

(1962).

<sup>5</sup>B. Sechi Zorn, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 282 (1962).

<sup>6</sup>V. Kenney, W. Shephard, and C. Gall, Nuovo cimen-  
to (to be published).

<sup>7</sup>D. Carmony, A. Rosenfeld, and R. Van de Walle,  
Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 117 (1962).

<sup>8</sup>The strong interaction decays into four pions may  
just be energetically allowed; i. e., strictly speaking,

our nuclei may be only metastable even with respect  
to the strong interactions. In actual fact, however,  
four-pion decay should be negligibly slow.

<sup>9</sup>B. Maglić, L. Alvarez, A. Rosenfeld, and M. Steven-  
son, Phys. Rev. Letters **7**, 178 (1961). Note that un-  
less forbidden, such a strong two-body decay should in  
fact predominate in the  $\omega^0$  decay and thus these correla-  
tions should be very strong.

## PROPERTIES AND EFFECTS OF $\zeta$ DECAYS

G. Feinberg\*

Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York

and

A. Pais

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey

(Received March 20, 1962)

Several recent experiments<sup>1-4</sup> seem to indicate  
the existence of charged particles, called  $\zeta^\pm$ ,  
with a mass of about 560 Mev. The limited evi-  
dence points to the isotopic spin assignment  $T=1$   
for  $\zeta$ , insofar as production is concerned. In the  
one experiment<sup>4</sup> in which  $2\pi$  can only be produced  
in a pure  $T=1$  state, the  $\zeta^+$  width appears to be  
small. It is the purpose of this note to empha-  
size the importance of the relative properties of  
 $\zeta^\pm$  and  $\zeta^0$  and to consider the influence of  $\zeta$  on  
other pion resonances as well as on weak interac-  
tions.

The argument hinges on the  $2\pi$ -decay properties  
of  $\zeta$  and more specifically on the behavior of  $\zeta^0$   
under charge conjugation. We consider three al-  
ternatives denoted by (a), (b1), and (b2) and show  
that these may be distinguished by measuring the  
 $2\pi$ -decay modes of the  $\zeta$ 's.

(a)  $\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi$  is  $T$ -allowed. Therefore  $\zeta$  has odd an-  
gular momentum and even  $G$ . In this case,<sup>5</sup>

$$(a) \Gamma(\zeta^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0) = \Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-), \quad \Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) = 0, \quad (1)$$

so that  $\zeta^+$  and  $\zeta^0$  have essentially the same width.  
The relevance of this obvious remark lies in the  
following. It has been pointed out<sup>6</sup> that the decay  
of  $\omega^0$  into  $\zeta + \pi$  [allowed by assumption (a)] would  
lead to a characteristic band effect in the Dalitz  
plot for  $\omega^0$ . It was further observed<sup>7</sup> that in this  
case  $\omega^0 \rightarrow \zeta + \pi$  would actually be the most promi-  
nent  $\pi^0$  mode, provided that the dimensionless ef-  
fective ( $\omega^0, \zeta, \pi$ ) coupling constant is  $\sim 1$ . No band  
structure in the  $\omega^0$ -decay plot has been reported.

Thus if Eq. (1) were to be true experimentally,  
we would have learned that the coupling constant  
just mentioned is small (which cannot be excluded  
by any principles we know of).

If Eq. (1) is in disagreement with experiment,  
we learn that  $\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi$  is not  $T$ -allowed, which would  
be consistent with a narrow width. If this is the  
case,  $\zeta$  must have even spin. This opens the pos-  
sibility of assigning a spin and parity to  $\zeta$  in such  
a way as to forbid the decays  $\omega \rightarrow \zeta + \pi$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow \zeta + \pi$ .  
The unique assignment which does this is zero  
spin, even parity.

While in case (a) it follows automatically that  
 $\zeta^0$  is odd under charge conjugation ( $C$ ), we have  
now further to distinguish two cases.

