## Negative-Ion Enhancements in Electron-Stimulated Desorption of CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> Coadsorbed with Nonpolar and Polar Gases on Ru(0001)

Q.-B. Lu and Theodore E. Madey

Laboratory for Surface Modification, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-8019 (Received 20 January 1999)

Electron-stimulated desorption of  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  from submonolayer  $CF_2Cl_2$ -covered Ru(0001) is strongly affected by coadsorption of polar molecules (H<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>) and nonpolar rare-gas atoms (Xe, Kr, and Ar). Giant anionic enhancements (up to  $10^2-10^4$ ) are observed for polar molecules, which are several orders of magnitude higher than by nonpolar atoms. The giant enhancements are attributed to enhanced dissociation of  $CF_2Cl_2$  by attachment of self-trapped electrons in polar molecules. [S0031-9007(99)09120-6]

PACS numbers: 79.20.La, 34.80.Ht, 31.50.+w, 71.35.Gg

The study of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) is a subject of widespread interest. Because of industrial activities, CFCs, mainly CFCl<sub>3</sub> (CFC-11) and CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (CFC-12), have been emitted into the atmosphere and are believed to cause depletion of the ozone layer via production of Cl atoms from photodissociation [1].

Dissociative electron attachment (DEA) to produce negative ions is an extremely efficient process in collisions of low-energy electrons with gas- and condensed-phase CFC molecules [2,3]. For condensed-phase molecules, negative-ion yields may be increased by changes in the surrounding medium. There are several mechanisms proposed to explain anionic enhancements observed in various experiments. The F<sup>-</sup> yield from electronstimulated desorption (ESD) of ~1 monolayer (ML) of PF<sub>3</sub> adsorbed on a Ru(0001) is enhanced by 1.5-4 when a  $\sim 1$  ML rare gas (RG) (Xe, Kr) or water film is deposited on the  $PF_3$  monolayer [4]. This enhancement was attributed to a dielectric screening effect [5]: The dielectric layer on the surface induces a potential barrier that increases the ion survival probability. Moreover, in ESD of fractional-monolayer molecules (ABs) absorbed on top of thick RG films, negative-ion enhancements due to the formation of anionic excitons (RG\*-) were observed [6]. A resonant coupling between a core-excited  $AB^{\ast -}$  and an anionic exciton  $(RG^{\ast -})$  has been proposed [6]:  $RG^{*-} + AB \rightarrow AB^{*-} + RG$ , followed by dissociation of AB\*-. In addition, Nagesha and Sanche [7] have recently reported an increase by  $\sim 2.5$  of the DEA cross section when 0.1 ML CF<sub>3</sub>Cl is sandwiched between two 13 ML Kr layers relative to absorption on a 13 ML Kr surface; this is interpreted in terms of the *R*-matrix model: The added Kr layer enhances the polarization energy on CF<sub>3</sub>Cl<sup>-</sup> to increase the survival probability of the resonance against autodetachment. In their experiments, they measured the charge trapped in the dielectric films, rather than desorption of negative ions, and could not distinguish whether the charge is trapped in a stabilized  $CF_3Cl^-$  or a dissociated  $Cl^-$  fragment [8].

In this Letter, we report the effect of coadsorbed polar molecules (H<sub>2</sub>O and NH<sub>3</sub>) and nonpolar rare-gas atoms (Ar, Kr, and Xe) on  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  yields in ESD of  $CF_2Cl_2$ covered Ru(0001) with various coverages. A striking difference between polar and nonpolar coadsorbates is found: For polar molecules the maximum F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements are huge, up to factors of  $10^2$  and  $10^4$ , respectively, which are several orders of magnitude higher than for nonpolar coadsorbates. The giant anionic enhancements are attributed to enhanced dissociation of CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> by the capture of self-trapped electrons by polar molecules. In addition, the resonant coupling (RC) mechanism is manifest in the difference of the Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements between nonpolar RGs. It is also observed that anionic enhancements increase strongly with decreasing CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> coverage, which is attributed to intermolecular deexcitation effects. Giant Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements caused by the attachment of self-trapped electrons to CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> on the surface of polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) may be of great importance to the creation of the Antarctic ozone hole [9], as PSCs of water ice are formed over Antarctica [10] and  $Cl^{-}$  can be converted into Cl atoms which then destroy  $O_3$ .

