Non-Abelian Dipole Radiation and the Heavy Quark Expansion

Andrzej Czarnecki* and Kirill Melnikov

Institut f ür Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

Nikolai Uraltsev†

Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame du Lac, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

(Received 9 September 1997)

Dipole radiation in QCD is derived to the second order in α_s . A powerlike evolution of the spin-singlet heavy quark operators is obtained to the same accuracy. We give an $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ relation between the short-distance low-scale running heavy quark mass and the mass in the modified minimal subtraction scheme. We discuss the properties of an effective QCD coupling $\alpha_s^{(d)}(E)$ which governs the dipole radiation. We argue that this coupling is advantageous for describing heavy quark physics. [S0031-9007(98)05823-2]

PACS numbers: 12.39.Hg, 12.38.Bx

Theoretical description of heavy flavor decays benefits from a strong hierarchy between the mass of the decaying quark and the typical scale of the strong interactions, $m_{b(c)} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$. The current level of precision requires an accurate treatment of nonperturbative effects even in beauty decays. A consistent genuinely QCD-based framework for this is provided by the heavy quark expansion (HQE) which combines the Wilson operator product expansion (OPE) with the nonrelativistic expansion. It allows a simultaneous precise description of both perturbative and nonperturbative effects.

An important class of applications of the HQE are semileptonic weak transitions between *b* and *c* quarks, in which both initial and final quarks are heavy. The most informative predictions can be made for the transition amplitudes in the so-called small velocity (SV) limit [1], when the velocities of heavy hadrons in initial and final states are small. The heavy quark in this case plays a role of a slowly moving source of the color Coulomb field which affects the light degrees of freedom in the hadrons. This physical picture is formalized in the Wilsonian approach by integrating out high-momentum degrees of freedom of full QCD and resorting to an effective low-energy theory.

A peculiarity of the effective theory for heavy flavor hadrons is its essentially Minkowskian nature. Any process in which the velocity of the heavy quark *Q* changes involves gluon radiation with energy and momentum in the whole range up to the quark masses. On the other hand, when the energy loss is small compared to m_O , the radiation off the heavy colored particles is almost a classical effect, and therefore a universal processindependent description is possible. In the small velocity limit it is the familiar dipole radiation, with some peculiarities due to the non-Abelian nature of QCD.

Even though the dipole radiation has the most obvious manifestation in the SV processes, it is relevant in many other situations, from the zero-recoil transitions to inclusive decay widths. The reason is that the OPE ensures certain universality of the effects of soft physics originating at the momentum scale well below m_O for all genuinely short-distance observables.

In this Letter we derive the non-Abelian dipole radiation to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ and use it to obtain the power evolution of a number of spin-singlet heavy quark operators to this order. In particular, a gauge-invariant relation between a short-distance low-scale running heavy quark mass and the modified minimal subtraction scheme ($\overline{\text{MS}}$) mass $\overline{m}_O(m_Q)$ is given, which is important since the two-loop accuracy in heavy quark processes is becoming a standard.

The radiation by a heavy charged particle when its velocity changes is well known from classical electrodynamics. It is obtained from the Liénard-Wiechert retarded potentials $A_{\mu}(\vec{r}, t)$ at $\vec{r} \rightarrow \infty$ and has the form

$$
\frac{1}{\omega} \frac{dI(\omega)}{d\omega} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{v}|} \ln \frac{1 + |\vec{v}|}{1 - |\vec{v}|} - 2 \right) \frac{1}{\omega}
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{\vec{v}^2}{\omega} + \mathcal{O}(\vec{v}^4), \tag{1}
$$

where ω is frequency, $I(\omega)$ intensity, and α is the fine structure constant. We will be interested only in the dipole term $\alpha \vec{v}^2$ in QCD, and do not consider multipole radiation proportional to higher powers of \vec{v} .

