Dynamics of the S = 1/2 Alternating Chains at $T = \infty$

Isao Sawada*

Division of Materials Physics, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-8531, Japan (Received 28 December 1998)

The well-defined delocalization modes of J_{AF} and $2J_{AF}$, where J_{AF} is the antiferromagnetic exchange integral in a spin pair, are obtained in the S = 1/2 alternating chains for $(\alpha/J_{AF})^2 \ll 1$ at $T = \infty$ in terms of the continued fraction formalism with the recurrence relations method. These modes correspond to the single and the double singlet-to-triplet local excitations, respectively. Dynamically these very short-ranged and very weak correlations survive at $T = \infty$. We raise the possibility of observing these modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures, $k_B T \gg J_{AF}$.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 05.70.Ln, 75.40.Gb

Since the Haldane conjectures [1,2] and the discovery of the inorganic spin-Peierls compound CuGeO₃ [3], the S = 1/2 alternating chains have attracted again the attention of theoretical and experimental workers. Experimental results on these spin chains have reported on magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, and neutron scattering data [3–8]. Although a number of studies have been made on, e.g., a gap formation and static quantities [4,9–14], little is known about the dynamics especially theoretically [15–17].

The purpose of this Letter is to show the emergence of well-defined delocalization modes in the S = 1/2 alternating chains at $T = \infty$ and to raise the possibility of observing the modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures. This study also opens the door to the dynamics with a new viewpoint. That is, high temperature dynamics can be distinguished in systems where, e.g., how dimers are geometrically configurated in the ground state. Although spins are uncorrelated at $T = \infty$, dynamics unlike thermodynamics may include the characteristic modes of a system.

The continued fraction formalism [18] has developed from the generalized Langevin equation [19] and applied to the linear response theory [20], as

$$\overline{a}_0(z) = \frac{1}{z+} \frac{\Delta_1}{z+} \frac{\Delta_2}{z+} \frac{\Delta_3}{z+} \dots$$
(1)

for the Laplace transform $\overline{a}_0(z) = \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-zt} a_0(t)$ of

$$a_0(t) = (A(t), A) (A, A)^{-1}$$
 (2)

for a dynamical variable *A*, where the Kubo scalar product is $(A(t), A) \equiv 1/\beta \int_0^\beta \langle A(t - i\hbar\lambda)A^{\dagger} \rangle d\lambda - \langle A(t) \rangle \langle A^{\dagger} \rangle$ with $\langle O \rangle = \text{Tr}[Oe^{-\beta H}]/\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$ and $\beta = 1/k_BT$. The anomalous ESR spectra [21] was explained using Eq. (1), but there were some difficulties to obtain the continued fraction coefficients $\{\Delta_n\}$. Later Lee [22,23] obtained a more simplified method of calculating a *d*-dimensional $\{\Delta_n\}$ as

$$f_{n+1} = iLf_n + \Delta_n f_{n-1}, \qquad (3)$$

$$\Delta_n = (f_n, f_n^{\dagger}) (f_{n-1}, f_{n-1}^{\dagger})^{-1}$$
(4)

with $iLO = (i/\hbar)[H, O]_{-}$, $f_0 = A$. The boundary conditions are $\Delta_0 = 1$ and $f_{-1} = 0$. We can reformulate

 $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} a_n(t) f_n$ with time-dependent *c*-number functions including Eq. (2). Equation (3) helps classify the excitation modes and Eq. (4) determines the excitation energy. Some issues such as electron gas [24,25], spin system [26–32], and strongly correlated system [33,34] have been discussed using Eqs. (3) and (4).

The Hamiltonian of the S = 1/2 alternating chains is

$$H = J_{AF} \sum_{i} S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2} - \alpha \sum_{i} S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, \quad (5)$$

where a vector $S_{i,1(2)}$ denotes a S = 1/2 operator at the left (right) side in a molecule on a site *i* [35]. The onsite antiferromagnetic (AF) exchange integral J_{AF} takes the energy unit and a coupling constant α controls the class and strength of alternation. Equation (5) describes the AF-ferromagnetic (AF-F) alternating chain for $\alpha > 0$ and the AF-AF alternating one for $\alpha < 0$. The system with S = 1 corresponds to that for $\alpha = +\infty$.

