Charge Asymmetry in Hadroproduction of Heavy Quarks

J. H. Kühn and G. Rodrigo

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany (Received 12 February 1998; revised manuscript received 17 April 1998)

A sizable difference in the differential production cross section of top and antitop quarks, respectively, is predicted for hadronically produced heavy quarks. It is of order α_s and arises from the interference between charge odd and even amplitudes, respectively. For the Fermilab Tevatron it amounts to up to 15% for the differential distribution in suitable chosen kinematical regions. The resulting integrated forward-backward asymmetry of 4% - 5% could be measured in the next round of experiments. At the CERN Large Hadron Collider the asymmetry can be studied by selecting appropriately chosen kinematical regions. [S0031-9007(98)06481-3]

PACS numbers: 12.38.Qk, 12.38.Bx, 13.87.Ce, 14.65.Ha

Top quark production at hadron colliders has become one of the central issues of theoretical [1] and experimental [2] research. The investigation and understanding of the production mechanism is crucial for the determination of the top quark couplings, its mass, and the search for new physics involving the top system. A lot of effort has been invested in the prediction of the total cross section and, more recently, of inclusive transverse momentum distributions [1].

In this Letter we will point to a different aspect of the hadronic production process, which can be studied with a fairly modest sample of quarks. Top quarks produced through light quark-antiquark annihilation will exhibit a sizable charge asymmetry—an excess of top versus antitop quarks in specific kinematic regions-induced through the interference of the final state with initialstate radiation [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] and the interference of the box with the lowest-order diagram [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)]. The asymmetry is thus of order α_s relative to the dominant production process. In suitable chosen kinematical regions it reaches up to 15%, the integrated forward-backward asymmetry amounts to 4%-5%. Top quarks are tagged through their decay $t \rightarrow b W^+$ and can thus be distinguished experimentally from antitop quarks through the sign of the lepton in the semileptonic mode and eventually also through the b tag. A sample of 100 to 200 tagged top quarks should, in fact, be sufficient for a first indication of the effect.

Top production at the Fermilab Tevatron is dominated by quark-antiquark annihilation, hence the charge asymmetry will be reflected not only in the partonic rest frame but also in the center of mass system of proton and antiproton. The situation is more intricate for proton-proton collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC), where no preferred direction is at hand in the laboratory frame. Nevertheless, it is also in this case possible to pick kinematical configurations which allow the study of the charge asymmetry.

The charge asymmetry has also been investigated in [3] for a top mass of 45 GeV. There, however, only

the contribution from real gluon emission was considered requiring the introduction of a physical cutoff on the gluon energy and rapidity to avoid infrared and collinear singularities. Experimentally, however, only inclusive top-antitop production has been studied to date, and the separation of an additional soft gluon will in general be difficult. In this Letter, we will therefore include virtual corrections and consider inclusive distributions only. We will see below that the sign of the asymmetry for inclusive production is opposite to the one given for the $t\bar{t}g$ process in [3]. The charge asymmetry of heavy flavor production in guark-antiquark annihilation to bottom guarks was also discussed in [4-6] where its contribution to the forwardbackward asymmetry in proton-antiproton collisions was shown to be very small. In addition, there is also a slight difference between the distribution of top and antitop quarks in the reaction $gq \rightarrow t\bar{t}q$. At the Tevatron its contribution is below 10^{-4} . (This effect should not be confused with the large asymmetry in the top quarks' angular or rapidity distribution in this reaction which is a trivial consequence of the asymmetric partonic initial state and vanishes after summing over the incoming parton beams.)



FIG. 1. Origin of the QCD charge asymmetry in hadroproduction of heavy quarks: interference of final-state (a) with initialstate (b) gluon bremsstrahlung plus interference of the box (c) with the Born diagram (d).