In QED the same relation holds, with $1/\omega dI/d\omega$ giving the probability of radiating soft photon(s) with energy ω . Moreover, there are no higher-order corrections in $\alpha_{\rm em}$ provided $\omega \ll m$. The effects of the photon interaction with vacuum fluctuations are also suppressed, by powers of ω/m_e , and the soft radiation in QED is governed by $\alpha(0)$.

Because of gluon self-interaction the dipole radiation in non-Abelian theory is different. Our main interest lies in the domain where ω is large compared to Λ_{QCD} (the nonperturbative multipole expansion of the color-singlet systems was discussed in [2]). In this regime perturbative calculations can be performed.

Below we introduce some standard notations used for heavy quarks. Let us consider a process of scattering of a color-singlet "weak" current *J* with momentum *q* on a heavy quark *Q* in the SV kinematics. For simplicity, the initial quark is assumed to rest. The initial *Q* and final state $\ddot{\rho}$ quarks can have arbitrary masses; however, both masses must be large, so that the nonrelativistic expansion can be applied. The SV limit $\vec{v} = \vec{q}/m_{\tilde{O}}$, $|\vec{v}| \ll 1$, is kept by adjusting \vec{q} appropriately. For simplicity we consider the case of equal masses, $m_{\tilde{Q}} = m_Q$, although nothing depends on this assumption. The current *J* must have a nonvanishing tree level nonrelativistic limit (e.g., $J_0^{\dagger} = \overline{Q}\gamma_0\tilde{Q}$ or $J_S^{\dagger} = \overline{Q}\tilde{Q}$); otherwise it can be arbitrary.

Inclusive processes of the scattering of heavy quarks are described by an appropriate structure function $W(q_0, \vec{q})$ which is a sum of all transition probabilities induced by *J* into final states with momentum \vec{q} and energy $m_Q + q_0$. The optical theorem relates it to the discontinuity of the forward transition amplitude $T(q_0, \vec{q})$ at physical values of *q*0,

$$
T(q_0, \vec{q}) = \frac{i}{2m_Q} \int d^4x \, e^{-iqx} \langle Q|T J(x) J^{\dagger}(0) |Q \rangle,
$$

\n
$$
W(q_0, \vec{q}) = 2 \operatorname{Im} T(q_0, \vec{q}).
$$
\n(2)

In the heavy quark limit the spin degrees of freedom become irrelevant, and we assume averaging over spin states (also color, for perturbative calculations). The structure functions have a threshold $q_0^{\text{min}} = \sqrt{\dot{q}^2 + m_Q^2 - m_Q}$ $m_Q \vec{v}^2/2$ corresponding to elastic transitions. The variable $\omega = q_0 - q_0^{\text{min}}$ measures the hadron excitation energy in the final state.

The nonrelativistic expansion and the perturbative treatment are both justified if $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \ll \omega \ll m_Q$, and this hierarchy is assumed in what follows. The nonperturbative aspects will be addressed later. In perturbative calculations we can use quark states instead of actual heavy hadrons, as was tacitly assumed above. The structure function *W* takes the following form in the heavy quark limit:

$$
W(\omega, \vec{v}) = N \delta(\omega) + \frac{2\vec{v}^2}{3} \frac{d(\omega)}{\omega} + \mathcal{O}(\vec{v}^4). \tag{3}
$$

At $\vec{v} = 0$ only the elastic peak is present. The dipole radiation is described by $d(\omega)$, and *N* is a (velocitydependent) factor depending on the current.

Motivated by the dipole radiation in QED, we define the dipole coupling $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ by projecting Eq. (3) on its second term,

$$
C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)}{\pi \omega} = \lim_{\tilde{v}\to 0} \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} \frac{3}{2\tilde{v}^2} \frac{W(\omega, \tilde{v})}{\int_0^{\omega} W(\omega', \tilde{v}) d\omega'}.
$$
 (4)

Here ω is assumed to be positive. The denominator in the last ratio eliminates the overall normalization of the current *J*. In this form the heavy quark limit $m_O \rightarrow \infty$ yields a finite result. The normalization integral includes the elastic peak, which makes it infrared safe at arbitrary \vec{v} . On the other hand, the exact upper limit does not matter in the SV kinematics, since it affects

the ratio only by $\mathcal{O}(\vec{v}^2)$. In this way, the inelastic SV structure function determines the effective QCD running coupling driving the dipole radiation of gluons. (Brodsky suggested calling it "radiation charge." A more precise term could also be a "dipole radiation charge," to distinguish from soft radiation in the ultrarelativistic case.) It is a dimensionless function of the ratio $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\omega$.