The experiments were conducted in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber with a base pressure  $\sim 4 \times 10^{-11}$  torr [4,11]. An electron-stimulated desorption ion angular distribution (ESDIAD) detector with time-of-flight capability permits a direct measurement of the total yield and the angular distribution of a specific ion species. The Ru(0001) crystal can be cooled to 25 K with a closed-cycle helium refrigerator and heated to 1600 K by electron bombardment. Purified CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and other gases (Ar, Kr, Xe, H<sub>2</sub>O, and NH<sub>3</sub>) are, respectively, dosed onto the surface at 25 K with two separate directional dosers. Their coverages are determined using thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS); one monolayer is defined as the coverage corresponding to the saturation of the monolayer peak in TDS spectra [4,11]. The energy of the electron beam is 250 eV. During electron bombardment, the metal substrate is a source of lowenergy secondary electrons, which have a maximum yield at 0-2 eV and a high-energy tail extending to  $\geq 10 \text{ eV}$ . Low-energy electrons play a dominant role in the electroninduced dissociation of CFCs [2,3,11]. The electron current is adjustable between 0.05 and 20 nA with a beam size  $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$  and the collection time for each data point is 5 sec, to avoid detector saturation and to minimize beam damage. The anionic enhancements are independent of the electron current (and dose).

ESDIAD patterns of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions from adsorbed  $CF_2Cl_2$  show that desorption of both  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  is along the surface normal [11]. The emission direction of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> is not changed by coadsorption. The variations of the F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> yields as functions of Xe coverage for various CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> precoverages are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). For the lowest  $CF_2Cl_2$  coverages both  $F^-$  and  $Cl^$ yields increase greatly with the initial Xe deposition, exhibit a maximum about 10 times their initial value at nearly 1.5 ML of Xe, and finally decrease to zero intensity at  $\sim$ 3.5 ML of Xe. Similar enhancements of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> are observed for respective coadsorption with Kr and Ar, but the enhancement of  $Cl^-$  is in the order  $Xe > Kr \ge Ar$ . The decrease of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> with high coadsorbate coverage is due to elastic and inelastic scattering as the desorbed ions pass through the overlayer [4]. Both the  $F^+$  and  $Cl^+$ 

yields show a monotonic attenuation and quickly disappear with increasing coadsorbate coverage [12], suggesting that coadsorption results in neither the aggregation of  $CF_2Cl_2$ molecules (the formation of islands) nor an exchange of adsorption sites of  $CF_2Cl_2$  with coadsorbates [12]. Shown in Fig. 2(a) is the maximum value of enhancement of the  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  yields as a function of  $CF_2Cl_2$  precoverage on Ru(0001) with coadsorption of Xe, Kr, and Ar, respectively. The  $Cl^-$  data for  $CF_2Cl_2$  coverages <0.3 ML are not available, as the initial  $Cl^-$  yield prior to RG deposition is too low for reliable measurements [11]. For three RGs in Fig. 2(a), the  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  enhancement for Xe coadsorption is appreciably larger than for the other cases.

For coadsorption of  $CF_2Cl_2$  with polar  $H_2O$  and  $NH_3$ , much stronger enhancements of  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  are observed, as shown in Fig. 2(b). For  $H_2O$  coadsorption,  $F^-$  and  $Cl^$ exhibit maximum enhancements by nearly 2 orders of magnitude at about 1 ML of  $H_2O$ . Qualitatively similar results are observed for the coadsorption of  $NH_3$ , but the magnitudes of enhancements of  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  are much larger: The maximum  $NH_3$ -induced  $F^-$  enhancement for 0.1 ML  $CF_2Cl_2$  is ~200 times; the  $Cl^-$  enhancement for 0.3 ML  $CF_2Cl_2$  is a factor of ~3 × 10<sup>4</sup>. The corresponding  $Cl^$ desorption cross section for the maximum enhancement



FIG. 1. Relative  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  (b) yields from 250 eV electrons incident onto various coverages of  $CF_2Cl_2$  on Ru(0001) as a function of Xe coverage, where the data in each set are normalized to the initial value at 0 Xe coverage.



