## **Observation of Metastable States in Spinor Bose-Einstein Condensates**

H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, J. Stenger, S. Inouye, A. P. Chikkatur, and W. Ketterle

*Department of Physics and Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,*

*Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

(Received 10 November 1998)

Bose-Einstein condensates have been prepared in long-lived metastable excited states. Two complementary types of metastable states were observed. The first is due to the immiscibility of multiple components in the condensate, and the second to local suppression of spin-relaxation collisions. Relaxation via recondensation of noncondensed atoms, spin relaxation, and quantum tunneling was observed. These experiments were done with  $F = 1$  spinor Bose-Einstein condensates of sodium confined in an optical dipole trap. [S0031-9007(99)08657-3]

PACS numbers: 03.75.Fi, 05.30.Jp, 64.60.My, 67.40.Fd

Metastable states of matter, excited states which relax only slowly to the ground state, are commonly encountered. This slow relaxation often arises from the presence of free-energy barriers that prevent a system from directly evolving toward its ground state; if the thermal energy to overcome this barrier is not available, the metastable state may be long lived.

Many properties of Bose-Einstein condensates in dilute atomic gases [1–4] arise from metastability; indeed, such condensates are themselves metastable, since the true equilibrium state is a solid at these low temperatures. Bose-Einstein condensates in gases with attractive interactions [3] are metastable against collapse due to a kinetic energy barrier [5]. The persistence of rotations in condensates with repulsive interactions hinges on whether vortices are metastable in singly [6] or multiply connected [7,8] geometries. Similarly, dark solitons in restricted geometries are predicted to be long lived [9] akin to the recently observed metastable states in superfluid <sup>3</sup>He-B [10]. Finally, Pu and Bigelow discussed spatial distributions of two-species condensates which are metastable due to repulsion between the two species [11].

In this Letter, we report on the observation of two complementary types of metastability in  $F = 1$ spinor Bose-Einstein condensates of sodium. In one, a two-component condensate in the  $|F = 1, m_F = 1, 0\rangle$ hyperfine states was stable in spin composition, but spontaneously formed a metastable spatial arrangement of spin domains. In the other, a single component  $|m_F = 0\rangle$ condensate was metastable in spin composition with respect to the development of  $|m_F = \pm 1\rangle$  ground-state spin domains. In both cases, the energy barriers which caused the metastability (as low as 0.1 nK) were much smaller than the temperature of the gas (about 100 nK) which would suggest a rapid thermal relaxation. However, since the thermal energy is only available to noncondensed atoms, this thermal relaxation was slowed considerably due to the high condensate fraction and the extreme diluteness of the noncondensed cloud.

Spinor Bose-Einstein condensates were prepared as in previous work [12]. Condensates in the  $|F = 1, m_F =$ 

 $-1$ ) state were created in a magnetic trap [13] and then transferred to an optical dipole trap formed by a single infrared laser beam [14]. The beam was weakly focused, producing cigar-shaped traps with depths of  $1-2 \mu K$ , radial trap frequencies of about 500 Hz, and aspect ratios of about 70. After transfer, Landau-Zener rf-sweeps placed the optically trapped atoms into the desired initial spin states. Condensates were then held for as long as 20 s during which time the condensate numbers decayed from about  $10^6$  to  $10^5$ . Condensate densities ranged from  $(5 \text{ to } 1) \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .

The spin-state distribution along the long axis of the optical trap was probed by a Stern-Gerlach spin separation combined with time-of-flight imaging [12]. After a sudden switch off of the optical trap, the condensate expanded primarily radially. After 5 ms, a magnetic field gradient of several  $G/cm$  separated the different spin-state populations without distorting them. Axial striations observed in timeof-flight images therefore reflected the spatial structure of the trapped condensate. After a total time-of-flight of 15–30 ms, the atoms were optically pumped to the  $|F =$  $2, m_F = 2$ ) hyperfine state, and imaged with resonant light.