We investigate the dynamics of a sum of the spin z component at both sides on a certain site j,

$$A = S_{i,1}^z + S_{i,2}^z, (6)$$

at $T = \infty$. That is, when the supply of energy obtained from a small external field is suddenly turned off at a time t = 0, how does the energy delocalize from a site j? Although each spin thermally fluctuates at $T = \infty$, dynamics different from thermodynamics includes the characteristic modes. Our interest is in the identification of these characteristic delocalization modes. Here we note that the Kubo scalar product at $T = \infty$ becomes the correlation function and that its evaluation can be done by taking the trace of products and neglecting fluctuations. We thus have $(f_0, f_0) = 2a$ with $a = S(S + 1)\hbar^2/3$ because the trace of the cross terms vanishes. We classify Eq. (5) into three models as shown below.

We begin with the *Ising model* with $S_{i,1(2)} = S_{i,1(2)}^{x}$. Using Eq. (3), we have $f_1 = f_1^0 - \alpha f_1^\alpha$ with $f_1^0 = S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^y + S_{j,1}^y S_{j,2}^x$ and $f_1^\alpha = S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^y + S_{j,2}^y S_{j+1,1}^x$, which leads to $(f_1, f_1) = 2a^2(1 + \alpha^2)$. It is clear that $\Delta_1 = a(1 + \alpha^2)$ from Eq. (4). By successive use of Eqs. (3) and (4), we obtain $f_2 = 2\alpha(S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^z + S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^y)$, $f_3 = 2a\alpha(1 - \alpha^2)(\alpha f_1^0 + f_1^\alpha)/(1 + \alpha^2)$ and $f_4 = 0$, which lead to $\Delta_2 = 4a\alpha^2/(1 + \alpha^2)$, $\Delta_3 = a(1 - \alpha^2)^2/(1 + \alpha^2)$ and $\Delta_4 = 0$, respectively. This is equivalent to the fact that the Hilbert space of *A* given by Eq. (6) is spanned by $\{f_{n\leq 3}\}$ only and that the excitation localized on a site *j* does not spread but oscillates within a 3-spin alignment. This finite *d*-dimensional Hilbert space also persists at finite temperatures.

The oscillation mode is obtained by inserting the $\{\Delta_n\}$ into Eq. (1) and putting $z = -i\omega^+$ with $\omega^+ = \omega^- + i0^+$ and a = 1/4 in units of $\hbar = 1$:

$$\frac{4}{\pi} \operatorname{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = \delta\left(\omega \pm \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right) + \delta\left(\omega \pm \frac{\alpha-1}{2}\right).$$
(7)

The first term on the right-hand side (rhs) in Eq. (7) corresponds to the excitation mode, e.g., between the configurations of $(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)$ and $(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ for a 3-spin alignment $(S_{i,1}S_{i,2}S_{i+1,1})$, the second between those of $(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$ and $(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow)$. The observation of the basis vector f_2 with the largest spin-component product is crucial for the identification of these modes. The case with $|\alpha| = 1$ satisfies the relation of d = q + 1 with the dimension of the Hilbert space d = 3 and the coordination number q = 2 as shown in some Ising models by Sen [29]. These modes denote also the eigenvalues of the 4×4 matrix defined as $iL\{g_n\} = M\{g_n\}$ with $\{g_0 = f_0, g_1 = f_1^0, g_2 = f_1^\alpha, g_3 = g_1^\alpha$ $f_2/2\alpha$. Here we note that these modes of order of β^0 are independent of temperatures and that the number of the modes, i.e., the structure of the Hilbert space, varies with α . The time autocorrelation function, Eq. (2), is then $\langle A(t)A\rangle/\langle A^2\rangle = \cos(\alpha t/2)\cos(t/2).$

Next, the *XY* model with $S_{i,1(2)} = (S_{i,1(2)}^x, S_{i,1(2)}^y)$, which has been studied by the Jordan-Wigner transformation and other methods [15–17]. Since *A* given by Eq. (6) is a conserved quantity with respect to the first term on the rhs of Eq. (5), $f_1 = -\alpha f_1^{\alpha}$ is written with $f_1^{\alpha} =$ $-S_{j-1,2}^yS_{j,1}^x + S_{j-1,2}^xS_{j,1}^y + S_{j,2}^yS_{j+1,1}^x - S_{j,2}^xS_{j+1,1}^y$ using Eq. (3). This shows the left- and right-directed flow of energy with a symmetric mode. Hereafter for brevity, we use a notation for the basis vectors $\{f_n\}$ such as $f_1^{\alpha} = [S_{j,2}^yS_{j+1,1}^x]$. What is meant by the square brackets is that a single term in it indicates four elements. The definition is twofold. First, we yield the second element by changing the spin x(y) component into y(x) and multiplying a sign of $(-)^n$. Second, we yield the rest of two elements by taking the mirror symmetry of the first two elements about a site *j*. See the expression of f_1^{α} .