FIG. 2. Maximum magnitude of  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  enhancements versus  $CF_2Cl_2$  coverage (a) for nonpolar Xe, Kr, and Ar coadsorption; (b) for polar  $H_2O$  and  $NH_3$  coadsorption.

is  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup>. However, the total cross section for Cl<sup>-</sup> formation induced by electrons is much greater than the Cl<sup>-</sup> desorption cross section, since most of the dissociated Cl<sup>-</sup> ions are captured at the surface due to the image potential [11]. For an identical CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> precoverage, the enhancement of Cl<sup>-</sup> is much larger than that of F<sup>-</sup> for either H<sub>2</sub>O or NH<sub>3</sub> coadsorption. From Fig. 2, it is seen that the magnitudes of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements rapidly decrease with increasing CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> coverage.

Before we discuss the above results, it is useful to summarize the DEA results of  $CF_2Cl_2$ . Gas- and condensedphase experiments have established that there are two single-particle resonances at electron energies of nearly 0 and 3.0 eV associated with two vibrationally excited states of the molecule, leading to the ejection of  $Cl^-$  and  $F^-$ , respectively [2,3]. For the condensed phase, there is an additional core-excited Feshbach resonance centered around 7.0 eV associated with the first electronically excited state of the molecule, which leads exclusively to  $Cl^-$  [2]. We have recently studied the ESD of adsorbed  $CF_2Cl_2$  on Ru(0001) from 0 to 5 ML [11]. At an incident electron energy of hundreds of eV, the dominant product is  $F^-$ ; the  $Cl^-$  yield is much smaller.

Consider the mechanisms for the observed negative-ion enhancement. First, we note that neither the dielectric screening effect [5] nor the *R*-matrix model [7] can account for the observed strongly CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-precoveragedependent enhancements of F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>; molecular precoverage is not an input parameter in these model calculations [5,7]. Moreover, the dielectric screening effect would predict greater anionic enhancements for H<sub>2</sub>O than for NH<sub>3</sub> coadsorption, as the dielectric constant  $\varepsilon$  of H<sub>2</sub>O is greater than that of NH<sub>3</sub> [13]. This is not consistent with the observed results. Second, the observed anionic enhancements cannot be attributed to the increases in secondary electron emission (SEE) from the substrate caused by coadsorbates. In fact, it is observed that coadsorption of either 1 ML H<sub>2</sub>O or 1 ML  $NH_3$  with 0.3 ML CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> results in a smaller increase (by a factor of  $\sim 1.3 \pm 0.1$ ) of the SEE yield than 1 ML Xe coadsorption (by a factor of  $\sim 1.6 \pm 0.1$ ) [12].

The trends for Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements with coadsorption of three RGs are consistent with the RC mechanism, in which anionic excitons (RG\*-) couple with varying degrees of effectiveness to core-excited CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>\* resonance at  $\sim 7.0 \text{ eV}$  leading to Cl<sup>-</sup> desorption [2]. Here, RG\*- excitons are created by secondary electrons from the metal substrate during electron bombardment. One can expect a strong coupling of the 7.0 eV  $CF_2Cl_2^{*-}$ resonance with a  $Xe^{*-}$  exciton (~7.7 eV), a weak coupling with  $Kr^{*-}$  (9.7 eV), and a negligible coupling with  $Ar^{*-}$  (11.6 eV) [6], although the energies of both the  $CF_2Cl_2^{*-}$  resonance and the  $RG^{*-}$  excitons near the metallic surface are expected to be lowered by the image potential. This prediction is in agreement with the observed results. However, the RC mechanism is not helpful in predicting the great difference of negative-ion

enhancements between polar and nonpolar coadsorbates. Negative-ion resonances in gas-phase water and ammonia are observed at 6.5 and 5.6 eV, respectively, and their cross sections are  $\sigma(NH_3^{*-}) < \sigma(H_2O^{*-})$  [14]. Based on the energy matching requirement [6], RC would predict a strong enhancement of Cl<sup>-</sup> for CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> coadsorption with H<sub>2</sub>O than with NH<sub>3</sub>. This is not consistent with the observed results. Most significantly, the  $CF_2Cl_2^*$ resonance at  $\sim 3.0$  eV resulting in the production of F<sup>-</sup> is a single-particle resonance associated with the electronic ground state of the molecule. Thus, RC would lead to no F<sup>-</sup> enhancement for both polar and nonpolar coadsorbates. These facts lead to the conclusion that RC may be operative, but is not the major mechanism responsible for the giant Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements observed for coadsorption with polar molecules, and is not the mechanism for the F<sup>-</sup> enhancements for all coadsorbates.