For our first set of experiments, a condensate in a superposition of the  $|F = 1, m_F = 1, 0\rangle$  hyperfine states was prepared by first transferring all of the atoms to the  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  state with an adiabatic rf-sweep at low field (1 G), and then transferring half of the population to the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state with a nonadiabatic sweep at high field (15 G). The sample then evolved freely in a 15 G bias field which prevented the formation of  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  spin domains due to the large quadratic Zeeman energy barrier [12].

Following their preparation, the two spin components began to separate radially (Fig. 1, sideways in images). Within 50 ms, axial density striations were observed, indicating the formation of  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  spin domains. The striations were initially angled due to radial excitations which tilted the domain walls at various angles to the long axis of the cloud. After about 100 ms, the striations became horizontal, indicating the dissipation of radial motion and the orientation of the domain walls



FIG. 1. Spontaneous formation of metastable states in a 15 G bias field. Condensates were probed at various times after an overlapping  $m_F = 1, 0$  mixed condensate was prepared. The  $m_F = 1$  (top) and  $m_F = 0$  (bottom) states were separated during their free expansion. Striations reflect the presence of alternating  $\approx 40 \mu$ m axial spin domains which form 50 ms after state preparation. Only a 200  $\mu$ m slice of the cloud was imaged, by using a narrow optical pumping beam, to improve resolution. Arrows indicate the axial and radial directions in the optical trap. The height of each image is 1.3 mm.

perpendicular to the axis of the cloud. The spin domains reached typical sizes of 40  $\pm$  15  $\mu$ m, slightly larger than their size after 50 ms. Thereafter, the clouds were essentially unchanged—only the total number of atoms diminished due to three-body losses. Matching the images of the  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  spin distributions showed that these long-lived states consist of persistent, alternating  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  spin domains.

Phase separation in two-component condensate mixtures is predicted by mean-field theory [15–18]. The meanfield interaction energy of such condensates is given by  $2\pi\hbar^2/m \times (n_a^2a_a + n_b^2a_b + 2n_an_ba_{ab}),$  where *m* is the common atomic mass,  $n_a$  and  $n_b$  are the densities of each of the components,  $a_a$  and  $a_b$  are the same-species scattering lengths, and  $a_{ab}$  is the scattering length for interspecies collisions. The mean-field energy is minimized by phase separation when the scattering lengths obey the relation  $a_{ab} > \sqrt{a_a a_b}$ . At low magnetic fields, the scattering lengths in the  $F = 1$  spinor system are determined by  $a_{F_{\text{tot}}=0}$  and  $a_{F_{\text{tot}}=2}$  which describe collisions between atoms with total angular momentum  $F_{\text{tot}} = 0, 2$ [19]. Defining  $\bar{a} = (2a_{F_{tot}=2} + a_{F_{tot}=0})/3$  and  $\Delta a =$  $(a_{F_{tot}=2} - a_{F_{tot}=0})/3$ , the scattering lengths for the  $m_F =$ 1, 0) two-component system are given by  $a_0 = \bar{a}$ , and  $a_1 = a_{01} = \bar{a} + \Delta a$ . Since  $\Delta a > 0$  [12],  $a_{01} > \sqrt{a_0 a_1}$ and the components phase separate. Interestingly, this phase separation should not occur in the noncondensed cloud because the same-species mean-field interaction energies are doubled due to exchange terms.

The tendency of a two-component mixture to phase separate implies the presence of imaginary frequencies for out-of-phase collective excitations of the overlapping components (spin waves) [18,20]. This means that such condensates are unstable, and slight perturbations grow exponentially. In our experiment, density and magnetic field inhomogeneities may yield slight perturbations in the initial state, corresponding to an initial distribution of spin wave excitations. The spontaneous formation of spin domains thus constitutes an observation of spin waves with imaginary frequencies at wavelengths of  $10-50 \mu m$ , corresponding to the size of the spin domains which are initially formed.