We have $(f_1, f_1) = 4a^2 \alpha^2$ and then $\Delta_1 = 2a\alpha^2$ using Eq. (4). Using Eq. (3) again, we have $f_2 = -\alpha([S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^x] - [S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x]) + a\alpha^2[S_{j+1,1}^z].$ Here note that $[S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x] = S_{j-1,2}^y S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^y + S_{j-1,2}^x S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^x + S_{j+1,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x + S_{j,1}^y S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^y] = 2(S_{j-1,2}^z + S_{j+1,1}^z)$ due to n = 2. We have $\Delta_2 = 2a(1 + \alpha^2)$. The $(iL)^n$ results in the terms up to α^n ; however, f_n does not have those in general. The term $\propto \alpha^3$ already vanishes in f_3 , i.e., $f_{3} = -2\alpha[S_{j,1}^{y}S_{j,2}^{z}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{x}] + \alpha^{2}(3a[S_{j+1,1}^{y}S_{j+1,2}^{x}] - [S_{j,2}^{y}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{x}]) \text{ leading to } (f_{3}, f_{3}) = 8a^{4}\alpha^{2} \times (2 + 5\alpha^{2}).$

We now confine ourselves to the limiting cases of α . For $\alpha^2 \ll 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = a(2 + 3\alpha^2)$. Since the term $\propto \alpha$ vanishes, we have $f_4 = \alpha^2 f_4^{\alpha^2}$, $\Delta_4 = (17/2)a\alpha^2$, $f_5 = \alpha^2 f_5^{\alpha^2}$, and $\Delta_5 = (48/17)a$. One of the largest spincomponent products in f_4 with the square brackets for 1 and 5 spin and f_5 with those for 2, 4, and 6 spin is, respectively,

$$[S_{j,2}^{x}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{x}] \in f_{4}^{\alpha^{2}},$$
(8)

$$[S_{j,1}^{y}S_{j,2}^{z}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{x}] \in f_{5}^{\alpha^{2}}.$$
 (9)

We observe that the higher f_n has the larger spincomponent product. However, once the largest term in f_n is composed of the on-site spin pairs alone, f_{n+1} does not spread anymore as long as α is small enough. This is because the interactions, see Eq. (5), are dominated by the *on-site* interactions. In fact, not shown here, f_6 and f_7 spread not beyond $S_{j+2,2}$ are $\propto \alpha^2$ like Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively, and we have $\Delta_6 = 4a$, $\Delta_7 = (4448/867)a$. We thus expect to have $\Delta_{n\geq 8} = O(a)$. Here we notice a single *dip* at n = 4 in the { Δ_n } such as {0.005, 0.505, 0.508, 0.021, 0.706, 1, ...} for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$.

The dimensions of the $\{\Delta_n\}$ are infinite when the energy delocalization takes place. Now we make an approximation for summing up the continued fraction where $\Delta_{n\geq l+2} = \Delta_{l+1}$ with *l* being an order at a dip, namely,

$$\frac{\Delta_{l+1}}{z+} \frac{\Delta_{l+2}}{z+} \frac{\Delta_{l+3}}{z+} \dots = \frac{-z + (z^2 + 4\Delta_{l+1})^{1/2}}{2}.$$
 (10)

This approximation is valid as long as a dip is very steep in the infinite { Δ_n }. Inserting the { Δ_n } for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$ together with Eq. (10) with l = 4 in Eq. (1), we obtain $\operatorname{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ which has well-defined peaks around $\omega = \pm \alpha/2, \pm 1$ with finite widths. This is consistent with the $\Delta_4 = 0$ -like features with $\delta(\omega \pm \alpha/2)$ and $\delta[\omega \pm (1 + 3\alpha^2/2)^{1/2}]$, and survive in the Heisenberg model.