The OPE and factorization of the infrared effects ensure that $\alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)$ is universal: it does not depend on the choice of the weak current *J* or on the ratio of the quark masses. Also, there is no dependence on the type of the initial heavy hadron as soon as the onset of the quark-hadron duality is passed. This property always holds perturbatively. The coupling $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ obeys the usual renormalization group (RG) equation with the standard first two terms in the β function. Because of its physical definition, the evolution of $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ is properly defined when heavy flavor thresholds are passed.

In practice one needs the relation between $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ and the standard α_s^{MS} . We calculated it to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ using methods of Ref. [3],

$$
\frac{\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)}{\pi} = \frac{\alpha_s^{\overline{\rm MS}}(\mu)}{\pi} + \left[\left(\frac{5}{3} - \ln 2 \right) \frac{\beta_0}{2} - C_A \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{13}{12} \right) \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2, \tag{5}
$$

where $C_A = N_c$ for the SU(N_c) gauge group and $\beta_0 =$
 $\frac{11}{2}C_1^2$. The tarm C_2 in Eq. (5) gauge group and $\beta_0 =$ $\frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{2}{3}n_f$. The term $\sim C_A$ in Eq. (5) represents the genuine (non-BLM) second-order effect; it has a purely non-Abelian origin. The BLM (Brodsky-Lepage-MacKenzie) terms [4] which account for the leading effect of α_s running are readily computed to any order in this case (see [5]). The term $\beta_0^2 \alpha_s^3$ and the expression for the case of massive flavors are given in [6]. Even in the Abelian theory with light flavors, $\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)$ deviates from the *V*-scheme coupling $\alpha_s^{(V)}(\mu)$ [4]; their commensurate scales are somewhat different. This is a purely kinematic effect; $\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)$ incorporates integration over the invariant mass of the light fermion pair in the interval from 0 to μ , while in $\alpha_s^{(V)}$ the fermion loop enters at a fixed spacelike momentum.

A similar effective coupling for emission of soft gluons in the ultrarelativistic case $|\vec{v}| \rightarrow 1$ has also been calculated to two loops [7]; its exact definition, however, is less direct. It differs from $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ in the second-order terms, although the difference is not significant.

Construction of the HQE requires a precise definition of the basic objects of the effective theory, such as the heavy quark mass, kinetic operator, and all other composite operators. For consistency, an explicit separation scale μ between the low- and high-momentum domains is introduced. All operators then depend on μ . A normalization-point–independent pole mass of the heavy quark, although appearing in purely perturbative calculations at a given order, cannot be completely defined when nonperturbative effects are addressed. This

applies also to the parameter $\overline{\Lambda}$ measuring the difference between the heavy hadron mass M_{H_0} and m_Q in the heavy quark limit. Instead, in the OPE one must use the short-distance mass $m_Q(\mu)$ and $\Lambda(\mu) = \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} M_{H_Q}$ $m_Q(\mu)$ with $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \ll \mu \ll m_Q$ [8]. The low-scale shortdistance mass can be defined in different ways. However, using the MS mass if $\mu \ll m_Q$ is unnatural and should be avoided [9].