(b1)  $\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi$  is  $T$ -forbidden and  $\zeta^0$  is odd under  
 $C$ ; hence  $\zeta$  is even under  $G$ . In a recent note,<sup>8</sup>  
Peierls and Treiman discussed the assignment  
 $0^+$  for spin and parity of  $\zeta$ . They were forced to  
take  $\zeta^0$  odd under  $C$  because they consider the  $\zeta$   
to be something like a  $4\pi$  molecule, a picture  
which we find hardly compelling. Assumption (b1)  
gives

$$(b1) \Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) = \Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

and the principal  $\zeta^0$  mode is  $\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$  ( $\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$  is  
also forbidden). As was pointed out,<sup>8</sup> in this case  
the lowest multipole order is  $E2$ . The width of  
 $\zeta^0$  should therefore be much smaller than the one  
for  $\zeta^+$  which does decay into  $2\pi$  by electromag-  
netic violation of  $T$ . See the remark after Eq. (5)  
below.

(b2)  $\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi$  is  $T$ -forbidden and  $\zeta^0$  is even under  
 $C$ ; hence  $\zeta$  is odd under  $G$ . In general, both  $\zeta^+$

and  $\zeta^0$  can now undergo  $2\pi$  decay by electromagnetic violation of  $T$ . It is safe to treat this electromagnetic effect to second order, and it can then be shown that the transition matrix transforms like an isotopic vector. This yields

$$\frac{\Gamma(\zeta^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0)}{\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-) + \Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0)} = \frac{3}{4 + 2z^2} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0)} = \frac{2(1 + z^2 - 2z \cos\phi)}{4 + z^2 + 4z \cos\phi}, \quad (4)$$

where  $z \geq 0$  is proportional to the ratio of the absolute values of the  $T=0$  and the  $T=2$  transition amplitudes and  $\phi$  is their relative phase angle. It is evident from Eqs. (1)-(4) that measurements of the three  $2\pi$  modes of the  $\zeta$ 's are sufficient to distinguish among the cases (a), (b1), and (b2). For example, if  $\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) \neq 0$  we have case (b2). If  $\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0) = 0$ , then the ratio  $\Gamma(\zeta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(\zeta^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0) = 1, 0,$  and  $4$  for cases (a), (b1), and (b2), respectively.

The question arises whether the  $2\pi$  decays will dominate in the cases (b). Presumably, the  $2\pi$ -decay amplitudes contain a factor  $\alpha = 1/137$  whereas the amplitudes for  $2\pi\gamma$  decay only contain  $\alpha^{1/2}$ . It is therefore important to estimate the branching ratio  $\Gamma(2\pi\gamma)/\Gamma(2\pi)$  for  $\zeta$ .<sup>9</sup> Thus let the effective matrix element for  $2\pi$  decay be  $GR^{-1} \times (8m\omega_1\omega_2)^{-1/2}$ . Here  $G$  is a dimensionless constant;  $m$  is the  $\zeta$  mass;  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are the energies of the pions 1 and 2.  $R$  is a length introduced for dimensional reasons. The  $2\pi\gamma$  transition is  $E1$  in this case and the effective matrix element is taken to be  $G\alpha^{-1/2} p_1^\mu p_2^\nu F^{\mu\nu} R^3 \times (16m\omega_1\omega_2)^{-1/2}$ , where  $\omega$  is the photon frequency. It follows that ( $\mu$  is the pion mass)

$$\frac{\Gamma(\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi\gamma)}{\Gamma(\zeta \rightarrow 2\pi)} = \frac{m^6 R^8}{24\pi^2 \alpha (m^2 - 4\mu^2)^{1/2}} \int_{\mu}^{m/2} \frac{p^3 (m - 2\omega)^3 d\omega}{(m^2 - 2m\omega + \mu^2)^2}. \quad (5)$$

With  $m \simeq 4\mu$  this ratio becomes  $\sim 20(\mu R)^8$ . Due to the spin-parity of the  $\zeta$  it is impossible to have a one-pion intermediate state to order  $\alpha^{1/2}$ . Hence  $(2\mu)^{-1}$  is a likely upper bound for  $R$ . This would imply that  $2\pi$  is indeed the dominant  $\zeta$  mode, though a sizable ( $\sim 10\%$ ) effect due to  $2\pi\gamma$  could well exist. As the rate for a  $2\pi\gamma$  mode of type  $E2$  should still be smaller than for type  $E1$ , we are led to conclude that in the case (b1) the  $\zeta^0$  width will be very narrow.