For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 5a$ and $f_4 = a\alpha^3 f_4^{\alpha^3} + 3\alpha^2 [S_{j+1,1}^z]$ leading to $\Delta_4 = (16a/5)\alpha^2 + 68a/25$ and $f_5 = a\alpha^4 f_5^{\alpha^4} + \alpha^3 f_5^{\alpha^3}$, where $f_5^{\alpha^3}$ includes the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. One of the largest spin-component products is

$$[S_{j,2}^{y}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{z}S_{j+3,1}^{x}] \in f_{5}^{\alpha^{3}}.$$
 (11)

We have $\Delta_5 = (4/5)a\alpha^2 + (1647/400)a$ and thus associate Eq. (3) with $f_6 = O(\alpha^5)$; however, such a term vanishes and we obtain $f_6 = a\alpha^4 f_6^{\alpha^4}$ leading to $\Delta_6 = (345/16)a$, $f_7 = a\alpha^5 f_7^{\alpha^5}$, and $\Delta_7 = (86/23)a\alpha^2$. One of the largest spin-component products in f_6 and f_7 is, respectively,

$$[S_{j+1,1}^{x}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{z}S_{j+3,1}^{x}] \in f_{6}^{\alpha^{4}}, \qquad (12)$$

$$[S_{j,2}^{y}S_{j+1,1}^{z}S_{j+1,2}^{z}S_{j+2,1}^{z}S_{j+2,2}^{z}S_{j+3,1}^{x}] \in f_{7}^{\alpha^{5}}.$$
 (13)

1669

Since the interactions, see Eq. (5), are dominated by the *intersite* interactions, the operators including $S_{j+3,1}$ commute with the second term of the rhs of Eq. (5). It follows as shown in Eqs. (11)–(13) that $f_{n\geq 8}$ does not spread beyond $S_{j+3,1}$ and that we expect to have $f_{n\geq 8} \propto \alpha^{n-2}$ and then $\Delta_{n\geq 8} \propto \alpha^2$. Here we notice two *dips* at n = 3, 6 in the { Δ_n } such as {50, 50.5, 1.25, 80.7, 21.0, 5.39, 93.5, ...} for $\alpha^2 = 100$. The first dip at n = 3 results in the peaks at $\omega = 0, \pm |\alpha|$ and the second dip at n = 6 divides those into two structures, respectively. This splitting is not observed in the Heisenberg model. We have $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) =$ $(\pi/8) \{\delta[\omega \pm (|\alpha| \pm \omega_0)] + 2\delta(\omega \pm \omega_0)\}$ with $\omega_0 =$ $(\Delta_3/10)^{1/2} = 0.354$ as $|\alpha| \to \infty$.

Finally, we study the *Heisenberg model* with $S_{i,1(2)} = (S_{i,1(2)}^x, S_{i,1(2)}^y, S_{i,1(2)}^z)$. We have the same f_1 leading to $\Delta_1 = 2a\alpha^2$ as in the XY model. We further have $f_2 = -\alpha([S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^x] - [S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,1}^x] + [S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^z] - [S_{j,2}^x S_{j+1,1}^x S_{j+1,2}^z] + a\alpha^2[S_{j+1,1}^z]$ leading to $\Delta_2 = 4a + 2a\alpha^2$. We have no term $\propto \alpha^3$ in f_3 as in the XY model; however, the spin flip effect of Eq. (5) results in many terms as $f_3 = \alpha f_3^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_3^{\alpha^2}$, where f_3^{α} and $f_3^{\alpha^2}$ have the square brackets for 2 and 4 spin. We have $(f_3, f_3) = 24a^4\alpha^2(4 + 3\alpha^2)$.