© 1998 The American Physical Society

In a first step the charge asymmetry will be evaluated at the partonic level for the quark-antiquark induced reaction. The calculation proceeds in analogy to the corresponding QED process [7,8]. The interference terms corresponding to real emission [Fig. 1, (a) \times (b)] and virtual radiation [Fig. 1, (c) \times (d)] are evaluated separately with an appropriate infrared regulator. Soft radiation up to a cutoff E_{cut}^{g} is then combined with the virtual correction leaving the hard radiation with $E^g > E^g_{cut}$ which can be evaluated numerically. The asymmetric part does not exhibit a light quark mass singularity, whence m_q can be set to zero throughout; in other words, no collinear singularities arise. The virtual plus soft radiation on one hand and the real hard radiation on the other contribute with opposite signs, with the former always larger than the later which explains the difference in sign between our result and [3].

The QCD asymmetry is related to the corresponding QED asymmetry through the replacement of $\alpha_{\text{QED}}QQ'$ by the factor $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_s(d_{abc}/4)^2 = \alpha_s(5/12)$. Let us define the differential asymmetry through

$$\hat{A}(\cos\hat{\theta}) = \frac{N_t(\cos\hat{\theta}) - N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\hat{\theta})}{N_t(\cos\hat{\theta}) + N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\hat{\theta})},$$
(1)

where $\hat{\theta}$ denotes the top quark production angle in the $q\bar{q}$ rest frame and $N(\cos \hat{\theta}) = d\sigma/d\Omega(\cos \hat{\theta})$. Since $N_{\bar{t}}(\cos \hat{\theta}) = N_t(-\cos \hat{\theta})$ as a consequence of charge conjugation symmetry, $\hat{A}(\cos \hat{\theta})$ can also be interpreted as a forward-backward asymmetry of top quarks. In Fig. 2, $\hat{A}(\cos \hat{\theta})$ is displayed for $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 400$ GeV, 600 GeV, and 1 TeV for $M_t = 175$ GeV. For completeness we also display the result for $b\bar{b}$ production at $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 400$ GeV with $M_b = 4.6$ GeV. The strong coupling constant is evaluated at the scale $\mu = \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2$ from $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$.



FIG. 2. Differential charge asymmetry in top quark pair production for fixed partonic center of mass energy $\sqrt{\hat{s}} =$ 400 GeV (solid line), 600 GeV (dashed line), and 1 TeV (dotted line). We also plot the differential asymmetry for a *b* quark with $\sqrt{\hat{s}} =$ 400 GeV (dash-dotted line).

The integrated charge asymmetry

$$\bar{\hat{A}} = \frac{N_t(\cos\hat{\theta} \ge 0) - N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\hat{\theta} \ge 0)}{N_t(\cos\hat{\theta} \ge 0) + N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\hat{\theta} \ge 0)}$$
(2)

is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$. With a typical value around 6%-8.5% it should be well accessible in the next run of the Tevatron.

The asymmetry can in principle be studied experimentally in the partonic rest frame, as a function of \hat{s} , by measuring the invariant mass of the $t\bar{t}$ system plus an eventually radiated gluon. It is, however, also instructive to study the asymmetry in the laboratory frame by folding the angular distribution with the structure functions [9]. The differential asymmetry is displayed in Fig. 4(a), where $q\bar{q}$ and gg initiated processes are included in the denominator. For the total charge asymmetry we predict

$$\bar{A} = \frac{N_t(\cos\theta \ge 0) - N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\theta \ge 0)}{N_t(\cos\theta \ge 0) + N_{\bar{t}}(\cos\theta \ge 0)} = 4.3\% - 4.6\%,$$
(3)

where different choices of the structure function and different choices of the factorization scale, $\mu = \sqrt{\hat{s}}$ and $\mu = \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2$, have been considered.

In principle one might expect that cuts on the top quark or its decay products at large rapidities could affect the asymmetry. In Fig. 4(b) we thus present the asymmetry for the restricted range $|y_{top}| < y_{cut}$ as a function of y_{cut} . It approaches its maximal value already for $y_{cut} = 1$, also indicating that cuts on the top decay products Wand b jets with rapidities, say, larger than 2, will not lead to a significant reduction of the asymmetry. We would also like to mention that event generators which do not include the full next-to-leading order matrix elements [10,11] cannot predict the asymmetry.



FIG. 3. Integrated charge asymmetry as a function of the partonic center or mass energy for top and bottom quark pair production.

