## **Theory of Anomalous X-Ray Scattering in Orbital-Ordered Manganites**

Sumio Ishihara and Sadamichi Maekawa

Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-77 Japan (Received 11 November 1997)

We study the theory of the anomalous x-ray scattering in relation to its role as a detector of the orbital orderings and excitations in perovskite manganites. The scattering matrix is given by virtual electron excitations in Mn from the 1*s* level to the unoccupied 4*p* level. We find that the orbital dependence of the Coulomb interaction between 3*d* and 4*p* electrons is essential to the anisotropy of the scattering factor near the *K* edge. The calculated results in MnO<sub>6</sub> clusters explain the forbidden reflections observed in La<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>1.5</sub>MnO<sub>4</sub> and LaMnO<sub>3</sub>. The possibility of observing orbital waves with x-ray scattering is discussed as well. [S0031-9007(98)05782-2]

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 71.90.+q, 75.90.+w, 78.70.Ck

The discovery of high  $T_c$  cuprates has stimulated intensive study of transition-metal oxides (TMO) from the new theoretical and experimental viewpoints. One of the key factors in studying the electronic structures in TMO is the orbital degrees of freedom in 3d transitionmetal ions. In particular, the orbital degrees in perovskite manganites and related compounds bring about a lot of fruitful and dramatic phenomena [1-5]. The electron configuration in a Mn<sup>3+</sup> ion is  $(e_g)^1(t_{2g})^3$  with parallel spins due to the strong Hund coupling. In the cubic oxygen octahedral cage, the two  $e_g$  levels are degenerate. Thus, an  $e_g$  electron has the orbital degrees of freedom as well as charge and spin. In order to reveal the unique magnetotransport phenomena in manganites, it is essential to study the nature of the orbital degrees and their correlation with spin and lattice. However, the experimental techniques directly detecting the orbital ordering have been limited [6].

Recently, Murakami *et al.* have applied the anomalous x-ray scattering in order to observe the orbital ordering in the single layered manganites  $La_{0.5}Sr_{1.5}MnO_4$  [7]. By studying a (3/4, 3/4, 0) reflection, which would be forbidden were the anomalous part of the scattering factor isotropic [8,9], they observed a sharp reflection near the Mn<sup>3+</sup> *K* edge. This experimental result implies the following [7]: (i) There exist two nonequivalent Mn<sup>3+</sup> ions in the MnO<sub>2</sub> plane, which exhibit an alter-

nating orbital ordering. The ordering is called "antiferrotype" hereafter. (ii) The electric dipole (*E*1) transition in Mn from the 1*s* core level to the unoccupied 4*p* level causes the anomalous scattering. This method was also applied to the undoped manganite LaMnO<sub>3</sub> [10] where the (3,0,0) forbidden reflection suggesting the antiferrotype orbital ordering was observed. The new experimental technique not only confirms the orbital ordering in the two manganites, but has a great potential for applications to study the nature of the orbital in a variety of TMO.

In this Letter, we study theoretically the anomalous x-ray scattering in relation to its role as a detector of the orbital ordering in manganites. We identify the origin of the anisotropy of the scattering factor in the orbital ordered state. The orbital dependence of the Coulomb interactions between 3d and 4p electrons is dominant on the anisotropy of the scattering factor. We also discuss the possibility of detecting orbital waves by the inelastic x-ray scattering.

First, we briefly mention the general formula of the x-ray scattering factor. In the x-ray scattering process, the structure factor is defined by the scattering amplitude divided by  $(e^2/mc^2)$ . By the second-order perturbational calculation with respect to the electron-photon interaction, the anomalous part of the scattering factor for the *i*th ion in the unit cell is given by [11,12]

$$\Delta f_{i}(k',k'')_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{m}{e^{2}} \sum_{l} \left\{ \frac{\langle f|j_{i\alpha}(-\vec{k}')|l\rangle \langle l|j_{i\beta}(\vec{k}'')|0\rangle}{\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{l} - \omega_{k''} - i\delta} + \frac{\langle f|j_{i\beta}(\vec{k}'')|l\rangle \langle l|j_{i\alpha}(-\vec{k}')|0\rangle}{\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{l} + \omega_{k'} - i\delta} \right\},\tag{1}$$