If we accept the proposed<sup>10</sup> assignment  $0^{-+}$  for  $\eta^0$ , its decay into  $3\pi$  is  $T$ -forbidden. In the case (b2) the (virtual) transition  $\eta^0 \rightarrow \zeta + \pi$  is  $T$ -allowed.

Thus in this case the  $\zeta$  will play a role in the decay  $\eta^0 \rightarrow 3\pi$  with the  $T$  violation occurring in the  $\zeta$  decay. It is readily seen that, if the  $\zeta^0$  width is large compared to the  $\zeta^+$  width, there results an asymmetry in the Dalitz plot for  $\eta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ . The decay distribution contains a factor  $[m_\zeta^2 - (m_\eta - \mu)^2 + 2m_\eta T_{\pi 0}]^{-2}$ , which favors small  $\pi^0$  kinetic energies. There are experimental indications of the existence of such an asymmetry.<sup>10</sup> However, we do not wish to imply that there could be no other causes for this effect.<sup>11</sup>

If neutral decays of the  $\zeta^0$ , such as  $\zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ , occur appreciably, the approximate mass degeneracy of  $\eta^0$  and  $\zeta^0$  may lead to an experimental complication in determining the ratio of neutral decays to  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decays for the  $\eta^0$ . Indeed, since the "neutral decay modes of the  $\eta^0$ " are identified by a missing-mass analysis, it is clear that if  $\zeta^0$  is produced in the same experiment, its neutral decays would contribute to those events which are called "neutral decays of the  $\eta^0$ ." This would lead to an overestimate of the ratio of the true  $\eta^0$  neutral decays to  $3\pi$  decays. The true ratio could be measured in an experiment where an isotopic spin or other selection rule in production allows only  $\eta^0$  to be produced, or perhaps after the  $\zeta^0$  decays are analyzed, by a subtraction method.

The understanding of the  $\eta^0$  decays under the assumption of spin  $0^-$  is complicated by the apparent absence<sup>10</sup> of the mode  $\eta^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ . We have tried to estimate this mode by a calculation similar to the one above for  $\zeta \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ , and find the ratio  $\Gamma(\eta^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma)/\Gamma(\eta^0 \rightarrow 3\pi) \sim 2500(\mu R)^8$ . This gives a factor of 40 for a radius of  $(2\pi)^{-1}$ . Some method of enhancing the  $3\pi$  decay therefore seems called for if the  $\eta^0$  is  $0^-$ .

We would like to emphasize that the peculiar situation in which strongly interacting particles decay only via  $T$ -violating modes may provide a new interpretation of violation of the  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2}$  rule in the nonleptonic decays of  $K$  particles. The closeness in mass between  $K$  and  $\zeta$  particles and their common zero spin makes it quite plausible that a one- $\zeta$  intermediate state plays a dominant role in the  $K^+$  decay. Consider in fact the sequence

$$K^+ \rightarrow \zeta^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0. \quad (6)$$

The first link in this chain is a weak transition allowed by a pure  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2}$  rule. Therefore, the violation of  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2}$ , which necessarily occurs in the  $K_{\pi 2}^+$  decay, here takes place entirely "away from the weak vertex" in the "strong" decay of the  $\zeta^+$ .

This model of the  $K^+$  decay may clarify the long-standing problem of why the ratio for  $K^+ \rightarrow 2\pi$  versus  $K_1^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$  is equal to 1/500 rather than  $\alpha^2 \sim 1/20\,000$ . The point is that our sequence gives a decay rate proportional to  $(m_K - m_\zeta)^{-2}$ . Let us compare this situation with the  $K_1^0$  decay. Since this decay is allowed by  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2}$ , the sequence  $K_1^0 \rightarrow \zeta^0 \rightarrow 2\pi$  is unlikely to play an important role.<sup>12</sup> There is no known intermediate state compatible with  $\Delta T = \frac{1}{2}$  which can give rise to as small an energy denominator. Therefore this mass effect may produce the necessary enhancement of the  $K^+$  rate even in the absence of strong  $\pi$ - $\pi$  forces at the  $K^+$ -rest energy. However, such arguments would be invalid if close-lying  $T=0$ ,  $0^+$  particles were to exist.

