Dynamics of the $S = 1/2$ **Alternating Chains at** $T = \infty$

Isao Sawada*

Division of Materials Physics, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-8531, Japan (Received 28 December 1998)

The well-defined delocalization modes of J_{AF} and $2J_{AF}$, where J_{AF} is the antiferromagnetic exchange integral in a spin pair, are obtained in the $S = 1/2$ alternating chains for $(\alpha / J_{AF})^2 \ll 1$ at $T = \infty$ in terms of the continued fraction formalism with the recurrence relations method. These modes correspond to the single and the double singlet-to-triplet local excitations, respectively. Dynamically these very short-ranged and very weak correlations survive at $T = \infty$. We raise the possibility of observing these modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures, $k_B T \gg J_{AF}$.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 05.70.Ln, 75.40.Gb

Since the Haldane conjectures [1,2] and the discovery of the inorganic spin-Peierls compound CuGeO₃ [3], the $S =$ 12 alternating chains have attracted again the attention of theoretical and experimental workers. Experimental results on these spin chains have reported on magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, and neutron scattering data [3–8]. Although a number of studies have been made on, e.g., a gap formation and static quantities $[4,9-14]$, little is known about the dynamics especially theoretically $[15–17]$.

The purpose of this Letter is to show the emergence of well-defined delocalization modes in the $S = 1/2$ alternating chains at $T = \infty$ and to raise the possibility of observing the modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures. This study also opens the door to the dynamics with a new viewpoint. That is, high temperature dynamics can be distinguished in systems where, e.g., how dimers are geometrically configurated in the ground state. Although spins are uncorrelated at $T = \infty$, dynamics unlike thermodynamics may include the characteristic modes of a system.

The continued fraction formalism [18] has developed from the generalized Langevin equation [19] and applied to the linear response theory [20], as

$$
\overline{a}_0(z) = \frac{1}{z+} \frac{\Delta_1}{z+} \frac{\Delta_2}{z+} \frac{\Delta_3}{z+} \dots \tag{1}
$$

for the Laplace transform $\overline{a}_0(z) = \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-zt} a_0(t)$ of

$$
a_0(t) = (A(t), A) (A, A)^{-1}
$$
 (2)

for a dynamical variable *A*, where the Kubo scalar product is $(A(t), A) = 1/\beta \int_{0}^{B} \langle A(t - i\hbar\lambda)A^{\dagger} \rangle d\lambda - \langle A(t) \rangle \langle A^{\dagger} \rangle$ with $\langle O \rangle = \text{Tr}[Oe^{-\beta H}] / \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$ and $\beta = 1/k_B T$. The anomalous ESR spectra [21] was explained using Eq. (1), but there were some difficulties to obtain the continued fraction coefficients $\{\Delta_n\}$. Later Lee [22,23] obtained a more simplified method of calculating a *d*-dimensional $\{\Delta_n\}$ as

$$
f_{n+1} = iLf_n + \Delta_n f_{n-1}, \qquad (3)
$$

$$
\Delta_n = (f_n, f_n^{\dagger}) (f_{n-1}, f_{n-1}^{\dagger})^{-1} \tag{4}
$$

with $iLO = (i/h)[H, O]_-, f_0 = A.$ The boundary conditions are $\Delta_0 = 1$ and $f_{-1} = 0$. We can reformulate

 $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} a_n(t) f_n$ with time-dependent *c*-number functions including Eq. (2). Equation (3) helps classify the excitation modes and Eq. (4) determines the excitation energy. Some issues such as electron gas [24,25], spin system [26–32], and strongly correlated system [33,34] have been discussed using Eqs. (3) and (4).

The Hamiltonian of the $S = 1/2$ alternating chains is

$$
H = J_{\rm AF} \sum_{i} S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2} - \alpha \sum_{i} S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, \quad (5)
$$

where a vector $S_{i,1(2)}$ denotes a $S = 1/2$ operator at the left (right) side in a molecule on a site *i* [35]. The onsite antiferromagnetic (AF) exchange integral J_{AF} takes the energy unit and a coupling constant α controls the class and strength of alternation. Equation (5) describes the AF-ferromagnetic (AF-F) alternating chain for $\alpha > 0$ and the AF-AF alternating one for $\alpha < 0$. The system with $S = 1$ corresponds to that for $\alpha = +\infty$.