The many-domain spin distribution is a macroscopically occupied excited state; the ground state of the two-component system would contain only one domain

each of  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  and  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  atoms, minimizing the surface energy of the domain walls [21]. For the many-domain state to decay directly to the ground state, the two condensate components would have to either overlap or else pass by each other without overlapping. Such motion is energetically forbidden: the former due to the mean-field energy (typically 50 Hz or 2.5 nK [12]), and the latter due to the large kinetic energy required to vary the condensate wave function radially (the condensate is about 5  $\mu$ m wide, yielding a kinetic energy barrier of 50–100 Hz) [22]. For this reason, the excited states we observe are metastable. In comparison, experiments on two-component mixtures of <sup>87</sup>Rb showed no metastability, due in part to the nearly spherical traps (aspect ratio of  $1/\sqrt{8}$ ) which were used [23].

The typical size of the metastable spin domains can be understood by considering the width of the domain walls  $(\approx 3 \mu m)$  [24]). Spin domains smaller than this width do not prevent the tunneling of unlike-spin atoms through the domain, and thus small spin domains are free to migrate through the cloud and coalesce with other like-spin domains. Spin domains which are several times larger than the boundary width prevent the tunneling of atoms across the domain, and are thus stable. Metastable domains shorter than  $\approx$ 25  $\mu$ m were not observed, although the above description suggests that domains as short as  $\approx$ 10  $\mu$ m could be stable. This may be due to either an axial smearing of small domains during their free expansion prior to imaging, or perhaps the destabilization of small domains by the initial radial excitations in the trapped cloud.

The spontaneously formed metastable states persisted for at least 20 s in the absence of an externally applied magnetic field gradient. The lifetime of the metastable state was shortened by applying a magnetic field gradient along the axis of the trap. At field gradients of  $0.1 \text{ G/cm}$ or greater, the metastable states decayed to the ground state within experimentally accessible times of 10 s or less.

To study this decay with a well-defined initial state, we first created a metastable two-domain system. A strong magnetic field gradient was applied, causing the many spin domains to collapse into the ground state of two domains with the  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  domain at the high-field end of the cloud. A weaker field gradient  $(0.1 \text{ G/cm})$  was then applied in the opposite direction, which energetically

favored the rearrangement of the spin domains at opposite ends of the cloud. In spite of this gradient, the twodomain system was metastable (Fig. 2). It decayed slowly to equilibrium via two processes: a slow process which dominated for the first 12 s, and a fast process (between 12 and 13 s) which suddenly depleted the metastable domains.

The slow process caused a gradual increase in the number of atoms in the two ground-state spin domains, which saturated at about  $5 \times 10^4$  atoms in each. The rate of accumulation did not depend strongly on condensate density, which was varied by decreasing the total number of atoms before creating the metastable state. Thus, the slow process is probably due to recondensation of the dilute thermal cloud into ground-state spin domains, driven by a difference  $\Delta \mu$  between the chemical potential of the thermal cloud and the energy of the ground state.

Studies of the formation of one-component Bose condensates in harmonic traps [25,26] considered the case  $T \gg \mu$ , where *T* is the temperature of the cloud, and  $\mu$ is the chemical potential of the condensate ( $\mu > 0$  due to interactions). For optically trapped Bose condensates, the temperature is about  $1/10$  of the optical trap depth due to evaporation, i.e.,  $T \approx 100$  nK [14]. For typical densities of  $3 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 220$  nK  $> T$ . Thus, previous studies of condensate formation may not apply to these metastable states.

The sudden decay to the ground state after the slow relaxation indicated the onset of a faster relaxation mechanism, which occurred once the decaying condensate had reached a critical density. The dependence of this critical density on the applied field gradient suggests the onset of quantum tunneling across the metastable spin domains. Such behavior will be reported in greater detail elsewhere.

The metastable states discussed so far involved multicomponent mixtures stable in composition, but metastable in their spatial distribution. Conversely, we identified another form of metastability in which a single component condensate was stable in its spatial distribution, but metastable in its hyperfine composition. This was observed in spinor condensates with an overall spin projection  $\langle F_z \rangle = 0$  along the magnetic field axis. Condensates were prepared using Landau-Zener rf-sweeps at high fields (30 G) in one of two ways: Either the entire trapped



FIG. 2. Relaxation of metastable states in a 15 G axial bias field and a  $0.1$  G/cm gradient. Images show metastable (outer) and ground-state (inner) spin domains of  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F =$ 0) atoms, probed at various times after state preparation. The metastable domains decayed slowly for 12 s before tunneling quickly to the ground state. The direction of the field gradient  $(B')$  is indicated. The height of each image is 1.3 mm.

condensate was placed in the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state by a single rf-sweep, or the condensate was placed in a 50-50 mixture of the  $|m_F = +1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  states using two rf-sweeps. The condensates were then allowed to reach the ground state at a magnetic bias field  $B_0$  and axial field gradient B<sup>'</sup>. The ground-state spin structure was studied previously as a function of  $B_0$  and  $B'$  in Ref. [12].