where the electronic system is excited from the initial state  $|0\rangle$  with energy  $\varepsilon_0$  to the intermediate one  $|l\rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_l$ , and is finally relaxed to the final state  $|f\rangle$  with  $\varepsilon_f$ . Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  represent the polarization of photons,  $\omega_{k'(k'')}$  is the incident (scattered) photon energy with momentum k'(k''), and  $\delta$  is a small constant. The current operator describing the  $1s \rightarrow 4p \ E1$  transition is given by  $j_{i\alpha}(\vec{k}) = \frac{eA_{\alpha}(\vec{k})}{m} \sum_{\sigma} P_{i\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} s_{i\sigma}$ , where  $P_{i\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger}$  and  $s_{i\sigma}$  are the creation operator of the Mn 4p electron and the annihila-

tion of the Mn 1s core one, respectively, with spin  $\sigma$  and Cartesian coordinate  $\alpha$ .  $A_{\alpha}(\vec{k})$  is the coupling constant given by  $A_{\alpha}(\vec{k}) = \int d\vec{r} e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{r}} \phi_{4p_{\alpha}}(\vec{r})^*(-i\nabla_{\alpha})\phi_{1s}(\vec{r})$ , where  $\phi_m(\vec{r}) \ (m = 1s, 4p)$  is the atomic wave function. The quadrupole transition is ruled out in Eq. (1), since it is expected to be weak near the *K* edge in manganites [13,14].

Concerning the anomalous part of the structure factor, it is worth noting the following: (i) By utilizing the fact

that  $\Delta f_i$  is the second rank tensor with respect to photon polarization, we can directly detect the anisotropy of the microscopic electronic structure [8], i.e., the microscopic birefringence and dichroism due to the orbital order. (ii) The imaginary part of  $\Delta f_i$ ,  $\Delta f_i''$ , with  $|0\rangle = |f\rangle$  is written as  $(\pi m/e^2) \sum_l \delta(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_l + \omega_k) |\langle 0| j_{i\alpha}(\vec{k}) |l\rangle|^2$  near the *K* edge. For the uniform ordering of orbitals, which we call ferro-type, this is proportional to the intensity of the Mn 1s x-ray absorption spectra (XAS) or x-ray fluorescence spectra.

As mentioned above, the anomalous scattering is dominated by the  $1s \rightarrow 4p E1$  transition. In this case, how do the 3d orbital orderings reflect on the anisotropy of the anomalous scattering factor? In order to study the problem, let us consider the electronic structure in the MnO<sub>6</sub> octahedron, since the local electronic excitation dominates  $\Delta f_i$ . In the Mn ion, a minimal set of orbitals is  $\{1s, 3d_{\gamma} \ (\gamma = \gamma_{\theta^+}, \gamma_{\theta^-}), 4p_{\gamma} \ (\gamma = x, y, z)\},\$ where  $|3d_{\gamma_{\theta^+}}\rangle = \cos(\theta/2) |3z^2 - r^2\rangle + \sin(\theta/2) |x^2$  $y^2$  and  $|3d_{\gamma_{\theta-}}\rangle$  is its counterpart. Six O 2p orbitals, which contribute to the  $\sigma$  bond with the Mn orbitals, are recombined by the symmetry in the  $O_h$ group as  $\{2p_{\gamma_{\theta+}}, 2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}, 2p_x, 2p_y, 2p_z, 2p_{r^2}\}$ , where x, etc. represent the bases of the irreducible representation in the group. When we consider only the electron hybridization between Mn 3d and O 2p orbitals and between the Mn 4p and O 2p orbitals, 3d and 4p orbitals are decoupled, and the 3d orbital ordering does not reflect on 4p orbitals. It is concluded that the electron hybridizations do not result in the anisotropy of the scattering factor.

One of the promising origins of the anisotropy of the scattering factor is the Coulomb interactions between Mn 3d and 4p electrons. When we consider that one of the  $e_g$  orbitals  $3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}$  is occupied, the electron-electron interaction in the orbital ordered state breaks the cubic symmetry and thus lifts the degeneracy of Mn 4p orbitals. The interaction between Mn 3d and 4p electrons is represented as

$$V(3d_{\gamma_{\theta^{+}}}, 4p_{\gamma}) = F_0 + 4F_2 \cos\left(\theta + m_{\gamma} \frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \quad (2)$$