For $\alpha^2 \ll 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 6a + (3a/2)\alpha^2$ and $f_4 = -6a\alpha f_4^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_4^{\alpha^2}$, where $f_4^{\alpha} = [S_{j,1}^z S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,2}^z] - [S_{j,1}^x S_{j,2}^z S_{j+1,2}^x] + [S_{j,1}^x S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^x] - [S_{j,1}^z S_{j+1,1}^z S_{j+1,2}^x]$ and $f_4^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin. We then have $\Delta_4 = 6a + (91a/12)\alpha^2$ and $f_5 = -\alpha f_5^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_5^{\alpha^2}$, where $f_5^{\alpha} = 24a^2 [S_{j,1}^y S_{j+1,2}^z]$ and $f_5^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. The 6-spin alignments are with three on-site spin pairs around the site j:

$$(S_{j-2,1}S_{j-2,2}S_{j-1,1}S_{j-1,2}S_{j,1}S_{j,2}),$$

$$(S_{j-1,1}S_{j-1,2}S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}S_{j+1,2}),$$

$$(S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}S_{j+1,2}S_{j+2,1}S_{j+2,2}).$$
(14)

We further have $\Delta_5 = 4a + (299a/12)\alpha^2$ and $f_6 = -\alpha f_6^{\alpha} + \alpha^2 f_6^{\alpha^2}$; however, $f_6^{\alpha} = i[\sum_i S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2}, f_5^{\alpha}]_- + 4af_4^{\alpha}$ does vanish indeed. The leading order in f_6 is thus α^2 and $f_6^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin; hence we have $\Delta_6 = (2393a/24)\alpha^2$ and $f_7 = \alpha^2 f_7^{\alpha^2}$ with $f_7^{\alpha^2} = i[\sum_i S_{i,1} \cdot S_{i,2}, f_6^{\alpha^2}]_-$, which has the square brackets for 2, 4, and 6 spin. This leads to $\Delta_7 = (147\,898/7179)a$. The 6-spin alignments are identical to those in (14).

Similarly as seen in the XY model for this case, we expect to have $f_{n\geq 8} = \alpha^2 f_{n\geq 8}^{\alpha^2}$ with $f_{\text{even}\geq 8}^{\alpha^2}$ composed of the square brackets for 1, 3, and 5 spin and $f_{\text{odd}\geq 9}^{\alpha^2}$ of those for 2, 4, and 6 spin, and $\Delta_{n\geq 8} \propto a$. We observe a *dip* at n = 6 in the { Δ_n } such as {0.005, 1.005, 1.504, 1.519, 1.062, 0.249, 5.150, ...} for $\alpha^2 = 0.01$. We use Eq. (10) with l = 6 and calculate Eq. (1) to show the spectra in Fig. 1 for $\alpha^2 = 0.05^2$, 0.1^2 , and 0.15^2 . The result clearly shows the excitations around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$, 1, and 2.



FIG. 1. The well-defined local excitations of $\omega = 1$ and 2 in Re $\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ in the Heisenberg models with $\alpha^2 = 0.05^2$, 0.1^2 , and 0.15^2 . The energy unit is the on-site AF exchange integral $J_{\rm AF}$. The inset shows the low energy part.

We explain the result shown in Fig. 1 mathematically and physically. We insert the $\{\Delta_n\}$ with $\Delta_6 = 0$, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and a = 1/4 into Eq. (1) to yield

$$\overline{a}_0(z) = \frac{z(z^4 + 5z^2 + 4)}{z^2(z^4 + 5z^2 + 4) + g(z, \alpha)}$$
(15)

with $g(z, \alpha) = 0$. This is simplified as $\overline{a}_0(z) = z^{-1}$ leading to $\operatorname{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = \pi\delta(\omega)$, which means Eq. (6) is a constant of motion. In uniform chains we expect that Eq. (6) has a simple Lorentzian spectrum at $T = \infty$. On the contrary, when the alternation sets in, $g(z, \alpha) \propto \alpha^2$ for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$ splits $\delta(\omega)$ into two structures at $|\omega| =$ $|\alpha|/2$ and produces well-defined peaks, no shoulders, at $|\omega| = 1, 2$ due to $z^4 + 5z^2 + 4 = (z - 2i)(z - i)(z + 2i)(z - i)(z + 2i)(z - i)(z + 2i)(z - 2i)(z - i)(z + 2i)(z - 2i)(z$ *i*) (z + 2i). This factor results from the dominant $\{\Delta_n\}$ up to n = 5: $\{\Delta_2, \Delta_3, \Delta_4, \Delta_5\} = \{4a, 6a, 6a, 4a\}$ with a =1/4. The single *dip* in the present $\{\Delta_n\}$ reproduces these features, which are intrinsic for alternating chains not for uniform chains [27,30,31]. The spectra in Fig. 1 are compared to Eq. (7) in the Ising model and the results in the XY model for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$. The approximation of Eq. (10) gives finite widths for each spectrum superposed on the Lorentzian-like tail, the surviving $\delta(\omega)$, and almost α -independent value at $\omega = 0$.

