the ratio of the vacancy hop rate to the sampling rate. Once binned, the simulation data exhibits the anticipated trend of a low probability of diagonal jumps.

However, a small but significant discrepancy between the simulation and measurement remains. Experimentally 75% of the hops are of unit length, whereas the vacancy simulation predicts 62%. This discrepancy arises from our initial assumption of negligible interaction between the Pd atom and vacancy. A repulsion between the vacancy and Pd reduces the number of long jumps. Intuitively, we expect a repulsion. Pd exchanges with a Cu atom in the first place due to its higher affinity for Cu neighbors. Therefore, removing one of those neighbors through the presence of a vacancy results in a higher energy configuration. Including a small nearest-neighbor (<50 meV) repulsion between the vacancy and Pd atom in the simulation leads to quantitative agreement between the measured and calculated jump length distributions. This is seen in the shaded bars of Fig. 3, where a 40 meV repulsion between the vacancy and Pd atom [12] results in a high percentage of single hops, with the appropriate number of diagonal hops. Because of the excellent agreement between the model and the data, we conclude that the diffusion of the Pd in the surface alloy proceeds via a vacancy-mediated mechanism.

Since the measured individual Pd hop rate is random, it should be proportional to both the vacancy concentration and vacancy hop rate. Therefore, the activation energy for Pd diffusion is equal to the sum of the vacancy formation energy and the energy barrier associated with lateral Pd-vacancy exchange. The computed energies using VASP are 0.474 and 0.466 eV, respectively. The sum (0.94 eV) is in good agreement with the measured activation energy of 0.88 eV. In the adatom-exchange model, the activation energy and the Pd-Cu exchange barrier. Within VASP the sum of these barriers is 1.55 eV and is clearly inconsistent with the measured activation energy. These results further support our conclusion that the diffusion of incorporated Pd atoms occurs via vacancy diffusion.

In summary, by measuring the motion of incorporated Pd atoms as a function of temperature, and comparing the results to Monte Carlo simulations and first-principles total-energy calculations, we conclude that Pd atoms diffuse via a vacancy-mediated mechanism. Comparison of the measured distribution of jump lengths agrees well with Monte Carlo simulations of vacancy exchange if a small repulsion between the Pd and vacancy is assumed. The measured activation energy for Pd diffusion (0.88 eV) is consistent with the first-principles calculations of the sum of the vacancy formation energy and vacancy diffusion barrier.

The experiments were performed at Sandia National Laboratories, a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC04-94AL85000.

- S.H. Lu, Z.Q. Wang, S.C. Wu, C.K.C. Lok, J. Quinn, Y.S. Li, D. Tian, F. Jona, and P.M. Marcus, Phys. Rev. B 37, 4296 (1988); P.W. Murray, I. Stensgaard, E. Laegsgaard, and F. Besenbacher, Phys. Rev. B 52, R14404 (1996); P.W. Murray, I. Stensgaard, E. Laegsgaard, and F. Besenbacher, Surf. Sci. 365, 591 (1996).
- [2] J. Kudrnovsky, S. K. Bose, and V. Drchal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 308 (1992).
- [3] A.R. Koymen, K.H. Lee, G. Yang, K.O. Jensen, and A.H. Weiss, Phys. Rev. B 48, 2020 (1993).
- [4] T. Flores, S. Junghans, and M. Wuttig, Surf. Sci. 371, 14 (1997).
- [5] A.K. Schmid, J.C. Hamilton, N.C. Bartelt, and R.Q. Hwang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2977 (1996).
- [6] R. van Gastel, E. Somfai, W. van Saarloos, and J. W. M. Frenken, Nature (London) 408, 665 (2000);
 R. van Gastel, E. Somfai, S. B. van Albada, W. van Saarloos, and J. W. M. Frenken, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1562 (2001).
- [7] B. S. Swartzentruber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 459 (1996).
- [8] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 49, 14 251 (1994); G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 54, 11 169 (1996).
- [9] D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7892 (1990); A. Pasquarello, K. Laasonen, R. Car, C. Lee, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1982 (1992); K. Laasonen, A. Pasquarello, R. Car, C. Lee, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 47, 10142 (1993); G. Kresse and J. Hafner, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 6, 8245 (1994).
- [10] J. P. Perdew, in *Electronic Structure of Solids '91*, edited by P. Ziesche and H. Eschrig (Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1991); J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang (unpublished).
- [11] H. Jónsson, G. Mills, and K. W. Jacobsen, in *Classical and Quantum Dynamics in Condensed Phase Simulations*, edited by B. J. Berne, G. Ciccotti, and D. F. Coker (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [12] The VASP total-energy calculations yield a vacancy-Pd repulsion of 45 meV.