where  $m_x = +1$ ,  $m_y = -1$ , and  $m_z = 0$ .  $F_n$  is the Slater integral between 3*d* and 4*p* electrons. The explicit formula of  $F_n$  is given by  $F_0 = F^{(0)}$  and  $F_2 = \frac{1}{35}F^{(2)}$  with  $F^{(n)} = \int dr dr' r^2 r'^2 R_{3d}(r)^2 R_{4p}(r')^2 \frac{r_*^2}{r_*^{n+1}}$ , where  $r_<(r_>)$ is the smaller (larger) one between *r* and *r'*. When the  $d_{3z^2-r^2}$  orbital is occupied ( $\theta = 0$ ), the energy in the 4*p*<sub>z</sub> orbital is higher than that of the 4*p*<sub>x(y)</sub> orbital by 6*F*<sub>2</sub>. As a result,  $(\Delta f_i)_{xx(yy)}$  dominates the anomalous scattering near the edge in comparison with  $(\Delta f_i)_{zz}$ .

The interatomic Coulomb interaction between Mn  $4p_{\gamma}$  electron and O  $2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}$  hole also provides an origin of the anisotropy of the scattering factor through the Mn 3d-O 2p hybridization. The interaction is represented by  $V(2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}, 4p_{\gamma}) = -\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon \rho^2}{5} \cos(\theta + m_{\gamma} \frac{2\pi}{3})$ ,

where the definition of  $m_{\gamma}$  is the same as that in Eq. (2).  $\varepsilon = Ze^2/a$  and  $\rho = \langle r_{4p} \rangle/a$ , where Z = 2, *a* is the Mn-O bond length, and  $\langle r_{4p} \rangle$  is the average radius of the Mn 4*p* orbital. Although the above two interactions cooperate to bring about the anisotropy of the scattering factor, it seems likely that the magnitude of  $V(2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}, 4p_{\gamma})$  is much reduced by the screening effects, in comparison with  $V(3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}, 4p_{\gamma})$ .

The lattice distortion in the oxygen octahedron also lifts the degeneracy of Mn 4p orbitals. The difference of the energy between Mn  $4p_{x(y)}$  and Mn  $4p_z$  orbitals is given by  $V(4p_{x(y)}) - V(4p_z) = \varepsilon \rho^2 \frac{18}{5} (\frac{\delta a}{a})$  with  $\delta a =$  $a_z - a_{x(y)}$ , where  $a_\alpha$  is the Mn-O bond length in the  $\alpha$  direction. In La<sub>2-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> and La<sub>2-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>NiO<sub>4</sub> [15-18], this contribution seems to dominate the anisotropy in XAS. On the other hand, in  $La_{1-x}Sr_{1+x}MnO_4$  at  $x \sim 0.5$ , it is confirmed that the noted lattice distortion in the MnO<sub>6</sub> octahedron is not observed experimentally [10]. Therefore, the mechanism based on Eq. (2) mainly provides the origin of the anisotropy of the scattering factor. In LaMnO<sub>3</sub> where  $\delta a/a$  is about 13% [19], the contribution from the lattice distortion weakens the anisotropy caused by the intra-atomic and interatomic Coulomb interactions.

In order to confirm the mechanism of the anisotropy of the scattering factor discussed above, we calculate the anisotropy of  $\Delta f_i$  in a MnO<sub>6</sub> cluster. The following Hamiltonian is adopted in the calculation [20,22]: H = $H_0 + H_t + H_{core} + H_{3d-4p} + H_{3d-3d}$ .  $H_0$  and  $H_t$  describe the energy level and the electron transfer between Mn  $3d_{\gamma}$  and O  $2p_{\gamma}$  orbitals, respectively. The core hole potential is introduced in  $H_{\text{core}}$ .  $H_{3d-4p}$  represents the Coulomb interaction between Mn 3d and 4p electrons shown in Eq. (2), where  $F_n$  are treated as parameters by considering the screening and correlation effects.  $H_{3d-3d}$ includes the Coulomb and exchange interactions in  $e_g$  orbitals and the Hund coupling between  $e_g$  and  $t_{2_g}$  spins [4]. The interatomic Coulomb interaction  $V(2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}, 4p_{\gamma})$  is not included in the model, in accordance with the previous discussion. Being based on the Hamiltonian, the imaginary part of the scattering factor  $\left[(\Delta f_i'')_{xx(zz)}m/\pi |A_{x(z)}|^2\right]$ is calculated by the configuration interaction method. In order to check the adequacy of the several parameters, we also calculate the photoemission, inverse photoemission, and the optical spectra in the Hamiltonian.