We investigate the dynamics of a sum of the spin *z* component at both sides on a certain site *j*,

$$
A = S_{j,1}^z + S_{j,2}^z, \tag{6}
$$

at $T = \infty$. That is, when the supply of energy obtained from a small external field is suddenly turned off at a time $t = 0$, how does the energy delocalize from a site *j*? Although each spin thermally fluctuates at $T = \infty$, dynamics different from thermodynamics includes the characteristic modes. Our interest is in the identification of these characteristic delocalization modes. Here we note that the Kubo scalar product at $T = \infty$ becomes the correlation function and that its evaluation can be done by taking the trace of products and neglecting fluctuations. We thus have $(f_0, f_0) = 2a$ with $a = S(S + 1)\hbar^2/3$ because the trace of the cross terms vanishes. We classify Eq. (5) into three models as shown below.

We begin with the *Ising model* with $S_{i,1(2)} = S_{i,1(2)}^x$. Using Eq. (3), we have $f_1 = f_1^0 - \alpha f_1^{\alpha}$ with $f_1^0 =$ $S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^y + S_{j,1}^y S_{j,2}^x$ and $f_1^{\alpha} = S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^y + S_{j,2}^y S_{j+1,1}^x$ which leads to $(f_1, f_1) = 2a^2(1 + \alpha^2)$. It is clear that $\Delta_1 = a(1 + \alpha^2)$ from Eq. (4). By successive use of Eqs. (3) and (4), we obtain $f_2 = 2\alpha (S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^x +$ $S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x$, $f_3 = 2a\alpha(1 - \alpha^2)(\alpha f_1^0 + f_1^{\alpha})/(1 + \alpha^2)$

and $f_4 = 0$, which lead to $\Delta_2 = 4a\alpha^2/(1 + \alpha^2)$, $\Delta_3 = a(1 - \alpha^2)^2/(1 + \alpha^2)$ and $\Delta_4 = 0$, respectively. This is equivalent to the fact that the Hilbert space of *A* given by Eq. (6) is spanned by $\{f_{n\leq 3}\}\$ only and that the excitation localized on a site *j* does not spread but oscillates within a 3-spin alignment. This finite *d*-dimensional Hilbert space also persists at finite temperatures.

The oscillation mode is obtained by inserting the $\{\Delta_n\}$ into Eq. (1) and putting $z = -i\omega^+$ with $\omega^+ = \omega^+ + i0^+$ and $a = 1/4$ in units of $\hbar = 1$:

$$
\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = \delta\left(\omega \pm \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}\right) + \delta\left(\omega \pm \frac{\alpha - 1}{2}\right). \tag{7}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side (rhs) in Eq. (7) corresponds to the excitation mode, e.g., between the configurations of $(H|)$ and $(H|)$ for a 3-spin alignment $(S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1})$, the second between those of $(\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow)$ and $(\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow)$. The observation of the basis vector f_2 with the largest spin-component product is crucial for the identification of these modes. The case with $|\alpha|=1$ satisfies the relation of $d = q + 1$ with the dimension of the Hilbert space $d = 3$ and the coordination number $q = 2$ as shown in some Ising models by Sen [29]. These modes denote also the eigenvalues of the 4×4 matrix defined as $iL\{g_n\} = M\{g_n\}$ with $\{g_0 = f_0, g_1 = f_1^0, g_2 = f_1^{\alpha}, g_3 = f_2^{\alpha}$ $f_2/2\alpha$. Here we note that these modes of order of β^0 are independent of temperatures and that the number of the modes, i.e., the structure of the Hilbert space, varies with α . The time autocorrelation function, Eq. (2), is then $\langle A(t)A \rangle / \langle A^2 \rangle = \cos(\alpha t/2) \cos(t/2).$