We pay attention to the basis vectors $\{f_{n\leq 5}\}$ to classify these modes. The low energy mode around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$ is attributed to, e.g., the transition between the configurations ($\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$) and ($\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow$) for a 3-spin alignment ($S_{j,1}S_{j,2}S_{j+1,1}$). The delocalization energy of unity corresponds to the single singlet-to-triplet local excitation like a soliton, 1 = 1/4 - (-3/4), in an on-site spin pair within a 6-spin alignment in (14), and twice to the double one in two onsite spin pairs within it. A similar consideration of (14) seems to make the triple one possible; however, it cannot be observed from the present { Δ_n }, neither the quadruple one. While the ratio of the intensity at $\omega = 1, 2$ to that around $\omega = 0$ is O(0.01). Therefore, it might follow that each spin in this system fluctuates not freely at all, but with very short-ranged and very weak correlations still existing at $T = \infty$.

The autocorrelation function is related to $S(\omega) = \sum_{q} S(q, \omega)$ of neutron scattering. The spectra shown in Fig. 1 are expected to be similar to the case at high temperatures, $k_{\rm B}T \gg J_{\rm AF}$. As α^2 increases for this regime, the Lorentzian-like tail masks the intensity around $\omega = |\alpha|/2$, while those around $\omega = 1, 2$ almost unchange and do survive against the growth of the tail. See Fig. 1. When the excitations are dispersionless at high temperatures, $I(\omega)$ in Raman scattering with q = 0and $S(q, \omega)$ are then likely to show similar spectra. We further obtain $T \sum_{q} \operatorname{Im}_{\chi}(q, \omega) / \omega = \operatorname{Re}_{\overline{a}_0}(-i\omega^+).$ However, discussion on NMR relaxation rate $1/T_1$ is inappropriate because the almost α -independent value at $\omega = 0$ is an artifact of the approximation. Noting that the sign of α does not affect the $\{\Delta_n\}$, the dynamics of the AF-F and the AF-AF alternating chains are not distinguished. The energy unit of reported compounds is at most O(10K). A candidate for observation of such spectra is, e.g., $(CH_3)_2$ CHNH₃CuBr₃ with $\alpha = -0.54$ [7].

For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, we have $\Delta_3 = 9a$ and $f_4 = -a\alpha^3 f_4^{\alpha^3}$, where $f_4^{\alpha^3} = i[\sum_i S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, f_3^{\alpha^2}]$ has the square brackets for 3 spin alone. We have $\Delta_4 = (20a/3)\alpha^2$ and $f_5 = a\alpha^4 f_5^{\alpha^4}$, where $f_5^{\alpha^4} = i[\sum_i S_{i-1,2} \cdot S_{i,1}, f_4^{\alpha^3}] + (20/3)f_3^{\alpha^2}$ has the square brackets for 2 and 4 spin. This leads to $\Delta_5 = (1148/135)a\alpha^2$. Different from the *XY* model for this case, we expect to have $f_6 = O(\alpha^5)$. Such a term as in Eq. (12) is of next leading order. No dip in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ at n = 6 is expected. We again observe a *dip* at n = 3 in the $\{\Delta_n\}$ such as $\{50.0, 51.0, 2.25, 167, \ldots\}$ for $\alpha^2 = 100$. We use Eq. (10) with l = 3 and calculate Eq. (1) to obtain $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+)$ with each spectrum at $\omega = 0$ and around $\omega = \pm |\alpha|$ had nearly the same intensity and linewidth $\approx |\alpha|^{-1}$. The spectra converge to $\text{Re}\overline{a}_0(-i\omega^+) = (\pi/4)[\delta(\omega \pm |\alpha|) + 2\delta(\omega)]$ as $|\alpha| \to \infty$ when the alternation infinitely strengthens.

Equation (2) at $T = \infty$ for $\alpha = 0$, $\langle A(t)A \rangle / \langle A^2 \rangle = 1$, decreases with an envelope of $\pm \exp(-t/\tau)$ for $\alpha^2 \ll 1$ both in the *XY* and the Heisenberg models. For $\alpha^2 \gg 1$, while it has an envelope of $+ \exp(-t/\tau')$ in the Heisenberg model and converges to $(1 + \cos \alpha t)/2$, it has a slower tail in the *XY* model and converges to $\cos \omega_0 t (1 + \cos \alpha t)/2$.