The calculated  $(\Delta f_i'')_{\alpha\alpha}$  near the *K* edge is shown in Fig. 1(a), where the  $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$  orbital is occupied. It is noted that the edge of the lowest main peak corresponds to the Mn *K* edge. The detailed structure away from the edge may become broad and be smeared out in the experiments by overlapping with other peaks which are not included in the calculation. In the figure, the clear anisotropy is shown near the edge where the scattering intensity is governed by  $(\Delta f_i'')_{xx}$ . Owing to the core hole potential, the main and satellite peaks are attributed to the transition from the ground state, which is mainly dominated by the  $|3d_{\gamma_{a+}}^1\rangle$  state, to the



FIG. 1. The imaginary part of the scattering factor  $[(\Delta f_i'')_{xx(zz)}m/\pi |A_{x(z)}|^2]$  in the case where the following orbital is occupied: (a)  $\theta = 0$   $(d_{3z^2-r^2})$  and (b)  $\theta = \pi$   $(d_{x^2-y^2})$ . The straight and broken lines show  $(\Delta f_i'')_{xx}$  and  $(\Delta f_i'')_{zz}$ , respectively. The value of  $\delta$  in Eq. (1) is chosen to be 0.5 eV. The origin of the energy is taken to be arbitrary.

 $|\underline{1s} 3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}^1 3d_{\gamma_{\theta-}}^1 4p_{x(z)}^1 \underline{2p_{\gamma_{\theta-}}}\rangle$  and  $|\underline{1s} 3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}^1 4p_{x(z)}^1\rangle$  excited states, respectively, where the underlines show the states occupied by a hole, although the two excited states strongly mix with each other. Therefore, the anisotropy in the main peak is caused by  $V(3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}, 4p_{\gamma})$  through the Mn 3d-O 2p hybridization. As a comparison, the results in the case where the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbital is occupied are shown in Fig. 1(b). In the figure, the anisotropy near the edge is entirely opposite to that in Fig. 1(a); i.e., the scattering factor near the edge is governed by  $(\Delta f_i'')_{zz}$ , owing to the positive value of  $V(3d_{x^2-y^2}, 4p_x) - V(3d_{x^2-y^2}, 4p_z)$ . In the general case where the occupied orbital is  $d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}$ , the amplitude and energy position of the main peak in  $(\Delta f_i'')_{xx(zz)}$  are shown as functions of  $\theta$  in Fig. 2. At  $\theta = 2\pi/3 (3d_{3y^2-r^2})$  and  $5\pi/3 (3d_{z^2-x^2})$ ,  $(\Delta f_i'')_{xx}$  and  $(\Delta f_i'')_{zz}$  are identical, as expected. It is noted that the anisotropy at  $\theta = 0$   $(d_{3z^2-r^2})$  is almost the same as that at  $\theta = \pi/3$  ( $d_{v^2-z^2}$ ), although the peak is slightly pushed up in the higher energy region in the former case. This is due to the fact that  $V(3d_{3z^2-r^2}, 4p_z) - V(3d_{3z^2-r^2}, 4p_x) =$  $V(3d_{y^2-z^2}, 4p_z) - V(3d_{y^2-z^2}, 4p_x) = 6F_2.$ 

Murakami *et al.* analyzed their experimental results in La<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>1.5</sub>MnO<sub>4</sub> phenomenologically [7]. In the [d(x, y, z)/d(y, x, z)]-type orbital ordering which they assumed, the intensity of the forbidden reflection is proportional to the difference of the scattering factor  $|(\Delta f)_{xx} - (\Delta f)_{yy}|^2$ . It is concluded in the present study that the observed sharp intensity corresponds to the anisotropy of the scattering factor at the edge shown in



FIG. 2. The amplitudes and energy position of the main peak in the scattering factor  $[(\Delta f_i'')_{xx(zz)}m/\pi |A_{x(z)}|^2]$  as functions of  $\theta$ . The straight and broken lines show  $(\Delta f_i'')_{xx}$  and  $(\Delta f_i'')_{zz}$ , respectively. The origin of the energy is taken to be arbitrary.

Fig. 1(a). However, even by the present microscopic calculation, it is difficult to determine which orbital ordering,  $(d_{3x^2-r^2}/d_{3y^2-r^2})$  or  $(d_{z^2-x^2}/d_{y^2-z^2})$ , exists, as mentioned above. It is necessary to perform the experiments which determine the tensor elements  $[(\Delta f_i)_{\alpha\alpha}]$  of the structure factors where  $\alpha$  is perpendicular to the MnO<sub>2</sub> plane in La<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>1.5</sub>MnO<sub>4</sub>.