Next, the *XY* model with $S_{i,1(2)} = (S_{i,1(2)}^x, S_{i,2}^y)$ $_{i,1(2)}^{y}),$ which has been studied by the Jordan-Wigner transformation and other methods [15–17]. Since *A* given by Eq. (6) is a conserved quantity with respect to the first term on the rhs of Eq. (5), $f_1 = -\alpha f_1^{\alpha}$ is written with $f_1^{\alpha} =$ $-S_{j-1,2}^yS_{j,1}^x + S_{j-1,2}^xS_{j,1}^y + S_{j,2}^yS_{j+1,1}^x - S_{j,2}^xS_{j+1,1}^y$ using Eq. (3). This shows the left- and right-directed flow of energy with a symmetric mode. Hereafter for brevity, we use a notation for the basis vectors $\{f_n\}$ such as $f_1^{\alpha} = [S_{j,2}^y S_{j+1,1}^x]$. What is meant by the square brackets is that a single term in it indicates four elements. The definition is twofold. First, we yield the second element by changing the spin $x(y)$ component into $y(x)$ and multiplying a sign of $(-)^n$. Second, we yield the rest of two elements by taking the mirror symmetry of the first two elements about a site *j*. See the expression of f_1^{α} .

We have $(f_1, f_1) = 4a^2\alpha^2$ and then $\Delta_1 = 2a\alpha^2$ using Eq. (4). Using Eq. (3) again, we have $f_2 =$ $-\alpha \left(\left[S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^x\right] - \left[S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x\right]\right) + a\alpha^2 \left[S_{j+1,1}^z\right].$ Here note that $[S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x] = S_{j-1,2}^y S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^y +$ $S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^x + S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x + S_{j,1}^y S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^y$, $S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,1}^z =$ $2(S_{j-1,2}^{z+1}, \dots, S_{j+1,1}^{z})$ due to $n = 2$. We have $\Delta_2 =$ $2a(1 + \alpha^2)$. The $(iL)^n$ results in the terms up to α^n ; however, f_n does not have those in general. The term $\propto \alpha^3$ already vanishes in f_3 , i.e., $f_3 = -2\alpha[S^y_{j,1}S^z_{j,2}S^z_{j+1,1}S^x_{j+1,2}] + \alpha^2(3a[S^y_{j+1,1}S^x_{j+1,2}] S_{j,2}^{y}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{x}$ leading to $(f_3, f_3) = 8a^4\alpha^2$ × $(2 + 5\alpha^2)$.

We now confine ourselves to the limiting cases of α . For $\alpha^2 \ll 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = a(2 + 3\alpha^2)$. Since the term $\propto \alpha$ vanishes, we have $f_4 = \alpha^2 f_4^{\alpha^2}$, $\Delta_4 = (17/2)a\alpha^2$, $f_5 = \alpha^2 f_5^{\alpha^2}$, and $\Delta_5 = (48/17)a$. One of the largest spincomponent products in f_4 with the square brackets for 1 and 5 spin and f_5 with those for 2, 4, and 6 spin is, respectively,

$$
[S_{j,2}^{x}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{x}] \in f_{4}^{\alpha^{2}}, \qquad (8)
$$

$$
[S_{j,1}^{y} S_{j,2}^{z} S_{j+1,1}^{z} S_{j+1,2}^{z} S_{j+2,1}^{z} S_{j+2,2}^{x}] \in f_{5}^{\alpha^{2}}.
$$
 (9)

We observe that the higher f_n has the larger spincomponent product. However, once the largest term in f_n is composed of the on-site spin pairs alone, f_{n+1} does not spread anymore as long as α is small enough. This is because the interactions, see Eq. (5), are dominated by the *on-site* interactions. In fact, not shown here, f_6 and f_7 spread not beyond $S_{j+2,2}$ are $\propto \alpha^2$ like Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively, and we have $\Delta_6 = 4a$, $\Delta_7 = (4448/867)a$. We thus expect to have $\Delta_{n\geq 8} = O(a)$. Here we notice a single *dip* at $n = 4$ in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ such as $\{0.005, 0.505, 0.508, 0.021, 0.706, 1, \ldots\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$.

The dimensions of the $\{\Delta_n\}$ are infinite when the energy delocalization takes place. Now we make an approximation for summing up the continued fraction where $\Delta_{n \geq l+2} = \Delta_{l+1}$ with *l* being an order at a dip, namely,

$$
\frac{\Delta_{l+1}}{z+} \frac{\Delta_{l+2}}{z+} \frac{\Delta_{l+3}}{z+} \dots = \frac{-z + (z^2 + 4\Delta_{l+1})^{1/2}}{2} . \tag{10}
$$

This approximation is valid as long as a dip is very steep in the infinite $\{\Delta_n\}$. Inserting the $\{\Delta_n\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$ together with Eq. (10) with $l = 4$ in Eq. (1), we obtain $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ which has well-defined peaks around $\omega =$ $\pm \alpha/2$, ± 1 with finite widths. This is consistent with the $\Delta_4 = 0$ -like features with $\delta(\omega \pm \alpha/2)$ and $\delta[\omega \pm (1 +$ $3\alpha^2/2$ ^{1/2}], and survive in the Heisenberg model.