Top-antitop production in proton-proton collisions at the LHC is, as a consequence of charge conjugation symmetry, charge symmetric if the laboratory frame is chosen as the reference system. However, by selecting the invariant mass of the $t\bar{t}(+g)$ system and its longitudinal momentum appropriately, one can easily constrain the parton momenta such that a preferred direction is generated for quark-antiquark reactions. This last point is illustrated in Fig. 5 where we present the relative amount of quark-antiquark, antiquark-quark, and gluon-gluon initiated processes as functions of $x_1 - x_2 = 2P_3(t\bar{t}g)/\sqrt{s}$ in lowest order, for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 400$ GeV as a characteristic example. A detailed study of this situation will be presented elsewhere [12].

The box diagram, Fig. 1(c), can also give rise to $t\bar{t}$ in a color singlet configuration, which in turn interferes with $t\bar{t}$ production through the photon or Z. A similar consideration applies to interference between initial and final state radiation. The resulting asymmetry is obtained from



the QCD asymmetry through the following replacement:

$$\frac{\alpha_s}{2} \left(\frac{d_{abc}}{4}\right)^2 \rightarrow \alpha_{\text{QED}} \left(Q_t Q_q + \frac{\left(1 - \frac{8}{3}s_W^2\right)\left(2I_q - 4Q_q s_W^2\right)}{16s_W^2 c_W^2} \times \frac{1}{1 - M_Z^2/\hat{s}}\right), \tag{4}$$

which amounts to an increase of the asymmetry by typically a factor of 1.04 and is thus smaller than uncalculated higher order corrections.

In summary, the charge asymmetry can be used as an important tool to investigate the production dynamics.



FIG. 4. (a) Differential charge asymmetry in the protonantiproton rest frame using the MRS96-1 structure function. We consider also two different choices of the factorization scale: $\mu = \sqrt{\hat{s}}$ (solid line) and $\mu = \sqrt{\hat{s}}/2$ (dashed line). (b) Integrated asymmetry for (anti-)top quarks with rapidities less than y_{cut} .

FIG. 5. Differential cross sections [(a), (c)] and relative amount [(b), (d)] of quark-antiquark, antiquark-quark, and gluon-gluon initiated processes as functions of $x_1 - x_2 = 2P_3(t\bar{t}g)/\sqrt{s}$ in lowest order, for $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV in protonantiproton [(a), (b)] and $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV in proton-proton [(c), (d)] collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 400$ GeV in both cases.

For the Tevatron it amounts to roughly 4%-5% and can therefore be studied with a sample of several hundred $t\bar{t}$ pairs expected for the next run. The asymmetry can also be studied at the LHC if one selects appropriate kinematic configurations.

We acknowledge useful discussions with R.K. Ellis, T. Sjöstrand, and M. Seymour. This work was supported by BMBF under Contract No. 057KA92P and DFG under Contract No. Ku 502/8-1.

- [1] S. Catani, hep-ph/9712442.
- [2] P. Tipton, in *Proceedings of the ICHEP 96, Warsaw, Poland* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996), p. 123.
- [3] F. Halzen, P. Hoyer, and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. B 195, 74 (1987).

- [4] R. K. Ellis, in *Strong Interactions and Gauge Theories*, edited by J. Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontière, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1986), p. 339.
- [5] P. Nason, S. Dawson, and R. K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. B327, 49 (1989).
- [6] W. Beenakker, W.L. van Neerven, R. Meng, G.A. Schuler, and J. Smith, Nucl. Phys. B351, 507 (1991).
- [7] F. A. Berends, K. J. F. Gaemers, and R. Gastmans, Nucl. Phys. B63, 381 (1973).
- [8] F.A. Berends, R. Kleiss, S. Jadach, and Z. Was, Acta Phys. Pol. B 14, 413 (1983).
- [9] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, and W. J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B 387, 419 (1996); hep-ph/9606345.
- [10] G. Marchesini *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **67**, 465 (1992).
- [11] T. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. 82, 74 (1994).
- [12] J. H. Kühn and G. Rodrigo (to be published).