

Comment on “Theorem for Nonrotating Singularity-Free Universes”

In Ref. [1], Raychaudhuri has proved an interesting result applicable to nonrotating *open* cosmological models giving an important insight into the question of the existence of singularity-free cosmological models. Essentially, the result states that in any nonrotating singularity-free universe satisfying the strong energy condition (SEC) the *spacetime average* of the energy density (and similar quantities) must vanish. This is true for the explicit models known hitherto (see [2,3] and references therein). However, a closer look at Raychaudhuri’s result reveals that this property is not exclusive of singularity-free models, but rather a remarkable property of *most* nonrotating open cosmologies. As an illustrative example, the computation of the averages for the simplest standard Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) models will be given below and will show to vanish in general.

The spacetime average of any f over a region \mathcal{D} is

$$\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \frac{\int_{\mathcal{D}} f \eta}{\int_{\mathcal{D}} \eta} \equiv \frac{\int_{\mathcal{D}} f \eta}{V_{\mathcal{D}}}, \quad (1)$$

where η is the volume element 4-form so that $V_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the 4-volume of \mathcal{D} . The spacetime average $\langle f \rangle$ of f is the limit of (1) when \mathcal{D} is the whole spacetime. For the nonsingular perfect-fluid solutions of [2], $\langle \rho \rangle$, $\langle p \rangle$, and $\langle \theta \rangle$ vanish, where ρ , p , and θ are the fluid energy density, pressure, and expansion, respectively. This was generalized in [1] to nonrotating open singularity-free models satisfying SEC.

Is this a genuine property of nonsingular models? To answer this, let us compute the spacetime averages in the flat FLRW spacetimes

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2).$$

By assuming the simplest equation of state $p = \gamma\rho$ ($-1 < \gamma < 1$), $a(t)$ and $\rho(t)$ are given by (units $8\pi G = c = 1$)

$$a(t) = \text{const} \times t^{2/3(1+\gamma)}, \quad \rho(t) = \frac{4}{3(1+\gamma)^2} \frac{1}{t^2} \quad (2)$$

with the big bang placed at $t \rightarrow 0$. Use as region \mathcal{D} any 4-“rectangle” limited by values $t_0 > t_1 > 0$. A straightforward calculation using (1) and (2) provides

$$\langle \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{4(3+\gamma)}{3(1+\gamma)^2(1-\gamma)} \times \left(\frac{t_1^{(1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma)} - t_0^{(1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma)}}{t_1^{(3+\gamma)/(1+\gamma)} - t_0^{(3+\gamma)/(1+\gamma)}} \right)$$

from which we immediately get

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4(3+\gamma)}{3(1+\gamma)^2(1-\gamma)} t^{-2} = 0$$

so that the spacetime average of ρ and p vanish for these simple models. This holds for any open spatially homogeneous model. Therefore, the vanishing of the spacetime matter averages is a property *shared* by all models. In the singular ones, matter quantities blow up at the singularity, but this is just the *defining* difference with the nonsingular cosmologies. Thus, the word “empty” used in [1] to qualify the nonsingular models seems unfortunate, for it could be applied to the FLRW models, which are nonempty from any possible viewpoint.

In conclusion, let us stress that pure *spatial* averages (at any t) of the matter quantities also vanish in the nonsingular models [2], while they do not vanish for spatially homogeneous models. Intuitively, the vanishing of spatial averages is fundamental for the absence of singularities, and perhaps the original ideas in [1] may be improved as follows. In most regular cases, Eq. (2) in [1] implies that $\Sigma: x^0 = \text{const}$ are Cauchy hypersurfaces. Then, application of Raychaudhuri’s equation [1,4] leads to a singularity in the finite past if the expansion of the geodesic congruence orthogonal to Σ is bounded from below by a positive constant at Σ [3,5]. Thus, nonsingular everywhere expanding models need the vanishing of the expansion at spatial infinity, implying in many cases that *spatial* averages of the scalars appearing in Raychaudhuri’s equation vanish. All in all, we put forward the following.

Conjecture.—In any singularity-free nonrotating everywhere expanding globally hyperbolic model, if SEC holds, the spatial averages of the matter scalars vanish.

In yet other words, if the *spatial* averages do not vanish, then spacetime must be singular to the past. Thus, it seems that a possible satisfactory distinguishing factor between singular and nonsingular models could be the vanishing of the spatial average of the energy density.

I thank Professor Raychaudhuri and Professor Dadhich for many interesting discussions and comments.

José M. M. Senovilla

Departament de Física Fonamental
Universitat de Barcelona
Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Received 25 March 1998

[S0031-9007(98)07506-1]

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv

- [1] A. K. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 654 (1998).
- [2] J. M. M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2219 (1990); E. Ruiz and J. M. M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 1995 (1992); J. M. M. Senovilla, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 1799 (1996).
- [3] J. M. M. Senovilla, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **30**, 701 (1998).
- [4] A. K. Raychaudhuri, Phys. Rev. **98**, 1123 (1955).
- [5] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1973).