We further note that if the sequence Eq. (6) indeed dominates the  $K^+$  decay, it follows that the  $2\pi\gamma/2\pi$  branching ratio of  $K^+$  would equal that of  $\zeta^+$ . Also the  $2\pi\gamma$  spectrum would be the same in the two cases. Measurements of this could provide a test of our model of the  $K^+$  decays.

In conclusion we reiterate that the relatively straightforward measurement of the various  $2\pi$  rates of the  $\zeta$ 's is sufficient to determine the isotopic spin character of  $\zeta$  decay and the charge conjugation quantum number of  $\zeta^0$ .

We would like to thank Dr. J. Sanford, Dr. E. Pickup, and Dr. B. Sechi Zorn for discussing

with us the experimental material, and N. P. Chang and T. Yao for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this note.

\*Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

<sup>1</sup>R. Barloutaud, J. Heughebaert, A. Leveque, J. Meyer, and R. Omnes, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 32 (1962).

<sup>2</sup>A. Erwin, R. March, W. Walker, and E. West, Phys. Rev. Letters **6**, 628 (1961).

<sup>3</sup>C. Peck, L. Jones, and M. Perl, University of Michigan Technical Report No. 4, 1962 (unpublished).

<sup>4</sup>B. Sechi Zorn, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 282 (1962).

<sup>5</sup> $\Gamma$  always denotes the rate.

<sup>6</sup>M. Nauenberg and A. Pais, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 82 (1962).

<sup>7</sup>G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 151 (1962).

<sup>8</sup>R. Peierls and S. Treiman, this issue [Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 339 (1962)].

<sup>9</sup>See a similar calculation by R. Good, Phys. Rev. **113**, 352 (1959), for  $K \rightarrow 2\pi\gamma$ . In the present case we omit the inner bremsstrahlung as this can be important only if  $2\pi$  is the dominant mode.

<sup>10</sup>P. Bastien *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters **8**, 114, 302(E) (1962).

<sup>11</sup>A possible explanation of this effect in terms of a light  $2\pi$  resonant state with  $T=0$  was pointed out to us by Dr. M. Nauenberg.

<sup>12</sup>A similar argument shows that if the  $\eta^0$  decay is  $T$ -forbidden, the one- $\eta^0$  intermediate state will not play an important role in the  $K_2^0$  mesonic decay. However, it is possible that the decays  $K_1^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ ,  $K_2^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$  could go through intermediate states of a single  $\zeta^0$ ,  $\eta^0$ , respectively.

## COMPLEX ANGULAR MOMENTA AND THE RELATION BETWEEN THE CROSS SECTIONS OF VARIOUS PROCESSES AT HIGH ENERGIES

V. N. Gribov

Physico-Technical Institute, Leningrad, U.S.S.R.

and

I. Ya. Pomeranchuk

Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, U.S.S.R.

(Received March 19, 1962)

Recently a connection has been found between the analytical properties of the amplitudes as functions of the angular momenta, and their asymptotic behavior at high energies.<sup>1,2</sup> It is assumed that the asymptotic behavior of the scattering amplitudes of any particles in the diffraction region is determined by the moving pole  $j(t)$  of a partial wave in the annihilation channel.<sup>3-6</sup> Several important properties of strong interactions at high energies ( $s$ ) follow from this assumption. In particular, the amplitude of the

elastic scattering of strongly interacting particles must have the form  $f(t)s^{j(t)}$  ( $s$  and  $t$  are the usual Mandelstam variables). The total cross section is constant if  $j(0)$  assumes the maximum possible value, equal to 1.<sup>7</sup> The elastic scattering cross section must tend slowly to zero (as  $1/\ln s$ ). The diffraction cone must narrow with increasing energy; this behavior corresponds to the scattering on a system whose transparency and radius increase with the energy.<sup>8</sup> Such behavior seems to be in agreement with the experimental data