

Comment on “Quantum-Mechanical Position Operator in Extended Systems”

In a recent publication [1] it is shown how to define a quantity for the position expectation value in systems which satisfy periodic boundary conditions (PBC). The motivation to define such a quantity is related to the recent solution of the long-standing problem of dielectric polarization in crystalline solids [2,3]. For defining the position expectation value $\langle x \rangle$ in crystals with PBC Ref. [1] uses the exponential operator

$$\tau\left(\frac{2\pi}{Ma}\right) = \exp\left(i\frac{2\pi}{Ma}x\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle &= -\frac{a}{2\pi} \sum_{s=1}^{M-1} \text{Im} \ln \int_0^a \psi_{k_s}(r) \exp\left(-i\frac{2\pi}{Ma}x\right) \psi_{k_s+1}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{a}{2\pi} \sum_k \int_0^a \psi_k^*(q) \sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{Ma}\left(i\frac{a}{\partial k} + q\right)\right] \psi_k(q) dq. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The first line of Eq. (2) is the result of Ref. [1], while the second line is written in the kq representation [5] and it gives $\langle x \rangle$ explicitly as an expectation value of the operator $\sin[(2\pi/Ma)x]$ in the state of the Wannier function of the band [it should be pointed out that in the kq representation $\psi_k(q)$ is the Wannier function of the band and $x = i(\partial/\partial k) + q$]. The sine operator in Eq. (2) is $(1/2i)\{\tau(2\pi/Ma) - \tau[-(2\pi/Ma)]\}$ [see Eq. (1)] and leads therefore to shifts of the discrete quasimomentum by $2\pi/Ma$ and $-2\pi/Ma$, respectively. The result in Eq. (2) is very interesting because on one hand (first line) it coincides with the discretization [2,3] of the original geometric phase γ in the band structure of solids [5] (infinite crystal)

$$\gamma = \frac{2\pi}{a} \langle x \rangle = \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi/a} dq dk \psi_k^*(q) \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial k} + q\right) \psi_k(q) \quad (3)$$

[in normalization of $\psi_k(q)$ as in Eq. (2)] while, on the other hand (line 2), $\langle x \rangle$ in a finite crystal with PBC assumes the same form as in Eq. (3) but with the operator x replaced by $(Ma/2\pi) \sin[(2\pi/Ma)x]$.

This shows that the open path geometric phase can be given as an expectation value of x in the infinite crystal [Eq. (3)] and as an expectation value of $\sin[(2\pi/Ma)x]$ in either for the discretized k -space mesh [6] or for the finite crystal with PBC [Eq. (2)]. This is a far reaching result which shows that in a crystal with PBC the coordinate operator x is to be replaced by the periodic in x opera-

tor instead of the coordinate operator x itself. In Eq. (1) Ma is the length of the one-dimensional crystal with M being a large integer and a is the crystal constant. It is, however, claimed in Ref. [1] that the polarization which is proportional to $\langle x \rangle$ is a manifestation of the Berry phase and is therefore “an observable which cannot be cast as an expectation value of any operator.” This is a nontrivial claim which holds for the Berry phase, but it is not necessarily applicable to the geometrical phase in the band structure of solids [4].

The main point of the present Comment is actually the casting of the result for $\langle x \rangle$ in Ref. [1] in the form of an expectation value of a well defined Hermitian operator. Thus, it is easy to show that

$(Ma/2\pi) \sin[(2\pi/Ma)x]$. The latter goes over into x when $M \rightarrow \infty$. We also point out that in the case with PBC the operator $\tau(2\pi/Ma)$ in Eq. (1) forms a complete set of commuting operators. It is a special case of the kq representation [5] when the quasicoordinate is defined by the operator $\tau(2\pi/Ma)$ in Eq. (1) while the translation operator $T(Ma)$ defining the quasimomentum is a unit operator because of the PBC.

J. Zak

Department of Physics
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa, Israel

Received 29 October 1999

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz, 71.15.Fv, 77.22.Ej

- [1] Raffaele Resta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1800 (1998).
- [2] R. Resta, Ferroelectrics **136**, 51 (1992); R. D. King-Smith and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 1651 (1993).
- [3] R. Resta, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 899 (1994).
- [4] J. Zak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 359 (1982); **62**, 2747 (1989).
- [5] J. Zak, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz, and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, New York, 1972), Vol. 27.
- [6] Nicola Marzari and David Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 12847 (1997).