The evolution to equilibrium from the two starting conditions was quite different (Fig. 3). When starting from the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state, the condensate remained in that state for about three seconds before evolving over the next few seconds to the ground state. On the other hand, starting from an equal mixture of  $|m_F = +1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$ atoms, the fraction of atoms in the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state grew without delay, arriving at equilibrium within just 200 ms.

This difference can be understood by considering a spin-relaxation collision, in which two  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  atoms collide to produce a  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and a  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  atom. In the presence of a magnetic field  $B_0$ , quadratic Zeeman shifts cause the energy of the two  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  atoms to be lower than that of the  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  atoms by 2  $\times$  390 Hz  $\times$  ( $B_0/G$ )<sup>2</sup>. Because of this activation energy, condensate atoms in the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state cannot undergo spin-relaxation collisions. Thus, even though the creation of  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  spin domains at the ends of the condensate is energetically favored *globally* in the presence of a magnetic field gradient, the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$ condensate cannot overcome the *local* energy barrier for spin relaxation. On the other hand, condensate atoms in the  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  states can directly lower their energy through such collisions, and equilibrate quickly.

The decay of the metastable  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state was studied by varying the bias field  $B_0$ , the field gradient  $B'$ , and the trap depth *U*. Evolution curves under various conditions (Fig. 4) were characterized by a delay time  $T_d$  before the fraction of condensate atoms in the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state decayed exponentially to equilibrium with a relaxation time constant  $\tau$ . Increasing the bias field  $B_0$  did not affect  $\tau$  significantly, but increased the delay time from  $T_d \approx 1.9$  s at small bias fields (55 mG) to  $T_d \approx 3.6$  s for larger fields (250 mG). Lowering the trap depth from 2 to 1.2  $\mu$ K increased both  $T_d$  from about 0.6 to 4 s, and  $\tau$  from about 3.8 to 6.6 s. Increasing the magnetic field gradient from 11 to 44 mG/cm induced only a weak lowering of  $\tau$ , and  $T_d$  was not affected significantly.

The delay time  $T_d$  should reflect the time scale for the gradual accumulation of  $|m_F = \pm 1\rangle$  atoms in the thermal cloud before they reach a critical density and condense into the ground-state spin domains. Increasing the bias field increases the quadratic Zeeman energy barrier for spin relaxation, lengthening  $T_d$ . However, the clear variation of  $T_d$  as the energy barrier is changed from 0.1 nK at 55 mG to 2.5 nK at 250 mG, energies much smaller than the temperature of  $T \approx 100$  nK, cannot be explained on the basis of thermal spin-relaxation collisions. Further study of these relaxation time scales is warranted [27].



FIG. 3. Metastability of the pure  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state in the presence of a magnetic bias field (250 mG), and gradient  $(44 \text{ mG/cm})$ . The evolution toward equilibrium of an initially pure  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  condensate (open symbols), and a mixture of  $|m_F = 1\rangle$  and  $|m_F = -1\rangle$  (closed symbols) is shown by plotting the fraction of atoms in the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  state vs dwell time in the optical trap.

In conclusion, we have identified a novel form of metastability in Bose-Einstein condensates which occurs at temperatures much higher than the energy barrier for evolution to the ground state. This metastability arises due to the high condensate fraction which dramatically slows down thermal relaxation. This offers the opportunity to study the kinetics of condensation and of the dissolution of metastable condensates in "slow motion," on time scales much longer than collision times, and periods of collective excitations. Furthermore, we have observed spontaneous phase separation in a two-component mixture in accordance with the predictions of mean-field theory.