Liquid ammonia and water are both very efficient electron solvents [15,16]. Each excess (solvated) electron in these polar media is momentarily trapped within an attractive potential well created by orienting the molecules that surround it, and is called a self-trapped electron. Similar "bubblelike" structures to induce electron self-trapping are also found in liquid helium and neon with negative electron affinities (the conduction-band minimum is above the vacuum level) [17]. In contrast, an excess electron in most nonpolar liquids with positive electron affinities, such as heavier rare gases (Ar, Kr, or Xe) and CH<sub>4</sub>, has a high mobility, remaining in a quasifree state. A similar proposal has been made quite recently by Nagesha and Sanche [18]. In the case of solids, self-trapped carriers are often described as small polarons [19,20].

In the present case, secondary electrons from the substrate are injected into the water or ammonia molecular layer, where some of them become self-trapped and thus have long lifetimes. When a  $CF_2Cl_2$  molecule is also present on the surface, a trapped electron tunneling to the molecule is expected to form a vibrationally excited intermediate state which then dissociates

$$e^{-} + m \mathrm{NH}_{3}(n \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}) \rightarrow e_{S}^{-}(\mathrm{NH}_{3})_{m} \text{ or } e_{S}^{-}(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O})_{n},$$
(1a)

$$e_s^- + \operatorname{CF}_2\operatorname{Cl}_2 \to \operatorname{CF}_2\operatorname{Cl}_2^{*-} \to \operatorname{Cl}^- + \operatorname{CF}_2\operatorname{Cl}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\rightarrow F^- + CFCl_2, \qquad (1c)$$

where,  $e_S^-$  denotes a self-trapped electron. It is well known that the cross section for Cl<sup>-</sup> formation [Eq. (1b)] is much larger than for F<sup>-</sup> [Eq. (1c)] in the gas phase [2,3]. In fact, the generation of solvated electron by dissolving alkali-metal atoms in liquid solvents has been applied to dehalogenation of environmentally hazardous halogenated organic compounds, in which halogen atoms are reduced to halogen ions [21,22] and dechlorination can be much more efficient than defluorination. This qualitatively agrees with the present observation that the magnitude of enhancement of Cl<sup>-</sup> is much larger than that of F<sup>-</sup> for H<sub>2</sub>O or NH<sub>3</sub> coadsorption. Mackenzie *et al.*  [22] have achieved a high dehalogenation efficiency of halogenated hydrocarbons by using liquid ammonia as a solvent at a low temperature (223 K) and extended the method to dehalogenation of solids. An important implication is that the dehalogenation of contaminated solids is a diffusion-controlled reaction, depending on the mobility of the solvated electrons [22].

The electric dipole moment of water (1.84 D) is larger than that of ammonia (1.47 D) [23]. As a result, the excess electrons are more easily self-trapped in water than in ammonia due to the stronger electron-dipole interaction in water, and the mobility of electrons in water is much lower than in ammonia at the same temperature [23]. For nondiffusion-controlled reactions, the reaction rate constant of solvated electrons in water with neutral molecules is typically several orders of magnitude higher than in ammonia [13]. For diffusion-controlled reactions, in contrast, the reaction rate constant in liquid ammonia is much higher than in water due to the higher diffusion coefficient of electrons in ammonia [13]. Thus, the present observation that anionic enhancement by ammonia is much higher than by water is consistent with what is expected for diffusion-controlled electron transfer from water or ammonia molecules to CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

The above assignment of giant anionic enhancements as due to the dissociative attachment of self-trapped electrons in the condensed-phase polar molecules is supported by the fact that for  $CF_2Cl_2$  on Ru coadsorbed with polar molecules, the  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  enhancements are 1-3 orders of magnitude larger than for coadsorption with nonpolar RG atoms (Ar, Kr, and Xe); the enhancements by coadsorption with nonpolar molecules (e.g., CH<sub>4</sub>, which is isoelectronic with  $NH_3$  and  $H_2O$ ) are also small, comparable to those by RG atoms [12]. Although the processes expressed in Eq. (1) can also occur for nonpolar coadsorbates, excess electrons injected into most nonpolar media remain in quasifree states and thus have short lifetimes, as mentioned above. Most excess electrons quickly decay into the substrate with a small probability of capture by CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> leading to F<sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> enhancements much smaller than those caused by polar molecules.