For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 5a$ and $f_4 = a\alpha^3 f_4^{\alpha^3}$ + $3\alpha^2[S_{j+1,1}^z]$ leading to $\Delta_4 = (16a/5)\alpha^2 + 68a/25$ and $f_5 = a\alpha^4 f_5^{\alpha^4} + \alpha^3 f_5^{\alpha^3}$, where $f_5^{\alpha^3}$ includes the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. One of the largest spincomponent products is

$$
[S_{j,2}^{y}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{z}S_{j+3,1}^{x}] \in f_{5}^{\alpha^{3}}.
$$
 (11)

We have $\Delta_5 = (4/5)a\alpha^2 + (1647/400)a$ and thus associate Eq. (3) with $f_6 = O(\alpha^5)$; however, such a term vanishes and we obtain $f_6 = a\alpha^4 f_6^{\alpha^4}$ leading to $\Delta_6 =$ $(345/16)a$, $f_7 = a\alpha^5 f_7^{\alpha^5}$, and $\Delta_7 = (86/23)a\alpha^2$. One of the largest spin-component products in f_6 and f_7 is, respectively,

$$
[S_{j+1,1}^x S_{j+1,2}^z S_{j+2,1}^z S_{j+2,2}^z S_{j+3,1}^x] \in f_6^{\alpha^4}, \qquad (12)
$$

$$
[S_{j,2}^y S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^z S_{j+2,1}^z S_{j+2,2}^z S_{j+3,1}^x] \in f_7^{\alpha^5}.
$$
 (13)

1669

Since the interactions, see Eq. (5), are dominated by the *intersite* interactions, the operators including $S_{i+3,1}$ commute with the second term of the rhs of Eq. (5). It follows as shown in Eqs. (11) – (13) that $f_{n\geq 8}$ does not spread beyond $S_{j+3,1}$ and that we expect to have $f_{n \geq 8} \propto \alpha^{n-2}$ and then $\Delta_{n \geq 8} \propto \alpha^2$. Here we notice two *dips* at $n = 3, 6$ in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ such as $\{50, 50.5, 1.25, 80.7, 21.0, 5.39, 93.5, ...\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 100$. The first dip at $n = 3$ results in the peaks at $\omega = 0, \pm |\alpha|$ and the second dip at $n = 6$ divides those into two structures, respectively. This splitting is not observed in the Heisenberg model. We have $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ $(\pi/8)\{\delta[\omega \pm (|\alpha| \pm \omega_0)] + 2\delta(\omega \pm \omega_0)\}\$ with $\omega_0 =$ $(\Delta_3/10)^{1/2} = 0.354$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we study the *Heisenberg model* with $S_{i,1(2)} =$ $(S_{i,1(2)}^x, S_{i,2}^y)$ $\mathcal{L}_{i,1(2)}^y, \mathcal{S}_{i,1(2)}^z$. We have the same f_1 leading to $\Delta_1 = 2a\alpha^2$ as in the *XY* model. We further have $f_2 =$ $-\alpha ([S_{j,1}^{z}S_{j,2}^{x}S_{j+1,1}^{x}]-[S_{j,1}^{x}S_{j,2}^{z}S_{j+1,1}^{x}]+[S_{j,2}^{x}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{x}] S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^{x^2} S_{j+1,2}^{z^2}$ *j* + $a\alpha^2[S_{j+1,1}^{z^2}]$ leading to $\Delta_2 = 4a$ + $2a\alpha^2$. We have no term $\propto \alpha^3$ in f_3 as in the *XY* model; however, the spin flip effect of Eq. (5) results in many terms as $f_3 = \alpha f_3^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_3^{\alpha^2}$, where f_3^{α} and $f_3^{\alpha^2}$ have the square brackets for 2 and 4 spin. We have (f_3, f_3) = $24a^4\alpha^2(4 + 3\alpha^2)$.