The anomalous x-ray scattering may also detect the orbital excitations in the orbital ordered states, that is, the orbital waves [4]. The mechanism of the observation is analogous to the Raman scattering to detect the two orbital waves [23]. Let us start from the antiferro-type orbital ordered state described by  $|3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}^1\rangle_i |3d_{\gamma_{\theta-}}^1\rangle_j$ , where i and j denote the nearest neighbor (NN) Mn sites. As mentioned above, the excited state in the anomalous x-ray scattering is mainly dominated by the state where a hole is located in the oxygen site between two Mn sites. This state mixes with  $|\underline{1s} \, 3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}^1 3d_{\gamma_{\theta-}}^1 4p_{x(z)}^1\rangle_i$  through the electron transfer between j and the oxygen sites. After the 4p electron fills up the 1s hole, one 3d electron at the i site comes back to the j site. As a result, the system is relaxed to the final state represented as  $|3d_{\gamma_{\theta-}}^1\rangle_i |3d_{\gamma_{\theta+}}^1\rangle_j$ , where the orbital state at *i* and *j* sites is exchanged; that is, two orbital waves are emitted by the x ray. Since the E1 transition in the present case is local, the intermediate state accompanied with the charge transfer from the O 2p orbital to the Mn 3dorbital promotes the orbital exchange process. In this case, photons with the polarization x bring about the exchange process between i and  $i \pm \hat{y}(\hat{z})$  sites, where  $\hat{y}$  is the unit vector in the y direction, as well as  $\hat{i}$ 

and  $\vec{i} \pm \hat{x}$  sites. This is in contrast to the conventional Raman process, where photons with polarization  $\alpha$  only exchange the orbital states between  $\vec{i}$  and  $\vec{i} \pm \hat{\alpha}$  sites. Because the spin states in NN sites are also exchanged in this process, in the  $(d_{3x^2-r^2}/d_{3y^2-r^2})$  orderings with the A(layer)-type antiferromagnetic structure realized in LaMnO<sub>3</sub>, the orbital and spin couple and contribute to the inelastic x-ray scattering. The orbital wave in LaMnO<sub>3</sub>, which has an excitation gap in the same order of the bandwidth, is expected to be observed in the region of the order of 100 meV [4].

Furthermore, when there are low-lying fluctuations in the orbital degrees of freedom, it is possible to detect them by the diffuse scattering in the anomalous x-ray scattering. It is expected that near the orbital ordering temperature in LaMnO<sub>3</sub> and La<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>1.5</sub>MnO<sub>4</sub> the diffuse scattering owing to the  $(d_{3x^2-r^2}/d_{3y^2-r^2})$ -type orbital fluctuation becomes remarkable as the critical scattering around (3/4, 3/4, 0)and (3, 0, 0), respectively. Another candidate is the orbital fluctuation with  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ,  $d_{y^2-z^2}$ , and  $d_{z^2-x^2}$  characters in the ferromagnetic metallic phase in  $La_{1-x}Sr_xMnO_3$ . The flat dispersions of the orbital fluctuation along the  $\Gamma$ -X and other equivalent directions in the Brillouin zone is predicted in this phase [5]. These characteristic dispersions imply the two dimensional character of the orbital fluctuation and will provide the strong anisotropic shape of the diffuse scattering around the fundamental reflection points.

In summary, we have derived the theory of the anomalous x-ray scattering in perovskite manganites and applied to the recent experiments. The dipole transition in Mn from the 1s level to the 4p level causes the anomalous scattering. The electron-electron interaction in the orbital ordered state is identified as the main mechanism of the anisotropy of the scattering factor. The anomalous x-ray scattering is promising as a probe to study the orbital degrees of freedom in not only manganites but other transition metal oxides.

The authors thank Y. Endoh for suggesting this study and encouragement at every stage of the work, Y. Murakami for providing the experimental data prior to publication, and W. Koshibae for valuable discussions. This work was supported by Priority Areas Grants from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan, and CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology Corporation) Japan.