A physically appropriate gauge-invariant scheme suggested in [5,9,10] is based on the SV sum rules relating the moments of the SV structure functions to the local heavy quark operators [11]. The normalization point is introduced as an upper cutoff in the integral over excitation energy. For example, for a heavy hadron H_Q one defines

$$
\overline{\Lambda}(\mu) = \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} [M_{H_Q} - m_Q(\mu)]
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{\vec{v} \to 0} \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} \frac{2}{\vec{v}^2} \frac{\int_0^{\mu} \omega W(\omega, \vec{v}) d\omega}{\int_0^{\mu} W(\omega, \vec{v}) d\omega},
$$

\n
$$
\mu_{\pi}^2(\mu) = \frac{\langle H_Q | \overline{Q} (i\vec{D})^2 Q | H_Q \rangle_{\mu}}{\langle H_Q | \overline{Q} Q | H_Q \rangle_{\mu}}
$$

\n
$$
= \lim_{\vec{v} \to 0} \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} \frac{3}{\vec{v}^2} \frac{\int_0^{\mu} \omega^2 W(\omega, \vec{v}) d\omega}{\int_0^{\mu} W(\omega, \vec{v}) d\omega}, \quad (6)
$$

etc. These operator relations suggest that the perturbative evolution is described by one and the same function, $\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)$ of Eq. (4),

$$
\frac{d\overline{\Lambda}(\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{4}{3} C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)}{\pi}, \quad \frac{d\mu_\pi^2(\mu)}{d\mu^2} = C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)}{\pi}.
$$
\n(7)

The same α_s^2 running of μ_π^2 was obtained in [12] from the zero-recoil transitions.

A similar μ dependence holds for the renormalized slope of the Isgur-Wise (IW) function when it is defined in the same physical way [9] based on the Bjorken sum rule,

$$
\mu \, \frac{d[\varrho^2(\mu) - \frac{1}{4}]}{d\mu} = \frac{4}{3} \, \frac{2\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)}{3\pi}.
$$
 (8)

Because of short-distance effects, the observable transition form factors do not have a literal heavy quark limit at $\vec{v} \neq$ 0 but vanish, and require factoring out the perturbative suppression due to gluon radiation, to yield the effective μ dependent IW function $\xi(v^2; \mu)$. In analogy with Eqs. (6), it is convenient to define $\xi(v^2;\mu)$ for small v as

$$
\xi(v^2; \mu) = \lim_{m_Q \to \infty} \frac{F(v)}{\left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\mu} W(\omega, \vec{v}) d\omega\right]^{1/2}},
$$

$$
\varrho^2(\mu) = -2 \frac{d\xi(v^2; \mu)}{dv^2} \bigg|_{v=0},
$$

where *F* is the form factor, say, of the vector current $\overline{Q}\gamma_0Q$ and *W* is the corresponding structure function. This is the scheme suggested in [9] for which Eq. (8) holds.

The RG equations (7) are proportional to $\alpha^{(d)}$. Hence, the OPE shows that the first-order running is not renormalized in the Abelian theory without light fermions to *all orders* in the coupling [5], since in such a theory $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ identically coincides with $\alpha(0)$.

According to [10], one can calculate perturbatively a physical (gauge-invariant) low-scale running mass $m_O(\mu)$ with $\mu \ll m_Q$ by subtracting, order by order, the infrared part given by the SV sum rules, from the pole mass m_Q^{pole} ,

$$
m_Q(\mu) = [m_Q^{\text{pole}}]_{\text{pert}} - [\overline{\Lambda}(\mu)]_{\text{pert}} - \frac{1}{2m_Q(\mu)} [\mu_\pi^2(\mu)]_{\text{pert}}.
$$

This mass determines the nonrelativistic kinetic energy term in the renormalized heavy quark Hamiltonian. The two-loop relation between this $m_Q(\mu)$ and the MS mass is

$$
m_Q(\mu) = \overline{m} \Biggl\{ 1 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(\overline{m})}{\pi} \Biggl(1 - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mu}{\overline{m}} - \frac{\mu^2}{2\overline{m}^2} \Biggr) + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\overline{m})}{\pi} \right)^2 \Biggl[K - \frac{8}{3} + \frac{\mu}{\overline{m}} \Biggl(\frac{8\beta_0}{9} X_1 + \frac{8\pi^2}{9} - \frac{52}{9} \Biggr) + \frac{\mu^2}{\overline{m}^2} \Biggl(\frac{\beta_0}{3} X_2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{23}{18} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr\}, \qquad (9)
$$

where

$$
K = \frac{\beta_0}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{71}{48} \right) + \frac{665}{144}
$$