For $\alpha^2 \ll 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 6a + (3a/2)\alpha^2$ and $f_4 = -6a\alpha f_4^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_4^{\alpha^2}$, where $f_4^{\alpha} = [S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,2}^x]$ $[S_{j,1}^xS_{j,2}^zS_{j+1,2}^x]+[S_{j,1}^xS_{j+1,1}^zS_{j+1,2}^x]-[S_{j,1}^zS_{j+1,1}^xS_{j+1,2}^x]$ and $f_4^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin. We then have $\Delta_4 = 6a + (91a/12)\alpha^2$ and $f_5 = -\alpha f_5^{\alpha}$ + $\alpha^2 f_5^{\alpha^2}$, where $f_5^{\alpha} = 24a^2 [S_{j,1}^y S_{j+1,2}^x]$ and $f_5^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. The 6-spin alignments are with three on-site spin pairs around the site *j*:

$$
(S_{j-2,1}S_{j-2,2}S_{j-1,1}S_{j-1,2}S_{j,1}S_{j,2}),(S_{j-1,1}S_{j-1,2}S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}S_{j+1,2}),(S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}S_{j+1,2}S_{j+2,1}S_{j+2,2}).
$$
\n(14)

We further have $\Delta_5 = 4a + (299a/12)\alpha^2$ and $f_6 =$ $-\alpha f_6^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_6^{\alpha^2}$; however, $f_6^{\alpha} = i[\sum_i S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2}, f_5^{\alpha}]_ - +$ $4af_4^{\alpha}$ does vanish indeed. The leading order in f_6 is thus α^2 and $f_6^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin; hence we have $\Delta_6 = (2393a/24)\alpha^2$ and $f_7 =$ $\alpha^2 f_f^{\alpha^2}$ with $f_f^{\alpha^2} = i[\sum_i S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2}, f_6^{\alpha^2}]$, which has the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. This leads to Δ_7 = $(147 898/7179)a$. The 6-spin alignments are identical to those in (14).

Similarly as seen in the *XY* model for this case, we expect to have $f_{n\geq 8} = \alpha^2 f_{n\geq 8}^{\alpha^2}$ with $f_{even\geq 8}^{\alpha^2}$ composed of the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin and $f_{\text{odd}\geq 9}^{\alpha^2}$ of those for 2, 4, and 6 spin, and $\Delta_{n\geq 8} \propto a$. We observe a *dip* at $n = 6$ in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ such as $\{0.005, 1.005, 1.504, 1.519, 1.062, 0.249, 5.150, \ldots\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$. We use Eq. (10) with $l = 6$ and calculate Eq. (1) to show the spectra in Fig. 1 for $\alpha^2 = 0.05^2$, $0.\overline{1}^2$, and 0.15^2 . The result clearly shows the excitations around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$, 1, and 2.

FIG. 1. The well-defined local excitations of $\omega = 1$ and 2 in $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ in the Heisenberg models with $\alpha^2 = 0.05^2$, 0.1², and $0.15²$. The energy unit is the on-site AF exchange integral *J*AF. The inset shows the low energy part.

We explain the result shown in Fig. 1 mathematically and physically. We insert the $\{\Delta_n\}$ with $\Delta_6 = 0$, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and $a = 1/4$ into Eq. (1) to yield

$$
\overline{a}_0(z) = \frac{z(z^4 + 5z^2 + 4)}{z^2(z^4 + 5z^2 + 4) + g(z, \alpha)}
$$
(15)

with $g(z, \alpha) = 0$. This is simplified as $\overline{a}_0(z) = z^{-1}$ leading to $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = \pi \delta(\omega)$, which means Eq. (6) is a constant of motion. In uniform chains we expect that Eq. (6) has a simple Lorentzian spectrum at $T = \infty$. On the contrary, when the alternation sets in, $g(z, \alpha) \propto \alpha^2$ for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$ splits $\delta(\omega)$ into two structures at $|\omega| =$ $|\alpha|/2$ and produces well-defined peaks, no shoulders, at $|\omega| = 1, 2$ due to $z^4 + 5z^2 + 4 = (z - 2i)(z - i)(z +$ $i)$ ($z + 2i$). This factor results from the dominant $\{\Delta_n\}$ up to $n = 5$: { Δ_2 , Δ_3 , Δ_4 , Δ_5 } = { $4a$, $6a$, $6a$, $4a$ } with $a =$ 1/4. The single *dip* in the present $\{\Delta_n\}$ reproduces these features, which are intrinsic for alternating chains not for uniform chains [27,30,31]. The spectra in Fig. 1 are compared to Eq. (7) in the Ising model and the results in the *XY* model for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$. The approximation of Eq. (10) gives finite widths for each spectrum superposed on the Lorentzian-like tail, the surviving $\delta(\omega)$, and almost α -independent value at $\omega = 0$.