- [1] J.B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. 100, 564 (1955).
- [2] J. Kanamori, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 10, 87 (1959).
- [3] K. I. Kugel and D. I. Khomskii, JETP Lett. 15, 446 (1972).
- [4] S. Ishihara, J. Inoue, and S. Maekawa, Physica (Amsterdam) 263C, 130 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 55, 8280 (1997).
- [5] S. Ishihara, M. Yamanaka, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 56, 686 (1997).
- [6] Y. Ito and J. Akimitsu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 40, 1333 (1976).

- [7] Y. Murakami, H. Kawada, H. Kawata, M. Tanaka, T. Arima, H. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1932 (1998).
- [8] D. H. Templeton and L. K. Templeton, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 38, 62 (1982); 41, 133 (1985).
- [9] V. E. Dmitrienko, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 39, 29 (1983).
- [10] Y. Murakami (private communication).
- [11] A. V. Kolpakov, V. A. Bushuev, and R. N. Kuz'min, Sov. Phys. Usp. 21, 959 (1978).
- [12] M. Blume, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 3615 (1985).
- [13] M. Croft, D. Sills, M. Greenblatt, C. Lee, S.-W. Cheong, K. V. Ramanujachary, and D. Tran, Phys. Rev. B 55, 8726 (1997).
- [14] M. Fabrizio, M. Altarelli, and M. Benfatto, Phys. Rev. Lett. (to be published).
- [15] A. Sahiner, M. Croft, S. Guha, I. Perez, Z. Zhang, M. Greenblatt, P.A. Metcalf, H. Jahns, and G. Liang, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 5879 (1995).
- [16] J. M. Tranquada, S. M. Heald, W. Kunnmann, A. R. Moodenbaugh, S. L. Qiu, Y. Xu, and P. K. Davies, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5176 (1991).
- [17] H. Tolentino, M. Medarde, A. Fontaine, F. Baudelet, E. Dartyge, D. Guay, and G. Tourillon, Phys. Rev. B 45, 8091 (1992).
- [18] In Ref. [17], the anisotropy in the Cu K edge XAS in high  $T_c$  cuprates, where the spectra for the z polarization are more conspicuous near the edge, was attributed to the interference between charge transfer from Mn 3d to O 2p and the photoelectron. Our theory, however, shows that the  $1s \rightarrow 4p_{x(y)}$  transition dominates spectra near the edge in the case where the  $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$  orbital is occupied, if the lattice effect is neglected.
- [19] H. Kawano, R. Kajimoto, M. Kubota, and H. Yoshizawa, Phys. Rev. B 53, R14709 (1996).
- [20] In the numerical calculation, the parameter values in the Hamiltonian are as follows: the differences of the energy in the orbital  $\varepsilon(Mn \ 4p) - \varepsilon(Mn \ 3d) = 13.0 \text{ eV}$  and  $\varepsilon$ (O 2p) -  $\varepsilon$ (Mn 3d) = 2.5 eV, the electron transfer between Mn 3d and O 2p orbitals  $t_{pd\sigma} = 1.2$  eV, the core hole potential between Mn 1s and Mn 3d (Mn 4p) electrons  $V_{3d-1s} = V_{4p-1s} = 7.0$  eV, the Coulomb interaction between Mn 3d and 4p electrons  $F_0 = 5.0$  eV and  $F_2 = 0.3$  eV, the intraorbital and interorbital Coulomb interactions in the Mn  $e_g$  orbitals U = 8.5 eV and U' =6.5 eV, respectively, the interorbital exchange interaction K = (U - U')/2, and the Hund coupling between  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  spins  $J_H = 1.0$  eV. By using these parameters, the d-d Coulomb interaction and the charge transfer energy with respect to the lowest multiplet are estimated to be  $U_{\rm eff} = 5.5 \ {\rm eV}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm eff} = 1.5 \ {\rm eV}$ , respectively, and the ground state consists of  $\sim 70\% d^4$  and  $\sim 30\% d^5L$  [21].
- [21] T. Saitoh, A.E. Bocquet, T. Mizokawa, H. Namatame, A. Fujimori, M. Abbate, T. Takeda, and M. Takano, Phys. Rev. B 51, 13942 (1995).
- [22] The electron transfer between Mn 4p and O 2p orbitals is not considered in the Hamiltonian, because it is not important for the anisotropy of  $\Delta f_i$ , as mentioned in the text.
- [23] J. Inoue, S. Okamoto, S. Ishihara, W. Koshibae, Y. Kawamura, and S. Maekawa, Physica (Amsterdam) 237B– 238B, 51 (1997).