+
$$
\frac{\pi^2}{18} \left(2 \ln 2 - \frac{19}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \zeta(3),
$$

$$
X_1 = \ln \frac{2\mu}{\overline{m}} - \frac{8}{3}, \quad X_2 = \ln \frac{2\mu}{\overline{m}} - \frac{13}{6},
$$

and $\overline{m} = \overline{m}(\overline{m})$ is the \overline{MS} mass normalized at the scale \overline{m} . The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ relation between the pole mass and the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass [13] was used here. We neglected small terms $\sim \mu^3/m_Q^2$ which can be incorporated in the same way, if

necessary. The next-order BLM correction to Eq. (9) has been calculated [6].

The nonperturbative effects in the dipole radiation are suppressed by powers of $\Lambda_{\text{OCD}}/\omega$. In particular, the structure functions in Eqs. (2) refer to a heavy hadron and not an isolated heavy quark. The effective coupling $\alpha_s^{(d)}$, like any other effective charge [14], acquires powersuppressed nonperturbative contributions; they differ, for example, in *B* and Λ_b even in the heavy quark limit. One can quantify the nonperturbative effects in $\alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)$ by constructing its $1/\omega$ expansion; the methods of the OPE are applicable here [6].

The nonperturbative effects in $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ are expressed through the expectation values of local heavy quark

operators $\overline{Q}O_kQ$. The first nontrivial operator is the kinetic operator $\overline{Q}(i\vec{D})^2 Q$, giving, by dimension counting, $1/\omega^2$ effects. However, it does not contribute to $\alpha_s^{(d)}$. Indeed, we can consider the initial heavy quark moving with the spacelike momentum $|\vec{p}| \ll \omega$. The structure function for such a state is directly related to the one at rest, and is modified only in terms $\sim \vec{p}^2 \vec{v}^4$ which can be neglected. Since the kinetic expectation value for such a state is nonzero, the corresponding coefficient function must vanish.

There is one spin-singlet, so-called Darwin operator $O_D = -i\overline{Q}D_kD_0D_kQ$ yielding $1/\omega^3$ -suppressed terms (for simplicity, we consider the case of a *B* meson). The OPE relates its effect [6] to the logarithmic anomalous dimension $\hat{\gamma}_D$ of O_D ,

$$
\frac{\delta_D \alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)}{\alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)} = \frac{3\pi \hat{\gamma}_D(\omega)}{8\alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega)} \frac{\rho_D^3(\omega)}{\omega^3}
$$

$$
\approx -\left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\alpha_s(\omega)}\right)^{-13/2\beta_0} \frac{39\rho_D^3(\mu)}{32\omega^3}
$$

$$
\approx -\left(\frac{0.55 \text{ GeV}}{\omega}\right)^3,
$$

where the sum rule for the Darwin term [9,15,16] was used, and

$$
\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \rho_D^3(\mu) = \hat{\gamma}_D(\mu) \rho_D^3(\mu), \quad \hat{\gamma}_D(\mu) \approx -\frac{13\alpha_s^{(d)}(\mu)}{4\pi},
$$

$$
\rho_D^3(\mu) = \frac{\langle B|O_D|B\rangle_{\mu}}{2M_B} \approx \frac{2\pi\alpha_s}{9} \tilde{f}_B^2 M_B
$$

$$
\approx 0.1 \text{ GeV}^3 \quad \text{at } \alpha_s(\mu) \approx 1.
$$

We also employed the factorization estimate of ρ_D^3 [15]; the anomalous dimensions were calculated in [17]. We see that the nonperturbative effects are expected to die out quickly at large energy, $\delta_{\text{np}} \alpha_s^{(d)}(\omega) \sim (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\omega)^k$, $k \geq 3$.