We pay attention to the basis vectors $\{f_{n \leq 5}\}\)$ to classify these modes. The low energy mode around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$ is attributed to, e.g., the transition between the configurations ($\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$) and ($\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$) for a 3-spin alignment $(S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}).$ The delocalization energy of unity corresponds to the single singlet-to-triplet local excitation like a soliton, $1 =$ $1/4 - (-3/4)$, in an on-site spin pair within a 6-spin alignment in (14), and twice to the double one in two onsite spin pairs within it. A similar consideration of (14) seems to make the triple one possible; however, it cannot be observed from the present $\{\Delta_n\}$, neither the quadruple one. While the ratio of the intensity at $\omega = 1, 2$ to that around $\omega = 0$ is $O(0.01)$. Therefore, it might follow that each spin in this system fluctuates not freely at all, but

with very short-ranged and very weak correlations still existing at $T = \infty$.

The autocorrelation function is related to $S(\omega) = \sum_{q} S(q, \omega)$ of neutron scattering. The spectra shown in Fig. 1 are expected to be similar to the case at high temperatures, $k_B T \gg J_{\rm AF}$. As α^2 increases for this regime, the Lorentzian-like tail masks the intensity around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$, while those around $\omega = 1, 2$ almost unchange and do survive against the growth of the tail. See Fig. 1. When the excitations are dispersionless at high temperatures, $I(\omega)$ in Raman scattering with $q = 0$ and $S(q, \omega)$ are then likely to show similar spectra. We further obtain $T \sum_q \text{Im}\chi(q,\omega)/\omega = \text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+).$ However, discussion on NMR relaxation rate $1/T_1$ is inappropriate because the almost α -independent value at $\omega = 0$ is an artifact of the approximation. Noting that the sign of α does not affect the $\{\Delta_n\}$, the dynamics of the AF-F and the AF-AF alternating chains are not distinguished. The energy unit of reported compounds is at most $O(10K)$. A candidate for observation of such spectra is, e.g., $(CH_3)_2CHNH_3CuBr_3$ with $\alpha = -0.54$ [7].

For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 9a$ and $f_4 = -a\alpha^3 f_4^{\alpha^3}$, where $f_4^{\alpha^3} = i[\sum_i S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, f_3^{\alpha^2}]$ has the square brackets for 3 spin alone. We have $\Delta_4 = (20a/3)\alpha^2$ and $f_5 = a\alpha^4 f_5^{\alpha^4}$, where $f_5^{\alpha^4} = i[\sum_i S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, f_4^{\alpha^3}]_ - +$ $(20/3)f_3^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 2 and 4 spin. This leads to $\Delta_5 = (1148/135)a\alpha^2$. Different from the *XY* model for this case, we expect to have $f_6 = O(\alpha^5)$. Such a term as in Eq. (12) is of next leading order. No dip in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ at $n = 6$ is expected. We again observe a *dip* at $n = 3$ in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ such as $\{50.0, 51.0, 2.25, 167, ...\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 100$. We use Eq. (10) with $l = 3$ and calculate Eq. (1) to obtain $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ with each spectrum at $\omega = 0$ and around $\omega = \pm |\alpha|$ had nearly the same intensity and linewidth $\approx |\alpha|^{-1}$. The spectra converge to $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = (\pi/4)[\delta(\omega \pm |\alpha|) + 2\delta(\omega)]$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$ when the alternation infinitely strengthens.