FIG. 4. Decay of  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  metastable states. The lines are exponential decay fits to the  $|m_F = 0\rangle$  fraction after a delay time  $T_d$ . Increasing the bias field (top) increased  $T_d$ . Lowering the temperature of the gas (bottom) increased both  $T<sub>d</sub>$  and the exponential decay time  $\tau$ . The magnetic bias field  $B_0$ , the gradient  $B'$ , and the trap depth  $U$  for each curve are the following: (a) 55 mG, 44 mG/cm, 2  $\mu$ K; (b) 250 mG, 44 mG/cm,  $2 \mu K$ ; (c) 20 mG, 11 mG/cm,  $2 \mu K$ ; and (d) 20 mG, 11 mG/cm, 1.2  $\mu$ K.

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research, NSF, Joint Services Electronics Program (ARO), NASA, and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation. A. P. C. acknowledges additional support from the NSF, D. M. S.-K. from JSEP, and J. S. from the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation.

- [1] M. H. Anderson *et al.,* Science **269**, 198 (1995).
- [2] K. B. Davis *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).
- [3] C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 985 (1997); see also C. C. Bradley *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1687 (1995).
- [4] D. S. Fried *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3811 (1998).
- [5] Yu. Kagan, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and J. T. M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2670 (1996).
- [6] D. S. Rokhsar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2164 (1997).
- [7] D. S. Rokhsar, cond-mat/9709212.
- [8] E.J. Mueller, P.M. Goldbart, and Y. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. A **57**, R1505 (1998).
- [9] S. A. Morgan, R. J. Ballagh, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 4338 (1997); W. P. Reinhardt and C. W. Clark, J. Phys. B **30**, L785 (1997); T. F. Scott, R. J. Ballagh, and K. Burnett, J. Phys. B **31**, L329 (1998); A. D. Jackson, G. M. Kavoulakis, and C. J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2417 (1998).
- [10] S. Backhaus *et al.,* Nature (London) **392**, 687 (1998).
- [11] H. Pu and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1134 (1998).
- [12] J. Stenger *et al.,* Nature (London) **396**, 345 (1998).
- [13] M.-O. Mewes *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 416 (1996).
- [14] D. M. Stamper-Kurn *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2027 (1998).
- [15] W. B. Colson and A. L. Fetter, J. Low. Temp. Phys. **33**, 231 (1978).
- [16] T.-L. Ho and V. B. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3276 (1996); E. Timmermans, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5718 (1998).
- [17] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, J. P. Burke, Jr., and J. L. Bohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3594 (1997).
- [18] E. V. Goldstein and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 2935 (1997).
- [19] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 742 (1998).
- [20] R. Graham and D. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 484 (1998).
- [21] P. Ao and S. T. Chui, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4836 (1998).
- [22] In contrast, *radially* separated components in the anisotropic trap would quickly relax by *axial* variation of the condensate wave function, i.e., by forming axially separated domains.
- [23] D. S. Hall *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1539 (1998).
- [24] Approximating the two condensate wave functions in the boundary region  $(0 \le z \le L)$  to be tions in the boundary region  $(0 \le z \le L)$  to be<br> $\psi_1 = \sqrt{n_1} \cos \pi z/2L$ ,  $\psi_0 = \sqrt{n_0} \sin \pi z/2L$ , and assuming equal pressure  $(n_1^2 a_1 = n_0^2 a_0)$  across the boundary of length *L*, the kinetic and mean-field (see text) energies are ightharpoonup at  $L^2 = \pi \xi_1^2 [(a_1 + \sqrt{a_1 a_0})/(a_{01} - \sqrt{a_1 a_0})]$ . Here,  $\xi_1 = (8\pi n_1 a_1)^{-1/2}$ . For  $n_1 \approx 3 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $a_1 = 52$  bohr, and  $L \approx 3 \mu$ m.
- [25] H.-J. Miesner *et al.,* Science **279**, 1005 (1998).
- [26] C. W. Gardiner, P. Zoller, R. J. Ballagh, and M. J. Davis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1793 (1997).
- [27] See related discussion in C. K. Law, H. Pu, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5257 (1998).