As an effective QCD coupling, $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ has an additional advantage: it directly measures the strength of the interaction, whereas such popular couplings as $\alpha_s^{(D)}$ or $\alpha_s^{(R)}$ determine the *deviation* of the corresponding observables, Adler *D*function $D(Q^2)$ or $R(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$, from their treelevel value. From this perspective, $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ is closer to the so-called *V*-scheme coupling $\alpha_s^{(V)}$ related to the heavy quark potential $V(R)$ [4,18,19]. An exact definition of $\alpha_s^{(V)}$ in higher orders is not evident at the moment, however. Emergence of terms $\alpha_s^k \ln \alpha_s$ with $k \geq 4$ in its relation to α_s^{MS} [18] may indicate that $\alpha_s^{\text{(V)}}$ is not a genuinely shortdistance quantity.

The coupling α_s^{MS} proved to be convenient in multiloop calculations, but is not always the best expansion parameter [20]. Using $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ may improve the accuracy of perturbative estimates in heavy quark decays. Since the velocity of the charm hadrons is typically small in *B* decays, $\alpha_s^{(d)}$ determines the gluon bremsstrahlung and thus sums the lowest-momentum component of the corrections, where the effects of higher orders in a differently defined α_s could be large.

To summarize, we derived the non-Abelian dipole radiation by a nonrelativistic color particle to the second order in α_s and the two-loop relation between the shortdistance low-scale heavy quark mass $m_O(\mu)$ suitable for the OPE and $m_Q^{\text{MS}}(m_Q)$. We discussed the properties of the effective dipole radiation coupling $\alpha_s^{(d)}$, useful for heavy quark physics.

N. U. is grateful to I. Bigi, S. Brodsky, Yu. Dokshitzer, A. Mueller, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and M. Voloshin for discussions. This work was supported in part by the NSF Grant No. PHY 92-13313 and by BMBF-057KA92P.

*Present address: Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973.

† Permanent address: Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, 188350 St. Petersburg, Russia.

- [1] M. Voloshin and M. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. **47**, 801 (1988) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **47**, 511 (1988)].
- [2] M. B. Voloshin, Nucl. Phys. **B154**, 365 (1979); K. Gottfried, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 598 (1978).
- [3] A. Czarnecki and K. Melnikov, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7216 (1997).
- [4] S. J. Brodsky, G. Lepage, and P. Mackenzie, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 228 (1983).
- [5] N. G. Uraltsev, Nucl. Phys. **B491**, 303 (1997).
- [6] A. Czarnecki, K. Melnikov, and N. Uraltsev (to be published); see also Report No. TTP97-33.
- [7] S. Catani and L. Trentadue, Nucl. Phys. **B327**, 323 (1989).
- [8] I. Bigi *et al.,* Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2234 (1994).
- [9] I. Bigi, M. Shifman, and N. Uraltsev, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **47**, 591 (1997).
- [10] I. Bigi *et al.,* Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4017 (1997).
- [11] I. Bigi *et al.,* Phys. Rev. D **52**, 196 (1995).
- [12] A. Czarnecki, K. Melnikov, and N. Uraltsev, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1769 (1998).
- [13] N. Gray *et al.,* Z. Phys. C **48**, 673 (1990).
- [14] G. Grunberg, Phys. Lett. **95B**, 70 (1980); Phys. Rev. D **29**, 2315 (1984).
- [15] I. Bigi *et al.,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 2467 (1994).
- [16] I. Bigi *et al.,* Phys. Lett. B **339**, 160 (1994); C.-K. Chow and D. Pirjol, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3998 (1996).
- [17] M. Voloshin and M. Shifman, Sov. Phys. JETP **64**, 698 (1986).
- [18] T. Appelquist, M. Dine, and I. Muzinich, Phys. Lett. **69B**, 231 (1977); Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2074 (1978).
- [19] M. Peter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 602 (1997); Nucl. Phys. **B501**, 471 (1997).
- [20] S. J. Brodsky and H. J. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 3652 (1995); hep-ph/9506322; hep-ph/9409462.