Equation (2) at $T = \infty$ for $\alpha = 0$, $\langle A(t)A \rangle / \langle A^2 \rangle = 1$, decreases with an envelope of $\pm \exp(-t/\tau)$ for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$ both in the *XY* and the Heisenberg models. For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, while it has an envelope of $+ \exp(-t/\tau')$ in the Heisenberg model and converges to $(1 + \cos \alpha t)/2$, it has a slower tail in the *XY* model and converges to $cos\omega_0 t (1 + cos\alpha t)/2$.

In summary, we have investigated the dynamics of $S = 1/2$ alternating chains in limiting cases at $T = \infty$ in terms of the continued fraction formalism with recurrence relations, to obtain the well-defined delocalization models of *J*AF and 2*J*AF. In this system very short-ranged and very weak correlations might survive dynamically at $T = \infty$. We have also raised the possibility of observing these modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures, $k_B T \gg J_{\rm AF}$. These features are manifest in the dip in the continued fraction coefficients $\{\Delta_n\}$.

The author thanks H. Harashina, Y. Kobayashi, S. Kokado, H. Maebashi, H. Manaka, K. Miyake, S. Miyashita, O. Narikiyo, Y. Ono, K. Sano, M. Sato, M. Sigrist, N. Su- ¯ zuki, K. Takano, I. Yamada, and K. Yamada for discussions. He is supported by the JSPS for Young Scientists.

*Electronic address: sawada@eagle.mp.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

- [1] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Lett. **93A**, 464 (1983).
- [2] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1153 (1983).
- [3] M. Hase, I. Terasaki, and K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3651 (1993).
- [4] J. J. Borrás-Almenar, E. Coronado, J. Curely, R. Georges, and J. C. Gianduzzo, Inorg. Chem. **33**, 5171 (1994).
- [5] H. Manaka, I. Yamada, and K. Yamaguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 564 (1997).
- [6] M. Hagiwara, Y. Narumi, K. Kindo, T. C. Kobayashi, H. Yamakage, K. Amaya, and G. Schumauch, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 1792 (1997).
- [7] H. Manaka and I. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 1908 (1997).
- [8] M. Aïn, J.E. Lorenzo, L.P. Regnault, G. Dhalenne, A. Revcolevschi, B. Hennion, and Th. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1560 (1997).
- [9] W. Duffy, Jr. and K. P. Barr, Phys. Rev. **165**, 647 (1968).
- [10] M. C. Cross and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 402 (1979).
- [11] T. Nakano and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **46**, 1679 (1980).
- [12] K. Hida, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 2207 (1992).
- [13] S. Kokado and N. Suzuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 3605 (1997).
- [14] S. Kokado and N. Suzuki, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **196– 197**, 566 (1999).
- [15] J. H. H. Perk and H. W. Capel, Physica (Amsterdam) **100A**, 1 (1980).
- [16] J. H. Taylor and G. Müller, Physica (Amsterdam) **130A**, 1 (1985).
- [17] K. Kawasaki, N. Maya, A. Kouzuki, and K. Nakamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 839 (1997).
- [18] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **34**, 399 (1965).
- [19] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **33**, 423 (1965).
- [20] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12**, 570 (1957).
- [21] H. Okamoto, K. Nagano, T. Karasudani, and H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **66**, 53 (1981).
- [22] M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 2547 (1982).
- [23] M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 1072 (1982).
- [24] M. H. Lee and J. Hong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 634 (1982).
- [25] J. Hong and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1972 (1993).
- [26] M. H. Lee, I. M. Kim, and R. Dekeyser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1579 (1984).
- [27] J. Florencio, Jr. and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 1835 (1987).
- [28] C. Lee and S. I. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1061 (1989).
- [29] S. Sen, Proc. R. Soc. London A **441**, 169 (1993).
- [30] V. S. Viswanath and G. Müller, *The Recursion Method* (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [31] S. Sen, Physica (Amsterdam) **222A**, 195 (1995).
- [32] S. Sen and T. D. Blersch, Physica (Amsterdam) **253A**, 178 (1998).
- [33] J. Hong and H.-Y. Kee, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 2415 (1995).
- [34] H.-Y. Kee and J. Hong, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 5670 (1997).
- [35] Equation (5) is also written in the literature as $H =$ $J_{AF} \sum_{i} S_{2i} \cdot S_{2i+1} - \alpha \sum_{i} S_{2i-1} \cdot S_{2i}$, where Eq. (6) takes the form $A = S_{2l}^z + S_{2l+1}^z$.