Finally, we briefly discuss why the magnitude of negative-ion enhancement always decreases with increasing  $CF_2Cl_2$  coverage (Fig. 2). It has long been recognized that among electron attachment processes, a transient ion  $AB^{*-}$  (a vibrationally excited state or an electronically excited state) may release its excess internal energy by collisions with another body to become a nondissociative low-lying  $AB^-$  state if the electron affinity of AB is positive [14]. The process can be expressed as

$$e_S^- + AB \rightarrow AB^{*-} + M \rightarrow AB^- + M + \text{energy},$$
(2)

where M is a third body (another AB molecule or a

different species). This is the well-known three-body attachment; an example is the formation of  $O_2^-$  by electron impact with  $O_2$  in the upper atmosphere. A three-body process may transfer a dissociative  $CF_2Cl_2^{*-}$  state into a stabilized  $CF_2Cl_2^-$  state, since  $CF_2Cl_2$  has a positive electron affinity of 0.4 eV [24], the same as that of  $O_2$ . Moreover, charge transfer between neighboring molecules may also occur as coverage increases, reducing the lifetime of the transient  $AB^{*-}$  state. For  $CF_2Cl_2^{*-}$ , these processes are expected to show a strong dependence on the availability of neighboring  $CF_2Cl_2$ , and hence on the surface density. Intermolecular deexcitation effects may therefore explain the strong dependence of the  $F^-$  and  $Cl^-$  enhancements on  $CF_2Cl_2$  coverage.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. CHE-9705476.

- M.J. Molina and F.S. Rowland, Nature (London) 249, 810 (1974).
- [2] E. Illenberger, Chem. Rev. 92, 1589 (1992).
- [3] A. Kiendler et al., J. Phys. B 29, 6217 (1996).
- [4] M. Akbulut, N. J. Sack, and T. E. Madey, Surf. Sci. Rep. 28, 117 (1997); Phys. Rev. B 54, 5130 (1996).
- [5] M. Akbulut, T.E. Madey, and P. Norlander, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 2801 (1997).
- [6] P. Rowntree et al., Phys. Rev. B 47, 4537 (1993).
- [7] K. Nagesha and L. Sanche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4725 (1997).
- [8] F. Weik et al., J. Phys. Chem. B 102, 824 (1998).
- [9] Q.-B. Lu and T. E. Madey (to be published).
- [10] S. Solomon, Nature (London) 347, 347 (1990).
- [11] Q.-B. Lu, Z. Ma, and T.E. Madey, Phys. Rev. B 58, 16446 (1998).
- [12] Q.-B. Lu and T.E. Madey (to be published).
- [13] U. Schindewolf and P. Wünschel, Can. J. Chem. 55, 2159 (1977).
- [14] L.G. Christophorou, D.L. McCorkle, and A.A. Christodoulides, in *Electron-Molecule Interactions and Their Applications*, edited by L.G. Christophorou (Academic Press, Orlando, 1984), Vol. 1, Chap. 6.
- [15] On solvated electrons, see the general discussion in Faraday Discuss. **85**, 391 (1988).
- [16] Excess Electrons in Dielectric Media, edited by C. Ferradini and J. Jay-Gerin (CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1991).
- [17] M. Magat, Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem. 75, 666 (1971).
- [18] K. Nagesha and L. Sanche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5892 (1998).
- [19] D. Emin, Phys. Today 35, No. 6, 34 (1982).
- [20] N.-H. Ge et al., Science 279, 202 (1998).
- [21] A. Oku, K. Kimura, and M. Sato, Chem. Lett. 1988, 1789 (1988).
- [22] K. Mackenzie, F.-D. Kopinke, and M. Remmler, Chemosphere 33, 1495 (1996).
- [23] P. Krebs, J. Phys. Chem. 88, 3702 (1984).
- [24] H. Dispert and K. Lacmann, Int. J. Mass. Spectrom. Ion Phys. 28, 49 (1978).