In summary, we have investigated the dynamics of S = 1/2 alternating chains in limiting cases at $T = \infty$ in terms of the continued fraction formalism with recurrence relations, to obtain the well-defined delocalization models of J_{AF} and $2J_{AF}$. In this system very short-ranged and very weak correlations might survive dynamically at $T = \infty$. We have also raised the possibility of observing these modes in neutron and Raman scattering at high temperatures, $k_BT \gg J_{AF}$. These features are manifest in the dip in the continued fraction coefficients { Δ_n }.

The author thanks H. Harashina, Y. Kobayashi, S. Kokado, H. Maebashi, H. Manaka, K. Miyake, S. Miyashita, O. Narikiyo, Y. Ōno, K. Sano, M. Sato, M. Sigrist, N. Suzuki, K. Takano, I. Yamada, and K. Yamada for discussions. He is supported by the JSPS for Young Scientists.

*Electronic address: sawada@eagle.mp.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

- [1] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Lett. **93A**, 464 (1983).
- [2] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1153 (1983).
- [3] M. Hase, I. Terasaki, and K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3651 (1993).
- [4] J. J. Borrás-Almenar, E. Coronado, J. Curely, R. Georges, and J. C. Gianduzzo, Inorg. Chem. 33, 5171 (1994).
- [5] H. Manaka, I. Yamada, and K. Yamaguchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 564 (1997).
- [6] M. Hagiwara, Y. Narumi, K. Kindo, T.C. Kobayashi, H. Yamakage, K. Amaya, and G. Schumauch, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1792 (1997).
- [7] H. Manaka and I. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1908 (1997).
- [8] M. Aïn, J.E. Lorenzo, L.P. Regnault, G. Dhalenne, A. Revcolevschi, B. Hennion, and Th. Jolicoeur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1560 (1997).
- [9] W. Duffy, Jr. and K. P. Barr, Phys. Rev. 165, 647 (1968).
- [10] M.C. Cross and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 19, 402 (1979).
- [11] T. Nakano and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 46, 1679 (1980).
- [12] K. Hida, Phys. Rev. B 45, 2207 (1992).
- [13] S. Kokado and N. Suzuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 3605 (1997).
- [14] S. Kokado and N. Suzuki, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 196– 197, 566 (1999).
- [15] J. H. H. Perk and H. W. Capel, Physica (Amsterdam) 100A, 1 (1980).
- [16] J.H. Taylor and G. Müller, Physica (Amsterdam) 130A, 1 (1985).
- [17] K. Kawasaki, N. Maya, A. Kouzuki, and K. Nakamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 839 (1997).
- [18] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 34, 399 (1965).
- [19] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33, 423 (1965).
- [20] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 12, 570 (1957).
- [21] H. Okamoto, K. Nagano, T. Karasudani, and H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 66, 53 (1981).
- [22] M.H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 26, 2547 (1982).
- [23] M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1072 (1982).
- [24] M.H. Lee and J. Hong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 634 (1982).
- [25] J. Hong and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1972 (1993).
- [26] M. H. Lee, I. M. Kim, and R. Dekeyser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1579 (1984).
- [27] J. Florencio, Jr. and M. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 35, 1835 (1987).
- [28] C. Lee and S.I. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1061 (1989).
- [29] S. Sen, Proc. R. Soc. London A 441, 169 (1993).
- [30] V.S. Viswanath and G. Müller, *The Recursion Method* (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [31] S. Sen, Physica (Amsterdam) 222A, 195 (1995).
- [32] S. Sen and T. D. Blersch, Physica (Amsterdam) **253A**, 178 (1998).
- [33] J. Hong and H.-Y. Kee, Phys. Rev. B 52, 2415 (1995).
- [34] H.-Y. Kee and J. Hong, Phys. Rev. B 55, 5670 (1997).
- [35] Equation (5) is also written in the literature as $H = J_{AF} \sum_{i} S_{2i} \cdot S_{2i+1} \alpha \sum_{i} S_{2i-1} \cdot S_{2i}$, where Eq. (6) takes the form $A = S_{2l}^{z} + S_{2l+1}^{z}$.